

**WEAK*-CLOSEDNESS OF SUBSPACES
OF FOURIER-STIELTJES ALGEBRAS
AND WEAK*-CONTINUITY OF THE RESTRICTION MAP**

M. B. BEKKA, E. KANIUTH, A. T. LAU, AND G. SCHLICHTING

Dedicated to Professor Elmar Thoma on the occasion of his seventieth birthday

ABSTRACT. Let G be a locally compact group and $B(G)$ the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of G . We study the problem of how weak*-closedness of some translation invariant subspaces of $B(G)$ is related to the structure of G . Moreover, we prove that for a closed subgroup H of G , the restriction map from $B(G)$ to $B(H)$ is weak*-continuous only when H is open in G .

INTRODUCTION

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $B(G)$ be the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of G as defined by Eymard [8]. Recall that $B(G)$ is the linear span of all continuous positive definite functions on G and can be identified with the Banach space dual of $C^*(G)$, the group C^* -algebra of G . The space $B(G)$, with the norm as dual of $C^*(G)$, is a commutative Banach $*$ -algebra with pointwise multiplication and complex conjugation. The Fourier algebra $A(G)$ of G is the closed $*$ -subalgebra of $B(G)$ generated by the functions in $B(G)$ with compact support. In particular, $A(G)$ is contained in $C_0(G)$, the algebra of complex valued continuous functions on G vanishing at infinity. As is well known $A(G)$ is weak*-dense in $B(G)$ if and only if G is amenable. In [3] translation invariant $*$ -subalgebras A of $B(G)$ were studied, and it was shown that if such A is weak*-closed and point separating, then it must contain $A(G)$. However, apart from this, very little seems to be known about weak*-closed subspaces of $B(G)$.

The first purpose of this paper is to investigate the relation between weak*-closedness of certain interesting norm-closed translation invariant subspaces of $B(G)$ and the structure of G . Secondly, we solve the problem of when, for a closed subgroup H of G , the restriction map from $B(G)$ to $B(H)$ is weak*-continuous.

A brief outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we establish for almost connected locally compact groups G the relation between weak*-closedness of $B_0(G) = B(G) \cap C_0(G)$ in $B(G)$ and the structure of G (Theorem 2.10). The key result is that for a connected Lie group G , $B_0(G)$ is weak*-closed in $B(G)$ if and only if G is a reductive Lie group with compact centre and Kazhdan's property (T) .

Received by the editors December 15, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22D10, 43A30.

Work supported by NATO collaborative research grant CRG 940184.

If G is a compact group, then for any unitary representation π of G , the Fourier space $A_\pi(G)$ associated to π (see [1] for the definition and properties of $A_\pi(G)$) is weak*-closed in $B(G)$. Note that $A(G) = A_{\lambda_G}(G)$, where λ_G denotes the left regular representation of G . In Theorem 3.6 we shall show that, conversely, if G contains an almost connected open normal subgroup and $A(G)$ is weak*-closed in $B(G)$, then G is compact. We also give a characterization of compactness of G in terms of the weak* and the norm topologies on the unit sphere of $B(G)$ (Theorem 3.9).

Besides the left regular representation, one of the most interesting representations of a locally compact group G is the conjugation representation γ_G of G on $L^2(G)$. In contrast to $A(G)$, $A_{\gamma_G}(G)$ need not be a subalgebra and it can at best determine the structure of $G/Z(G)$, where $Z(G)$ denotes the centre of G . We prove that if G is a Lie group with countably many connected components and $A_{\gamma_G}(G)$ is weak*-closed in $B(G)$, then $G/Z(G)$ is compact (Theorem 4.8).

Let H be a closed subgroup of an arbitrary locally compact group G . Clearly, the restriction map $B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is continuous for the weak*-topologies whenever H is open. In the final section 5 we succeed in showing that conversely weak*-continuity of the restriction map forces H to be open in G .

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, G denotes a locally compact group with a fixed left Haar measure dx and modular function Δ , $L^1(G)$ the convolution algebra of integrable functions on G and $C^*(G)$ the group C^* -algebra of G . The Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ is the Banach space dual of $C^*(G)$ and as such carries the weak*-topology (w^* -topology, for short) $\sigma(B(G), C^*(G))$. The basic reference on Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras is [8].

Next, we have to introduce some notation from representation theory. We use the same letter, for example π , for a unitary representation of G and for the corresponding *-representation of $C^*(G)$. \mathcal{H}_π will always denote the Hilbert space of π and $\ker \pi$ the C^* -kernel of π . If S and T are sets of unitary representations of G , then S is weakly contained in T ($S \prec T$) if $\bigcap_{\sigma \in S} \ker \sigma \supseteq \bigcap_{\tau \in T} \ker \tau$ or, equivalently, if every positive definite function associated to S can be uniformly approximated on compact subsets of G by sums of positive definite functions associated to T . Also S and T are weakly equivalent ($S \sim T$) if $S \prec T$ and $T \prec S$.

The dual space \widehat{G} of G is the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G , endowed with the Jacobson topology. For a representation π of G , the support of π is the closed subset $\text{supp } \pi = \{\rho \in \widehat{G} : \rho \prec \pi\}$ of \widehat{G} . In particular, the support of the left regular representation λ_G is the reduced dual \widehat{G}_r , and $\lambda_G(C^*(G))$ is the so-called reduced group C^* -algebra of G which is denoted by $C_r^*(G)$. If N is a closed normal subgroup of G , then every representation of G/N can be lifted to a representation of G , and in this sense, $(G/N)^\wedge$ will always be regarded as a subset of \widehat{G} . For general references to representation theory and dual spaces we mention [5] and [10].

G is called amenable if there exists a non-zero positive linear functional m on the space $C^b(G)$ of bounded continuous complex valued functions on G such that $m({}_x f) = m(f)$ for all $f \in C^b(G)$ and $x \in G$, where ${}_x f(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$. Recall that amenability is equivalent to a number of different conditions: $C_r^*(G) = C^*(G)$,

$\widehat{G}_r = \widehat{G}$ or $1_G \prec \lambda_G$ where 1_G is the trivial one-dimensional representation of G . Concerning the theory of amenable groups, we refer the reader to [29] and [30].

Let H be a closed subgroup of G , and suppose that σ and π are representations of H and G respectively. The representation of G induced by σ is denoted $\text{ind}_H^G \sigma$. Then the tensor product $\pi \otimes \text{ind}_H^G \sigma$ is equivalent to $\text{ind}_H^G (\pi|_H \otimes \sigma)$. Furthermore, by the theorem on induction in stages, $\text{ind}_H^G \sigma = \text{ind}_K^G (\text{ind}_H^K \sigma)$ for every closed subgroup K of G containing H . Finally, we will frequently use that $\pi \prec \text{ind}_H^G (\pi|_H)$ if G is amenable [12, Theorem 5.1].

Let A be a C^* -algebra and \widehat{A} its dual space, i.e. the set of equivalence classes of non-degenerated irreducible $*$ -representations of A . We will several times use the fact that if the Banach space dual A^* of A is separable in the norm topology, then \widehat{A} is countable (see [15, Theorem 3.1] or [23, Lemma 4.12]).

2. WHEN IS $B_0(G)$ w^* -CLOSED IN $B(G)$?

For a locally compact group G , let $B_0(G) = B(G) \cap C_0(G)$ denote the norm-closed and translation invariant subalgebra of $B(G)$ consisting of all functions in $B(G)$ that vanish at infinity. In this section we shall study the problem of when $B_0(G)$ is closed in $B(G)$ with respect to the w^* -topology on $B(G)$.

It turns out to be appropriate to reformulate this condition in terms of convergence of positive definite functions. The following lemma, which is a consequence of [8, (2.1) and (2.12)], will be used frequently.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $P(G)$ denote the set of all normalized continuous positive definite functions on G . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$.
- (ii) *If (φ_ι) is a net in $P(G) \cap C_0(G)$ converging to some $\varphi \in P(G)$ uniformly on compact subsets of G , then $\varphi \in C_0(G)$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. Then every amenable closed normal subgroup of G is compact. In particular, for an amenable group G , $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ only when G is compact.*

Proof. Let N be an amenable closed normal subgroup of G . Then the trivial one-dimensional representation 1_N of N is weakly contained in the left regular representation λ_N of N . Hence, by continuity of inducing, $\text{ind}_N^G 1_N$ is weakly contained in $\text{ind}_N^G \lambda_N$, which is equivalent to λ_G .

