

ELLIPTIC PLANAR VECTOR FIELDS WITH DEGENERACIES

ABDELHAMID MEZIANI

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with the normalization of elliptic vector fields in the plane that degenerate along a simple and closed curve. The associated homogeneous equation $Lu = 0$ is studied and an application to a degenerate Beltrami equation is given.

0. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals mainly with the normalization and integrability of a class of smooth complex-valued vector fields in the plane. A vector field L in this class will be assumed to be elliptic throughout except on a closed and simple curve Σ along which it is supposed to be tangent and such that $L \wedge \bar{L}$ vanishes to a constant order on Σ . The questions considered here are those of integrability and normalization of L in a tubular neighborhood of Σ .

We can assume that Σ is the circle $\{0\} \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ and that in a neighborhood of Σ , the vector field L has the expression

$$(0.1) \quad L_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^{n+1}a(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

with $Re(a(0, \theta)) \neq 0$ for every θ . The case $n = 0$ is now well understood (see [CG], [M1], and [M2]). The focus of this paper is then on the case $n \geq 1$.

To achieve a normal form for L_n , we construct a C^∞ -integral of L_n in a ring $A_\delta = (-\delta, \delta) \times S^1$. We use this integral to show that L_n is C^∞ -conjugate to the rotation invariant vector field R_n given by

$$(0.2) \quad R_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i \frac{r^{n+1}}{rP'(r) - nP(r) + \mu r^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P(r)$ is a polynomial with degree at most $n - 1$ and such that $Re(P(0)) < 0$. The polynomial P and μ are uniquely determined by the vector field L_n . It follows, in particular, that two distinct vector fields given by (2) cannot be conjugate. A corresponding C^∞ -integral of R_n is the function

$$(0.3) \quad f_n(r, \theta) = \exp \left(\epsilon(r)^n \left(\frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta \right) \right),$$

with $\epsilon(r) = \frac{r}{|r|}$. Note that since $Re(P(0)) < 0$, $f_n \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ (for δ small enough) and that it vanishes to infinite order along Σ .

Received by the editors January 13, 2003 and, in revised form, December 23, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35F05; Secondary 30G20.

Key words and phrases. Beltrami equation, CR equation, elliptic vector field, normalization.

Our motivation for seeking such normal forms is in the subsequent study of the pde's related to the structures defined by L . The normal forms allow us to write the equations in such a way that they can be analyzed. Related papers about solvability of vector fields near the characteristic set include [BCH], [BCP], [BgM1], [BgM2], [BhM1], [BhM2], [M1], [M2], [NT], [T1], [T2] and many others (see the extensive list of references contained in [T2]).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 1, we set the preliminaries and recall the main results of [CG], [M1] and [M2] about the case $n = 0$. In Section 2, we construct a unique series that is a formal solution of the equation $L_n u = 0$. The series has the form

$$(0.4) \quad \frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\theta)r^j ,$$

with $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, P a polynomial with degree $\leq n - 1$, and $f_j \in C^\infty(S^1)$ (or in $C^\omega(S^1)$ when L is real analytic). In general, the series $\sum f_j(\theta)r^j$ appearing in (4) diverges for every $r \neq 0$. This is illustrated by an example in Section 3. In order to construct a nonconstant C^∞ solution of $L_n u = 0$, we study, in Section 4, particular CR equations. Namely, equations of the form

$$(0.5) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{f(z)}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu(z) \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

where the coefficients $f(z)$ and $\mu(z)$ are functions of order $o(\log^{-q} \frac{1}{|z|})$ for every $q > 0$. In Section 5, we use the series (4) and results obtained in Section 4 to construct a C^∞ -integral for L_n . The normal form (3) for L_n is obtained in Section 6. The kernel of the operator R_n is studied in Section 7. We prove that all C^0 -solutions of $R_n u = 0$, in a neighborhood of the circle $r = 0$, are C^∞ functions. This result does not have a local counterpart. Indeed, for every $p \in \Sigma$, the equation $R_n u = 0$ has continuous solutions defined in a neighborhood of p that are not C^∞ . For the distribution solutions, we show that if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ solves $R_n u = 0$ and has support in Σ , then there are constants c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} such that

$$(0.6) \quad \langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta , \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta).$$

In the last section we make use of the normalization of the vector L_0 to study a degenerate Beltrami equation.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND FIRST ORDER CASE

In this section, we give the preliminary settings and recall the normalization for the case $n = 0$. Let

$$(1.1) \quad L = a(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

be a vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 . We assume that the coefficients a and b , are C^∞ or C^ω functions, are \mathbb{C} -valued and that they do not vanish simultaneously. Let \bar{L} be the complex conjugate vector field

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{L} = \bar{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \bar{b} dy.$$

The vector field L is said to be elliptic at a point p if L and \bar{L} are independent at p . If L is elliptic at each point of an open set Ω , then it is equivalent in Ω to the CR vector field

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Denote by Σ the characteristic set of L . That is, the set of points where L fails to be elliptic:

$$(1.3) \quad \Sigma = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^2; L \text{ and } \bar{L} \text{ are independent}\}.$$

We make the following assumptions

- (H1) Σ is a simple and closed curve;
- (H2) L is tangent to Σ at each point $p \in \Sigma$;
- (H3) $L \wedge \bar{L}$ vanishes to a constant order $n + 1$ along Σ .

It follows from the local representation of such vector fields (see [T1] or [T2]) that for each given $p \in \Sigma$, there are coordinates (s, t) , centered at p , such that in a neighborhood of the point p , the vector field L is a multiple of

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - is^{n+1} \alpha(s, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$$

some real-valued function α satisfying $\alpha(0) \neq 0$. It follows at once, that L satisfies the Nirenberg-Treves condition (P) at each point on Σ (see [NT] or [T1] or [T2]). These vector fields are thus locally integrable and locally solvable. In fact, the function α of (1.4) can be assumed to be identically equal to 1 (see [CG]). Thus, a vector field L satisfying hypotheses (H1), (H2), and (H3) can be viewed as follows:

in a neighborhood of a point $p \notin \Sigma$, L is equivalent to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ and in a neighborhood of a point $p \in \Sigma$, L is equivalent to $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - ix^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. These vector fields are therefore well understood when viewed locally. Their global behavior is, however, more complicated. Our aim here is to obtain normal forms for L in a tubular neighborhood of the characteristic set Σ .

