

## ON SYZYGIES OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

ELENA RUBEI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the following result: Let  $X$  be a complex torus and  $M$  a normally generated line bundle on  $X$ ; then, for every  $p \geq 0$ , the line bundle  $M^{p+1}$  satisfies Property  $N_p$  of Green-Lazarsfeld.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we prove a new result on syzygies of abelian varieties; precisely, the problem we are considering is the following: let  $X$  be a complex torus,  $L$  a very ample line bundle on  $X$  and  $\varphi_L$  the associated map; we are concerned with the degree of the equations defining  $\varphi_L(X)$ , the degree of the syzygies among them and the degree of higher syzygies. In particular, here we examine the case where  $L = M^l$  where  $M$  is a normally generated line bundle.

To review precisely the statements of the known results on syzygies of abelian varieties and to formulate precisely our theorem, we have to recall Green-Lazarsfeld's definition of Property  $N_p$  (see [Gr1], [G-L], [Gr2], [Laz2], [E-L]): let  $Y$  be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension  $n$  and let  $L$  be a very ample line bundle on  $Y$  defining an embedding  $Y \subset \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(H^0(Y, L)^*)$ ; set  $S = S(L) = \text{Sym}^* H^0(L)$ , the homogeneous coordinate ring of the projective space  $\mathbf{P}$ , and consider the graded  $S$ -module  $G = G(L) = \bigoplus_d H^0(Y, L^d)$ . Let  $E_*$  be a minimal graded free resolution of  $G$  (that is, an exact sequence with  $E_i$  free  $S$ -modules and such that the matrices of homogenous polynomials giving the maps  $E_i \rightarrow E_{i-1}$  has no nonzero constant entries); the line bundle  $L$  satisfies Property  $N_p$  ( $p \in \mathbf{N}$ ) if and only if

$$E_0 = S,$$
$$E_i = \bigoplus S(-i-1) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

(Thus  $L$  satisfies Property  $N_0$  if and only if  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(L)^*)$  is projectively normal, that is,  $L$  is normally generated;  $L$  satisfies Property  $N_1$  if and only if  $L$  satisfies Property  $N_0$  and the homogeneous ideal  $I$  of  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(L)^*)$  is generated by quadrics;  $L$  satisfies Property  $N_2$  if and only if  $L$  satisfies Property  $N_1$  and the module of syzygies among quadratic generators  $Q_i \in I$  is spanned by relations of the form  $\sum L_i Q_i = 0$ , where  $L_i$  are linear polynomials; and so on.)

In 1966 Mumford proved that, if  $M$  is an ample line bundle on a complex torus  $X$  and  $l \geq 4$ , then the ideal of  $\varphi_{M^l}(X)$  is generated by quadrics ([Mum2]) and in

---

Received by the editors November 30, 1997 and, in revised form, March 29, 1998.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14K05.

*Key words and phrases*. Abelian varieties, syzygies.

This research was carried through in the realm of the AGE Project HCMEBCHRXCT940557 and of the ex-40 MURST Program "Geometria algebrica".

1978 Sekiguchi proved a similiar result for  $l = 3$  ([Se]). In 1989 Kempf proved again and generalized these results in [Ke]; precisely the following theorem holds (part *c*) was proved by Lange and Birkenhake using Kempf's proof; see 7.4.1, [L-B]):

**Theorem 1** (Mumford-Sekiguchi-Kempf). *Let  $X$  be a complex torus. If  $A$  is an ample line bundle on  $X$  we denote  $I(A)$  the ideal of  $\varphi_A(X)$ . Let  $M$  be an ample line bundle on  $X$ ;*

- a) if  $l \geq 4$ , the ideal  $I(M^l)$  is generated by forms of degree 2,*
- b) let  $l = 3$ , the ideal  $I(M^3)$  is generated by forms of degrees 2 and 3,*
- c) (Lange-Birkenhake) let  $l = 2$ ; if  $M^2$  is normally generated, then the ideal  $I(M^2)$  is generated by forms of degrees 2, 3 and 4.*

In 1984 Green proved that if  $X$  is a Riemann surface of genus  $g$  and  $L$  is a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$  of degree  $2g + 1 + p$ , then  $L$  satisfies Property  $N_p$  (see [Gr1] and [Gr2]). Thus, if  $M$  is an ample line bundle on an elliptic curve, then  $M^{p+3}$  satisfies Property  $N_p$  and in [Laz2] Lazarsfeld formulated the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 2** (Lazarsfeld). *If  $M$  is an ample line bundle on a complex torus, then, for every  $p \geq 0$ , the line bundle  $M^{p+3}$  satisfies Property  $(N_p)$ .*