Let $q : G \rightarrow G/N$ denote the quotient homomorphism. Then $\text{ind}_N^G 1_N = \lambda_{G/N} \circ q$. Thus every positive definite function φ associated to $\lambda_{G/N} \circ q$ is a uniform on compacta limit of functions in $A(G) \cap P(G) \subseteq B_0(G)$. Hence, by hypothesis, $\varphi \in C_0(G)$. Since such a φ is constant on cosets of N , N must be compact. \square

We continue with two inheritance properties.

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be an open subgroup of the locally compact group G . If $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, then $B_0(H)$ is w^* -closed in $B(H)$.*

Proof. Let (φ_ι) be a net in $P(H) \cap C_0(H)$ such that $\varphi_\iota \rightarrow \varphi \in P(H)$ uniformly on compact subsets of H . Let $\widetilde{\varphi}_\iota$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ denote the trivial extensions of φ_ι and φ to G , that is, $\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi_\iota(x) = 0$ for $x \in G \setminus H$. Clearly, then $\widetilde{\varphi}_\iota \in P(G) \cap C_0(G)$, $\widetilde{\varphi} \in P(G)$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_\iota \rightarrow \widetilde{\varphi}$ uniformly on compact subsets of G . By hypothesis, $\widetilde{\varphi} \in C_0(G)$ and hence $\varphi \in C_0(H)$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a locally compact group and K a compact normal subgroup of G . Then $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ if and only if $B_0(G/K)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/K)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, and let (φ_ι) be a net in $P(G/K) \cap C_0(G/K)$ converging to some $\varphi \in P(G/K)$ uniformly on compact subsets of G/K . Then, with $q : G \rightarrow G/K$ the quotient homomorphism, $\varphi_\iota \circ q \rightarrow \varphi \circ q$ uniformly on compact subsets of G and $\varphi_\iota \circ q \in C_0(G)$ since K is compact. Hence, by hypothesis, $\varphi \circ q \in C_0(G)$ and so $\varphi \in C_0(G/K)$.

Conversely, suppose that $B_0(G/K)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/K)$, and let $\varphi \in P(G)$ and $(\varphi_\iota) \subseteq P(G) \cap C_0(G)$ such that $\varphi_\iota \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on compact subsets of G . Define ψ_ι and ψ on G/K by

$$\psi_\iota(xK) = \int_K |\varphi_\iota(xk)|^2 dk \text{ and } \psi(xK) = \int_K |\varphi(xk)|^2 dk,$$

$x \in G$ (dk being the normalized Haar measure on K). Then

$$\psi \in P(G/K) \text{ and } \psi_\iota \in P(G/K) \cap C_0(G/K),$$

and $\psi_\iota \rightarrow \psi$ uniformly on compact subsets of G/K . Hence $\psi \in C_0(G/K)$.

For $\delta \in \widehat{K}$, let χ_δ denote the corresponding minimal idempotent in $L^1(K)$. Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|\varphi * \chi_\delta(x)| \leq |\psi(xK)|^{1/2}$$

for every $x \in G$. Since K is compact, this implies $\varphi * \chi_\delta \in C_0(G)$ for each $\delta \in \widehat{K}$. Now the linear span of $\{\chi_\delta : \delta \in \widehat{K}\}$ is dense in $Z(L^1(K))$, the centre of $L^1(K)$. It follows that for any $f \in Z(L^1(K))$, $\varphi * f$ is a uniform limit on G of finite linear combinations of functions $\varphi * \chi_\delta$, $\delta \in \widehat{K}$. Hence $\varphi * f \in C_0(G)$ for every $f \in Z(L^1(K))$. Finally, taking for f functions in $Z(L^1(K))$ with support shrinking to $\{e\}$, we easily conclude that $\varphi \in C_0(G)$. This completes the proof. \square

We now turn to connected Lie groups. Theorem 2.7 below is the key result in this section.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a connected Lie group and N a connected closed normal subgroup. If $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, then the centre $Z(N)$ of N is compact and $N/Z(N)$ is semisimple.*

Proof. Let R denote the radical of N . Then R and $Z(N)$ are amenable normal subgroups of G , and therefore both must be compact by Lemma 2.2. Since R is solvable and connected Lie, it is isomorphic to a torus \mathbb{T}^n . Hence $\text{Aut}(R)$, the automorphism group of R , is discrete. Now, G acts by conjugation on R , and this defines a continuous homomorphism from G into $\text{Aut}(R)$. G being connected, this homomorphism has to be trivial. This shows that R is contained in the centre of G . So $R \subseteq Z(N)$ and hence $N/Z(N)$ is semisimple. \square

We remind the reader that a locally compact group G is said to have Kazhdan's property (T) if the trivial representation 1_G is an isolated point in the dual space \widehat{G} . An amenable group satisfies (T) if and only if it is compact. On the other hand, many connected semisimple Lie groups and many discrete groups share property (T) . A comprehensive account on groups with property (T) has been given in [14].

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a connected Lie group such that $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. Then G has property (T).*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, G is reductive with compact centre. Let

$$\tilde{G} = \mathbb{R}^n \times G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$$

be the universal covering group of G , where G_1, \dots, G_m are simply connected Lie groups. Denote by Z_i the (discrete) centre of G_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $G = \tilde{G}/\Gamma$ for some discrete subgroup Γ of the centre $Z(\tilde{G}) = \mathbb{R}^n \times Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_m$ of \tilde{G} . Moreover, $Z(G) = Z(\tilde{G})/\Gamma$. Hence

$$G/Z(G) = \tilde{G}/Z(\tilde{G}) = G_1/Z_1 \times \cdots \times G_m/Z_m.$$

Since $Z(G)$ is compact, $B_0(G/Z(G))$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/Z(G))$ (Lemma 2.4). Assume, towards a contradiction, that G does not have property (T). Then $G/Z(G)$ does not have property (T). Hence some factor, say G_1/Z_1 , fails to have property (T) (see [35, Lemma 7.4.1]). Now, recall the following result due to Howe and Moore [35, Theorem 2.2.20]. If π is a unitary representation of a simple Lie group with finite centre and if there are no non-zero π -invariant vectors, then all the matrix coefficients of π vanish at infinity. Therefore, there exists a sequence

$$(\varphi_n^{(1)}) \subseteq P(G_1/Z_1) \cap C_0(G_1/Z_1)$$

converging to 1 uniformly on compact subsets of G_1/Z_1 . Observe that G_1/Z_1 is not compact. Now choose arbitrary

$$\varphi^{(k)} \in P(G_k/Z_k) \cap C_0(G_k/Z_k),$$

$k = 2, \dots, m$, and set

$$\varphi_n = \varphi_n^{(1)} \times \varphi^{(2)} \times \cdots \times \varphi^{(m)}.$$

Clearly, (φ_n) is a sequence of continuous positive definite functions on $G/Z(G)$ that vanish at infinity, and

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow 1 \times \varphi^{(2)} \times \cdots \times \varphi^{(m)}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $G/Z(G)$. Since $1 \times \varphi^{(2)} \times \cdots \times \varphi^{(m)}$ does not vanish at infinity, we have reached a contradiction. Thus G has property (T). \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let G be a connected Lie group. Then $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ if and only if G is a reductive Lie group with compact centre and Kazhdan's property (T).*

Proof. From Lemma 2.5, applied to $N = G$, and Lemma 2.6 we know that w^* -closedness of $B_0(G)$ in $B(G)$ implies the stated conditions on G .

Suppose now that G is a connected reductive Lie group with compact centre $Z(G)$ and property (T). According to Lemma 2.4 it suffices to show that $B_0(G/Z(G))$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/Z(G))$. Recall from the proof of Lemma 2.6 that $G/Z(G)$ has a decomposition

$$G/Z(G) = G_1/Z_1 \times \cdots \times G_m/Z_m,$$

where G_1, \dots, G_m are connected simple Lie groups with centres Z_1, \dots, Z_m , respectively. Set $H = G/Z(G)$ and $H_i = G_i/Z_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and observe that every H_i has property (T). Of course, according to Lemma 2.4 we can assume that none of the H_i is compact.

Let $\varphi_n \in P(H) \cap C_0(H)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on compact subsets of G for some $\varphi \in P(H)$. Let π_n and π denote the representations of H associated to φ_n and φ through the GNS-construction. Then π is weakly contained in the direct sum $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_n$.

