

TWISTED SUMS WITH $C(K)$ SPACES

F. CABELLO SÁNCHEZ, J. M. F. CASTILLO, N. J. KALTON, AND D. T. YOST

ABSTRACT. If X is a separable Banach space, we consider the existence of non-trivial twisted sums $0 \rightarrow C(K) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$, where $K = [0, 1]$ or ω^ω . For the case $K = [0, 1]$ we show that there exists a twisted sum whose quotient map is strictly singular if and only if X contains no copy of ℓ_1 . If $K = \omega^\omega$ we prove an analogue of a theorem of Johnson and Zippin (for $K = [0, 1]$) by showing that all such twisted sums are trivial if X is the dual of a space with summable Szlenk index (e.g., X could be Tsirelson's space); a converse is established under the assumption that X has an unconditional finite-dimensional decomposition. We also give conditions for the existence of a twisted sum with $C(\omega^\omega)$ with strictly singular quotient map.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY REMARKS

Let X and Y be real Banach spaces. Then we say $\text{Ext}(X, Y) = \{0\}$ if every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ splits; informally this means that if Z is a Banach space containing Y and so that $Z/Y \sim X$, then there is a bounded projection of Z onto Y . A space Z with a subspace isomorphic to Y so that Z/Y is isomorphic to X is often called a twisted sum of Y and X (order is important!). Thus $\text{Ext}(X, Y) = \{0\}$ if and only if every twisted sum of Y and X is trivial (i.e. reduces to $Y \oplus X$).

Fundamental tools for us are the pushout and pullback constructions. These are well-known to algebraists and topologists, but less so to analysts. So we will describe them briefly in the Banach space setting. If $T : E \rightarrow X$ and $S : E \rightarrow Y$ are two operators defined on the same Banach space, then their pushout Z is defined as the quotient of $X \oplus_1 Y$ by the closure of $\{(Te, -Se) : e \in E\}$, together with the natural mappings $X \rightarrow Z$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$ (i.e., the restrictions of the quotient mapping). In case one of the mappings, say S , is the inclusion mapping from a short exact sequence, then completing the diagram gives a second short exact sequence with the same quotient space F :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{S} & Y & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow T & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Received by the editors June 21, 2001 and, in revised form, June 5, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B03, 46B20.

The research of the first two authors was supported in part by the DGICYT project BFM 2001-0387.

The third author was supported by NSF grant DMS-9870027.

The fourth author was supported substantially by the Junta de Extremadura, and for a few days by Research Centre Project Number Math/1420/25 from his present institution.

Conversely, if we are given any commutative diagram as above, then Z must be isomorphic to the pushout of S and T ; this observation will be used several times in the sequel. Note also that the operator $Y \rightarrow Z$ is an isomorphic embedding (respectively a quotient mapping) if and only if T is. Furthermore, the lower sequence splits if and only if T can be extended to Y . These well-known exercises follow from standard diagram-chasing arguments.

Dually, if $S : X \rightarrow E$ and $T : Y \rightarrow E$ are two operators into the same Banach space, then their pullback Z is defined as the subspace of all $(x, y) \in X \oplus_\infty Y$ for which $Sx = Ty$, together with the natural mappings $Z \rightarrow Y$ and $Z \rightarrow X$. In case one of the original mappings, say S , is the quotient mapping from a short exact sequence, then completing the diagram gives a second short exact sequence with the same subspace F :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow T & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{S} & E & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Conversely, if we are given any commutative diagram as above, then Z must be isomorphic to the pullback of S and T . Note again that the operator $Z \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphic embedding (respectively a quotient mapping) if and only if T is. For further information, see [16, Chap. 1] and the references therein.

Let X be any separable Banach space and let $Q_X : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$ be any quotient map. We will keep the notation \tilde{X} for the kernel of Q_X (which is unique up to automorphism provided it is infinite dimensional, see [35], [36, p. 108] or [15, p. 382]). The following theorem is well known:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose X and Y are separable Banach spaces. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Ext}(X, Y) = \{0\}$.
- (2) If $T : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded operator, then there is a bounded extension $\tilde{T} : \ell_1 \rightarrow Y$.
- (3) If Z is a separable Banach space containing a subspace E so that $Z/E \sim X$ and $T : E \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded operator, then there is an extension $\tilde{T} : Z \rightarrow Y$.

Proof. It is trivial that (3) implies (1). For (1) implies (3) we use the pushout construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow T & & \downarrow S & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Now (1) implies the existence of a projection $P : W \rightarrow Y$, and then PS extends T .

That (2) is equivalent to (3) is clear from the proof of Corollary 1.1 of [26]. Alternatively, [30, Prop. 3.1] proves directly the equivalence of (1) and (2). \square

Remark. Of course all separability assumptions can be removed if we simply replace ℓ_1 by $\ell_1(I)$ for a suitable index set.

There is an immediate corollary, which essentially says that $\text{Ext}(X, Y) = \{0\}$ is a three-space property of X :

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose Y is a Banach space and X is a Banach space with a subspace E so that $\text{Ext}(E, Y) = \{0\}$, and $\text{Ext}(X/E, Y) = \{0\}$. Then $\text{Ext}(X, Y) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let \widetilde{X} and Q_X be defined as above. Given $T : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow Y$, we need to find an extension to all of ℓ_1 . We will apply Theorem 1.1.

If $Q : X \rightarrow X/E$ is the obvious mapping, we may choose $\widetilde{X/E}$ to be the kernel of $Q \circ Q_X$. Then $y \mapsto Q_X y$ is a quotient mapping from $\widetilde{X/E}$ onto E with kernel \widetilde{X} . The implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) then gives us an extension $\widetilde{T} : \widetilde{X/E} \rightarrow Y$ of T , which by the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) admits a further extension $\widetilde{\widetilde{T}} : \ell_1 \rightarrow Y$. \square

In this paper, we consider the case when the subspace of our twisted sum is $C(K)$ for some compact metric space K . If K is uncountable, then the theorem of Milutin [40, Theorem 8.5] implies we may consider $K = [0, 1]$. The following result is due to Johnson and Zippin [26], in view of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.3. *If X is isomorphic to the dual of a subspace of c_0 (so that \widetilde{X} can be assumed weak*-closed), then $\text{Ext}(X, C(K)) = \{0\}$ for every compact K .*

In [28] the following converses were found. Throughout this paper, we will use (FDD) to indicate a finite-dimensional Schauder decomposition and (UFDD) to indicate an unconditional finite-dimensional Schauder decomposition. Recall also that X is said to have the *strong Schur property* if there is a constant $c > 0$ so that for any normalized sequence (x_n) with $\|x_m - x_n\| \geq \delta > 0$ for any $m \neq n$, there exists a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathcal{M}}$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \alpha_k x_k \right\| \geq c\delta \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} |\alpha_k|$$

for any finitely supported sequence $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathcal{M}}$.

Theorem 1.4. *If X is separable and $\text{Ext}(X, C[0, 1]) = \{0\}$, then X has the strong Schur property. If X also has a (UFDD), then X is isomorphic to the dual of a subspace of c_0 .*

Let us remark at this point that Bourgain and Pisier [9] (cf. [16, §1.8]) showed that for any separable Banach space X that is not an \mathcal{L}_∞ -space there is a space Y that is an \mathcal{L}_∞ -space so that Y contains X as an uncomplemented subspace and Y/X has the Schur property and the Radon-Nikodým property.

Recall that an operator is called strictly singular if its restriction to an infinite-dimensional subspace of its domain is never an isomorphic embedding. In Section 2 we consider the problem of characterizing those separable spaces X for which there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C[0, 1] \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ so that the quotient map is strictly singular. We show in Theorem 2.3 that this is equivalent to the requirement that X contains no copy of ℓ_1 .

In Section 3 we consider quantitative results for the case $K = \omega^N$. In this case $C(K)$ is isomorphic to c_0 , so that $\text{Ext}(X, C(K)) = \{0\}$ for every separable X by Sobczyk’s theorem [43], but it is still worthwhile to consider projection constants. We need the following elementary result; we recall that Z is said to be separably injective if it is complemented in every separable superspace. As usual, I_X indicates the identity on a given Banach space X .

Proposition 1.5. *Let X be any separable Banach space, let Z be a separably injective Banach space and let k be a constant. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) If Y is a separable Banach space and E is a closed subspace with Y/E isometric to X , then for any bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow Z$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an extension $\tilde{T} : Y \rightarrow Z$ with $\|\tilde{T}\| < k\|T\| + \varepsilon$.

