

## APPLYING COORDINATE PRODUCTS TO THE TOPOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION OF NORMED SPACES

ROBERT CAUTY AND TADEUSZ DOBROWOLSKI

**ABSTRACT.** Using the  $l^2$ -products we find pre-Hilbert spaces that are absorbing sets for all Borelian classes of order  $\alpha \geq 1$ . We also show that the following spaces are homeomorphic to  $\Sigma^\infty$ , the countable product of the space  $\Sigma = \{(x_n) \in R^\infty : (x_n) \text{ is bounded}\}$ :

- (1) every coordinate product  $\prod_C H_n$  of normed spaces  $H_n$  in the sense of a Banach space  $C$ , where each  $H_n$  is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set and infinitely many of the  $H_n$ 's are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces,
- (2) every function space  $\tilde{L}^p = \bigcap_{p' < p} L^{p'}$  with the  $L^q$ -topology,  $0 < q < p \leq \infty$ ,
- (3) every sequence space  $\tilde{l}^p = \bigcap_{p < p'} l^{p'}$  with the  $l^q$ -topology,  $0 \leq p < q < \infty$ .

We also note that each additive and multiplicative Borelian class of order  $\alpha \geq 2$ , each projective class, and the class of nonprojective spaces contain uncountably many topologically different pre-Hilbert spaces which are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the topological classification of noncomplete normed linear spaces. The main tool in this area is the method of absorbing sets discovered and applied in the  $\sigma$ -compact case by Anderson and Bessaga and Pełczyński (see [2]). Absorbing sets which are not necessarily  $\sigma$ -closed in a considered copy  $s$  of  $l^2$  were developed by Bestvina and Mogilski [4]. A disadvantage of the approach presented in [4] was that two homeomorphic absorbing sets in  $s$  might not have been relatively homeomorphic. The difficulty was overcome in [7] due to replacing the strong universality property by its relative version (see Theorem 2.2). We construct linear subspaces  $F_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$  (respectively,  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ ) of  $l^2$  that are absorbing sets for the additive Borelian class  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  (respectively, the multiplicative Borelian class  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ) and such that the pair  $(l^2, F_\alpha)$  (respectively,  $(l^2, G_\alpha)$ ) is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ -universal (respectively,  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ -universal). Applying Theorem 2.2,  $(l^2, F_\alpha)$  and  $(l^2, G_\alpha)$  are homeomorphic to  $(s, \Lambda_\alpha)$  and  $(s, \Omega_\alpha)$ , respectively, where  $\Lambda_\alpha$  and  $\Omega_\alpha$  are absorbing sets in  $s$  constructed in [4].

One may guess that  $F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $G_\alpha$ ) is the weak  $l^2$ -product  $\sum_{l^2} H_n$  (respectively, the  $l^2$ -product  $\prod_{l^2} H_n$ ) of pre-Hilbert spaces  $H_n$  that contain a

Received by the editors October 11, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 57N17.

*Key words and phrases.* Coordinate product, pre-Hilbert space, strong  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ -universality, absorbing set, absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set.

closed copy of  $\Lambda_\alpha$  (respectively,  $\Omega_\alpha$ ). The crucial step is to show that  $\sum_{l^2} H_n$  and  $\prod_{l^2} H_n$  are strongly  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ - and  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ -universal, respectively. Actually, we are able to verify the strong  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$ -universality property of an arbitrary normed coordinate product pair  $(\prod_C E_n, \sum_C H_n)$  provided each element of  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$  admits a relative closed embedding into every  $(E_n, H_n)$  (see Proposition 3.1). A version of 3.1 for cartesian products was earlier applied [11, 13, 12] in order to identify some function and sequence spaces that are homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2 = \Sigma^\infty$ . Applying 3.1 (and its variations), we show that several absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -spaces that underlie a “product” structure are homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$ . In particular, we prove that every normed coordinate product  $\prod_C H_n$ ,  $C$  being a Banach space, is homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$  provided each  $H_n \in \mathcal{M}_2$  and infinitely many of the  $H_n$ 's are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces. Another application concerns the function space  $\tilde{L}^p = \bigcap_{p' < p} L^{p'}$  in the  $L^q$ -topology ( $q < p$ ) and the sequence space  $\tilde{l}^p = \bigcap_{p < p'} l^{p'}$  in the  $l^q$ -topology ( $p < q$ ). We prove that  $\tilde{L}^p$ ,  $0 < q < p \leq \infty$ , and  $\tilde{l}^p$ ,  $0 \leq p < q < \infty$ , are homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$ . Actually, we show that the pairs  $(L^q, \tilde{L}^p)$ ,  $(l^q, \tilde{l}^p)$ , and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are homeomorphic. The fact that the space  $\tilde{L}^p$  considered as a subspace of  $L^0$  (of all measurable functions with the topology of convergence in measure) and the space  $\tilde{l}^p$  as a subspace of  $R^\infty$  are homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$  was previously obtained in [13]. Let us note that dealing with these different topologies on  $\tilde{L}^p$  (same for  $\tilde{l}^p$ ) the natural linear map  $\Psi: L^0 \rightarrow (L^0)^\infty$  is employed. In the present paper  $\Psi$  is considered as a linear isomorphism of  $L^1$  onto  $\prod_{l^1} L^1$  with the following key property:

$$\Psi(\tilde{L}^p) \cap \sum_{l^1} L^1 = \sum_{l^1} \tilde{L}^p.$$

In the last section we provide some examples of pre-Hilbert spaces with rather mysterious topological structure. They all are of the form

$$Y(A) \times F_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad Y(A) \times G_\alpha,$$

where  $Y(A)$  is the linear span of a linearly independent subset  $A$  in  $l^2$ . In particular, we show that every projective class  $\mathcal{P}_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} \mathcal{P}_k$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , contains uncountably many nonhomeomorphic pre-Hilbert spaces. The same is true for the class of spaces which are nonprojective. We observe that the argument of Henderson and Pełczyński [2] showing that there are uncountably many  $\sigma$ -compact pre-Hilbert spaces applies (after a minor change) to produce uncountably many nonhomeomorphic pre-Hilbert spaces in each class  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \geq 2$ .

The results of §3 will be applied to construct absorbing sets for all projective classes in a forthcoming paper by the first named author.

The authors wish to note that J. Dijkstra and J. Mogilski have recently obtained the same results concerning  $\tilde{L}^p$ - and  $\tilde{l}^p$ -spaces [10].

*Convention.* All spaces considered are separable and metrizable. Maps are continuous functions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall that a closed subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is a  $Z$ -set (respectively, a strong  $Z$ -set) if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there exists a  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to the

identity map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(X) \cap A = \emptyset$  (respectively,  $\text{cl}(f(X)) \cap A = \emptyset$ ). A space which is a countable union of  $Z$ -sets is called a  $Z_\sigma$ -space. Note that every  $Z_\sigma$ -space is of the first category. In the case where  $X$  is an absolute neighborhood retract a closed set  $A$  is a  $Z$ -set iff given  $n$  every map of the  $n$ -dimensional cube  $I^n$  into  $X$  can be approximated by maps into  $X \setminus A$ . Every not necessarily closed set  $A$  satisfying the above condition is called locally homotopy negligible in  $X$  (see [17]).

Fix a pair of spaces  $(K, L)$ , i.e.,  $L \subseteq K$ . We say that a pair of spaces  $(X, Y)$  is strongly  $(K, L)$ -universal if, for every closed subset  $D$  of  $K$ , every map  $f : K \rightarrow X$  whose restriction to  $D$  is a  $Z$ -embedding (i.e.,  $f|D$  is an embedding and  $f(D)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $X$ ) and satisfies the condition

$$(f|D)^{-1}(Y) = D \cap L,$$

and every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a  $Z$ -embedding  $g : K \rightarrow X$  which is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $f$  and satisfies the conditions

$$g|D = f|D \quad \text{and} \quad g^{-1}(Y) = L.$$

We find it convenient to formulate the following technical fact concerning the strong  $(K, L)$ -universality (cf. [4, Proposition 2.2]).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let an absolute neighborhood retract  $X$ , its subsets  $Y \subseteq Y'$ , and a pair of spaces  $(K, L)$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) every  $Z$ -set in  $X$  is a strong  $Z$ -set,
- (ii)  $X \setminus Y$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X$ ,
- (iii)  $Y'$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X$ ,
- (iv) given open subsets  $U$  of  $K$  and  $V$  of  $X$ , a map  $f : K \rightarrow X$  with  $f(U) \subset V \cap Y$  and  $f(K \setminus U) \subset X \setminus V$ , and an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $V$ , there exists a closed embedding  $g : U \rightarrow V$  which is  $\mathcal{V}$ -close to  $f|U$  and satisfies  $g(U) \subset Y'$  and  $g^{-1}(V \cap Y) = L \cap U$ .

Then for every  $Z \subseteq X$  with  $Z \cap Y' = Y$ , the pair  $(X, Z)$  is strongly  $(K, L)$ -universal.

Before we give a proof of 2.1 we recall that  $f : K \rightarrow X$  is closed over a set  $A \subset X$  if for every  $a \in A$  and every neighborhood  $U$  of  $f^{-1}(\{a\})$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $a$  such that  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$  (see [4]).

*Proof of 2.1.* Let  $D$  be a closed subset of  $K$  and let  $\bar{f} : K \rightarrow X$  be a map such that  $\bar{f}|D$  is a  $Z$ -embedding satisfying  $(\bar{f}|D)^{-1}(Z) = D \cap L$ . Since  $\bar{f}(D)$  is a strong  $Z$ -set in  $X$  and  $X \setminus Y$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X$ , we can apply [4, Lemma 1.1; 17, Theorem 2.4] to approximate  $\bar{f}$  by  $f$  such that

- (1)  $\bar{f}|D = f|D$ ,
- (2)  $f$  is closed over  $\bar{f}(D)$ ,
- (3)  $f(K \setminus D) \subset Y \setminus \bar{f}(D)$ .

Set  $U = K \setminus D$  and  $V = X \setminus \bar{f}(D)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Fix a metric  $d$  on  $X$  and choose an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $V$  which is inscribed in  $\mathcal{U}$  and such that

- (4) for every element  $W$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\text{diam}(W) < \text{dist}(W, X \setminus V)$ .

By our assumption, there exists a closed embedding  $g : U \rightarrow V$  which is  $\mathcal{V}$ -close to  $f|U$  and such that  $g^{-1}(V \cap Y) = U \cap L$  and  $g(U) \subset Y'$ . By (4),  $g$

can be continuously extended by  $\bar{f} = f$  over  $D$  to a one-to-one map which is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $f$ . Denote this extension also by  $g$ . We have  $g^{-1}(V \cap Y) = U \cap L$  and consequently  $g(L) \subset Z$ . Moreover, if  $g(x) \in Z$  and  $x \notin D$  then  $g(x) \in Z \cap Y' = Y$ . This, together with  $(\bar{f}|D)^{-1}(Z) = D \cap L$ , yields  $g^{-1}(Z) = L$ . To show that  $g : K \rightarrow X$  is a closed embedding, let  $\{g(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converge to  $y \in X$ . If  $y \in \bar{f}(D)$  then, by (4),  $\{f(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $y$  and consequently, by (2),  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Otherwise,  $y \in V$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $g^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $g(K) \subset \bar{f}(D) \cup Y'$ , the union of a  $Z$ -set and a locally homotopy negligible set,  $g(K)$ , is a  $Z$ -set in  $X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be classes of spaces. We write  $(K, L) \in (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$  provided  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . A pair of spaces  $(X, Y)$  is said to be strongly  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$ -universal if  $(X, Y)$  is strongly  $(K, L)$ -universal for every pair  $(K, L) \in (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$ . This concept was introduced in [6]. If the pair  $(Y, Y)$  is strongly  $(L, L)$ -universal for every  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  then, according to [4],  $Y$  is strongly  $\mathcal{L}$ -universal.

In what follows,  $\mathcal{L}$  will satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) if  $L$  and  $L'$  are homeomorphic and  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $L' \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
- (b) if a space  $L$  is a union of its two closed subspaces which belong to  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
- (c) every closed subset of an element of  $\mathcal{L}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

The following fact proved in [7] extends the uniqueness theorem for absorbing sets discovered by Anderson and Bessaga and Pełczyński (see [2]).

