

## A QUADRATIC APPROXIMATION TO THE SENDOV RADIUS NEAR THE UNIT CIRCLE

MICHAEL J. MILLER

ABSTRACT. Define  $S(n, \beta)$  to be the set of complex polynomials of degree  $n \geq 2$  with all roots in the unit disk and at least one root at  $\beta$ . For a polynomial  $P$ , define  $|P|_\beta$  to be the distance between  $\beta$  and the closest root of the derivative  $P'$ . Finally, define  $r_n(\beta) = \sup\{|P|_\beta : P \in S(n, \beta)\}$ . In this notation, a conjecture of Bl. Sendov claims that  $r_n(\beta) \leq 1$ .

In this paper we investigate Sendov's conjecture near the unit circle, by computing constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  (depending only on  $n$ ) such that  $r_n(\beta) \sim 1 + C_1(1 - |\beta|) + C_2(1 - |\beta|)^2$  for  $|\beta|$  near 1. We also consider some consequences of this approximation, including a hint of where one might look for a counterexample to Sendov's conjecture.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1962, Sendov conjectured that if a polynomial (with complex coefficients) has all its roots in the unit disk, then within one unit of each of its roots lies a root of its derivative. More than 50 papers have been published on this conjecture, but it has been verified in general only for polynomials of degree at most 8 [4].

Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer and let  $\beta$  be a complex number of modulus at most 1. Define  $S(n, \beta)$  to be the set of polynomials of degree  $n$  with complex coefficients, all roots in the unit disk and at least one root at  $\beta$ . For a polynomial  $P$ , define  $|P|_\beta$  to be the distance between  $\beta$  and the closest root of the derivative  $P'$ . Finally, define  $r_n(\beta) = \sup\{|P|_\beta : P \in S(n, \beta)\}$ , and note that  $r_n(\beta) \leq 2$  (since by the Gauss-Lucas Theorem [5, Theorem 6.1] all roots of each  $P'$  are also in the unit disk, and so each  $|P|_\beta \leq 2$ ). In this notation, Sendov's conjecture claims that  $r_n(\beta) \leq 1$ .

In estimating  $r_n(\beta)$ , we will assume without loss of generality (by rotation) that  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ . It is already known that  $r_2(\beta) = (1 + \beta)/2$  and that

$$r_3(\beta) = [3\beta + (12 - 3\beta^2)^{1/2}]/6$$

[9, Theorem 2], that  $r_n(0) = (1/n)^{1/(n-1)}$  [2, Lemma 4 and  $p(z) = z^n - z$ ], that  $r_n(1) = 1$  [10, Theorem 1], and that  $r_n(\beta) \leq \min(1.08332, 1 + 0.72054/n)$  [1, Corollary 1 and equation (3)].

---

Received by the editors October 15, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C15.

*Key words and phrases*. Sendov, Ilieff, Ilyeff.

©2004 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Since  $r_n(1) = 1$ , an obvious place to look for counterexamples to Sendov's conjecture is in a neighborhood of  $\beta = 1$ . This has already been done in [7, Theorem 3] and [12], where a linear upper bound on  $r_n(\beta)$  suffices to verify the Sendov conjecture if  $\beta$  is sufficiently close to 1. Unfortunately, having only an upper bound leaves many interesting questions about the conjecture unanswered. In this paper we investigate Sendov's conjecture much more thoroughly near  $\beta = 1$ , by providing a quadratic approximation to  $r_n(\beta)$  with

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ , let  $k$  be the largest integer such that  $k \leq (n+1)/3$  and let*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n+1}, & u_2 &= \cos \frac{2\pi(k+1)}{n+1}, \\ D_1 &= \frac{-2u_1u_2 - 1}{2(1-u_1)(1-u_2)}, & D_2 &= \frac{-1}{2(1-u_1)(1-u_2)}, \\ D_3 &= (-1 - 4D_1 - 3D_1^2 + 2D_2^2)/2, \\ D_4 &= (3D_1 - 4D_2 + 3D_1^2 - 2D_1D_2 - 6D_2^2)/2, \\ D_5 &= (2 + 4D_1 + 5D_2 + 2D_1^2 + 4D_1D_2 + 3D_2^2)/2, \\ D_6 &= (2D_2 + 2D_1D_2 + 3D_2^2)/2 \quad \text{and} \\ D &= D_3n + D_4 + D_5/n + D_6/n^2. \end{aligned}$$

*If  $n = 3$  or  $n = 5$ , then let  $\alpha = 3/2$ ; otherwise let  $\alpha = 2$ . If  $n = 5$ , then let  $\Delta = 7/225$ ; otherwise let  $\Delta = 0$ . Then for  $\beta$  sufficiently close to 1, we have*

$$r_{n+1}(\beta) = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + (D + \Delta)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

Before proving this theorem, we will examine some of its consequences. Our first consequence improves on estimates in [7] and [12] (by providing a value for the coefficient of the linear term) with

**Corollary 2.** *For all  $n \geq 2$  we have  $r_n(\beta) \leq 1 - (3/10)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that for  $2 \leq n \leq 3$  we have formulas for  $r_n(\beta)$ , and so the result for those values of  $n$  follows from the Taylor series of these formulas at  $\beta = 1$ . As we will show in part 6 of Lemma 8, the quantity  $D_1 + D_2/n \leq -3/10$  for all  $n \geq 3$ , and so the rest of Corollary 2 follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

As we will show in part 6 of Lemma 8, at  $n = 4$  we have  $D_1 + D_2/n = -3/10$ , so Corollary 2 provides the smallest possible linear upper bound for  $r_n(\beta)$  that is independent of  $n$ .

A second consequence of Theorem 1 shows that the result of [7, Theorem 3] is the best possible (in the sense that  $1/3$  cannot be replaced by a larger number), with

**Corollary 3.** *There exist constants  $K_n > 0$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = 1/3$  such that*

$$r_{n+1}(\beta) = 1 - K_n(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

*Proof.* Choose  $K_n = -(D_1 + D_2/n)$  and note that by Theorem 1 we have  $r_{n+1}(\beta) = 1 - K_n(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ . As we shall see in parts 5 and 6 of Lemma 8, the quantity  $D_1 + D_2/n$  is negative and tends to  $-1/3$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $r_n(0) = (1/n)^{1/(n-1)}$ . This quantity is increasing in  $n$ , so it is tempting to conjecture that for all fixed  $\beta$  the quantity  $r_n(\beta)$  is increasing in  $n$ . Indeed, the graphs in [6, figure 4.8] provide some evidence of this for  $n = 4, 6, 8, 10$ , and  $12$ . Unfortunately, this conjecture is false, as is shown by

**Corollary 4.** *For  $\beta$  sufficiently close to 1 we have  $r_6(\beta) < r_4(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1 and the constants we will compute at the beginning of section 2 we know that

$$r_4(\beta) = 1 - (1/3)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$$

and that

$$r_6(\beta) = 1 - (11/30)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2,$$

and the conclusion follows. □

Corollary 2 hints of the existence of a better-than-Sendov result, for near  $\beta = 1$  it appears that  $r_n(\beta)$  is bounded above by a function that is independent of  $n$  and strictly less than one. Unfortunately, moving up to the quadratic approximation in Theorem 1 casts doubt upon such a result. To see this, note that as  $n$  goes to infinity, then  $k/(n+1)$  tends to  $1/3$ , so  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  tend to  $-1/2$ , so  $D_3$  tends to  $4/81$  and  $D_4$  tends to  $-1/9$ , and so  $D+\Delta$  tends to infinity. Indeed, for  $n$  sufficiently large one might expect  $r_{n+1}(\beta) > 1$  roughly when  $D_1(1 - \beta) + (D_3n + D_4)(1 - \beta)^2 > 0$ , i.e. when  $\beta < 1 + D_1/(D_3n + D_4) \sim 1 - 27/(4n - 9)$ , provided that this  $\beta$  is “sufficiently close to 1”. This is an intriguing possibility that is clearly worthy of further investigation.

We will verify Theorem 1 by proving the following three propositions:

**Proposition 5.** *Assume the notation of Theorem 1. Then for all polynomials  $P \in S(n + 1, \beta)$ , we have*

$$|P|_\beta \leq 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + (D + \Delta)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

**Proposition 6.** *There are polynomials  $P \in S(6, \beta)$  with*

$$|P|_\beta = 1 - (11/30)(1 - \beta) + (29/450)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}.$$

**Proposition 7.** *Assume the notation of Theorem 1. Then there are real polynomials  $P \in S(n + 1, \beta)$  with*

$$|P|_\beta = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + D(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

From the definition of  $D$  in Theorem 1 and the constants we will compute at the beginning of section 2 we see that for  $n = 5$  we have  $D_1 + D_2/n = -11/30$  and  $D + \Delta = 29/450$ , so Propositions 5 and 6 together imply that Theorem 1 is true for  $n = 5$ . Note that for  $n \neq 5$  we have  $\Delta = 0$ , so Propositions 5 and 7 taken together imply that Theorem 1 is true for  $n \neq 5$ .

