

REPRESENTATIONS OF HECKE ALGEBRAS

EUGENE GUTKIN

ABSTRACT. We find all operators of a certain type that satisfy the braid relations corresponding to any generalized Cartan matrix.

1. Introduction. Let \mathfrak{h} be a simple complex Lie algebra, let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra, let W be the Weyl group generated by the simple reflections s_i and let α_i be the simple roots, $i = 1, \dots, \text{rk } \mathfrak{g}$. Bernstein, Gelfand and Gelfand in [3] and, independently, Demazure in [5] introduced the operators

$$(1) \quad B_i = (1 - s_i)/\alpha_i$$

on the space of polynomials on \mathfrak{h} . In [6] Demazure wrote another set of operators

$$(2) \quad D_i = (1 - s_i)/(e^{\alpha_i} - 1)$$

which act on the space of meromorphic functions on \mathfrak{h} . These operators have the following remarkable property. If $w \in W$ and $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n}$ is a reduced decomposition then the operators $B_w = B_{i_1} \cdots B_{i_n}$ and $D_w = D_{i_1} \cdots D_{i_n}$ depend only on w and not on the choice of the decomposition of w . The defining relations of W are $s_i^2 = 1$ and $(s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$ for $i \neq j$ where m_{ij} is the order of $s_i s_j$ and $m_{ij} = 0$ if $s_i s_j$ has an infinite order. In particular we have the relations (with m_{ij} factors on each side)

$$(3) \quad s_i s_j s_i \cdots = s_j s_i s_j \cdots$$

which are called the braid relations. The property of B_i and D_i that we mentioned above is equivalent, by [4], to the fact that they satisfy the braid relations

$$(4) \quad B_i B_j B_i \cdots = B_j B_i B_j \cdots, \quad D_i D_j D_i \cdots = D_j D_i D_j \cdots.$$

The BGG operators B_i and the Demazure operators D_i have a geometric nature. They appeared (respectively) in the study of the cohomology ring and the K -ring of the flag manifold associated with \mathfrak{g} . Since [3, 5 and 6], operators satisfying braid relations arose in many other contexts. First of all, the BGG operators were generalized to the Kac-Moody algebras and used in the study of their (infinite dimensional) flag varieties (see [1, 10, 11, 13, 14]). Operators satisfying the braid relations also appeared in connection with the Bethe Ansatz for certain quantum Hamiltonians associated with Weyl groups [8]. These operators are closely related to the BGG operators [9], more precisely, they are adjoint to the operators

$$(5) \quad G_i = tB_i + s_i = t/\alpha_i - (-1 + t/\alpha_i)s_i$$

Received by the editors June 17, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 22E30; Secondary 16A64.

Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-8600350.

where t is an arbitrary complex number. Then Lusztig in his work on representation theory [15] introduced the operators (q is a parameter)

$$(6) \quad L_i = (1 - q)D_i + qs_i = \frac{1 - q}{e^{\alpha_i} - 1} - \left(-q + \frac{1 - q}{e^{\alpha_i} - 1} \right) s_i$$

and showed that they satisfy the braid relations.

The reader probably noticed that all these operators have the form

$$(7) \quad T_i = f(\alpha_i) + g(\alpha_i)s_i$$

where f and g are certain meromorphic functions. In view of these examples, it is natural to ask for which functions f and g operators (7) satisfy the braid relations of an arbitrary root system. Recently, Bressler and Evens [7] found the answer under the assumption that in the root system there are two simple roots with the 120° angle between them. In the present work we extend their result to the arbitrary root systems. The answer, roughly speaking, is that the examples above exhaust all the possibilities.

The argument of our main theorem is self-contained, hence, it provides an alternative proof of the results of [3, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 15] mentioned above. §2 contains the main result and in §3 we give a few applications of it. One of them answers a question of J. Bernstein [2].

2. Main result. We start by establishing the necessary notation. Let A be a generalized $n \times n$ Cartan matrix, denote by W the corresponding Weyl group with the set s_1, \dots, s_n of simple reflections and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the simple roots (cf. [12]). For any pair $i \neq j$ set m_{ij} equal to 2, 3, 4 or 6 if the product $a_{ij}a_{ji}$ is equal to 0, 1, 2 or 3 respectively. If $a_{ij}a_{ji} \geq 4$ set $m_{ij} = 0$. It is well known (see, e.g., [12]) that $m_{ij} > 0$ is the order of $s_i s_j$ and $m_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $s_i s_j$ has an infinite order.

