# WEIGHTED INEQUALITIES FOR ONE-SIDED MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS

## F. J. MARTÍN-REYES, P. ORTEGA SALVADOR, AND A. DE LA TORRE

ABSTRACT. Let  $M_g^+$  be the maximal operator defined by

$$M_g^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \left( \int_x^{x+h} |f(t)| g(t) dt \right) \left( \int_x^{x+h} g(t) dt \right)^{-1},$$

where g is a positive locally integrable function on  $\mathbf{R}$ . We characterize the pairs of nonnegative functions (u,v) for which  $M_g^+$  applies  $L^p(v)$  in  $L^p(u)$  or in weak- $L^p(u)$ . Our results generalize Sawyer's (case g=1) but our proofs are different and we do not use Hardy's inequalities, which makes the proofs of the inequalities self-contained.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we will study the operator  $M_g^+$  acting on measurable real functions on **R** defined by

(1.1) 
$$M_g^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \int_x^{x+h} |f(t)| g(t) dt \left( \int_x^{x+h} g(t) dt \right)^{-1},$$

where g is a locally integrable and positive function. If g = 1 we obtain the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator which has been studied by Sawyer [7].

We will characterize the pairs of weights (u, v) such that  $M_g^+$  is of weak and strong type (p, p) with respect to the measures vdx and udx. Our results include Sawyer's as particular cases, but with different proofs. The proof of the theorem about the weak type (p, p) (p > 1) is adapted from [1]. On the other hand, the proof of the theorem about the strong type (p, p) is simpler than the corresponding one in [7] (our proof follows the pattern of the proof in [6]) and besides we do not use Hardy's inequalities which makes the proofs of the inequalities self-contained. We also include the weak type (1,1) that is not

Received by the editors December 1, 1987.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 42B25.

Key words and phrases. One-sided maximal functions, weighted inequalities, weights.

The research of the first and third author has been supported by C.A.I.C.Y.T. Grant (PB85-0434).

studied in [7]. Finally we give several results about the good weights for  $M_{\rho}^{+}$ such as relations with Muckenhoupt's classes, factorization, and extrapolation.

## 2. Notation and main results

Throughout this paper, g will be a positive locally integrable function and C a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence. If p > 1, then its conjugate exponent will be denoted by p', and for a Lebesgue measurable set A,  $\chi_A$  will be its characteristic function and |A| its measure.

We will say that a pair of nonnegative functions (u, v) satisfies condition  $A_p^+(g)$ , p > 1, if there exists a constant C > 0 such that for every y, x, bwith v < x < b,

(2.1) 
$$\int_{y}^{x} u \left( \int_{x}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p-1} \leq C \left( \int_{y}^{b} g \right)^{p},$$

where  $\sigma = v^{-1/p-1}$  (as usual, we consider  $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ ). Condition  $A_1^+(g)$  is given by

(2.2) 
$$M_g(g^{-1}u) \le Cg^{-1}v$$
 a.e.,

where  $M_g^-$  is the left maximal operator defined in the obvious way.

A pair of nonnegative functions (u, v) satisfies condition  $S_p^+(g)$ , p > 1, if there exists a constant C > 0 such that for every interval I = (a, b) with  $\int_{(-\infty,a)} u > 0,$ 

(2.3) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} (M_{g}^{+}(\chi_{I}g^{1/p-1}\sigma))^{p} u \leq C \int_{a}^{b} g^{p'}\sigma < \infty.$$

Our main results are the following three theorems.

**Theorem 1.**  $M_g^+$  is of weak type (p, p), p > 1, with respect to the measures vdx and udx if and only if (u, v) satisfies  $A_n^+(g)$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $M_p^+$  is of strong type (p, p),  $p \ge 1$ , from  $L^p(v)$  to  $L^p(u)$  if and only if (u, v) satisfies  $S_n^+(g)$ .

**Theorem 3.** If u = v and p > 1,  $A_p^+(g)$  and  $S_p^+(g)$  are equivalent conditions, that is, the weak type (p, p) is equivalent to the strong type (p, p).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1 for p=1

We will need two lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** Let w be a positive increasing function defined on I = [a, b] (i.e.,  $s \le t$  implies  $w(s) \le w(t)$ . Let f be a positive function on I. Suppose for some positive number λ

$$\int_{t}^{b} g f \ge \lambda \int_{t}^{b} g \quad \text{for every } t \in I.$$

Then  $\lambda \int_a^b gw \leq \int_a^b gfw$ .

Proof of Lemma 1. Let B > 1. Let

$$E = \left\{ t \in [a, b] : \lambda \int_{a}^{b} gw \right.$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{a}^{t} gw + Bw(t) \left[ \lambda \int_{t}^{b} g - \int_{t}^{b} fg \right] + B \int_{t}^{b} fgw \right\}.$$

Let  $\tau = \inf E$  (E is nonempty). We claim that  $\tau = a$ . If  $a < \tau$ , let  $\eta \in (a, \tau)$ such that  $Bw(\eta) \ge \operatorname{ess\,sup}\{w(t): a < t \le \tau\}$ . We will prove that  $\eta \in E$ , which will contradict that  $\tau = \inf E$ . Since  $\tau \in E$  we have

$$\lambda \int_a^b gw \leq \lambda \int_a^\tau gw + Bw(\tau) \left[\lambda \int_\tau^b g - \int_\tau^b fg \right] + B\int_\tau^b fgw.$$

Now, the fact that w is increasing, the assumptions of the lemma and  $\eta < \tau$ give

$$\lambda \int_{a}^{b} gw \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{\eta} gw + \lambda \int_{\eta}^{\tau} gw + Bw(\eta) \left[\lambda \int_{\tau}^{b} g - \int_{\tau}^{b} fg\right] + B \int_{\eta}^{b} fgw - B \int_{\eta}^{\tau} fgw.$$

If we use again that w is increasing and the election of  $\eta$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lambda \int_{a}^{b} gw & \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{\eta} gw + Bw(\eta) \left[ \lambda \int_{\tau}^{b} g - \int_{\tau}^{b} fg \right] \\ & + B \int_{\eta}^{b} fgw + Bw(\eta) \left[ \lambda \int_{\eta}^{\tau} g - \int_{\eta}^{\tau} fg \right] \\ & = \lambda \int_{a}^{\eta} gw + Bw(\eta) \left[ \lambda \int_{\eta}^{b} g - \int_{\eta}^{b} fg \right] + B \int_{\eta}^{b} fgw. \end{split}$$

This means that  $\eta \in E$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $\tau = a$  and then  $a \in E$ , that is

$$\lambda \int_a^b gw \le Bw(a) \left[\lambda \int_a^b g - \int_a^b fg\right] + B \int_a^b fgw.$$

Since the expression in brackets is nonpositive, we obtain  $\lambda \int_a^b gw \leq B \int_a^b fgw$ . Letting B tend to 1, we have the result.