In 1989 Kempf proved a weaker result (see [Ke]):

**Theorem 3** (Kempf). *Let  $M$  be an ample line bundle on a complex torus  $X$ . If  $l \geq 4$ , then  $M^l$  satisfies Property  $N_{\lfloor \frac{l-2}{2} \rfloor}$ .*

In 1993 Ein and Lazarsfeld proved the following theorem (see [E-L]):

**Theorem 4** (Ein-Lazarsfeld). *Let  $Y$  be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension  $n$ ; let  $A$  be a very ample line bundle on  $Y$ , and  $B$  a numerically effective line bundle on  $Y$ ; then  $K_Y \otimes A^{n+1+p} \otimes B$  satisfies Property  $N_p$ .*

*If  $(Y, A, B) \neq (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n})$  and  $p \geq 1$ , then  $K_Y \otimes A^{n+p} \otimes B$  satisfies Property  $N_p$ .*

Thus, if  $M$  is a very ample line bundle on a complex torus of dimension  $n$ , then  $M^{n+p}$  satisfies Property  $N_p$ .

In this paper, using the ideas of Kempf's paper [Ke], by a patient adaptation, we prove another theorem on syzygies of abelian varieties:

**Theorem 5.** *If  $M$  is a normally generated line bundle on a complex torus  $X$ , then, for every  $p \geq 0$ , the line bundle  $M^{p+1}$  satisfies Property  $N_p$ .*

Since there is a result on normal generation of primitive line bundles (Lazarsfeld's theorem on projective normality of  $(1, d)$ -abelian surfaces; see [Laz1]), Theorem 5 may actually be useful (see Remark 18).

**Notation and Definitions.** We collect here some notation and standard definitions that we will use throughout the paper.

- $\varphi_L$ . If  $L$  is a line bundle on a complex manifold  $Y$ ,  $\varphi_L$  is the rational map associated to  $L$ .
- A line bundle  $L$  on a complex manifold  $Y$  is called **normally generated** if it is very ample and  $\varphi_L(Y)$  is projectively normal. We have that  $L$  is normally generated if and only if it is ample and the natural maps  $S^n H^0(Y, L) \rightarrow H^0(Y, L^n)$  are surjective for all  $n \geq 2$  (see [Mum1], p. 38 and [L-B], Chapter 7, §3).

If  $X$  is a complex torus of dimension  $g$ , then

- $t_x$  is the translation on  $X$  by the point  $x$ ;
- $\hat{X}$  is the dual complex torus of  $X$ ; it is isomorphic to  $Pic^0(X)$ ;
- $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the Poincaré bundle on  $X \times \hat{X}$ ;
- $\phi_L$  is the homomorphism  $X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ ,  $x \mapsto t_x^* L \otimes L^{-1}$ , where  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$ ;
- $\mathbf{K}(L)$  is the kernel of  $\phi_L$ ; it depends only on  $H$ , the first Chern class of  $L$ , thus we denote  $K(L)$  also by  $\mathbf{K}(H)$ ; if  $L$  is nondegenerate, then  $K(L)$  is a finite group isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}/d_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}/d_g)^2$  with  $d_i | d_{i+1}$ ; we say that  $L$  is of **type**  $(d_1, \dots, d_g)$ ;
- $\mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{W}'$ : if  $W$  is a vector subspace of  $H^0(X, E)$  and  $W'$  is a vector subspace of  $H^0(X, E')$  ( $E$  and  $E'$  line bundles on  $X$ ),  $\mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{W}'$  is the image of  $W \otimes W'$  under the multiplication map; we often omit  $\cdot$ .
- $\pi$ : if we have a product of tori, we use the notation:  $\pi_i$  is the projection on the  $i$ th factor and  $\pi$  is the projection on  $\cdot$ .

2. SOME RECALLS

First we recall Mumford’s lemma (see [Mum1] or [L-B], Chapter 7, Lemma 3.3) and the following remark and proposition.