(2) If $0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{j} Y \xrightarrow{q} X \rightarrow 0$ is an (isometric) exact sequence and any $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is a linear operator $P : Y \rightarrow Z$ with $Pj = I_Z$ and $\|P\| \leq k + \varepsilon$.

Proof. It is clear from the definition that if the short exact sequence is given, then we may find such a P with $\|P\| \leq k + \varepsilon$. Conversely, suppose Y is a separable Banach space and E is a closed subspace with Y/E isometric to X . If $T : E \rightarrow Z$ is an operator with $\|T\| \leq 1$, we form the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{j} & Y & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow T & & \downarrow s & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{j'} & PO & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Then, if $P : PO \rightarrow Z$ satisfies $Pj' = I_Z$, we see that $PS = \tilde{T}$ extends T and $\|PS\| \leq \|P\|$. \square

Our results build on earlier work of Amir and Baker, who showed that the separable projection constant of $C(\omega^N)$ is $2N + 1$, [2], [3] and [4]. In particular, we show that, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a space Z containing $C(\omega^N)$ isometrically so that $X/C(\omega^N)$ is isometric to c_0 and the norm of any projection is at least $2N + 1 - \varepsilon$. However, our main motivation in Section 3 is to provide the necessary groundwork to study the case $K = \omega^\omega$, which is done in Section 4. Here we show results parallel to Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 above. We show that if X is the dual of a space with summable Szlenk index [31], [23, §2], then $\text{Ext}(X, C(\omega^\omega)) = \{0\}$, and this condition is necessary if X has a (UFDD). An example of such an X is Tsirelson's space [31].

We also consider the possibility of $\text{Ext}(X, C(\omega^\omega))$ being large in the sense that there is a twisted sum $0 \rightarrow C(\omega^\omega) \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ for which the quotient map is strictly singular. We show that a sufficient condition for the construction of such a short exact sequence is that X has a shrinking (UFDD) and contains no subspace that is the dual of a space with summable Szlenk index. This leads to new counterexamples for several old problems.

We refer to [16] and [29] for a discussion of twisted sums in general. Let us note that in Section 3 it is important to consider twisted sums in the *isometric* category rather than the isomorphic category; hence the standard pushout and pullback constructions were defined above isometrically. Of course any isomorphic twisted sum can be equivalently renormed to an isometric twisted sum.

2. A UNIVERSAL TWISTED SUM

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose X is a separable Banach space. Then there is a universal short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C[0, 1] \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ such that every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C[0, 1] \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ can be identified with a pushout, i.e., there exist linear operators $S : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ and $S_1 : Y \rightarrow Z$ so that the following*

diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow S & & \downarrow S_1 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $Q_X : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$ be a quotient mapping and let \tilde{X} be the kernel of this map. Consider the collection $\{L_j : j \in J\}$ of all linear operators $L_j : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ with $\|L_j\| \leq 1$. Then let $L : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \ell_\infty(J : C[0, 1])$ be defined by $L\xi = (L_j\xi)_{j \in J}$. Since L has separable range, we can find a subspace of $\ell_\infty(J : C[0, 1])$ isomorphic to $C[0, 1]$ and containing the range of L . In this way we induce a bounded linear operator $A : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ such that every bounded operator $B : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ factors through A , i.e., $B = VA$, where $V : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ is bounded.

Next we use the pushout construction to construct our twisted sum:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \ell_1 & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow A & & \downarrow A_1 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow 0; \end{array}$$

it remains to verify its universality.

So let $0 \rightarrow C[0, 1] \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ be any twisted sum of $C[0, 1]$ and X . Then, using the projective property of ℓ_1 , we can construct a quotient mapping $T_1 : \ell_1 \rightarrow Z$. Since it is unique up to automorphism, we may choose $\tilde{X} = T^{-1}(C[0, 1])$. If T is the restriction of T_1 to \tilde{X} , then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \ell_1 & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow T & & \downarrow T_1 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

This means simply that Z is obtained by the pushout of $0 \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow \ell_1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ using T . Now we can write $T = SA$ for some $S : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$, and it follows that Z is obtained from Y by the pushout construction using S . \square

We need the well-known result that there is a non-trivial twisted sum of $C[0, 1]$ and c_0 . The first published reference we know is [22, Theorem 6]. In [1] a stronger statement about the non-existence of Lipschitz liftings is proved; a non-separable version is to be found in [18]. The example, also studied in [27], can be described as follows. Let $Q = (q_n)$ be any dense sequence in $[0, 1]$. We could for example order the rationals in $(0, 1)$ into a sequence (q_n) , but we prefer not to be specific. Denote by D the set of all functions from $[0, 1]$ into \mathbb{R} that are continuous at every $t \notin Q$ and left continuous with right limits at every $t \in Q$. Routine arguments show that all such functions are bounded and that the sup-norm makes D into a Banach space. Clearly $C = C[0, 1]$ is a closed subspace and D/C is isometric to c_0 . More precisely, let us denote by $J : D \rightarrow c_0$ the ‘‘jump function’’ $Jf = \frac{1}{2}(f(q_n+) - f(q_n))$. Then J maps D onto c_0 , and $d(f, C) = \|Jf\|$ for all f in D . We denote by e_n the usual basis in c_0 . It is well known [6, p. 33], [27, p. 20] that D is isometric to the space of continuous functions on the Cantor set, but we do not need this representation.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (f_n) be any sequence of functions in D for which $J(f_n) = e_n$ for all n . Then the sequence (f_n) is not weakly Cauchy.*

Proof. The assumption $J(f_n) = e_n$ means that $f_n(q_n+) - f_n(q_n) = 2$ for all n . Let us assume (f_n) is weakly Cauchy and hence bounded. We first note that if I is any nonempty open interval in $(0, 1)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exist $n > m$ and a nonempty open interval J with $\bar{J} \subset I$ such that for some β with $|\beta - \alpha| \geq 1$ we have $|f_n(t) - \beta| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ for $t \in J$. Indeed, we just pick $n > m$ so that $q_m \in I$, and then let β be either $f_n(q_n)$ or $f_n(q_n+)$. The interval J can then be chosen using the left- or right-hand limit condition.

Now we can use this inductively to create a subsequence (f_{n_k}) of (f_n) , a sequence of nonempty intervals (I_k) with $\bar{I}_{k+1} \subset I_k$, and a sequence of reals (α_k) with $|\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k| \geq 1$ so that $|f_{n_k}(t) - \alpha_k| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ for $t \in I_k$. If we pick $t_0 \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty I_k$ (which is nonempty by compactness), it is clear that $|f_{n_k}(t_0) - f_{n_{k+1}}(t_0)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all k , and this gives us a contradiction. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose X is a separable Banach space. Then there is a twisted sum*

$$0 \longrightarrow C[0, 1] \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{Q} X \longrightarrow 0$$

with Q strictly singular if and only if X contains no copy of ℓ_1 .

Proof. If ℓ_1 embeds into X , then, by the well-known lifting property of ℓ_1 [36, p. 107], Q cannot be strictly singular.

Conversely, suppose ℓ_1 does not embed into X . We will argue that the universal twisted sum Y given by Theorem 2.1 has a strictly singular quotient map $Q : Y \rightarrow X$. First we show that whenever E is an infinite-dimensional closed subspace of X , then there is a twisted sum $0 \rightarrow C[0, 1] \rightarrow Z \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ so that the pullback by the inclusion $E \rightarrow X$ does not split. Since X does not contain ℓ_1 , any such subspace E contains a weakly null basic sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ [36, p. 5, Remark] spanning a subspace E_0 . By considering the basis expansion we thus obtain a map $T_0 : E_0 \rightarrow c_0$ so that $T_0(x_n) = e_n$, the n^{th} -basis vector in c_0 . Since c_0 is separably injective, we can extend T_0 to a bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow c_0$.

We now use the twisted sum of $C[0, 1]$ and c_0 constructed above and form the pullback using T :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{J} & c_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow T & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

We now need only show that the further pullback via the inclusion $E \rightarrow X$ does not split. Thus we consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{J} & c_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow v & & \uparrow T|_E & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & Z_0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Now if $L : E \rightarrow Z_0$ is a lifting, then VLx_n is weakly null. However, $JVLx_n = e_n$, and so we contradict Lemma 2.2.

Finally, Theorem 2.1 implies that the sequence $0 \rightarrow C[0, 1] \rightarrow Z_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ can be obtained from the sequence $0 \rightarrow C[0, 1] \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ by first taking the pushout via $S : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ and then taking the pullback via $E \rightarrow X$. This procedure is equivalent to first taking the pullback via $E \rightarrow X$, and then taking the pushout via $S : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$. Since the final sequence does not split, neither

does the intermediate sequence $0 \rightarrow C[0, 1] \rightarrow Q^{-1}(E) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$. Since E was arbitrary, we conclude that Q is strictly singular. \square

A simplification of this argument shows that if X is separable but fails the Schur property, then $\text{Ext}(X, C[0, 1]) \neq \{0\}$. Of course Theorem 1.4 is stronger.