We claim that the restriction $\pi|_{H_i}$ of π to H_i does not contain the trivial representation 1_{H_i} . Indeed, otherwise for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi_n|_{H_i}$ contains 1_{H_i} since H_i has property (T). However, since $\varphi_n \in C_0(H)$, all the matrix coefficients of π_n vanish at infinity.

We have thus verified that H satisfies the hypotheses of the Howe-Moore theorem [35, Theorem 2.2.20]. It follows that all the matrix coefficients of π vanish at infinity. This proves that $\varphi \in C_0(H)$. \square

In order to deal with almost connected groups we need one more lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a locally compact group and H a closed subgroup such that G/H is compact. If $B_0(H)$ is w^* -closed in $B(H)$, then $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$.*

Proof. For any function ϕ on G and $x, y \in G$, let

$${}_x\phi(y) = \phi(x^{-1}y), \phi_x(y) = \phi(yx) \text{ and } \phi^x(y) = \phi(x^{-1}yx).$$

Notice first that if ψ is a positive definite function on G , then $\psi^x + \psi + {}_x\psi + \psi_x$ and $\psi^x + \psi + i({}_x\psi - \psi_x)$ are also positive definite for every $x \in G$. Indeed, for all $f \in L^1(G)$

$$\langle \psi^x + \psi + {}_x\psi + \psi_x, f \rangle = \langle \psi, (\delta_x + \delta_e)^* * f * (\delta_x + \delta_e) \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \psi^x + \psi + i({}_x\psi - \psi_x), f \rangle = \langle \psi, (\delta_e - i\delta_x)^* * f * (\delta_e - i\delta_x) \rangle.$$

Let (φ_ι) be a net in $P(G) \cap C_0(G)$ converging to some $\varphi \in P(G)$ uniformly on compact subsets of G . Then, uniformly on compact subsets of G , $\varphi_\iota^x \rightarrow \varphi^x$,

$$\varphi_\iota^x + \varphi_\iota + {}_x(\varphi_\iota) + (\varphi_\iota)_x \rightarrow \varphi^x + \varphi + {}_x\varphi + \varphi_x$$

and

$$\varphi_\iota^x + \varphi_\iota + i({}_x\varphi_\iota - (\varphi_\iota)_x) \rightarrow \varphi^x + \varphi + i({}_x\varphi - \varphi_x)$$

for every $x \in G$. Thus, since $B_0(H)$ is w^* -closed in $B(H)$,

$$\varphi|_H, \varphi^x|_H, (\varphi^x + \varphi + {}_x\varphi + \varphi_x)|_H \text{ and } (\varphi^x + \varphi + i({}_x\varphi - \varphi_x))|_H$$

vanish at infinity on H . It follows that ${}_x\varphi|_H \in C_0(H)$ for each $x \in G$. Since G/H is compact, employing the uniform continuity of φ , it is easily verified that $\varphi \in C_0(G)$. \square

The converse to Lemma 2.8 does not hold in general. That is, if $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ and H is a closed cocompact subgroup of G , then $B_0(H)$ need not be w^* -closed in $B(H)$. As an example, take for G a simply connected Lie group with finite centre and property (T) and for H a minimal parabolic subgroup. Then by the Howe-Moore result referred to in the proofs of Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.7, $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, while $B_0(H)$ fails to be w^* -closed in $B(H)$ since H is non-compact and amenable.

Corollary 2.9. *Let G be a connected Lie group and N a connected closed normal subgroup of G such that G/N is compact. Then $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ if and only if $B_0(N)$ is w^* -closed in $B(N)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. By Lemma 2.5, $Z(N)$ is compact and $N/Z(N)$ is semisimple. Also, since G has property (T) by Lemma 2.6 and G/N is compact, N has property (T) [34, Theorem 3.7]. By Theorem 2.7 this implies that $B_0(N)$ is w^* -closed in $B(N)$.

The converse is a special case of Lemma 2.8. \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let G be an almost connected locally compact group. Then $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ if and only if the connected component G_0 of G is a projective limit of reductive Lie groups with property (T) and compact centres.*

Proof. Suppose first that G_0 has the indicated structure. Choose a compact normal subgroup K of G_0 such that G_0/K is a reductive Lie group with property (T) and compact centre. By Theorem 2.7, $B_0(G_0/K)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G_0/K)$. Since K and G/G_0 are compact, an application of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.8 yields that $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$.

Conversely, suppose that $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. G being almost connected it is a projective limit of Lie groups G/K_ι . Thus there are closed normal subgroups H_ι of finite index in G such that $K_\iota \subseteq H_\iota$ and $H_\iota/K_\iota = (G/K_\iota)_0$. Then G_0 is the projective limit of the groups $G_0/G_0 \cap K_\iota$, and the $G_0/G_0 \cap K_\iota$ are connected Lie groups since

$$G_0/G_0 \cap K_\iota = G_0K_\iota/K_\iota,$$

a closed connected subgroup of G/K_ι . By Theorem 2.7 it suffices to show that $B_0(G_0/G_0 \cap K_\iota)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G_0/G_0 \cap K_\iota)$.

Now, since $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, $B_0(G/K_\iota)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/K_\iota)$ by Lemma 2.4, and hence $B_0(H_\iota/K_\iota)$ is w^* -closed in $B(H_\iota/K_\iota)$ by Lemma 2.3. Moreover, G_0K_ι/K_ι is a cocompact connected normal subgroup of the connected Lie group H_ι/K_ι . Thus, by Corollary 2.9, $B_0(G_0K_\iota/K_\iota)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G_0K_\iota/K_\iota)$. This proves that $B_0(G_0/G_0 \cap K_\iota)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G_0/G_0 \cap K_\iota)$. \square

We conclude this section with some remarks.

Remarks 2.11. (i) The connected reductive Lie groups with property (T) and compact centres are precisely the groups of the form $G = (\mathbb{R}^n \times G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m)/\Gamma$, where G_1, \dots, G_m are simple Lie groups not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(k, 1)$, $k \geq 2$, or $\mathrm{SU}(k, 1)$, $k \geq 1$, and Γ is a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^n \times Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_m$, the centre of $\mathbb{R}^n \times G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$.

Indeed, if G is of this form, then $G/Z(G) = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$, where $Z(G)$ denotes the centre of G , has property (T) (see [14, Chap. 2, 13. Corollaire, 9. Remarque and Chap. 9]). As $Z(G)$ is compact, G has property (T) [14, Chap. 1, 9. Proposition].

Conversely, let G be a connected reductive Lie group with property (T) and compact centre. Let $\tilde{G} = \mathbb{R}^n \times G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$ be its universal covering group, where G_1, \dots, G_m are simple Lie groups with centres Z_1, \dots, Z_m . The arguments used in the proof of Lemma 2.6 show that G_1, \dots, G_m have property (T) and hence are not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(k, 1)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(k, 1)$ (see [14, Chap. 6, 23. Corollaire]).

(ii) Let G be a discrete group such that $B_0(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. Then every element in G has finite order. Indeed, this follows immediately by applying Lemma 2.2 to the cyclic subgroups of G .

(iii) Let G be a linear group (that is, a subgroup $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ for some field K) with the discrete topology. If G is infinite, then $B_0(G)$ is not w^* -closed in $B(G)$. In fact, this is clear from (ii) if G has an element of infinite order. On the other

hand, if G is a torsion group, then it is well known to be locally finite [6, Theorem 9.2] and hence amenable, so that the claim follows from Lemma 2.2.

3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF COMPACT GROUPS

If G is a compact group then $A(G) = B(G)$. It seems likely that the converse is also true; i.e. w^* -closedness of $A(G)$ in $B(G)$ already forces G to be compact. We have been able to show this for groups containing an almost connected open normal subgroup (Theorem 3.6). The case that remains open is that of a totally disconnected group.

We start with a lemma which will be generalized in Section 4 (Lemma 4.2).