**Lemma 6** (Mumford). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two ample line bundles on a complex torus  $X$ . For every nonempty open subset  $U$  of  $\hat{X}$ , we have*

$$\sum_{P \in U} H^0(X, A \otimes P) \cdot H^0(X, B \otimes P^{-1}) = H^0(X, A \otimes B).$$

As Kempf observed in [Ke], Mumford’s lemma can be interpreted in this way: a linear functional  $\lambda$  on  $H^0(A \otimes B)$  is determined by the family  $\{\lambda_P\}_{P \in U}$ , where  $\lambda_P$  is the linear functional on  $H^0(X, A \otimes P) \otimes H^0(X, B \otimes P^{-1})$  given by the composition of the multiplication with  $\lambda$ .

*Remark 7* (see [Gr2]). Let  $V$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $r + 1$ , let  $S = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} Sym^q(V)$  and  $G = \bigoplus_q G_q$  a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module. Let

$$0 \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal free resolution of  $G$ , that is, an exact sequence with  $E_i$  free  $S$ -modules and such that the matrices of homogenous polynomials giving the maps  $E_i \rightarrow E_{i-1}$  has no nonzero constant entries. Write  $E_p = \bigoplus_q (B_{p,q} \otimes S(-q))$  with  $B_{p,q}$  vector spaces on  $\mathbf{C}$ . See  $\mathbf{C}$  as the  $S$ -module  $S / \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} Sym^q(V)$ . Then

$$Tor_p^S(G, \mathbf{C})_q \simeq B_{p,q}.$$

**Proposition 8** (Koizumi [Ko]). *Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be two algebraically equivalent ample line bundles on a complex torus  $X$ . The multiplication map  $H^0(X, A^m) \otimes H^0(X, A'^n) \rightarrow H^0(X, A^m \otimes A'^n)$  is surjective for all  $m \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ .*

Now we recall some facts, definitions and propositions of Kempf’s paper [Ke].

**Definition 9** (Kempf). For any  $A_i$ ’s ample line bundles on a complex torus  $X$ , let  $K(A_1) = H^0(X, A_1)$  and, for  $n > 1$ , define  $K(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  inductively by the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow K(A_1, A_3, \dots, A_n) \otimes H^0(X, A_2) \rightarrow K(A_1 \otimes A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n).$$

To follow completely Kempf’s notations, we denote  $K(A_1, A_2)$  by  $R(A_1, A_2)$  ( $= \ker(H^0(A_1) \otimes H^0(A_2) \rightarrow H^0(A_1 \otimes A_2))$ ).

In the sequel  $K(A_1, A_3, \dots, A_n) \cdot H^0(X, A_2)$  will denote the image of the multiplication map  $K(A_1, A_3, \dots, A_n) \otimes H^0(X, A_2) \rightarrow K(A_1 \otimes A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n) (\subset H^0(A_1 \otimes A_2) \otimes H^0(A_3) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(A_n))$ ; we often omit  $\cdot$ .

*Notation 10* (Kempf). In the remainder of this section, following [Ke], we use the following notation: let  $X$  be a complex torus of dimension  $g$ ; fix an ample line bundle  $M$  on  $X$ ;  $l_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , will denote positive integers and  $L_i$  will denote a line bundle algebraically equivalent to  $M^{l_i}$ .

Observe that, if  $A$  is a line bundle on  $X$ , since  $H^0((\pi_X^* A \otimes \mathcal{P})|_{X \times \{P\}}) = H^0(A \otimes P)$  is of constant dimension  $\forall P \in \hat{X}$ , then the sheaf  $\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* A \otimes \mathcal{P})$  on  $\hat{X}$  is locally free and its fibre over  $P \in \hat{X}$  is  $H^0(A \otimes P)$ , by Grauert’s Theorem (see [Ha] Theorem 12.9 Chapter 3). Analogously the sheaf  $\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* A \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1})$  on  $\hat{X}$  is locally free and its fibre over  $P \in \hat{X}$  is  $H^0(A \otimes P^{-1})$ .