This essentially formal construction gives an interesting corollary:

Corollary 2.4. *There is a twisted sum Y of $C[0, 1]$ and c_0 that is necessarily an \mathcal{L}_∞ -space but is not isomorphic to a quotient of $C(K)$ for any compact K .*

Proof. Taking $X = c_0$ in Theorem 2.3 gives us an example with $Q : Y \rightarrow c_0$ strictly singular. Since c_0 is not reflexive, Q cannot be weakly compact. By a well-known result of Pełczyński [39, Theorem 1], Y cannot be isomorphic to a quotient of any $C(K)$ space. \square

Note here that Y^* is isomorphic to an $L_1(\mu)$ -space, but Y cannot be renormed so that Y^* is isometric to an $L_1(\mu)$ by a result of Johnson and Zippin [25]. This easily gives a counterexample to the old problems 3c and 3e of Lindenstrauss and Rosenthal [35], although other much more sophisticated counterexamples have been known for some time [5], [8]. For a stronger example, see the end of §4.

3. TWISTED SUMS WITH $C(\omega^N)$

If $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then the space $C(\omega^N)$ is isomorphic to c_0 , and so for any separable Banach space X , we have $\text{Ext}(X, C(\omega^N)) = \{0\}$. In this case it is natural to introduce the extension constant $\pi_N(X)$, which we define to be the least constant so that if Y is a separable Banach space and E is a closed subspace with Y/E isometric to X , then for any bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an extension $\tilde{T} : Y \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ with $\|\tilde{T}\| < \pi_N(X)\|T\| + \varepsilon$. In view of Proposition 1.5, $\pi_N(X)$ is also the least constant such that if

$$0 \rightarrow C(\omega^N) \xrightarrow{j} Y \xrightarrow{q} X \rightarrow 0$$

is an (isometric) exact sequence and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is a linear operator $P : Y \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ with $Pj = I_{C(\omega^N)}$ and $\|P\| \leq \pi_N(X) + \varepsilon$.

The following theorem is due to Amir [2], [3] and Baker [4]:

Theorem 3.1. *For any separable Banach space X we have $\pi_N(X) \leq 2N + 1$, and there is a separable Banach space X such that $\pi_N(X) = 2N + 1$.*

In fact, it follows from the arguments in [3] that we may take $X = C(\omega^{N-1})$. The main purpose of this section is to show that X may be chosen independently of N , more precisely that $\pi_N(c_0) = 2N + 1$. This will be needed in the next section, where it will also be useful to introduce an alternative constant $\rho_N(X)$, defined as the least constant such that if $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega^N)$ is a bounded operator satisfying $d(Tx, C(\omega^N)) \leq \|x\|$ for $x \in X$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a linear operator $L : X \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ with $\|T - L\| \leq \rho_N(X) + \varepsilon$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any separable Banach space X we have $\rho_N(X) \leq \pi_N(X) \leq \rho_N(X) + 1$.*

Proof. First suppose Y is a Banach space containing $C(\omega^N)$ and such that $Y/C(\omega^N)$ is isometric to X . Then there is a bounded projection $P_0 : Y \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$. (We may suppose $\|P_0\| \leq 2N + 1$, but this is not necessary.) We can also find a linear operator

$S : Y \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega^N)$ with $\|S\| = 1$ extending the identity on $C(\omega^N)$. Now $P_0 - S = Tq$ for some $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega^N)$, where $q : Y \rightarrow X$ is the quotient map. It is easy to check that T satisfies $d(Tx, C(\omega^N)) \leq \|x\|$. Hence, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a linear operator $L : X \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ with $\|T - L\| \leq \rho_N(X) + \varepsilon$. Now $P = P_0 - Lq$ is a projection onto $C(\omega^N)$. If $y \in Y$, then $P_y = P_0y - Tqy + (T - L)qy = Sy + (T - L)qy$, so that $\|P\| \leq 1 + \rho_N(X) + \varepsilon$. Hence $\pi_N(X) \leq 1 + \rho_N(X)$.

Conversely, suppose $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega^N)$ is a bounded operator with

$$d(Tx, C(\omega^N)) \leq \|x\|$$

for $x \in X$. Let Z be the space $X \oplus C(\omega^N)$ normed by

$$\|(x, h)\| = \max(\|x\|, \|h - Tx\|).$$

Then the map $(x, h) \rightarrow x$ defines a quotient mapping of Y onto X (since $d(Tx, C(\omega^N)) \leq \|x\|$) with kernel $E = \{0\} \oplus C(\omega^N)$. Hence, if $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a projection $P : Y \rightarrow E$ with $\|P\| \leq \pi_N(X) + \varepsilon$. Then P takes the form $P(x, h) = (0, h - Lx)$, where $L : X \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ is bounded. Now if $x \in X$, we have $P(x, Tx) = (0, Tx - Lx)$, so that $\|Tx - Lx\| \leq \|P\|\|x\|$. Hence $\rho_N(X) \leq \pi_N(X)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose K is a compact Hausdorff space and $h \in \ell_\infty(K)$. Then*

$$d(h, C(K)) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in K} (\limsup_{t \rightarrow s} h(t) - \liminf_{t \rightarrow s} h(t)).$$

Proof. Define $f(s) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow s} h(t)$ and $g(s) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow s} h(t)$ for $s \in K$. It is routine to check that f is upper semicontinuous and that g is lower semicontinuous. If $R = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in K} (g(s) - f(s))$, then a classical interpolation theorem gives us a continuous function \tilde{h} satisfying $g - R \leq \tilde{h} \leq f + R$. Clearly $f \leq h \leq g$, and so $-R \leq \tilde{h} - h \leq R$, as required. \square

We now need a representation of ω^N . To this end we consider the power set of \mathbb{N} , i.e., $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, which is homeomorphic to the Cantor set in the standard product topology. Let \mathcal{F}_N be the subset of all sets a with cardinality $|a| \leq N$. Then \mathcal{F}_N is homeomorphic to ω^N . Indeed, $\{\sum_{n \in a} 2^{-n} : a \in \mathcal{F}_N\}$ is order isomorphic and homeomorphic to ω^N .

Any nonempty finite subset a of \mathbb{N} will be written in increasing order, i.e., $a = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$, where $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$. We write $\max a = n_k$. We write $a < b$ if either a is empty and b is not, or if $a = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ and $b = \{m_1, \dots, m_l\}$, where $l > k$ and $m_j = n_j$ for $j \leq k$. For each nonempty finite $a = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\} \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ we define $a^- = \{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}\} = a \setminus \{n_k\}$. We define a^+ as the collection of all $a \vee m = \{n_1, \dots, n_k, m\}$, where $m > n_k$; \emptyset^+ is simply \mathbb{N} . Although we do not need it in this section, we define here a subset \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{F}_N to be *full* if the following three conditions hold:

- (1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_N$.
- (2) If $\emptyset \neq a \in \mathcal{A}$, then $a^- \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (3) If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $|a| < N$, then $\mathcal{A} \cap a^+$ is infinite.

It is then easy to see that any full subset of \mathcal{F}_N is also homeomorphic to ω^N .

Next let \mathcal{A} be a full subset of \mathcal{F}_N and let X be a fixed separable Banach space. We consider a bounded map $a \mapsto x_a^*$ of \mathcal{A} into X^* .

Lemma 3.4. *If $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by $Tx(a) = x_a^*(x)$, then we have*

$$d(Tx, C(\mathcal{A})) \leq \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X$$

if and only if $\limsup_{b,c \rightarrow a} \|x_b^ - x_c^*\| \leq 2$ for each $a \in A$ with $|a| < N$.*

Proof. This follows easily from Lemma 3.3, since we require $\limsup_{b \rightarrow a} x_b^*(a) - \liminf_{b \rightarrow a} x_b^*(x) \leq 2\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$. We omit the details. Note that if $|a| = N$, then any sequence converging to a will be eventually constant. \square

We conclude this section with a minor variation of Amir’s part of the Amir-Baker Theorem:

Theorem 3.5. *For each N we have $\pi_N(c_0) = 2N + 1$.*

Proof. Let us choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $m = 2^r$. Then let G be the dyadic group $\{-1, 1\}^r$, with its usual normalized measure, and let u_1, \dots, u_m denote the characters of this group. Let $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{m}(u_1 + \dots + u_m)$, so that \bar{u} is actually the function that is one at the identity and zero elsewhere. Let $v_k = u_k - \bar{u} \in L_\infty(G)$ and $v_k^* = u_k$, regarded as an element of $L_1(G) = L_\infty(G)^*$. Then $\|v_k\| = \|v_k^*\| = 1$ for all k , and if $j \neq k$, then $\|v_j^* - v_k^*\| = 1$.

