

## SOME PROPERTIES OF THE SCHOUTEN TENSOR AND APPLICATIONS TO CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

PENGFEI GUAN, JEFF VIACLOVSKY, AND GUOFANG WANG

ABSTRACT. The Riemannian curvature tensor decomposes into a conformally invariant part, the Weyl tensor, and a non-conformally invariant part, the Schouten tensor. A study of the  $k$ th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of the Schouten tensor was initiated in an earlier paper by the second author, and a natural condition to impose is that the eigenvalues of the Schouten tensor are in a certain cone,  $\Gamma_k^+$ . We prove that this eigenvalue condition for  $k \geq n/2$  implies that the Ricci curvature is positive. We then consider some applications to the locally conformally flat case, in particular, to extremal metrics of  $\sigma_k$ -curvature functionals and conformal quermassintegral inequalities, using the results of the first and third authors.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold,  $n \geq 3$ , and let the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature be denoted by  $Ric$  and  $R$ , respectively. We define the Schouten tensor

$$A_g = \frac{1}{n-2} \left( Ric - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} Rg \right).$$

There is a decomposition formula (see [1]):

$$(1) \quad \text{Riem} = A_g \odot g + \mathcal{W}_g,$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_g$  is the Weyl tensor of  $g$ , and  $\odot$  denotes the Kulkarni-Nomizu product (see [1]). Since the Weyl tensor is conformally invariant, to study the deformation of the conformal metric, we only need to understand the Schouten tensor. A study of  $k$ -th elementary symmetric functions of the Schouten tensor was initiated in [13], it was reduced to certain fully nonlinear Yamabe type equations. In order to apply the elliptic theory of fully nonlinear equations, one often restricts the Schouten tensor to be in a certain cone  $\Gamma_k^+$ , defined as follows (according to Gårding [5]).

**Definition 1.** Let  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $\sigma_k$  denote the  $k$ th elementary symmetric function

$$\sigma_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k},$$

---

Received by the editors April 19, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C21; Secondary 35J60, 58E11.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature, Ricci curvature, conformal deformation.

Research of the first author was supported in part by NSERC Grant OGP-0046732.

Research of the second author was supported in part by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship.

and let

$$\Gamma_k^+ = \text{component of } \{\sigma_k > 0\} \text{ containing } (1, \dots, 1).$$

Let  $\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$  denote the closure of  $\Gamma_k^+$ . If  $(M, g)$  is a Riemannian manifold, and  $x \in M$ , we say  $g$  has positive (nonnegative, resp.)  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature at  $x$  if its Schouten tensor  $A_g \in \Gamma_k^+$  ( $\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ , resp.) at  $x$ . In this case, we also say  $g \in \Gamma_k^+$  ( $\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ , resp.) at  $x$ .

We note that positive  $\Gamma_1$ -curvature is equivalent to positive scalar curvature, and the condition of positive  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature has some geometric and topological consequences for the manifold  $M$ . For example, when  $(M, g)$  is locally conformally flat with positive  $\Gamma_1$ -curvature, then  $\pi_i(M) = 0, \forall 1 < i \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , by a result of Schoen and Yau [11]. In this note, we will prove that positive  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature for any  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$  implies positive Ricci curvature.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $x \in M$ . If  $g$  has positive (nonnegative, resp.)  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature at  $x$  for some  $k \geq n/2$ , then its Ricci curvature is positive (nonnegative, resp.) at  $x$ . Moreover, if the  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature is nonnegative for some  $k > 1$ , then*

$$Ric_g \geq \frac{2k - n}{2n(k - 1)} R_g \cdot g.$$

In particular, if  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ , then

$$Ric_g \geq \frac{(2k - n)(n - 1)}{(k - 1)} \binom{n}{k}^{-\frac{1}{k}} \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(A_g) \cdot g.$$

*Remark.* Theorem 1 is not true for  $k = 1$ . Namely, the condition of positive scalar curvature gives no restriction on the lower bound of the Ricci curvature.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a compact, locally conformally flat manifold with nonnegative  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature everywhere for some  $k \geq n/2$ . Then  $(M, g)$  is conformally equivalent to either a space form or a finite quotient of a Riemannian  $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}(c) \times \mathbf{S}^1$  for some constant  $c > 0$  and  $k = n/2$ . In particular, if  $g \in \Gamma_k^+$ , then  $(M, g)$  is conformally equivalent to a spherical space form.*

When  $n = 3, 4$ , the result in Theorem 1 was already observed in [9] and [2]. Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 will be proved in the next section.