**Theorem 2.2** [7, Theorem 2.1]. *Let  $X$  be a topological copy of  $l^2$  and let  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  be two subsets of  $X$ . Assume that both  $Y = Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i)  $X \setminus Y$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $Y$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space,
- (iii)  $Y$  is a countable union of closed sets that are elements of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,
- (iv)  $(X, Y)$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$ -universal, where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the class of completely metrizable spaces.

*Then, for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to the identity homeomorphism of  $(X, Y_1)$  onto  $(X, Y_2)$ .*

Every subset  $Y$  of  $X$  which is strongly  $\mathcal{L}$ -universal and fulfils (i)–(iii) is called an  $\mathcal{L}$ -absorbing set in  $X$ . In [4], it was shown that two  $\mathcal{L}$ -absorbing sets in a copy of  $l^2$  are homeomorphic. Theorem 2.2 may be rephrased in its weaker form as follows: two  $\mathcal{L}$ -absorbing sets in a copy of  $l^2$  are relatively homeomorphic provided they are strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$ -universal.

### 3. STRONG UNIVERSALITY IN PRODUCTS

Let  $C$  be a normed countable coordinate space (briefly, a normed coordinate space), i.e.,  $C = (C, \|\cdot\|_C)$  is a normed linear space of real sequences such that

- (c<sub>1</sub>) for every bounded sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$  and every  $c = (c_n) \in C$ , we have  $\lambda \cdot c = (\lambda_n c_n) \in C$  and  $\|\lambda \cdot c\|_C \leq \|\lambda\|_\infty \|c\|_C$ , where  $\|\lambda\|_\infty = \sup_{n \geq 1} |\lambda_n|$ ,
- (c<sub>2</sub>) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $(c_n) \in C$  there exists  $k$  such that

$$\|(0, \dots, 0, c_k, c_{k+1}, \dots)\|_C < \varepsilon,$$

(c<sub>3</sub>) each unit vector  $u_n = (\delta_n^i)$  belongs to  $C$ .

We took the notion of a normed coordinate space from [1] (see also [16]) where the following equivalent condition replaces (c<sub>3</sub>):  $C$  is contained in no hyperplane  $\{(c_n) : c_k = 0\}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . (For examples of normed coordinate spaces, see [1].) Note that  $C$  contains all eventually zero sequences  $C_0$ . Later on we have to assume that  $C \setminus C_0 \neq \emptyset$ . This, of course, is the case if  $C$  is a Banach space.

Let  $\{(E_n, \|\cdot\|_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of normed linear spaces. We consider the linear spaces

$$\prod_C E_n = \left\{ (y_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty E_n : (\|y_n\|_n) \in C \right\}$$

and

$$\sum_C E_n = \left\{ (y_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty E_n : y_n = 0 \text{ for almost all } n \right\}$$

which are both equipped with the norm  $|||(y_n)||| = \|(\|y_n\|_n)\|_C$ . These spaces are called, respectively, the normed coordinate product (of the  $E_n$ 's in the sense of  $C$ ) and the weak normed coordinate product (briefly,  $C$ -product and weak  $C$ -product of the  $E_n$ 's). For  $y = (y_n) \in \prod_C E_n$  and  $k \geq 1$ , we write  $r_k(y) = (0, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}, \dots)$ ,  $s_k(y) = y - r_k(y)$ , and  $\pi_k(y) = y_k$ . Identifying  $E_n$  with the natural subspace of  $\prod_C E_n$ , we have

- (A)  $|||s_k(y)||| \leq |||y|||$ ,
- (B)  $|||\pi_k(y)||| \leq |||r_k(y)||| \leq |||y|||$ ,
- (C)  $\lim |||r_k(y)||| = 0$ ,

for every  $k \geq 1$  and  $y \in \prod_C E_n$ .

We now give the main result of this section.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\{(E_n, H_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of pairs of nontrivial normed linear spaces with each  $H_n$  dense in  $E_n$  and let  $C$  be a normed coordinate space that contains an element with infinitely many nonzero terms. Fix a pair of spaces  $(K, L)$  and assume that for every  $n \geq 1$  there exists a bounded closed embedding  $\psi_n : K \rightarrow E_n$  with  $\psi_n^{-1}(H_n) = L$ . Then, for every  $Z \subseteq E = \prod_C E_n$  with  $Z \cap \sum_C E_n = \sum_C H_n$ , the pair  $(E, Z)$  is strongly  $(K, L)$ -universal.*

We shall make use of the next two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** *There exists a homotopy*

$$\Phi = (\Phi_n) : \left( E \times [0, 1], \sum_C H_n \times [0, 1] \right) \rightarrow \left( E, \sum_C H_n \right)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\Phi(\cdot, 0) = \text{id}$ ,
- (ii) if  $n \geq \frac{1}{t} + 2$ , then  $\Phi_n(y, t) = 0$ ,
- (iii) if for some sequence  $\{(y(i), t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset E \times [0, 1]$  with  $\lim t_i = 0$  there exists  $y \in E$  such that  $\lim \Phi(y(i), t_i) = y$ , then  $\lim y(i) = y$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *There exists a one-to-one map*

$$\varphi : K \times (0, 1] \rightarrow E$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (iv)  $\varphi^{-1}(\sum_C H_n) = L \times (0, 1]$ ,
- (v)  $|||\varphi(x, t)||| \leq t$  for all  $(x, t) \in K \times (0, 1]$ ,
- (vi) if  $x \in K$  and  $\frac{1}{n+1} < t \leq \frac{1}{n}$ , then  $\pi_{n+2}\varphi(x, t) \neq 0$  while  $\pi_k\varphi(x, t) = 0$  for all  $k < n$  and  $k \geq n + 4$ ,
- (vii) if the sequence  $\{\varphi(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges in  $E$ ,  $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset K \times (0, 1]$ , and  $\lim t_i = t_0 > 0$ , then  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges in  $K$ .

First, we derive Proposition 3.1 from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.

*Proof of 3.1.* We make use of 2.1 with the following data:  $X = E$ ,  $Y = \sum_C H_n$ ,  $Y' = \sum_C E_n$ , and  $Z$ . It is known that  $Z$ -sets in  $E$  are strong  $Z$ -sets (see [5, Lemma 2.6; 13, Lemma 2.1]). It is also clear that  $E \setminus \sum_C H_n$  and  $\sum_C E_n$  are locally homotopy negligible in  $E$  (see, e.g., [17]). Fix a map  $\bar{f} : K \rightarrow E$  and open sets  $U \subset K$  and  $V \subset E$  such that  $f = \bar{f}|U$  maps  $U$  into  $V \cap \sum_C H_n$  and  $\bar{f}(x) \notin V$  for all  $x \notin U$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an open cover of  $V$ . Pick a map  $\omega : V \rightarrow (0, 1]$  such that

- (1) whenever  $y \in V$  and  $z \in E$  satisfy  $|||y - z||| < 2\omega(y)$  then there exists an element  $\mathcal{V}$  containing both  $y$  and  $z$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be a homotopy of 3.2. Pick a map  $\varepsilon : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- (2)  $\varepsilon^{-1}(\{0\}) = E \setminus V$ ,
- (3)  $|||\Phi(y, \varepsilon(y)) - y||| < \omega(y)$  for all  $y \in V$ ,
- (4)  $(\frac{1}{\varepsilon(y)} + 4)^{-1} < \omega(y)$  for all  $y \in V$ .

Write  $\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(f(x))$  and  $\lambda(x) = (\frac{1}{\varepsilon(x)} + 4)^{-1}$ . Pick a homotopy  $\varphi$  from 3.3 and define  $g : U \rightarrow E$  by the formula

$$g(x) = \Phi(f(x), \varepsilon(x)) + \varphi(x, \lambda(x)).$$

Applying (3)–(4) and (v), we get

$$|||f(x) - g(x)||| < \omega(f(x)) + \lambda(x) < 2\omega(f(x))$$

for every  $x \in U$ . The property (1) of  $\omega$  assures that the range of  $g$  is  $V$  and that  $g$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -close to  $f$ . Clearly,  $g$  takes values in  $\sum_C E_n$  and, by (iv),  $g^{-1}(V \cap \sum_C H_n) = L \cap U$ .

To finish the proof, it remains to show that  $g : U \rightarrow V$  is a closed embedding. First we check that  $g$  is one-to-one. If  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{1}{n}$  then, by (ii),  $\Phi_p(f(x), \varepsilon(x)) = 0$  for all  $p \geq n + 3$ . Since  $\frac{1}{n+5} < \lambda(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+4}$  we have, by (vi),  $\varphi_{n+6}(x, \lambda(x)) \neq 0$  and  $\varphi_k(x, \lambda(x)) = 0$  for  $k \neq n + 4, n + 5, n + 6, n + 7$ . Assume that  $g(x) = g(x')$  and  $\varepsilon(x') \leq \varepsilon(x)$ . Letting  $\frac{1}{n'+1} < \varepsilon(x') \leq \frac{1}{n'}$ , we see that  $n' \geq n$  and, by (vi),  $\varphi_{n'+6}(x', \lambda(x')) \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$\varphi_{n'+6}(x', \lambda(x')) = g_{n'+6}(x') = g_{n'+6}(x) = \varphi_{n'+6}(x, \lambda(x)) \neq 0;$$

hence,  $n' = n$  or  $n + 1$ . Then, for every  $p \geq n + 4$ , we have  $\Phi_p(f(x'), \varepsilon(x')) = \Phi_p(f(x), \varepsilon(x)) = 0$  and consequently  $\varphi_p(x, \lambda(x)) = g_p(x) = g_p(x') = \varphi_p(x', \lambda(x'))$ . Since, by (vi),  $\varphi_p(x, \lambda(x)) = \varphi_p(x', \lambda(x')) = 0$  for all  $p \leq n + 3$ , we conclude that

$$\varphi(x, \lambda(x)) = \varphi(x', \lambda(x')).$$

The latter yields  $x = x'$  because  $\varphi$  is one-to-one. Now, suppose  $\{g(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to  $y = (y_n) \in V$  for some sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset U$ . Write  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon(x_i)$  and  $\lambda_i = \lambda(x_i)$ . We can assume that  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to  $\varepsilon_0 \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ , then  $\lim \lambda_i = 0$ . Using (v), we get  $\lim \Phi(f(x_i), \varepsilon_i) = y$ . Then, by (iii),  $\{f(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to  $y$ . By the continuity of  $\varepsilon$ , we get  $\varepsilon(y) = 0$  which contradicts (2). Therefore, we can assume that  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . Let

$$\varepsilon_0 = s_0 \frac{1}{n} + (1 - s_0) \frac{1}{n + 1}$$

for some  $0 < s_0 \leq 1$ . We can further assume that

$$\varepsilon_i = s_i \frac{1}{n} + (1 - s_i) \frac{1}{n \pm 1}.$$

Then, we have  $\Phi_{n+j}(x_i, \varepsilon_i) = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $j \geq 3$ ; and consequently the sequence  $\{\varphi_{n+j}(x_i, \lambda_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty = \{g_{n+j}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to  $y_{n+j}$  for all  $j \geq 3$ . For  $p \neq n + j$ , we have, by (vi),  $\varphi_p(x_i, \lambda_i) = 0$ . It follows that  $\{\varphi(x_i, \lambda_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges in  $E$ . Since  $\lim \lambda_i = (\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} + 4)^{-1} > 0$ , by (vii), the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is convergent in  $K$ . If  $\lim x_i = x \in K \setminus U$ , then  $\lim f(x_i) = f(x) \in E \setminus V$  and  $\lim \varepsilon(x_i) = \varepsilon(f(x)) = 0$ , contradicting (2). We have shown that  $g$  is a closed embedding.

*Proof of 3.2.* Pick a vector  $e_n \in H_n$  with  $\|e_n\| = 1$ . Define  $\Phi : E \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$  by  $\Phi(y, 0) = y$ ,

$$\Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n}\right) = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, 0, \|r_n(y)\| \cdot e_{n+1}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

and

$$\Phi\left(y, s \frac{1}{n} + (1 - s) \frac{1}{n + 1}\right) = s \Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n}\right) + (1 - s) \Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n + 1}\right)$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , and  $y = (y_n) \in E$ . It is clear that  $\Phi$  transforms  $\sum_C H_n \times [0, 1]$  into  $\sum_C H_n$ , is continuous on  $E \times (0, 1]$ , and satisfies (i) and (ii). The continuity of  $\Phi$  at the points  $(y, 0)$  will follow from the auxiliary estimations.