In [8] it was proved that if  $n = 5$  and if  $\beta$  is sufficiently close to 1, then maximal polynomials in  $S(n + 1, \beta)$  (those for which  $|P|_\beta = r_{n+1}(\beta)$ ) must be nonreal. Taken together, Theorem 1 and Proposition 7 provide strong evidence that this is true only for  $n = 5$  (although it is conceivable that this could fail for higher-order approximations).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by computing some values (that we will subsequently need) for the constants that appear in Theorem 1, obtaining:

$n$	$u_1$	$u_2$	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_1 + D_2/n$
3	0	-1	-1/4	-1/4	-1/3
4	$\frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{4}$	$\frac{-1 - \sqrt{5}}{4}$	-1/5	-2/5	-3/10
5	-1/2	-1	-1/3	-1/6	-11/30
6	-0.2225	-0.9010	-0.3014		
7	0	-0.7071	-0.2929	-0.2929	-0.3347
9	-0.3090	-0.8090			
10	-0.1423	-0.6549	-0.3138		

We next establish some relationships between these constants with

**Lemma 8.** *Assume the notation of Theorem 1. Then*

1.  $u_2 < -1/2 \leq u_1$ , and  $u_1 \leq 0$  for  $n \neq 4$ , and  $u_2 > -1$  for  $n \neq 3, 5$ ,
2.  $u_1 + u_2 < 0$  and  $u_1 u_2 > -1$ ,
3.  $2nu_1 + n + 1 \geq 1$  and  $2nu_2 + n + 1 < 0$ ,
4.  $D_1 < 0$  and  $D_2 < 0$ ,
5.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_1 + D_2/n = -1/3$ ,
6.  $-1 < D_1 + D_2/n \leq -3/10$ , with equality only at  $n = 4$ , and
7.  $1 + (1 + D_1 + D_2)(u_i - 1) - D_2(2u_i^2 - 2) = 0$  for  $i = 1$  and  $i = 2$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $k$  in Theorem 1, the relationship between  $k$  and  $n$  depends on the residue of  $n$  modulo 3. For increasing values of  $n$  in each of the three residue classes, the sequence  $k/(n+1)$  increases to (or is equal to)  $1/3$  and the sequence  $(k+1)/(n+1)$  strictly decreases to  $1/3$ , so the values of  $u_1$  decrease to (or are equal to)  $-1/2$  and the values of  $u_2$  strictly increase to  $-1/2$ . Since the values of  $u_1$  decrease (or remain constant) in each residue class, and since  $u_1 \leq 0$  for  $n = 3, 5$  and  $7$ , then  $u_1 \leq 0$  for all  $n \neq 4$ . Since the values of  $u_2$  strictly increase in each residue class, and since  $u_2 > -1$  for  $n = 4$  and  $u_2 = -1$  for  $n = 3$  and  $n = 5$ , then  $u_2 > -1$  for  $n \neq 3, 5$ . This completes the proof of part 1 of the lemma.

For  $n = 4$ , we have  $u_1 + u_2 = -1/2$  and  $u_1 u_2 = -1/4$ . For  $n \neq 4$  we have from part 1 that  $u_2 < u_1 \leq 0$ , and part 2 of the lemma follows trivially.

Since  $u_1 \geq -1/2$ , then  $2nu_1 + n + 1 \geq 1$ . For  $n = 3, 4$  and  $5$  we have  $(k+1)/(n+1) \leq 1/2$ . Since in each residue class this quotient strictly decreases to  $1/3$ , then for all  $n \geq 3$  we have  $2\pi(k+1)/(n+1) \in (2\pi/3, \pi]$ . Now  $\cos x \leq 1/2 - 3x/(2\pi)$  on this interval, and from the definition of  $k$  in Theorem 1 we know that  $k \geq (n-1)/3$ , so

$$u_2 = \cos \frac{2\pi(k+1)}{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3(k+1)}{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n+2}{n+1} < -\frac{n+1}{2n}$$

which completes the proof of part 3 of the lemma.

At  $n = 4$ , we have  $D_1 = -1/5$  and  $D_2 = -2/5$ . For  $n \neq 4$  we know from part 1 of Lemma 8 that  $u_2 < u_1 \leq 0$  so from the definitions of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  in Theorem 1 we see that  $D_1 < 0$  and  $D_2 < 0$ . This completes the proof of part 4 of the lemma.

As  $n$  tends to infinity,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  tend to  $-1/2$ , so  $D_1$  tends to  $-1/3$  and  $D_2$  is bounded. This completes the proof of part 5 of the lemma.

By part 2 of Lemma 8 we have  $u_1 + u_2 < 0$  and  $u_1 u_2 > -1$ . Since by part 4 of Lemma 8 we know that  $D_2 < 0$ , then

$$D_1 + D_2/n > D_1 + D_2 = -\frac{1 + u_1 u_2}{1 + u_1 u_2 - (u_1 + u_2)} > -1.$$

From part 1 of Lemma 8 we know that  $u_2 < -1/2 \leq u_1$ , so by computing the partial derivatives of  $D_1$  we see that  $\partial D_1/\partial u_1 > 0$  and  $\partial D_1/\partial u_2 \leq 0$ . Since in each residue class  $u_1$  decreases to  $-1/2$  and  $u_2$  increases to  $-1/2$ , then in each residue class  $D_1$  decreases to  $-1/3$ . At  $n = 5, 6$  and  $10$  we have  $D_1 < -3/10$ , and hence  $D_1 + D_2/n < D_1 < -3/10$  for all  $n \geq 3$  except possibly  $n = 3, 4$  and  $7$ . Checking the values of  $D_1 + D_2/n$  (computed at the beginning of section 2) for these exceptional values completes the proof of part 6 of the lemma.

Expressing  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  in terms of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  and simplifying the result verifies part 7, and thus completes the proof of Lemma 8.  $\square$

We now estimate the size of the coefficients of  $P'$  with

**Proposition 9.** *Suppose that  $P \in S(n + 1, \beta)$  with  $P'$  monic and  $|P|_\beta \geq \beta$ . Let  $P'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \zeta_j) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ . Then*

1. each  $\Re[\zeta_j] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$  and each  $\Im[\zeta_j] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{1/2}$ ,
2. each  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{k/2}$ ,
3. for  $k$  odd, each  $\Re[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{(k+1)/2}$ , and
4. for  $k$  even, each  $\Im[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{(k+1)/2}$ .

*Proof.* Parts 1–3 were proved in [8, Proposition 4]. Part 4 is proved similarly to part 3, by noting that each term of  $\Im[a_{n-k}]$  is a product of  $k$  of the  $\Re[\zeta_j]$ 's and  $\Im[\zeta_j]$ 's, and that for  $k$  even, each term has at least one  $\Re[\zeta_j]$ , so from part 1 of Proposition 9 we have that  $\Im[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{(k+1)/2}$ .  $\square$

To have  $P \in S(n + 1, \beta)$  requires that the moduli of the roots of  $P$  are all at most 1. We estimate these moduli with

**Proposition 10.** *Assume the notation of Theorem 1. Let  $P$  be a polynomial with  $P'(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  and  $P(\beta) = 0$ . Let  $z \neq \beta$  be a root of  $P$ , let  $\omega$  be the  $(n + 1)$ th root of 1 that is closest to  $z$  and let  $R = (1 - \beta) + a_{n-1}(\omega^n - 1)/n + \dots + a_0(\omega - 1)$ .*

1. For  $0 < r \leq 1$ , if each  $a_k = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ , then  $|z|^2 = 1 - 2\Re[R] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2r}$ .
2. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n-1} &= n(1 + D_1 + D_2)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha, \\ a_{n-2} &= -(n - 1)D_2(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha, \text{ and} \\ a_{n-k} &= \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha \quad \text{for } k \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2 &= 2(1 + D_1 + D_2)(D_1 - 2D_2 + nD_2) \text{ and} \\ \Gamma_1 &= -\Gamma_2 + (-2 - 4D_1)n + (1 + 4D_1 - 4D_2). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Re[\omega] = u_i$  for  $i = 1$  or  $i = 2$ , then

$$|z|^{2n+2} = 1 - 2(n + 1)\Re[R] + (n + 1)(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 u_i)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\beta = 1 - (1 - \beta)$ , then by the binomial theorem  $\beta^k = 1 - k(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ . Since  $z$  is a root of  $P$  we have

$$0 = P(z) = \int_{\beta}^z P'(t) dt = \frac{z^{n+1} - \beta^{n+1}}{n + 1} + a_{n-1} \frac{z^n - \beta^n}{n} + \dots + a_0(z - \beta),$$

and solving for  $z^{n+1}$  gives us

$$(2.1) \quad z^{n+1} = \beta^{n+1} - (n + 1) \left[ a_{n-1} \frac{z^n - \beta^n}{n} + \dots + a_0(z - \beta) \right].$$

By hypothesis, as  $\beta$  goes to 1 the  $a_k$  all tend to 0 so the roots of  $P$  tend to the roots of  $z^{n+1} - 1$ , and so the  $\omega$  appearing in the hypotheses is well defined.

Now each  $\beta^k = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ , and by the hypothesis of part 1 each  $a_k = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ . Putting these estimates into equation (2.1), we see that  $z^{n+1} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ . Then  $z = \omega + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$  and so  $(z^k - \beta^k)/k = (\omega^k - 1)/k + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ . Now note that each  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$  and that each  $\beta^k = 1 - k(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ . Substituting these estimates into equation (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} z^{n+1} &= 1 - (n + 1)(1 - \beta) - (n + 1) \left[ a_{n-1} \frac{\omega^n - 1}{n} + \dots + a_0(\omega - 1) \right] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2r} \\ &= 1 - (n + 1)R + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2r}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $R = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$  so

$$(1 - R)^{n+1} = 1 - (n + 1)R + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2r} = z^{n+1} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2r},$$

so  $z = \omega(1 - R) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2r}$  and hence  $|z|^2 = z\bar{z} = 1 - 2\Re[R] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2r}$ . This finishes the proof of part 1.