**Lemma 2.** If (u, v) satisfies  $A_1^+(g)$  and [a, b] is an interval, then there exists an increasing function w on [a, b] such that

(i) 
$$w(s) \le Cg^{-1}(s)v(s)$$
 a.e.  $s \in [a, b]$ .  
(ii)  $\int_a^b u \le \int_a^b gw$ .

(ii) 
$$\int_a^b u \le \int_a^b gw$$

*Proof of Lemma* 2. Let  $G(y) = M_g^-(g^{-1}u\chi_{[a,b]})(y)$ . The function G is lower semicontinuous and finite a.e. by  $A_1^+(g)$ .

Let  $w(x) = \min_{x \le y \le b} G(y)$ . It is obvious that w is increasing and verifies (i). To see (ii), let 0 < B < 1 and

$$A = \left\{ t \in [a, b] \colon \int_{y}^{b} gw \ge B \int_{y}^{b} u \text{ for every } y \in [t, b] \right\}.$$

It is clear that A is a closed interval  $[\tau, b]$ . We will prove that  $\tau = a$ .

Suppose  $\tau > a$ . Since G is lower semicontinuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $G(x) \ge BG(\tau)$  if  $x \in [\tau - \delta, \tau)$ . For such an x,

$$w(x) = \min_{x \le y \le b} G(y) = \min \left\{ \min_{x \le y \le \tau} G(y), \min_{\tau \le y \le b} G(y) \right\}$$
  
> \text{min} \{ BG(\tau), w(\tau) \} > Bw(\tau).

By the definition of w, there exists  $\gamma$  with  $\tau \leq \gamma \leq b$  such that  $w(\tau) = G(\gamma)$ . For every  $x \in [\tau, \gamma]$ ,  $w(x) = w(\tau) = G(\gamma)$ , and for every  $x \in [\tau - \delta, \tau)$ ,  $w(x) \geq Bw(\tau) = BG(\gamma)$ . Therefore, if  $x \in [\tau - \delta, \gamma]$  then  $w(x) \geq BG(\gamma)$ . Hence

$$\int_{\gamma}^{\gamma} gw \ge BG(\gamma) \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma} g \ge B \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma} u \quad \text{for every } x \in [\tau - \delta, \gamma].$$

This means that  $\tau - \delta \in A$ , which contradicts that  $\tau$  is the infimum of A. Therefore  $\tau = a$  and then  $\int_a^b gw \ge B \int_a^b u$ . Letting B tend to 1 the proof is finished.

Now, it is easy to prove that  $A_1^+(g)$  is sufficient for the weak (1,1) inequality. Let f be a positive function with support bounded from above, and let  $\lambda$ , N > 0. Let  $O_{\lambda,N} = (-N,\infty) \cap \{x \colon M_g^+ f(x) > \lambda\}$ .  $O_{\lambda,N}$  is a bounded open set and therefore there exists a sequence of maximal pairwise disjoint finite intervals  $\{(a_j,b_j)\}$  such that  $O_{\lambda,N} = \bigcup (a_j,b_j)$  and  $\int_x^{b_j} fg \geq \lambda \int_x^{b_j} g$  for every  $x \in (a_j,b_j)$ . For each j, by Lemma 2, there exists an increasing function  $w_j$  on  $[a_j,b_j]$  such that

(3.1) 
$$w_j(t) \le Cg^{-1}(t)v(t)$$
 a.e.  $t \in [a_j, b_j]$ 

and

If we apply Lemma 1 to each  $w_i$ , we obtain

(3.3) 
$$\lambda \int_{a_i}^{b_j} g w_j \le \int_{a_j}^{b_j} g f w_j.$$

Now (3.2), (3.3), and (3.1) give

$$\int_{O_{\lambda,N}} u = \sum_{j} \int_{a_{j}}^{b_{j}} u \leq \sum_{j} \int_{a_{j}}^{b_{j}} g w_{j}$$

$$\leq \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j} \int_{a_{j}}^{b_{j}} g f w_{j} \leq C \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j} \int_{a_{j}}^{b_{j}} f v = C \lambda^{-1} \int_{O_{\lambda,N}} f v.$$

Letting N tend to infinity we obtain  $\int_{\{x; M^+_{\alpha} f(x) > \lambda\}} u \le C \lambda^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f v$ .

Conversely, let us suppose that  $M_g^+$  is of weak type (1,1) with respect to the measures vdx and udx. For every natural number N we consider the set  $E_N = \{x \colon g^{-1}(x)v(x) \le N\}$  and the function  $v_N = v\chi_{E_N}$ . Let  $F_N$  and  $H_N$  be the Lebesgue sets of  $g^{-1}v_N$  and  $\chi_{E_N}$  respectively. It is clear that if  $F = \bigcap_N F_N \cap H_N$  then  $|\mathbf{R} - F| = 0$ . Let x be in F, and let  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\int_{x-\delta}^{x+\varepsilon} g \le 2 \int_{x-\delta}^x g$ . Now consider N with  $g^{-1}(x)v(x) \le N$ . If  $f_N = g^{-1}\chi_{E_N\cap(x_+,x+\varepsilon)}$  and  $y \in (x-\delta,x)$  then

$$M_g^+ f_N(y) \ge \int_x^{x+\varepsilon} \chi_{E_N} \left(2 \int_{x-\delta}^x g\right)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, by the weak type inequality,

$$\int_{x-\delta}^{x} u \le 2C \left( \int_{x-\delta}^{x} g \right) \left( \int_{x}^{x+\varepsilon} g^{-1} v_{N} \right) \left( \int_{x}^{x+\varepsilon} \chi_{E_{N}} \right)^{-1}.$$

If we let  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero and then N to infinity we get

$$\int_{x-\delta}^{x} u \le 2C \left( \int_{x-\delta}^{x} g \right) \left( g^{-1} v \right) (x).$$