Given  $y = (y_n) \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\| y - \Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\| \right\| &\leq \left\| \left\| y - s_n(y) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| s_n(y) - \Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\| \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left\| r_n(y) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| r_n(y) \right\| \cdot e_{n+1} \right\| = 2 \left\| \left\| r_n(y) \right\| \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t = s \frac{1}{n} + (1 - s) \frac{1}{n + 1}$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , and  $y \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \left\| \left\| y - \Phi(y, t) \right\| \right\| &= \left\| \left\| s \left( y - \Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n}\right) \right) + (1 - s) \left( y - \Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n + 1}\right) \right) \right\| \right\| \\ &\leq s \left\| \left\| y - \Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\| \right\| + (1 - s) \left\| \left\| y - \Phi\left(y, \frac{1}{n + 1}\right) \right\| \right\| \leq 2s \left\| \left\| r_n(y) \right\| \right\| + \\ &\quad 2(1 - s) \left\| \left\| r_{n+1}(y) \right\| \right\| \leq 2 \left\| \left\| r_n(y) \right\| \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{(y(i), t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of  $E \times (0, 1]$  that is convergent to  $(y, 0) \in E \times \{0\}$  and let

$$(2) \quad t_i = s_i \frac{1}{n_i} + (1 - s_i) \frac{1}{n_i + 1} \text{ for some } 0 \leq s_i \leq 1 \text{ and } n_i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (1), we get

$$(3) \quad \left\| \left\| y - \Phi(y(i), t_i) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| y - y(i) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| y(i) - \Phi(y(i), t_i) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| y - y(i) \right\| \right\| + 2 \left\| \left\| r_{n_i}(y(i)) \right\| \right\|.$$

On the other hand, applying (B), we obtain

$$(4) \quad |||r_n(y(i))||| \leq |||r_n(y)||| + |||r_n(y - y(i))||| \leq |||r_n(y)||| + |||y - y(i)|||.$$

Combining (3) and (4), we get

$$|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| \leq 3|||y - y(i)||| + 2|||r_{n_i}(y)|||.$$

The latter inequality together with (C) yields the continuity of  $\Phi$  at  $(y, 0)$ .

Now, let  $\{(y(i), t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset E \times (0, 1]$  be such that  $\lim \Phi(y(i), t_i) = y \in E$  and  $\lim t_i = 0$ . Express  $t_i$  in the form of (2). We have

$$|||y - y(i)||| \leq |||s_{n_i}(y) - s_{n_i}(y(i))||| + |||r_{n_i}(y)||| + |||r_{n_i}(y(i))|||.$$

We see that  $s_{n_i}(y(i)) = s_{n_i}(\Phi(y(i), t_i))$ . Therefore, after using (A), we get

$$|||s_{n_i}(y) - s_{n_i}(y(i))||| = |||s_{n_i}(y - \Phi(y(i), t_i))||| \leq |||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)|||.$$

This implies

$$|||y - y(i)||| \leq |||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| + |||r_{n_i}(y)||| + |||r_{n_i}(y(i))|||.$$

Note that the first two terms tend to 0 if  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . To show (iii), it remains to verify that the last term also tends to 0. It is clear that it is enough to consider the case where  $s_i \geq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $i$  and the case where  $s_i < \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $i$ . In the first case, we apply (B) to the  $(n_i + 1)$ -coordinate and get

$$|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| \geq |||y_{n_i+1} - s_i|||r_{n_i}(y(i))||| \cdot e_{n_i+1}|||.$$

Then, since  $|||e_{n_i+1}||| = 1$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |||r_{n_i}(y(i))||| &\leq \frac{1}{s_i} (|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| + |||y_{n_i+1}|||) \\ &\leq 2 (|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| + |||y_{n_i+1}|||). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, according to (B) and (C),  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_i}(y(i)) = 0$ . In the case where  $s_i < \frac{1}{2}$ , we apply (B) to the  $n_i$ -coordinate and obtain

$$|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| \geq |||y_{n_i} - (1 - s_i)y_{n_i}(i)|||$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |||y_{n_i}(i)||| &\leq \frac{1}{1 - s_i} (|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| + |||y_{n_i}|||) \\ &< 2 (|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| + |||y_{n_i}|||). \end{aligned}$$

The same argument applied to the  $(n_i + 2)$ -coordinate yields

$$|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| \geq |||y_{n_i+2} - (1 - s_i)|||r_{n_i+1}(y(i))||| \cdot e_{n_i+2}|||.$$

As before, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |||r_{n_i+1}(y(i))||| &\leq \frac{1}{1 - s_i} (|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| + |||y_{n_i+2}|||) \\ &\leq 2 (|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| + |||y_{n_i+2}|||). \end{aligned}$$

The latter, in turn, implies

$$\begin{aligned} |||r_{n_i}(y(i))||| &\leq |||y_{n_i}(i)||| + |||r_{n_i+1}(y(i))||| \\ &\leq 4|||y - \Phi(y(i), t_i)||| + 2 (|||y_{n_i}||| + |||y_{n_i+2}|||). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, according to (B) and (C), the last two terms of the above inequality tend to 0 if  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .



*Note.* Condition (iii) is equivalent to the fact that the map  $(y, t) \rightarrow (\Phi(y, t), t)$  from  $E \times [0, 1]$  into  $E \times [0, 1]$  is closed over  $E \times \{0\}$ .

*Proof of 3.3.* By our assumption there exists a closed embedding  $\psi_n : K \rightarrow E_n$  such that

$$\psi^{-1}(H_n) = L \quad \text{and} \quad \|\|\psi_n(x)\|\| \leq \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Pick a vector  $e_n \in H_n$  with  $\|\|e_n\|\| = \frac{1}{2n}$ . Define  $\varphi = (\varphi_p)$  as follows:

$$\varphi_p\left(x, \frac{1}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq n, n + 2, \\ \psi_n(x) & \text{if } p = n, \\ e_{n+2} & \text{if } p = n + 2. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi\left(x, s\frac{1}{n} + (1-s)\frac{1}{n+1}\right) = s\varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{n}\right) + (1-s)\varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{n+1}\right)$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ . It is clear that  $\varphi$  is continuous and satisfies (vi) and (iv). We have

$$\|\|\varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{n}\right)\|\| \leq \|\|\psi_n(x)\|\| + \|\|e_{n+2}\|\| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Consequently, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|\varphi(x, t)\|\| &\leq s\|\|\varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{n}\right)\|\| + (1-s)\|\|\varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\|\| \\ &\leq s\frac{1}{n} + (1-s)\frac{1}{n+1} = t. \end{aligned}$$

To show that  $\varphi$  is one-to-one, let  $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi(x', t')$  for some  $(x, t), (x', t') \in K \times (0, 1]$ . If  $t = s\frac{1}{n} + (1-s)\frac{1}{n+1}$  with  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq s < 1$  (respectively,  $t = 1$ ), then the last nonvanishing coordinate of  $\varphi(x, t)$  is the  $(n+3)$ -coordinate (respectively, the third coordinate) and it equals  $(1-s)e_{n+3}$  (respectively,  $e_3$ ). This shows that  $t = t'$ . Clearly, we have  $\varphi_{n+1}(x, t) = (1-s)\psi_{n+1}(x)$  (respectively,  $\varphi_1(x, t) = \psi_1(x)$ ). Since  $\psi_n$  (respectively,  $\psi_1$ ) is an embedding, we get  $x = x'$ .

Let  $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of  $K \times (0, 1]$  such that  $\{\varphi(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges in  $E$  and  $\lim t_i = t_0 > 0$ . Assume that  $t_0 = s_0\frac{1}{n} + (1-s_0)\frac{1}{n+1}$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 < s_0 < 1$  (the case where  $t_0 = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , can be treated similarly). We may suppose that  $t_i = s_i\frac{1}{n} + (1-s_i)\frac{1}{n+1}$  for all  $i$ , where  $0 < s_i < 1$  and  $\lim s_i = s_0$ . Since  $\varphi_n(x_i, t_i) = s_i\psi_n(x_i)$ ,  $\{\psi_n(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges in  $E_n$ . Finally,  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges in  $K$  because  $\psi_n$  is a closed embedding.

In §§4 and 5, we will employ the following variation of Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\{(E_n, H_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of pairs of nontrivial normed linear spaces with each  $H_n$  dense in  $E_n$  and let  $C$  be a normed coordinate space. Fix a pair of spaces  $(K, L)$ . Assume there are pairwise disjoint infinite subsets  $N_1, N_2, \dots$  of the set of integers  $N$  such that  $N_k \cap \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\} = \emptyset$  and, writing*

$$C_k = \{(c_p)_{p \in N_k} : \exists c \in C \forall p \in N_k \pi_p(c) = c_p\}$$

and identifying  $C_k$  with the natural subspace of  $C$ , each  $C_k$  contains an element with infinitely many nonzero terms and there exists a bounded closed embedding  $\psi_k : K \rightarrow \prod_{C_k} E_p$  with  $\psi_k^{-1}(\prod_{C_k} H_p) = L$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Then, the pair  $(\prod_C E_n, \prod_C H_n)$  is strongly  $(K, L)$ -universal.

A proof of 3.4 will be omitted. Let us indicate that to get it one has to follow the proof of 3.1 and replace 3.3 by the lemma below.

**Lemma 3.5.** *There exists a one-to-one map*

$$\varphi : K \times (0, 1] \rightarrow \prod_C E_n$$

satisfying conditions (v) and (vii) of 3.3 together with

(iv')  $\varphi^{-1}(\prod_C H_n) = L \times (0, 1]$ ,

(vi') given  $n \geq 1$  there exists an integer  $k_n > k_{n-1}$  ( $k_1 \geq 1$ ) such that, if  $x \in K$  and  $\frac{1}{n+1} < t \leq \frac{1}{n}$  then  $\pi_{k_n} \varphi(x, t) \neq 0$  while  $\pi_k \varphi(x, t) = 0$  for all  $k \in N \setminus (N_{n+1} \cup N_{n+2} \cup \{k_n, k_{n+1}\})$ .

*Proof.* Pick  $k_n \in N_1$  with  $k_n > k_{n-1}$  ( $k_1 \geq 1$ ). By our assumption, there exists a closed embedding  $\psi_n : K \rightarrow \prod_{C_{n+1}} E_p$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that

$$\psi_n^{-1} \left( \prod_{C_{n+1}} H_p \right) = L \quad \text{and} \quad |||\psi_n(x)||| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Pick a vector  $e_{k_n} \in H_{k_n}$  with  $|||e_{k_n}||| = \frac{1}{2n}$ . Define  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$  as

$$\varphi_k \left( x, \frac{1}{n} \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \in N \setminus (N_{n+1} \cup \{k_n\}), \\ e_{k_n} & \text{if } k = k_n, \\ \pi_k \psi_n(x) & \text{if } k \neq k_n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi \left( x, s \frac{1}{n} + (1-s) \frac{1}{n+1} \right) = s \varphi \left( x, \frac{1}{n} \right) + (1-s) \varphi \left( x, \frac{1}{n+1} \right)$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ . Conditions (iv') and (vi') follow easily. To verify (v) and (vii), repeat a reasoning of the proof of 3.3.

The next result is a counterpart of Proposition 3.1 for cartesian products and can be viewed as a relative version of [4, Proposition 2.5]. We need to recall that by the weak product of  $X_i$ 's with the basepoints  $*_i \in X_i$  we mean

$$W(X_i, *_i) = \left\{ (x_i) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i : x_i = *_i \text{ for almost all } i \right\}$$

(endowed with the subspace topology).

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $X_i$  be a noncompact absolute retract and let  $Y_i$  be a subset of  $X_i$  such that  $X_i \setminus Y_i$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Fix a pair of spaces  $(K, L)$  and assume that for every  $i \geq 1$  there exists a closed embedding*

$$h_i : K \rightarrow X_i \quad \text{with} \quad h_i^{-1}(Y_i) = L.$$

*Then, for every choice of basepoints  $*_i \in Y_i$  and every set  $Z \subseteq X = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  with  $Z \cap W(X_i, *_i) = W(Y_i, *_i)$ , the pair  $(X, Z)$  is strongly  $(K, L)$ -universal.*

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 2.1 with the following data:  $X$ ,  $Y = W(Y_i, *_i)$ ,  $Y' = W(X_i, *_i)$ , and  $Z$ . It is clear that  $X$  is an absolute retract and both  $X \setminus Y$

and  $Y'$  are locally homotopy negligible in  $X$ . By [13, Lemma 2.2]  $Z$ -sets are strong  $Z$ -sets in  $X$ . Let  $\bar{f} : K \rightarrow X$  be such that  $f = (\bar{f}|_U) \circ \bar{f}|_U$  maps  $U$  into  $V \cap Y$  and  $\bar{f}(x) \notin V$  for all  $x \notin U$ , where  $U \subset K$  and  $V \subset X$  are open sets. Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be an open cover of  $V$ . We pick a map  $\mu : X_i \times X_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_i$  such that for all  $i \geq 1$

- (1)  $\mu_i(x, y, 0) = x$  and  $\mu_i(x, y, 1) = y$  for every  $x, y \in X_i$ ,
- (2)  $\mu_i(Y_i \times Y_i \times [0, 1]) \subset Y_i$ .