From the assumption (H1), we can assume that Σ is a circle, that L is defined in $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$, and that

$$(1.5) \quad \Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1.$$

Let

$$(1.6) \quad L = \alpha(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \beta(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

where (r, θ) are the coordinates in $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. It follows from hypotheses (H2) and (H3) that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that in the ring

$$(1.7) \quad A_\delta = (-\delta, \delta) \times S^1$$

the vector field L is a multiple of a vector field L_n of the form

$$(1.8) \quad L_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^{n+1} a(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

for some $a \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ satisfying $Re(a(r, \theta)) \neq 0$ for every $(r, \theta) \in A_\delta$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$(1.9) \quad Re(a(r, \theta)) > 0 \quad \forall (r, \theta) \in A_\delta.$$

The linear case $n = 0$ was studied in [M1] and [M2] and the study was completed in [CG]. It is proved in [M1] and [M2] that the complex number

$$(1.10) \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} a(0, \theta) d\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}$$

is an invariant that characterizes L_0 . It is shown in [M2] that if $Im\lambda \neq 0$, then for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a C^k -diffeomorphism of A_δ that transforms L_0 to a multiple of the vector field

$$(1.11) \quad T_\lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

When $Im\lambda = 0$ (i.e., $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$), it is also proved in [M2] that L_0 is equivalent to T_λ but only under a $C^{1+\sigma}$ -diffeomorphism for some $0 < \sigma < 1$. In [CG], the above result about C^k equivalence is extended to include the case $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

In the real analytic category, it is proved in [M1] that L_0 is C^ω -equivalent to T_λ , if the equation $L_0 u = 0$ has a nonconstant C^ω solution. This is equivalent to saying that the holonomy group of Σ is periodic. It is proved in [CG] that, when $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and λ satisfies a certain diophantine condition (Bruno condition), the vector field L_0 is C^ω -equivalent to T_λ . It is also proved that there are C^ω vector fields L_0 with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ not satisfying the Bruno condition such that L_0 is not C^ω equivalent to T_λ .

2. FORMAL INTEGRABILITY

We show that a vector field L_n as in (1.8) has a formal integral. First, we rewrite the vector field in more suitable coordinates.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a C^∞ change of coordinates that transforms L_n into a multiple of*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^{n+1}(c_0 + c(r, \theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

where $c_0 = 1 + i\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $c(r, \theta) \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ satisfying $c(0, \theta) \equiv 0$. (The change of coordinates is C^ω when L is C^ω .)

Proof. With L_n as in (1.8), consider the 1-form ω given by

$$(2.2) \quad \omega = dr + ir^{n+1}a(r, \theta)d\theta.$$

With our assumption $Rea(r, \theta) > 0$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} a(0, \theta) d\theta = a + ib \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}.$$

Since $n > 0$, we can replace r by $r_1 = \sqrt[n]{ar}$ in such a way that in the new coordinates (r_1, θ) we have $Re(\lambda) = 1$. Hence, from now on we can assume that

$$(2.4) \quad a(r, \theta) = 1 + ib_0 + \gamma_1(\theta) + i\gamma_2(\theta) + ra_1(r, \theta),$$

where $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_1 \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$, and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C^\infty(S^1)$ are \mathbb{R} -valued and have averages on S^1 equal to 0, i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \gamma_k(\theta) d\theta = 0, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Consider the new angle ϕ defined by

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(\theta) = \theta + \int_0^\theta \gamma_1(s) ds.$$

It follows from the hypothesis on a that $\phi'(\theta) > 0$ and from (2.5) that $\phi(\theta + 2\pi) = \phi(\theta) + 2\pi$. With respect to the coordinates (r, ϕ) , the form ω has the expression

$$(2.7) \quad \omega = dr + ir^{n+1}(1 + i\beta - i\chi(\phi) + O(r))d\phi,$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\chi \in C^\infty(S^1)$, real valued and with zero average on S^1 . Consider the new variables (ρ, ϕ) in A_δ , where

$$(2.8) \quad \rho = \frac{r}{\sqrt[n]{1 - nr^n m(\phi)}} \quad \text{with} \quad m(\phi) = \int_0^\phi \chi(s) ds.$$

A calculation shows that

$$dr + r^{n+1}\chi(\phi) = \frac{d\rho}{\sqrt[n]{1 + n\rho^n m(\phi)^{n+1}}}.$$

In the (ρ, ϕ) coordinates, the form ω is a multiple of

$$d\rho + i\rho^{n+1}(1 + i\beta + O(\rho))d\phi.$$

Consequently, L_n is a multiple of a vector field given by (2.1). □

From now on, we will assume that L_n is given by (2.1). We will show that L_n has a formal first integral. More precisely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let L_n be as in (2.1). Then there exist unique constants $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_{-n}, \dots, \alpha_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ and a unique sequence of functions $f_j(\theta) \in C^\infty(S^1)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that the series*

$$(2.9) \quad f(r, \theta) = \frac{\alpha_{-n}}{r^n} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{r} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(\theta)r^j$$

solves formally the equation $L_n f = 0$.

Remark 2.1. By a formal solution of the equation $L_n u = 0$, we mean the following. For each $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the function f_N defined by

$$(2.10) \quad f_N(r, \theta) = \frac{\alpha_{-n}}{r^n} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{r} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^N f_j(\theta)r^j$$

satisfies $L_n f_N = o(r^N)$.

Remark 2.2. When L_n is real analytic, the functions $f_j(\theta) \in C^\omega(S^1)$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. The Taylor expansion of the coefficient of L_n given by (2.1) is

$$(2.11) \quad T_0(c_0 + c(r, \theta)) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty c_j(\theta)r^j,$$

with $c_j(\theta) = \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j c}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta)$. We write

$$(2.12) \quad c_j(\theta) = c_j^0 + \gamma_j(\theta),$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad c_j^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} c_j(\theta) d\theta.$$

Note that $c_0 = 1 + i\beta$, $\gamma_0 = 0$, and that since for $j \geq 1$, the average of $\gamma_j(\theta)$ on S^1 is zero, then

$$(2.14) \quad \int_0^\theta \gamma_j(s) ds \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

In order for the series (2.9) to formally satisfy $L_n u = 0$, we need to have

$$(2.15) \quad i + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f'_j(\theta) r^j - i r^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (c_l^0 + \gamma_l(\theta)) r^l \left[\frac{-n\alpha_{-n}}{r^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{-\alpha_{-1}}{r^2} + \frac{\mu}{r} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j f_j(\theta) r^{j-1} \right] = 0.$$

After grouping like terms and equating to zero the coefficient of r^m , we obtain the following equations:

$$(2.16) \quad 1 + n\alpha_{-n}c_0 = 0, \quad \text{for } m = 0;$$

$$(2.17) \quad f'_m + \sum_{k=n-m}^n ik\alpha_{-k}(c_{m-n+k}^0 + \gamma_{m-n+k}) = 0, \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, n-1;$$

$$(2.18) \quad f'_n - ic_0\mu + \sum_{k=1}^n ik\alpha_{-k}(c_k^0 + \gamma_k) = 0, \quad \text{for } m = n;$$

$$(2.19) \quad f'_m - i\mu(c_{m-n}^0 + \gamma_{m-n}) + \sum_{k=1}^n (c_{m-n+k}^0 + \gamma_{m-n+k}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-n} ikf_k(c_{m-n-k}^0 + \gamma_{m-n-k}) = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq n+1.$$

It follows from (2.16) that

$$(2.20) \quad \alpha_{-n} = \frac{-1}{nc_0}$$

is uniquely determined. We set $m = 1$ in (2.17) to obtain

$$(2.21) \quad f'(\theta) = -i((n-1)\alpha_{-(n-1)}c_0 + n\alpha_{-n}(c_1^0 + \gamma_1(\theta))).$$

