

## SUBCONTINUA WITH DEGENERATE TRANCHES IN HEREDITARILY DECOMPOSABLE CONTINUA<sup>1</sup>

BY

LEX G. OVERSTEEGEN AND E. D. TYMCHATYN

**ABSTRACT.** A hereditarily decomposable, irreducible, metric continuum  $M$  admits a mapping  $f$  onto  $[0, 1]$  such that each  $f^{-1}(t)$  is a nowhere dense subcontinuum. The sets  $f^{-1}(t)$  are the tranches of  $M$  and  $f^{-1}(t)$  is a tranche of cohesion if  $t \in \{0, 1\}$  or  $f^{-1}(t) = \text{Cl}(f^{-1}([0, t))) \cap \text{Cl}(f^{-1}((t, 1]))$ . The following answer a question of Mahavier and of E. S. Thomas, Jr.

**THEOREM.** *Every hereditarily decomposable continuum contains a subcontinuum with a degenerate tranche.*

**COROLLARY.** *If in an irreducible hereditarily decomposable continuum each tranche is nondegenerate then some tranche is not a tranche of cohesion.*

The theorem answers a question of Nadler concerning arcwise accessibility in hyperspaces.

**1. Introduction.** A continuum is a compact connected metric space. A continuum  $M$  is said to be *irreducible* between two points  $p$  and  $q$  if no proper subcontinuum of  $M$  contains both  $p$  and  $q$ .

A continuum  $M$  is said to be of *type  $\lambda$*  (see [8, p. 200]) if there exists a map  $\phi$  of  $M$  onto  $[0, 1]$  such that each point inverse under  $\phi$  is a nowhere dense subcontinuum of  $M$ . The sets  $\phi^{-1}(t)$  are called the *tranches* of  $M$ . The sets  $\phi^{-1}(0)$  and  $\phi^{-1}(1)$  are called *end-tranches* of  $M$ . The tranche  $\phi^{-1}(t)$  is said to be a *tranche of cohesion* if  $t \in \{0, 1\}$  or if

$$\phi^{-1}(t) = \text{Cl}(\phi^{-1}([0, t))) \cap \text{Cl}(\phi^{-1}((t, 1])).$$

We denote the closure of a set  $A$  by  $\text{Cl}(A)$  and the boundary of  $A$  by  $\text{Bd}(A)$ .

Irreducible continua have been extensively studied, in particular, under the topic of continuous collections. For example, an irreducible continuum which admits a monotone open mapping onto  $[0, 1]$  is a continuum of type  $\lambda$  and has the additional property that each tranche is a tranche of cohesion. Also, irreducible, hereditarily decomposable continua are of type  $\lambda$ .

Thomas in [14] and Mahavier in [9] proved that each hereditarily decomposable arc-like continuum contains a subcontinuum with a degenerate tranche. In the main result of this paper we extend the Thomas and Mahavier result to arbitrary hereditarily decomposable continua. This answers, in the affirmative, Problem 121 in

---

Received by the editors November 9, 1979 and, in revised form, August 3, 1982. Presented to the Society, at the AMS meeting in San Antonio, January 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54F20, 54F50; Secondary 54C10.

*Key words and phrases.* Hereditarily decomposable continua, monotone decomposition into tranches.

<sup>1</sup>The research for this paper was supported in part by NSERC grant number A5616.

the *University of Houston problem book* (due to Mahavier). Our methods are patterned on those used by both Thomas and Mahavier. These methods are abstracted from a proof of Henderson [4].

To prove the existence of an indecomposable continuum, one constructs a sequence  $O_i$  of open covers such that  $O_{i+1}$  “folds” in  $O_i$ . The notion of folding in chain covers is intuitively clear. A large part of this paper is devoted to a definition of folding in covers whose nerves are arbitrary polyhedra.