Lemma 3.1. *If $A(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ and H is an open subgroup of G , then $A(H)$ is w^* -closed in $B(H)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the unit ball of $A(H)$ is w^* -closed in the unit ball of $B(H)$. Thus, let (φ_ι) be a net in $A(H)$ and $\varphi \in B(H)$ such that

$$\|\varphi_\iota\| \leq 1, \|\varphi\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \varphi_\iota \rightarrow \varphi$$

in the w^* -topology. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_\iota$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ denote the trivial extensions of φ_ι and φ to G . Then $\tilde{\varphi}_\iota \in A(G)$, $\|\tilde{\varphi}_\iota\| \leq 1$ and, for each $f \in L^1(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \tilde{\varphi}_\iota(x)f(x)dx &= \int_H \varphi_\iota(h)f(h)dh \\ &\rightarrow \int_H \varphi(h)f(h)dh = \int_G \tilde{\varphi}(x)f(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{\varphi}_\iota \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ in the $\sigma(B(G), L^1(G))$ -topology. Since $(\tilde{\varphi}_\iota)$ is a bounded net, it follows that $(\tilde{\varphi}_\iota)$ is w^* -convergent to $\tilde{\varphi}$. By hypothesis, $\tilde{\varphi} \in A(G)$ and so $\varphi \in A(H)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let K be a compact normal subgroup of G . If $A(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, then $A(G/K)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/K)$.*

Proof. Consider the map $T_K : f \rightarrow T_K f$ from $L^1(G)$ onto $L^1(G/K)$ given by

$$T_K f(xK) = \int_K f(xk)dk.$$

This map extends to a $*$ -homomorphism from $C^*(G)$ onto $C^*(G/K)$ with dual map $T_K^* : B(G/K) \rightarrow B(G)$. Furthermore, $T_K^*(B(G/K))$ consists precisely of those functions in $B(G)$ that are constant on cosets of K [8, (2.26)]. Also, since K is compact,

$$T_K^*(A(G/K)) = A(G) \cap T_K^*(B(G/K)).$$

Now, let $\varphi_\iota \in A(G/K)$ such that $\varphi_\iota \rightarrow \varphi$ in the w^* -topology for some $\varphi \in B(G/K)$. Then

$$\langle T_K^*(\varphi_\iota), f \rangle = \langle \varphi_\iota, T_K(f) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \varphi, T_K(f) \rangle = \langle T_K^*(\varphi), f \rangle$$

for each $f \in C^*(G)$. Thus, by hypothesis, $T_K^*(\varphi) \in A(G)$ and so

$$T_K^*(\varphi) \in A(G) \cap T_K^*(B(G/K)),$$

whence $\varphi \in A(G/K)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be an almost connected locally compact group. If $A(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, then G is compact.*

Proof. Since an almost connected group is a projective limit of Lie groups and $A(G/K)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/K)$ for every compact normal subgroup K of G (Lemma 3.2), we can assume that G is a Lie group. Being a compactly generated Lie group, G is second countable and hence $A(G)$ is a separable Banach space. By hypothesis,

$$A(G) = B_\lambda(G) = C_r^*(G)^*.$$

Now, a C^* -algebra A with separable dual Banach space has a countable dual \widehat{A} (see Section 1). It follows that \widehat{G}_r , the reduced dual of G , is countable. Finally, by [2, Theorem 2.5] a separable Lie group with countable reduced dual is compact. This shows that G is compact. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be any locally compact group and suppose that $A(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. Then G contains a compact open subgroup.*

Proof. Since G/G_0 is totally disconnected, there exists an open subgroup H of G so that H/G_0 is compact. By Lemma 3.1, $A(H)$ is w^* -closed and hence H is compact by Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.5. *If G is a discrete group and $A(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, then G is finite.*

Proof. Assume that G is infinite. Then G has a countable infinite subgroup H . By Lemma 3.1, $A(H)$ is w^* -closed in $B(H)$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3 we now conclude that \widehat{H}_r is countable. Applying Baggett's result again, it follows that H is finite, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that G contains an almost connected open normal subgroup. Then $A(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ if and only if G is compact.*

Proof. Let N be an almost connected open normal subgroup of G . Then $A(N)$ is w^* -closed in $B(N)$ by Lemma 3.1, and Lemma 3.3 implies that N is compact. By Lemma 3.2, $A(G/N)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/N)$. Since N is open, Lemma 3.5 gives that G/N is finite. Thus G is compact. \square

We now turn to a second characterization of compact groups in terms of certain properties of the w^* -topology on $B(G)$. If G is a compact group, then the w^* -topology and the norm topology agree on the unit sphere of $B(G) = A(G)$ [11, Corollary 2]. We are going to establish the converse to this (see [22, Theorem 5] for the amenable case). Actually, we prove a stronger result in that we replace the unit sphere of $B(G)$ by the smaller set $P_\lambda(G) = B_\lambda(G) \cap P(G)$ of all normalized positive definite functions on G associated to representations that are weakly contained in the left regular representation. Note that this property implies the Radon-Nikodym property for $B(G)$ but not conversely (see [11] and [33]).

For any locally compact group G , let $P_\lambda(G) = B_\lambda(G) \cap P(G)$, the set of all normalized continuous positive definite functions on G associated to representations that are weakly contained in the left regular representation. $P_\lambda(G)$ is a w^* -compact convex subset of $B(G)$. We denote by $\text{ex}(P_\lambda(G))$ the set of extreme points of the w^* -compact convex subset $P_\lambda(G)$ of $B(G)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let G be a locally compact group and $\varphi \in \text{ex}(P_\lambda(G))$. Suppose that φ is a point of continuity of the identity map*

$$(\text{ex}(P_\lambda(G)), w^*) \rightarrow (\text{ex}(P_\lambda(G)), \|\cdot\|).$$

Then π_φ is an isolated point in \widehat{G}_r .

Proof. Notice first that $\text{ex}(P_\lambda(G)) \subseteq \text{ex}(P(G))$ because if $\varphi \in P_\lambda(G)$ and $\psi \in P(G)$ are such that $c\varphi - \psi$ is positive definite for some $c \geq 0$, then $\psi \in P_\lambda(G)$. By [5, 2.12.1], if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{ex}(P(G))$ and π_{φ_1} and π_{φ_2} are not equivalent, then $\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \geq 2$. By assumption there exists a w^* -open subset U of $\text{ex}(P_\lambda(G))$ such that

$$U \subseteq \{\psi \in \text{ex}(P_\lambda(G)) : \|\psi - \varphi\| < 2\}.$$

It follows that $\pi_\psi = \pi_\varphi$ for all $\psi \in U$. Now, by [5, Theorem 3.4.11], the map $q : \psi \rightarrow \pi_\psi$ from $\text{ex}(P_\lambda(G))$ onto \widehat{G}_r is open. Thus $\{\pi_\varphi\} = q(U)$ is open in \widehat{G}_r . \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let H be an open subgroup of G . If the identity map from $(P_\lambda(G), w^*)$ to $(P_\lambda(H), \|\cdot\|)$ is continuous, then the identity map from $(P_\lambda(H), w^*)$ to $(P_\lambda(H), \|\cdot\|)$ is continuous.*

Proof. For any $\varphi \in P_\lambda(H)$, the trivial extension $\tilde{\varphi}$ belongs to $P_\lambda(G)$. Indeed, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a positive definite function associated to the induced representation $\text{ind}_H^G \pi_\varphi$, and $\pi_\varphi \prec \lambda_H$ implies

$$\text{ind}_H^G \pi_\varphi \prec \text{ind}_H^G \lambda_H = \lambda_G.$$

Let (φ_α) be a net in $P_\lambda(H)$ converging to $\varphi \in P_\lambda(H)$ in the w^* -topology. Then $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ in the w^* -topology on $P_\lambda(G)$ (compare the proof of Lemma 3.1). By hypothesis, $\|\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha - \tilde{\varphi}\| \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\|\varphi_\alpha - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$. \square

Theorem 3.9. *For any locally compact group G the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) G is compact.
- (ii) The w^* -topology and the norm topology agree on the unit sphere of $B(G)$.
- (iii) The w^* -topology and the norm topology agree on $P_\lambda(G)$.

Proof. As mentioned above, (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is due to Granirer and Leinert [11]. Since (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is trivial, it only remains to prove (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Assume that G fails to be compact. Then G contains a non-compact, σ -compact, open subgroup H . By Lemma 3.8, the w^* -topology and the norm topology coincide on $P_\lambda(H)$. It follows from Lemma 3.7 that \widehat{H}_r is discrete. Since H is σ -compact Theorem 7.6 of [34] now shows that H is compact, a contradiction. \square

4. WHEN IS $A_\gamma(G)$ w^* -CLOSED IN $B(G)$?

For a locally compact group G and any unitary representation π of G , the Fourier space $A_\pi(G)$ associated to π is defined to be the norm-closed linear subspace of $B(G)$ generated by all the coordinate functions of π [1], that is, the functions of the form $x \rightarrow \langle \pi(x)\xi, \eta \rangle$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$.