It follows from (2.14) that this equation has a 2π -periodic solution $f_1(\theta)$ if and only if

$$(2.22) \quad (n-1)c_0\alpha_{-(n-1)} + n\alpha_{-n}c_1^0 = 0.$$

This determines $\alpha_{-(n-1)}$ uniquely. For this value of $\alpha_{-(n-1)}$, the function $f_1 \in C^\infty(S^1)$ is determined up to an additive constant of integration K_1 . By induction, suppose that there are unique constants $\alpha_{-n}, \dots, \alpha_{-l}$, with $l < n-1$ so that the differential equations in (2.17) for $m = 1, \dots, l$ have 2π -periodic solutions f_1, \dots, f_l that are determined up to additive constants K_1, \dots, K_l . For $m = l+1$, we obtain the equation

$$(2.23) \quad f'_{l+1}(\theta) = -i(n-(l+1))\alpha_{-(n-(l+1))}c_0 - \sum_{k=n-l}^n ik\alpha_{-k}(c_{l+1-n+k}^0 + \gamma_{l+1-n+k}(\theta)).$$

It follows from (2.14) that equation (2.23) has a 2π -periodic solution f_{l+1} (determined up to an additive constant) for the unique value of $\alpha_{-(n-(l+1))}$ given by

$$(2.24) \quad (n - (l + 1))c_0\alpha_{-(n-(l+1))} + \sum_{k=n-l}^n k\alpha_{-k}c_{l+1-n+k}^0 = 0.$$

This shows that there are unique constants $\alpha_{-n}, \dots, \alpha_{-1}$ so that equations (2.17) have 2π -periodic solutions f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} that are determined up to additive constants.

Now that $\alpha_{-n}, \dots, \alpha_{-1}$ are determined, there is a unique constant μ given by

$$(2.25) \quad -c_0\mu + \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_{-k}c_k^0 = 0$$

so that the equation (2.18) has a 2π -periodic solution $f_n(\theta)$.

For $m = n + 1$, equation (2.19) has a 2π -periodic solution $f_{n+1}(\theta)$ if and only if

$$(2.26) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\mu c_1^0 + \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_{-k}c_{1+k}^0 - f_1(\theta)c_0 \right) d\theta = 0.$$

There is a unique choice of the constant K_1 for which equation (2.26) holds. For this choice of K_1 (so f_1 is now uniquely determined), f_{n+1} is determined up to an additive constant. By induction, suppose the functions f_1, \dots, f_l are uniquely determined so that equations (2.19) have 2π -periodic solutions f_{n+1}, \dots, f_{n+l} determined up to additive constants. The equation for $m = n + l + 1$ has a 2π -periodic solution f_{n+l+1} if and only if

$$(2.27) \quad (l + 1)c_0 \int_0^{2\pi} f_{l+1}(\theta)d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(-\mu c_{l+1}^0 + \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_{-k}c_{l+1+k}^0 \right) d\theta + \sum_{k=1}^l \int_0^{2\pi} k f_k(\theta)(c_{l+1-k}^0 + \gamma_{l+1-k}(\theta))d\theta.$$

There is a unique constant K_{l+1} (so f_{l+1} is uniquely determined) for which (2.28) holds. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

3. AN EXAMPLE

We give an example of a real analytic vector field with $n = 1$ for which the series solution constructed in the previous section diverges for every $r \neq 0$. Consider the vector field

$$(3.1) \quad L_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^2(1 + re^{i\theta})\frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *For the vector field L_1 of (3.1), the series*

$$(3.2) \quad f(r, \theta) = \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{r} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\theta)r^j,$$

with $f_j \in C^\infty(S^1)$, solves formally $L_1 u = 0$, if and only if

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{-1} = -1, \quad \mu = 0, \quad f_1(\theta) = e^{i\theta} \quad \text{and} \\ f_j(\theta) = (j-1)!e^{i\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} f_{jk}e^{ik\theta}, \quad \text{for } j = 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where f_{jk} are constants. Consequently, the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\theta)r^j$ diverges for every $r \neq 0$.

Proof. It follows at once from $L_1 u = 0$ that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} i(1 + \alpha_{-1}) + (f'_1 + i\alpha_{-1}e^{i\theta} - i\mu)r + (f'_2 - i\mu e^{i\theta} - if_1)r^2 \\ + \sum_{m \geq 3} (f'_m - i(m-1)f_{m-1} - i(m-2)e^{i\theta}f_{m-2})r^m = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha_{-1} = -1$ and then

$$(3.5) \quad f'_1(\theta) - ie^{i\theta} - i\mu = 0$$

has a 2π -periodic solution only when $\mu = 0$. In this case

$$(3.6) \quad f_1(\theta) = e^{i\theta} + K_1.$$

By equating the coefficient of r^2 to 0, we get

$$(3.7) \quad f'_2(\theta) = if_1(\theta) = ie^{i\theta} + iK_1.$$

This equation has a 2π -periodic solution if $K_1 = 0$ and then

$$(3.8) \quad f_2(\theta) = e^{i\theta} + K_2.$$

By equating the coefficient of r^3 to zero, we see that f_3 exists only when $K_2 = 0$ and then

$$(3.9) \quad f_3(\theta) = 2e^{i\theta} + \frac{1}{2}e^{2i\theta} + K_3.$$

By induction, suppose that f_j has the expression given in (3.3) for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, then it follows from (3.4) that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} f'_m(\theta) &= (m-1)if_{m-1}(\theta) + (m-2)ie^{i\theta}f_{m-2}(\theta) \\ &= (m-1)!ie^{i\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} d_{mk}e^{ik\theta} \end{aligned}$$

with d_{mk} constants. Expression (3.3) for f_m follows at once.

To complete the proof of the proposition, observe that if $\sum f_j(\theta)r^j$ has positive radius of convergence, then the function

$$(3.11) \quad M(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\theta)r^j \right) e^{-i\theta} d\theta$$

would be real analytic at $r = 0$. But it follows from (3.3) that

$$(3.12) \quad M(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j-1)!r^j$$

with radius of convergence equal to zero. □

4. SOME RESULTS ABOUT THE CR OPERATOR

We will prove some results about the CR equation that will be needed to construct a C^∞ integral for L_n . Consider the space of functions defined in the disc $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(4.1) \quad E_R = \{f \in C^\infty(\overline{D(0, R)} \setminus \{0\}); f(z) = o(\log^{-q} \frac{1}{|z|}) \quad \forall q > 0\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f \in E_R$ and let*

$$(4.2) \quad g(z) = \int \int_{D(0, R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^2(\zeta - z)} d\xi d\eta,$$

where $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$. Then there is $R_1 < R$ such that $zg(z) \in E_{R_1}$.

Proof. Since $f \in E_R$, then it is not difficult to see that g is C^∞ for $z \neq 0$. We need only to show that for a given $q > 0$, $zg(z) = o(\log^{-q} \frac{1}{|z|})$. Let

$$(4.3) \quad D(0, R) = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3 \cup \Delta_4,$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= D(0, \frac{|z|}{4}), & \Delta_2 &= D(z, \frac{|z|}{4}), \\ \Delta_3 &= \{\zeta : \frac{|z|}{4} < |\zeta - z| < \frac{|z|}{4} \log^{q+1} \frac{1}{|z|} \text{ and } |\zeta| > \frac{|z|}{4}\}, \\ \Delta_4 &= \{\zeta : \frac{|z|}{4} < |\zeta| < R \text{ and } |\zeta - z| > \frac{|z|}{4} \log^{q+1} \frac{1}{|z|}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |zg(z)| &\leq |z|I_1 + |z|I_2 + |z|I_3 + |z|I_4 \quad \text{with} \\ I_j &= \int \int_{\Delta_j} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2|\zeta - z|} d\xi d\eta \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the lemma, we need only to show that

$$(4.6) \quad \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 0} |z|I_j \log^q \frac{1}{|z|} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

For $\zeta \in \Delta_1$, we have $|\zeta - z| > |z| - |\zeta| > \frac{3}{4}|z|$ and so

$$(4.7) \quad I_1 \leq \frac{4}{3|z|} \int \int_{\Delta_1} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2} d\xi d\eta.$$

Since $f \in E_R$, then for every $s > 0$ there exists $C_s > 0$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad |f(\zeta)| \leq C_s \log^{-s} \frac{1}{|\zeta|}, \quad \forall \zeta \in D(0, R).$$

Hence,

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{4C_{q+2}}{3|z|} \int \int_{\Delta_1} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta|^2 \log^{q+2} \frac{1}{|\zeta|}} \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi C_{q+2}}{3|z|} \int_0^{|z|/4} \frac{dr}{r \log^{q+2} \frac{1}{r}} = \frac{8\pi C_{q+2}}{3|z|(q+1)} \log^{-(q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \end{aligned}$$

and (4.6) holds for $j = 1$.