To construct  $\mu_i$ , choose any map  $\lambda_i : X_i \times X_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_i$  satisfying (1) and a homotopy  $(\phi_t^i) : X_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_i$  with  $\phi_0^i = \text{id}_{X_i}$  and  $\phi_t^i(X_i) \subset Y_i$  for all  $t > 0$  and define

$$\mu_i(x, y, t) = \phi_{t(1-t)}^i(\lambda_i(x, y, t)).$$

To produce  $\phi_t^i$ , use the fact that  $X_i \setminus Y_i$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X_i$  and apply [17, Theorem 2.4]. The same property implies that  $Y_i$  is an absolute retract [17, Theorem 3.1]; moreover, since  $X_i$  is noncompact,  $Y_i$  is nontrivial. As a consequence, there exists an embedding  $\alpha_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_i$  with

- (3)  $\alpha_i(0) = *_i$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

Fix  $t = s\frac{1}{n} + (1-s)\frac{1}{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , and let  $\Phi(x, t) = (y_i)$ , where

- (4)  $y_i = f_i(x)$  for  $i \leq n$ ,
- (5)  $y_i = *_i$  for  $i = n + 6$  and  $i \geq n + 9$ ,
- (6)  $y_{n+1} = \mu_{n+1}(f_{n+1}(x), *_n, s)$ ,
- (7)  $y_{n+2} = \mu_{n+2}(*_{n+2}, h_{n+2}(x), s)$ ,
- (8)  $y_{n+i} = h_{n+i}(x)$  for  $i = 3$  and  $4$ ,
- (9)  $y_{n+5} = \mu_{n+5}(h_{n+5}(x), *_n, s)$ ,
- (10)  $y_{n+7} = \alpha_{n+7}(s)$  and  $y_{n+8} = \alpha_{n+8}(1-s)$ .

Letting  $\Phi(x, 0) = f(x)$ , we easily check that  $\Phi : K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is well defined and continuous. Notice that, by (2),

- (11)  $\Phi(K \times (0, 1]) \subset W(X_i, *_i)$ ,
- (12)  $\Phi^{-1}(W(Y_i, *_i)) \cap (K \times (0, 1]) = L \times (0, 1]$ .

We claim that  $\Phi|_{K \times (0, 1]}$  is one-to-one. In fact, let  $(x, t)$  and  $(x', t')$  be such that  $\Phi(x, t) = \Phi(x', t')$ . If  $t = s\frac{1}{n} + (1-s)\frac{1}{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq s < 1$  (respectively,  $t = 1$ ), the last  $p$ th coordinate of  $\Phi(x, t)$ , different from  $*_p$ , occurs when  $p = n + 8$  (respectively,  $p = 8$ ) and it is equal to  $\alpha_{n+8}(1-s)$  (respectively,  $\alpha_8(1)$ ). Since  $\alpha$  is an embedding,  $\Phi(x, t)$  determines  $t$  and hence  $t = t'$ . According to (8),  $\Phi_{n+3}(x, t) = h_{n+3}(x)$  (respectively,  $\Phi_4(x, t) = h_4(x)$ ). Therefore,  $h_{n+3}(x) = h_{n+3}(x')$  (respectively,  $h_4(x) = h_4(x')$ ) and consequently we get  $x = x'$ .

Choose a map  $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- (13)  $\varepsilon^{-1}(\{0\}) = X \setminus V$ ,
- (14) whenever  $y \in V$  and  $y' \in X$  satisfy  $d(y, y') < \varepsilon(y)$  then there is an element of  $\mathcal{Z}$  containing both  $y$  and  $y'$ ,

where  $d$  is a metric on  $X = \prod_{i=1}^\infty X_i$  chosen so that  $d(y, y') < \frac{1}{n+1}$  if  $y$  and  $y'$  agree on the first  $n$  coordinates. By the choice of  $d$  and (4), we get

- (15)  $d(\Phi(x, \varepsilon(f(x))), f(x)) < \varepsilon(f(x))$ .

To see (15), observe that if  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \varepsilon(f(x)) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ , then  $d(\Phi(x, \varepsilon(f(x))), f(x)) \leq$

$\frac{1}{n+1} < \varepsilon(f(x))$ . Define  $g : U \rightarrow X$  by

$$g(x) = \Phi(x, \varepsilon(f(x))).$$

By (14) and (15),  $g$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -close to  $f$  and takes values in  $V$ . In turn, (5) and (12) imply that  $g$  takes values in  $W(X_i, *_{i})$  and satisfies  $g^{-1}(V \cap W(Y_i, *_{i})) = L \cap U$ .

It remains to verify that  $g : U \rightarrow V$  is a closed embedding. For some sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset U$  let  $\lim g(x_k) = y = (y_i) \in V$ . We may assume that  $\{\varepsilon(f(x_k))\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges to some  $\varepsilon_0 \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\varepsilon_0 = 0$  then, by (15),  $\lim f(x_k) = y$ , contradicting the fact that  $\varepsilon(y) > 0$ . If  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , then we may assume that  $\varepsilon(f(x_k)) \in (\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n-1})$  for some  $n$  and all  $k$ . According to (8),  $g_{n+3}(x_k) = h_{n+3}(x_k)$  and consequently  $\lim h_{n+3}(x_k) = y_{n+3}$ . Since  $h_{n+3}$  is a closed embedding,  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges in  $K$ . If  $\lim x_k = x \in K \setminus U$ , then  $\lim f(x_k) = f(x) \notin V$  and, by (13),  $\lim \varepsilon(f(x_k)) = \varepsilon(f(x)) = 0$ , a contradiction.

*Note 3.7.* Our proof of Proposition 3.6 requires that at least infinitely many of the  $X_n$ 's are noncompact. Otherwise, it may happen that not all  $Z$ -sets are strong  $Z$ -sets in  $X$  (see [13]). However, the proof (after minor modifications) still works if one assumes that all the  $X_n$ 's are nontrivial local compacta.

#### 4. BORELIAN ABSORBING SETS CAN BE LINEARLY REPRESENTED IN $l^2$

For every countable ordinal  $\alpha \geq 0$ , by  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  we denote the additive and multiplicative classes of all absolute Borelian sets of order  $\alpha$ , respectively. To be more specific,  $\mathcal{M}_0$  consists of all compacta,  $\mathcal{A}_1$  consists of all  $\sigma$ -compact spaces,  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}$  consists of all completely metrizable spaces, and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  consists of all absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sets. By  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , we denote the class of all projective sets of order  $n$ ;  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . A set that does not belong to  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n$  is called nonprojective.

The aim of this section is to find a linear representation of an  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ -absorbing set  $F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ -absorbing set  $G_\alpha$ ) in  $l^2$ . To perform this, we will make use of  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ -absorbing sets  $\Lambda_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ -absorbing sets  $\Omega_\alpha$  constructed in copies  $s$  of  $l^2$  in [4]. By the uniqueness theorem for absorbing sets [4],  $F_\alpha$  is homeomorphic to  $\Lambda_\alpha$  and  $G_\alpha$  is homeomorphic to  $\Omega_\alpha$ . Actually, we show that the pairs  $(l^2, F_\alpha)$  and  $(s, \Lambda_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$  (respectively,  $(l^2, G_\alpha)$  and  $(s, \Omega_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ ), are homeomorphic. The last is achieved by proving the strong  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ - and  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ -universality of suitable pairs. The multiplicative case of order  $\alpha = 1$  differs from the others and is treated separately in [8]; we include a description of  $G_1$  in our text in order to formulate the result in full generality.

We briefly recall the definition of  $\Lambda_\alpha$  and  $\Omega_\alpha$ . Set  $\Lambda_1 = \Sigma \subset R^\infty = s$  and  $\Omega_1 = W(R^\infty, 0) \subset (R^\infty)^\infty = s$ . Inductively, if  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  let  $\Omega_\alpha = \Lambda_\beta^\infty \subset s_\beta^\infty = s$ , where  $\Lambda_\beta$  is represented in  $s_\beta$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal let  $\Omega_\alpha = \prod_{\xi < \alpha} \Lambda_\xi^\infty \subset \prod_{\xi < \alpha} s_\xi^\infty = s$ , where  $\Lambda_\xi$  is represented in  $s_\xi$ . Finally, let  $\Lambda_\alpha = W(s_\alpha \setminus \Omega_\alpha, *) \subset s_\alpha^\infty = s$ , where  $\Omega_\alpha$  is represented in  $s_\alpha$  and  $*$  is an arbitrary basepoint of  $s_\alpha \setminus \Omega_\alpha$ . By the Kadec-Anderson theorem [2], the spaces  $s$  (in which  $\Lambda_\alpha$  and  $\Omega_\alpha$  are represented) are copies of  $l^2$ .

**Proposition 4.1** (cf. [4]). *For every  $\alpha \geq 2$ , the pairs  $(s, \Lambda_\alpha)$  and  $(s, \Omega_\alpha)$  are strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ - and  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ -universal, respectively. The pair  $(s, \Lambda_1) = (R^\infty, \Sigma)$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_1)$ -universal.*

*Proof.* We only present a proof of the multiplicative case. We repeat the argument of [4, Lemma 6.3] and use Proposition 3.6.

To show that  $(R^\infty, \Sigma)$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_1)$ -universal and fix a pair  $(K, L) \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_1)$ . There exists a closed embedding  $h : K \rightarrow R^\infty$  with  $h^{-1}(\Sigma) = L$ . (Take any closed embedding of  $K$  into  $R^\infty$  and compose it with a homeomorphism of  $R^\infty$  sending  $h(L) \cup \Sigma$  onto  $\Sigma$ ; see [2].) Now, by 3.6,  $((R^\infty)^\infty, W(\Sigma, 0))$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_1)$ -universal. Since the latter pair is homeomorphic to  $(R^\infty, \Sigma)$  [2, p. 275], the strong  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_1)$ -universality of  $(s, \Lambda_1)$  follows.

We assume that  $\alpha \geq 2$  and  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  (the case of a limit ordinal is analogous). Given  $(K, L) \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$  there exists  $L_i \subset K, L_i \in \mathcal{A}_\beta (i \geq 1)$ , such that  $L = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty L_i$ . By the inductive assumption, we find a closed embedding  $h_i : K \rightarrow s_\beta$  with  $h^{-1}(\Lambda_\beta) = L_i$ . Writing  $h = (h_i)$ , we see that  $h$  is a closed embedding of  $K$  into  $s_\beta^\infty = s$  with  $h^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty L_i = L$ . Finally, Proposition 3.6 yields the strong  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ -universality of  $(s_\beta^\infty, \Lambda_\beta^\infty) = (s, \Omega_\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *For every  $\alpha \geq 1$ , there exists a linear subspace  $F_\alpha$  of  $l^2$  which is an  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ -absorbing set and such that the pair  $(l^2, F_\alpha)$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ -universal. In particular,  $(l^2, F_\alpha)$  and  $(s, \Lambda_\alpha)$  are homeomorphic.*

*Proof. Construction of  $F_\alpha$ .* Let  $(A, B)$  be a copy of  $(s, \Lambda_\alpha)$ . Consider a closed embedding  $h$  of  $A$  onto a linearly independent subset of the unit sphere in  $l^2$  satisfying the following condition:

- (\*) for every  $a \in A$  and every closed subset  $F \subset A$  with  $a \notin F$  there exists a continuous linear functional  $x^* : l^2 \rightarrow R$  such that  $x^*(h(F)) \subseteq \{0\}$  while  $x^*(h(a)) \neq 0$ .