Consider the following map:

$$\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}) \rightarrow H^0(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$$

(given by the composition of the maps

$$\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{\hat{X}*} \pi_X^*(L_1 \otimes L_2)$$

and

$$\pi_{\hat{X}*} \pi_X^*(L_1 \otimes L_2) \rightarrow H^0(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}.)$$

This map induces a map:

$$m : H^0(X, L_1 \otimes L_2)^\vee \rightarrow H^0(\hat{X}, (\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee).$$

**Proposition 11** (Kempf). *i) The map*

$$m : H^0(X, L_1 \otimes L_2)^\vee \rightarrow H^0(\hat{X}, (\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*ii)  $H^i(\hat{X}, (\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ .*

Arguing exactly as in Proposition 4 of [Ke], we have:

**Proposition 12** (Kempf). *If  $H^0(L_1 \otimes P) \otimes H^0(L_3) \rightarrow H^0(L_1 \otimes P \otimes L_3)$  is surjective  $\forall P \in Pic^0(X)$  and  $H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes H^0(L_3) \rightarrow H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3)$  is surjective, then*

$$\sum_{P \in Pic^0(X)} R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) = R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3).$$

We reproduce the proof here for later use.

*Proof.* One inclusion is obvious:

$$\sum_{P \in \hat{X}} R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \subset R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3).$$

We want to show the other one. It suffices to show that  $(\sum_{P \in Pic^0(X)} R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}))^\perp$  in  $(H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) H^0(L_3))^\vee$  is contained in  $R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3)^\perp$ .

For every  $P \in \hat{X}$ , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^0(L_1 \otimes P) \otimes H^0(L_3) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes H^0(L_3) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^0(L_1 \otimes P \otimes L_3) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

The columns are exact by the hypotheses.

To see that  $(\sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}))^\perp$  in  $(H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes H^0(L_3))^\vee$  is contained in  $R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3)^\perp$  is equivalent to seeing that any element of  $(H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes H^0(L_3))^\vee$  inducing a linear form on  $H^0(L_1 \otimes L_3 \otimes P) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1})$  induces a linear form on  $H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3)$  and this is true by Proposition 11.  $\square$

**Definition 13** (Kempf). Let  $S$  be a graded ring and  $G$  a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module and  $k = S / \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$ . Define  $d(G) = \min \{j \mid G \text{ is generated by the union of the } G_d \text{'s for } d \leq j\}$  (thus  $d(G)$  is the smallest number such that  $G \otimes_S k$  is zero in degree  $> d(G)$ ).

Define  $T^1(G) = \ker(G(-1) \otimes_k S_1 \rightarrow G)$ .

Define  $T^j(G) = T^{j-1}(T^1(G))$  and  $T^0(G) = G$ .

Define  $d^j(G) = d(T^j(G))$ .

**Lemma 14** (Kempf). Let  $S$  be a graded ring and  $G$  a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module and  $k = S / \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$ . If  $q > i - j + d(T^j(G))$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq i$ , then  $\text{Tor}_i^S(G, k)$  is zero in degree  $q$ .

### 3. TWO NEW LEMMAS

To prove Theorem 5 we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 15.** Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be two algebraically equivalent normally generated line bundles on a complex torus  $X$  and  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ . If  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ , then

$$H^0(A^m) \cdot H^0(A'^n) = H^0(A^m \otimes A'^n).$$

*Proof.* Observe that the set  $U := \{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X) \text{ s.t. } H^0(A \otimes P^{-1})H^0(A'^n) = H^0(A \otimes A'^n \otimes P^{-1})\}$  is nonempty, since  $A$  and  $A'$  are normally generated, and open, since, as we have already observed, for any line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ , the vector spaces  $H^0(L \otimes P)$   $P \in \hat{X}$  form a vector bundle on  $\hat{X}$ .

Applying twice Mumford's Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^0(A^m)H^0(A'^n) &= \sum_{P \in U} H^0(A^{m-1} \otimes P)H^0(A \otimes P^{-1})H^0(A'^n) \\
 &= \sum_{P \in U} H^0(A^{m-1} \otimes P)H^0(A \otimes A'^n \otimes P^{-1}) = H^0(A^m \otimes A'^n)
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 16.** Let  $A, A'$  and  $A''$  be three algebraically equivalent normally generated line bundles on a complex torus  $X$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{N}$ . If we are in one of the following three cases:

- 1)  $\alpha \geq 2, \beta \geq 2, \gamma \geq 2,$   
 2)  $\alpha \geq 3, \beta = 1, \alpha + \gamma \geq 5,$   
 3)  $\alpha \geq 3, \gamma = 1, \alpha + \beta \geq 5,$   
 then

$$R(A^\alpha, A'^\beta) \cdot H^0(A''^\gamma) = R(A^\alpha \otimes A''^\gamma, A'^\beta).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, l \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\beta, l, \alpha - l \geq 1$ .

