

## ON THE DICHOTOMY PROBLEM FOR TENSOR ALGEBRAS

BY

J. BOURGAIN

ABSTRACT. Let  $I, J$  be discrete spaces and  $E \subset I \times J$ . Then either  $E$  is a  $V$ -Sidon set (in the sense of [2, §11]), or the restriction algebra  $A(E)$  is analytic. The proof is based on probabilistic methods, involving Slépian's lemma.

**1. Introduction and definitions.** A subset  $E$  of  $I \times J$  is called a  $V$ -Sidon set provided the restriction of  $l^\infty(I) \hat{\otimes} l^\infty(J)$  coincides with  $l^\infty(E)$ . It is known then that  $E$  is obtained as the finite union of "sections"  $F \subset I \times J$ , meaning that either  $\pi_1|_F$  or  $\pi_2|_F$  is one-to-one ( $\pi_1, \pi_2$  respective coordinate projections). Our purpose is to show that the algebra  $A(E)$ , obtained by restricting  $l^\infty(I) \hat{\otimes} l^\infty(J)$  to  $E$ , is either  $l^\infty(E)$  or analytic. Recall that an algebra is analytic provided that only analytic functions operate on it (see [2] for more details). In view of Malliavin's characterization of analytic algebras, it amounts to showing the following (see [2, p. 102]).

**THEOREM.** *If  $E \subset I \times J$  is not a  $V$ -Sidon set, then for some  $c > 0$*

$$\sup_{\substack{\|\phi\|_{A(E)} \leq 1 \\ \phi \text{ real}}} \|e^{it\phi}\|_{A(E)} > e^{ct}, \quad t > 0.$$

*In fact,  $c$  will be an absolute constant.*

**2. A condition for analyticity.** In this section, a criterion is explained which permits us to minorize  $\|e^{it\phi}\|_{A(E)}$ . Let  $f_z$  stand for the translate of  $f$  by  $z$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Abelian group and  $E$  be a subset of the dual group  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ . Denote by  $C_E$  the space of continuous functions with Fourier transform supported by  $E$ . Fix a positive integer and assume the existence of a function  $f$  in  $C_E$  and a sequence of points  $x_1, \dots, x_l$  in  $G$  satisfying*

$$(1) \quad f(0) = \|f\|_\infty = 1,$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} |f_{\sum_{k \in S} x_k}| \leq B \text{ pointwise on } G.$$

*( $\sum_S x_k$  refers to the group operation in  $G$ .) Then ( $c = \text{numerical}$ )*

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\substack{\|\phi\|_{A(E)} \leq 1 \\ \phi \text{ real}}} \|e^{it\phi}\|_{A(E)} \geq e^{ct} \quad \text{if } B < t < l.$$

---

Received by the editors March 30, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A55, 43A46, 43A75, 43A10.

©1986 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9947/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

PROOF. Define  $\sigma(t)$  to be the left member of (3). From the simple estimation, valid in any Banach algebra  $A$ ,

$$\left\| \prod_k (1 + u_k) \right\|_A \leq e^{\sum \|u_k\|^2} \sup_S \|e^{\sum_S u_k}\|, \quad \|u_k\| < 1/2;$$

applied to the elements

$$u_k = \frac{it}{4l} \varepsilon_k \left[ (1 - i\varepsilon'_k) \hat{\delta}_{x_k} |_E + (1 + i\varepsilon'_k) \hat{\delta}_{-x_k} |_E \right] \quad (i^2 = -1),$$

it follows that

$$(4) \quad \left\| \prod_{1 \leq k \leq l} \left[ 1 + \frac{it}{4l} \varepsilon_k \left[ (1 - i\varepsilon'_k) \hat{\delta}_{x_k} |_E + (1 + i\varepsilon'_k) \hat{\delta}_{-x_k} |_E \right] \right] \right\|_{A(E)} \leq 2e^{t^2/l} \sigma(t).$$