For $\zeta \in \Delta_2$, we have $\frac{|z|}{4} < |\zeta| < \frac{5}{4}|z|$. Thus,

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} < \frac{16}{|z|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} < \log^{-1} \frac{4}{5|z|}.$$

It follows that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \frac{16C_{q+1}}{|z|^2} \left(\log^{-(q+1)} \frac{4}{5|z|} \right) \iint_{\Delta_2} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta - z|} \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi C_{q+1}}{|z|} \log^{-(q+1)} \frac{4}{5|z|} \end{aligned}$$

and (4.6) holds for $j = 2$.

For $\zeta \in \Delta_3$, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} \leq \frac{16}{|z|^2}.$$

We also have

$$(4.13) \quad |\zeta| \leq |z| + |\zeta - z| \leq |z|(1 + \frac{1}{4} \log^q \frac{1}{|z|}) \leq \sqrt{|z|}$$

(we are assuming $|z|$ small). Thus

$$(4.14) \quad \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \leq 2 \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|z|}$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C_{2q+1} \iint_{\Delta_3} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2 |\zeta - z|} \left(\log^{-(2q+1)} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \right) d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{16C_{2q+1}}{|z|^2} 2^{2q+1} \left(\log^{-(2q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \iint_{\Delta_3} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta - z|}. \end{aligned}$$

Using polar coordinates in the last integral, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \iint_{\Delta_3} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta - z|} \leq 2\pi \int_{|z|/4}^{(|z|/4) \log^q \frac{1}{|z|}} dr = \frac{|z|}{4} (\log^q \frac{1}{|z|} - 1).$$

Therefore,

$$(4.17) \quad I_3 \leq \frac{8\pi C_{2q+1} 2^{2q+1}}{|z|} \left(\log^{-(2q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \left(\log^q \frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right)$$

and (4.6) holds for $j = 3$.

Finally, for $\zeta \in \Delta_4$, we use

$$|\zeta - z| > \frac{|z|}{4} \log^{q+1} \frac{1}{|z|}$$

to obtain

$$(4.18) \quad I_4 \leq \frac{4}{|z|} \left(\log^{-(q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \iint_{\Delta_4} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2} d\xi d\eta \leq \frac{4B}{|z|} \left(\log^{-(q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \right),$$

where

$$(4.19) \quad B = \iint_{D(0,R)} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2} d\xi d\eta < \infty.$$

Therefore (4.6) holds for $j = 4$ and the lemma is proved. □

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in E_R$. Then the CR equation*

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{f(z)}{z}$$

has a solution $w \in E_R$.

Remark 4.1. Note that, in general, for $f \in E_R$, the function $\frac{f}{z} \in L^2$ but $\frac{f}{z} \notin L^p$ for any $p > 2$. Hence the classical results about the solvability of the inhomogeneous CR equation cannot be applied here.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The function

$$(4.21) \quad v(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta \in C^\infty(D(0,R) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^1(D(0,R)).$$

That v is in $C^\infty(D(0,R) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^\sigma(D(0,R))$ for any $0 < \sigma < 1$ follows from classical theory (see [V], Chapter 1); that v is C^1 at 0 follows from a result of [B], Chapter 2. We have

$$(4.22) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\xi d\eta.$$

Let

$$(4.23) \quad u(z) = v(z) - v(0) - \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}(0)z = \frac{-z^2}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^2(\zeta - z)} d\xi d\eta.$$

The function u solves

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} = f(z).$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 4.1 and from (4.24) that the function

$$w(z) = \frac{u(z)}{z} \in E_R$$

and solves equation (4.20). □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f \in E_R$. The function*

$$(4.25) \quad Pf(z) = \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\xi d\eta,$$

where the singular integral is understood in the sense of the Cauchy principal value, satisfies

$$(4.26) \quad Pf(z) - Pf(0) \in E_R.$$

Proof. We know that if $f \in E_R$, then Pf is C^∞ away from 0 (see [V], Chapter 1). To prove the lemma, we need only to show that for a given $q > 0$,

$$(4.27) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (Pf(z) - Pf(0)) \log^q \frac{1}{|z|} = 0.$$

We can rewrite (see [V], page 58)

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} Pf(z) - Pf(0) &= -z \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{(\zeta - z)^2 \zeta} d\xi d\eta \\ &\quad - z \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^2(\zeta - z)} d\xi d\eta - \pi f(z) \frac{\bar{z}}{z} \end{aligned}$$

(in fact, in [V] there is an additional term defined by an integral over the boundary which is equal to zero in our case since $\partial D(0, R)$ is the circle). We then have

$$(4.29) \quad |Pf(z) - Pf(0)| \leq |z|I_1 + |z|I_2 + \pi|f(z)|,$$

where

$$(4.30) \quad I_1 = \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(z)|}{|\zeta - z|^2|\zeta|} d\xi d\eta \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2|\zeta - z|} d\xi d\eta.$$

Since $f \in E_R$, to prove the lemma, we need only to show that

$$(4.31) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} |z|I_k \log^q \frac{1}{|z|} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2.$$

For $k = 2$, (4.31) holds by Lemma 4.1. To prove it for $k = 1$, notice that since $f \in E_R$, then

$$(4.32) \quad |f(\zeta) - f(z)| = o(\log^{-q} \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|}) \quad \forall q > 0$$

uniformly in z . Hence for $|z| < \frac{r}{2}$, we have

$$(4.33) \quad I_1 = \int \int_{D(z,R)} \frac{f(\tau + z) - f(z)}{|\tau|^2|\tau + z|} dsdt \leq \int \int_{D(0,2R)} \frac{|h(\tau, z)|}{|\tau|^2|\tau + z|} dsdt,$$

where we have set $\tau = s + it$ and $h(\tau, z) = f(z + \tau) - f(z)$. It follows from (4.32) that $h(\cdot, z) \in E_R$ and so (4.31) follows again from Lemma 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mu(z) \in E_R$. The Beltrami equation*

$$(4.34) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu(z) \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

has a solution of the form

$$(4.35) \quad w(z) = z(1 + K(z))$$

with $K(z) \in E_R$.