We will also consider the equation

$$(2) \quad \sigma_k(A_{\tilde{g}}) = \text{constant},$$

for conformal metrics  $\tilde{g} = e^{-2u}g$ . This equation was studied in [13], where it was shown that when  $k \neq n/2$ , (2) is the conformal Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \text{Vol}(g)^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}} \int_M \sigma_k(g) \, d\text{vol}(g),$$

when  $k = 1, 2$  or for  $k > 2$  when  $M$  is locally conformally flat. We remark that in the even-dimensional locally conformally flat case,  $\mathcal{F}_{n/2}$  is a conformal invariant. Moreover, it is a multiple of the Euler characteristic, see [13].

This problem was further studied in [7], where the following conformal flow was considered:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}g &= -(\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)) \cdot g, \\ g(0) &= g_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\log r_k = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \log \sigma_k(g) d\text{vol}(g).$$

Global existence with uniform  $C^{1,1}$  a priori bounds of the flow was proved in [7]. It was also proved that for  $k \neq n/2$  the flow is sequentially convergent in  $C^{1,\alpha}$  to a  $C^\infty$  solution of  $\sigma_k = \text{constant}$ . Also, if  $k < n/2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_k$  is decreasing along the flow, and if  $k > n/2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_k$  is increasing along the flow. We remark that the existence result for equation (2) has been obtained independently in [10] in the locally conformally flat case for all  $k$ .

In Section 3, we will consider global properties of the functional  $\mathcal{F}_k$ , and give conditions for the existence of a global extremizer. We will also derive some conformal quermassintegral inequalities, which are analogous to the classical quermassintegral inequalities in convex geometry.

### 2. CURVATURE RESTRICTION

We first state a proposition which describes some important properties of the sets  $\Gamma_k^+$ .

**Proposition 1.** (i) Each set  $\Gamma_k^+$  is an open convex cone with vertex at the origin, and we have the following sequence of inclusions:

$$\Gamma_n^+ \subset \Gamma_{n-1}^+ \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_1^+.$$

(ii) For any  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma_k^+$  ( $\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ , resp.),  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ , let

$$(\Lambda|i) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Then  $(\Lambda|i) \in \Gamma_{k-1}^+$  ( $\bar{\Gamma}_{k-1}^+$ , resp.). In particular,

$$\Gamma_{n-1}^+ \subset V_{n-1}^+ = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n : \lambda_i + \lambda_j > 0, i \neq j\}.$$

The proof of this proposition is standard, following from [5].

Our main results are consequences of the following two lemmas. In this note, we assume that  $k > 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and define

$$A_\Lambda = \Lambda - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i}{2(n-1)}(1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

If  $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ , then

$$(4) \quad \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i \geq \frac{(2k-n)}{2n(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

In particular, if  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ , then

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i \geq \frac{(2k-n)(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)} \binom{n}{k}^{-\frac{1}{k}} \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(A_\Lambda).$$

*Proof.* We first note that, for any nonzero vector  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \bar{\Gamma}_2^+$  we have  $\sigma_1(A) > 0$ . This can be proved as follows. Since  $A \in \bar{\Gamma}_2^+$ ,  $\sigma_1(A) \geq 0$ . If  $\sigma_1(A) = 0$ , there must be an  $a_i > 0$  for some  $i$ , since  $A$  is a nonzero vector. We may assume  $a_n > 0$ . Let  $(A|n) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ ; we have  $\sigma_1(A|n) \geq 0$  by Proposition 1. This would give  $\sigma_1(A) = \sigma_1(A|n) + a_n > 0$ , a contradiction.