Since  $\delta$  is an arbitrary positive number we obtain  $M_g^-u(x) \leq 2C(g^{-1}v)(x)$  for all x in F and thus for almost every x in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

# 4. Proof of Theorem 1 for p > 1

Suppose that (u, v) satisfies  $A_p^+(g)$  and  $\int_{(-\infty, b)} g = \infty$  for every b in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then if  $y \le x \le b$ 

$$\left(\int_{y}^{x} u\right) \left(\int_{x}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma\right)^{p-1} \leq C \left(\int_{y}^{b} g\right)^{p},$$

with C independent of x, y, and b. Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Multiplying both sides of (4.1) by  $g(y)(\int_y^b g)^{-p-\alpha-1}$  and integrating with respect to y on  $(-\infty, x)$  we get

$$(4.2) \qquad \left(\int_{x}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma\right)^{p-1} \int_{-\infty}^{x} g(y) \left(\int_{y}^{x} u\right) \left(\int_{y}^{b} g\right)^{-p-\alpha-1} dy$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{x} g(y) \left(\int_{y}^{b} g\right)^{-\alpha-1} dy$$

for every x. Computing the right-hand side of (4.2), we obtain (4.3)

$$\left(\int_{x}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma\right)^{p-1} \int_{-\infty}^{x} g(y) \left(\int_{y}^{x} u\right) \left(\int_{y}^{b} g\right)^{-p-\alpha-1} dy \leq C\alpha^{-1} \left(\int_{x}^{b} g\right)^{-\alpha}.$$

**Besides** 

$$\int_{-\infty}^{x} g(y) \left( \int_{y}^{x} u(t) dt \right) \left( \int_{y}^{b} g \right)^{-p-\alpha-1} dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(t) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} g(y) \left( \int_{y}^{b} g \right)^{-p-\alpha-1} dy \right) dt$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(t) (p+\alpha)^{-1} \left( \int_{t}^{b} g \right)^{-p-\alpha} dt.$$

(4.3) and (4.4) give

$$(4.5) \quad \left(\int_x^b g^{p'}\sigma\right)^{p-1} \int_{-\infty}^x u(t) \left(\int_t^b g\right)^{-p-\alpha} dt \le C(p+\alpha)\alpha^{-1} \left(\int_x^b g\right)^{-\alpha}.$$

It is interesting to note that (4.5) holds even if  $g^{p'}\sigma$  is not locally integrable, since  $A_p^+(g)$  implies that if the integral of  $g^{p'}\sigma$  on  $[x_1, x_2]$  is infinite then u(t) = 0 for a.e.  $t < x_1$ .

Let f be a positive function with support bounded from above. For  $\lambda>0$  and natural N, let  $O_{\lambda,N}=\{x\colon M_g^+f(x)>\lambda\}\cap (-N,\infty)$ . Let (a,b) be a connected component of  $O_\lambda$ . We have

(4.6) 
$$\lambda \int_{x}^{b} g \le \int_{x}^{b} fg \quad \text{for every } x \text{ in } (a, b).$$

Let  $A=\{x\in[a\,,\,b]\colon\int_x^bg^{p'}\sigma=\infty\}$ . If  $A\neq\varnothing$ , let  $x_0=\sup A$ ; if  $A=\varnothing$ , let  $x_0=a$ . Then  $\int_x^bg^{p'}\sigma<\infty$  for every  $x>x_0$  and it follows from  $A_p^+(g)$  that u(x)=0 a.e. in  $[a\,,\,x_0]$ . Thus  $\int_a^bu=\int_{x_0}^bu$ .

Let H and h be the functions defined on (a, b) by

$$H(x) = \int_{a}^{x} u(t) \left( \int_{t}^{b} g \right)^{-p-\alpha} dt \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = \left( \int_{x}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{1/p'}.$$

It is clear that H(x) = 0 and  $h(x) = \infty$  if  $x < x_0$  and from (4.5) we get

$$(4.7) (h(x))^p H(x) \le C(p+\alpha)\alpha^{-1} \left(\int_x^b g\right)^{-\alpha} \text{for every } x \in [a,b].$$

On the other hand we have

Integration by parts in the right-hand side of (4.8) gives

(4.9) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} u(x) dx = (p + \alpha) \int_{x_{0}}^{b} H(x) g(x) \left( \int_{x}^{b} g \right)^{p+\alpha-1} dx \\ \leq C(p + \alpha)^{2} \alpha^{-1} \int_{x_{0}}^{b} h^{-p}(x) g(x) \left( \int_{x}^{b} g \right)^{p-1} dx,$$

by (4.7). Again integration by part gives

(4.10) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} u \leq C(p+\alpha)^{2} \alpha^{-1} \left( p^{-1} h^{-p}(x_{0}) \left( \int_{x_{0}}^{b} g \right)^{p} - \int_{x_{0}}^{b} h'(x) h^{-p-1}(x) \left( \int_{x}^{b} g \right)^{p} dx \right).$$

On the other hand, if we raise both sides of (4.6) to the pth power and apply Hölder's inequality with exponents p and p', after introducing suitable factors, we obtain

(4.11) 
$$\lambda^{p} \leq \left(\int_{x}^{b} g\right)^{-p} \left(\int_{x}^{b} g f\right)^{p} \\ \leq \left(\int_{x}^{b} g\right)^{-p} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f^{p} h v\right) \left(\int_{x}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma h^{-p'/p}\right)^{p/p'}.$$

Computing the last integral of the above inequality gives us

(4.12) 
$$\int_{x}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma h^{-p'/p} = \int_{x}^{b} g^{p'}(t) \sigma(t) \left( \int_{t}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{-1/p} dt$$
$$= p' \left( \int_{x}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{1/p'} = p' h(x).$$

Then (4.11) becomes

$$\left(\int_{x}^{b}g\right)^{p}\leq\lambda^{-p}p'^{p-1}\left(\int_{x}^{b}f^{p}hv\right)h^{p-1}(x).$$

If we set  $x = x_0$  in (4.13), we obtain an inequality that allows us to majorize the first addend of the right-hand side in (4.10), i.e.,

$$(4.14) h^{-p}(x_0) \left( \int_{x_0}^b g \right)^p \le \lambda^{-p} p'^{p-1} \left( \int_{x_0}^b f^p hv \right) h^{-1}(x_0).$$