The conjugation representation γ_G (or simply γ , if no confusion can arise) on $L^2(G)$ is defined by

$$\gamma_G(x)f(y) = \Delta(x)^{1/2}f(x^{-1}yx),$$

$f \in L^2(G)$, $x, y \in G$. The purpose of this section is to investigate the question of when $A_\gamma(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. It will turn out that this is closely related to problems on the support of γ as studied in [21]. We start with two simple facts on $A_\pi(G)$ for general representations π .

Lemma 4.1. *If G is a compact group, then $A_\pi(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ for every representation π of G .*

Proof. The w^* -closure $\overline{A_\pi(G)}^{w^*}$ of $A_\pi(G)$ is the dual space of the C^* -algebra $\pi(C^*(G))$, which is a quotient of $C^*(G)$. Hence each $\varphi \in \overline{A_\pi(G)}^{w^*}$ is a linear combination of positive definite functions in $\overline{A_\pi(G)}^{w^*}$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that every positive definite $\varphi \in \overline{A_\pi(G)}^{w^*}$ actually is in $A_\pi(G)$.

For that, notice that there is a net (φ_α) in $A_\pi(G)$ such that $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$ in the w^* -topology and $\|\varphi_\alpha\| \rightarrow \|\varphi\|$ (compare [10, p. 565]). By [11, Theorem A] it follows that $\|\varphi_\alpha\psi - \varphi\psi\| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\psi \in A(G)$. In particular, $\|\varphi_\alpha - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ by setting $\psi = 1 \in B(G) = A(G)$. This shows that $\varphi \in A_\pi(G)$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that π is a representation of G such that $A_\pi(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. Then $A_{\pi|_H}(H)$ is w^* -closed in $B(H)$ for every open subgroup H of G .*

Proof. Recall that, by [1, Theorem 2.2], $\varphi \in B(G)$ belongs to $A_\pi(G)$ if and only if φ can be written as

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi_n, \eta_n \rangle$$

where $\xi_n, \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_n\| \cdot \|\eta_n\| < \infty$. In particular, $A_{\pi|_H}(H) = A_\pi(G)|_H$. It suffices to show that the unit ball of $A_{\pi|_H}(H)$ is w^* -closed in the unit ball of $B(H)$. Thus, let $\varphi_i \in A_{\pi|_H}(H)$, $i \in I$, and $\varphi \in B(H)$ such that $\|\varphi_i\| \leq 1$, $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi$ in the w^* -topology. Choose representations

$$\varphi_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi_{in}, \eta_{in} \rangle$$

such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_{in}\| \cdot \|\eta_{in}\| \leq 2$ (see [1, Proposition 2.9]). Define

$$\psi_i(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \pi(x)\xi_{in}, \eta_{in} \rangle$$

for all $x \in G$ and $i \in I$. Then $\psi_i \in A_\pi(G)$ and $\|\psi_i\| \leq 2$. Since the unit ball in $B(G)$ is w^* -compact, we can assume that $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$ in the w^* -topology for some $\psi \in B(G)$. Now, $A_\pi(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, so that $\psi \in A_\pi(G)$ and hence $\psi|_H \in A_{\pi|_H}(H)$. On the other hand, the restriction map $B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is w^* -continuous as H is open (see Section 5). Indeed, this follows from the fact that $C^*(H)$ is a subalgebra of $C^*(G)$ whenever H is open in G . Thus

$$\varphi_i = \psi_i|_H \rightarrow \psi|_H \text{ and } \varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi$$

in the w^* -topology. This proves $\varphi = \psi|_H \in A_{\pi|_H}(H)$. \square

We now apply the preceding lemmas to the conjugation representation. The following corollary will be used several times in the sequel.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that G is second countable and $A_{\gamma_G}(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. Then, for every open subgroup H of G , $\text{supp } \gamma_H$ is countable.*

Proof. Since G is second countable, $A_\gamma(G)$ is norm separable. Since the restriction map from $B(G)$ to $B(H)$ is norm continuous, $A_{\gamma_G|_H}(H) = A_{\gamma_G}(G)|_H$ is norm

separable. Now, since γ_H is a subrepresentation of $\gamma_G|_H$ and $A_{\gamma_G|_H}(H)$ is w^* -closed in $B(H)$ by Lemma 4.2,

$$\overline{A_{\gamma_H}(H)}^{w^*} \subseteq A_{\gamma_G|_H}(H),$$

so that $\overline{A_{\gamma_H}(H)}^{w^*}$ is norm separable. Thus $\gamma_H(C^*(H))$ has a norm separable dual Banach space, $\overline{A_{\gamma_H}(H)}^{w^*}$, and hence

$$\text{supp } \gamma_H = \gamma_H(C^*(H))^\wedge$$

is countable. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $Z(G)$ denote the centre of G . If $G/Z(G)$ is compact, then $A_{\gamma_G}(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$.*

Proof. For $z \in Z(G)$, $\gamma_G(z)$ is the identity on $L^2(G)$. Thus $\pi(xZ(G)) = \gamma_G(x)$, $x \in G$, defines a representation of $G/Z(G)$, and therefore $A_\pi(G/Z(G))$ is w^* -closed in $B(G/Z(G))$ by Lemma 4.1. Denoting by $q : G \rightarrow G/Z(G)$ the quotient homomorphism, we have

$$A_{\gamma_G}(G) = A_\pi(G/Z(G)) \circ q.$$

By [1, (2.10)], $A_{\gamma_G}(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$. □

Our goal is to establish the converse to Corollary 4.4 for Lie groups with countably many connected components (Theorem 4.8). Apart from using various results from [21], a major step in proving the theorem will be the next lemma.

We remind the reader that a group G is called an FC-group if all its conjugacy classes are finite. Such a group, more generally every locally compact group all of whose conjugacy classes are relatively compact, is amenable.

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a countable discrete FC-group. If $\text{supp } \gamma_G$ is countable, then G has a finite commutator subgroup.*

Proof. Let $S = \text{supp } \gamma_G$ and notice first that points in S are closed in \widehat{G} . Indeed, the primitive ideal space of any FC-group is a T_1 space (see [28, Theorem 5.2]) and $C^*_\gamma(G)$, being a separable C^* -algebra with countable dual, is of type I. Thus the points of S are closed in \widehat{G} . Since $C^*(G)$ is unital, it follows that every $\sigma \in S$ is finite dimensional.

Next, employing the facts that points in S are closed, that S is countable and that duals of C^* -algebras are Baire spaces [5, (3.4.13)], a straightforward argument yields the existence of some dense subset D of S consisting of points that are also open in S .

Since G is a countable amenable group,

$$\bigcup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \text{supp}(\pi \otimes \bar{\pi})$$

is a dense subset of S by [19, Theorem]. Let $\mathcal{C}(S)$ denote the set of all closed subsets of S , endowed with Fell's topology [10, p. 427]. By [18, Proposition 2], the mapping

$$\pi \rightarrow \text{supp}(\pi \otimes \bar{\pi}), \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$$

is continuous. It follows that

$$V = \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \text{supp}(\pi \otimes \bar{\pi}) \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$$

is non-empty and open in \widehat{G} .

Now, as points in D are open in S , $\text{supp}(\pi \otimes \bar{\pi})$ contains a finite dimensional subrepresentation for each $\pi \in V$. However, $\pi \otimes \bar{\pi}$ then also contains the trivial representation 1_G . This can be seen as follows. Suppose that τ is finite dimensional and that $\tau \leq \pi \otimes \bar{\pi}$. Then

$$1_G \leq \tau \otimes \bar{\tau} \leq \pi \otimes \overline{\pi \otimes \tau},$$

and $\pi \otimes \tau$ is a (finite) direct sum of irreducible representations ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n . Thus $1_G \leq \pi \otimes \bar{\rho}_i$ for some i , which is impossible unless $\rho_i \sim \pi$ (see [17, Proposition 2.4]). As is well-known, $1_G \leq \pi \otimes \bar{\pi}$ forces π to be finite dimensional. Hence every $\pi \in V$ is finite dimensional.