Now without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\Lambda$  is not a zero vector. By the assumption  $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$  for  $k \geq 2$ , so we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i > 0$ .

Define

$$\Lambda_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \delta_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R};$$

then we have  $A_{\Lambda_0} = (a, \dots, a, b)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_k &= \frac{(2k - n)(n - 1)}{2nk - 2k - n}, \\ a &= 1 - \frac{n - 1 + \delta_k}{2(n - 1)}, \quad b = \delta_k - \frac{n - 1 + \delta_k}{2(n - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(5) \quad \sigma_k(A_{\Lambda_0}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_j(A_{\Lambda_0}) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \leq k - 1.$$

It is clear that  $\delta_k < 1$ , and so  $a > b$ . Since (4) is invariant under the transformation from  $\Lambda$  to  $s\Lambda$  for  $s > 0$ , we may assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \text{tr}(\Lambda_0) = n - 1 + \delta_k$  and  $\lambda_n = \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i$ . We write

$$A_\Lambda = (a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

We claim that

$$(6) \quad \lambda_n \geq \delta_k.$$

This is equivalent to showing

$$(7) \quad a_n \geq b.$$

Assume for a contradiction that  $a_n < b$ . We consider  $\Lambda_t = t\Lambda_0 + (1 - t)\Lambda$  and

$$\begin{aligned} A_t &:= A_{\Lambda_t} = tA_{\Lambda_0} + (1 - t)A_\Lambda \\ &= ((1 - t)a + ta_1, \dots, (1 - t)a + ta_{n-1}, (1 - t)b + ta_n). \end{aligned}$$

By the convexity of the cone  $\Gamma_k^+$  (see Proposition 1), we know that

$$A_t \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+, \quad \text{for any } t \in (0, 1].$$

In particular,  $f(t) := \sigma_k(A_t) \geq 0$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By the definition of  $\delta_k$ ,  $f(0) = 0$ .

For any  $i$  and any vector  $V = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ , we denote by

$$(V|i) = (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n)$$

the vector with the  $i$ -th component removed. Now we compute the derivative of  $f$  at 0:

$$f'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i - a)\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|i) + (a_n - b)\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|n).$$

Since  $(A_0|i) = (A_0|1)$  for  $i \leq n - 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = (n - 1)a + b$ , we have

$$f'(0) = (a_n - b)(\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|n) - \sigma_{k-1}(A_0|1)) < 0,$$

for  $\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|n) - \sigma_{k-1}(A_0|1) > 0$ . (Recall that  $b < a$ .) This is a contradiction; hence  $\lambda_n \geq \delta_k$ . It follows that

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i \geq \delta_k = \frac{2k - n}{2n(k - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

Finally, the last inequality in the lemma follows from the Newton-MacLaurin inequality. □

*Remark.* It is clear from the above proof that the constant in Lemma 1 is optimal.

We next consider the case  $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\frac{n}{2}}^+$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $k = n/2$  and  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ . Then either  $\lambda_i > 0$  for any  $i$ , or*

$$\Lambda = (\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda, 0)$$

*up to a permutation. If the second case is true, then we must have  $\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}(A_\Lambda) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, to prove the Lemma we only need to check that for  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0)$  with  $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ ,

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_j, \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1.$$

We use the same idea as in the proof of the previous Lemma. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\Lambda$  is not a zero vector. By the assumption  $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$  for  $k \geq 2$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i > 0$ . Hence we may assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i = n - 1$ . Define

$$\Lambda_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is easy to check that

$$(8) \quad A_{\Lambda_0} \in \Gamma_{k-1}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k(A_{\Lambda_0}) = 0.$$

That is,  $A_{\Lambda_0} \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ . If the  $\lambda$ 's are not all the same, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1)^2 > 0.$$