To majorize the second addend we will use (4.13), the positivity of -h', and

integration by parts:

$$-\int_{x_0}^b h^{-p-1}(x)h'(x) \left(\int_x^b g\right)^p dx$$

$$\leq -\lambda^{-p} p'^{p-1} \int_{x_0}^b h^{-2}(x)h'(x) \left(\int_x^b f^p hv\right) dx$$

$$= \lambda^{-p} p'^{p-1} \left(-h^{-1}(x_0) \int_{x_0}^b f^p hv + \int_{x_0}^b f^p v\right).$$

Finally, (4.14), (4.15), and (4.10) give

$$(4.16) \int_{a}^{b} u \leq C\lambda^{-p} (p+\alpha)^{2} p'^{p-1} \alpha^{-1} \int_{x_{0}}^{b} f^{p} v \leq C\lambda^{-p} (p+\alpha)^{2} p'^{p-1} \alpha^{-1} \int_{a}^{b} f^{p} v.$$

We have proved that

$$\int_{O_{\lambda^{-N}}} u \le C\lambda^{-p} \int_{O_{\lambda^{-N}}} f^p v.$$

Letting N tend to infinity we obtain the weak inequality.

Everything we have just done is based on the assumption  $\int_{(-\infty,b)} g = \infty$  for every b. If it is not true we define  $g_n = g$  if  $x \ge -n$  and  $g_n = \max\{g,1\}$  if x < -n, for every natural n. Every  $g_n$  verifies  $\int_{-\infty}^b g_n = \infty$  for every b, and since  $(u,v) \in A_p^+(g)$  we have that  $(u,g^{-p}g_n^pv)$  satisfies  $A_p^+(g_n)$ . Then, by what we have already shown

(4.17) 
$$\int_{\{x: M_{g_n}^+ f(x) > \lambda\}} u \le C \lambda^{-p} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f|^p g_n^p g^{-p} v$$

for every f, where C depends only on the constant of the  $A_p^+(g)$  condition. Now if we apply (4.17) to the functions  $f\chi_{(-n,\infty)}$  we have

$$\int_{\{x\geq -n:\ M_g^+f(x)>\lambda\}} u \leq C\lambda^{-p} \int_{-n}^{+\infty} |f|^p v.$$

Letting n tend to infinity we obtain the weak type inequality.

Conversely, suppose that  $M_g^+$  is of weak type (p,p) with respect to the measures vdx and udx. Let x,y,b be given with  $x \le y \le b$ . For every natural n, let  $h_n = g^{p'}\sigma\chi_{\{x:\ g^{p'}\sigma(x) < n\}}$ .  $\{h_n\}$  is an increasing sequence with limit  $g^{p'}\sigma$ . Let  $f = \chi_{(y,b)}(g^{-1}v)^{-1/p-1}\chi_{\{x:\ g^{p'}\sigma < n\}}$  and  $B_n = \int_y^b h_n(\int_x^b g)^{-1}$ . If  $z \in [x,y]$  we have  $M_g^+ f(z) \ge B_n$ . Then, the weak type inequality gives

$$\int_{x}^{y} u \leq C B_{n}^{-p} \int_{y}^{b} h_{n},$$

or equivalently

$$\left(\int_{x}^{y} u\right) \left(\int_{y}^{b} h_{n}\right)^{p-1} \leq C \left(\int_{x}^{b} g\right)^{p}.$$

Now the  $A_n^+(g)$  condition follows from the monotone convergence theorem.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

The necessity of  $S_p^+(g)$  for the two-norm inequality is trivial. For the converse it will suffice to prove the strong type inequality for bounded positive f in  $L^p(v)$  with support bounded from above.

Let N be a positive integer. For k > 0 let

$$O_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \colon M_g^+ f(x) > 2^k\} \cap (-N, +\infty).$$

Each  $O_k$  is an open set and, therefore, there exists a sequence  $\{I_{jk}\}_j$  of open pairwise disjoint intervals with  $O_k = \bigcup_j I_{jk}$  and such that

(5.1) 
$$\int_{x}^{b_{jk}} gf \ge 2^{k} \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g \quad \text{for every } x \in I_{jk} = (a_{jk}, b_{jk}).$$

It is clear that  $\sup_{j,k} |I_{jk}| < \infty$ . For every j and k let  $A_{jk} = \{x \in I_{jk}: \int_x^{b_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma = \infty\}$ . If  $A_{jk} \neq \emptyset$ , let  $x_{jk} = \sup A$ ; if  $A_{jk} = \emptyset$ , let  $x_{jk} = a_{jk}$ . It is clear that  $\int_x^{b_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma < \infty$  if  $x > x_{jk}$  and u = 0 a.e. x in  $(a_{jk}, x_{jk})$  by  $S_p^+(g)$ . For every j and k let

$$E_{ik} = I_{ik} \cap \{x : M_g^+ f(x) \le 2^{k+1}\}$$
 and  $F_{ik} = (x_{ik}, b_{ik}) \cap E_{ik}$ .

The sets  $E_{ik}$  are pairwise disjoint and for every k

(5.2) 
$$\bigcup_{j} E_{jk} = \{x \colon 2^{k} < M_g^+ f(x) \le 2^{k+1}\} \cap (-N, \infty).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{-N}^{+\infty} (M_g^+ f)^p u &= \sum_k \int_{(-N_+ + \infty) \cap \{x : \ 2^k < M_g^+ f(x) \le 2^{k+1}\}} (M_g^+ f)^p u \\ &= \sum_{k,j} \int_{E_{jk}} (M_g^+ f)^p u = \sum_{k,j} \int_{F_{jk}} (M_g^+ f)^p u. \end{split}$$

By the definition of  $F_{jk}$  and by (5.1) we have that the last term is smaller than or equal to

$$2^{p} \sum_{k,j} \int_{F_{jk}} u(x) \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} fg \right)^{p} \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g \right)^{-p} dx.$$

Therefore

(5.3) 
$$\int_{-N}^{+\infty} (M_g^+ f)^p u \le 2^p \sum_{k,j} \int_{F_{jk}} u(x) \left( \int_x^{b_{jk}} fg \right)^p \left( \int_x^{b_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{-p} \times \left( \int_x^{b_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^p \left( \int_x^{b_{jk}} g \right)^{-p} dx.$$