If

$$H^0(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P) \otimes H^0(A'^\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P \otimes A'^\beta)$$

for all  $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ , and

$$H^0(A^\alpha) \otimes H^0(A'^\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(A^\alpha \otimes A'^\beta)$$

are surjective (we call this condition (a)), then, by Proposition 12, we have

$$R(A^\alpha, A'^\beta)H^0(A''^\gamma) = \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P, A'^\beta)H^0(A^l \otimes P^{-1})H^0(A''^\gamma).$$

If

$$H^0(A^l \otimes P^{-1}) \otimes H^0(A''^\gamma) \longrightarrow H^0(A^l \otimes P^{-1} \otimes A''^\gamma)$$

for all  $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ , is surjective (we call this condition (b)), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P, A'^\beta)H^0(A^l \otimes P^{-1})H^0(A''^\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P, A'^\beta)H^0(A^l \otimes A''^\gamma \otimes P^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

If

$$H^0(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P) \otimes H^0(A'^\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P \otimes A'^\beta)$$

for all  $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ , and

$$H^0(A^\alpha \otimes A''^\gamma) \otimes H^0(A'^\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(A^\alpha \otimes A''^\gamma \otimes A'^\beta)$$

are surjective (we call this condition (c)), then, by Proposition 12, we have

$$\sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P, A'^\beta)H^0(A^l \otimes A''^\gamma \otimes P^{-1}) = R(A^\alpha \otimes A''^\gamma, A'^\beta).$$

By Lemma 15, if we are in one of the following four cases:

- 1)  $\alpha \geq 2, \beta \geq 2, \gamma \geq 2, l = 1,$   
 2)  $\alpha \geq 4, \beta = 1, \gamma = 1, l = 2,$   
 2')  $\alpha \geq 3, \beta = 1, \gamma \geq 2, \alpha + \gamma \geq 5, l = 1$   
 3)  $\alpha \geq 3, \gamma = 1, \alpha + \beta \geq 5, l = 2,$

then (a), (b) and (c) hold. Thus we conclude the proof.  $\square$

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 5 AND TWO REMARKS

The crucial step to prove Theorem 5 is the following proposition, which is analogous to Theorem 17 in [Ke].

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $M$  be a normally generated line bundle on a complex torus  $X$ . We again use Notation 10, that is the  $l_i$ 's,  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , denote positive integers and  $L_i$  denotes a line bundle algebraically equivalent to  $M^{l_i}$ .*

a) *Let  $m \geq 3$ . If  $l_1 \geq m - 1, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$ , then  $K(L_1, L_3, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(X, L_2) \rightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)$  is surjective.*

b) *Let  $m \geq 1$ . If  $l_1 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$ , then  $K(L_1, \dots, L_m)$  form a vector bundle on the appropriate component of  $\text{Pic}(X)^m$ .*

c) *Let  $m \geq 4$ . If  $l_1 \geq m - 2, l_2 \geq 1$  and  $l_3 \geq m - 1, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$ , then  $K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{P \in \hat{X}} K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1})$ .*

d) *Let  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $l_1 \geq 2m - 1, l_2 \geq 1$ , and, if  $m \geq 3, l_3 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$  and suppose the family of vector spaces  $K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m), P \in \hat{X}$ , forms a vector bundle on  $\hat{X}$ , we call the corresponding sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{m-1}$ . Then we have*

i) *an isomorphism*

$$K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)^\vee = H^0(\hat{X}, (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_{\hat{X}}^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee).$$

ii)  $H^i(\hat{X}, (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_{\hat{X}}^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) = 0$  if  $i \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following four statements depending on the natural number  $m$ :

- **Statement  $A(m)$ :** *If  $l_1 \geq m - 1, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$ , then  $K(L_1, L_3, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(X, L_2) \rightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)$  is surjective.*

- **Statement  $B(m)$ :** *If  $l_1 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$ , then  $K(L_1, \dots, L_m)$  form a vector bundle on the appropriate component of  $\text{Pic}(X)^m$ .*

- **Statement  $C(m)$ :** *If  $l_1 \geq m - 2, l_2 \geq 1$ , and, if  $m \geq 3, l_3 \geq m - 1, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$ , then  $K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{P \in \hat{X}} K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1})$ .*

- **Statement  $D(m)$ :** *Let  $l_1 \geq 2m - 1, l_2 \geq 1$ , and, if  $m \geq 3, l_3 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$  and suppose the family of vector spaces  $K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m), P \in \hat{X}$ , forms a vector bundle on  $\hat{X}$ , we call the corresponding sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{m-1}$ ; then we have*

i) *an isomorphism*

$$K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)^\vee = H^0(\hat{X}, (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_{\hat{X}}^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee),$$

ii)  $H^i(\hat{X}, (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_{\hat{X}}^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) = 0$  if  $i \geq 1$ .