Here  $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}'$ ,  $\varepsilon' \in \{1, -1\}'$  will be used in an averaging argument. Let  $\{d_S | S \subset \{1, \dots, l\}\}$  be elements of the unit disc. From the  $C_E - A(E)$  norm duality and (2), the following minoration for the left member of (4) is valid ( $w_S$  refers to the usual Walsh system):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{B} \int \left| \left\langle \prod_{1 \leq k \leq l} \left[ 1 + \frac{it}{4l} \varepsilon_k(\dots) \right], \sum_S d_S w_S(\varepsilon) f_{\Sigma_S x_k} \right\rangle \right| d\varepsilon \\ & \geq \frac{1}{B} \left| \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} d_S \left( \frac{it}{4l} \right)^{|S|} \left\langle *_{k \in S} \left[ (1 - i\varepsilon'_k) \delta_{x_k} + (1 + i\varepsilon'_k) \delta_{-x_k} \right], f_{\Sigma_S x_k} \right\rangle \right|. \end{aligned}$$

For an appropriate choice of the  $d_S = d_S(\varepsilon')$ , the identity

$$\int \left\langle *_{k \in S} \left[ (1 - i\varepsilon'_k) \delta_{x_k} + (1 + i\varepsilon'_k) \delta_{-x_k} \right] \right\rangle \left( \prod_{k \in S} \frac{1 + i\varepsilon'_k}{2} \right) d\varepsilon' = \delta_{\Sigma_S x_k}$$

and integration in  $\varepsilon'$  lead to the minoration

$$\sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} B^{-1} \left( \frac{t}{2\sqrt{2}l} \right)^{|S|} \left| \left\langle f_{\Sigma_S x_k}, \delta_{\Sigma_S x_k} \right\rangle \right| = \left( 1 + \frac{t}{2\sqrt{2}l} \right)' \frac{1}{B}$$

as a consequence of (1). Hence  $\sigma(t) \geq (1/B)e^{-t^2/l} \cdot e^{ct}$ , and the result easily follows.  $\square$

REMARK. To satisfy (1), (2) is possible only if  $C_E$  contains  $l_k^\infty$ -subspaces of arbitrary large dimension  $k$  (in the Banach space sense). Hence, a natural question is the ‘‘cotype-dichotomy’’ problem (explained in [4]). This conjecture was recently solved in the affirmative (see [1]), and implies that if  $E$  is not a Sidon set, then

$$\sup_{\substack{\|\phi\|_{A(E)} \leq 1 \\ \phi \text{ real}}} \|e^{it\phi}\| > ct, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

**3. Verification of the condition in the tensor algebra case.** It remains to prove that if  $E \subset I \times J$  is not a  $V$ -Sidon set, then (1), (2) of Lemma 1 can be realized. In this case, let  $G$  be a Cantor-group  $\{1, -1\}^N \times \{1, -1\}^N$  and identify  $I$  (resp.  $J$ ) with the

Rademacher sequence  $\alpha_i(x)$  (resp.  $\beta_j(y)$ ) on the first (resp. second) factor ( $i, j = 1, l, \dots$ ). The following well-known (and easy) combinatorial lemma is applied to  $E$  (see [2, 11.8.1]).

LEMMA 2. *If  $E \subset I \times J$  is not a  $V$ -Sidon set, then for arbitrary  $K$  there are finite subsets  $I_1 \subset I$  and  $J_1 \subset J$  (say  $|I_1| \geq |J_1|$ ), and for each  $i \in I_1$  a subset  $A_i \subset J_1$ ,  $|A_i| = K$ , satisfying  $\bigcup_{i \in I_1} (\{i\} \times A_i) \subset E$ .*

With those notations, let

$$f = \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{j \in A_i} \alpha_i \otimes \beta_j.$$

Thus  $f(0) = K|I_1| = \|f\|_\infty$ . The realization of (1), (2) above will be clear from

LEMMA 3. *Let  $2^l < K^{1/4}$ . Then, as for an absolute constant  $C$ ,*

$$(5) \quad \int_G \left\| \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} |f_{\Sigma_S z_k}| \right\|_\infty dz_1 \cdots dz_l \leq CK|I_1|.$$

( $G^l$  is the  $l$ -fold product  $G \times \cdots \times G$  with normalized measure.)