Let  $X = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R}$  and let  $\omega$  be the product measure  $\nu \times \nu \times m$  where  $\nu$  is the counting measure on  $\mathbf{Z}$  and m is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the real function defined on X by

$$\varphi(j, k, x) = \chi_{F_{jk}}(x)u(x) \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p} \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g \right)^{-p}$$

and let T be the linear operator

$$Th(j, k, x) = \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} h g^{p'} \sigma \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{-1}.$$

With these notations inequality (5.3) can be written in the following way:

(5.4) 
$$\int_{-N}^{+\infty} (M_g^+ f)^p u \le 2^p \int_X [T(f(g^{-1}v)^{1/p-1})]^p \varphi \, d\omega.$$

If we prove that the operator T is bounded from  $L^p(g^{p'}\sigma dx)$  to  $L^p(X, \varphi d\omega)$ , we will get

$$\int_{-N}^{+\infty} (M_g^+ f)^p u \le C 2^p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (f(g^{-1}v)^{1/p-1})^p g^{p'} \sigma = C 2^p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^p v,$$

and, letting N tend to infinity, the proof will be finished.

To prove the boundedness of T we observe that it is obviously bounded in  $L^{\infty}$  and by Marcinkiewickz's interpolation theorem it will be enough to prove the weak type (1,1), i.e.,  $\int_{\{(j,k,x)\in X: Th(j,k,x)>\lambda\}} \varphi d\omega \leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} hg^{p'}\sigma$  with C the constant of condition (2.3).

Let  $A_{jk}(\lambda) = F_{jk} \cap \{x \colon Th(j,k,x) > \lambda\}$ . The sets  $A_{jk}$  are pairwise disjoint. For each pair j,k, let  $s_{jk}(\lambda) = \inf A_{jk}(\lambda)$  and  $J_{jk} = J_{jk}(\lambda) = [s_{jk}(\lambda),b_{jk})$ . If we pick up two of these intervals  $J_{jk}$  and  $J_{lm}$ , then they are either disjoint or one of them is contained in the other. Also it is clear that each  $J_{jk}$  verifies

(5.5) 
$$\int_{J_{jk}} h g^{p'} \sigma \ge \lambda \int_{J_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma.$$

Let  $\{J_i\}$  be the maximal elements of the family  $\{J_{jk}\}$ . These maximal elements exist since the intervals  $J_{jk}$  have uniformly bounded lengths. Also the

intervals  $J_i$  verify (5.5). Then

$$\int_{\{(j,k,x): Th(j,k,x) > \lambda\}} \varphi(j,k,x) d\omega 
= \sum_{k,j} \int_{A_{jk}(\lambda)} u(x) \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p} \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g \right)^{-p} dx 
\leq \sum_{i} \sum_{\{(k,j): J_{i} \supset J_{jk}\}} \int_{A_{jk}(\lambda)} u(x) \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p} \left( \int_{x}^{b_{jk}} g \right)^{-p} dx 
\leq \sum_{i} \int_{J_{i}} (M_{g}^{+}(\chi_{J_{i}} g^{1/p-1} \sigma)(x))^{p} u(x) dx 
\leq C \sum_{i} \int_{J_{i}} g^{p'}(x) \sigma(x) dx \quad \text{by (2.3)},$$

and by (5.5) the last term is smaller than or equal to

$$C\lambda^{-1}\sum_{i}\int_{J_{i}}h(x)g^{p'}(x)\sigma(x)\,dx\leq C\lambda^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}h(x)g^{p'}(x)\sigma(x)\,dx.$$

This proves the weak (1,1) inequality for T and hence the proof of Theorem 2 is finished.

#### 6. Proof of Theorem 3

Suppose that  $u \in A_p^+(g)$ . Let I = (a, b) be an interval such that  $\int_{-\infty}^a u > 0$ . This implies that  $\int_a^b g^{p'} \sigma < \infty$  where  $\sigma = u^{-1/p-1}$ . Let  $x \in I$  then there exist h > 0 with  $x + h \in I$  such that

(6.1) 
$$\frac{3}{4} M_g^+(\chi_I g^{1/p-1} \sigma)(x) \le \int_x^{x+h} g^{p'} \sigma \left( \int_x^{x+h} g \right)^{-1}.$$

For this h there exists t with 0 < t < h such that  $2 \int_x^{x+t} g = \int_x^{x+h} g$ . This t verifies

(6.2) 
$$\int_{x}^{x+t} g^{p'} \sigma \left( \int_{x}^{x+t} g \right)^{-1} \le M_{g}^{+} (\chi_{I} g^{1/p-1} \sigma)(x).$$

(6.1) and (6.2) give

(6.3) 
$$M_g^+(\chi_I g^{1/p-1}\sigma)(x) \le 4 \int_{x+t}^{x+h} g^{p'}\sigma \left(\int_x^{x+h} g\right)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, condition  $A_p^+(g)$  for u gives

(6.4) 
$$\int_{x+t}^{x+h} g^{p'} \sigma \left( \int_{x}^{x+h} g \right)^{-1} \le C \left( \int_{x}^{x+h} g \right)^{p'-1} \left( \int_{x}^{x+t} u \right)^{1-p'}.$$

Now (6.3) together with (6.4) gives

(6.5) 
$$M_g^+(\chi_I g^{1/p-1}\sigma)(x) \le C \left( \int_x^{x+h} g \right)^{p'-1} \left( \int_x^{x+t} u \right)^{1-p'} \le C \left( M_u^+(\chi_I g u^{-1})(x) \right)^{p'-1}.$$

Raising to p and multiplying by u(x), we get

$$(6.6) (M_g^+(\chi_I g^{1/p-1}\sigma)(x))^p u(x) \le C(M_u^+(\chi_I g u^{-1})(x))^{p'} u(x).$$

But  $M_u^+$  is bounded in  $L^{p'}(u)$ , because  $u \in A_r^+(u)$  for every r > 1. Then

$$\int_{I} (M_{g}^{+}(\chi_{I}g^{1/p-1}\sigma)(x))^{p} u(x) dx \leq C \int_{I} g^{p'} \sigma.$$

Therefore u satisfies  $S_n^+(g)$ .