Proof. It follows from classical results that any solution of (4.34) is C^∞ away from 0 (for R small enough) and it follows from [B] (Chapter 3) that equation (4.34) has a C^1 solution that is a local diffeomorphism at 0. The local diffeomorphism can be constructed as follows (see [V], Chapter 2). Let

$$(4.36) \quad w(z) = z + Tf(z),$$

with f satisfying the integral equation

$$(4.37) \quad f(z) - \mu(z)\Pi f(z) = \mu(z),$$

where T and Π are the integral operators

$$(4.38) \quad Tf(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta,$$

$$\Pi f(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\xi d\eta.$$

Furthermore, the function f is obtained as the limit of the sequence f_n defined by

$$(4.39) \quad f_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{n+1}(z) = \mu(z)\Pi f_n(z) + \mu(z) \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0.$$

Each f_n is in E_R and so is f . The function

$$(4.40) \quad v(z) = \frac{Tf(z) - Tf(0)}{z} = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta(\zeta - z)} d\xi d\eta$$

solves the equation

$$(4.41) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{f}{z}.$$

It follows from Theorem 4.1 that

$$(4.42) \quad K_1(z) = v(z) - v(0) \in E_R.$$

Hence,

$$(4.43) \quad w(z) = z + Tf(z) - Tf(0)$$

has the desired form. □

5. A C^∞ INTEGRAL

We construct here a C^∞ integral for L_n defined in a tubular neighborhood of the characteristic circle. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let L_n be as in (2.1) and for $\delta > 0$ let*

$$(5.1) \quad A_\delta = (-\delta, \delta) \times S^1, \quad A_\delta^+ = (0, \delta) \times S^1, \quad A_\delta^- = (-\delta, 0) \times S^1.$$

Then there is $\delta > 0$ and $h \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ such that

- (i) h is flat along the circle $\{r = 0\}$;
- (ii) $h : A_\delta^\pm \rightarrow h(A_\delta^\pm)$ is a diffeomorphism; and
- (iii) $L_n h = 0$.

The rest of the section deals with the proof of this theorem. Let

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(\theta)r^j$$

be the series constructed in Section 2, where P is the polynomial of degree $\leq n - 1$ given by

$$(5.3) \quad P(r) = \alpha_{-n} + \alpha_{-n+1}r + \dots + \alpha_{-1}r^{n-1}.$$

Note that

$$(5.4) \quad P(0) = \frac{-1}{nc_0} = \frac{-1}{n(1+i\beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re}(P(0)) = \frac{-1}{n(1+\beta^2)} < 0.$$

Let $g(r, \theta) \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ be such that

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\partial^j g}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) = j!f_j(\theta), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Thus the Taylor series of g with respect to r is $\sum f_j(\theta)r^j$. Let

$$(5.6) \quad m(r, \theta) = \frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + g(r, \theta).$$

The function m is C^∞ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for $r \neq 0$ small, and it satisfies

$$(5.7) \quad m(r, \theta + 2\pi) = m(r, \theta) + 2\pi \quad \forall (r, \theta).$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 and from (5.5) that $L_n m$ is flat along $r = 0$. That is,

$$(5.8) \quad L_n m(r, \theta) = o(r^q) \quad \forall q > 0.$$

Define a function $f \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ by

$$(5.9) \quad f(r, \theta) = \exp(\epsilon(r)^n m(r, \theta)),$$

where $\epsilon(r) = \frac{r}{|r|}$. The function f satisfies

$$(5.10) \quad |f(r, \theta)| = 0(\exp(\frac{Re(P(0))}{|r|^n})).$$

Consequently, f vanishes to infinite order along $r = 0$ since $Re(P(0)) < 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that the maps*

$$(5.11) \quad f : A_\delta^\pm \longrightarrow f(A_\delta^\pm),$$

defined in (5.9), are diffeomorphisms.

Proof. We will prove the lemma for A_δ^+ . We need only to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ for which f is injective in A_δ^+ . Consider the equation

$$(5.12) \quad f(r, \theta) = f(\rho, \phi).$$

By equating the real and imaginary parts we obtain

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{P_1(r) + \mu_1 r^n \log r + r^n g_1(r, \theta)}{r^n} &= \frac{P_1(\rho) + \mu_1 \rho^n \log \rho + \rho^n g_1(\rho, \phi)}{\rho^n}, \\ \theta + \frac{P_2(r) + \mu_2 r^n \log r + r^n g_2(r, \theta)}{r^n} &= \phi + \frac{P_2(\rho) + \mu_2 \rho^n \log \rho + \rho^n g_2(\rho, \phi)}{\rho^n} \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2, \quad P = P_1 + iP_2, \quad \text{and} \quad g = g_1 + ig_2.$$

The first equation of (5.13) can be rewritten as

$$(5.14) \quad \frac{r}{\sqrt[n]{-P_1(r) - \mu_1 r^n \log r - r^n g_1(r, \theta)}} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt[n]{-P_1(\rho) - \mu_1 \rho^n \log \rho - \rho^n g_1(\rho, \phi)}}.$$

We have then, from the implicit function theorem, that for δ small enough (5.13) has a solution of the form

$$(5.15) \quad \rho = r(1 + r\alpha(r, \theta)) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \theta + r\beta(r, \theta).$$

Now, it can be proved that f is a local diffeomorphism in a neighborhood of each point (r, θ) with $r \neq 0$. This, together with (5.15), imply that the functions α and β are identically zero and so f is injective on A_δ^+ . A similar argument shows that f is also injective on A_δ^- . □

Since f is flat along $r = 0$, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists $R = R(\delta)$ such that

$$(5.16) \quad (D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}) \subset f(A_\delta^\pm) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Let

$$(5.17) \quad L^\pm = f_* L_n$$

be the pushforward to $f(A_\delta^\pm)$ of the vector field L_n via f .

Lemma 5.2. *There exist a function $A(z) \in E_R$, where E_R is the space of functions defined in (4.1), and a function $B(z)$ with*

$$B \in C^\infty(D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}) \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) \log^{1/n} \left(\frac{1}{|z|} \right) \text{ is bounded}$$

such that the vector field L^+ defined by (5.17) can be expressed as

$$(5.18) \quad L^+ = zA(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{2i}{c_0} \bar{z} (1 + B(z)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

A similar expression holds for L^- .

Proof. Since $z = f(r, \theta)$, then it follows from (5.10) that there exist positive constants a and b such that

$$(5.19) \quad a \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\kappa}{r}\right)^n\right) < |z| < b \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\kappa}{r}\right)^n\right),$$

where we have set $\kappa = \sqrt[n]{-P_1(0)}$. Equivalently,

$$(5.20) \quad \kappa \log^{-\frac{1}{n}} \frac{b}{|z|} < r < \kappa \log^{-\frac{1}{n}} \frac{a}{|z|}.$$

Let

$$(5.21) \quad L^+ = X(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + Y(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$$

where

$$(5.22) \quad X(z) = (L_n f)(f^{-1}(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad Y(z) = (L_n \bar{f})(f^{-1}(z)).$$

Using $f(r, \theta) = \exp m(r, \theta)$, we get

$$(5.23) \quad L_n f = f L_n m \quad \text{and} \quad L_n \bar{f} = \bar{f} L_n \bar{m}.$$

We know that $L_n m$ is flat along $r = 0$, and

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} L_n \bar{m} &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i r^{n+1} (c_0 + O(r)) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{P}(r)}{r^n} - i\theta + \bar{\mu} \log r + O(r) \right) \\ &= -i + i n c_0 \bar{P}(0) + O(r) = \frac{-2i}{c_0} + O(r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from (5.22), (5.23) and (5.24) that

$$(5.25) \quad X(z) = zA(z) \quad \text{and} \quad Y(z) = \frac{-2i}{c_0} \bar{z} (1 + B(z)).$$

That $A \in E_R$ follows from (5.23), (5.20) and (5.8). That B satisfies the conditions of the lemma follows from (5.22), (5.24), and (5.20). \square

We are going to construct a solution to the equation $L_n u = 0$ in A_δ^+ in the form $u = f(r, \theta)(1 + k(r, \theta))$, where f is defined by (5.9) and where k is a C^∞ function vanishing to infinite order along $r = 0$. The function k will be defined as

$$(5.26) \quad k(r, \theta) = K \circ f(r, \theta)$$

where $K(z)$ is a solution of the equation

$$(5.27) \quad L^+(z(1 + K(z))) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D(0, R),$$

and where L^+ is defined in (5.18).