From the hypotheses of part 2, we know that  $\Re[\omega] = u_i$  for  $i = 1$  or  $i = 2$ . Suppose for the moment that  $\Re[\omega] = u_1$  and write  $\omega = u_1 + iv_1$ . Since  $\omega^{n+1} = 1$ , then  $|\omega| = 1$ , so  $\omega^n = \bar{\omega}$  and  $\Re[\omega^2] = 2u_1^2 - 1$ . Let  $A = [-(1 + D_1 + D_2) + 2D_2u_1]v_1$ . From part 7 of Lemma 8 we see that

$$\Re[1 + (1 + D_1 + D_2)(\bar{\omega} - 1) - D_2(\bar{\omega}^2 - 1)] = 0$$

and so using the estimates of the  $a_{n-k}$ 's given in the hypotheses of part 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R &= (1 - \beta) + a_{n-1} \frac{\bar{\omega} - 1}{n} + a_{n-2} \frac{\bar{\omega}^2 - 1}{n - 1} + \dots + a_0(\omega - 1) \\ &= [1 + (1 + D_1 + D_2)(\bar{\omega} - 1) - D_2(\bar{\omega}^2 - 1)](1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha \\ &= iA(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The hypotheses of part 2 imply that each  $a_k = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ , so from the proof of part 1 with  $r = 1$  we have  $z = \omega(1 - R) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 = \omega[1 - iA(1 - \beta)] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha$  and so

$$(z^k - \beta^k)/k = (\omega^k - 1)/k + (1 - iA\omega^k)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha.$$

Let  $G = n/2 - n(1 + D_1 + D_2)(1 - iA\bar{\omega}) + (n - 1)D_2(1 - iA\bar{\omega}^2)$ . Then from equation (2.1) and the estimates of the  $a_k$ 's given in the hypotheses of part 2 we

get

$$\begin{aligned} z^{n+1} &= 1 - (n + 1)(1 - \beta) + \frac{(n + 1)n}{2}(1 - \beta)^2 \\ &\quad - (n + 1) \left[ a_{n-1} \left( \frac{\omega^n - 1}{n} + (1 - iA\omega^n)(1 - \beta) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \quad + a_{n-2} \left( \frac{\omega^{n-1} - 1}{n - 1} + (1 - iA\omega^{n-1})(1 - \beta) \right) \\ &\quad \quad \left. + a_{n-3} \frac{\omega^{n-2} - 1}{n - 2} + \dots + a_0(\omega - 1) \right] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1} \\ &= 1 - (n + 1)R + (n + 1)G(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $R = iA(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z|^{2n+2} &= z^{n+1}\bar{z}^{n+1} \\ &= 1 - 2(n + 1)\Re[R] + (n + 1)[2\Re[G] + (n + 1)A^2](1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus to complete the proof of part 2 of Proposition 10 for the case  $\Re[\omega] = u_1$  we need only verify that  $2\Re[G] + (n + 1)A^2 = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2u_1$ .

Let  $D_0 = 1 + D_1 + D_2$ , so from the definition of  $A$  we see that

$$A = (-D_0 + 2D_2u_1)v_1.$$

Note that  $\Re[i\bar{\omega}] = \Im[\omega]$ . Then from the definition of  $G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[G] &= n/2 - nD_0(1 - Av_1) + (n - 1)D_2(1 - 2Au_1v_1) \\ &= n/2 - nD_0 + (n - 1)D_2 - A[n(-D_0v_1 + 2D_2u_1v_1) - 2D_2u_1v_1] \\ &= (-n/2 - nD_1 - D_2) - nA^2 + 2AD_2u_1v_1 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(2.2) \quad 2\Re[G] + (n + 1)A^2 = (-n - 2nD_1 - 2D_2) + (-n + 1)A^2 + 4AD_2u_1v_1.$$

Now

$$2D_2u_1^2 = \frac{-u_1^2}{(1 - u_1)(1 - u_2)} = D_0u_1 + (D_2 - D_1),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} Av_1 &= (-D_0 + 2D_2u_1)(1 - u_1^2) \\ &= -D_0 + 2D_2u_1 - u_1(-D_0u_1 + 2D_2u_1^2) \\ &= -D_0 + (D_1 + D_2)u_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using these two equalities, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= (-D_0 + 2D_2u_1)[-D_0 + (D_1 + D_2)u_1] \\ &= D_0^2 + (-D_0D_1 - 3D_0D_2)u_1 + (D_1 + D_2)(2D_2u_1^2) \\ &= D_0^2 - D_1^2 + D_2^2 - 2D_0D_2u_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2AD_2u_1v_1 &= 2D_2u_1[-D_0 + (D_1 + D_2)u_1] \\ &= -2D_0D_2u_1 + (D_1 + D_2)[D_0u_1 + (D_2 - D_1)] \\ &= D_0(D_1 - D_2)u_1 + (D_2^2 - D_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from equation (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\Re[G] + (n+1)A^2 &= (-n - 2nD_1 - 2D_2) + (-n+1)(D_0^2 - D_1^2 + D_2^2 - 2D_0D_2u_1) \\
 &\quad + 2[D_0(D_1 - D_2)u_1 + (D_2^2 - D_1^2)] \\
 &= (-1 - 2D_1 - D_0^2 + D_1^2 - D_2^2)n + (-2D_2 + D_0^2 - 3D_1^2 + 3D_2^2) \\
 &\quad + 2D_0u_1(D_1 - 2D_2 + nD_2) \\
 &= \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2u_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of part 2 of Proposition 10 for the case  $\Re[\omega] = u_1$ . Since  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are symmetric in  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , swapping  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in this proof verifies part 2 of Proposition 10 for the remaining case  $\Re[\omega] = u_2$ , and thus completes the proof of Proposition 10.  $\square$

Finally, consider the linear transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  which takes functions to real numbers via

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{T}(f) = \frac{(2nu_1 + n + 1)f(u_2) - (2nu_2 + n + 1)f(u_1)}{2(u_1 - u_2)}.$$

Recall that by Lemma 8 we have  $u_1 - u_2 > 0$ ,  $2nu_1 + n + 1 > 0$  and  $2nu_2 + n + 1 < 0$ , so  $\mathcal{T}/n$  is a weighted average. This implies that  $\mathcal{T}$  preserves inequalities, in the sense that if  $f(u_1) \leq g(u_1)$  and  $f(u_2) \leq g(u_2)$ , then  $\mathcal{T}(f) \leq \mathcal{T}(g)$ .

In the process of analyzing several inequalities, we will need the following values of the transformation  $\mathcal{T}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{T}(1) &= n, \\
 \mathcal{T}(2 + 2u) &= n - 1, \\
 \mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2) &= -[n + 2 + 2(n+1)(u_1 + u_2) + 4nu_1u_2] \\
 &= -\frac{n + 1 + D_1 + 3nD_1 + 3D_2}{D_2}, \\
 \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{1-u}\right) &= n + nD_1 + D_2, \\
 \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{u}{1-u}\right) &= nD_1 + D_2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

We will also use the results of

**Lemma 11.** *For the linear transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  defined in equation (2.3) we have*

1.  $\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)/(n - 2) < 1/2$  for  $n \neq 3, 4$  and 6, and
2.  $\mathcal{T}(8u^2 + 8u^3) \geq 0$  for all  $n$ .

*Proof.* From the formula for  $\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)$  in (2.4) and from part 3 of Lemma 8 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)/\partial u_1 &= -2(2nu_2 + n + 1) > 0 \text{ and} \\
 \partial\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)/\partial u_2 &= -2(2nu_1 + n + 1) < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall from the proof of Lemma 8 that for each residue class of  $n$  modulo 3 the values of  $u_1$  decrease and the values of  $u_2$  increase, so the signs of the partial derivatives above imply that in each residue class the values of  $\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)$  decrease. Since  $1 + 4u + 4u^2 = (1 + 2u)^2 \geq 0$  and since  $\mathcal{T}$  preserves inequalities, then  $\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2) \geq 0$ , so the values of  $\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)/(n - 2)$  also decrease



in each residue class. Using the formula for  $\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)$  in (2.4) and the values of the  $u_i$  computed at the beginning of section 2, we calculate the values of  $\mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)/(n - 2)$  at  $n = 5, 7$  and  $9$ , getting respectively  $1/3, 0.4627$  and  $0.3372$ . Since they are all less than  $1/2$ , this proves part 1 of Lemma 11.

Since by definition  $u_i \geq -1$ , then  $8u_i^2 + 8u_i^3 = 8u_i^2(1 + u_i) \geq 0$  for both  $i = 1$  and  $i = 2$ , and so part 2 of Lemma 11 follows from our observation that  $\mathcal{T}$  preserves inequalities.  $\square$

Finally, we will deal with polynomials that are “almost” in  $S(n, \beta)$  using

**Lemma 12.** *Suppose that  $P$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  with all roots in  $\{z : |z| \leq 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r\}$ , one root at  $\beta$ , and all other roots bounded away from  $\beta$ . Then there is a polynomial  $Q \in S(n, \beta)$  such that  $|Q|_\beta = |P|_\beta + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P \in S(n, \beta)$ , then we may take  $Q = P$ . If not, then at least one root of  $P$  has modulus greater than 1. In this case, let

$$c = \max \left\{ \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z - \beta|^2} : z \text{ is a root of } P \text{ and } |z| > 1 \right\}.$$

Since by hypothesis  $|z - \beta|$  is bounded away from 0 and  $|z| \leq 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ , then  $0 < c \leq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ . In particular, for  $\beta$  sufficiently close to 1 we have  $0 < c < 1$ .