Now consider $X = c_0(\mathcal{F}_{N-1}; L_\infty(G))$ so that X is isometric to c_0 . We define a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\mathcal{F}_N)$. Consider any element $x = (w_a)_{a \in \mathcal{F}_{N-1}} \in X$, where $w_a \in L_\infty(G)$. We define $Tx(\emptyset) = 0$, and then

$$Tx(a) = Tx(a-) + 2v_j^*(w_{a-}),$$

where $j \equiv \max a \pmod{m}$. Now let Z be the set of all $(x, h) \in X \oplus_\infty \ell_\infty(\mathcal{F}_N)$ such that $h - Tx \in C(\mathcal{F}_N)$, and put $E = \{(0, h) : h \in C(\mathcal{F}_N)\}$; it is easy to see that the quotient space Z/E is isometric to X (since $d(Tx, C(\mathcal{F}_N)) \leq \|x\|$ by Lemma 3.4). Let P be a bounded projection of Z onto E , and write $P(x, Tx) = (0, Sx)$, where $S : X \rightarrow C(\mathcal{F}_N)$.

For notational purposes, if $a \in \mathcal{F}_{N-1}$ and $j \leq m$, we define $H(a, j)$ to be the set of $b \geq a \vee n$, where $n > \max a$ and $n \equiv j \pmod{m}$, and $x_{j,a} = v_j \chi_{\{a\}} \in X$. For any $a \in \mathcal{F}_N$ we put $K(a) = \{b : b \geq a\}$.

We now claim that if $a \in \mathcal{F}_{N-1}$, then there exists $j = j(a)$ so that $x = x_{j,a}$ satisfies $Sx(a) \leq 0$. Indeed, $\sum_{j=1}^m x_{j,a} = 0$, and so $\sum_{j=1}^m Sx_{j,a}(a) = 0$. Considering the topology on \mathcal{F}_N , it follows that there exists $k = k(a) > \max a$ so that if $b \geq a \vee l$, where $l \geq k(a)$, then $Sx(b) \leq \varepsilon$.

Let us take $n_1 = j(\emptyset) + mk(\emptyset)$ and then define inductively n_2, \dots, n_N so that $n_s \geq k(\{n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}\})$ and $n_s \equiv j(\{n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}\}) \pmod{m}$ for $1 < s \leq N$. Let $a = \{n_1, \dots, n_N\}$. Then we let

$$x = \sum_{\emptyset \leq b < a} x_{j(b),b}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$Sx(a) \leq N\varepsilon.$$

It is routine to check that if $c \geq b \vee n$, with $n \equiv j \pmod{m}$, then

$$T(v_{j(b)} \chi_{\{b\}})(c) = 2v_j^*(v_{j(b)}),$$

and $T(v_{j(b)} \chi_{\{b\}})(c) = 0$ for all other $c \in \mathcal{F}_N$. Since $v_j^*(v_k) = \delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{m}$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta, this implies that

$$T(x_{j(b),b}) = 2\chi_{H(b,j(b))} - \frac{2}{m}\chi_{K(b) \setminus \{b\}}.$$

Summing, we obtain

$$Tx = 2 \sum_{\emptyset \leq b < a} \left(\chi_{H(b,j(b))} - \frac{1}{m} \chi_{K(b) \setminus \{b\}} \right).$$

Let $h = \chi_{K(\emptyset)} + 2 \sum_{\emptyset < b \leq a} \chi_{K(b)}$. By construction $H(b, j(b)) \subseteq K(b) \subseteq H(b-, j(b-))$ for each $b \leq a$. A short calculation then yields

$$\|Tx - h\| \leq 1 + \frac{2N}{m}.$$

Since $\|v_{j(b)}\| = 1$, we also have $\|(x, Tx - h)\| \leq 1 + \frac{2N}{m}$, and thus $\|Sx - h\| \leq \|P\|(1 + \frac{2N}{m})$. But $h(a) = 2N + 1$. Thus

$$2N + 1 - N\varepsilon \leq (h - Sx)(a) \leq \|P\|(1 + \frac{2N}{m}).$$

Since we can choose m arbitrarily large and ε arbitrarily small, this implies that $\pi_N(c_0) \geq 2N + 1$. □

4. TWISTED SUMS WITH $C(\omega^\omega)$

Our motivation for studying the constants $\pi_N(X)$ comes from the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose X is a separable Banach space. Then $\text{Ext}(X, C(\omega^\omega)) = \{0\}$ if and only if $\sup_N \pi_N(X) < \infty$.*

Proof. To simplify notation we will work with $C_0(\omega^\omega) = \{f \in C(\omega^\omega) : f(\omega^\omega) = 0\}$, which is clearly isomorphic to $C(\omega^\omega)$. Since $C(\omega^N)$ is isomorphic to a one-complemented subspace of $C_0(\omega^\omega)$ for each N , necessity is obvious. Conversely, suppose Y is a separable Banach space and E is a closed subspace of Y so that Y/E is isometric to X . Suppose $T : E \rightarrow C_0(\omega^\omega)$ is bounded with $\|T\| \leq 1$. Let $M = \sup_N \pi_N(X) + 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let R_n be the restriction map $R_n : C_0(\omega^\omega) \rightarrow C(K_n)$, where $K_1 = [1, \omega]$ and $K_n = [\omega^{n-1} + 1, \omega^n]$ for $n \geq 2$.

Let F_k be an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of Y such that $\bigcup F_k$ is dense in Y . Let G_k be finite-dimensional subspaces of E so that if $x \in F_k$, then $d(x, G_k) \leq 2d(x, E)$. Let $q : Y \rightarrow Y/E$ be the quotient map and let $q(F_k) = H_k$.

For each k let $n(k)$ be the least integer such that if $e \in (F_k + G_k) \cap E$, then $\|R_n T e\| \leq 2^{-k} \|e\|$. Then, since T maps E into $C_0(\omega^\omega)$, we see that $n(k)$ is well defined.

For fixed k , letting $n = n(k)$, we can, since $C(K_n)$ is an $\mathcal{L}_{\infty,1}$ -space, find an operator $S_n : F_k + G_k \rightarrow C(K_n)$ so that $\|S_n\| \leq 2^{1-k}$ and $S_n e = R_n T e$ for $e \in E \cap (F_k + G_k)$. Also we can find an operator $V_n : Y \rightarrow C(K_n)$ such that $\|V_n\| \leq M$ and $V_n e = R_n T e$ for $e \in E$.

Now if $y \in F_k + G_k$, then there exists $g \in G_k$ so that $\|y - g\| \leq 2d(y, E)$. Then

$$\|V_n y - S_n y\| = \|V_n(y - g) - S_n(y - g)\| \leq 2(M + 2)d(y, E).$$

It follows that there is an operator $U_n : H_n \rightarrow C(K_n)$ with $\|U_n\| \leq 2M + 4$ and $U_n q = V_n - S_n$. Since $U_n(H_n)$ is finite dimensional, this may be extended to an operator $\tilde{U}_n : X \rightarrow C(K_n)$ with $\|\tilde{U}_n\| \leq 2M + 5$. Next set $\tilde{T}_n = V_n - \tilde{U}_n q$. Then $\|\tilde{T}_n\| \leq 3M + 6$, \tilde{T}_n extends $R_n T$, and $\tilde{T}_n y = S_n y$ for $y \in F_k + G_k$, so that $\|R_n T y\| \leq 2^{1-k} \|y\|$ for $y \in F_k + G_k$.