Finally, for a discrete FC-group G , the existence of a non-empty open subset in \widehat{G} consisting of finite dimensional representations implies that G has a finite commutator subgroup. In fact, in this case the left regular representation of G has a subrepresentation of type I, and then the commutator subgroup has to be finite by [16, Satz 1] (see also [32, Theorem 3]). \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a locally compact group with open centre. Then, for each $a \in G$,*

$$\text{ind}_{C(a)}^G 1_{C(a)} \prec \gamma_G,$$

where $C(a)$ denotes the centralizer of a in G .

Proof. Since $\text{ind}_{C(a)}^G 1_{C(a)}$ is the cyclic representation of G defined by the positive definite function $\chi_{C(a)}$, the characteristic function of $C(a)$, and since $C(a)$ is open, it suffices to show that given any compact subset K of $G \setminus C(a)$, there is a positive definite function φ associated to γ_G such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in C(a)$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in K$. Now, since

$$C = \{a^{-1}x^{-1}ax : x \in K\}$$

is compact and $e \notin C$, we find an open neighborhood V of e , contained in the centre of G , such that $CV \cap V = \emptyset$. Let

$$\varphi(x) = |V|^{-1} \langle \gamma_G(x) \chi_{aV}, \chi_{aV} \rangle$$

for $x \in G$. Then it is easily verified that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in C(a)$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in K$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that G is second countable and contains an open normal subgroup N such that G/N is abelian and every irreducible representation of N is finite dimensional. If $\text{supp } \gamma_G$ is countable, then every irreducible representation of G is finite dimensional.*

Proof. Given an irreducible representation π of G , there exist a subgroup H of G and a finite dimensional irreducible representation τ of H such that $N \subseteq H$ and

$$\pi \sim \text{ind}_H^G \tau.$$

In fact, this has been shown in [7, Theorem 3.2.3] as an application of representation theory of crossed product C^* -algebras. We prefer to outline a direct argument for this within the framework of Mackey's unitary representation theory of group extensions [26].

Choose $\gamma \in \widehat{N}$ such that $\pi|_N \sim G(\gamma)$, the G -orbit of γ in \widehat{N} under the action of G . Let S denote the stability subgroup of γ . By [26, Theorems 8.2 and 8.3], there

exist a multiplier ω on S/N , an irreducible ω -representation ρ of S in \mathcal{H}_γ and an irreducible ω -representation σ of S/N such that

$$\pi = \text{ind}_S^G(\rho \otimes \sigma).$$

Now, an irreducible ω -representation of an abelian group is weakly equivalent to the ω -representation induced from some one-dimensional ω -representation of a certain subgroup. Hence there exist a subgroup H of S containing N and a one-dimensional ω -representation λ of H/N such that

$$\rho \otimes \sigma \sim \rho \otimes \text{ind}_H^S \lambda = \text{ind}_H^S(\rho|_H \otimes \lambda).$$

Let $\pi = \rho|_H \otimes \lambda$, a finite dimensional ordinary representation. Then

$$\pi \sim \text{ind}_S^G(\text{ind}_H^S(\rho|_H \otimes \lambda)) = \text{ind}_H^G \tau,$$

as required.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \otimes \bar{\pi} &= \text{ind}_H^G(\tau \otimes \bar{\pi}|_H) \succ \text{ind}_H^G(\tau \otimes \bar{\tau}) \\ &\succ \text{ind}_H^G 1_H \sim \widehat{G/H}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\pi \otimes \bar{\pi}$ is weakly contained in γ_G since G is amenable. Now, as $\text{supp } \gamma_G$ is countable and H is open and G/H is abelian, H must have finite index in G . Thus, $\text{ind}_H^G \tau$ is finite dimensional and hence so is π . \square

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that G is a Lie group with countably many connected components. If $A_{\gamma_G}(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$, then $G/Z(G)$ is compact.*

Proof. For any normal subgroup N of G , let $q_N : G \rightarrow G/N$ denote the quotient homomorphism. Since $A_{\gamma_G}(G)$ is w^* -closed in $B(G)$ and G is second countable, and since the connected component G_0 of e is open, $\text{supp } \gamma_{G_0}$ is countable by Corollary 4.3. Theorem 3.4 of [21] yields that $G_0 = V \times C$, the direct product of a vector group V and a compact group C . Clearly, C is normal in G .

We claim that $V = G_0/C$ is contained in the centre of G/C . To that end, fix $a \in G$ and consider the open subgroup H of G generated by a and G_0 . Then $\text{supp } \gamma_H$ is countable (Corollary 4.3), and since

$$\gamma_{G/C} \circ q_C \prec \gamma_H$$

due to the compactness of C [19, Remark 1], it follows that $\gamma_{H/C}$ has a countable support. Since G_0/C is a vector group and $(H/C)/(G_0/C) = H/G_0$ is abelian, an application of Lemma 3.2 in [21] shows that G_0/C is in the centre of H/C . Since a is arbitrary, G_0/C is central in G/C .

Next we are going to prove that G/G_0 has a finite commutator subgroup. Passing to $F = G/C$, we know that γ_F has countable support, and since V is central in F , by [21, Lemma 1.1]

$$\gamma_{F/V} \circ q_V \prec \gamma_F,$$

so that $\text{supp } \gamma_{F/V}$ is countable. Let $D = F/V$ and denote by D_f the finite conjugacy class subgroup of D . Then, by [20, Theorem 1.8]

$$\lambda_{D/D_f} \circ q_{D_f} \prec \gamma_D,$$

where λ_{D/D_f} is the regular representation of D/D_f . Thus the reduced dual of D/D_f is countable, and hence D/D_f is finite (see [2, 34]). At this stage we know in particular that G is amenable, so that $\gamma_{G/N} \circ q_N \prec \gamma_G$ for each closed normal

subgroup N of G [21, Lemma 1.1]. Also, γ_{D_f} has countable support and therefore the commutator subgroup D'_f of D_f is finite by Lemma 4.5. Let N be the inverse image of D'_f in G and let $E = G/N$. Then γ_E has countable support, and E possesses an abelian normal subgroup A of finite index, namely $A = D_f/D'_f$. We apply Lemma 4.6 to E and obtain that

$$\text{ind}_{C(x)}^E 1_{C(x)} \prec \gamma_E$$

for every $x \in E$. It follows that

$$\text{ind}_{C(x) \cap A}^A 1_{C(x) \cap A} \prec (\text{ind}_{C(x)}^E 1_{C(x)})|_A \prec \gamma_E|_A,$$

and $\gamma_E|_A$ has countable support since γ_E does and E/A is finite. However, A being abelian, countability of

$$(A/C(x) \cap A)^\wedge = \text{supp}(\text{ind}_{C(x) \cap A}^A 1_{C(x) \cap A})$$

implies that $C(x) \cap A$ is of finite index in A . Hence $C(x)$ has finite index in E for every $x \in E$. Therefore G/N is an FC-group, and Lemma 4.5 implies that E has a finite commutator subgroup. Recalling that $N/G_0 = D'_f$ is finite, we conclude that G/G_0 has a finite commutator subgroup.

Since G/G_0 has a finite commutator subgroup, there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that $G_0 \subseteq N$, N/G_0 is finite and G/N is abelian. Now, $G_0 = V \times C$, and this implies that all the irreducible representations of N are finite dimensional. Since $\text{supp } \gamma_G$ is countable, Lemma 4.7 shows that G has only finite dimensional irreducible representations.

On the other hand, G has a relatively compact commutator subgroup. To see this, notice first that since V is contained in the centre of N/C , N/C has a finite commutator subgroup. Denoting its inverse in G by K , N/K is isomorphic to V . By arguments that have previously been used, V is central in G/K , and applying Lemma 4.6 again, this time to G/K , we conclude that G/K is a group with finite conjugacy classes.

Thus G is a group with relatively compact conjugacy classes all of whose irreducible representations are finite dimensional. Since, in addition, G is a Lie group, combining Theorem 2 of [27] and Lemma 5.4 of [24] shows that $G/Z(G)$ is compact. \square

5. w^* -CONTINUITY OF THE RESTRICTION MAP

Let G be a locally compact group, and let H be a closed subgroup of G . In this section we study the question of when the restriction map

$$\Phi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H), \varphi \rightarrow \varphi|_H$$

is continuous for the w^* -topologies. Clearly, if H is open then $C^*(H)$ is a subalgebra of $C^*(G)$ and hence Φ is w^* -continuous. We are going to establish the following converse.