In 1935 Knaster [6] constructed a monotone, open mapping of a certain irreducible continuum onto  $[0, 1]$  such that each point inverse is nondegenerate. Dyer proved in [2] (see [7] for a simple proof) that each such mapping has a dense  $G_\delta$  of indecomposable point inverses. As a corollary to our main theorem we complement Dyer’s theorem by proving that if  $M$  is a continuum of type  $\lambda$ , such that each tranche is nondegenerate and is a tranche of cohesion, then  $M$  contains indecomposable subcontinua of arbitrarily small diameters. Also, as a corollary to our main result we obtain an affirmative solution to a question of Nadler [12] concerning arcwise accessibility in hyperspaces.

**2. Definitions and preliminaries.** We let  $M$  be a continuum with a fixed but arbitrary metric  $d$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a collection of subsets of  $M$  and  $A \subset M$  we set

$$S^1(A, \mathcal{U}) = S(A, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$$

and, inductively,

$$S^n(A, \mathcal{U}) = S(S^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U}).$$

We let

$$\mathcal{U}^* = \{S(U, \mathcal{U}) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}^{**} = \{S^2(U, \mathcal{U}) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

If  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are two collections of subsets of  $X$  we say  $\mathcal{U}$  *refines*  $\mathcal{V}$  if for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $U \subset V$ . If  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  and  $U_\gamma \subset V_\gamma$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is said to be a *precise refinement* of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

A collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of sets is said to be *taut* if  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $C1(U) \cap C1(V) \neq \emptyset$  implies  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . The collection  $\mathcal{U}$  is said to be *coherent* if  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$  implies there exists  $U_1 = U, U_2, \dots, U_n = V$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $U_i \cap U_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a collection of open sets in a set  $M$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  let

$$i(U, \mathcal{U}) = U \setminus C1(\bigcup \{V \mid U \neq V \in \mathcal{U}\}).$$

If  $K \subset M$  is a continuum we say a collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of subsets of  $M$  *strongly irreducibly covers*  $K$  if  $\{C1(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an irreducible cover of  $K$ . Notice that if  $\mathcal{U}$  is an irreducible open cover of  $K$  then there exists an open, taut, precise refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  covers  $K$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is a strongly irreducible cover of  $K$ .

We shall need the following well-known result (see [8, p. 172]):

**BOUNDARY BUMPING THEOREM.** *If  $K$  is a component of a proper open subset  $U$  of a continuum  $X$  then  $\text{Bd}(U) \cap C1(K) \neq \emptyset$ .*

**REMARK.** If  $M$  is a continuum which contains no indecomposable continuum of diameter less than  $\epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  then  $M$  is one dimensional. To see this, let

$p \in M$  and let  $U$  be a closed neighbourhood of  $p$  of diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . Then every component of  $U$  has dimension  $\leq 1$  by the theorem of Mazurkiewicz [10] that every continuum of dimension  $\geq 2$  contains an indecomposable continuum. Let  $f: U \rightarrow Y$  be the map that identifies components of  $U$  to points. Then  $\dim Y = 0$  and  $f$  is a closed map. Then  $\dim U = 1$  by the Hurewicz Theorem [5, VI, 7]. For the sake of geometric intuition the reader may suppose, therefore, that every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  that will be needed for the proofs of the main results of this paper has nerve  $\mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{U})$  which is a finite graph.

If  $K \subset M$  are continua then by a  $M$ - $K$ -cover  $A$  we mean a taut collection of open sets in  $K$  which covers and strongly irreducibly covers  $K$ . If  $N \subset M$  are continua and  $A$  is a  $N$ - $K$ -cover then  $A$  is a  $M$ - $K$ -cover.

Let  $A$  be an irreducible open cover of a continuum  $M$  and let  $U, V \in A$  such that  $U \not\subset S^6(V, A)$ . Let  $\langle U, V \rangle$  be a subcollection of  $A$  such that

- (1)  $U, V \subset \langle U, V \rangle$ ,
- (2)  $\langle U, V \rangle$  is a cover of some subcontinuum  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $U \cap K \neq \emptyset \neq V \cap K$ , and
- (3) if  $W \subset \langle U, V \rangle$  is a cover of a subcontinuum  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $L \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq L \cap V$  and  $U, V \in W$  then  $W = \langle U, V \rangle$ .