We finally extend the operator T by setting

$$\tilde{T}y(\alpha) = R_nTy(\alpha) \quad \text{if } \alpha \in K_n.$$

This provides an extension with $\|\tilde{T}\| \leq 3M + 6$. □

Next we recall some ideas from [23]. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a full subset of \mathcal{F}_N . We say that a map $a \mapsto u_a^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is a weak*-null tree map if $u_\emptyset^* = 0$ and $\lim_{b \in a^+} u_b^* = 0$ (weak*) whenever $|a| < N$. If E is a closed subspace of X^* , we will define $\alpha_N(E)$ to be the infimum of all λ such that whenever $a \mapsto u_a^*$ is a weak*-null tree map with $u_a^* \in E$ and $\|u_a^*\| \leq 1$ for all a , then there is a $b \in \mathcal{A}$ with $|b| = N$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{a \leq b} u_a^* \right\| \leq \lambda.$$

We shall say that a weak*-null tree map is strongly weak*-null if

$$\lim_{\max a \rightarrow \infty} u_a^* = 0$$

weak*. The next lemma allows us to replace weak*-null by strongly weak*-null in the above definition of $\alpha_N(E)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $a \mapsto u_a^*$ is a bounded weak*-null tree map on a full subset \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{F}_N , then there is a full subset \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} so that $a \mapsto u_a^*$ is strongly weak*-null on \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let (V_n) be a base of weak*-neighborhoods of 0 such that $V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} \subset V_n$ for all n . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{b \in \mathcal{A} : u_a^* \in V_{\max a} \text{ for each } a \text{ with } \emptyset < a \leq b\}$. It is easily verified that \mathcal{B} works. □

Now suppose X is a separable Banach space with a finite-dimensional Schauder decomposition (F_n) . We denote by $S(m, n)$, where $0 \leq m \leq n \leq \infty$ and $m < \infty$, the operator

$$S(m, n) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \right) = \sum_{k=m+1}^n f_k$$

if $f_k \in F_k$. Note that $S(n, n) = 0$ for all n . We say that (F_n) is *bi-monotone* if $\|S(m, n)\| \leq 1$ for all m, n .

We shall let $E(m, n)$ be the range of $S(m, n)^*$ in X^* ; we refer to such subspaces as block subspaces. We let E be the closure of $\bigcup_{m < n < \infty} E(m, n)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose X is a separable Banach space with a bi-monotone FDD (F_n) . Then:*

- (1) $\rho_{2N}(X) \leq 4\alpha_N(E)$.
- (2) If (F_n) is 1-unconditional and shrinking (so that $E = X^*$), then $\alpha_N(X^*) \leq 2\rho_N(X)$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $\lambda > 0$. We define a notion of λ -acceptable subsets of B_E of cardinality at most N . A subset $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_N^*\}$ of cardinality N is λ -acceptable if $\|x_1^* + \dots + x_N^*\| \leq \lambda$. We define acceptable sets of cardinality $0 \leq k < N$ by reverse induction. For each $0 \leq k < N$, a subset $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*\}$ is λ -acceptable if there is a weak*-neighborhood V of zero so that if $x_{k+1}^* \in B_E \cap V$, then $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_{k+1}^*\}$ is λ -acceptable. It is easily seen that if $\lambda > \alpha_N = \alpha_N(E)$, then the empty set is λ -acceptable. More precisely it is easy to show that if this fails, then one can construct a weak*-null tree map on \mathcal{F}_N denoted by $a \mapsto u_a^*$ with $u_a^* \in B_E$ so that

for every a with $|a| = N$ we have $\|\sum_{b \leq a} u_b^*\| > \lambda$. This contradicts the definition of α_N .

Next we shall say that a collection of $k \leq N$ block subspaces $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ is λ -good if for some $\mu < \lambda$ and every $x_j^* \in B_{G_j}$ the set $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*\}$ is μ -acceptable.

Claim. Suppose $\lambda > \alpha_N$. There is a function $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ so that if $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ is a λ -good family of block subspaces of $E(0, n)$ with $k < N$, then for any block subspace G_{k+1} of $E(\psi(n), \infty)$ the collection $\{G_1, \dots, G_{k+1}\}$ is λ -good.

Let us prove the claim. Since the family of block subspaces of $E(0, n)$ is finite, it is clear there exists $\mu < \lambda$ so that every λ -good collection $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of block subspaces is actually μ -good. Then pick $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\mu + N\varepsilon < \lambda$. Choose in each block subspace G an ε -net for the unit ball B_G . In this way we produce a finite collection \mathcal{G} of μ -acceptable sets $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*\}$ so that whenever $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ is any λ -good collection of block subspaces of $E(0, n)$ and whenever $g_j^* \in B_{G_j}$, then there is a $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*\} \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\|g_j^* - x_j^*\| \leq \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Now it is clear from the definition of acceptability that we can find $\psi(n)$ so that if $x^* \in B_E \cap E(\psi(n), \infty)$ and $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*\} \in \mathcal{G}$ with $k < N$, then $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*, x^*\}$ is μ -acceptable. Now it is easy to see by a perturbation argument that if $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ is λ -good with $k < N$ and each G_j is contained in $E(0, n)$, then for any block subspace G of $E(n, \infty)$ the collection $\{G_1, \dots, G_k, G\}$ is $(\mu + N\varepsilon)$ -good and hence also λ -good. This proves the claim.

We now fix $\lambda > \alpha_N$ and suppose $\theta > 1$. Now suppose $Tx = (x_a^*(x))_{a \in \mathcal{F}_{2N}}$ is a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\mathcal{F}_{2N})$ with $d(Tx, C(\mathcal{F}_{2N})) \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$. We use Lemma 3.4. For each $a \in A$ with $a > \emptyset$ we define $\nu = \nu(a)$ to be the greatest natural number so that if $b \in \mathcal{F}_{2N}$ and $b \geq a$, then $\|S(0, \nu)x_b^* - S(0, \nu)x_{a-}^*\| \leq 2\theta$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\lim_{b \in a+} \nu(b) = \infty$ for all a with $|a| < N$.

Next we inductively construct a map $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Let $\varphi(\emptyset) = \psi(\emptyset)$. Then we define $\varphi(a)$ by induction on $|a|$. If $\nu(a) < \psi(\varphi(a-))$, we let $\varphi(a) = \varphi(a-)$. If $\nu(a) \geq \psi(\varphi(a-))$, we let $\varphi(a) = \nu(a)$.

Now we define z_a^* for $a \in \mathcal{F}_{2N}$ by putting $z_\emptyset^* = x_\emptyset^*$, and then if $|a| > 0$ we define

$$z_a^* = \sum_{\emptyset < b \leq a} S(\varphi(b-), \varphi(b))^* x_{b-}^* + S(\varphi(a), \infty)^* x_a^*.$$

We claim that $a \mapsto z_a^*$ is weak*-continuous. In fact, if $b > a$, let c be the unique element in $a+$ with $a < c \leq b$. Then

$$z_b^* - z_a^* = \sum_{c < d \leq b} S(\varphi(d-), \varphi(d))^* x_{d-}^* - S(\varphi(c), \infty)^* x_a^*.$$

Now $\lim_{c \in a+} \mu(c) = \infty$, and so $\lim_{c \in a+} \varphi(c) = \infty$ and $\varphi(d) \geq \varphi(c)$ whenever $c \leq d \leq b$. Hence as $b \rightarrow a$ we have $z_b^* - z_a^* \rightarrow 0$ weak*.

Suppose now $a = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\} \in \mathcal{F}_{2N}$. Let $m_0 = \varphi(\emptyset)$, and then put $m_j = \varphi\{n_1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Consider the subspaces

$$\{E(m_0, m_1), E(m_1, m_2), \dots, E(m_{k-1}, m_k)\}.$$

If we delete those subspaces where $m_j = m_{j-1}$ (i.e., where the subspace reduces to $\{0\}$), then it is clear by induction that the remaining subspaces can be split into two λ -good collections by taking them alternately. Hence, if $u_j^* \in E(m_{j-1}, m_j)$ with $\|u_j^*\| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, then $\|\sum_{j=1}^k u_j^*\| \leq 2\lambda$.

Next we estimate $\|x_a^* - z_a^*\|$. We have

$$x_a^* - z_a^* = \sum_{\emptyset < b \leq a} S(\varphi(b-), \varphi(b))^*(x_a^* - x_{b-}^*).$$

If $\varphi(b) > \varphi(b-)$, then $\varphi(b) = \mu(b)$, and so $\|S(\varphi(b-), \varphi(b))^*(x_a^* - x_{b-}^*)\| \leq 2\theta$. By the above remarks we have

$$\|x_a^* - z_a^*\| \leq 4\lambda\theta.$$

Our conclusion is that there is a bounded operator $Lx = (z_a^*(x))_{a \in \mathcal{F}_{2N}}$ into $C(\mathcal{F}_{2N})$ with $\|L - T\| \leq 2\lambda\theta$. Thus $\rho_{2N}(X) \leq 2\alpha_N(E)$. This concludes the proof of (1).