Let  $Q$  be the polynomial with roots  $\{z - c(z - \beta) : z \text{ is a root of } P\}$ . Since the mapping  $z \mapsto z - c(z - \beta)$  is a contraction of the plane that leaves  $\beta$  fixed and moves all roots of  $P$  (and hence  $P'$ ) toward  $\beta$  by at most  $\mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ , then  $Q(\beta) = 0$  and  $|Q|_\beta = |P|_\beta + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^r$ . Thus we need only show that all roots of  $Q$  are in the unit disk.

Note that for  $t$  real the image of the mapping  $t \mapsto z - t(z - \beta)$  is a line, with  $t = 0$  mapping to  $z$ , and  $t = 1$  mapping to  $\beta$ , and  $t = (|z|^2 - 1)/|z - \beta|^2$  mapping to

$$z - \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z - \beta|^2}(z - \beta) = z - \frac{z\bar{z} - 1}{\bar{z} - \beta} = \frac{1 - \beta z}{\bar{z} - \beta}.$$

If  $z$  is in the unit disk, then the images of every  $t$  between 0 and 1 lie on the line between  $z$  and  $\beta$ , hence in the unit disk. If  $z$  is not in the unit disk, then  $|(1 - \beta z)/(z - \beta)| < 1$  and so the images of every  $t$  between  $(|z|^2 - 1)/|z - \beta|^2$  and 1 lie on the line between  $(1 - \beta z)/(\bar{z} - \beta)$  and  $\beta$ , hence in the unit disk. Thus for every root  $z$  of  $P$ , the image of  $c$  lies in the unit disk, so all roots of  $Q$  are in the unit disk and so  $Q \in S(n, \beta)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 12.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5

Take any  $P \in S(n + 1, \beta)$ , assume without loss of generality that  $P'$  is monic, and write  $P'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \zeta_j) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ .

If  $|P|_\beta \leq 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + (D + \Delta)(1 - \beta)^2$ , then Proposition 5 is trivially true. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that

$$(3.1) \quad |P|_\beta \geq 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + (D + \Delta)(1 - \beta)^2.$$

From part 6 of Lemma 8 we have that  $D_1 + D_2/n > -1$ , and so inequality (3.1) implies that  $|P|_\beta \geq \beta$  as long as  $\beta$  is sufficiently close to 1. Note that  $P$  thus satisfies all the hypotheses of Proposition 9.

We begin by estimating some relationships between the coefficients of  $P'$  with

**Lemma 13.** *Suppose that  $\Im[a_{n-1}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$  and that each*

$$|\zeta_j - \beta| = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Then

1.  $\Im[a_{n-2}] = (-3/2)\Im[a_{n-3}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$  and
2.  $\Re[a_{n-3}] + 2\Re[a_{n-4}] = (n - 2)(1 + D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta)\Re[a_{n-2}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3$ .

*Proof.* Let each  $\zeta_j = x_j + iy_j$  and note that by Proposition 9 we have  $x_j = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$  and  $y_j = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{1/2}$ . Note that by hypothesis,  $\sum_i y_i = -\Im[a_{n-1}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$  and that each

$$(\beta - x_j)^2 + y_j^2 = |\beta - \zeta_j|^2 = 1 + 2(D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2,$$

so solving for  $x_j$  gives us

$$(3.2) \quad x_j = y_j^2/2 - (1 + D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Note that  $\Im[a_{n-3}] = -\sum_{i < j < k} \Im[\zeta_i \zeta_j \zeta_k] = \sum_{i < j < k} y_i y_j y_k + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} &= \sum_i y_i \sum_{i < j} y_i y_j = \sum_{i \neq j} y_i^2 y_j + 3 \sum_{i < j < k} y_i y_j y_k \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} y_i^2 y_j + 3\Im[a_{n-3}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\sum_{i \neq j} y_i^2 y_j = -3\Im[a_{n-3}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$ . Then using equation (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Im[a_{n-2}] &= \sum_{i < j} \Im[\zeta_i \zeta_j] = \sum_{i \neq j} x_i y_j \\ &= (1/2) \sum_{i \neq j} y_i^2 y_j - (1 + D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) \sum_{i \neq j} y_j + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ &= (-3/2)\Im[a_{n-3}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of part 1 of Lemma 13.

Let  $S$  be the set of triples  $(i, j, k)$  of distinct integers from 1 to  $n$  with  $j < k$ . Note that  $\Re[a_{n-2}] = \sum_{i < j} \Re[\zeta_i \zeta_j] = -\sum_{i < j} y_i y_j + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$  and  $\Re[a_{n-3}] = -\sum_{i < j < k} \Re[\zeta_i \zeta_j \zeta_k] = \sum_S x_i y_j y_k + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3$ . Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 = \sum_i y_i \sum_{j < k < l} y_j y_k y_l = \sum_S y_i^2 y_j y_k + 4 \sum_{i < j < k < l} y_i y_j y_k y_l,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[a_{n-4}] &= \sum_{i < j < k < l} \Re[\zeta_i \zeta_j \zeta_k \zeta_l] = \sum_{i < j < k < l} y_i y_j y_k y_l + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \\ &= (-1/4) \sum_S y_i^2 y_j y_k + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then using equation (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[a_{n-3}] + 2\Re[a_{n-4}] &= \sum_S (x_i - y_i^2/2)y_j y_k + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \\ &= -(1 + D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta)(n - 2) \sum_{j < k} y_j y_k + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \\ &= (n - 2)(1 + D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta)\Re[a_{n-2}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 13. □

We now establish a lower bound on  $\Re[a_{n-4}]$  with

**Lemma 14.** *Suppose that*

$$\begin{aligned} \Im[a_{n-1}] &= \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha, \\ \Re[a_{n-2}] &= -(n - 1)D_2(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha, \text{ and} \\ \Im[a_{n-3}] &= \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n = 5$ , then define  $\delta = -1/15$ ; otherwise define  $\delta = 0$ . Then

$$\Re[a_{n-4}] \geq \delta(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

*Proof.* Let each  $\zeta_j = x_j + iy_j$  and recall by Proposition 9 that  $x_j = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$  and  $y_j = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{1/2}$ . Let  $F(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (y + y_i) = y^n + b_{n-1}y^{n-1} + \dots + b_0$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[a_{n-4}] &= \sum_{i < j < k < l} \Re[\zeta_i \zeta_j \zeta_k \zeta_l] = \sum_{i < j < k < l} y_i y_j y_k y_l + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \\ &= b_{n-4} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \end{aligned}$$

and that by hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n-1} &= \sum_i y_i = \sum_i \Im[\zeta_i] = -\Im[a_{n-1}] \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha, \\ b_{n-2} &= \sum_{i < j} y_i y_j = -\sum_{i < j} \Re[\zeta_i \zeta_j] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 = -\Re[a_{n-2}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 \\ &= (n - 1)D_2(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha, \text{ and} \\ b_{n-3} &= \sum_{i < j < k} y_i y_j y_k = -\sum_{i < j < k} \Im[\zeta_i \zeta_j \zeta_k] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} = \Im[a_{n-3}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= F^{(n-4)}(y) \\ &= \frac{n!}{24}y^4 + \frac{(n - 1)!}{6}b_{n-1}y^3 + \frac{(n - 2)!}{2}b_{n-2}y^2 + (n - 3)!b_{n-3}y + (n - 4)!b_{n-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by definition  $F$  has all real roots, hence by Rolle’s Theorem (from elementary calculus) so does  $f$ . Then the “reverse” of  $f$  defined by  $y^4 f(1/y) = (n - 4)!b_{n-4}y^4 + \dots + n!/24$  has all real roots, so by Rolle’s theorem so does the reverse’s second derivative

$$12(n - 4)!b_{n-4}y^2 + 6(n - 3)!b_{n-3}y + (n - 2)!b_{n-2}.$$

Since this quadratic has all real roots, then its discriminant is nonnegative, so

$$[6(n - 3)!b_{n-3}]^2 - 48(n - 2)!(n - 4)!b_{n-2}b_{n-4} \geq 0.$$

Using our estimates of the  $b_{n-k}$ 's (including  $b_{n-4} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ ), this implies that  $-D_2(1 - \beta)b_{n-4} \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2\alpha}$  and so  $b_{n-4} \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{2\alpha-1}$ . Now for  $n \neq 3, 5$  we have  $\alpha = 2$  and so  $\Re[a_{n-4}] = b_{n-4} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3$ , which finishes the proof of Lemma 14 for these values of  $n$ .

Lemma 14 is trivially true for  $n = 3$ , since then  $\Re[a_{n-4}] \equiv 0 \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$ .