We know that  $A(3), B(1), B(2), D(2)$  are true ( $A(3)$  is true by Lemma 16,  $B(2)$  by Lemma 15,  $D(2)$  by Proposition 11).

We will prove the following four implications:

- $A(m - 1)$  and  $B(m - 2) \Rightarrow B(m - 1)$  for  $m \geq 3$ .

- $A(m - 1), B(m - 2)$  and  $D(m - 1) \Rightarrow D(m)$  for  $m \geq 4$  and  $B(1)$  and  $D(2) \Rightarrow D(3)$ .

- $A(m - 1), B(m - 2)$  and  $D(m - 1) \Rightarrow C(m)$  for  $m \geq 3$ .

- $C(m) \Rightarrow A(m)$  for  $m \geq 3$ .

By the second implication also  $D(3)$  holds; using the four implications, one can prove by induction that  $A(m), B(m - 1)$  and  $D(m)$  are true for  $m \geq 3$  and conclude.

Thus let us prove the four implications.

- $A(m - 1)$  and  $B(m - 2) \Rightarrow B(m - 1)$  for  $m \geq 3$ : obvious.

- $A(m - 1), B(m - 2)$  and  $D(m - 1) \Rightarrow D(m)$  for  $m \geq 4$  and  $B(1)$  and  $D(2) \Rightarrow D(3)$ ; it can be proved in an analogous way as Proposition 9 in [Ke]; more precisely:

consider line bundles  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  with the hypotheses of  $D(m)$ , thus  $l_1 \geq 2m - 1$ ,  $l_2 \geq 1$ , and, if  $m \geq 3$ ,  $l_3 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$ ;

Let  $m \geq 4$ . Since  $l_1, l_3, \dots, l_m \geq m - 2$ , by  $A(m - 1)$  we have the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes P, L_4, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(L_3) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_3 \otimes P, L_4, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \longrightarrow 0; \end{aligned}$$

observe that also if  $m = 3$  this exact sequence holds, by Proposition 8.

The above sequence gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}'_{m-2} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{m-2} \otimes H^0(L_3) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathcal{F}_{m-2}$  the sheaf corresponding to the bundle whose fibre over  $P \in \hat{X}$  is  $K(L_1 \otimes P, L_4, \dots, L_m)$  and  $\mathcal{F}'_{m-2}$  the sheaf corresponding to the bundle whose fibre over  $P \in \hat{X}$  is  $K(L_1 \otimes L_3 \otimes P, L_4, \dots, L_m)$  (they are bundles by  $B(m - 2)$ , in fact, the hypotheses of  $B(m - 2)$  for them, that is  $l_1, l_4, \dots, l_m \geq m - 2$  and  $l_1 + l_3, l_4, \dots, l_m \geq m - 2$ , hold).

We take the cohomology sequence associated to the above exact sequence. By ii) of  $D(m - 1)$  we have ii) of  $D(m)$ .

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow H^0((\mathcal{F}'_{m-2} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) \\ &\longrightarrow H^0((\mathcal{F}_{m-2} \otimes H^0(L_3) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) \\ &\longrightarrow H^0((\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3, L_4, \dots, L_m)^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow (K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_4, \dots, L_m)H^0(L_3))^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow H^0((\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

by  $D(m - 1)$  (we have to verify that  $l_1 + l_3 \geq 2(m - 1) - 1$ ,  $l_4, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$ ,  $l_2 \geq 1$  and  $l_1 \geq 2(m - 1) - 1$  and that it is actually true).

By  $A(m - 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3, L_4, \dots, L_m)^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow (K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_4, \dots, L_m)H^0(L_3))^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, L_4, \dots, L_m)^\vee \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

(to apply  $A(m - 1)$  we have to verify that  $l_1 + l_2 \geq m - 2$ ,  $l_3, \dots, l_m \geq m - 2$  and it is true).

Thus  $H^0((\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) = K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)^\vee$ .

- $A(m - 1)$ ,  $B(m - 2)$  and  $D(m - 1) \Rightarrow C(m)$  for  $m \geq 3$ : this implication can be proved in an analogous way as in Proposition 12.