(2) Let us suppose $a \mapsto u_a^*$ is a strongly weak*-null tree map on \mathcal{F}_N with $\|u_a^*\| \leq 1$ for $a \in \mathcal{F}_N$. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any surjective map so that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\gamma^{-1}\{k\}$ is infinite. Let \mathcal{A} be the subset of \mathcal{F}_N consisting of the empty set and all $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ such that $\gamma(n_j) \geq n_{j-1}$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$. It is clear that \mathcal{A} is full. Let $\sigma\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} = \{\gamma(n_1), \dots, \gamma(n_k)\}$ for $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} \in \mathcal{A}$. We then define $a \mapsto x_a^*$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ by

$$x_a^* = \sum_{\emptyset < b \leq a} u_{\sigma(b)}^*.$$

Note that if $d > a$ with $d \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$x_d^* - x_a^* = u_{\sigma(c)}^* + \sum_{c < b \leq d} u_{\sigma(b)}^*,$$

where $a < c = c(d) \leq d$ and $|c| = |a| + 1$. Then it follows from the strong weak*-nullity of $a \mapsto u_a^*$ that

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow a} \sum_{c < b \leq d} u_{\sigma(b)}^* = 0$$

weak*, since $\max(\sigma(b)) \geq \max c$. Hence we have

$$\limsup_{d \rightarrow a} \|x_d^* - x_a^*\| \leq 1.$$

By Lemma 3.4 and the definition of $\rho_N(X)$, for any $\lambda > \rho_N(X)$ we can find a weak*-continuous map $a \mapsto z_a^*$ on \mathcal{A} such that $\|x_a^* - z_a^*\| \leq \lambda$ for all a .

Now fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We determine an increasing sequence n_1, \dots, n_N so that $\{n_1, \dots, n_N\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and an increasing sequence $m_1, \dots, m_{2N} \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction. Suppose $a = \{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}\}$ has been chosen in \mathcal{A} (where if $k = 1$, we take $a = \emptyset$) and that m_1, \dots, m_{2k-2} have been chosen. Then pick $m_{2k-1} > m_{2k-2}$ (if $k \geq 2$) so that $\|S(m_{2k-1}, \infty)^*(x_a^* - z_a^*)\| < \varepsilon/(6N)$. This is possible since the (FDD) is shrinking. Now pick $c \in \sigma(a)^+$ with $\|S(0, m_{2k-1})^*u_c^*\| < \varepsilon/(6N)$; this is possible since $\lim_{c \in \sigma(a)^+} u_c^* = 0$ weak*. Pick $m_{2k} > m_{2k-1}$ so that $\|S(m_{2k}, \infty)^*u_c^*\| < \varepsilon/(6N)$. Now there are infinitely many $b \in a^+$ with $\sigma(b) = c$; amongst these we may choose b so that $\|S(0, m_{2k})^*(z_b^* - z_a^*)\| < \varepsilon/(6N)$, since $\lim_{b \rightarrow a} z_b^* = z_a^*$ weak*. We then let $b = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$. This completes the inductive construction.

Let $a_k = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N u_{\sigma(a_k)}^* \right\| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N S(m_{2k-1}, m_{2k})^* u_{\sigma(a_k)}^* \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \left(S(m_{2k-1}, m_{2k})^* u_{\sigma(a_k)}^* + S(m_{2k-2}, m_{2k-1})^* (z_{\sigma(a_k)}^* - z_{\sigma(a_{k-1})}^*) \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N (u_{\sigma(a_k)}^* + z_{\sigma(a_k)}^* - z_{\sigma(a_{k-1})}^*) \right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \|x_{a_N}^* - z_{a_N}^* + z_{\emptyset}^* - x_{\emptyset}^*\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the definition of $\alpha_N(X^*)$ we have $\alpha_N(X^*) \leq 2\lambda + \varepsilon$. The theorem follows. \square

We are now in a position to prove our main result:

Theorem 4.4. (1) Suppose X is a separable Banach space with summable Szlenk index. Then $\text{Ext}(X^*, C(\omega^\omega)) = \{0\}$.

(2) If Y is a separable Banach space with $\text{Ext}(Y, C(\omega^\omega)) = \{0\}$ and Y has a (UFDD), then Y is the dual of a space X with summable Szlenk index.

Remark. For the definition and general properties of the Szlenk index, see for example [23, §2]. The original space constructed by Tsirelson [44] is a reflexive space with summable Szlenk index [31]. Its dual is the space usually referred to nowadays as Tsirelson's space [14].

Proof. If X has a shrinking (FDD), then (1) follows directly from Theorem 4.3. We can assume via renorming that the (FDD) is bi-monotone. We consider the dual (FDD) of X^* . In this case the subspace E of X^{**} is identified with X and the condition $\sup_n \alpha_n(E) < \infty$ is equivalent (using [23, Theorem 4.10]) to the fact that X has summable Szlenk index, and this implies that $\sup_N \pi_N(X^*)$ is finite.

For the general case we use a theorem of Johnson and Rosenthal [24], [36, Theorem 1.g.2 p.48], that X has a subspace Y so that X/Y and Y both have shrinking (FDD)s. It is easy to check that having summable Szlenk index is a property that passes to quotients, and it follows from renorming results in [23] (Theorem 4.10 (ii)) that it passes also to subspaces. Thus Y and X/Y must both have summable Szlenk index. Hence we have $\text{Ext}(Y^\perp, C(\omega^\omega)) = \{0\}$ and $\text{Ext}(X^*/Y^\perp, C(\omega^\omega)) = \{0\}$, and so by Corollary 1.2 we have $\text{Ext}(X, C(\omega^\omega)) = \{0\}$. This concludes the proof of (1).

For (2) we may assume the (UFDD) is 1-unconditional. We observe that Theorem 4.3 implies $\text{Ext}(c_0, C(\omega^\omega)) \neq \{0\}$. (Direct constructions are also available.) Hence if $\text{Ext}(Y, C(\omega^\omega)) = \{0\}$ and Y is separable, then Y contains no (necessarily complemented) copy of c_0 . In particular, the (UFDD) of Y must be boundedly complete, and so $Y = X^*$, where $X = E$ as defined in Theorem 4.3. Then we have by Theorem 4.1, $\sup_N \pi_N(Y) < \infty$, and hence by Lemma 3.2, $\sup_N \rho_N(Y) < \infty$. Applying Theorem 4.3 (2), we obtain $\sup_n \alpha_n(X) < \infty$. It follows again from Theorem 4.10 of [23] that X has summable Szlenk index. \square

If X is any separable Banach space, we define a tree map $a \mapsto v_a^* : \mathcal{F}_N \rightarrow X^*$ to be of *dense type* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $v_\emptyset^* = 0$.
- (2) $\|v_a^*\| \leq 1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_N$.
- (3) For each a with $|a| < N$ there is a weak*-neighborhood V of 0 so that the weak*-closure of $\{v_b^* : b \in a+\}$ contains V .
- (4) If $b_n \rightarrow a$ and $|b_n| \geq |a| + 2$ for all n , then $v_{b_n}^* \rightarrow 0$ weak*.

Next let $y_a^* = \sum_{b \leq a} v_b^*$. We can define $Tx = (y_a^*(x))_{a \in \mathcal{F}_N}$, so that $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\mathcal{F}_N)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose X has a (UFDD). Suppose $L : X \rightarrow C(\omega^\omega)$, and $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\mathcal{F}_N)$ is an operator induced by a tree map of dense type. Then $\rho_N(X) \leq 2\|L - T\|$.*

Proof. This essentially follows from the argument in Theorem 4.3. Let $a \mapsto u_a^*$ be any strongly weak*-null tree map with $\|u_a^*\| \leq 1$ for all a . Let $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any surjective map so that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\gamma^{-1}\{k\}$ is infinite. Let \mathcal{A} be the subset of \mathcal{F}_N consisting of the empty set and all $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ such that $\gamma(n_j) \geq n_{j-1}$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$. It is clear that \mathcal{A} is full. Let $\sigma\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} = \{\gamma(n_1), \dots, \gamma(n_k)\}$ for $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

We now build a map $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_N$. Define $\psi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. If $\psi(a)$ has been defined and $|a| < N$, we define $\psi(b)$ for each $b \in a+$ so that $\psi(b) \in \psi(a)+$, ψ is one-one and $\lim_{b \in a+} u_{\sigma(b)}^* - v_{\psi(b)}^* = 0$ weak*.