Finally, for  $n = 5$  we have that

$$f(y) = 5y^4 + 4b_{n-1}y^3 + 3b_{n-2}y^2 + 2b_{n-3}y + b_{n-4}$$

has all real roots, hence by Rolle's theorem so does its derivative

$$f'(y) = 20y^3 + 12b_{n-1}y^2 + 6b_{n-2}y + 2b_{n-3}.$$

A classical result (see e.g. [11, p. 289]) states that if a cubic polynomial  $ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$  has all real roots, then its discriminant is nonnegative, so

$$18abcd - 4b^3d + b^2c^2 - 4ac^3 - 27a^2d^2 \geq 0.$$

Applying this to  $f'(y)$ , we have

$$-4[20][6b_{n-2}]^3 - 27[20]^2[2b_{n-3}]^2 \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^4,$$

which implies that  $2b_{n-2}^3 + 5b_{n-3}^2 \leq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^4$ . Since for  $n = 5$  we have  $D_2 = -1/6$ , then by hypothesis  $b_{n-2} = (-2/3)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n-3}^2 &\leq (-2/5)b_{n-2}^3 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^4 \\ &= (16/135)(1 - \beta)^3 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{7/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have that the first derivative of the reverse of  $f$

$$4b_{n-4}y^3 + 6b_{n-3}y^2 + 6b_{n-2}y + 4b_{n-1}$$

has all real roots, so applying our classical result gives

$$[6b_{n-3}]^2[6b_{n-2}]^2 - 4[4b_{n-4}][6b_{n-2}]^3 \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^6.$$

Dividing this by  $144b_{n-2}^2$  and recalling that  $b_{n-2} = (-2/3)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$  yields

$$9b_{n-3}^2 + 16(1 - \beta)b_{n-4} \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{7/2}.$$

Combining these two inequalities implies that for  $n = 5$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[a_{n-4}] &= b_{n-4} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \\ &\geq \frac{-9b_{n-3}^2}{16(1 - \beta)} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ &\geq (-1/15)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 14. □

We now begin the proof of Proposition 5. Our first step will be to show that  $|P|_\beta \leq 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ . Recall that  $P$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 9, so each  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{k/2}$ . Let  $\omega \neq 1$  be any  $(n + 1)$ st root of 1 and let  $z$  be the root of  $P$  (so  $|z| \leq 1$ ) closest to  $\omega$ . Then in Proposition 10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= (1 - \beta) + a_{n-1}(\omega^n - 1)/n + \dots + a_0(\omega - 1) \\ &= a_{n-1}(\omega^n - 1)/n + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta) \end{aligned}$$

and so by part 1 of Proposition 10 with  $r = 1/2$ , we have

$$|z|^2 = 1 - 2\Re[a_{n-1}(\omega^n - 1)/n] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta).$$

Since  $|z| \leq 1$  and  $\omega^n = \bar{\omega}$ , this implies that  $\Re[a_{n-1}(\bar{\omega} - 1)] \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ . Expanding the product and noting that by Proposition 9 we have  $\Re[a_{n-1}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ , we get that  $\Im[a_{n-1}]\Im[\omega] \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ . Choosing  $\omega$  non-real and repeating this argument with  $\bar{\omega}$  substituted for  $\omega$  provides that  $\Im[a_{n-1}]\Im[\bar{\omega}] \geq \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$  and so  $\Im[a_{n-1}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ . Thus we have  $a_{n-1} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ .

Recall that each  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{k/2}$ , so we know now that each  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ . Since  $\omega^{n-k} = \bar{\omega}^{k+1}$ , by part 1 of Proposition 10 with  $r = 1$  we have

$$|z|^2 = 1 - 2\Re \left[ (1 - \beta) + a_{n-1} \frac{\bar{\omega} - 1}{n} + a_{n-2} \frac{\bar{\omega}^2 - 1}{n - 1} + a_{n-3} \frac{\bar{\omega}^3 - 1}{n - 2} \right] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Since  $|z| \leq 1$  this implies that

$$(3.3) \quad -\Re \left[ a_{n-1} \frac{\bar{\omega} - 1}{n} + a_{n-2} \frac{\bar{\omega}^2 - 1}{n - 1} + a_{n-3} \frac{\bar{\omega}^3 - 1}{n - 2} \right] \leq (1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Averaging the expressions obtained by substituting  $\omega$  and  $\bar{\omega}$  into inequality (3.3) and noting that by Proposition 9 we have  $\Re[a_{n-3}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$  we get

$$(3.4) \quad \Re[a_{n-1}]\Re \left[ \frac{1 - \omega}{n} \right] + \Re[a_{n-2}]\Re \left[ \frac{1 - \omega^2}{n - 1} \right] \leq (1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Let  $u = \Re[\omega]$ . Note that since  $|\omega| = 1$ , then  $\Re[\omega^2] = 2u^2 - 1$ , so dividing inequality (3.4) by  $1 - u$ , we get

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\Re[a_{n-1}]}{n} + \frac{\Re[a_{n-2}]}{n - 1}(2 + 2u) \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - u} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$$

for each  $\omega \neq 1$ . In particular, inequality (3.5) holds for  $u = u_1$  and  $u = u_2$  as defined in Theorem 1.

Applying the linear transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  defined in equation (2.3) to inequality (3.5), and using the values computed in (2.4), we see that

$$(3.6) \quad \Re[a_{n-1}] + \Re[a_{n-2}] \leq (n + nD_1 + D_2)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Recall that  $P'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \zeta_j) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ , that each  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$  and that  $\Re[a_{n-3}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ . Then

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |P|_{\beta}^{2n} &= (\min_j |\beta - \zeta_j|)^{2n} \leq \prod_{j=1}^n |\beta - \zeta_j|^2 = |P'(\beta)|^2 \\ &= P'(\beta)\overline{P'}(\beta) = \beta^{2n} + 2\Re[a_{n-1}]\beta^{2n-1} + 2\Re[a_{n-2}]\beta^{2n-2} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 \\ &= 1 - 2n(1 - \beta) + 2\Re[a_{n-1}] + 2\Re[a_{n-2}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 \\ &= [1 - (1 - \beta) + (\Re[a_{n-1}] + \Re[a_{n-2}])/n]^{2n} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and so using inequalities (3.7) and then (3.6) we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |P|_{\beta} &\leq 1 - (1 - \beta) + (\Re[a_{n-1}] + \Re[a_{n-2}])/n + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 \\ &\leq 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our first step.

Our second step will be to verify the hypotheses of part 2 of Proposition 10, by showing that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n-1} &= n(1 + D_1 + D_2)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha, \\ a_{n-2} &= -(n - 1)D_2(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha, \text{ and} \\ a_{n-k} &= \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha \text{ for } k \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Combining inequalities (3.1) and (3.8), we see that

$$(3.9) \quad |P|_\beta = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Since equation (3.8) is thus an equality, then so are equations (3.7) and (3.6), and thus equation (3.5) for  $u = u_i$  and equations (3.4) and (3.3) for  $\Re[\omega] = u_i$ .

Since equation (3.5) is an equality for  $u = u_i$ , we can solve the resulting linear system in the variables  $\Re[a_{n-1}]$  and  $\Re[a_{n-2}]$  and get

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[a_{n-1}] &= \frac{-n(u_1 + u_2)}{(1 - u_1)(1 - u_2)}(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 \\ &= n(1 + D_1 + D_2)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 \quad \text{and} \\ \Re[a_{n-2}] &= \frac{n - 1}{2(1 - u_1)(1 - u_2)}(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2 \\ &= -(n - 1)D_2(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that from Proposition 9 we have that  $\Re[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$  for  $k \geq 3$ , so we now have the correct real parts for our second step. Thus we need only show that each  $\Im[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^\alpha$ .

Recalling the definitions of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in Theorem 1, we can choose  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  to be  $(n + 1)$ st roots of 1 so that  $\Re[\omega_i] = u_i$ . For  $\omega = \omega_i$ , expanding the products in equality (3.3) and cancelling those terms of equality (3.4) gives us

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\Im[a_{n-1}]}{n} \Im[\omega_i] + \frac{\Im[a_{n-2}]}{n-1} \Im[\omega_i^2] + \frac{\Im[a_{n-3}]}{n-2} \Im[\omega_i^3] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Consider the case  $i = 1$ . Since  $|\omega_1| = 1$  and since by part 1 of Lemma 8 we have  $-1/2 \leq u_1 < 1$ , then  $\Im[\omega_1] \neq 0$ . Now by Proposition 9,  $\Im[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$  for  $k \geq 2$ , so equation (3.10) implies that  $\Im[a_{n-1}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$ . If  $n = 3$  or  $n = 5$ , then by definition  $\alpha = 3/2$ , so this completes our second step for those two values of  $n$ .

Assume then without loss of generality that  $n \neq 3, 5$ . Again by part 1 of Lemma 8 we have  $-1 < u_2 < u_1 < 1$  so  $\Im[\omega_i] \neq 0$ . Thus we may divide equation (3.10) by  $\Im[\omega_i]$  to obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\Im[a_{n-1}]}{n} + \frac{\Im[a_{n-2}]}{n-1} (2u_i) + \frac{\Im[a_{n-3}]}{n-2} (4u_i^2 - 1) = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Now subtracting equality (3.11) with  $i = 2$  from equality (3.11) with  $i = 1$  and dividing by  $2(u_1 - u_2)$  produces

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\Im[a_{n-2}]}{n-1} + \frac{\Im[a_{n-3}]}{n-2} 2(u_1 + u_2) = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Since equation (3.7) is an equality, we have each  $|\beta - \zeta_j| = |P|_\beta + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ . Recall that  $\Im[a_{n-1}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$  and that  $|P|_\beta = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) +$

$\mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ . Then by part 1 of Lemma 13 we have  $\Im[a_{n-2}] = (-3/2)\Im[a_{n-3}] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$ , so substituting into (3.12) we have

$$\Im[a_{n-3}] \left[ \frac{-3/2}{n-1} + \frac{2(u_1 + u_2)}{n-2} \right] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Now by part 2 of Lemma 8, we have  $u_1 + u_2 < 0$  so the quantity in brackets is non-zero. Then  $\Im[a_{n-3}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ , and so solving back in equations (3.12) and (3.11) we find that  $\Im[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$  for all  $k \leq 3$ . Note that by Proposition 9, we have  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$  for all  $k \geq 4$ , and so  $\Im[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$  for all  $k$ . Since  $n \neq 3, 5$ , then by definition  $\alpha = 2$ , and so this finishes the proof of our second step.