Now consider  $\Lambda_t = t\Lambda_0 + (1 - t)\Lambda$  and

$$A_t := A_{\Lambda_t} = tA_{\Lambda_0} + (1 - t)A_\Lambda = \left(\frac{1}{2} + t(\lambda_1 - 1), \dots, \frac{1}{2} + t(\lambda_{n-1} - 1), -\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

From the assumption that  $A \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ , (8), and the convexity of  $\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ , we have

$$(9) \quad A_t \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+ \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

For any  $i \neq j$  and any vector  $A$ , we denote by  $(A|i j)$  the vector with the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th components removed. Let  $\tilde{\Lambda} = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$  be an  $(n - 1)$ -vector, and  $\Lambda^* = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$  an  $(n - 2)$ -vector. It is clear that  $\forall i \neq j, \quad i, j \leq n - 1$ ,

$$\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|i) = \sigma_{k-1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) > 0,$$

$$\sigma_{k-2}(A_0|ij) = \sigma_{k-2}(\Lambda^*) > 0.$$

Now we expand  $f(t) = \sigma_k(A_t)$  at  $t = 0$ . By (8),  $f(0) = 0$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} f'(0) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1) \sigma_{k-1}(A_0|i) \\ &= \sigma_{k-1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f''(0) &= \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - 1)(\lambda_j - 1)\sigma_{k-2}(A_0|ij) \\
 &= \sigma_{k-2}(\Lambda^*) \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - 1)(\lambda_j - 1) \\
 &= -\sigma_{k-2}(\Lambda^*) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1)^2 < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\sigma_{k-2}(A_0|ij) = \sigma_{k-2}(\Lambda^*) > 0$  for any  $i \neq j$  and  $\sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_j - 1) = (1 - \lambda_i)$ . Hence  $f(t) < 0$  for small  $t > 0$ , which contradicts (9).  $\square$

*Remark.* From the proof of Lemma 2, there is a constant  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $n$  and  $\frac{\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}(A_\Lambda)}{\sigma_1(A_\Lambda)}$ , such that

$$\min_i \lambda_i \geq C \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}(A_\Lambda).$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Theorem 1 follows directly from Lemmas 1 and 2.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $k \geq n/2$ , and let  $N = M \times \mathbf{S}^1$  be the product manifold. Then  $N$  does not have positive  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature. If  $N$  has nonnegative  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature, then  $(M, g)$  is an Einstein manifold, and there are two cases: either  $k = n/2$ , or  $k > n/2$  and  $(M, g)$  is a torus.*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 1 and 2.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.* From Theorem 1, we know that the Ricci curvature  $Ric_g$  is nonnegative. Now we deform it by the Yamabe flow considered by Hamilton, Ye [15] and Chow [4] to obtain a conformal metric  $\tilde{g}$  of constant scalar curvature. The Ricci curvature  $Ric_{\tilde{g}}$  is nonnegative, for the Yamabe flow preserves the nonnegativity of the Ricci curvature, see [4]. Now, by a classification result given in [12, 3], we know that  $(M, \tilde{g})$  is isometric to either a space form or a finite quotient of a Riemannian  $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}(c) \times \mathbf{S}^1$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . In the latter case, it is clear that  $k = n/2$ , since otherwise it cannot have nonnegative  $\Gamma_k$ -curvature.  $\square$

Next, we will prove that if  $M$  is locally conformally flat with positive  $\Gamma_{n-1}$ -curvature, then  $g$  has positive sectional curvature. If  $M$  is locally conformally flat, then by (1) we may decompose the full curvature tensor as

$$\text{Riem} = A_g \odot g,$$

**Proposition 2.** *Assume that  $n = 3$ , or that  $M$  is locally conformally flat. Then the Schouten tensor  $A_g \in V_{n-1}^+$  if and only if  $g$  has positive sectional curvature.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be any 2-plane in  $T_p(N)$ , and let  $X, Y$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\pi$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\sigma) &= \text{Riem}(X, Y, X, Y) = A_g \odot g(X, Y, X, Y) \\
 &= A_g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - A_g(Y, X)g(X, Y) \\
 &\quad + A_g(Y, Y)g(X, X) - A_g(X, Y)g(Y, X) \\
 &= A_g(X, X) + A_g(Y, Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\min_{\sigma \in T_p N} K(\sigma) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2,$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the smallest eigenvalues of  $A_g$  at  $p$ . □