If  $B = \langle U, V \rangle$  we call  $U$  the *first link* of  $B$  and write  $U = FB$ . Similarly, we call  $V$  the *last link* of  $B$  and write  $V = LB$ . We call a  $M$ - $K$ -cover  $A$  a  $M$ - $K$ -cover from  $FA$  to  $LA$  if  $FA, LA \in A$  and  $A = \langle FA, LA \rangle$ .

1. *Note.* Let  $A$  be an irreducible open cover of a continuum  $M$  and suppose  $U, V \in A$  such that  $U \not\subset S^6(V, A)$ . Since  $A$  is finite there exists a  $\langle U, V \rangle$  which need not, however, be unique. If  $N$  is a continuum in  $M$  such that  $N \subset \bigcup \langle U, V \rangle$  and  $N \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq N \cap V$ , let  $\langle\langle U, V \rangle\rangle$  be a  $M$ - $N$ -cover which is a precise refinement of  $\langle U, V \rangle$  (we can show as before that  $\langle\langle U, V \rangle\rangle$  exists). For each  $W \in \langle U, V \rangle$  let  $W'$  be the element of  $\langle\langle U, V \rangle\rangle$  which corresponds to  $W$ . Then  $\langle\langle U, V \rangle\rangle$  is a  $M$ - $N$ -cover from  $U'$  to  $V'$ . It suffices to show that if  $K$  is a continuum in  $\bigcup \langle\langle U, V \rangle\rangle$  such that  $K \cap U' \neq \emptyset \neq K \cap V'$  and  $O \in \langle U, V \rangle \setminus \{U, V\}$ , then  $K \not\subset \bigcup (\langle\langle U, V \rangle\rangle \setminus \{O'\})$ . If  $K \subset \bigcup (\langle\langle U, V \rangle\rangle \setminus \{O'\})$ , then  $K \subset \bigcup (\langle U, V \rangle \setminus \{O\})$  and  $K \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq K \cap V$ , which contradicts the definition of  $\langle U, V \rangle$ .

We say that a  $N$ - $K$ -cover  $B$  is *embedded* in a  $M$ - $N$ -cover  $A$  if  $\{S^3(U, B) \mid U \in B\}$  refines  $A$ . If  $A$  is a  $M$ - $N$ -cover from  $FA$  to  $LA$ , then we say a  $N$ - $K$ -cover  $B$  is *embedded in  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$*  if  $B$  is embedded in  $A$ ,  $B$  is a  $N$ - $K$ -cover from  $FB$  to  $LB$ ,  $C1(FB) \subset i(FA, A)$  and  $C1(LB) \subset i(LA, A)$  for some  $U \in B$ .

**REMARK.** If  $B$  is a  $N$ - $K$ -cover embedded in a  $M$ - $N$ -cover  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$  then for each  $U \in A$  there exists  $W \in B$  such that  $C1(W) \subset U$ .

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality  $FA \neq U \neq LA$ . Let  $V \in B$  such that  $V \subset i(LA, A)$ . Since  $B$  is an irreducible cover of  $K \subset N$ ,  $FB \subset i(FA, A)$ ,  $V \subset i(LA, A)$  and  $A$  is a  $M$ - $N$ -cover from  $FA$  to  $LA$ , there exists  $x \in K \cap U \setminus \bigcup \{T \in A \mid T \neq U\}$ . Let  $W \in B$  such that  $x \in W$ . Then  $C1(W) \subset U$ .

If it follows from the above Remark that if  $B$  is a  $N$ - $K$ -cover embedded in the  $M$ - $N$ -cover  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$  and  $C$  is a  $K$ - $L$ -cover embedded in  $B$  from  $FB$  to  $LB$ , then  $C$  is embedded in  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$ .

2. *Note.* If  $A$  is a  $M$ - $N$ -cover from  $FA$  to  $LA$ , then there exists for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , by Note 1, a  $N$ - $K$ -cover  $B$  of mesh less than  $\epsilon$  embedded in  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$  and  $C1(LB) \subset i(LA, A)$ .