We will now finish the proof of Proposition 5. Consider only those roots  $z$  of  $P$  such that the nearest  $\omega$  has  $\Re[\omega] = u_i$ . In our second step, we verified the hypotheses of part 2 of Proposition 10, so we have

$$|z|^{2n+2} = 1 - 2(n+1)\Re[R] + (n+1)(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 u_i)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

Since  $|z| \leq 1$ , this implies that

$$-\Re[R] \leq -\frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 u_i}{2}(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}$$

and so from the definition of  $R$  in Proposition 10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Re \left[ a_{n-1} \frac{\bar{\omega} - 1}{n} + a_{n-2} \frac{\bar{\omega}^2 - 1}{n-1} + \dots + a_0(\omega - 1) \right] \\ \leq (1 - \beta) - \frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 u_i}{2}(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Re(\bar{\omega}) = u_i$ , this inequality is also valid when  $\omega$  is replaced by  $\bar{\omega}$ . Note that by Proposition 9 we have  $\Re[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3$  for  $k \geq 5$ , so averaging these two inequalities gives us

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad \frac{\Re[a_{n-1}]}{n} \Re[1 - \omega] + \dots + \frac{\Re[a_{n-4}]}{n-3} \Re[1 - \omega^4] \\ \leq (1 - \beta) - \frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 u_i}{2}(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $|\omega| = 1$ , then  $\Re[\omega^2] = 2u_i^2 - 1$ ,  $\Re[\omega^3] = 4u_i^3 - 3u_i$  and  $\Re[\omega^4] = 8u_i^4 - 8u_i^2 + 1$ . Dividing inequality (3.13) by  $1 - u_i$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Re[a_{n-1}]}{n} + \frac{\Re[a_{n-2}]}{n-1}(2 + 2u_i) + \frac{\Re[a_{n-3}]}{n-2}(1 + 4u_i + 4u_i^2) + \frac{\Re[a_{n-4}]}{n-3}(8u_i^2 + 8u_i^3) \\ \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - u_i} - \frac{(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 u_i)(1 - \beta)^2}{2(1 - u_i)} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying to this the linear transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  defined in (2.3) and using the values computed in (2.4), we get an inequality of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad \Re[a_{n-1}] + \Re[a_{n-2}] + c_3 \Re[a_{n-3}] + c_4 \Re[a_{n-4}] \\ \leq (n + nD_1 + D_2)(1 - \beta) \\ - [(\Gamma_1/2)(n + nD_1 + D_2) + (\Gamma_2/2)(nD_1 + D_2)](1 - \beta)^2 \\ + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_3 = \mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)/(n - 2)$  and  $c_4 = \mathcal{T}(8u^2 + 8u^3)/(n - 3)$ .

Define

$$(3.15) \quad Q = (-\Gamma_1/2)(n + nD_1 + D_2) - (\Gamma_2/2)(nD_1 + D_2) \\ - (n-1)(n-2)(1-c_3)D_2(1 + D_1 + D_2/n).$$

Recall from our second step that for all  $n$  we have that  $\Im[a_{n-1}] = \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{3/2}$ , and that  $\Re[a_{n-2}] = -(n-1)D_2(1-\beta) + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^2$ , and that each  $|\zeta_j - \beta| = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1-\beta) + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^2$ . Then by part 2 of Lemma 13, we have

$$\Re[a_{n-3}] + 2\Re[a_{n-4}] = -(n-1)(n-2)D_2(1 + D_1 + D_2/n)(1-\beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^3.$$

Adding  $1 - c_3$  times this to inequality (3.14) gives us

$$(3.16) \quad \Re[a_{n-1}] + \Re[a_{n-2}] + \Re[a_{n-3}] + (2 - 2c_3 + c_4)\Re[a_{n-4}] \\ \leq (n + nD_1 + D_2)(1-\beta) + Q(1-\beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

Note that Lemma 11 implies that  $c_3 < 1/2$  for  $n \neq 3, 4$ , and 6 and that  $c_4 \geq 0$  for all  $n$ . Using the definition of  $\mathcal{T}$  in (2.3), we calculate that for  $n = 4$  we have  $c_3 = 3/2$  and  $c_4 = 4$ , and for  $n = 6$  we have  $c_3 = 0.729$  and  $c_4 = 0.972$ . Thus for all  $n \geq 4$  we have  $1 - 2c_3 + c_4 > 0$ . Note also that by our second step and Lemma 14 we have  $\Re[a_{n-4}] \geq \delta(1-\beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1}$ . Since  $\delta = 0$  except when  $n = 5$ , and for  $n = 5$  we calculate  $c_3 = 1/3$  and  $c_4 = 2$ , then

$$-(1 - 2c_3 + c_4)\Re[a_{n-4}] \leq -(1 - 2c_3 + c_4)\delta(1-\beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1} \\ = (-7\delta/3)(1-\beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

Adding this to equation (3.16) gives us

$$(3.17) \quad \Re[a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + a_{n-3} + a_{n-4}] \\ \leq (n + nD_1 + D_2)(1-\beta) + (Q - 7\delta/3)(1-\beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

Let

$$Q_1 = -n(1-\beta) + a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + a_{n-3} + a_{n-4} + a_{n-5} \quad \text{and} \\ Q_2 = n(n-1)(1-\beta)^2/2 - [(n-1)a_{n-1} + (n-2)a_{n-2}](1-\beta).$$

Recall from our first step that each  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)$  so  $Q_1 = \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)$  and  $Q_2 = \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^2$ .

Now from our second step we know that  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^\alpha$  for  $k \geq 3$ , and from Proposition 9 we know that  $a_{n-k} = \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^3$  for  $k \geq 6$ , so

$$P'(\beta) = \beta^n + a_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \\ = 1 - n(1-\beta) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(1-\beta)^2 + a_{n-1}[1 - (n-1)(1-\beta)] \\ + a_{n-2}[1 - (n-2)(1-\beta)] + a_{n-3} + a_{n-4} + a_{n-5} + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1} \\ = 1 + Q_1 + Q_2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

Then  $|P'(\beta)|^2 = P'(\beta)\overline{P'(\beta)} = 1 + 2\Re[Q_1] + 2\Re[Q_2] + |Q_1|^2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1}$ . Note from our second step that each  $\Im[a_{n-k}] = \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^\alpha$  so  $\Im[Q_1] = \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^\alpha$ . Then  $(1 + \Re[Q_1] + \Re[Q_2])^2 = |P'(\beta)|^2 + \mathcal{O}(1-\beta)^{\alpha+1}$  and so  $|P'(\beta)| = 1 + \Re[Q_1] + \Re[Q_2] +$



$\mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}$ . Substituting the values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  and using the results of our second step gives us

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} |P'(\beta)| &= 1 - n(1 - \beta) + \Re[a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + a_{n-3} + a_{n-4}] \\ &\quad + (n - 1)[n/2 - n(1 + D_1 + D_2) + (n - 2)D_2](1 - \beta)^2 \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the first line of inequality (3.7), then inequalities (3.18) and (3.17), we have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} |P|_{\beta}^n &\leq |P'(\beta)| \\ &\leq 1 + (nD_1 + D_2)(1 - \beta) \\ &\quad + [Q - 7\delta/3 - (n - 1)(n/2 + nD_1 + 2D_2)](1 - \beta)^2 \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We now seek to compute the coefficient of  $(1 - \beta)^2$  in this inequality. Note first that from the definitions of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  in Proposition 10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\Gamma_1}{2}(n + nD_1 + D_2) - \frac{\Gamma_2}{2}(nD_1 + D_2) \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}{2}(n + nD_1 + D_2) + \frac{n\Gamma_2}{2} \\ &= \left[ (1 + 2D_1)n - \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2D_1 - 2D_2 \right) \right] [(1 + D_1)n + D_2] \\ &\quad + n(1 + D_1 + D_2)[nD_2 + (D_1 - 2D_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now from the definition of  $c_3$  (after inequality (3.14)) combined with equalities (2.4) we have  $(n - 2)c_3D_2 = -(n + 1 + D_1 + 3nD_1 + 3D_2)$  and so

$$(n - 2)(1 - c_3)D_2 = (1 + 3D_1 + D_2)n + (1 + D_1 + D_2).$$

Substituting these values into equation (3.15) and collecting like powers of  $n$ , we conclude that

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} Q &= [-D_1 - D_1^2 + D_2^2]n^2 + \left[ -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}D_1 + D_1^2 - 3D_2^2 \right]n \\ &\quad + \left[ 1 + 2D_1 + \frac{1}{2}D_2 + D_1^2 + D_1D_2 + 2D_2^2 \right] + [D_2 + D_1D_2 + D_2^2]/n \end{aligned}$$

and so comparing this with the definition of  $D$  in Theorem 1, we see that

$$(3.21) \quad Q - (n - 1)(n/2 + nD_1 + 2D_2) = nD + \frac{n(n - 1)}{2}(D_1 + D_2/n)^2.$$

Substituting this into inequality (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P|_{\beta}^n &\leq 1 + (nD_1 + D_2)(1 - \beta) \\ &\quad + \left[ nD + \frac{n(n - 1)}{2}(D_1 + D_2/n)^2 - 7\delta/3 \right] (1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1} \\ &= \left[ 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + \left( D - \frac{7\delta}{3n} \right) (1 - \beta)^2 \right]^n + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that (from the definitions of  $\delta$  in Lemma 14 and  $\Delta$  in Theorem 1) for all  $n$  we have  $\Delta = -7\delta/(3n)$ , and so

$$|P|_\beta \leq 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + (D + \Delta)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 5.