The braid algebra B is generated by b_1, \dots, b_n with the defining relations

$$(8) \quad b_i b_j b_i \cdots = b_j b_i b_j \cdots$$

with m_{ij} factors on each side for every $i < j$. We say that B is “nontrivial” if $m_{ij} > 2$ for some pair $i \neq j$.

Let \mathfrak{h} be a linear space, α a linear form on \mathfrak{h} and f a meromorphic function of one complex variable. Notation $f(\alpha)$ means the function on \mathfrak{h} given by $f(\langle \alpha, x \rangle)$, $x \in \mathfrak{h}$. We denote by M the algebra generated by all such functions and call M the algebra of meromorphic functions on \mathfrak{h} . In what follows \mathfrak{h} will be the Cartan algebra ($\dim \mathfrak{h} = n$) corresponding to A . The group W naturally acts by automorphisms of M . Denote by M_W the smash product of M and W [14]. The algebra M_W is a free module over M with the basis w , $w \in W$. If $f \rightarrow w \cdot f$ denotes the action of W on M then the defining relations of M_w are $wf w^{-1} = w \cdot f$. We call elements of M_W operators because M_W is naturally isomorphic to the algebra of linear operators on M generated by the multiplication operators f and by the operators $w \in W$.

DEFINITION 1. Let f and g be meromorphic functions of one variable. We say that the pair (f, g) represents the braid relations (corresponding to A) if the operators

$$(9) \quad T_i = f(\alpha_i) + g(\alpha_i)s_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

in M_W satisfy the braid relations (8). The representation is called nontrivial if $f, g \neq 0$.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix with a nontrivial braid algebra B . A pair (f, g) gives a nontrivial representation of the braid relations if and only if one of the following two cases holds.*

(10) Case (i) $f(x) = b/x, \quad g(x) = h(x)(c + b/x).$

(11) Case (ii) $f(x) = b/(e^{ax} - 1), \quad g(x) = h(x)(c + b/(e^{ax} - 1)).$

In both cases $a \neq 0, b$ and c are arbitrary constants and h is any meromorphic function satisfying

(12)
$$h(x)h(-x) = 1.$$

In what follows we call the two cases above the BGG case and the Demazure case respectively.

PROOF. The braid relation

(13)
$$T_i T_j T_i \cdots = T_j T_i T_j \cdots$$

corresponding to a pair $i \neq j$ involves only the subsystem of the root system generated by α_i and α_j and the corresponding subgroup of the Weyl group. If $m_{ij} = 0$ there is no relation and any operator of the form (9) satisfies (13) for $m_{ij} = 2$. Therefore we can assume that our root system has rank 2, denote m_{ij} by m and consider the three possibilities: A_2 ($m = 3$) or B_2 ($m = 4$) or G_2 ($m = 6$) in the notation of [3]. We denote the simple roots by α and β where β is the longer one (if $m = 4$ or 6). We set

(14)
$$T_\alpha = f(\alpha) + g(\alpha)s_\alpha, \quad T_\beta = f(\beta) + g(\beta)s_\beta.$$

It remains to show that in each of the three cases the equation

(15)
$$T_\alpha T_\beta T_\alpha \cdots = T_\beta T_\alpha T_\beta \cdots$$

with m factors is satisfied if and only if the pair (f, g) is given by (i) or (ii) of the theorem.

Equation (15) is equivalent to a system of functional equations on f and g . We begin by observing the features of this system common to all three cases. Substituting (14) into (15) and multiplying out we obtain 2^m monomials in each side of (15). We label these monomials by the subsets $X \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ where we agree to take the gs factor on i th place if $i \in X$ and take the f factor otherwise.

Denote by $l(w)$ the length function on W and by w_0 the unique longest element, $l(w_0) = m$ which has two expressions

(16)
$$w_0 = s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha \cdots = s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta \cdots .$$

Denote by $w(L, X)$ and $w(R, X)$ the Weyl group elements obtained from w_0 by deleting from the left-hand side (LHS) and the right-hand side (RHS) of (16) the i th factors for $i \notin X$. Commute the s_α, s_β factors in (15) to the right using the relations of M_W . Then the monomial labelled by X in the LHS of (15) becomes $g(\gamma_1) \cdots g(\gamma_k) f(\gamma_{k+1}) \cdots f(\gamma_m) w(L, X)$ and in RHS we get

$$g(\delta_1) \cdots g(\delta_k) f(\delta_{k+1}) \cdots f(\delta_m) w(R, X)$$

where $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$ are some roots and $k = |X|$.