Theorem 5.1. *Let H be a closed subgroup of the locally compact group G . If the restriction map $B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is continuous for the w^* -topologies, then H is open in G .*

Let $M(G)$ denote the algebra of all bounded measures on G . The canonical embedding of $L^1(G)$ into $M(G)$ extends to an isometric $*$ -homomorphism of $C^*(G)$

into $C^*(M(G))$, the enveloping C^* -algebra of $M(G)$. Therefore we may (and shall) identify $C^*(G)$ with a closed two-sided ideal of $C^*(M(G))$.

Let H be a closed subgroup of G . For $f \in L^1(H)$, let $\mu_f \in M(G)$ denote the measure on G defined by f . The mapping $f \rightarrow \mu_f$ from $L^1(H)$ into $M(G)$ extends to a $*$ -homomorphism $\Psi : C^*(H) \rightarrow C^*(M(G))$. By general principles, the restriction map $\Phi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is w^* -continuous if and only if Φ is the transpose of some continuous linear mapping $\Theta : C^*(H) \rightarrow C^*(G)$. It is easy to verify that, in this case, Θ has to agree with Ψ on $L^1(H)$. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *The restriction map $\Phi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is w^* -continuous if and only if the range of $\Psi : C^*(H) \rightarrow C^*(M(G))$ is contained in $C^*(G)$.*

Remark 5.3. It is interesting to notice that the homomorphism $\Psi : C^*(H) \rightarrow C^*(M(G))$ need not always be injective (see [4]).

Now, let ρ denote the right regular representation of G on $L^2(G)$ and $C_r^*(M(G))$ the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(G))$ generated by the set of all operators $\rho(\mu)$, $\mu \in M(G)$. The mapping $f \rightarrow \mu_f$ from $L^1(H)$ into $M(G)$ extends to a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Psi_r : C^*(H) \rightarrow C_r^*(M(G)).$$

It is clear that Ψ_r is the composition of Ψ and the canonical homomorphism from $C^*(M(G))$ onto $C_r^*(M(G))$.

In view of Lemma 5.2, we thus observe that Theorem 5.1 will be a consequence of the following stronger result.

Theorem 5.4. *Let H be a closed subgroup of the locally compact group G . If the range of the homomorphism $\Psi_r : C^*(H) \rightarrow C_r^*(M(G))$ is contained in $C_r^*(G)$, then H is open in G .*

The proof of Theorem 5.4 depends on two elementary lemmas, the first of which appears also in [25]. For the sake of completeness, however, we give a very short and different proof.

Lemma 5.5. *Let G be a locally compact group and let $T \in C_r^*(G)$. Suppose that K is a compact subset of G and regard $L^2(K)$ as a closed subspace of $L^2(G)$ in the usual manner. Then the restriction*

$$T|_{L^2(K)} : L^2(K) \rightarrow L^2(G)$$

of T to $L^2(K)$ is a compact operator.

Proof. Of course, it suffices to prove the statement for operators T of the form $T = \rho(f)$ where $f \in C_c(G)$. Let $K' = \text{supp } f \cdot K$. Then $Tg \in L^2(K')$ for all $g \in L^2(K)$. Choose $f_j \in C_c(K')$ and $g_j \in C_c(K)$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_G \int_G \left| \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x)g_j(y) - f(xy) \right|^2 dx dy \rightarrow 0.$$

It is straightforward to verify that this implies that $T : L^2(K) \rightarrow L^2(K')$ is a norm limit of finite rank operators. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let (X, μ) be a probability measure space without atoms. Then the canonical embedding $L^2(X) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ fails to be compact.*

Proof. It suffices to show that there is an orthonormal sequence $(f_n)_n$ in $L^2(X)$ such that $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq 1$. Indeed, we then have

$$2 = \|f_n - f_m\|_2^2 = \int_X |f_n(x) - f_m(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_1$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \neq m$, and hence no subsequence of $(f_n)_n$ can converge in $L^1(X)$.

Since μ has no atoms, there exists, for every $0 \leq r \leq 1$, a measurable subset A of X with $\mu(A) = r$ (see [13, Section 4.1, Exercise 1]). Therefore, we can choose inductively, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, disjoint measurable subsets

$$A_1^{(n)}, A_2^{(n)}, \dots, A_{2^{n-1}}^{(n)}$$

of X with the following properties:

- (1) $\mu(A_i^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2^{n-1}$.
- (2) $A_{2i-1}^{(n+1)}, A_{2i}^{(n+1)} \subseteq A_i^{(n)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2^{n-1}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a function $f_n \in L^2(X)$ (a kind of Rademacher function) by setting

$$f_n(x) = (-1)^i \text{ for } x \in A_i^{(n)} \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, 2^{n-1}.$$

By construction and (1) and (2), we obviously have

$$\|f_n\|_\infty = \|f_n\|_2 = 1 \text{ and } \int_X f_n(x)f_m(x)d\mu(x) = 0, \quad n \neq m.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Let H be a closed subgroup of G and suppose $\Psi_r(C^*(H))$ is contained in $C_r^*(G)$. Fix a Bruhat function β on G for H (see [31, Chapter 8]), that is, a non-negative continuous function β on G with the following properties:

- (i) For every compact subset K of G , β agrees on KH with the restriction of some function from $C_c(G)$.
- (ii) $\int_H \beta(xh)dh = 1$ for all $x \in G$.

Let Δ_G and Δ_H denote the modular functions of G and H , respectively, and define a function q on G by

$$q(x) = \int_H \beta(xh)\Delta_G(h)\Delta_H(h)^{-1}dh.$$

Since $q(xh) = q(x)\Delta_G(h)^{-1}\Delta_H(h)$ for all $x \in G$ and $h \in H$, there exists a quasi-invariant measure $d_q\dot{x}$ on $\dot{G} = G/H$ such that

$$\int_G \left(\frac{f(xh)}{q(xh)} dh \right) d_q\dot{x} = \int_G f(x)dx$$

for all $f \in L^1(G)$ [31, Chapter 8].

Now let $\dot{K} \subseteq \dot{G}$ be a compact neighbourhood of \dot{e} in \dot{G} . We are going to prove that \dot{K} is finite. Clearly, this will imply that H is open. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow \dot{G}$ denote the quotient map and choose a compact neighbourhood K of e in G so that $\pi(K) = \dot{K}$. Fix a continuous function f with compact support on H such that

$$\int_H f(h)\Delta_G(h)^{-1}dh \neq 0.$$

Let $K' = KH \cap \text{supp } \beta$, which is a compact subset of G . By Lemma 5.5, the restriction of $\rho(\mu_f)$, the right convolution operator defined by μ_f , to $L^2(K')$ is a compact operator. Define a linear mapping

$$T : L^2(\dot{K}, d_q \dot{x}) \rightarrow L^2(K')$$

by $T\dot{g}(x) = \dot{g}(\dot{x})\beta(x)^{1/2}$ for $\dot{g} \in L^2(\dot{K}, d_q \dot{x})$ and $x \in K$. Since

$$\int_H \frac{\beta(xh)}{q(xh)} dh = \frac{1}{q(x)} \int_H \beta(xh)\Delta_G(h)\Delta_H(h)^{-1} dh = 1$$

for all $x \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\dot{g}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\dot{G}} \left(\int_H \frac{|\dot{g}(\dot{x})|^2 \beta(xh)}{q(xh)} dh \right) d_q \dot{x} \\ &= \int_{\dot{G}} |\dot{g}(\dot{x})|^2 d_q \dot{x} = \|\dot{g}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is a bounded linear operator. Set

$$q_1(x) = \int_H \beta(xh)^{1/2} \Delta_G(h)\Delta_H(h)^{-1} dh$$

for $x \in G$. Then, for all $x \in G$ and $h \in H$,

$$q_1(xh) = q_1(x)\Delta_G(h)^{-1}\Delta_H(h).$$

Hence there exists a quasi-invariant measure $d_{q_1} \dot{x}$ on \dot{G} such that

$$\int_{\dot{G}} \left(\int_H \frac{q(xh)}{q_1(xh)} dh \right) d_{q_1} \dot{x} = \int_G g(x) dx$$

for all $g \in L^1(G)$. Let

$$S : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(\dot{G}, d_{q_1} \dot{x})$$

be the linear operator defined by

$$Sg(\dot{x}) = \int_H \frac{g(xh)}{q_1(xh)} dh$$

for $g \in C_c(G)$. S is bounded since

$$\|Sg\|_{L^1(\dot{G}, d_{q_1} \dot{x})} \leq \int_{\dot{G}} \left(\int_H \frac{|g(xh)|}{q_1(xh)} dh \right) d_{q_1} \dot{x} = \|g\|_1.$$