#### 4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6

This proof parallels the proof of [8, Theorem 2]. We begin by letting

$$u = \frac{-i\sqrt{15}}{15}(1 - \beta)^{1/2} - \frac{6}{10}(1 - \beta) + \frac{i\sqrt{15}}{300}(1 - \beta)^{3/2} - \frac{33}{600}(1 - \beta)^2$$

and

$$v = \frac{4i\sqrt{15}}{15}(1 - \beta)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{10}(1 - \beta) + \frac{46i\sqrt{15}}{300}(1 - \beta)^{3/2} + \frac{532}{600}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Let  $P'(z) = (z - u)^4(z - v)$  and let  $P(z) = \int_\beta^z P'(t) dt$ . Note that  $u - \beta = -1 + u + (1 - \beta)$  so

$$\begin{aligned} |u - \beta|^2 &= [-1 + (4/10)(1 - \beta) - (33/600)(1 - \beta)^2]^2 \\ &\quad + [(-\sqrt{15}/15)(1 - \beta)^{1/2} + (\sqrt{15}/300)(1 - \beta)^{3/2}]^2 \\ &= 1 - (11/15)(1 - \beta) + (79/300)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \end{aligned}$$

and  $v - \beta = -1 + v + (1 - \beta)$  so

$$\begin{aligned} |v - \beta|^2 &= [-1 + (9/10)(1 - \beta) + (532/600)(1 - \beta)^2]^2 \\ &\quad + [(4\sqrt{15}/15)(1 - \beta)^{1/2} + (46\sqrt{15}/300)(1 - \beta)^{3/2}]^2 \\ &= 1 - (11/15)(1 - \beta) + (79/300)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &[1 - (11/30)(1 - \beta) + (29/450)(1 - \beta)^2]^2 \\ &= 1 - (11/15)(1 - \beta) + (79/300)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3, \end{aligned}$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P|_\beta &= \min\{|u - \beta|, |v - \beta|\} \\ &= 1 - (11/30)(1 - \beta) + (29/450)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3. \end{aligned}$$

By definition  $P$  is of degree 6 and  $P(\beta) = 0$ . Thus to verify that  $P \in S(6, \beta)$  we need only show that all the roots of  $P$  remain in the closed unit disk when  $\beta$  is sufficiently close to 1. Now

$$\begin{aligned} u^2 &= (-1/15)(1 - \beta) + (2i\sqrt{15}/25)(1 - \beta)^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2, \\ u^3 &= (i\sqrt{15}/225)(1 - \beta)^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2, \quad \text{and} \\ u^4 &= \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2, \end{aligned}$$

so writing  $P'(z) = z^5 + a_4z^4 + \dots + a_0$ , we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} a_4 &= -(4u + v) = (5/2)(1 - \beta) - (i\sqrt{15}/6)(1 - \beta)^{3/2} - (2/3)(1 - \beta)^2 \\ a_3 &= u(6u + 4v) \\ &= (2/3)(1 - \beta) - (2i\sqrt{15}/15)(1 - \beta)^{3/2} + 3(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ a_2 &= -u^2(4u + 6v) = (4i\sqrt{15}/45)(1 - \beta)^{3/2} + (7/5)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ a_1 &= u^3(u + 4v) = (-1/15)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ a_0 &= -u^4v = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from the values computed at the beginning of section 2 that for  $n = 5$  we have  $\alpha = 3/2$ ,  $u_1 = -1/2$ ,  $u_2 = -1$ ,  $D_1 = -1/3$  and  $D_2 = -1/6$ . Note that in part 2 of Proposition 10 the values of the  $a_k$ 's computed above satisfy the hypotheses, and that  $\Gamma_2 = -5/6$  and  $\Gamma_1 = -13/6$ .

Let us apply part 2 of Proposition 10 to the case  $\omega = -1$ . Note that  $\Re[\omega] = u_2$  and  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2u_2 = -4/3$ . Since  $\omega = -1$  we have

$$R = (1 - \beta) - (2/5)a_4 - (2/3)a_2 - 2a_0,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[R] &= (1 - \beta) - (2/5)[(5/2)(1 - \beta) - (2/3)(1 - \beta)^2] \\ &\quad - (2/3)(7/5)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ &= (-2/3)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by part 2 of Proposition 10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z|^{12} &= 1 - 12(-2/3)(1 - \beta)^2 + 6(-4/3)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ &= 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $|z| = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$ .

Let us now apply part 2 of Proposition 10 to the case  $\omega = (1/2)(-1 \pm i\sqrt{3})$ . Note that  $\Re[\omega] = u_1$  and  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2u_1 = -7/4$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} R &= (1 - \beta) + (a_4/10)(-3 \mp i\sqrt{3}) + (a_3/8)(-3 \pm i\sqrt{3}) \\ &\quad + (a_1/4)(-3 \mp i\sqrt{3}) + (a_0/2)(-3 \pm i\sqrt{3}) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[R] &= (1 - \beta) - (3/10)[(5/2)(1 - \beta) - (2/3)(1 - \beta)^2] \\ &\quad \pm (\sqrt{3}/10)(-\sqrt{15}/6)(1 - \beta)^{3/2} - (3/8)[(2/3)(1 - \beta) + 3(1 - \beta)^2] \\ &\quad \mp (\sqrt{3}/8)(-2\sqrt{15}/15)(1 - \beta)^{3/2} - (3/4)(-1/15)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ &= (-7/8)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by part 2 of Proposition 10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z|^{12} &= 1 - 12(-7/8)(1 - \beta)^2 + 6(-7/4)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2} \\ &= 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $|z| = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$ .

Finally, let us apply part 1 of Proposition 10 with  $r = 1$  to the case  $\omega = (1/2)(1 \pm i\sqrt{3})$ . Note that

$$R = (1 - \beta) + (a_4/10)(-1 \mp i\sqrt{3}) + (a_3/8)(-3 \mp i\sqrt{3}) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[R] &= (1 - \beta) + (-1/10)(5/2)(1 - \beta) + (-3/8)(2/3)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2} \\ &= (1/2)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by part 1 of Proposition 10 we have  $|z|^2 = 1 - (1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$  and so  $|z| = 1 - (1/2)(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{3/2}$ .

At this stage, we know that  $|P|_\beta = 1 - (11/30)(1 - \beta) + (29/450)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3$  and that if  $\beta$  is sufficiently close to 1, then all roots  $z$  of  $P$  have  $|z| \leq 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$ . Since the roots of  $P$  approach the roots of  $z^6 - 1$ , then the non- $\beta$  roots of  $P$  are bounded away from  $\beta$ . Thus by Lemma 12, there is a polynomial  $Q \in S(6, \beta)$  with  $|Q|_\beta = 1 - (11/30)(1 - \beta) + (29/450)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{5/2}$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 6.

## 5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 7

Let  $b_1 = 1 + D_1 + D_2/n$ , let  $b_2 = (n - 1)D_2$ , and let  $z_0 = -b_1(1 - \beta) - D(1 - \beta)^2$ . Then  $z_0 - \beta = -1 + (1 - b_1)(1 - \beta) - D(1 - \beta)^2$ , and (for  $\beta$  near 1) this is real and negative so  $|z_0 - \beta| = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + D(1 - \beta)^2$ .

Now let  $x$  be a real constant, depending only on  $n$  (and to be determined later), and let

$$\begin{aligned} q(z) &= z^2 + [(b_2 + 2b_1)(1 - \beta) - 2x(1 - \beta)^2]z \\ &\quad + [-b_2(1 - \beta) + (b_1^2 + b_2 + 2D + 2x)(1 - \beta)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Now by part 4 of Lemma 8 we have  $D_2 < 0$  and so  $b_2 < 0$ . Since the discriminant of  $q(z)$  is  $4b_2(1 - \beta) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2$ , then (for  $\beta$  near 1) the roots of  $q$  are complex conjugates. If we denote these roots by  $z_1$  and  $\bar{z}_1$ , then by writing  $\beta = 1 - (1 - \beta)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 - \beta|^2 &= (z_1 - \beta)(\bar{z}_1 - \beta) = q(\beta) \\ &= 1 + (2b_1 - 2)(1 - \beta) + (1 - 2b_1 + b_1^2 + 2D)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \\ &= [1 + (b_1 - 1)(1 - \beta) + D(1 - \beta)^2]^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3, \end{aligned}$$

so  $|z_1 - \beta| = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + D(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3$ .