Collecting together the terms in (15) with $w(L, X) = w(R, Y) = w$ and using that there are no relations between w 's we obtain that (15) is equivalent to $2m = |W|$ functional equations E_w on f and g . Each equation E_w is homogeneous of degree m in f and g .

Apart from $l(1) = 0$ and $l(w_0) = m$ for every $1 \leq l \leq m - 1$ there are two elements in W of length l and the involution $\bar{s}_\alpha = s_\beta$ interchanges them. Equations E_w and $E_{\bar{w}}$ are equivalent which leaves us with the system of $m + 1$ equations E_w , one for each value of $l = l(w)$, $0 \leq l \leq m$. To avoid confusing w with \bar{w} we consider the elements w which start with s_α : $w = 1, s_\alpha, s_\alpha s_\beta, \dots$

With a reduced word $w = s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha \dots$ of length l one associates the sequence $\gamma_1 = \alpha, \gamma_2 = s_\alpha \beta, \gamma_3 = s_\alpha s_\beta \alpha, \dots, \gamma_l$ of roots. It is well known (see e.g. [4]) that the set $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l\} = \Delta_+ \cap w\Delta_-$, thus it depends only on w . Our analysis of (15) shows that every monomial in E_w contains the factor $\prod_{i=1}^{l(w)} g(\gamma_i)$ where γ_i runs through $\Delta_+ \cap w\Delta_-$. Since $g \neq 0$ we divide E_w by this common factor and denote the obtained equation by E_w again. The new E_w is homogeneous in f and g of degree $m - l(w)$. The equations of degree zero and one are trivial. They are $1 = 1$ and $f(\beta) = f(\beta)$ respectively. This leaves us with a system of $m - 1$ equations of degrees $2, 3, \dots, m$ which we denote from now on by E_2, \dots, E_m .

The g -factors come into our equations in pairs $g(\gamma)g(-\gamma)$ due to the phenomenon of collapsing in the words of W . For instance, let $m = 4$ and consider the monomial in the LHS of (15) obtained by deleting the second s_α in $s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha)s_\alpha g(\beta)s_\beta f(\alpha)g(\beta)s_\beta &= g(\alpha)s_\alpha f(s_\beta \alpha)g(\beta)g(-\beta)s_\beta^2 \\ &= g(\alpha)f(s_\alpha s_\beta \alpha)g(s_\alpha \beta)g(-s_\alpha \beta)s_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and after dividing $g(\alpha)s_\alpha$ out we obtain the term $f(s_\alpha s_\beta \alpha)g(s_\alpha \beta)g(-s_\alpha \beta)$ in E_3 . Thus, the g -part of this monomial has the predicted form with $\gamma = s_\alpha \beta$. It is clear from the preceding example that each time we get a factor $g(\gamma)g(-\gamma)$ we also get an f -factor. Therefore, E_2 has no g -factors, it is a quadratic equation in f .

At this point we turn to the case by case analysis of the three systems of functional equations starting with the most difficult case $m = 6$. Reflection about the midpoint interchanges the words $s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta$ and $s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha$. As a consequence of this, equation E_6 is trivial which leaves us with E_2, \dots, E_5 written below. They are