- $C(m) \Rightarrow A(m)$  for  $m \geq 3$ : it can be proved in an analogous way as in Theorem 5 in [Ke]; more precisely, let  $l_1, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$ ; write  $L_1 = L'_1 \otimes M$  with  $L'_1$



algebraically equivalent to  $M^{l_1-1}$ . We have

$$K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} K(L'_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m)H^0(M \otimes L_2 \otimes P^{-1})$$

if

$$(*_1) \quad l_1 - 1 \geq m - 2, \quad 1 + l_2 \geq 1, \quad l_3, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1,$$

by  $C(m)$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} K(L'_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m)H^0(M \otimes L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} K(L'_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m)H^0(M \otimes P^{-1})H^0(L_2) \end{aligned}$$

if

$$(*_2) \quad l_2 \geq 2,$$

by Lemma 15.

We have

$$\sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} K(L'_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m)H^0(M \otimes P^{-1})H^0(L_2) = K(L_1, L_3, \dots, L_m)H^0(L_2)$$

if

$$(*_3) \quad l_1 - 1 \geq m - 2, \quad 1 \geq 1, \quad l_3, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$$

by  $C(m)$ .

$(*_1), (*_2), (*_3)$  are true, thus we conclude the proof of this implication. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 5.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* For any line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  we denote  $G(L) = \bigoplus_n H^0(L^n)$ , a module over the ring  $S(L) = \text{Sym}H^0(L)$ .

By Remark 7, we have to prove that  $\text{Tor}_i^{S(M^{p+1})}(G(M^{p+1}), \mathbf{C})$  is purely of degree  $i + 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ .

Thus we have to prove that  $\text{Tor}_i^{S(M^{p+1})}(G(M^{p+1}), \mathbf{C})$  is zero in degree  $\geq i + 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ .

By Lemma 14, it is sufficient to prove that

$$i + 2 > i - j + d(T^j(G(M^{p+1})))$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , (we use Definition 13), that is,

$$j + 1 \geq d(T^j(G(M^{p+1})))$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , that is,

$$j + 1 \geq d(T^j(G(M^{p+1})))$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq p$ .

Observe that

$$T^j(G(M^{p+1})) = \bigoplus_n K(M^{(p+1)(n-j)}, \overbrace{M^{p+1}, \dots, M^{p+1}}^j);$$

then using Proposition 17 part a) with  $m - 1 = p + 1$  we have that, if  $p \geq j$ , then  $T^j(G(M^{p+1}))$  is generated by  $K(M^{p+1}, \dots, M^{p+1})$  (where  $M^{p+1}$  repeats  $j + 1$  times), that is by the part of degree  $n$  with  $n - j = 1$  that is  $n = j + 1$ ; thus  $d(T^j(G(M^{p+1}))) = j + 1$  and we conclude.  $\square$

*Remark 18.* Let  $X_i$  be a complex torus and  $L_i$  a line bundle on  $X_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ; one can easily see that, if  $L_i$  satisfies Property  $N_0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then the line bundle  $\pi_1^*L_1 \otimes \pi_2^*L_2$  on  $X_1 \times X_2$  satisfies Property  $N_0$  and if  $L_i$  satisfies Property  $N_1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then the line bundle  $\pi_1^*L_1 \otimes \pi_2^*L_2$  on  $X_1 \times X_2$  satisfies Property  $N_1$ .

In [Laz1], Lazarsfeld proved that, if  $X$  is a complex torus of dimension 2,  $L$  is an ample line bundle of type  $(1, d)$  on  $X$ ,  $|L|$  has no fixed components and  $\varphi_L$  is birational onto its image, then  $\varphi_L(X)$  is projectively normal for  $d$  odd  $\geq 7$  and  $d$  even  $\geq 14$ .