If  $A$  is a  $M$ - $N$ -cover from  $FA$  to  $LA$  then by an *endpiece*  $T$  of  $A$  we mean a coherent subcollection of  $A$  which contains  $\{W \in A \mid W \subset S^3(LA, A)\}$  and such that  $FA \cap \cup T = \emptyset$ . By  $fT$  we denote

$$\{W \in T \mid W \cap Z \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } Z \in A \setminus T\},$$

and we call  $fT$  the *first links* of  $T$ .

Let  $B$  be a  $N$ - $K$ -cover embedded in a  $M$ - $N$ -cover  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$ . Let  $S$  be an endpiece of  $A$  and let  $T$  be an endpiece of  $B$ . We say that  $T$  *folds in*  $S$  if  $C1(LB) \subset \cup fS$ ,  $C1(\cup fT) \subset \cup fS$ ,  $C1(\cup T) \subset \cup S$ , and no coherent subcollection of  $\{W \in T \mid W \not\subset i(LA, A)\}$  contains both  $LB$  and an element of  $fT$ .

3. **LEMMA.** *Let  $B$  be a  $N$ - $K$ -cover embedded in a  $M$ - $N$ -cover  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$ , let  $S$  be an endpiece of  $A$  and suppose  $\{W \in B \mid C1(W) \not\subset i(LA, A)\}$  contains at least two maximal distinct coherent subcollections  $P$  and  $Q$  and elements  $U \in P$  and  $V \in Q$  such that  $C1(U \cup V) \subset \cup fS$ . Then there exists a  $K$ - $L$ -cover  $C$  embedded in  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$  and an endpiece  $T$  of  $C$  such that  $T$  folds in  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{U \in B \mid C1(U) \not\subset i(LA, A)\} = R \cup R'$ , where  $R$  is the maximal coherent subcollection of  $\{U \in B \mid C1(U) \not\subset i(LA, A)\}$  which contains  $FB$  and  $R \cap R' = \emptyset$ . Let  $R'' = \{U \in R' \mid C1(U) \subset \cup S\}$ .

If  $R'' = R'$  let  $U \in R''$  such that  $C1(U) \subset \cup fS$ . By Note 1 choose  $\langle FB, U \rangle \subset B$ , a continuum  $L \subset K \cap \cup \langle FB, U \rangle$  such that  $L \cap FB \neq \emptyset \neq L \cap U$ , and a  $K$ - $L$ -cover  $C = \langle \langle FB, U \rangle \rangle$  from  $FC$  to  $LC$  with  $FC \subset FB$  and  $LC \subset U$ . Then  $C$  is embedded in  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$ . Let  $T$  be the maximal coherent subcollection of  $C$  which contains  $LC$  and such that  $C1(\cup T) \subset \cup S$ . Then  $C1(\cup fT) \subset \cup fS \cap \cup R$ . To see this, let  $W \in fT$ . There exists  $Z \in C \setminus T$  such that  $Z \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $V \in A$  such that  $S^3(Z, C) \subset V$ . Since  $C1(Z) \subset V$ ,  $V \notin S$ . Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n \in S$  such that  $C1(W) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$ . Then  $V_i \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i$  and, hence,  $V_i \in fS$ . Hence,  $T$  folds in  $S$ .

If  $R'' \neq R'$ , let  $x_0 \in K \cap i(FB, B)$ . Then by the Boundary Bumping Theorem there exists a continuum  $K' \subset K \cap (\cup R \cup LA \cup \cup R'')$  such that  $x_0 \in K'$  and