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad & f(\alpha)f(\beta) + f(3\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha) + f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-3\alpha - \beta) \\ & + f(3\alpha + 2\beta)f(-2\alpha - \beta) + f(\alpha + \beta)f(-3\alpha - 2\beta) \\ & = f(\beta)f(\alpha + \beta), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad & f^2(3\alpha + 2\beta)f(-2\alpha - \beta) + f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-3\alpha - \beta)f(3\alpha + 2\beta) \\ & + f(\alpha)f(\beta)f(3\alpha + 2\beta) \\ & + f(3\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha)f(3\alpha + 2\beta) + f(\alpha + \beta)g(3\alpha + 2\beta)g(-3\alpha - 2\beta) \\ & = f(\beta)f(3\alpha + 2\beta)f(-2\alpha - \beta) + f(\beta)f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-3\alpha - \beta) \\ & + f(\beta)f(3\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha) + f^2(\beta)f(\alpha) + f(\alpha + \beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (19) \quad & f^2(2\alpha + \beta)f^2(-3\alpha - \beta) + f(\alpha)f(\beta)f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-3\alpha - \beta) \\
 & + f^2(\alpha)f^2(\beta) + f^2(3\alpha + \beta)f^2(-\alpha) + f(\alpha)f(-\alpha)f(\beta)f(3\alpha + \beta) \\
 & + f(3\alpha + \beta)f(-3\alpha - \beta)f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha) \\
 & + f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha)g(3\alpha + \beta)g(-3\alpha - \beta) \\
 & + f(3\alpha + 2\beta)f(-3\alpha - \beta)g(2\alpha + \beta)g(-2\alpha - \beta) \\
 & + f(3\alpha + \beta)f(\beta)g(\alpha)g(-\alpha) + f(\alpha)f(\alpha + \beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta) \\
 = & f(\beta)f^2(2\alpha + \beta)f(-3\alpha - \beta) + f(\alpha)f^2(\beta)f(2\alpha + \beta) \\
 & + f(\beta)f(2\alpha + \beta)f(3\alpha + \beta)f(-\beta) + f(\beta)f(3\alpha + \beta)g(2\alpha + \beta)g(-2\alpha - \beta) \\
 & + f(\alpha + \beta)f(2\alpha + \beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (20) \quad & f^2(-\alpha)f^3(3\alpha + \beta) + f^2(3\alpha + \beta)f(\beta)g(\alpha)g(-\alpha) \\
 & + f^2(2\alpha + \beta)f(-3\alpha - \beta)g(3\alpha + \beta)g(-3\alpha - \beta) \\
 & + f(3\alpha + 2\beta)g(2\alpha + \beta)g(-2\alpha - \beta)g(3\alpha + \beta)g(-3\alpha - \beta) \\
 & + f(\alpha)f(-\alpha)f(\beta)f^2(3\alpha + \beta) + f(\alpha)f(\beta)f(2\alpha + \beta)g(3\alpha + \beta)g(-3\alpha - \beta) \\
 & + f^2(\alpha)f^2(\beta)f(3\alpha + \beta) + f(\alpha)f(\alpha + \beta)f(3\alpha + \beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta) \\
 & + 2f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha)f(3\alpha + \beta)g(3\alpha + \beta)g(-3\alpha - \beta) \\
 = & f^2(\alpha)f^3(\beta) + f^2(\alpha + \beta)f(-\beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta) \\
 & + f^2(\beta)f(3\alpha + \beta)g(\alpha)g(-\alpha) + 2f(\alpha)f(\beta)f(\alpha + \beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta) \\
 & + f(3\alpha + 2\beta)g(\alpha + \beta)g(-\alpha - \beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta) \\
 & + f(\alpha)f^2(\beta)f(3\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha) + f(\beta)f^2(-\alpha)f^2(3\alpha + \beta) \\
 & + f(\alpha + \beta)f(3\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha)g(\beta)g(-\beta) \\
 & + f(\beta)f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha)g(3\alpha + \beta)g(-3\alpha - \beta).
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation (17) is crucial. Assuming that f is regular at zero and $f \neq 0$ we write $f(x) = ax^n + O(x^{n+1})$, $a \neq 0$. Substituting into (17) we get

$$P_{2n}(\alpha, \beta) + o(\alpha^n, \beta^n) = 0$$

where P_{2n} is a nonzero polynomial of degree $2n$ in α and β . This contradiction shows that either $f = 0$ or f has a pole at zero. We consider the case $f \neq 0$ and we set $f = F^{-1}$ where $F(0) = 0$. Setting $\alpha + \beta = x$, $\alpha = y$ we obtain from (17) after elementary transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad \frac{F(y) - F(x)}{F(x - y)} = & \frac{F(x)F(y)}{F(x + 2y)F(-y)} + \frac{F(y)}{F(-2x - y)} \\
 & + \frac{F(x)F(y)}{F(x + y)F(-x - 2y)} + \frac{F(x)F(y)}{F(2x + y)F(-x - y)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (21) with respect to x and setting $x = 0$ we obtain

$$(22) \quad -F'(0) \left[\frac{2}{F(-y)} + \frac{F(y)}{F(-y)F(2y)} + \frac{1}{F(-2y)} \right] = \frac{3F'(-y)F(y)}{F^2(-y)}.$$