**Corollary 3.** *If  $(M, g)$  is locally conformally flat with positive  $\Gamma_{n-1}$ -curvature, then  $g$  has positive sectional curvature.*

*Proof.* This follows easily from Propositions 1 and 2. □

### 3. EXTREMAL METRICS OF $\sigma_k$ -CURVATURE FUNCTIONALS

We next consider some properties of the functionals  $\mathcal{F}_k$  associated to  $\sigma_k$ . These functionals were introduced and discussed in [13], see also [7]. Further variational properties in connection to 3-dimensional geometry were studied in [9].

We recall that  $\mathcal{F}_k$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_k(g) = \text{Vol}(g)^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}} \int_M \sigma_k(g) \, d\text{vol}(g).$$

We denote  $\mathcal{C}_k = \{g \in [g_0] \mid g \in \Gamma_k^+\}$ , where  $[g_0]$  is the conformal class of  $g_0$ .

We now apply our results to show that if  $g_0 \in \Gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}^+$ , then there is an extremal metric  $g_e$  which minimizes  $\mathcal{F}_m$  for  $m < n/2$ , and if  $m > n/2$ , there is an extremal metric  $g_e$  which maximizes  $\mathcal{F}_m$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose  $(M, g_0)$  is locally conformally flat and  $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$  for some  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ . Then  $\forall m < \frac{n}{2}$ , there is an extremal metric  $g_e^m \in [g_0]$  such that*

$$(10) \quad \inf_{g \in \mathcal{C}_m} \mathcal{F}_m(g) = \mathcal{F}_m(g_e^m),$$

and  $\forall m > \frac{n}{2}$ , there is extremal metric  $g_e^m \in [g_0]$  such that

$$(11) \quad \sup_{g \in \mathcal{C}_m} \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \mathcal{F}_k(g_e^m).$$

*In fact, any solution to  $\sigma_m(g) = \text{constant}$  is an extremal metric.*

*Proof.* First, by Corollary 1,  $(M, g_0)$  is conformal to a spherical space form. For any  $g \in \mathcal{C}_m$ , from [7] we know there is a conformal metric  $\tilde{g}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_m$  such that  $\sigma_m(\tilde{g})$  is constant and

- (a) if  $m > n/2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_m(g) \leq \mathcal{F}_m(\tilde{g})$ ,
- (b) if  $m < n/2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_m(g) \geq \mathcal{F}_m(\tilde{g})$ .

A classification result of [13] and [14], which is analogous to a result of Obata for the scalar curvature, shows that  $\tilde{g}$  has constant sectional curvature. Therefore  $\tilde{g}$  is the unique critical metric unless  $M$  is conformally equivalent to  $\mathbf{S}^n$ , in which case any critical metric is the image of the standard metric under a conformal diffeomorphism. This clearly implies the conclusion of the Proposition. □

Next we consider the case  $k < n/2$ . We have

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose  $(M, g_0)$  is locally conformally flat and  $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$  for some  $k < \frac{n}{2}$ . Suppose furthermore that for any fixed  $C > 0$ , the space of solutions to the equation  $\sigma_k = C$  is compact, with a bound independent of the constant  $C$ . Then there is an extremal metric  $g_e^k \in [g_0]$  such that*

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{C}_k} \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \mathcal{F}_k(g_e^k).$$

*Proof.* From the compactness assumption, there exists a critical metric  $g_e^k$  which has least energy. If the functional assumed a value strictly lower than  $\mathcal{F}_k(g_e^k)$ , then by [7], the flow would decrease to another solution of  $\sigma_k = \text{constant}$ , which is a contradiction since  $g_e^k$  has minimal energy.  $\square$

We conclude with conformal quermassintegral inequalities, which were conjectured in [7], and verified there for some special cases when  $(M, g)$  is locally conformally flat and  $g \in \Gamma_{\frac{n}{2}-1}^+$  or  $g \in \Gamma_{\frac{n}{2}+1}^+$  using the flow method. In the case of  $k = 2, n = 4$ , the inequality was proved in [8] without the locally conformally flat assumption.