Condition (\*) is taken from [3] where it was checked that the embedding described by Bessaga and Pełczyński [2, p. 193] fulfils (\*). Denote by  $H$  the linear span of  $h(B)$  in  $l^2$  and by  $\overline{H}$  the closure of  $H$ . Since  $B$  is dense in  $A$ ,  $\overline{H}$  contains  $h(A)$  as a (closed) subset. Write  $(E_n, H_n) = (\overline{H}, H)$  and set

$$E = \prod_{l^2} E_n \quad \text{and} \quad F_\alpha = \sum_{l^2} H_n.$$

Clearly,  $E$  is isomorphic to  $l^2$  and  $F_\alpha$  is dense in  $E$ .

According to 4.1 and the fact that  $\Lambda_\alpha$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ -absorbing set [4], it suffices to prove that the pair  $(E, F_\alpha)$  fulfils the requirements (i)–(iv) of 2.2 for the class  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . Condition (i) is a consequence of the fact that  $F_\alpha$  is linear and dense in  $E$  (see, e.g., [17, Remark 2.9]). Since each set  $A_k = \{(y_n) \in F_\alpha : y_i = 0 \text{ for } i \geq k + 1\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $F_\alpha$  and  $F_\alpha = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k$ ,  $F_\alpha$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space. Condition (iv) follows directly from 3.1 and 4.1 because  $A$  is closed in  $E_n$ . The remaining condition (iii) can be concluded from (ii) and the lemma below.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The space  $H$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* We shall make use of the cross-section argument due to Klee [2, p. 271]. The  $n$ -fold product  $B^n$  admits a  $\sigma$ -closed cross-section, i.e., there exists a subset  $F$  of  $B^n$  that is a countable union of closed sets  $F_k$  such that

- (1) if  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in F$  then  $b_i \neq b_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ,
- (2) whenever  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are  $n$  distinct points of  $B$  then there exists exactly one permutation of  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  that belongs to  $F$ .

By (1) and (2) the linear combination map  $\chi$  given by

$$((b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)) \rightarrow \lambda_1 h(b_1) + \lambda_2 h(b_2) + \dots + \lambda_n h(b_n)$$

transforms in a one-to-one way the product  $F_k \times D_k^p$  onto  $N_k^p \subset H$ , where

$$D_k^p = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) : \frac{1}{p} \leq |\lambda_i| \leq p \text{ for all } i \right\}$$

and  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ . Employing, as in [3, Lemma 3.3], the condition (\*) one shows that  $\chi|_{F_k \times D_k^p}$  is a homeomorphism. It shows that each  $N_k^p$ , and hence  $H^n = \bigcup_{k,p=1}^\infty N_k^p$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . Since  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty H^n$ , we get  $H \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *For every  $\alpha \geq 1$ , there exists a linear subspace  $G_\alpha$  of  $l^2$  which is an  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ -absorbing set and such that the pair  $(l^2, G_\alpha)$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ -universal. In particular,  $(l^2, G_\alpha)$  and  $(s, \Omega_\alpha)$  are homeomorphic for  $\alpha \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* The case for  $\alpha = 1$  differs from the others. In [8] it was shown that the space

$$G_1 = \left\{ (x_n) \in l^2; \sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n| < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n = 0 \right\}$$

is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorbing set and, moreover, the pair  $(l^2, G_1)$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ -universal.

*Construction of  $G_\alpha$ .* Let  $\alpha \geq 2$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then choose an increasing sequence of ordinals  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  convergent to  $\alpha$ ; otherwise,  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  and let  $\beta_n = \beta$ . Pick, by 4.2, a pair  $(E_n, F_n) = (l^2, F_{\beta_n})$  which is  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_{\beta_n})$ -universal. Set

$$E = \prod_{l^2} E_n \text{ and } G_\alpha = \prod_{l^2} F_n.$$

The space  $E$  is isomorphic to  $l^2$  and  $G_\alpha$  is its linear dense subspace.

Using 4.1 and the fact that  $\Omega_\alpha$  is an  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ -absorbing set [4], it is enough to verify conditions (i)–(iv) of 2.2 for the pair  $(E, G_\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . Condition (i) follows as in the proof of 4.2. A simple argument shows that  $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . Since  $F_1$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space,  $G_\alpha$  is also a  $Z_\alpha$ -space. It remains to verify that  $(E, G_\alpha)$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ -universal. We will apply 3.4. Let  $N_1, N_2, \dots$  be any decomposition of the set of integers  $N$  into pairwise disjoint infinite sets. Then, the space  $C_k$  defined in 3.4 is  $l^2(N_k)$ , the space of all square summable sequences indexed by the integers of  $N_k$ . As a result  $(\prod_{C_k} E_p, \prod_{C_k} H_p) = (\prod_{l^2(N_k)} E_p, \prod_{l^2(N_k)} F_p)$ . By the choice of  $\beta_n$ , it is clear that the following lemma will finish the proof of 4.4.

**Lemma 4.5.** *For every  $(K, L) \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$  there exists a bounded closed embedding  $\psi : K \rightarrow E$  with  $\psi^{-1}(G_\alpha) = L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty L_k$ , where  $L_k \subset K$ ,  $L_{k+1} \subset L_k$ , and  $L_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta_{n_k}}$  for some  $n_k$  with  $n_{k+1} > n_k$ . Write  $\beta(k) = \beta_{n_k}$ . Since  $(E_{n_k}, F_{n_k})$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_{\beta(k)})$ -universal, there exists a closed embedding  $\psi_{n_k} : K \rightarrow E_{n_k}$  such that

- (1)  $\|\psi_{n_k}(x)\| \leq (\frac{1}{2})^{n_k}$  for all  $x \in K$ ,
- (2)  $\psi_{n_k}^{-1}(F_{n_k}) = L_k$ .

Write  $\psi_k \equiv 0$  for all  $n \neq n_k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) and set  $\psi = (\psi_n)$ . By (1),  $\psi$  is continuous and bounded. It is easy to see that  $\psi : K \rightarrow E$  is a closed embedding with  $\psi^{-1}(G_\alpha) = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty L_k = L$ .

*Remark 4.6.* As pointed out in [8], the pair  $(s, \Omega_1) = ((R^\infty)^\infty, W(R^\infty, 0))$  is not strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ -universal. (If it were, then by 2.2,  $(s, \Omega_1)$  and  $(l^2, G_1)$  would be homeomorphic; consequently,  $G_1$  would be  $\sigma$ -closed in  $l^2$ , which contradicts a result of [15].)

*Remark 4.7.* The spaces  $\Lambda_\alpha$  and  $\Omega_\alpha$  can be realized as linear subspaces in other normed coordinate products  $\prod_C E_n$ . The only restriction is the condition (\*).

*Remark 4.8.* The result of 4.1 can be readily generalized to the triple case. Representing  $\Lambda_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \geq 1$ ) and  $\Omega_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \geq 2$ ) in  $R^\infty$ , we could consider the triples

$$(\bar{R}^\infty, R^\infty, \Lambda_\alpha) \text{ and } (\bar{R}^\infty, R^\infty, \Omega_\alpha),$$

where  $\bar{R} = [-\infty, +\infty]$ . These triples are strongly  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ - and  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ -universal, respectively (with an obvious meaning of the triple strong universality).

In §6 we shall need the following fact concerning the complements of  $F_\alpha$  and  $G_\alpha$ .

**Corollary 4.9.** *The space  $l^2 \setminus F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $l^2 \setminus G_\alpha$ ) has the following properties:*

- (i)  $l^2 \setminus F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $l^2 \setminus G_\alpha$ ) is a Baire space,
- (ii)  $l^2 \setminus F_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  (respectively,  $l^2 \setminus G_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ),
- (iii)  $l^2 \setminus F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $l^2 \setminus G_\alpha$ ) is homogeneous,
- (iv) for every (closed) ball  $B \subset l^2$ ,  $B \setminus F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $B \setminus G_\alpha$ ) is an absolute retract.

*Proof.* We will only deal with the  $F_\alpha$ -case, the  $G_\alpha$ -case is analogous. Conditions (i) and (ii) follow from the fact that  $F_\alpha$  is of the first category and that  $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . To show (iii), we produce a homeomorphism of  $l^2$  that preserves  $F_\alpha$  and carries  $y \in l^2 \setminus F_\alpha$  onto  $y' \in l^2 \setminus F_\alpha$ . Let  $h$  be any homeomorphism of  $l^2$  with  $h(y) = y'$ , e.g.,  $h$  is the translation. Then, the pairs  $(l^2, h(F_\alpha))$  and  $(l^2, F_\alpha)$  are strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ -universal. The proof of [7, Theorem 2.1] can be easily modified to achieve a homeomorphism  $k$  of  $l^2$  that carries  $h(F_\alpha)$  onto  $F_\alpha$  and preserves  $\{y'\}$  (set  $X_0 = Y_0 = \{y'\} \subset X_1 \cap Y_1$ ). We see that  $k \circ h$  preserves  $F_\alpha$  and sends  $y$  onto  $y'$ . Condition (iv) is a consequence of the fact that  $B \cap F_\alpha$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $B$  and [17, Theorem 3.1]. Assume  $0 \in \text{int} B$  and pick  $y_0 \in B \setminus F_\alpha$ . Since the homotopy  $f_t(y) = (1-t)y + ty_0$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) takes its values in  $B \setminus F_\alpha$  for  $t > 0$  and  $f_0 = \text{id}$ , the local homotopy negligibility of  $B \cap F_\alpha$  in  $B$  follows.

### 5. APPLICATION TO $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -SPACES

In this section we identify various absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sets carrying product structures to be homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2 = \Sigma^\infty$ . The spaces we deal with will be considered with both normed and cartesian product topologies. We start with a direct application of Proposition 3.4 to coordinate products of normed  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -spaces. A counterpart of 5.1 for cartesian products was previously obtained in [13].

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\prod_C H_n$  be a normed coordinate product of absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -spaces  $H_n$  in the sense of a Banach space  $C$ . Assume that infinitely many of the  $H_n$ 's are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces. Then  $\prod_C H_n$  is homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$ . Moreover, writing  $E_n$  for the linear completion of  $H_n$ , the pairs  $(\prod_C E_n, \prod_C H_n)$  and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are homeomorphic.*

We shall make use of the two lemmas below. A proof of the first one is implicitly contained in [12, Lemma 5.4] and therefore it will be omitted.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{M}$  be an absolute retract and  $Y \subset X$  be a  $Z_\sigma$ -space such that  $X \setminus Y$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X$ . Then, for every  $L \in \mathcal{A}_1$  of the Hilbert cube  $I^\infty$ , there exists a map  $\varphi : I^\infty \rightarrow X$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(Y) = L$ .*

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $(H_n, \|\cdot\|_{H_n})$  be a normed linear space that is noncompactly embedded into a Banach space  $(E_n, \|\cdot\|_{E_n})$ , i.e.,  $H_n \subseteq E_n$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{E_n} \leq \|\cdot\|_{H_n}$ , and the  $E_n$ -closures of  $H_n$ -balls are noncompact. Then, for every coordinate Banach space  $C$  and every  $K \in \mathcal{M}$ , there exists a bounded closed embedding  $\psi : K \rightarrow \prod_C E_n$  such that  $\psi(K) \subset \prod_C H_n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $C$  is complete, there is  $c = (c_n) \in C$  with all the  $c_n$ 's strictly positive. It is enough to construct a closed embedding  $\psi = (\psi_n) : K \rightarrow \prod_C E_n$  with  $\psi_n(x) \in H_n$  and  $\|\psi_n(x)\|_{H_n} \leq c_n$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Fix a metric  $d$  on the Hilbert cube  $I^\infty = [0, 1]^\infty$ . Embed  $K$  into  $I^\infty$  and write  $I^\infty \setminus K = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n$ , where each  $F_n$  is a closed subset of  $I^\infty$ . Define  $d_n(q) = \text{dist}_d(q, F_n)$ ,  $q \in I^\infty$ . We claim that there exists a closed embedding  $\alpha_n : [0, \infty) \rightarrow E_n$  such that  $\alpha_n(t) \in H_n$  and  $\|\alpha_n(t)\|_{H_n} \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . This follows from the noncompactness of the  $E_n$ -closure of the  $H_n$ -unit ball and Klee's result [14] that every noncompact closed convex subset  $F$  of  $E_n$  contains a copy of  $[0, \infty)$ . (The piecewise linear embedding  $\alpha$  constructed by Klee can be improved to get the nodes of  $\alpha$  contained in any dense linear subset of  $F$ .) Pick a vector  $e_{2n} \in H_{2n}$  with  $\|e_{2n}\|_{H_{2n}} = 1$ . Define  $\psi = (\psi_n)$  by

$$\psi_{2n}(q) = c_{2n}q_n e_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{2n-1}(q) = c_{2n-1}\alpha_{2n-1}((d_n(q))^{-1})$$

for  $q = (q_n) \in K$ . It is clear that  $\psi : K \rightarrow \prod_C E_n$  is one-to-one and  $\|\psi_n(q)\|_{H_n} \leq c_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $q \in K$ . By [16, Lemma 1.4],  $\psi$  is continuous. If  $\{\psi(q(i))\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is convergent in  $\prod_C E_n$ , then there exists  $q_0 \in I^\infty$  with  $\lim q(i) = q_0$ . Assume that  $q_0 \in F_k$  for some  $k$ . Then  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_k(q(i)) = 0$ , contradicting the fact that  $\{(d_k(q(i)))^{-1}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges. The latter is a consequence of the facts that the sequence  $\{\alpha_{2k-1}((d_k(q(i)))^{-1})\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is convergent in  $E_k$  and that  $\alpha$  is a closed embedding.