$$C1(S(K', B)) \cap \cup R' \not\subset \cup S.$$

Let  $B' \subset R'' \cup (A \setminus R')$  be an irreducible cover of  $K'$ . Let  $U \in B' \cap R''$  such that  $C1(S(U, B)) \not\subset \cup S$ . Notice  $U \subset V$  for some  $V \in A \setminus S$ . Thus,  $C1(U) \subset \cup fS$ . Choose  $\langle FB, U \rangle \subset B'$ , a continuum  $L \subset K' \cap \cup \langle FB, U \rangle \subset K$  such that  $L \cap FB \neq \emptyset \neq L \cap U$ , and a  $K$ - $L$ -cover  $C = \langle \langle FB, U \rangle \rangle$  from  $FC$  to  $LC$  such that  $FC \subset FB$  and  $LC \subset U$ . Then  $C$  is embedded in  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$ . Let  $T$  be the maximal coherent collection of  $C$  which contains  $LC$  and such that  $C1(\cup T) \subset \cup S$ . As above  $T$  folds in  $S$ .

The following is a variation on a theorem of Rogers [13] and Bellamy [1]:

4. LEMMA. Let  $M_0$  be a continuum. Suppose  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  is a sequence such that  $B_{i+1}$  is a  $M_i$ - $M_{i+1}$ -cover embedded in the  $M_{i-1}$ - $M_i$ -cover  $B_i$  from  $FB_i$  to  $LB_i$ , and  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  is a sequence such that  $T_i$  is an endpiece of  $B_i$  and  $T_{i+1}$  folds in  $T_i$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup \{U \in T_i\})$  contains an indecomposable continuum.

PROOF. By the Boundary Bumping Theorem there exists a continuum  $K_i$  in  $\bigcup T_i$  such that  $K_i$  meets  $fT_i$  and  $LB_i$ . Then  $K_i$  also meets  $fT_j$  and  $LB_j$  for  $j < i$ . Without loss of generality  $\text{Lim } K_i = K$ . Then  $K$  is a continuum,

$$K \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Cl}(\bigcup \{U \in T_i\}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup \{U \in T_i\})$$

and  $K$  meets  $\text{Cl}(\bigcup fT_i)$  and  $\text{Cl}(LB_i)$  for each  $i$ . So  $K \cap \bigcup fT_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i$ . Let  $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ 2 - 2x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Define  $f_1: \text{Cl}(\bigcup T_1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  to be a continuous function such that  $f_1^{-1}(0) = \text{Cl}(\bigcup fT_1)$  and  $f_1^{-1}(1) = \text{Cl}(LB_1)$ . Define  $f_2: \text{Cl}(\bigcup T_2) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  so that if  $R$  is the union of the maximal coherent subcollections of  $\{U \in B_2 \mid U \not\subset i(LB_1, B_1)\}$  which meets  $\bigcup fT_2$ , then

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}f_1(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{Cl}(\bigcup R) \cap \text{Cl}(\bigcup T_2), \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in f_1^{-1}(1) \cap \text{Cl}(\bigcup T_2), \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2}f_1(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{Cl}(\bigcup T_2) \setminus (\text{Cl}(\bigcup R) \cup f_1^{-1}(1)). \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $LB_2 \cap \text{Cl}(\bigcup R) = \emptyset$  and  $K \cap \bigcup fT_2 \neq \emptyset \neq K \cap \text{Cl}(LB_2)$ , so  $f_2(K) = [0, 1]$ . Then  $f_1(x) = h \circ f_2(x)$  for each  $x \in \text{Cl}(\bigcup T_2)$ . By induction we define continuous functions  $f_i: \text{Cl}(\bigcup T_i) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_i(x) = h \circ f_{i+1}(x)$  for each positive integer  $i$  and for each  $x \in \text{Cl}(\bigcup T_{i+1})$  and  $f_i(K) = [0, 1]$ . Then  $f = \varprojlim f_i \mid K$  is a mapping of  $K \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup \{U \in T_i\})$  onto Knaster's indecomposable continuum  $Y = \varprojlim (I_i, h_i^j)$ , where  $I_i = [0, 1]$  and  $h_i^j = h$  for all  $i$  and  $j$  (as in the proof of Bellamy [1 Theorem, p. 305]). Since  $f$  maps  $K$  onto  $Y$ ,  $K$  contains an indecomposable continuum.