The fact that  $S_p^+(g)$  implies  $A_p^+(g)$  is a consequence of Theorems 1 and 2, not only for a weight, but for pairs of weights. However, we are going to give a direct proof. Suppose that the pair (u, v) satisfies  $S_p^+(g)$ . Let a, b, and c be real numbers with  $a \le b \le c$ . If the integral of  $g^{p'}\sigma$  on [b, c] is equal to infinity, then, by  $S_p^+(g)$ , u(x) = 0 a.e. x in [a, b] and the inequality

$$\int_{a}^{b} u \left( \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p-1} \leq C \left( \int_{a}^{c} g \right)^{p}$$

is trivially satisfied.

Now suppose that the integral of  $g^{p'}\sigma$  over [b,c] is finite. We define a possibly finite decreasing sequence by  $x_0=b$  and  $x_{k+1}$  the real number such that

$$2^{k+1} \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma = \int_{x_{k+1}}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma$$

if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{x_k} g^{p'} \sigma \ge 2^k \int_b^c g^{p'} \sigma$$

otherwise the sequence finishes in  $x_k$ .

Suppose first that the sequence is finite and  $x_r$  is its last term. If r = 0, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} u(x) \left( \int_{x}^{c} g \right)^{-p} \left( \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p} dx \le \int_{a}^{b} u(x) \left( M_{g}^{+} (\chi_{(a,b)} g^{1/p-1} \sigma)(x) \right)^{p} dx$$

$$\le C \int_{a}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma \le 2C \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma.$$

This implies trivially  $A_n^+(g)$ .

If r > 0, let  $a' < x_r$  and a' < a. Then,

$$\begin{split} & \int_{a}^{b} u(x) \left( \int_{x}^{c} g \right)^{-p} \left( \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p} dx \\ & \leq 2^{-rp} \int_{a'}^{x_{r}} u(x) \left( \int_{x}^{c} g \right)^{-p} \left( \int_{x_{r}}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p} dx \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} 2^{-kp} \int_{x_{k+1}}^{x_{k}} u(x) \left( \int_{x}^{c} g \right)^{-p} \left( \int_{x_{k}}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p} dx \\ & \leq 2^{-rp} \int_{a'}^{x_{r}} u(x) (M_{g}^{+} (\chi_{(a',c)} g^{1/p-1} \sigma)(x))^{p} dx \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} 2^{-kp} \int_{x_{k+1}}^{x_{k}} (M_{g}^{+} (\chi_{(x_{k+1},c)} g^{1/p-1} \sigma)(x))^{p} u(x) dx \\ & \leq C \left( \sum_{k=0}^{r} 2^{-kp+k+1} \right) \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma \leq C \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} \sigma. \end{split}$$

Finally, suppose that the sequence is infinite and let  $d = \lim x_k$ . If d is finite, then u=0 a.e. in  $(-\infty, d)$  by  $S_n^+(g)$ . So, whether d is finite or not

$$\int_a^b u(x) \left(\int_x^c g\right)^{-p} \left(\int_b^c g^{p'}\sigma\right)^p dx \le \int_d^b u(x) \left(\int_x^c g\right)^{-p} \left(\int_b^c g^{p'}\sigma\right)^p dx.$$

Using the reasoning above with sum from 0 to  $\infty$  completes the proof.

## 7. Further results

(A) Relations with Muckenhoupt's  $A_p(g)$  classes and Sawyer's  $S_p(g)$  classes. Consider the weighted two-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined by

$$M_g f(x) = \sup_{h, s>0} \left( \int_{x-s}^{x+h} |f|g \right) \left( \int_{x-s}^{x+h} g \right)^{-1}.$$

It is clear that the following relation holds:

(7.1) 
$$\frac{1}{2}(M_g^+ + M_g^-) \le M_g \le M_g^+ + M_g^-.$$

We have the following results for  $M_g$  (see e.g. [5, 6]): (i) Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ .  $M_g$  is of weak type (p, p) with respect to the measures vdx and udx if and only if the pair (u, v) satisfies  $A_p(g)$ , i.e.

 $A_n(g)$ : There exists C > 0 such that

$$\left(\int_{a}^{b} u\right) \left(\int_{a}^{b} g^{p'} \sigma\right)^{p-1} \leq C \left(\int_{a}^{b} g\right)^{p}$$

for every interval (a, b) and p > 1.

 $A_1(g)$ : There exists C>0 such that  $M_g(g^{-1}u) \le Cg^{-1}v$  a.e. (ii) Let  $1 . <math>M_g$  is of strong type (p, p) with respect to the measures vdx and udx if and only if the pair (u, v) satisfies  $S_p(g)$ , i.e.,

 $S_n(g)$ : There exists C > 0 such that for every interval (a, b)

$$\int_a^b \left| M_g(\chi_{(a,b)} g^{1/p-1} \sigma) \right|^p u \le C \int_a^b g^{p'} \sigma < \infty.$$

Of course, if u = v then  $A_p(g)$  and  $S_p(g)$  are equivalent conditions.

It follows from these results, our theorems, and (7.1) that  $A_n(g) = A_n^+(g) \cap$  $A_p^-(g)$   $(1 \le p < \infty)$  and  $S_p(g) = S_p^+(g) \cap S_p^-(g)$  (1 . We will now give direct proofs of these equalities and so results (i) and (ii) will be consequencesof the results in this paper.

**Theorem 4.** (a) 
$$A_p(g) = A_p^+(g) \cap A_p^-(g) \ (1 \le p < \infty)$$
.

(b) 
$$S_p(g) = S_p^+(g) \cap S_p^-(g) \ (1$$

*Proof of Theorem* 4. (a) For p = 1 the equality is trivial by (7.1). Let 1 < p. Since it is clear that  $A_p^+(g) \cap A_p^-(g) \supset A_p(g)$  we only have to prove  $A_p(g) \supset$  $A_p^+(g) \cap A_p^-(g)$ . Let (u, v) be in  $A_p^+(g) \cap A_p^-(g)$ , let a and c be real numbers with  $a \le c$ , let N be a natural number, define  $G_N(x) = (g^{p'}\sigma)(x)$  if  $(g^{p'}\sigma)(x) \leq N$  and  $G_N(x) = 0$  otherwise. There exists h such that

$$\int_{a}^{c} G_{N} = 2 \int_{a}^{h} G_{N} = 2 \int_{h}^{c} G_{N}.$$

Then

$$\int_{a}^{c} u \left( \int_{a}^{c} G_{N} \right)^{p-1} = 2^{p-1} \int_{a}^{h} u \left( \int_{h}^{c} G_{N} \right)^{p-1} + 2^{p-1} \int_{h}^{c} u \left( \int_{a}^{h} G_{N} \right)^{p-1}$$

$$\leq 2^{p} C \left( \int_{a}^{c} g \right)^{p} \quad \text{by } A_{p}^{+}(g) \text{ and } A_{p}^{-}(g).$$

Letting N tend to infinity we get  $A_n(g)$ .