If $F'(0) = 0$, then, by (22), $F' = 0$, i.e. F is constant. Since $F(0) = 0$, $F = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus, $F'(0) \neq 0$ and, normalizing, we can assume that $F'(0) = 1$. Equation (22) simplifies to

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} &3F'(x)F(-x)F(2x)F(-2x) + 2F(x)F(2x)F(-2x) \\ &+ F(x)F(-x)F(2x) - F^2(x)F(-2x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $F(x) = xG(x)$ we replace (23) with

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} &6xG'(x)G(-x)G(2x)G(-2x) + 6G(x)G(-x)G(2x)G(-2x) \\ &- 4G(x)G(2x)G(-2x) - G(x)G(-x)G(2x) + G^2(x)G(-2x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the condition $G(0) = 1$. We look for solutions of (24) in the form

$$(25) \quad G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n, \quad a_0 = 1.$$

Substituting (25) into (24) we obtain the following sequence of equations on a_n .

$$(26) \quad [2^n(1 + (-1)^n 3) + 3 + (-1)^n 5 + 6n]a_n = \varphi_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

where φ_n is a polynomial without constant term and $\varphi_1 = 0$. For $n = 1$, (26) becomes $0 \cdot a_1 = 0$, i.e. a_1 is arbitrary. For even n the coefficient in (26) is positive, for odd n it is equal to $-2(2^n + 1 - 3n)$. It is elementary to check that $n = 1$ is the only integer solution of $2^n + 1 - 3n = 0$. Hence, a formal power series solution of (24) is completely determined by a_1 and $G(x) = 1$ if $a_1 = 0$. Therefore, a solution F of (23), if it exists, is determined by $F''(0) = a$ and $F(x) = x$ if $a = 0$. It is straightforward to check that $F(x) = x$ and for $a \neq 0$ the functions $F(x) = a^{-1}(e^{ax} - 1)$ satisfy (23), hence they are the only solutions of (23). Checking by substitution that $f(x) = b/x$ and $f(x) = b(e^{ax} - 1)^{-1}$ satisfy (17) we conclude that they are the only solutions.

To solve (18) we observe that 8 out of 10 terms of (18) do not contain the unknown function g . Switching these 8 terms to the LHS of the equation and setting f to be bx^{-1} or $b(e^{ax} - 1)^{-1}$ we obtain by a straightforward computation the identity

$$(27) \quad \text{LHS} = f(\alpha + \beta)[f(\beta)f(-\beta) - f(3\alpha + 2\beta)f(-3\alpha - 2\beta)].$$

Therefore, (18) becomes

$$(28) \quad g(\beta)g(-\beta) - g(3\alpha + 2\beta)g(-3\alpha - 2\beta) = f(\beta)f(-\beta) - f(3\alpha + 2\beta)f(-3\alpha - 2\beta)$$

where f is as above. Equation (28) is equivalent to

$$(29) \quad g(x)g(-x) = f(x)f(-x) + d$$

where d is an arbitrary constant and using that

$$(30) \quad f(x) + f(-x) = 0$$

in the BGG case and

$$(31) \quad f(x) + f(-x) = -b$$

in the Demazure case we rewrite (29) as

$$(32) \quad g(x)g(-x) = (c + f(x))(c + f(-x))$$

where $c^2 = d$ in the BGG case, $c^2 - bc = d$ in the Demazure case. By (32), the ratio $h(x) = g(x)[c + f(x)]^{-1}$ satisfies

$$(33) \quad h(x)h(-x) = 1.$$

The argument above can be obviously reversed which shows that the general solution (f, g) of (17) and (18) (provided $f, g \neq 0$) is given by (i) and (ii) of the theorem. Substituting (i) and (ii) into (19) and (20) we obtain that they are also satisfied. This finishes the $m = 6$ case.