5. LEMMA. Let  $M$  be a continuum which contains no indecomposable subcontinuum of diameter less than  $\epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $A$  be a  $M$ - $N$ -cover of mesh less than  $\epsilon$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$ . Then there exists a  $N$ - $N_1$ -cover  $B$  embedded in  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$ , and an endpiece  $T$  of  $B$  such that  $\text{Cl}(\bigcup T) \subset i(LA, A)$ , and such that if  $C$  is a  $N_1$ - $K$ -cover embedded in  $B$  from  $FB$  to  $LB$  then no endpiece of  $C$  folds in  $T$ . Moreover,  $B$  can be chosen to have arbitrarily small mesh.

PROOF. As in Note 2 there is a  $N$ - $L$ -cover  $B_1$  of arbitrarily small mesh embedded in  $A$  from  $FA$  to  $LA$  and an endpiece  $T_1$  of  $B_1$  such that  $\text{Cl}(\bigcup T_1) \subset LA$ . The lemma now follows by contradiction from Lemma 4.

**3. The main results.** The first three results in this section were proved by Thomas in [14] and Mahavier in [9] for the special case of arc-like continua.

6. THEOREM. *If  $M$  is a continuum which contains no indecomposable subcontinuum of diameter less than  $\epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x, y \in M$ , then there exists a subcontinuum  $K$  of  $M$  irreducible from  $p$  to  $q$  such that  $K$  is locally connected at  $q$ ,  $d(x, p) < \epsilon$  and  $d(y, q) < \epsilon$ . In particular, if  $K$  is irreducible from  $p$  to  $q'$  then  $q' = q$ .*

PROOF. Let  $N_0 = M$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a  $M$ - $N_0$ -cover of mesh less than  $\min\{\epsilon, 1\}$  such that  $y \notin S^7(x, 0)$ . Let  $x \in U \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $y \in V \in \mathcal{O}$ . By Note 1 choose  $\langle U, V \rangle \subset \mathcal{O}$ , a continuum  $N_1 \subset \cup \langle U, V \rangle$  such that  $N_1 \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq N_1 \cap V$  and  $B_1 = \langle \langle U, V \rangle \rangle$ , a  $N_0$ - $N_1$ -cover from  $FB_1 \subset U$  to  $LB_1 \subset V$ . By Lemma 5 there exists a  $N_1$ - $N_2$ -cover  $B_2$  of mesh less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  embedded in  $B_1$  from  $FB_1$  to  $LB_1$  and an endpiece  $T_2$  of  $B_2$  such that  $C1(\cup T_2) \subset i(LB_1, B_1)$ , and such that if  $D$  is a  $N_2$ - $K$ -cover embedded in  $B_2$  from  $FB_2$  to  $LB_2$ , then no endpiece of  $D$  folds in  $T_2$ .

By repeated application of Lemma 5 there exist sequences of continua  $N_1, N_2, \dots$ , covers  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  and endpieces  $T_2, T_3, \dots$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ :

- (i)  $B_{i+1}$  is a  $N_i$ - $N_{i+1}$ -cover embedded in  $B_i$  from  $FB_i$  to  $LB_i$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{mesh } B_i < 1/i$ ;
- (iii)  $T_{i+1}$  is an endpiece of  $B_{i+1}$  with  $C1(\cup T_{i+1}) \subset i(LB_i, B_i)$ ;
- (iv) if  $D$  is a  $N_{i+1}$ - $K$ -cover embedded in  $B_{i+1}$  from  $FB_{i+1}$  to  $LB_{i+1}$ , then no endpiece of  $D$  folds in  $T_{i+1}$ .

Let  $K = \cap N_i$ ,  $\{p\} = \cap FB_i$  and  $\{q\} = \cap LB_i$ . Then  $K$  is a continuum. Since  $B_i = \langle FB_i, LB_i \rangle$  for each  $i$ ,  $B_i$  is an irreducible cover of every subcontinuum of  $K$  which contains both  $p$  and  $q$ . Since  $\text{mesh } B_i < 1/i$  it follows that  $K$  is an irreducible continuum from  $p$  to  $q$ .