Let  $P'(z) = (z - z_0)^{n-2}q(z)$  and  $P(z) = \int_\beta^z P'(t) dt$ , so

$$|P|_\beta = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + D(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3.$$

Now  $z_0 = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} (z - z_0)^{n-2} &= z^{n-2} - (n - 2)z_0z^{n-3} + \binom{n-2}{2}z_0^2z^{n-4} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3 \\ &= z^{n-2} + (n - 2)[b_1(1 - \beta) + D(1 - \beta)^2]z^{n-3} \\ &\quad + \binom{n-2}{2}b_1^2(1 - \beta)^2z^{n-4} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then letting  $t_1 = (n^2 - n)b_1^2/2 + (n - 2)b_1b_2 + b_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P'(z) &= (z - z_0)^{n-2}q(z) \\
 &= z^n + [(nb_1 + b_2)(1 - \beta) + (nD - 2D - 2x)(1 - \beta)^2]z^{n-1} \\
 (5.1) \quad &+ [-b_2(1 - \beta) + (t_1 + 2D + 2x)(1 - \beta)^2]z^{n-2} \\
 &- (n - 2)b_1b_2(1 - \beta)^2z^{n-3} + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that by its definition,  $P$  is a polynomial of degree  $n + 1$  and  $P(\beta) = 0$ . Thus to show that  $P \in S(n + 1, \beta)$  it will suffice to show that all roots of  $P$  remain in the unit disk when  $\beta$  is sufficiently close to 1.

Let  $\omega \neq 1$  be an  $(n + 1)$ th root of 1, let  $u = \Re[\omega]$  and note that since  $|\omega| = 1$ , then  $\Re[\omega^2] = 2u^2 - 1$ ,  $\Re[\omega^3] = 4u^3 - 3u$ , and  $\omega^{n-k} = \bar{\omega}^{k+1}$ . Substituting the coefficients of equation (5.1) into the formula for  $R$  in Proposition 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= (1 - \beta) + (nb_1 + b_2)(1 - \beta)(\bar{\omega} - 1)/n \\
 &- b_2(1 - \beta)(\bar{\omega}^2 - 1)/(n - 1) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  into this formula, we see by part 1 of Proposition 10 with  $r = 1$  that

$$|z|^2 = 1 - 2(1 - \beta)[1 + (1 + D_1 + D_2)(u - 1) - D_2(2u^2 - 2)] + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^2.$$

Recall from part 4 of Lemma 8 that  $D_2 < 0$ , so the quantity in square brackets is quadratic in  $u$  with positive leading coefficient. By elementary calculus, its minimum (over all real numbers) occurs when  $1 + D_1 + D_2 - 4D_2u = 0$ , which happens when  $u = (1 + D_1 + D_2)/(4D_2) = (u_1 + u_2)/2$ , which is between  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Now  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are (by definition) the real parts of adjacent  $(n + 1)$ th roots of 1, so there are no possible values of  $u$  between  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , so the minimum (over all possible values of  $u$ ) must occur at either  $u_1$  or  $u_2$ . From part 7 of Lemma 8 we see that at these values the quantity in square brackets is 0, and so the minimum value of the quantity in square brackets is 0. Thus for  $\Re[\omega] \neq u_i$  the quantity in square brackets is positive, so for these values of  $\omega$  and for  $\beta$  sufficiently close to 1 we have  $|z| < 1$ , and so these roots remain in the unit disk.

Thus we need only concern ourselves with the case  $\Re[\omega] = u_i$ . In this case, by part 2 of Proposition 10 we have

$$|z|^{2n+2} = 1 - 2(n + 1)\Re[R] + (n + 1)(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2u_i)(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

To get  $P \in S(n + 1, \beta)$  we will seek a value of  $x$  so that  $|z| = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}$ , so we will need

$$(5.2) \quad \Re[R] - (1/2)(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2u_i)(1 - \beta)^2 = \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}$$

for both  $i = 1$  and  $i = 2$ .

Substituting the coefficients of equation (5.1) into the formula for  $R$  in Proposition 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= (1 - \beta) + [(nb_1 + b_2)(1 - \beta) + (nD - 2D - 2x)(1 - \beta)^2](\bar{\omega} - 1)/n \\
 (5.3) \quad &+ [-b_2(1 - \beta) + (t_1 + 2D + 2x)(1 - \beta)^2](\bar{\omega}^2 - 1)/(n - 1) \\
 &- (n - 2)b_1b_2(1 - \beta)^2(\bar{\omega}^3 - 1)/(n - 2) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the real parts of equation (5.3) and collecting like powers of  $(1 - \beta)$  gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[R] = & \left[1 + (nb_1 + b_2)(u_i - 1)/n - b_2(2u_i^2 - 2)/(n - 1)\right](1 - \beta) \\ & + \left[ (nD - 2D - 2x)(u_i - 1)/n + (t_1 + 2D + 2x)(2u_i^2 - 2)/(n - 1) \right. \\ & \left. - b_1b_2(4u_i^3 - 3u_i - 1) \right](1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  into this formula, we see from part 7 of Lemma 8 that the coefficient of  $(1 - \beta)$  in  $\Re[R]$  is zero, so to satisfy equation (5.2) we need only find a value of  $x$  such that the coefficient of  $(1 - \beta)^2$  in equation (5.2) is 0. We divide this coefficient by  $u_i - 1$  and denote the result by  $Z_i$ , so

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_i = & (nD - 2D - 2x)/n + (t_1 + 2D + 2x)(2u_i + 2)/(n - 1) \\ & - (n - 1)D_2(1 + D_1 + D_2/n)(4u_i^2 + 4u_i + 1) + (1/2)(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2u_i)/(1 - u_i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the coefficient of  $x$  in  $Z_i$  is  $-2/n + (4u_i + 4)/(n - 1)$ , which is non-zero by part 3 of Lemma 8, so each equation  $Z_i = 0$  has a solution for  $x$ . To show that these solutions are identical, we will show that  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  (considered as linear expressions in the variable  $x$ ) are scalar multiples of each other.

To see this, we eliminate  $x$  by applying the transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  defined in equation (2.3). Since in equation (3.14) we defined  $c_3 = \mathcal{T}(1 + 4u + 4u^2)/(n - 2)$ , then from equations (2.4) we see that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(Z_i) = & nD + t_1 - (n - 1)(n - 2)c_3D_2(1 + D_1 + D_2/n) \\ & + (\Gamma_1/2)(n + nD_1 + D_2) + (\Gamma_2/2)(nD_1 + D_2). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this to the value of  $Q$  defined in equation (3.15), we see that

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{T}(Z_i) = nD + t_1 - Q - (n - 1)(n - 2)D_2(1 + D_1 + D_2/n).$$

Note that by equation (3.21) we have

$$Q = nD + \frac{n(n - 1)}{2}(D_1 + D_2/n)^2 + (n - 1)(n/2 + nD_1 + 2D_2).$$

Substituting the values of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  into our definition of  $t_1$  gives us

$$t_1 = (n - 1)\left[(n/2)(1 + D_1 + D_2/n)^2 + (n - 2)D_2(1 + D_1 + D_2/n) + D_2\right]$$

and so  $Q - t_1 = nD - (n - 1)(n - 2)D_2(1 + D_1 + D_2/n)$ . Substituting this into equation (5.6) gives us  $\mathcal{T}(Z_i) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}(Z_i)$  is a linear combination of  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ , this implies that  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  (considered as polynomials in  $x$ ) are scalar multiples of one another, and so there is a single value of  $x$  that satisfies equation (5.2) for both  $i = 1$  and  $i = 2$ .

Using this value of  $x$ , we have now constructed a real polynomial  $P$  with

$$|P|_\beta = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + D(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^3$$

and such that all roots  $z$  of  $P$  have  $|z| \leq 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}$ . Since the roots of  $P$  approach the roots of  $z^{n+1} - 1$ , then the non- $\beta$  roots of  $P$  are bounded away from  $\beta$ . Thus by Lemma 12, there is a real polynomial  $Q \in S(n + 1, \beta)$  with

$$|Q|_\beta = 1 + (D_1 + D_2/n)(1 - \beta) + D(1 - \beta)^2 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \beta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 7.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. D. Bojanov, Q. I. Rahman and J. Szynal, *On a conjecture of Sendov about the critical points of a polynomial*, Math. Z. **190** (1985), 281–285. MR0797543 (86j:30010)
- [2] ———, *On a conjecture about the critical points of a polynomial*, Delay Equations, Approximation and Application, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1985, 83–93. MR0899090 (88e:30013)
- [3] J. Borcea, *The Sendov conjecture for polynomials with at most seven distinct zeros*, Analysis **16** (1996), 137–159. MR1397576 (97g:30006)
- [4] J. E. Brown and G. Xiang, *Proof of the Sendov conjecture for polynomials of degree at most eight*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **232** (1999), 272–292. MR1683144 (2001b:30007)
- [5] M. Marden, *Geometry of Polynomials*, 3rd Ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1989. MR0225972 (37:1562)
- [6] M. J. Miller, *Maximal polynomials and the Ilieff-Sendov conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **321** (1990), 285–303. MR0965744 (90m:30007)
- [7] ———, *On Sendov's conjecture for roots near the unit circle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **175** (1993), 632–639. MR1219199 (94h:30003)
- [8] ———, *Some maximal polynomials must be nonreal*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **214** (1997), 283–291. MR1645480 (99h:41010)
- [9] Q. I. Rahman, *On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative*, Pacific J. Math. **41** (1972), 525–528. MR0308374 (46:7488)
- [10] Z. Rubinstein, *On a problem of Ilieff*, Pacific J. Math. **26** (1968), 159–161. MR0237753 (38:6034)
- [11] J. V. Uspensky, *Theory of Equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1948.
- [12] V. Vâjâitu and A. Zaharescu, *Ilyeff's conjecture on a corona*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **25** (1993), 49–54. MR1190363 (94h:30004)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LE MOYNE COLLEGE, SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13214  
E-mail address: millermj@mail.lemoyne.edu