Finally, (b) follows clearly from (7.1).

(B) Factorization. We will give here a result that generalizes the theorem of Coifman, Jones and Rubio de Francia [2] (see also [4]). As consequences, we will obtain the factorization of  $A_n^+(g)$  and  $A_n^-(g)$  weights.

**Theorem 5.** Let F and G be two sublinear operators acting on measurable functions of a measure space  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$ . For p > 1 let  $W_p = \{w : F \text{ is bounded in }$  $L^{p}(wd\mu)$  and  $U_{p} = \{u: G \text{ is bounded in } L^{p}(ud\mu)\}$ . Let g be a positive function, and let  $W_1 = \{w \colon G(g^{-1}w) \le Cg^{-1}w \text{ a.e.}\}$  and  $U_1 = \{u \colon F(g^{-1}u) \le Cg^{-1}u \text{ a.e.}\}$ . Then  $g^{p-1}W_1U_1^{1-p} \supset W_p \cap g^pU_p^{1-p}$ , i.e., if  $w \in W_p$  and  $g^{p'}w^{-1/p-1}\in U_p$  then there exist  $w_0\in W_1$  and  $u_0\in U_1$  such that w= $g^{p-1}w_0u_0^{1-p}$ .

If F = G and g = 1 we obtain the above-mentioned result of Coifman, Jones, and Rubio de Francia.

*Proof.* This proof follows the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [4], with the obvious changes. Suppose  $1 . Let <math>w \in W_p \cap g^p U_p^{1-p}$ . We have to find v such

(i)  $vw \in W_1$ , i.e.,  $G(g^{-1}vw) \le Cg^{-1}vw$  a.e., (ii)  $gv^{1/p-1} \in U_1$ , i.e.,  $F(v^{1/p-1}) \le Cv^{1/p-1}$  a.e.

Let us define an operator S by  $S(u) = |G(g^{-1}uw)|w^{-1}g + (F(|u|^{1/p-1}))^{p-1}$ . The operator S is positive, sublinear, and bounded on  $L^{p'}(w)$ . So, S verifies the conditions of Lemma 5.1 in [4], and it ensures the existence of such a v. Then  $w_0 = vw$  and  $u_0 = gv^{1/p-1}$ .

Corollary 1.  $w \in A_p^+(g)$  if and only if  $w = g^{p-1}w_0w_1^{1-p}$  with  $w_0 \in A_1^+(g)$  and  $w_1 \in A_1^-(g)$ .

*Proof.* If in Theorem 5, we take  $F = M_g^+$  and  $G = M_g^-$ , the classes of good weights are, respectively  $W_p = A_p^+(g)$  and  $U_p = A_p^-(g)$ . Then Theorem 5 assures

$$g^{p-1}A_1^+(g)(A_1^-(g))^{1-p}\supset A_p^+(g)\cap g^p(A_p^-(g))^{1-p}.$$

But  $A_p^+(g) \cap g^p(A_p^-(g))^{1-p} = A_p^+(g)$ , and this proves the factorization of a weight in  $A_n^+(g)$ .

Conversely, take  $w_0 \in A_1^+(g)$  and  $w_1 \in A_1^-(g)$ , and let  $w = g^{p-1}w_0w_1^{1-p}$ . If  $a \le b \le c$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{b} w \left( \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} w^{-1/p-1} \right)^{p-1} \\ &= \int_{a}^{b} w_{0} (g^{-1} w_{1})^{1-p} \left( \int_{b}^{c} w_{1} (g^{-1} w_{0})^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{a}^{b} w_{0}(x) \left( \int_{x}^{x+h} g \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_{x}^{x+h} w_{1} \right)^{1-p} dx \right) \\ &\times \left( \int_{b}^{c} w_{1}(x) \left( \int_{x-s}^{x} g \right)^{p'-1} \left( \int_{x-s}^{x} w_{0} \right)^{1-p'} dx \right)^{p-1} \end{split}$$

for every h, s > 0 by condition  $A_1^+(g)$  for  $w_0$  and  $A_1^-(g)$  for  $w_1$ . In partic-

ular, if h = c - x and s = x - a we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{b} w \left( \int_{b}^{c} g^{p'} w^{-1/p-1} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{a}^{c} g \right)^{p} \left( \int_{a}^{b} w_{0}(x) \left( \int_{x}^{c} w_{1} \right)^{1-p} dx \right) \\ &\times \left( \int_{b}^{c} w_{1}(x) \left( \int_{a}^{x} w_{0} \right)^{1-p'} dx \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{a}^{c} g \right)^{p} \left( \int_{b}^{c} w_{1} \right)^{1-p} \left( \int_{a}^{b} w_{0} \right) \left( \int_{b}^{c} w_{1} \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_{a}^{b} w_{0} \right)^{(1-p')(p-1)} \\ &= C \left( \int_{a}^{c} g \right)^{p}. \end{split}$$

(C).

**Theorem 6.** If w is in  $A_1^+(g)$  then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\int_a^b g^{-\delta} w^{1+\delta} \left( \int_a^b g \right)^{-1} \leq C_\delta \int_a^b w \left( \int_a^b g \right)^{-\delta} g^{-\delta}(b) w^{\delta}(b)$$

for every a and a.e. b. For this  $\delta$ ,  $g^{-\delta}w^{1+\delta}$  is in  $A_1^+(g)$ .