By using the expression of L^+ given in Lemma 5.2, we find that the function $U = \log(1 + K)$ must solve the equation

$$(5.28) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{M(z)}{\bar{z}} + \frac{z}{\bar{z}} M(z) \frac{\partial U}{\partial z},$$

where we have set

$$(5.29) \quad M(z) = \frac{\bar{c}_0 A(z)}{2i(1 + B(z))} \in E_R.$$

To solve (5.28), we first consider the Beltrami equation

$$(5.30) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{z}{\bar{z}} M(z) \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}.$$

Since this equation has a coefficient in E_R , then it follows from Theorem 4.2 that it has a solution w of the form

$$(5.31) \quad w(z) = z(1 + s(z)) \quad \text{with} \quad s \in E_R.$$

With respect to the new complex variable w , equation (5.28) becomes

$$(5.32) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{N(w)}{\bar{w}},$$

where

$$(5.33) \quad N(w) = \frac{\bar{w} M}{\bar{z}(1 - |M|^2)\bar{w}_z}.$$

Hence, $N \in E_R$ and by Theorem 4.1, equation (5.32) has a solution $U(w) \in E_R$. The function

$$(5.34) \quad K(z) = \exp(U(w(z))) - 1 \in E_R$$

solves (5.27) and consequently, the function $k(r, \theta)$ given by (5.26) is flat along $r = 0$ (thanks to (5.20)) and

$$(5.35) \quad L_n(f(r, \theta)(1 + k(r, \theta))) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad A_\delta^+.$$

A similar argument gives a solution to the equation $L_n u = 0$ in A_δ^- of the form $u = f(1 + \hat{k})$ with \hat{k} flat along $r = 0$. We define h in A_δ by

$$(5.36) \quad h(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} f(r, \theta)(1 + k(r, \theta)) & \text{if } r \geq 0, \\ f(r, \theta)(1 + \hat{k}(r, \theta)) & \text{if } r \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the construction of k and \hat{k} that if δ is small enough, then h satisfies all properties of Theorem 5.1. This completes the proof.

Remark 5.1. The integral $h(r, \theta)$ constructed above has the form

$$(5.37) \quad h(r, \theta) = \exp \left(\epsilon(r)^n \left(\frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + l(r, \theta) \right) \right)$$

with $l \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ and $l(0, \theta) = 0$. In general, l is not real analytic, even when L_n is real analytic (see Section 3).

6. NORMALIZATION

We make use of the first integral constructed in the previous section to find a normal form for the vector field L_n .

Theorem 6.1. *Let L_n be a vector field as in (2.1). Then there exists a unique polynomial $P(r)$ with $Re(P(0)) < 0$ and of degree $\leq n - 1$, and there exists a complex number μ such that L_n is C^∞ -conjugate in a ring A_δ to the vector field*

$$(6.1) \quad R_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i \frac{r^{n+1}}{rP'(r) - nP(r) + \mu r^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} ,$$

with a C^∞ integral given by

$$(6.2) \quad f_n(r, \theta) = \exp \left(\epsilon(r)^n \left(\frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta \right) \right) ,$$

where $\epsilon(r) = \frac{r}{|r|}$.

To prove the theorem, we use the first integral $h(r, \theta)$ given by (5.37). Our aim is to find new coordinates in which the function $l(r, \theta)$ is identically zero. Let

$$(6.3) \quad A(r) = \frac{P(r)}{r^n} + i\mu \log |r| .$$

We decompose the functions into their real and imaginary parts:

$$(6.4) \quad A = A_1 + iA_2, \quad P = P_1 + iP_2, \quad l = l_1 + il_2, \quad \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2.$$

Lemma 6.1. *The equation*

$$(6.5) \quad A_1(\rho) = A_1(r) + l_1(r, \theta)$$

has a solution $\rho \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ of the form

$$(6.6) \quad \rho = r + r^{n+2}\beta(r, \theta) .$$

Proof. Equation (6.5) can be rewritten as

$$(6.7) \quad \frac{\rho}{(-P_1(\rho) - \rho^n \mu_1 \log |\rho|)^{1/n}} = \frac{r}{(-P_1(r) - r^n \mu_1 \log |r| - r^n l_1(r, \theta))^{1/n}} .$$

It follows at once from the implicit function theorem that (6.7) has a solution $\rho = r + o(r)$. We write this solution as $\rho = r(1 + \alpha(r, \theta))$ and solve for the function α . By rewriting (6.5) for the unknown α , we get the equation

$$(6.8) \quad G(r, \theta, \alpha) = 0,$$

where G is a C^∞ function defined for $|r| < \delta$, $|\alpha| < \delta$, and $\theta \in S^1$ by

$$(6.9) \quad G(r, \theta, \alpha) = (1 + \alpha)^n (P_1(r) + r^n l_1(r, \theta)) - P_1(r(1 + \alpha)) - \mu_1 r^n \log(1 + \alpha).$$

Since

$$(6.10) \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha}(0, 0, \theta) = nP_1(0) \neq 0,$$

and

$$(6.11) \quad \frac{\partial^j G}{\partial r^j}(0, 0, \theta) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, n ,$$

the solution α satisfies $\alpha = o(r^n)$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\rho(r, \theta)$ be a function as in (6.6). Then*

$$\log r - \log \rho \in C^\infty(A_\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P_2(r)}{r^n} - \frac{P_2(\rho)}{\rho^n} \in C^\infty(A_\delta), \tag{6.12}$$

where P_2 is the imaginary part of the polynomial P . Furthermore, the functions given in (6.12) vanish along $r = 0$.