**Proposition 5.** *Suppose  $(M, g_0)$  is locally conformally flat and  $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$  for some  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ . Then for any  $1 \leq l < \frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n$  there is a constant  $C(k, l, n) > 0$  such that for any  $g \in [g_0]$  and  $g \in \Gamma_k^+$ ,*

$$(12) \quad (\mathcal{F}_k(g))^{1/k} \leq C(k, l, n)(\mathcal{F}_l(g))^{1/l},$$

with equality if and only if  $(M, g)$  is a spherical space form.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3, we have a conformal metric  $g_e$  of constant sectional curvature such that

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{C}_l} \mathcal{F}_l(g) = \mathcal{F}_l(g_e)$$

and

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{C}_k} \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \mathcal{F}_k(g_e).$$

Hence, for any  $g \in \Gamma_k^+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\mathcal{F}_k(g))^{1/k}}{(\mathcal{F}_l(g))^{1/l}} &\leq \frac{(\mathcal{F}_k(g_e))^{1/k}}{(\mathcal{F}_l(g_e))^{1/l}} \\ &= \frac{(l!(n-l))^{1/l}}{(k!(n-k))^{1/k}}. \end{aligned}$$

When the equality holds,  $g$  is an extremal of  $\mathcal{F}_l$ , hence a metric of constant sectional curvature by [13].  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR **88f**:53087
- [2] A. Chang, M. Gursky and P. Yang, *An equation of Monge-Ampère type in conformal geometry, and four manifolds of positive Ricci curvature*, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [3] Q.-M. Cheng, Compact locally conformally flat Riemannian manifolds. Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001), no. 4, 459–465. MR **2002g**:53045
- [4] B. Chow, *The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), no. 8, 1003–1014. MR **93d**:53045
- [5] L. Gårding, *An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials*, J. Math. Mech. **8** (1959), 957–965. MR **22**:4809
- [6] P. Guan and G. Wang, *Local estimates for a class of fully nonlinear equations arising from conformal geometry*, preprint, August, 2001.
- [7] P. Guan and G. Wang, *A fully nonlinear conformal flow on locally conformally flat manifolds*, preprint, October, 2001.
- [8] M. Gursky, *The principal eigenvalue of a conformally invariant differential operator, with an application to semilinear elliptic PDE*, Comm. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), 131–143. MR **2000k**:58029



- [9] Matthew J. Gursky and Jeff A. Viaclovsky, *A new variational characterization of three-dimensional space forms*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **145** (2001), no. 2, 251–278. MR **2002j**:53039
- [10] A. Li and Y. Li, *On some conformally invariant fully nonlinear equations*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **334** (2002), 305–310.
- [11] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*, *Invent. Math.* **92** (1988), no. 1, 47–71. MR **89c**:58139
- [12] M. Tani, *On a conformally flat Riemannian space with positive Ricci curvature*. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **19** 1967 227–231. MR **36**:3279
- [13] Jeff A. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry and the calculus of variations*, *Duke J. Math.* **101** (2000), no. 2, 283–316. MR **2001b**:53038
- [14] Jeff A. Viaclovsky, *Conformally invariant Monge-Ampère equations: global solutions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), no. 9, 4371–4379. MR **2000m**:35067
- [15] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow*, *J. Differential Geom.* **39** (1994), no. 1, 35–50. MR **95d**:53044

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONTARIO L8S 4K1,  
CANADA

*E-mail address:* `guan@math.mcmaster.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE,  
MASSACHUSETTS

*E-mail address:* `jeffv@math.mit.edu`

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, INSELSTRASSE 22-26, 04103  
LEIPZIG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `gwang@mis.mpg.de`