Thus, for instance, if  $d \in \mathbf{N}$  is even and  $\geq 14$ , one can deduce from Theorem 5 and Lazarsfeld's Theorem that, if  $(X, c_1(L))$  is generic in the moduli space of polarized abelian threefolds of type  $(2, 4, 2d)$ , the line bundle  $L$  on the complex torus  $X$  satisfies Property  $N_1$ ; in fact, one can consider an elliptic curve  $E$  with an ample line bundle  $A$  of type  $(4)$  and an abelian surface  $S$  with a very ample line bundle  $M$  of type  $(1, d)$  satisfying the hypotheses of Lazarsfeld's Theorem (it exists by Reider's Theorem, which claims that, if  $M$  is an ample line bundle of type  $(1, d)$  with  $d \geq 5$  on a complex torus  $X$  of dimension 2, then  $M$  is very ample if and only if there is no elliptic curve  $C$  on  $X$  with  $(C \cdot L) = 2$ ; thus generically an ample line bundle of type  $(1, d)$  with  $d \geq 5$  on a complex torus  $X$  of dimension 2 is very ample; see [Re] or [L-B] Chapter 10, §4); the line bundle  $A$  satisfies Property  $N_1$  by Theorem 1 and the line bundle  $M^2$  satisfies Property  $N_1$  by Lazarsfeld's Theorem and Theorem 5; thus, considering the product  $(E, A) \times (S, M^2)$ , we conclude.

More generally, one can prove analogously the following statement: let  $d_i \in \mathbf{N}$   $i = 1, \dots, g$ ,  $d_i | d_{i+1}$ ,  $1 < s + 1 \leq t < g$ ,  $d_1 = \dots = d_s = 1$ ,  $d_{s+1}, \dots, d_t \geq 2$ ,  $d_{t+1}, \dots, d_g \in \{d \in \mathbf{N} \mid d \geq 7 \text{ odd or } d \geq 14 \text{ even}\}$ ; if  $g - t \geq s$ , then, if  $(X, c_1(L))$  is generic in the moduli space of polarized abelian varieties of type  $(2d_1, \dots, 2d_g)$ , the line bundle  $L$  on the complex torus  $X$  satisfies Property  $N_1$ .

*Remark 19.* One can conjecture that, if  $M$  is an ample line bundle on a complex torus  $X$  and  $M^s$  satisfies Property  $N_k$ , then  $M^{s+p}$  satisfies Property  $N_{k+p}$ .

Observe that for  $s = 3$  and  $k = 0$  this is Lazarsfeld's conjecture and for  $s = 1$  and  $k = 0$  this is Theorem 5.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Professor F. Catanese for some useful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [E-L] L. Ein R. Lazarsfeld, *Syzygies and Koszul cohomology of smooth projective varieties of arbitrary dimension*, Invent. Math. 111 (1993) no. 1, 51-67. MR **93m**:13006
- [Gr1] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties I, II*, J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), 125-171; J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), 279-289. MR **85e**:14022; MR **86j**:14011
- [Gr2] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and geometry*, in: (M. Cornalba et al. eds), Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, World Scientific Press (1989). MR **91k**:14012
- [G-L] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld, *On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve*, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), 73-90. MR **87g**:14022

- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Grad. Texts Math. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977. MR **57**:3116
- [Ke] G. Kempf, *Projective coordinate rings of abelian varieties*, in: Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory, edited by I.J. Igusa, The John Hopkins Press (1989), 225-236. MR **98m**:14047
- [Ko] S. Koizumi, *Theta relations and projective normality of abelian varieties*, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 865-889. MR **58**:702
- [L-B] H. Lange and Ch. Birkenhake, *Complex Abelian Varieties*, Springer-Verlag, 1992. MR **94j**:14001
- [Laz1] R. Lazarsfeld, *Projectivité normale des surfaces abéliennes*, redigé par O. Debarre. prépublication No. 14 Europroj - C.I.M.P.A., Nice, 1990.
- [Laz2] R. Lazarsfeld, *A sampling of vector bundle techniques in the study of linear series*, in: (M. Cornalba et al. (eds), Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, World Scientific Press (1989), 500-559. MR **92f**:14006
- [Mum1] D. Mumford, *Varieties defined by quadratic equations* in: Questioni sulle varietà algebriche, Corsi C.I.M.E., Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, (1969), 29-100. MR **44**:209
- [Mum2] D. Mumford, *On equations defining abelian varieties*, Invent. Math. 1 (1966), 287-354. MR **34**:4269
- [Re] I. Reider, *Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces*, Ann. of Math., 127 (1988), 309-316. MR **89e**:14038
- [Se] T. Sekiguchi, *On normal generation by a line bundle on an abelian variety*, Proc. Japan Acad. 54, Ser A (1978), 185-188. MR **80c**:14026

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, VIA F. BUONARROTI 2, PISA (PI) C.A.P. 56127, ITALIA

*E-mail address:* `rubei@mail.dm.unipi.it`