Proof. For ρ as in (6.6), we have

$$\log r - \log \rho = -\log(1 + r^{n+1}\beta) \tag{6.13}$$

which is clearly C^∞ for r small and vanishes for $r = 0$. We also have

$$\frac{P_2(r)}{r^n} - \frac{P_2(\rho)}{\rho^n} = \frac{(1 + r^{n+1}\beta)^n P_2(r) - P_2(r(1 + r^{n+1}\beta))}{r^n(1 + r^{n+1}\beta)^n}. \tag{6.14}$$

Since

$$(1 + r^{n+1}\beta)^n P_2(r) - P_2(r(1 + r^{n+1}\beta)) = o(r^{n+1}), \tag{6.15}$$

the conclusions follow. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let ρ be the solution (6.6) of equation (6.5). With respect to the coordinates (ρ, θ) , the function $h(r, \theta)$ has the expression

$$h(\rho, \theta) = \exp \left[\epsilon(\rho)^n \left(\frac{P_1(\rho)}{\rho^n} + \mu_1 \log |\rho| + i(\theta + \frac{P_2(\rho)}{\rho^n} + \mu_2 \log |\rho| + s(\rho, \theta)) \right) \right], \tag{6.16}$$

where s is given by

$$s(\rho, \theta) = l_2(r, \theta) + \mu_2(\log |r| - \log |\rho|) + \frac{P_2(r)}{r^n} - \frac{P_2(\rho)}{\rho^n}. \tag{6.17}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.2 that $s \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ and that $s = 0$ along $\rho = 0$. Finally, if we take as a new angle,

$$\phi = \theta + s(\rho, \theta), \tag{6.18}$$

then with respect to the new coordinates (ρ, ϕ) , the function h has the desired form

$$h(\rho, \theta) = \exp(\epsilon(\rho)^n (A(\rho) + i\phi)) \tag{6.19}$$

whose annihilator is the vector field $R_n(\rho, \phi)$ given in (6.1). □

For a real analytic vector field L_n , the normal form R_n can be achieved under a real analytic diffeomorphism only when the formal integral constructed in Section 2 converges for some $r \neq 0$. Under the assumption that the formal integral converges, the proof of the C^ω -conjugacy is identical to that given above. We state this as the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *Let L_n be a real analytic vector field as in (2.1). Suppose that the corresponding formal solution converges for some $r \neq 0$. Then L_n is C^ω -conjugate in a ring A_δ to the vector field*

$$R_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i \frac{r^{n+1}}{rP'(r) - nP(r) + \mu r^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}. \tag{6.20}$$

7. THE KERNEL OF R_n

We determine the structure of the solutions of the homogeneous equation

$$(7.1) \quad R_n u = 0$$

in the ring $A_\delta = (-\delta, \delta) \times S^1$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let f_n be the first integral given in (6.2) of the vector field R_n . A function $u \in C^0(\overline{A_\delta})$ solves (7.1) if and only if there exist holomorphic functions H^\pm defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, with $H^+(0) = H^-(0)$, such that*

$$(7.2) \quad u(r, \theta) = H^\pm \circ f_n(r, \theta) \quad \forall (r, \theta) \in \overline{A_\delta^\pm},$$

where $A_\delta^+ = A_\delta \cap \{r > 0\}$ and $A_\delta^- = A_\delta \cap \{r < 0\}$. Consequently, any C^0 -solution of (7.1) is C^∞ .

Proof. The pushforward of u in A_δ^+ via the first integral f_n is a function H^+ defined in $f_n(A_\delta^+)$ that satisfies the CR equation $H_{\bar{z}}^+ = 0$. Hence, H^+ is a bounded holomorphic function defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $u = H^+ \circ f_n$ in A_δ^+ . A similar result holds in A_δ^- . That $H^+(0) = H^-(0)$ follows from the continuity of u and that u is C^∞ on $r = 0$ follows from the flatness of f_n along $r = 0$. \square

Remark 7.1. Theorem 7.1 does not have a local counterpart version. For every $p \in \Sigma$ there exist C^0 solutions of $L_n u = 0$ defined in a neighborhood of p that are not C^∞ . For example, for a given branch of the logarithm, the function $(x + ix^2t)^{3/2}$ is not C^∞ in a neighborhood of 0 and it satisfies the equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - i \frac{x^2}{1 + 2ixt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)u = 0$$

(we refer to [T1] and [T2] for the local solvability of vector fields).

The next result describes the distribution solutions of (7.1) that are supported by the characteristic circle $r = 0$. The analogue question for the vector field L_0 is treated in [BhM2].

Theorem 7.2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ with $\text{supp}(u) \subset \{r = 0\}$. If u solves (7.1), then there exist constants c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} in \mathbb{C} such that*

$$(7.3) \quad \langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta).$$

Proof. The transpose of R_n is the operator

$$(7.4) \quad R_n^* = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + ir^{n+1}Q(r)\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + i(r^{n+1}Q(r))_r,$$

where

$$Q(r) = \frac{1}{rP'(r) - nP(r) + \mu r^n}.$$

First, we verify that a distribution u given by (7.3) solves equation (7.1). For $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$, let $u_j \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ be defined by

$$(7.5) \quad \langle u_j, \phi \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta).$$

For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta)$, we write

$$(7.6) \quad \phi(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} l_k(\theta)r^k + O(r^n), \quad l_k(\theta) \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

It follows that

$$(7.7) \quad R_n^* \phi = - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} l'_k(\theta)r^k + O(r^n).$$

Therefore,

$$(7.8) \quad \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) = -j!l'_j(\theta),$$

and

$$(7.9) \quad \langle R_n u_j, \phi \rangle = \langle u_j, R_n^* \phi \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta)d\theta = -j! \int_0^{2\pi} l'_j(\theta)d\theta = 0.$$

Hence, u_j solves (7.1) and so does any linear combination given by (7.3).

Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ be a solution of (7.1) and $\text{supp}(u) \subset \{r = 0\}$. Suppose that u has a transverse order m . Since R_n is elliptic in the tangential direction along $r = 0$, then there exist $a_0(\theta), \dots, a_m(\theta) \in C^\infty(S^1)$ such that

$$(7.10) \quad \langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^m \int_0^{2\pi} a_k(\theta) \frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial r^k}(0, \theta)d\theta.$$

We prove that the order m must satisfy $m \leq n - 1$. By contradiction, suppose that $m \geq n$. Then $a_m \neq 0$ and there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(7.11) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} a_m(\theta)e^{ip\theta} d\theta \neq 0.$$

If $p \neq 0$, we let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta)$ be of the form

$$(7.12) \quad \phi(r, \theta) = e^{ip\theta}r^m + o(r^m).$$

Then

$$(7.13) \quad R_n^* \phi = -ipe^{ip\theta}r^m + o(r^m)$$

and

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) &= 0 \quad \text{if } j = 0, \dots, m - 1, \\ \frac{\partial^m R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^m}(0, \theta) &= -m!ipe^{ip\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7.10), (7.11) and (7.14) that

$$(7.15) \quad \langle R_n u, \phi \rangle = \langle u, R_n^* \phi \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} a_m(\theta)(-m!ipe^{ip\theta})d\theta \neq 0.$$

This contradicts the hypothesis $R_n u = 0$ and shows that $m \leq n - 1$ when $p \neq 0$. In the case $p = 0$, we consider $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta)$ independent of θ and given by

$$(7.16) \quad \phi(r, \theta) = g(r) = r^{m-n} + o(r^{m-n})$$

with $g \in \mathcal{D}((-\delta, \delta))$. We have (by using (7.4)) that

$$(7.17) \quad R_n^* \phi(r, \theta) = i \frac{d}{dr}(r^{n+1}Q(r)g(r)) = i(m + 1)Q(0)r^m + o(r^m).$$

Consequently,

$$(7.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^j R_n^* g}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) &= 0 \quad \text{if } j = 0, \dots, m - 1, \\ \frac{\partial^m R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^m}(0, \theta) &= i(m + 1)!Q(0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument shows that in this case, we also have $\langle R_n u, g \rangle \neq 0$. This shows that the order of a distribution solution supported by the characteristic circle needs to be $\leq n - 1$.