In the case $m = 4$ the equation E_4 is trivial just like in the previous case and the remaining equations E_2 and E_3 are

$$(34) \quad f(\alpha)f(\beta) + f(\alpha + \beta)f(-2\alpha - \beta) + f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-\beta) = f(\beta)f(\alpha + \beta)$$

and

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} f^2(2\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha) + f(\alpha)f(\beta)f(2\alpha + \beta) + f(\alpha + \beta)g(2\alpha + \beta)g(-2\alpha - \beta) \\ = f^2(\beta)f(\alpha) + f(\beta)f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha) + f(\alpha + \beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta). \end{aligned}$$

The same argument as before shows that if $f \neq 0$ in (34) then f has a pole at zero and we set $f = F^{-1}$, $F(0) = 0$. Setting $x = \alpha + \beta$, $y = \alpha$ and multiplying by $F(x)F(y)$ we transform (34) to

$$(36) \quad \frac{F(y) - F(x)}{F(x - y)} = \frac{F(y)}{F(-x - y)} + \frac{F(x)F(y)}{F(-y)F(x + y)}.$$

Differentiating (36) with respect to x and setting $x = 0$ we obtain

$$(37) \quad -\frac{2F'(0)}{F(-y)} = \frac{2F'(-y)F(y)}{F^2(-y)}.$$

As before, $F'(0) = 0$ leads to $F = 0$ which is impossible, hence $F'(0) \neq 0$ and normalizing $F'(0) = 1$ we get

$$(38) \quad F'(y)F(-y) + F(y) = 0.$$

The same argument as in the previous case shows that F is uniquely determined by $F''(0) = a$. A direct computation shows that $F(y) = y$ and $F(y) = a^{-1}(e^{ay} - 1)$ satisfy not only (38) but also (36). Hence the BGG and the Demazure functions are the only solutions of (34). The same argument as in the $m = 6$ case shows that (35) reduces to

$$(39) \quad g(\beta)g(-\beta) - g(2\alpha + \beta)g(-2\alpha - \beta) = f(\beta)f(-\beta) - f(2\alpha + \beta)f(-2\alpha - \beta)$$

which, as before, implies (32) and (33). Thus, assuming $f \neq 0, g \neq 0$, formulas (10) and (11) give the only solutions of the braid relations in the $m = 4$ case.

The case $m = 3$ is done in [7]. We only write the equations here:

$$(40) \quad f(\alpha)f(\beta) + f(\alpha + \beta)f(-\alpha) = f(\alpha + \beta)f(\beta)$$

and

$$(41) \quad f^2(\alpha)f(\beta) + f(\alpha + \beta)g(\alpha)g(-\alpha) = f(\alpha)f^2(\beta) + f(\alpha + \beta)g(\beta)g(-\beta)$$

and leave it to the reader to check that the previous argument applies once again. The theorem is proved.

REMARK. The "trivial" solutions with $f = 0$ or $g = 0$ are easy to find. For instance, if $f = 0$ then all the equations E_2, \dots, E_m become trivial, hence g is arbitrary.

3. Applications. Theorem 1 gives the general form of representations of braid relations. The reader can easily find which values of the parameters (a, b, c, h) correspond to the operators B_i, D_i, G_i and L_i of the introduction. Actually, it is possible that different sets of parameters yield the same representation.

PROPOSITION 1. (i) *Two sets of parameters $(b_1, c_1, h_1) \neq (b_2, c_2, h_2)$ give the same BGG type representation of braid relations if and only if $b_1 = b_2, c_2 = -c_1$ and*

$$h_2 = h_1 \frac{c_1 x + b_1}{c_1 x - b_1}.$$

(ii) *In the Demazure case different parameters (a, b, c, h) yield different representations of braid relations.*

We leave the proof of Proposition 1 to the reader. Recall that the Hecke algebra H_q (see e.g. [15]) corresponding to a Coxeter group W is the braid algebra B with the additional relations

$$(42) \quad (b_i - q)(b_i + 1) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is clear that $H_q = H_{1/q}$ and that H_1 is the group algebra of W . The nil Hecke algebra R [14] is obtained from the braid algebra B by adding relations

$$(43) \quad b_i^2 = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

THEOREM 2. (i) *Let $T_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, be a nontrivial BGG type representation of braid relations with parameters (b, c, h) . The algebra generated by the operators T_i is isomorphic to H_1 for $c \neq 0$ and to R if $c = 0$.*

(ii) *Let $T_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, be a nontrivial Demazure type representation with parameters (a, b, c, h) . The algebra generated by T_i is the Hecke algebra H_q with $q = (b - c)/c$ if $c \neq 0$ and H_0 if $c = 0$.*

The proof is straightforward and we leave it to the reader.