*Proof.* Let a and b be real numbers with a < b and with b verifying

$$M_g^-(g^{-1}w)(b) \le C(g^{-1}w)(b).$$

Let  $O_{\lambda} = \{x \colon M_g^-(g^{-1}w\chi_{(a,b)})(x) > \lambda\}$  be open. Then there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint open intervals  $I_j = (a_j, b_j)$  such that  $O_{\lambda} = \bigcup I_j$  with

(7.2) 
$$\int_{a_i}^x w \chi_{(a,b)} \left( \int_{a_i}^x g \right)^{-1} > \lambda for every x \in (a_j, b_j)$$

and with

It is clear that each  $a_j$  is bigger than a. Then, if  $\lambda > C(g^{-1}w)(b)$ , where C is the  $A_1^+(g)$  constant of w, each  $I_j$  verifies either  $(a,b) \supset I_j$  or  $I_j \cap (a,b) = \varnothing$ , since if  $I_j$  is not contained in (a,b) and  $I_j \cap (a,b) \neq \varnothing$ , then  $b \in I_j$  and therefore

$$\int_{a_{j}}^{b} w \chi_{(a,b)} \left( \int_{a_{j}}^{b} g \right)^{-1} > \lambda > C(g^{-1}w)(b)$$

which goes against the election of b.

By Lebesgue's differentiation theorem we have that  $\{x \in (a, b): (g^{-1}w)(x) > \lambda\}$  is contained in  $O_{\lambda}$ . This relation, (7.3), and condition  $A_{1}^{+}(g)$  for w imply

$$\int_{\{x \in (a,b) : (g^{-1}w)(x) > \lambda\}} w \le \lambda \sum_{\{j : (a,b) \supset I_i\}} \int_{I_j} g \le \lambda \int_{\{x \in (a,b) : C(g^{-1}w)(x) > \lambda\}} g.$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ . Multiplying the last inequalities by  $\lambda^{\delta-1}$  and then integrating with respect to  $\lambda$  from  $C(g^{-1}w)(b)$  to  $+\infty$  we get

(7.5) 
$$\int_{C(g^{-1}w)(b)}^{+\infty} \lambda^{\delta-1} \left( \int_{\{x \in (a,b) : (g^{-1}w)(x) > \lambda\}} w(x) \, dx \right) \, d\lambda \\ \leq C^{\delta+1} (1+\delta)^{-1} \int_{a}^{b} (g^{-1}w)^{1+\delta}(x) g(x) \, dx.$$

On the other hand, the first item of (7.5) is equal to

(7.6) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} \left( \int_{C(g^{-1}w)(b)}^{(g^{-1}w)(x)} \lambda^{\delta-1} d\lambda \right) w(x) dx \\ = \delta^{-1} \int_{a}^{b} g^{-\delta} w^{1+\delta} - C^{\delta} \delta^{-1} (g^{-1}w)^{\delta} (b) \int_{a}^{b} w.$$

(7.5) together with (7.6) gives

$$(7.7) \qquad (\delta^{-1} - C^{1+\delta}(1+\delta)^{-1}) \int_a^b g^{-\delta} w^{1+\delta} \le C^{\delta} \delta^{-1} (g^{-1} w)^{\delta} (b) \int_a^b w.$$

Choosing  $\delta$  such that  $\delta^{-1} - C^{1+\delta}(1+\delta)^{-1} > 0$ , we obtain the result.

**Corollary 2.** Let 1 . If <math>w is in  $A_p^+(g)$  then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $p - \varepsilon > 1$  and w is in  $A_{n-\varepsilon}^+(g)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in A_p^+(g)$ . By factorization, there exist  $w_0$  in  $A_1^+(g)$  and  $w_1$  in  $A_1^-(g)$  such that  $w = g^{p-1}w_0w_1^{1-p}$ . By Theorem 6 there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that  $g^{-\delta}w_1^{1+\delta} \in A_1^+(g)$ . Then

$$w = g^{p-1} w_0 w_1^{1-p} = g^{p-\varepsilon-1} w_0 (g^{-\delta} w_1^{1+\delta})^{1-(p-\varepsilon)} \quad \text{with } \varepsilon = \delta (p-1) (1+\delta)^{-1}$$
 and the result follows from Corollary 1.

**Corollary 3.** If  $w \in A_1^+(g)$  then there exists  $\gamma$  with  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , a function k with k and  $k^{-1}$  in  $L^{\infty}$ , and a function f such that  $w = kg(M_g^-f)^{\gamma}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 6, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $M_g^-(g^{-1}w)^{1+\delta})^{1/(1+\delta)} \le Cg^{-1}w$  a.e. On the other hand, Lebesgue's differentiation theorem gives  $g^{-1}w \le (M_g^-(g^{-1}w)^{1+\delta})^{1/(1+\delta)}$ . Let  $k(x) = g^{-1}(x)w(x)(M_g^-(g^{-1}w)^{1+\delta}(x))^{-1/(1+\delta)}$ . Then  $C^{-1} \le k \le 1$  and  $w = gk(M_g^-f)^{\gamma}$  where  $\gamma = (1+\delta)^{-1}$  and  $f = (g^{-1}w)^{1+\delta}$ .

(D) Extrapolation. We can also state the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.** Let T be a sublinear operator acting on measurable functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Suppose that for a certain  $p_0$ ,  $1 \le p_0 < \infty$ , and for every w in  $A_{p_0}^+(g)$ , T is of weak type  $(p_0, p_0)$  with respect to the measure wdx. Then for every p with 1 and every <math>w in  $A_p^+(g)$ , T is bounded on  $L^p(wdx)$ .

The proof follows that of [3] with the obvious changes, which are essentially the definition of G in Lemma 1 in [3] (now  $G = (gM_g^-(g^{-1}h^{1/t}w)w^{-1})^t)$  and the fact that w is in  $A_p^+(g)$  if and only if  $g^{p'}\sigma$  is in  $A_{p'}^-(g)$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. K. Andersen and B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted weak type inequalities with applications to Hilbert transforms and maximal functions, Studia Math. 72 (1982), 9-26.
- R. Coifman, P. W. Jones, and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Constructive decomposition of B.M.O. functions and factorization of A<sub>p</sub> weights, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 675-676.
- 3. J. Garcia-Cuerva, An extrapolation theorem in the theory of  $A_p$  weights, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1983), 422-426.
- J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165 (1972), 207-226.
- E. Sawyer, A characterization of a two-weight norm inequality for maximal operators, Studia Math. 75 (1982), 1-11.
- 7. \_\_\_\_, Weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 297 (1986), 53-61.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, 29071-MÁLAGA, SPAIN (Current address of F. J. Martín-Reyes and A. de la Torre)

Current address (P. Ortega Salvador): Departamento de Estadistica y Econometria, Facultad de Economicas, Universidad de Malaga, 29013 Malaga, Spain