PROOF. Write  $z \in G \equiv \{1, -1\}^N \times \{1, -1\}^N$  as  $z = (u, v)$ . Thus

$$f_z = \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{j \in A_i} \alpha_i(u) \beta_j(v) \alpha_i \otimes \beta_j.$$

For fixed  $(x, y) \in G$ , there are 1-bounded scalars  $\{c_S | S \subset \{1, \dots, l\}\}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_S |f_{\Sigma_S z_k}(x, y)| &= \left| \sum_{i \in I_1} \alpha_i(x) \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} c_S \alpha_i \left( \sum_S u_k \right) \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \left( \sum_S v_k \right) \beta_j(y) \right| \\ &\leq |I_1|^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{i \in I_1} \left| \sum_S c_S \alpha_i \left( \sum_S u_k \right) \left\{ \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \left( \sum_S v_k \right) \beta_j(y) \right\} \right|^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The second factor may be estimated by expanding the inner square as

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\{ \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_S \left| \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \left( \sum_S v_k \right) \cdot \beta_j(y) \right|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{S \neq S'} \left| \sum_{i \in I_1} \alpha_i \left( \sum_{S \Delta S'} u_k \right) \left\{ \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \left( \sum_S v_k \right) \beta_j(y) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \left( \sum_{S'} v_k \right) \beta_j(y) \right\} \right|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

It remains to take the supremum over  $y$ . The first and second terms will be treated separately.

First term in (6). Fix  $i \in I_1$ . Linearize the square function by considering scalars  $\{a_S | S \subset \{1, \dots, l\}\}$ ,  $\sum |a_S|^2 = 1$  so that

$$\left( \sum_S \left| \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \left( \sum_{k \in S} v_k \right) \beta_j(y) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left| \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j(y) \sum_S a_S \beta_j \left( \sum_{k \in S} v_k \right) \right|$$

$$\leq |A_i|^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{j \in A_i} \left| \sum_S a_S \beta_j \left( \sum_{k \in S} v_k \right) \right|^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

For fixed  $j \in A_i$ , expand the square. Reversing the order of summation yields the estimation

$$(7) \quad |A_i|^{1/2} \left\{ |A_i| + \sum_{S \neq S'} |a_S| |a_{S'}| \left| \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \left( \sum_{k \in S \Delta S'} v_k \right) \right| \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$\leq |A_i|^{1/2} \left\{ |A_i| + \left( \sum_{S \neq S'} \left| \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \left( \sum_{k \in S \Delta S'} v_k \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

This estimation is uniform on  $G$  and depends only on  $z_1, \dots, z_l$ . Since for  $S \neq S'$ ,  $(v_1, \dots, v_l) \mapsto \sum_{k \in S \Delta S'} v_k$  gives the Haar measure on  $\{1, -1\}^N$  as the image measure, the integration w.r.t.  $z_1, \dots, z_l$  appearing in (5) yields the estimation for (7)

$$|A_i|^{1/2} \left( |A_i| + 2^l \left\| \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j \right\|_2 \right)^{1/2} \leq K^{1/2} (K + 2^l K^{1/2})^{1/2} < 2K,$$

by hypothesis on  $l$  and  $|A_i| = K$ .

Second term in (6). Let  $\{g_i(\omega) | i \in I_1\}$  denote a sequence of independent Gaussian variables on some probability space  $\Omega$ , and let  $X_i(y) = \sum_{j \in A_i} \beta_j(y)$  be defined on  $\{1, -1\}^N$ . The expressions

$$\int \sup_y \left| \sum_{i \in I_1} \alpha_i \left( \sum_{S \Delta S'} u_k \right) X_i \left( y \sum_S v_k \right) X_i \left( y \sum_{S'} v_k \right) \right| du_1 \cdots du_l$$

are dominated by

$$(8) \quad \int \sup_{y, y' \in \{1, -1\}^N} \left| \sum_{i \in I_1} g_i(\omega) X_i(y) X_i(y') \right| d\omega.$$