It is often important to restrict the operators T_i of a braid representation to natural subspaces of M . Such are the algebras H, P and Q of holomorphic, polynomial and rational functions on \mathfrak{h} . Slightly modifying Definition 2.2 of [9] we give the following.

DEFINITION 2. Assume the previous notation and let $C_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, be a set of operators on M preserving a natural subspace \mathcal{F} . We say that the operators C_i form a calculus on \mathcal{F} if for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$, any $w \in W$ and any decomposition $w = s_1 \cdots s_n$ the operator

$$(44) \quad C(w, t) = (s_1 + tC_1) \cdots (s_n + tC_n)$$

depends only on w (not on the decomposition) and for any t the correspondence $w \rightarrow C(w, t)$ is a representation of W .

THEOREM 3. *Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix with a nontrivial braid algebra B and let $f \neq 0, g \neq 0$ be a pair of meromorphic functions. The operators*

$$(45) \quad C_i = f(\alpha_i) + g(\alpha_i)s_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

form a calculus (on M) if and only if

$$(46) \quad C_i = b(1/\alpha_i - (1/\alpha_i)s_i)$$

or

$$(47) \quad C_i = b(1/\alpha_i + (1/\alpha_i)s_i).$$

Equation (46) gives the only calculus on P (the so-called BGG calculus [9]).

PROOF. If operators C_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, form a calculus, then, by expanding (44) in powers of t , we see that C_i satisfy the braid relations, thus Theorem 1 applies. Equation $1 = (s_i + tC_i)^2 = s_i^2 + t(s_iC_i + C_i s_i) + t^2 C_i^2$ shows that $C_i^2 = 0$, hence, by Theorem 2, (f, g) belongs to the BGG type with parameters $(b, 0, h)$. Normalizing $b = 1$ we have $s_i + tC_i = t/\alpha_i + h(\alpha_i)(h(\alpha_i)^{-1} + t/\alpha_i)s_i$ which, by (10), gives $h = \text{const}$ and, in virtue of (12), $h = \pm 1$. If $h = -1$ the operators $C_i = \alpha_i^{-1}(1 - s_i)$ preserve the space P , and if $h = 1$ the operators $C_i = \alpha_i^{-1}(1 + s_i)$ do not preserve P . Using Theorem 1, it is straightforward to check that in both cases C_i form a calculus (for $h = 1$ it follows from [9]).

Theorem 3 answers a question of J. Bernstein [2]. In the course of its proof we have also proved the following.

COROLLARY 1. Let W be a Weyl group with a nontrivial braid algebra, let $f, g \neq 0$ be meromorphic functions and define the operators T_i by (7), $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $s_i \rightarrow T_i$ is a representation of W if and only if either

- (i) BGG case: f and g are given by (10) with $c = \pm 1$, b and h arbitrary; or
- (ii) Demazure case: f and g are given by (11) with $b = 0$, $c = \pm 1$, h and $a \neq 0$ arbitrary.

REFERENCES

1. A. Arabia, *Cohomologie T-equivariante de G/B pour un groupe G de Kac-Moody*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **302** (1986), 631–634.
2. J. Bernstein, Letter, 1986.
3. J. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand and S. I. Gelfand, *Schubert cells and the cohomology of spaces G/P* , Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **28** (1973), 1–26.
4. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapitres 4, 5 et 6, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
5. M. Demazure, *Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 287–301.
6. —, *Désingularization des variétés de Schubert généralisées*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **7** (1974), 53–88.
7. S. Evens and P. Bressler, *On certain Hecke rings*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **84** (1987), 624–625.
8. E. Gutkin, *Integrable systems with delta-potential*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), 1–21.
9. —, *Operator calculi associated with reflection groups*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 1–18.
10. —, *Schubert calculus on flag varieties of Kac-Moody groups*, Algebras, Groups and Geom. **3** (1986), 27–59.
11. E. Gutkin and P. Slodowy, *Cohomologie des variétés de drapeaux infinies*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **296** (1983), 625–627.
12. V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Progress in Math., Vol. 44, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1983.
13. V. Kac and D. Peterson, *Cohomology of infinite dimensional groups and their flag varieties* (to appear).
14. B. Kostant and S. Kumar, *The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G* , Adv. in Math. **62** (1986), 187–237.
15. G. Lusztig, *Equivariant K -theory and representations of Hecke algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1985), 337–342.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90089-1113