Suppose  $K$  is not connected im kleinen at  $q$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta < \epsilon$  and such that no subcontinuum of  $K$  of diameter less than  $\delta$  contains a neighbourhood of  $q$  in  $K$ . Let  $Q$  be the component of  $q$  in  $K \cap C1(B(q, \delta/4))$ , where  $B(q, \delta/4)$  denotes the open  $\delta/4$  ball centered at  $q$ . Let  $r \in Q \setminus B(q, \delta/4)$ . Let  $n$  be an integer so that  $LB_{n-1} \subset B(q, \delta/4)$ . If for each sufficiently large integer  $i$  the maximal coherent subcollection of  $\{U \in B_i \mid C1(U) \not\subset i(LB_n, B_n)\}$  which contains  $FB_i$  also contains  $r$  in its union, then there exists a component  $N$  of  $K \setminus LB_{n+1}$  which contains both  $p$  and  $r$ . By the irreducibility of  $K$  from  $p$  to  $q$  this would imply that  $K = N \cup Q$  since  $N \cup Q$  is a continuum in  $K$  which contains  $p$  and  $q$ . Thus,  $q$  is in the interior of  $Q$  in  $K$  which contradicts the choice of  $\delta$ . Thus, for some sufficiently large  $m > n$  the maximal coherent subcollection of  $\{U \in B_m \mid C1(U) \not\subset i(LB_n, B_n)\}$  which contains  $FB_m$  does not contain  $r$  in its union. By Lemma 3 there exists a  $N_n$ - $K$ -cover  $D$  embedded in  $B_n$  from  $FB_n$  to  $LB_n$ , and an endpiece  $T$  of  $D$  such that  $T$  folds in  $T_n$ . This is a contradiction and the connectedness im kleinen of  $K$  at  $q$  is proved.

Finally, we show that  $K$  is locally connected at  $q$ . Let  $V$  be any closed connected neighbourhood of  $q$  of diameter less than  $\epsilon$  such that  $p \notin V$ . Let  $L$  be the closure of the component of  $K \setminus V$  which contains  $p$ . Then  $U = K \setminus L$  is an open set containing  $q$ . By the irreducibility of  $K$  from  $p$  to  $q$ ,  $U \subset V$  since  $V$  is connected. Let  $N$  be the

component of  $U$  which contains  $q$ . Then  $C1(N) \cap \text{Bd}(U) \neq \emptyset$  and, since  $\text{Bd}(U) \subset L$ ,  $C1(N) \cap L \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $C1(N) \setminus N \subset L$  and, by the irreducibility of  $K$ ,  $K = N \cup L$ . Hence  $N = K \setminus L = U \subset V$  is a connected open set containing  $q$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

The next corollary gives an affirmative answer to a question of Mahavier (cf. Problem 121, *University of Houston problem book*).

7. COROLLARY. *Let  $M$  be a hereditarily decomposable continuum and  $x, y \in M$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a subcontinuum  $K'$  of  $M$  such that  $K'$  is irreducible from  $x$  to  $q$  for some  $q$  with  $d(y, q) < \varepsilon$  and such that  $\{q\}$  is an end-tranche of  $K$ .*

PROOF. Assume  $M$  is irreducible from  $x$  to  $y$ . Let  $f: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a finest monotone map with  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(y) = 1$ . Choose  $K$  and  $p \in f^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}))$  and  $q \in B(y, \varepsilon) \cap f((\frac{1}{2}, 1])$  as in Theorem 6. Let  $K' = K \cup f^{-1}([0, f(p)])$ .

In [14 and 3] are given examples of hereditarily decomposable arc-like continua  $X$  so that if  $K$  is a subcontinuum of  $X$  with a degenerate tranche  $L$  then  $L$  is an end-tranche of  $K$ .