It follows from the inequality

$$\left( \sum_{i \in I_1} |X_i(y) X_i(y') - X_i(y_1) X_i(y'_1)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq K \left\{ \sum_{I_1} |X_i(y) - X_i(y_1)|^2 + \sum_{I_1} |X_i(y') - X_i(y'_1)|^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

and Slépián’s comparison lemma for Gaussian processes [3] that (8) may be estimated by

$$(9) \quad CK \int \sup_{y \in \{1, -1\}^N} \left| \sum_{I_1} g_i(\omega) X_i(y) \right| d\omega.$$

Since

$$\left| \sum_{I_1} g_i(\omega) X_i(y) \right| \leq \sum_{j \in J_1} \left| \sum_{i|j \in A_i} g_i(\omega) \right|,$$

(9) is less than

$$CK \left\{ \sum_{j \in J_1} |\{i|j \in A_i\}|^{1/2} \right\} \leq CK |J_1|^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i \in I_1} |A_i| \right)^{1/2} \leq CK^{3/2} |I_1|.$$

Therefore, (6) contributes to  $C2^l K^{3/4} |I_1|^{1/2}$ . Collecting estimations, one concludes

$$\int_{G^l} \left\| \sum_S |f_{\Sigma_k \in S^z_k}| \right\|_{\infty} dz_1 \cdots dz_l \leq C |i_1|^{1/2} \left( K |I_1|^{1/2} + 2^l K^{3/4} |I_1|^{1/2} \right) < CK |i_1|$$

since  $l$  was chosen small enough. Hence (5) is proved.

**4. Further remarks.** (1) The result stated in the abstract can be generalized as follows: Let  $k$  be a positive integer,  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  discrete spaces and  $E \subset (I_1 \times \cdots \times I_k)$ . Then either  $E$  is a  $V$ -Sidon set, or the restriction algebra

$$[l^\infty(I_1) \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} l^\infty(I_k)]/E^\perp$$

is analytic. The argument presented above can indeed be adapted to the case of several factors. This adaptation, however, requires some additional work. (Notice that the role of the factors  $I$  and  $J$  in the previous computation is different.)

(2) Let  $F$  be a finite subset of the dual  $\Gamma$  of a compact abelian group  $G$ . According to [5], call the arithmetical diameter  $d(F)$  of  $F$  the smallest number  $d$  for which there exists a subset  $P$  of the unit ball of  $PM(F)$ ,  $|P| = d$ , such that

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq 2 \sup_{\mu \in P} |\langle f, \mu \rangle| \quad \text{if } f \in C_F.$$

The method presented in this note permits us to show that for  $E \subset \Gamma$  the restriction algebra  $A(E)$  is analytic as soon as

$$\overline{\lim}_k \sup_{\substack{F \subset E \\ |F|=k}} \frac{(\log |F|)^2}{\log d(F)} = \infty,$$

improving on the sufficient condition obtained in [5]. Details will appear elsewhere.

(3) The verification “at random” of the dichotomy conjecture for Sidon sets [6] is possible by using the criterion presented in §2 of this note.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain and V. D. Milman, *La dichotomie du cotype pour les espaces invariants*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **300** (1985), 263–266.
2. G. Graham and C. McGehee, *Essays in commutative harmonic analysis*, Springer, New York, 1982.
3. X. Fernique, *Regularité des trajectoires des fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 480, Springer, New York, 1975.
4. S. Kwapien and A. Pełczyński, *Absolutely summing operators and translation invariant spaces of functions on compact abelian groups*, Math. Nachr. **94** (1980), 303–340.
5. Y. Katznelson and P. Malliavin, *Un critère d'analyticité pour les algèbres de restriction*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **261** (1965), A4964–A4967.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, *Vérification statistique de la conjecture de la dichotomie sur une classe d'algèbres de restriction*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **262** (1966), A490–A492.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT, PLEINLAAN 2.F7, 1050 - BRUSSELS, BELGIUM