Let $x_a^* = \sum_{b \leq a} u_{\sigma(b)}^*$. Then we claim that $x_a^* - y_{\psi(a)}^*$ is weak*-continuous. Indeed, if $b \geq a$,

$$x_b^* - x_a^* - y_{\psi(b)}^* + y_{\psi(a)}^* = \sum_{a < c \leq b} u_{\sigma(c)}^* - v_{\psi(c)}^*.$$

Now if $b_n \rightarrow a$ and we let d_n be chosen so that $b_n \leq d_n \leq a$ and $|d_n| = |a| + 1$, we have

$$\sum_{d_n < c < b} (u_{\sigma(c)}^* - v_{\psi(c)}^*) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{weak}^*$$

by the assumptions on both tree maps. On the other hand,

$$u_{\sigma(d_n)}^* - v_{\psi(d_n)}^* \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{weak}^*$$

by construction.

Now if $Lx = (z_a^*(x))_{a \in \mathcal{F}_N}$, then $\|z_a^* - y_a^*\| \leq \|L - T\|$. Now $a \mapsto z_{\psi(a)}^* + x_a^* - y_{\psi(a)}^*$ is weak*-continuous, and we can repeat the argument of Theorem 4.3 to deduce the conclusion. \square

It is clear that we can always construct a tree map of dense type. Simply let (V_n) be a base of weak*-neighborhoods of $\{0\}$ in X^* with $V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} \subset V_n$. Then for a with $|a| < N$, simply choose $\{u_{a \vee m}^*\}$ for $m > \max a$ to be any sequence that is weak*-dense in $V_{\max a} \cap B_{X^*}$. It is also clear that if Y is a subspace of X and $j : Y \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion, then $a \mapsto j^*u_a^*$ is a tree map of dense type in Y^* . This leads us to the following:

Proposition 4.6. *Let X be a separable Banach space with a shrinking 1-unconditional (UFDD). Then there is a bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega^N)$ so that*

$$d(Tx, C(\omega^N)) \leq \|x\|$$

for all $x \in X$ and so that if E is a subspace of X with a (UFDD), then $\rho_N(E) \leq 2\|L - T\|$ for any bounded operator $L : E \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$.

It is obvious from Theorem 4.4 that the existence of a twisted sum $0 \rightarrow C(\omega^\omega) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with the quotient map strictly singular implies that X contains no subspace that is isomorphic to the dual of a space with summable Szlenk index. We now establish a partial converse.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose X has a shrinking (UFDD) and contains no subspace that is isomorphic to the dual of a space with summable Szlenk index. Then there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow C(\omega^\omega) \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{q} X \rightarrow 0$$

with q strictly singular.

Proof. We may assume X has a 1-unconditional (UFDD). For each N we construct $T_N : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\omega^N)$ as given in Proposition 4.6. Let Z_N be the space $X \oplus C(\omega^N)$ normed by $\|(x, h)\| = \|x\| + \|h - Tx\|$; then there is a quotient map $q_N : Z_N \rightarrow X$ defined by $q_N(x, h) = x$. We now construct an operator $S_N : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ in the usual way. Precisely, we fix a quotient map $Q : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$ and define $\hat{S}_N : \ell_1 \rightarrow Z_N$ so that $\|\hat{S}_N\| \leq 2$ and $q_N \hat{S}_N = Q$. Now let S_N be the restriction of \hat{S}_N to \tilde{X} .

Let (F_n) be an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces so that $\bigcup F_n$ is dense in \tilde{X} . Then, since $C(\omega^N)$ is an \mathcal{L}_∞ -space, we can find a finite-rank projection P_N on $C(\omega^N)$ whose range includes $S_N(F_N)$ and with $\|P_N\| \leq 2$. Now let $R_N = S_N - P_N S_N$. Thus $\|R_N\| \leq 6$, and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|R_N \xi\| = 0$ for $\xi \in \tilde{X}$.

We now define a map $R : \tilde{X} \rightarrow W = c_0(C(\omega^N)_{N=1}^\infty)$ by $R\xi = (R_N \xi)_{N=1}^\infty$. Note that the latter space is isomorphic to $C(\omega^\omega)$. We can now construct a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \ell_1 & \xrightarrow{Q} & X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow R & & \downarrow Q_V & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{q_X} & X \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We claim that q_X is strictly singular. If not, we can find a subspace E of X with a 1-unconditional shrinking (UFDD) so that there is a bounded operator $\Lambda : E \rightarrow V$ so that $q_X \Lambda = I_E$. Then on $Q^{-1}E$ we have $q_X(Q_V - \Lambda Q) = 0$, so that $Q_V - \Lambda Q : Q^{-1}(E) \rightarrow W$ is an extension of R to $Q^{-1}(E)$. It follows that there exists a uniformly bounded sequence of operators $\tilde{R}_N : Q^{-1}(E) \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ which extend R_N . Put $M = \sup \|\tilde{R}_N\| < \infty$.

Note that $P_N S_N$ has an extension to $Q^{-1}(E)$ with $\|P_N S_N\| \leq 5$, since it is a finite-rank operator taking values in $C(\omega^N)$. Hence S_N has an extension $\tilde{S}_N : Q^{-1}(E) \rightarrow C(\omega^N)$ with $\|\tilde{S}_N\| \leq M + 5$. Then $\hat{S}_N - \tilde{S}_N$ factors through an operator $e \mapsto (e, L_N e)$ from E into Z_N with norm at most $M + 7$. This implies that $\|L_N - T\| \leq M + 7$, and so $\rho_N(E) \leq 2M + 14$. Theorem 4.3 and [23, Theorem 4.10] then show that E must have summable Szlenk index. \square

It now follows that there is a twisted sum of $C(\omega^\omega)$ and c_0 so that the quotient map is strictly singular. This space is not a quotient of a $C(K)$ -space, and yet its dual must be isomorphic to ℓ_1 . This shows that the main result of [25] does not admit an isomorphic version. The space Y constructed in [8] also serves as a counterexample.

5. FINAL REMARKS

In [21] (cf. [29]) it is shown that $\text{Ext}(\ell_2, \ell_2) \neq \{0\}$. It follows without difficulty that $\text{Ext}(\ell_p, \ell_q) \neq \{0\}$ when $1 < p, q < \infty$, since each space contains uniformly complemented copies of ℓ_2 . The following result is implicitly proved in [10], but it is heavily disguised; so we give a simple and direct diagram-chasing argument. For a nonlinear argument, see [12].

Theorem 5.1. $\text{Ext}(c_0, \ell_1) \neq \{0\}$.

Proof. In fact we will argue that $\text{Ext}(C[0, 1], L_1) \neq \{0\}$. It then follows from local arguments that $\text{Ext}(X, Y) \neq \{0\}$ whenever X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ -space and $Y = L_1(\mu)$ for some measure μ (see, e.g., [12, Theorem 2]). Alternatively, one may carry out the ensuing argument locally.

We begin by considering some non-trivial twisted sum of ℓ_2 and ℓ_2 . By using the pushout and pullback constructions we build the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \ell_2 & \xrightarrow{j_1} & Z & \xrightarrow{q_1} & \ell_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow j_4 & & \downarrow j_5 & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L_1 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & V & \xrightarrow{q_2} & \ell_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \uparrow q_5 & & \uparrow q_4 & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L_1 & \xrightarrow{j_3} & W & \xrightarrow{q_3} & C[0, 1] & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Here linear embeddings are denoted by j and quotient maps by q . First we recall that Z is of cotype p and type q whenever $q < 2 < p$ [21, §3]. From the construction of the pushout, V is of cotype p for every $p > 2$.

We claim that the third row of this diagram cannot split. Suppose it does split. Then we can find an operator $T : C[0, 1] \rightarrow W$ so that $q_3T = I_{C[0,1]}$. Then $q_5T : C[0, 1] \rightarrow V$ must factor through some L_r -space, where $r > 2$ since V has finite cotype. (This result can be traced to Maurey [37]; cf. also [42] or [20, Theorem 11.14(b)].) Since L_r has type 2 and L_1 has cotype 2, every map from a subspace of L_r to L_1 factors through a Hilbert space (this is Maurey’s generalization of Kwapien’s theorem [32] and [33]) and hence extends to a bounded operator from L_r into L_1 by Maurey’s Extension theorem [38] (cf. [20, Theorem 12.22]). Applying all this to $(q_5T)^{-1}(j_2L_1)$, we can find an operator $R : C[0, 1] \rightarrow j_2(L_1)$ so that $Rf = q_5Tf$ if $q_2q_5Tf = 0$. But $q_2q_5 = q_4q_3$. Then $q_5T - R = T_1q_4$ for some bounded operator $T_1 : \ell_2 \rightarrow V$. Thus the second row splits.