8. COROLLARY. *If  $M$  is a continuum of type  $\lambda$  which contains no indecomposable continuum of diameter  $< \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and such that each tranche of  $M$  is a tranche of cohesion, then a dense  $G_\delta$ -set of tranches of  $M$  are degenerate.*

PROOF. Let  $\Phi: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a map such that for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\Phi^{-1}(t)$  is a nowhere dense subcontinuum of  $M$ . Let  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $a < b$ . By Theorem 6, there exists a continuum  $K \subset \Phi^{-1}([a, b])$  irreducible from  $p$  to  $q$  such that  $\Phi(p) < \Phi(q)$  and  $\{q\}$  is a degenerate end-tranche of  $M$ . It also follows that if  $t \in D = \{s \in [0, 1] \mid \Phi^{-1}(s) \text{ is a tranche of continuity}\}$ , then  $\Phi^{-1}(t)$  is degenerate, and it is known (see [8, p. 202]) that  $D$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

9. COROLLARY. *If  $M$  is an irreducible hereditarily decomposable continuum such that each tranche of  $M$  is a tranche of cohesion, then a dense  $G_\delta$ -set of tranches of  $M$  is degenerate.*

For any compact metric space  $M$  we denote by  $2^M$  (respectively,  $C(M)$ ) the space of all nonempty, compact subsets (respectively, subcontinua) of  $M$  with the topology induced by the Hausdorff metric.

Let  $M$  be a continuum and let  $x \in M$ . Then  $\{x\}$  is said to be *arcwise accessible* from  $2^M \setminus C(M)$  (see [11 and 12]) provided there exists an arc  $A$  in  $2^M$  such that  $A \cap C(M) = \{x\}$ . The next corollary follows from Theorem 6 and Theorem 4.1 of [3]. It gives a positive solution to a question of Nadler (see [11, 12.19 and 12, 8.1]).

10. COROLLARY. *Let  $M$  be a hereditarily decomposable continuum. There exists a point  $x \in M$  such that  $\{x\}$  is arcwise accessible from  $2^M \setminus C(M)$ .*

In view of Corollary 8 the following question is interesting:

11. *Question.* If  $X$  is an irreducible continuum which admits a continuous monotone decomposition onto an arc, does  $X$  contain hereditarily indecomposable tranches? In particular, does Knaster's continuum in [6] contain tranches which are pseudoarcs?

## REFERENCES

1. D. P. Bellamy, *Composants of Hausdorff indecomposable continua; a mapping approach*, Pacific J. Math. **47** (1973), 303–309.
2. E. Dyer, *Irreducibility of the sum of the elements of a continuous collection*, Duke Math. J. **20** (1953), 589–592.
3. J. Grispolakis and E. D. Tymchatyn, *Irreducible continua with degenerate end-tranches and arcwise accessibility in hyperspaces*, Fund. Math. **110** (1980), 117–130.
4. G. W. Henderson, *Proof that every compact decomposable continuum which is topologically equivalent to each of its non-degenerate subcontinua is an arc*, Ann. of Math. (2) **72** (1960), 421–428.
5. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton Univ. Press., Princeton, N. J., 1941.
6. B. Knaster, *Un continu irréductible à décomposition continue en tranches*, Fund. Math. **25** (1935), 568–577.
7. J. Krasinkiewicz, *On two theorems of Dyer* (to appear).
8. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
9. W. S. Mahavier, *Upper semi-continuous decompositions of irreducible continua*, Fund. Math. **60** (1967), 53–57.
10. S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur l'existence des continus indecomposables*, Fund. Math. **26** (1935), 327–328.
11. S. B. Nadler, *Hyperspaces of sets*, Dekker, New York, 1968.
12. \_\_\_\_\_, *Arcwise accessibility in hyperspaces*, Dissertationes Math. **138** (1976), 1–33.
13. J. W. Rogers, Jr., *On mapping indecomposable continua onto certain chainable indecomposable continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 449–456.
14. E. S. Thomas, Jr., *Monotone decompositions of irreducible continua*, Rozprawy Mat. **50** (1966).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35294

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, CANADA S7N 0W0