*Proof of 5.1.* We show that the pair  $(E, H) = (\prod_C E_n, \prod_C H_n)$  satisfies (i)–(iv) of 2.2 with  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_2$ . By the Kadec-Anderson theorem [2],  $E$  is a copy of  $l^2$ . A standard argument yields (i)–(iii). The strong  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_2)$ -universality of  $(E, H)$  will be derived from Proposition 3.4. Fix a pair  $(K, L) \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_2)$ . Find pairwise disjoint infinite subsets  $N_1, N_2, \dots$  of  $N$  so that  $H_p$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space for every  $p \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty N_k$ . Let  $C_k$  be the subspace of  $C$  that corresponds to  $N_k$  (see 3.4). Write  $E^k = \prod_{C_k} E_p$  and  $H^k = \prod_{C_k} H_p$ . To fulfil the hypothesis of 3.4 we have to produce a bounded closed embedding  $\psi_k : K \rightarrow E^k$  with  $\psi_k^{-1}(H^k) = L$ . To this end we split  $C_k = C_k^1 \oplus C_k^2$  into two coordinate spaces and find a bounded closed embedding  $\psi_k^1 : K \rightarrow \prod_{C_k^1} E_p$  with  $\psi_k^1(K) \subset \prod_{C_k^1} H_p$



and a bounded map  $\psi_k^2 : K \rightarrow \prod_{C_k^2} E_p$  with  $(\psi_k^2)^{-1}(\prod_{C_k^2} H_p) = L$ . Finally, letting  $\psi_k = (\psi_k^1, \psi_k^2)$  we get a required embedding (we identify  $\prod_{C_k} E_p$  with  $\prod_{C_k^1} E_p \oplus \prod_{C_k^2} E_p$ ).

To find  $\psi_k^1$  we apply Lemma 5.3. In this case  $H_p$  is a genuine subspace of an infinite-dimensional Banach space  $E_p$ ; hence the balls in  $E_p$  are noncompact. Let  $c = (c_p) \in C_k^2$  be such that all  $c_p$  are strictly positive. Embed  $K$  into  $I^\infty$  and represent  $L = \bigcap_p L_p$  so that each  $L_p$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and  $\{L_p\}$  is descending. Use Lemma 5.2 with  $X = B(c_p)$ , the closed ball in  $E_p$  centered at 0 with radius  $c_p$ ,  $Y = B(c_p) \cap H_p$ , and  $L = L_p$ . We get maps  $\phi_p : I^\infty \rightarrow B(c_p)$  with  $\phi_p^{-1}(Y) = L_p$ . Finally, we set  $\psi_k^2(x) = (\phi_p(x))$ ,  $x \in K$ . The continuity of  $\psi_k^2$  follows from [16, Lemma 4.1]. To verify the hypothesis of 5.2, notice that  $Y$  is convex and dense in  $X$ . Let  $H_p = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty A_m$ , where each  $A_m$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $H_p$ . Then  $\text{int}(B(c_p)) \cap A_m$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\text{int}(B(c_p)) \cap H_p$ . Since  $\text{int}(B(c_p)) \cap H_p$  is convex and dense in  $B(c_p) \cap H_p$ ,  $B(c_p) \cap A_m$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $B(c_p) \cap H_p$ . It shows that  $Y$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space.

A direct consequence of 5.1 is

*Note 5.4.* The simplest pre-Hilbert space representation of  $\Omega_2$  is the space  $\prod_{l^2} l_f^2$ , where  $l_f^2 = \{(x_i) \in l^2 : x_i = 0 \text{ for almost all } i\}$ . Moreover, the pairs  $(\prod_{l^2} l^2, \prod_{l^2} l_f^2)$  and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are homeomorphic.

Consider the set  $\prod_C H_n = H$  as a subspace of the cartesian product  $\prod_{n=1}^\infty E_n = E$ . By the Kadec-Anderson theorem [2],  $E$  is a copy of  $l^2$ . Easily,  $E \setminus H$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $E$ . We claim that  $C$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset of  $R^\infty$ . This is a consequence of the equality

$$C = \left\{ (x_n) \in R^\infty : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists k \forall m > k \left\| \sum_{i=k}^m x_i u_i \right\|_C \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

( $u_i$  is the  $i$ th unit vector). Consider the map  $f(x) = (\|x_n\|)$ ,  $x = (x_n) \in E$ , and notice that  $f^{-1}(C) = \prod_C E_n$ . This shows that  $\prod_C E_n \in \mathcal{M}_2$ . Since  $\prod_C H_n = \prod_C E_n \cap \prod_{n=1}^\infty H_n$ ,  $H$  is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set. Repeating (with obvious changes) the remaining part of the proof of 5.1, we get the following generalization of a result [13].

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of normed linear spaces such that each  $H_n$  is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set and infinitely many of the  $H_n$ 's are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces. Then, for every coordinate Banach space  $C$ , the space  $\prod_C H_n$  considered in the product topology, is homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$ . Moreover, if  $E_n$  is the linear completion of  $H_n$  then the pairs  $(\prod_{n=1}^\infty E_n, \prod_C H_n)$  and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are homeomorphic.*

*Remark 5.6.* The hypothesis that infinitely many of the  $H_n$ 's are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces is essential. Consider the coordinate space  $c_0 = \{(x_i) \in R^\infty : \lim x_i = 0\}$  with the  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm. Note that  $c_0 \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_\infty(k)$ , where  $B_\infty(k) = \{x \in R^\infty : \|x\|_\infty \leq k\}$ . This shows that  $c_0$  is contained in a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $R^\infty$ . On the other hand  $\Omega_2$  contains a copy of  $R^\infty$  closed in  $s$ . This shows that  $(R^\infty, \prod_{c_0} R)$  and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are not homeomorphic, contrary to the expectation expressed in [13]. Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 5.2 yield the strong  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_2)$ -universality of the pair  $(R^\infty, c_0)$ .

For the  $c_0$ -products we have the following generalization of [13, Theorem 4.2].

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let, for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $X_n$  be a subset of a Banach space  $(E_n, \|\cdot\|_n)$  with  $0 \in X_n$  so that  $\inf_{n \geq 1} \text{diam}(X_n) = \alpha > 0$ . Assume that each  $X_n$  is an absolute retract that is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set. Then the space*

$$X = \prod_{c_0} X_n = \left\{ (x_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n; \|x_n\|_n \rightarrow 0 \right\}$$

(endowed with the product topology) is homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$ .

*Proof.* We will show that  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{M}_2$ -absorbing set in some copy of  $l^2$ , i.e., we will verify conditions (i)–(iii) of 2.2 and the strong  $\mathcal{M}_2$ -universality of  $X$ . Then, the uniqueness theorem for absorbing sets [4] yields our assertion. Since  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  is an absolute retract and  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \setminus X$  is locally homotopy negligible,  $X$  is also an absolute retract [17, Theorem 3.1]. Decompose the set of integers  $N$  into pairwise disjoint infinite sets  $N_1, N_2, \dots$ . Write  $X^i = \prod_{p \in N_i} X_p$  and  $Y^i = \{(x_p) \in X^i : \|x_p\|_p \rightarrow 0\}$  and let  $\Psi : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X^i$  be the natural isomorphism. We have

$$(1) \quad \Psi(X) \supset W(Y^i, 0).$$

Note that each  $Y^i$  is noncompact. (If it were compact then, because  $Y^i$  is dense in  $X^i$ , we would get  $X^i = Y^i$ , contradicting the fact that  $\alpha > 0$ .) Now, each  $Y^i$  has a completion  $Z^i$  with  $Z^i \setminus Y^i$  locally homotopy negligible in  $Z^i$  [17, Proposition 4.1]. Since  $Y^i$  is noncompact, we can assume that  $Z^i$  is also noncompact (if it were compact take  $Z^i \setminus \{*\}$ , where  $* \in Z^i \setminus Y^i$ ). Then the product  $s = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Z^i$  is a copy of  $l^2$  [18, Theorem 5.1] with  $s \setminus X$  locally homotopy negligible in  $s$ ; this shows (i). An argument preceding Theorem 5.5 applies to show that  $X$  is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set. Writing  $A_m = \{(x_n) \in X : \|x_j\|_j \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ for all } j \geq m+1\}$ , we see that  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m = X$  and that each  $A_m$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $X$ . In proving the strong  $\mathcal{M}_2$ -universality of  $X$  we employ 3.6 with  $Y_i = Y^i$ ,  $K = L \in \mathcal{M}_2$ , and  $Z = \Psi(X)$ . To produce a closed embedding of  $L$  into  $Y^i$ , we may assume that  $Y^i = X$ .

Write  $B^i(\varepsilon) = \{(x_p) \in X^i : \|x_p\|_p \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } p \in N_i\}$  and notice that

$$(2) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Y^i \cap B^i(2^{-i}) \text{ is a closed subset of } \Psi(X).$$

By (2) and the fact that a countable product of  $Z_{\sigma}$ -spaces that are absolute retracts contains a closed copy of  $\Omega_2$  [13, Corollary 2.5], it suffices to check that each  $Y^i \cap B^i(2^{-i})$  contains a closed  $Z_{\sigma}$ -space that is an absolute retract. Assuming  $\alpha \geq 2^{-i}$ , we choose in each  $X_p$  an arc  $T_p$  joining 0 with some  $x_p$  with  $\|x_p\|_p = 2^{-i}$ . Write  $T^i = Y^i \cap \prod_{p \in N_i} T_p$ . Then  $T^i$  is a closed subset of  $Y^i$ . The argument showing that  $X$  is a  $Z_{\sigma}$ -space applies also to verify that  $T^i$  is a  $Z_{\sigma}$ -space. The proof is completed.

Let us note a relative version of [13, Corollary 2.7].

**Remark 5.8.** Let  $X_n \in \mathcal{M}$  be a noncompact absolute retract and let  $Y_n$  be a subset of  $X_n$  such that  $X_n \setminus Y_n$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If each  $Y_n$  is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set and infinitely many of the  $Y_n$ 's are  $Z_{\sigma}$ -spaces, then the pairs  $(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n, \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)$  and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are homeomorphic. Apply 2.2 together with 3.6. To produce a closed embedding  $h : K \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$

with  $h^{-1}(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n) = L$  employ Lemma 5.2 and the fact that  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  contains a closed copy of  $[0, \infty)$  that lives in  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ . Moreover, adopting Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 5.2 to the triple case one can get a homeomorphism of suitable triples (see [9]); 4.8.

Let us recall that by  $L^p[a, b]$  we denote the space of equivalence classes of Lebesgue measurable functions  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  with

$$\|x\|_p = \left( \int_a^b |x(t)|^p dt \right)^{\min(1, \frac{1}{p})} < \infty$$

with the topology induced by the  $F$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_p, 0 < p < \infty$ . Write  $\tilde{L}^p[a, b] = \bigcap_{p' < p} L_{p'}[a, b], 0 < p \leq \infty$ , and by  $\tilde{L}_q^p[a, b]$  denote the set  $\tilde{L}^p[a, b]$  with the  $\|\cdot\|_q$ -topology,  $q < p$ . Note that  $\tilde{L}_q^p[a, b]$  is dense in  $L^q[a, b]$ . We skip the symbol  $[a, b]$  if  $[a, b] = [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** *The pairs  $(L^q, \tilde{L}_q^p)$  and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are homeomorphic for  $0 < q < p \leq \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Mazur's homeomorphism [2, p. 207] of  $L^1$  onto  $L^q$  transforms  $\tilde{L}^p$  onto  $\tilde{L}^{pq}$ . Therefore, it suffices to consider the case of  $q = 1$  (and arbitrary  $p > 1$ ).