Observe next that $\rho(\mu_f)$ maps $L^2(K')$ into $L^2(K'')$ for some compact subset K'' of G . Hence $\rho(\mu_f)T$ map $L^2(\dot{K}, d_q \dot{x})$ into $L^1(G)$, and we may consider the bounded linear operator

$$S\rho(\mu_f)T : L^2(\dot{K}, d_q \dot{x}) \rightarrow L^1(\dot{G}, d_{q_1} \dot{x}).$$

For $\dot{g} \in C(\dot{K})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S\rho(\mu_{f|_H})T(\dot{g})(\dot{x}) &= \dot{g}(\dot{x}) \int_H \frac{1}{q_1(xh)} \left(\int_H \beta(xhk)^{1/2} f(k) dk \right) dh \\ &= \dot{g}(\dot{x}) \int_H f(k) \left(\int_H \frac{\beta(xhk)^{1/2}}{q_1(xh)} dh \right) dk. \end{aligned}$$

However, for $x \in G$ and $k \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H \frac{\beta(xhk)^{1/2}}{q_1(xh)} dh &= \Delta_H(k)^{-1} \int_H \frac{\beta(xh)^{1/2}}{q_1(xhk^{-1})} dh \\ &= \Delta_G(k)^{-1} \int_H \frac{\beta(xh)^{1/2}}{q(xh)} dh = \Delta_G(k)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, setting $\alpha = \int_H f(k) \Delta_G(k)^{-1} dk \neq 0$, we obtain that

$$S\rho(\mu_{f|_H})T(\dot{g}) = \alpha\dot{g}$$

for all $\dot{g} \in L^2(\dot{K}, d_q\dot{x})$. Now, by hypothesis and Lemma 5.5, the restriction of $\rho(\mu_{f|_H})$ to $L^2(K')$ is compact. Hence

$$\alpha I : L^2(\dot{K}, d_q\dot{x}) \rightarrow L^1(\dot{K}, d_{q_1}\dot{x})$$

is a compact operator.

Finally, notice that since

$$d_{q_1}\dot{x} = \frac{q_1(x)}{q(x)} d_q\dot{x}$$

and $\frac{q_1}{q}$ is a strictly positive continuous function on the compact set \dot{K} , the corresponding L^1 -spaces are equal and the L^1 -norms are equivalent. Hence the embedding

$$L^2(\dot{K}, d_q\dot{x}) \rightarrow L^1(\dot{K}, d_q\dot{x})$$

is compact. By Lemma 5.6, \dot{K} has to have atoms. However, this implies that \dot{K} is finite. \square

REFERENCES

1. G. Arzac, *Sur l'espace de Banach engendré par les coefficients d'une représentation unitaire*, Publ. Dép. Math. Lyon **13** (1976), 1–101. MR **56**:3180
2. L. Baggett, *A separable group having a discrete dual space is compact*, J. Funct. Anal. **10** (1972), 131–148. MR **49**:10816
3. M. B. Bekka, A. T. Lau, and G. Schlichting, *On invariant subalgebras of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of a locally compact group*, Math. Ann. **294** (1992), 513–522. MR **93k**:43606
4. M. B. Bekka and A. Valette, *Lattices in semisimple Lie groups and multipliers of group C^* -algebras*, Astérisque, no. 232, Soc. Math. France, 1995, pp. 67–79. MR **97a**:22015
5. J. Dixmier, *C^* -algebras*, Amsterdam, 1977. MR **56**:16388
6. J. D. Dixon, *The structure of linear groups*, London, 1971.
7. S. Echterhoff, *Crossed products with continuous trace*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1996), no. 586. CMP 97:03
8. P. Eymard, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **92** (1964), 181–236. MR **37**:4208
9. J. M. G. Fell, *Weak containment and induced representations. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1964), 424–447. MR **28**:3114
10. J. M. G. Fell and R. S. Doran, *Representations of $*$ -algebras, locally compact groups and Banach $*$ -algebraic bundles, Vol. I, II*, Boston, 1988. MR **90c**:46001; MR **90c**:46002
11. E. Granirer and M. Leinert, *On some topologies which coincide on the unit sphere of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ and of the measure algebra $M(G)$* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **11** (1981), 459–472. MR **85f**:43009
12. F. P. Greenleaf, *Amenable actions of locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **4** (1969), 295–315. MR **40**:268
13. P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, New York, 1950. MR **11**:504d
14. P. de la Harpe and A. Valette, *La propriété (T) de Kazhdan pour les groupes localement compacts*, Astérisque **175**, Soc. Math. France, 1989. MR **90m**:22001

15. H. E. Jensen, *Scattered C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand. **41** (1977), 308–314. MR **58**:2321
16. E. Kaniuth, *Der Typ der regulären Darstellung diskreter Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **182** (1969), 334–339. MR **41**:5516
17. E. Kaniuth, *Weak containment and tensor products of group representations. II*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), 1–15. MR **86j**:22004
18. E. Kaniuth, *On topological Frobenius reciprocity for locally compact groups*, Arch. Math. **48** (1987), 286–297. MR **89d**:22082
19. E. Kaniuth, *On the conjugation representation of a locally compact group*, Math. Z. **202** (1989), 275–288. MR **90k**:22003
20. E. Kaniuth and A. Markfort, *The conjugation representation and inner amenability of discrete groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **432** (1992), 23–37. MR **93m**:22006
21. E. Kaniuth and A. Markfort, *Locally compact groups whose conjugation representations satisfy a Kazhdan type property or have countable support*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **116** (1994), 79–97. MR **95d**:22006
22. A. T. Lau and P. Mah, *Normal structure in dual Banach spaces associated to locally compact groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **310** (1988), 341–353. MR **89e**:43004
23. A. T. Lau and A. Ülger, *Some geometric properties of the Fourier and Fourier Stieltjes algebras of locally compact groups, Arens regularity and related problems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **337** (1993), 321–359. MR **93g**:22007
24. J. R. Liukkonen, *Dual spaces of groups with precompact conjugacy classes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **180** (1973), 85–108. MR **47**:6937
25. V. Losert, *Properties of the Fourier algebra that are equivalent to amenability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 347–353. MR **86b**:43010
26. G. W. Mackey, *Unitary representations of group extensions*, Acta Math. **99** (1958), 265–311. MR **20**:4789
27. C. C. Moore, *Groups with finite dimensional irreducible representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **166** (1972), 401–410. MR **46**:1960
28. R. D. Mosak, *The L^1 - and C^* -algebras of $[FIA]_B^-$ groups and their representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **163** (1972), 277–310. MR **45**:2096
29. A. L. T. Paterson, *Amenability*, Providence, Rhode Island, 1988. MR **90e**:43001
30. J. P. Pier, *Amenable locally compact groups*, New York, 1984. MR **86a**:43001
31. H. Reiter, *Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups*, Oxford, 1968. MR **46**:5933
32. K. F. Taylor, *The type structure of the regular representation of a locally compact group*, Math. Ann. **222** (1976), 211–224. MR **54**:12965
33. K. F. Taylor, *Geometry of the Fourier algebras and locally compact groups with atomic unitary representations*, Math. Ann. **262** (1983), 183–190. MR **84k**:43020
34. S. P. Wang, *On isolated points in the dual spaces of locally compact groups*, Math. Ann. **218** (1975), 19–34. MR **52**:5863
35. R. J. Zimmer, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Boston/Basel/New York, 1984. MR **86j**:22014

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE METZ, F - 57045 METZ, FRANCE
E-mail address: bekka@poncelet.univ-metz.fr

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK/INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN, D - 33095 PADERBORN, GERMANY
E-mail address: kaniuth@uni-paderborn.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, CANADA T6G 2G1
E-mail address: tlau@vega.math.ualberta.ca

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, D - 80290 MÜNCHEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: gschlich@mathematik.tu-muenchen.de