The conclusion of the argument was given in the proof of [30, Theorem 4.1]. If the second row splits, then V has cotype 2. Hence Z also has cotype 2, and also has type $p > 1$. But then Z^* is type 2 [41], and the Maurey Extension theorem guarantees that the dual exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ell_2 \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow \ell_2 \rightarrow 0$ splits. By reflexivity the first row splits, contrary to our choice of Z . \square

Finally, let us mention a non-separable problem related to the results of this paper. If X is a separable Banach space, then $\text{Ext}(X, c_0) = \{0\}$ by Sobczyk’s theorem: we do not know, however, if there is a non-metrizable compact Hausdorff space K such that $\text{Ext}(C(K), c_0) = \{0\}$. It is known that if Γ is uncountable, then $\text{Ext}(c_0(\Gamma), c_0) \neq \{0\}$; this is essentially contained in one proof of the fact that c_0 is uncomplemented in ℓ_∞ ; see also [1], [19, p. 260] and [13, §3]. It was noted in [17, Theorem 3.4] that if X is any non-separable WCG-space, then $\text{Ext}(X, c_0) \neq \{0\}$, and this settles the case when K is an Eberlein compact; similar arguments can

be used for Corson compact spaces. At the other extreme, if K is extremally disconnected, then $C(K)$ contains a complemented ℓ_∞ and $\text{Ext}(\ell_\infty, c_0) \neq \{0\}$ was shown in [12]. Finally, the case of uncountable ordinal spaces can be reduced to $K = [0, \omega_1]$, and in this case Parovičenko's theorem [7] shows that $\text{Ext}(C(K), c_0) \neq \{0\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Aharoni and J. Lindenstrauss, Uniform equivalence between Banach spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84 (1978) 281-283. MR **58**:2162
- [2] D. Amir, Continuous function spaces with the separable projection property. *Bull. Res. Council Israel Sect. F 10F* (1962) 163-164. MR **27**:566
- [3] D. Amir, Projections onto continuous function spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (1964) 396-402. MR **29**:2634
- [4] J. W. Baker, Projection constants for $C(S)$ spaces with the separable projection property. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 41 (1973), 201-204. MR **47**:9242
- [5] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, A predual of ℓ_1 which is not isomorphic to a $C(K)$ space, *Israel J. Math.* 13 (1972) 246-254. MR **48**:9348
- [6] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis*, Vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1999. MR **2001b**:46001
- [7] A. Błaszczyk and A. Szymański, Concerning Parovičenko's theorem, *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci.* 28 (1980) 311-314. MR **82j**:54042
- [8] J. Bourgain and F. Delbaen, A class of special \mathcal{L}_∞ -spaces, *Acta Math.* 145 (1980) 155-176. MR **82h**:46023
- [9] J. Bourgain and G. Pisier, A construction of \mathcal{L}_∞ -spaces and related Banach spaces, *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* 14 (1983) 109-123. MR **86b**:46021
- [10] Y. Brudnyi and N. J. Kalton, Polynomial approximation on convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , *Constr. Approx.* 16 (2000) 161-199. MR **2000k**:41036
- [11] F. Cabello Sánchez and J. M. F. Castillo, Duality and twisted sums of Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 175 (2000), 1-16. MR **2001i**:46011
- [12] F. Cabello Sánchez and J. M. F. Castillo, Uniform boundedness and twisted sums of Banach spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, to appear.
- [13] F. Cabello Sánchez, J. M. F. Castillo and D. Yost, Sobczyk's Theorem from A to B, *Extracta Math.* 15 (2000), 391-420. MR **2002a**:46003
- [14] P. G. Casazza and T. J. Shura, *Tsirelson's space*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 1363, 1989. MR **90b**:46030
- [15] J. M. F. Castillo, Banach Spaces, à la Recherche du Temps Perdu, *Extracta Math.* 15 (2000) 373-390. MR **2002c**:46137
- [16] J. M. F. Castillo and M. González, *Three-space problems in Banach space theory*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1667, 1997. MR **99a**:46034
- [17] J. M. F. Castillo, M. González, A. M. Plichko and D. Yost, Twisted properties of Banach spaces, *Math. Scand.* 89 (2001) 217-244. MR **2002m**:46014
- [18] H. H. Corson, The weak topology of a Banach space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 101 (1961) 1-15. MR **24**:A2220
- [19] R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys no. 64, Longman, 1993. MR **94d**:46012
- [20] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 43. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR **96i**:46001
- [21] P. Enflo, J. Lindenstrauss and G. Pisier, On the "three space problem", *Math. Scand.* 36 (1975) 199-210. MR **52**:3928
- [22] C. Foiaş and I. Singer, On bases in $C([0, 1])$ and $L^1([0, 1])$, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* 10 (1965) 931-960. MR **34**:6509
- [23] G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton and G. Lancien, Szlenk indices and uniform homeomorphisms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (2001) 3895-3918.
- [24] W. B. Johnson and H. P. Rosenthal, On ω^* -basic sequences and their applications in the study of Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* 43 (1972) 77-92. MR **46**:9696
- [25] W. B. Johnson and M. Zippin, Separable L_1 preduals are quotients of $C(\Delta)$, *Israel J. Math.* 16 (1973) 198-202. MR **49**:3509

- [26] W. B. Johnson and M. Zippin, Extension of operators from weak*-closed subspaces of ℓ_1 into $C(K)$ spaces. *Studia Math.* 117 (1995), 43–55. MR **96k**:46025
- [27] M. I. Kadets and B. Ya. Levin, On a solution of a problem of Banach concerning topological equivalence of spaces of continuous functions (Russian), *Trudy Sem. Funct. Anal. Voronezh.* 3–4 (1960) 20–25.
- [28] N. J. Kalton, On subspaces of c_0 and extension of operators into $C(K)$ -spaces, *Quart. J. Math (Oxford)* 52 (2001), 313–328.
- [29] N. J. Kalton and N. T. Peck, Twisted sums of sequence spaces and the three space problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 255 (1979) 1–30. MR **82g**:46021
- [30] N. J. Kalton and A. Pełczyński, Kernels of surjections from \mathcal{L}_1 -spaces with an application to Sidon sets, *Math. Ann.* 309 (1997) 135–158. MR **98h**:46013
- [31] H. Knaust, E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht, On asymptotic structure, the Szlenk index and UKK properties in Banach spaces, *Positivity* 3 (1999) 173–199. MR **2001f**:46011
- [32] S. Kwapien, Isomorphic characterization of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector coefficients, *Studia Math.* 44 (1972) 583–595. MR **49**:5789
- [33] S. Kwapien, Isomorphic characterization of Hilbert spaces by orthogonal series with vector coefficients, Exposé No. 8, Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz, Ecole Polytechnique, 1972–3. MR **53**:14095
- [34] J. Lindenstrauss and H. P. Rosenthal, Automorphisms in c_0, ℓ_1 and m , *Israel J. Math.* 7 (1969) 227–239. MR **40**:3273
- [35] J. Lindenstrauss and H. P. Rosenthal, The \mathcal{L}_p spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 7 (1969) 325–349. MR **42**:5012
- [36] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces, Vol. 1, Sequence spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1977. MR **58**:17766
- [37] B. Maurey, Théorèmes de factorisation par les opérateurs linéaires à valeurs dans un espace L_p , *Asterisque* 11, 1974. MR **49**:9670
- [38] B. Maurey, Une théorème de prolongement, *C.R. Acad. Sci Paris, A279* (1974) 329–332. MR **50**:8013
- [39] A. Pełczyński, On strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators I. Strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators in $C(S)$ spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math.* 13 (1965) 31–41. MR **31**:1563
- [40] A. Pełczyński, Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions. *Dissertationes Math. Rozprawy Mat.* 58, 1968. MR **37**:3335
- [41] G. Pisier, Holomorphic semigroups and geometry of Banach spaces, *Ann. Math.* 115 (1982) 375–392. MR **83h**:46027
- [42] H. P. Rosenthal, Some applications of p -summing operators to Banach space theory. *Studia Math.* 58 (1976), 21–43. MR **55**:3754
- [43] A. Sobczyk, Projection of the space (m) on its subspace (c_0) , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 47 (1941) 938–947. MR **3**:205f
- [44] B. S. Tsirelson, It is impossible to embed ℓ_p or c_0 into an arbitrary Banach space (Russian) *Funkts. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 8, no. 2 (1974) 57–60. English translation: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 8 (1974) 138–141. MR **50**:2871

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA, AVENIDA DE ELVAS, 06071 BADAJOZ, SPAIN

E-mail address: `fcabello@unex.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA, AVENIDA DE ELVAS, 06071 BADAJOZ, SPAIN

E-mail address: `castillo@unex.es`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA, COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65211

E-mail address: `nigel@math.missouri.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455, RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: `dthoyost@ksu.edu.sa`