We write  $\tilde{L}^p = \tilde{L}_1^p$ . Since  $L^1$  is a copy of  $l^2$  [2], it is enough to verify conditions (i)–(iv) of 2.2. The local homotopy negligibility of  $L^1 \setminus \tilde{L}^p$  follows in a standard way. Note that each  $L^p$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subspace of  $L^q$  for  $p > q$ . (This is a consequence of the facts that  $L^p$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subspace of  $L^0$ , the space of measurable functions with the convergence in measure topology (see [13]), and that the  $L^0$ -topology is weaker than the  $\|\cdot\|_q$ -topology.) Select an increasing sequence  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (1, p)$  that converges to  $p$ . Since  $\tilde{L}^p = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L^{p_n}$ , we get  $\tilde{L}^p \in \mathcal{M}_2$ .

To prove that  $\tilde{L}^p$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space, we choose  $1 < p' < p$  and write

$$B_{p'}(\varepsilon) = \{x \in L^{p'} : \|x\|_{p'} \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Since  $\tilde{L}^p = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{p'}(k) \cap \tilde{L}^p$  it suffices to check that each  $A = B_{p'}(k) \cap \tilde{L}^p$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\tilde{L}^p$ . First of all, note that  $B_{p'}(k)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $L^1$  because it is a closed subset of a locally homotopy negligible set  $L^{p'}$  in  $L^1$ . Then, using the fact that  $L^1 \setminus \tilde{L}^p$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $L^1$ , we infer that  $A$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\tilde{L}^p$  (see [5, Lemma 2.6]).

We make use of 3.1 to verify the strong  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_2)$ -universality of  $(L^1, \tilde{L}^p)$ . The map  $\Psi$  given by

$$\Psi(x) = (x|[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}])_{n=1}^{\infty},$$

$x \in L^1$ , is a linear isomorphism of  $L^1$  onto  $\prod_{i,1} L^1[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]$ . Writing  $Z = \Psi(\tilde{L}^p)$ , we have

$$Z \cap \sum_{i,1} L^1[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}] = \sum_{i,1} \tilde{L}^p[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}].$$

Since the pair  $(E_n, H_n) = (L^1[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}], \tilde{L}^p[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}])$  is (naturally) isomorphic to  $(L^1, \tilde{L}^p)$ , the lemma below verifies the hypothesis of 3.1 and thus finishes the proof of 5.9.

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $(K, L) \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_2)$ . There exists a bounded closed embedding  $\psi : K \rightarrow L^1$  with  $\psi^{-1}(\tilde{L}^p) = L$ .*

*Proof.* We repeat a reasoning from the proof of 5.1. First, we find a bounded closed embedding  $\psi^1 : K \rightarrow L^1[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  with  $\psi^1(K) \subset \tilde{L}^p$ . Then, we produce a bounded map  $\psi^2 : K \rightarrow L^1[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  with  $(\psi^2)^{-1}(\tilde{L}^p[0, \frac{1}{2}]) = L$ . Finally, we let  $\psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2)$ . To get  $\psi^1$ , we apply 5.3 with  $H_n = L^p[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ ,  $E_n = L^1[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , and  $C = l^1$ . It is clear that  $H_n$  is noncompactly embedded in  $E_n$ . Consequently there exists a bounded closed embedding  $\psi^1 : K \rightarrow \prod_{l^1} L^1[\frac{1}{2}, 1] = L^1[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  such that  $\psi^1(K) \subset \prod_{l^1} L^p[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \subset \prod_{l^p} L^p[\frac{1}{2}, 1] = L^p[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \subset \tilde{L}^p[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . To obtain  $\psi^2$ , embed  $K$  into  $I^\infty$  and represent  $L = \bigcap_{n=2}^\infty L_n$  with each  $L_n$   $\sigma$ -compact and  $L_{n+1} \subset L_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Recall that  $\{p_n\} \subset (1, p)$  converges to  $p$ . If we find maps  $\varphi_n : K \rightarrow L^{p_n}[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]$  such that  $\varphi_n^{-1}(\tilde{L}^p[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]) = L_n$  and  $\|\varphi_n(x)\|_{p_n} \leq 2^{-n}$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\psi^2$  defined by

$$\psi^2(x)|[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}] = \varphi_n(x),$$

$x \in K$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is as required.

To produce  $\varphi_n$ , we apply 5.2 for  $(X, Y) = (B_{p_n}(2^{-n}), B_{p_n}(2^{-n}) \cap \tilde{L}^p)$ . Since  $Y$  is convex and dense in  $X$ ,  $X \setminus Y$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $X$ . Pick  $p_n < p' < p$ . We have

$$Y = B_{p_n}(2^{-n}) \cap \tilde{L}^p = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_{p'}(k) \cap B_{p_n}(2^{-n}) \cap \tilde{L}^p.$$

We claim that each  $A = B_{p'}(k) \cap B_{p_n}(2^{-n}) \cap \tilde{L}^p$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Y$ . Since  $B_{p'}(k)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $L^{p_n}$ , it easily follows that  $B_{p'}(k) \cap B_{p_n}(2^{-n})$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $B_{p_n}(2^{-n})$ . Now the local homotopy negligibility of  $X \setminus Y$  in  $X$  implies, via [5, Lemma 2.6], that  $A$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Y$ . This finishes the proof.

*Remark 5.11.* One could likely elaborate an abstract scheme of identifying some normed coordinate products that are homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$ , as done for cartesian products in [13]. Due to replacing the convex structure by a suitable equiconnected structure on  $L^0([0, 1], G)$ , the space of measurable  $G$ -valued functions on  $[0, 1]$ , it was proved in [13] that  $\tilde{L}^p([0, 1], G)$  (with the  $L^0$ -topology) is homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$ , provided  $G$  is a closed unbounded subset of a Banach space. Using 3.6 and 5.2, one can show that the pair  $(L^0([0, 1], G), \tilde{L}^p([0, 1], G))$  is homeomorphic to  $(s, \Omega_2)$  for  $0 < p \leq \infty$ . To produce a closed embedding of  $R^\infty$  in  $L^0([0, 1], G)$  with values in  $B = \{x \in L^1 : |x(t)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ almost everywhere}\}$ , we use the argument of 5.3 and the fact that  $B \cap L^0([0, 1], G)$  is a copy of  $l^2$  [2]. It is likely that the pairs  $(L^q([0, 1], G), \tilde{L}_q^p([0, 1], G))$  and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are also homeomorphic.

By  $l^p$  we denote the space of real-valued sequences  $x = (x_n)$  such that

$$\|x\|_p = \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n|^p \right)^{\min(1, \frac{1}{p})} < \infty$$

with the topology induced by the  $F$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ . Write  $\tilde{l}^p =$

$\bigcap_{p' > p} l^{p'}$ ,  $0 \leq p < \infty$ , and denote by  $\tilde{l}_q^p$  the space  $\tilde{l}^p$  with the  $\|\cdot\|_q$ -topology,  $q > p$ . Note that  $\tilde{l}_q^p$  is a dense linear subspace of  $l^q$ .

**Theorem 5.12.** *The pairs  $(l^q, \tilde{l}_q^p)$  and  $(s, \Omega_2)$  are homeomorphic for  $0 \leq p < q < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of 5.9, we only need to check that  $(l^1, \tilde{l}^p)$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ , fulfils conditions (i)–(iv) of 2.2; we write  $\tilde{l}^p = \tilde{l}_1^p$ . A verification of (i) and (iii) is almost the same as in 5.9 and uses the observation that

$$B_{p'}(\varepsilon) = \{x \in l^{p'} : \|x\| \leq \varepsilon\}$$

is closed in the  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -topology ( $p > p'$ ). Also, every set  $B_{p'}(k) \cap \tilde{l}^p$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\tilde{l}^p$ , yielding (ii). To verify (iv) we make use of 3.1. Decompose  $N$  into pairwise disjoint infinite sets  $N_1, N_2, \dots$ . Consider the linear isomorphism  $\Psi : l^1 \rightarrow \prod_{l^1} l^1(N_n)$ , where  $l^1(N_n)$  is an isomorphic copy of  $l^1$  of sequences indexed by integers of  $N_n$ , given by

$$\Psi(x) = ((x_k)_{k \in N_1}, (x_k)_{k \in N_2}, \dots),$$

$x \in l^1$ . Writing  $Z = \Psi(\tilde{l}^p)$ , we see that

$$Z \cap \sum_{l^1} l^1(N_n) = \sum_{l^1} \tilde{l}^p(N_n).$$

The following lemma enables us to apply 3.1 and hence to finish the proof of 5.12.

**Lemma 5.13.** *Let  $(K, L) \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_2)$ . There exists a bounded closed embedding  $\psi : K \rightarrow l^1$  with  $\psi^{-1}(\tilde{l}^p) = L$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof of 5.10. As in 5.10 we embed  $K$  into  $I^\infty$ , represent  $L = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty L_n$ , and pick a sequence  $(p_n) \subset (p, 1)$  convergent to  $p$ . A bounded closed embedding  $\psi^1 : K \rightarrow l^1(N_1)$  with  $\psi^1(K) \subset \tilde{l}^p$  is obtained via Lemma 5.3. We take  $H_n = (l^{p_n}(N_1), \|\cdot\|_{p_n})$ ,  $E_n = l^1(N_1)$ , and  $C = l^1$ . (Formally, we are not eligible to apply 5.3 because  $H_n$  is not a normed space. This assumption was only used to construct the closed embedding of  $[0, \infty)$ . In our case the unit closed ball  $B$  in  $l^{p_n}(N_1)$  is homeomorphic, via Mazur’s homeomorphism [2, p. 207], to the closed unit ball in the Hilbert space which, in turn, is homeomorphic to  $R^\infty$ . Therefore  $B$  being closed in  $l^1(N_1)$  admits a required embedding.) Hence, we get a bounded closed embedding  $\psi^1 : K \rightarrow \prod_{l^1} l^1(N_1) = l^1(N_1)$  with  $\psi^1(K) \subset \prod_{l^1} l^{p_n} = l^p \subset \tilde{l}^p$ . To produce  $\psi^2$ , we apply 5.2 to the pair  $(X, Y) = (B_{p_n}(2^{-n}), B_{p_n}(2^{-n}) \cap \tilde{l}^p)$  and find maps  $\varphi_n : K \rightarrow l^{p_n}(N_n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , with  $\varphi_n^{-1}(\tilde{l}^p(N_n)) = L_n$  and  $\|\varphi_n(x)\|_{p_n} \leq 2^{-n}$  for all  $x \in K$ . It is easy to see that the map  $\psi^2(x) = (\varphi_n(x))_{n=2}^\infty$  satisfies  $(\psi^2)^{-1}(\tilde{l}^p(N \setminus N_1)) = L$ . We let  $\psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2)$ .

Let us formulate a more specific result concerning  $\tilde{l}^p$ -products whose proof is a modification of the proof of 5.7 (and therefore will be omitted).

**Theorem 5.14.** *Let, for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $X_n$  be a subset of a Banach space  $(E_n, \|\cdot\|_n)$  with  $0 \in X_n$  so that  $\inf_{n \geq 1} \text{diam}(X_n) = \alpha > 0$ . Assume that each  $X_n$  is an*

absolute retract that is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set. Then the space

$$\tilde{l}^p(X_n) = \left\{ (x_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n : \forall_{p' > p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|_n^{p'} < \infty \right\}$$

(as a subspace of  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ ) is homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$  for every  $0 \leq p < \infty$ .

*Remark 5.15.* Assume that each  $X_n \in \mathcal{M}$ . We may ask whether  $(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n, \tilde{l}^p(X_n))$  is homeomorphic to  $(s, \Omega_2)$ . This, in general, is not necessarily the case. The space  $\tilde{l}^p(R) = \tilde{l}^p$  is contained in a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $R^\infty$  (cf. Remark 5.6). Let us notice that the pair  $(R^\infty, \tilde{l}^p)$  is strongly  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_2)$ -universal. The assertion of Theorem 2.2 holds if one replaces  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $\mathcal{M}_0$  and add in the hypothesis that both  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are contained in  $\sigma$ -compact subsets of  $X$ . As a consequence, the pairs  $(R^\infty, c_0)$  and  $(R^\infty, \tilde{l}^p)$  are homeomorphic for  $0 \leq p < \infty$ . This shows that two  $\mathcal{L}$ -absorbing sets  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  can be relatively homeomorphic in a copy  $X$  of  $l^2$  while none of the pairs  $(X, Y_1)$  and  $(X, Y_2)$  are strongly  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$ -universal.

6. THE SPACES  $F_\alpha$  AND  $G_\alpha$  AS FACTORS OF EXOTIC PRE-HILBERT SPACES

In this section we present some examples concerning the topological classification of pre-Hilbert spaces. Examples we deal with are of the form  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  and  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$ , where  $Y(A) = \text{span}(A)$  and  $A$  is a linearly independent subset of  $l^2$ .

Fix a linearly independent arc  $T = [0, 1]$  in  $l^2$  such that  $Y(A)$  is dense in  $l^2$  for every infinite set  $A \subseteq T$  (see [2, p. 267]). Since  $Y(A)$  is contained in a  $\sigma$ -compact subspace of  $l^2$ ,  $Y(A)$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space provided it is infinite-dimensional (i.e.,  $A$  is infinite).

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $A$  be any subset of  $T$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then:*

- (a)  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$ ) contains no closed copy of  $l^2 \setminus G_{\alpha+1}$  (respectively,  $l^2 \setminus F_{\alpha+1}$ ),
- (b)  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$ ) contains no closed copy of  $l^2 \setminus F_\alpha$  (respectively,  $l^2 \setminus G_\alpha$ ).

First, in full detail, we consider the following particular case of part (b) with  $\alpha = 1$  (as  $l^2 \setminus F_1$  is a copy of  $l^2$ , see [2]).

**Lemma 6.2.** *For every subset  $A$  of  $T$ , the space  $Y(A) \times \Sigma$  contains no closed copy of  $l^2$ . In particular,  $Y(A) \times \Sigma$  is homeomorphic neither to  $\Lambda_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ , nor to  $\Omega_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We apply the cross-section argument described in 4.3. For  $k$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we write

$$C_k^p = \left\{ (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \in T^k : t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_k, \|t_i - t_j\| \geq \frac{1}{p} \right\}$$

and

$$D_k^p = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) \in R^k : \frac{1}{p} \leq |\lambda_i| \leq p \text{ for all } i \right\}.$$

(The union  $\bigcup_{k,p=1}^{\infty} C_k^p$  is a particular  $\sigma$ -compact cross-section for  $T^k$ .) The map  $\chi_k$  given by  $\chi_k((t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)) = \lambda_1 t_1 + \lambda_2 t_2 + \dots + \lambda_k t_k$

is a homeomorphism of  $C_k^p \times D_k^p$  onto  $M_k^p \subset Y(T)$ . Clearly,

$$M_k^p \cap Y(A) = \chi_k((C_k^p \cap A^k) \times D_k^p) = N_k^p$$

is a closed subset in  $Y(A)$ . Since  $Y(A) = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{k,p=1}^{\infty} N_k^p$ , we get

$$Y(A) \times \Sigma = (\{0\} \times \Sigma) \cup \bigcup_{k,p=1}^{\infty} (N_k^p \times \Sigma).$$

Assume that  $X$  being a copy of  $l^2$  is contained as a closed subset of  $Y(A) \times \Sigma$ . Using a Baire category argument and the fact that no open set in  $l^2$  is  $\sigma$ -compact we find indices  $k$  and  $p$  such that  $N_k^p \times \Sigma$  contains an open subset  $U$  of  $X$ . It follows that a copy  $B$  of a closed ball in  $l^2$  inscribed in  $U$  is closed in  $N_k^p \times \Sigma$ . It is easy to see that there exists a connected set  $K \subset A^k$  such that

$$B \subset \chi_k((K \cap C_k^p) \times D_k^p) \times \Sigma.$$

Each connected subset  $K$  of  $A^k$  is of the form  $I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_k$ , where every  $I_j$  is a connected component of  $A$  (i.e.,  $I_j$  is an interval). Hence,  $K \cap C_k^p$  is locally compact and so is  $\chi_k((K \cap C_k^p) \times D_k^p)$ . Finally,  $B$ , being a closed subset of a  $\sigma$ -compact space  $\chi_k((K \cap C_k^p) \times D_k^p) \times \Sigma$ , is itself  $\sigma$ -compact, a contradiction.

*Proof of 6.1.* Assume  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  contains a closed copy  $X$  of  $l^2 \setminus G_{\alpha+1}$ . Using the notation of the proof of 6.2, we have

$$Y(A) \times F_\alpha = (\{0\} \times F_\alpha) \cup \bigcup_{k,p=1}^{\infty} (N_k^p \times F_\alpha).$$

Since  $X$  is a Baire space (see 4.9), there exist  $k$  and  $p$  and a closed set  $P \subset N_k^p \times F_\alpha$  such that  $P$  has nonempty interior in  $X$  and  $P$  is a copy of  $B \setminus G_{\alpha+1}$  for some closed ball in  $l^2$ . According to 4.9,  $P$  is connected. As in the proof of 6.2, we get

$$P \subset \chi_k((K \cap C_k^p) \times D_k^p) \times F_\alpha,$$

where  $K \cap C_k^p$  is locally compact. Now, it follows that  $\chi_k((K \cap C_k^p) \times D_k^p) \times F_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ ; consequently  $P \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . Since  $X$  is homogeneous (see 4.9) and the interior of  $P$  in  $X$  is nonempty,  $X$  is locally in the class  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . The latter yields  $X \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ , contradicting  $G_{\alpha+1} \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+1} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+1}$ .

All the remaining cases can be proved in the same way. (A minor change is needed for  $G_1$ ; namely,  $G_1$  must be represented as a countable union of complete metrizable spaces.)

**Corollary 6.3.** *For every subset  $A$  of  $T$  the spaces  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  and  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ , are topologically distinct.*

*Proof.* By 6.1,  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  contains no closed copy of  $l^2 \setminus F_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{A}_\beta \cap \mathcal{M}_\beta$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ , the spaces  $Y(A) \times F_\beta$  and  $Y(A) \times G_\beta$  do contain such a copy. Also  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$  contains a closed copy of  $l^2 \setminus F_\alpha$ . As a consequence, we conclude that  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  is not homeomorphic to  $Y(A) \times F_\beta$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and that  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  is not homeomorphic to  $Y(A) \times G_\beta$  for  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . Analogously, we prove that  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$  is not homeomorphic to  $Y(A) \times G_\beta$  for  $\beta \neq \alpha$  and that  $Y(A) \times G_\beta$  is not homeomorphic to  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  for  $\beta \leq \alpha$ .

The same argument applies in the following

**Corollary 6.4.** *For every subset  $A$  of  $T$ , the spaces  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  and  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$  are homeomorphic neither to  $F_\beta$  nor to  $G_\beta$  for  $\beta \neq \alpha$ .*

**Corollary 6.5.** *We have:*

- (a) *if  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ , then the spaces  $F_\alpha$ ,  $Y(A) \times F_\beta$ , and  $Y(A) \times G_\beta$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$  and are topologically distinct for  $\beta < \alpha$ ,*
- (b) *if  $A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ , then the spaces  $G_\alpha$ ,  $Y(A) \times G_\beta$ , and  $Y(A) \times F_\beta$  belong to  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  and are topologically distinct for  $\beta < \alpha$ ,*
- (c) *if  $A \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} \mathcal{P}_k$ , then the spaces  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  and  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$  belong to  $\mathcal{P}_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} \mathcal{P}_k$  and are topologically distinct,*
- (d) *if  $A \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n$ , then the spaces  $Y(A) \times F_\alpha$  and  $Y(A) \times G_\alpha$  do not belong to  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n$  and are topologically distinct.*

Corollary 6.5 is a direct consequence of 6.3 and 6.4 and the following fact, which seems to be well known; however we could not find it formulated in such a generality in literature.

**Lemma 6.6.** *We have:*

- (a) *if  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ , then  $Y(A) \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,*
- (b) *if  $A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ , then  $Y(A) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,*
- (c) *if  $A \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} \mathcal{P}_k$ , then  $Y(A) \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} \mathcal{P}_k$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,*
- (d) *if  $A \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n$ , then  $Y(A) \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is closed in  $Y(A)$ ,  $A \notin \mathcal{L}$  implies  $Y(A) \notin \mathcal{L}$  provided  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed with respect to closed subsets. Therefore, it suffices to show that  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $Y(A) \in \mathcal{L}$ , where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  and  $\bigcup_{k < n} \mathcal{P}_k$ . The case  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  and  $\bigcup_{k < n} \mathcal{P}_k$  is a result of Klee [2, p. 272]. Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$  and  $\alpha \geq 2$ . Represent  $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta_n}$  for  $\beta_n < \alpha$ , and employ  $Y(A_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta_n}$  to conclude that  $Y(A) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Y(A_n) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ .

**Remark 6.7.** Corollary 6.5(a) and (b) (see also 6.2) provide a negative answer to the question of whether a pre-Hilbert space that contains a Hilbert cube and is of the exact Borelian class of order  $\alpha$  must be homeomorphic to either  $F_\alpha$  or  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ . The answer to this question is “yes” for  $\mathcal{A}_1$ .

**Remark 6.8.** From 6.5(c) it follows that each class  $\mathcal{P}_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} \mathcal{P}_k$  contains uncountably many topologically distinct pre-Hilbert spaces that are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces.

**Remark 6.9.** Each class  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ , contains uncountably many topologically distinct pre-Hilbert spaces that are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces. To show this, take  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$  (respectively,  $A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ ) and repeat Henderson and Pełczyński’s argument to the spaces  $Y(A) \times X$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is that of [2, p. 282].

## REFERENCES

1. C. Bessaga, *On the topological classification of complete linear metric spaces*, *Fund. Math.* **56** (1965), 251–288.
2. C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1975.
3. M. Bestvina and J. Mogilski, *Linear maps do not preserve countable-dimensionality*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1985), 661–666.



4. —, *Characterizing certain incomplete infinite-dimensional retracts*, Michigan Math. J. **33** (1986), 291–313.
5. R. Cauty, *Caractérisation topologique de l'espace des fonctions dérivables*, Fund. Math. **138** (1991), 35–58.
6. —, *Les fonctions continues et les fonctions intégrables au sens de Riemann comme sous-espaces de  $\mathcal{L}^1$* , Fund. Math. **139** (1991), 23–36.
7. —, *Sur deux espaces de fonctions non dérivables*, Fund. Math. (to appear).
8. —, *Un exemple d'ensembles absorbants non équivalents*, Fund. Math. **140** (1991), 49–61.
9. J. J. Dijkstra, J. van Mill, and J. Mogilski, *The space of infinite-dimensional compacta and other topological copies of  $(l_2^\omega)^\omega$* , Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), 255–273.
10. J. J. Dijkstra and J. Mogilski, *The topological product structure of systems of Lebesgue spaces*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 523–527.
11. T. Dobrowolski, S. P. Gul'ko, and J. Mogilski, *Function spaces homeomorphic to the countable product of  $l_2^f$* , Topology Appl. **34** (1990), 153–160.
12. T. Dobrowolski, W. Marciszewski, and J. Mogilski, *On topological classification of function spaces  $C_p(X)$  of low Borel complexity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **328** (1991), 307–324.
13. T. Dobrowolski and J. Mogilski, *Certain sequence and function spaces homeomorphic to the countable product of  $l_2^f$* , J. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
14. V. Klee, *Some topological properties of convex sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 30–45.
15. S. Mazur and L. Sternbach, *Über die Borelschen Typen von linearen Mengen*, Studia Math. **4** (1933), 48–55.
16. H. Toruńczyk, *On Cartesian factors and the topological classification of linear metric spaces*, Fund. Math. **88** (1975), 71–87.
17. —, *Concerning locally homotopy negligible sets and characterization of  $l_2$ -manifolds*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978), 93–110.
18. —, *Characterizing Hilbert space topology*, Fund. Math. **111** (1981), 247–262.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI, ANALYSE COMPLEXE ET GÉOMÉTRIE, 4, PLACE JUSSIEU, 75252 PARIS-CEDEX 05, FRANCE

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIwersYTET WARSZAWSKI, PKiN IXp., 00-901 WARSZAWA, POLAND  
Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

E-mail address: tdobrowo@nsfuvax.math.uoknor.edu