Next, we prove that the coefficients $a_0(\theta), \dots, a_m(\theta)$ given in (7.10) are constants. For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta)$, we have

$$(7.19) \quad 0 = \langle R_n u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^m \int_0^{2\pi} a_j(\theta) \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta.$$

We write

$$(7.20) \quad \phi(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^m l_k(\theta)r^k + o(r^m).$$

Since $m < n$, it follows from (7.4) and (7.20) that for $j = 0, \dots, m$,

$$(7.21) \quad \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) = j!l'_j(\theta).$$

For a given $k \leq m$, let $\phi_k \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ be such that

$$(7.22) \quad \phi_k(r, \theta) = l_k(\theta)r^k + o(r^m).$$

Equation (7.19) together with (7.21) gives

$$(7.23) \quad 0 = \langle R_n u, \phi_k \rangle = k! \int_0^{2\pi} a_k(\theta)l'_k(\theta) d\theta.$$

If a_k were not constant, then there would be $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \neq 0$ such that

$$(7.24) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} a_k(\theta)e^{ip\theta} d\theta \neq 0,$$

and in this case if we select $f_k(\theta) = e^{ip\theta}$, then (7.23) will be violated. This shows that a_0, \dots, a_m are constants. □

8. A DEGENERATE BELTRAMI EQUATION

The Beltrami equation $w_{\bar{z}} = \mu(z)w_z$ has been studied in the elliptic case $|\mu(z)| \leq K < 1$ for all z in a domain of \mathbb{C} (see [V]). However, very little is known when $\mu(z)$ is not uniformly bounded away from 1. In this section, we consider this degenerate situation and show that it can be understood in terms of the vector field L_n with $n = 0$.

We start with a vector field V defined in a disc $D(0, \delta) \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(8.1) \quad V = A(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + B(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}},$$

where $A, B \in C^l(D(0, R))$ satisfy

$$(8.2) \quad |A(z)| = O(|z|^m) \quad \text{and} \quad |B(z)| = O(|z|^n)$$

with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$(8.3) \quad n \leq m < l.$$

Assume that there exist constants $a, b > 0$ such that

$$(8.4) \quad a|z|^{2n} \leq |B(z)|^2 - |A(z)|^2 \leq b|z|^{2n}.$$

The equation $Vw = 0$ is equivalent to the Beltrami equation

$$(8.5) \quad w_{\bar{z}} = \mu(z)w_z,$$

with

$$(8.6) \quad \mu(z) = -\frac{A(z)}{B(z)}.$$

It follows from hypothesis (8.4) that

$$(8.7) \quad |\mu(z)| < 1 \quad \text{for } z \neq 0.$$

Hence, equation (8.5) is elliptic in a neighborhood of each point $z \neq 0$, but $\limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} |\mu(z)|$ might be equal to 1. We will show that this degenerate Beltrami equation has a solution which is a local homeomorphism at 0.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\mu(z)$ be given by (8.6) with A and B satisfying (8.4). Then there exist $\delta > 0, \sigma > 0$ and a function*

$$(8.8) \quad w \in C^{l+1}(D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^\sigma(D(0, R))$$

such that w solves the Beltrami equation (8.5) and

$$(8.9) \quad w : D(0, R) \longrightarrow w(D(0, R))$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. First, consider the case $m > n$. It follows from the hypotheses that

$$(8.10) \quad \mu(z) \in C^l(D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^{m-n-1+\sigma}(D(0, R))$$

for any $0 < \sigma < 1$ and that $\mu(0) = 0$. This is a classical Beltrami equation and a diffeomorphic solution w can be found in $D(0, R)$. With w of class C^{l+1} away from 0, and of class $C^{m-n+\sigma}$ at 0 (see [V]).

Next, in the case $m = n$, in which there is an effective degeneracy, let

$$(8.11) \quad \begin{aligned} A(z) &= A_n(z) + O(|z|^{n+1}), \\ B(z) &= B_n(z) + O(|z|^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

with A_n and B_n homogeneous polynomials in z and \bar{z} of degree n . We use polar coordinates $z = re^{i\theta}$ to express (8.4) as

$$(8.12) \quad a \leq |B(e^{i\theta})|^2 - |A(e^{i\theta})|^2 \leq b$$

and the vector field V as

$$(8.13) \quad V = \frac{i}{2}r^{n-1} (B_n(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} - A_n(e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta} + O(r)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir(a(\theta) + O(r))\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right),$$

where

$$(8.14) \quad a(\theta) = \frac{B_n(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} + A_n(e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta}}{B_n(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} - A_n(e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta}}.$$

It follows from (8.12) that the real part of $a(\theta)$ is nowhere zero. We can therefore assume that $Re(a) > 0$ so that

$$(8.15) \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} a(\theta) d\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}.$$

It follows then from [M2] that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the equation $Vu = 0$ has a solution of the form

$$(8.16) \quad u(r, \theta) = r^{1/\lambda} e^{i\theta} B(r, \theta),$$

with

$$(8.17) \quad B \in C^{l+1}(A_\delta \setminus \{r = 0\}) \cup C^0(A_\delta)$$

and $B(0, \theta) \neq 0$ for every θ . Hence, for δ small enough u is a homeomorphism from A_δ^+ onto its image $u(A_\delta^+)$. The expression of the function u in terms of the variable $z = re^{i\theta}$ is

$$(8.18) \quad w(z) = \frac{z}{|z|} |z|^{1/\lambda} \hat{B}(Z)$$

with $\hat{B}(z) = B(u^{-1}(z))$. Thus

$$(8.19) \quad w \in C^l(D(0, \epsilon) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^\sigma(D(0, \epsilon))$$

for any positive number σ satisfying

$$(8.20) \quad 0 < \sigma < Re\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right).$$

Furthermore, w is a homeomorphism and satisfies equation (8.5). □

As a consequence of Theorem 8.1 we get the following factorization result.

Theorem 8.2. *If u is a C^0 -solution of (8.5) defined near $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then there exists a holomorphic H such that $u = H \circ w$, where w is the homeomorphic solution of (8.5) as in Theorem 8.1.*

Remark 8.1. The following question (motivated by geometric considerations) is considered in [W] (page 52). Given $A(x, y)$ and $B(x, y)$ real analytic functions defined near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\left| \frac{A(x, y)}{B(x, y)} \right| \leq K < 1 \quad \text{for } x^2 + y^2 \leq R^2,$$

the question is to determine whether the Beltrami equation $w_{\bar{z}} = (A/B)w_z$ has a meromorphic solution. That is, a solution of the form

$$w(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{g(x, y)}$$

with w a local homeomorphism at 0 and f and g real analytic. In view of Theorem 8.1 and its proof, in general, there are no nontrivial meromorphic solutions to such Beltrami equations. Indeed, a necessary condition for the existence of a meromorphic solution is that the invariant λ (see (8.15)) of the associated vector field V (as in (8.13)) must be in \mathbb{Z}^+ . This follows from the fact that if the solution is meromorphic, then its expression in polar coordinates (r, θ) would be a real

analytic integral of V and thus $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (see [M1] and [M2]). However, for given real analytic functions A, B , the associated invariant λ is not necessarily in \mathbb{Z} . In fact, even when $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there are vector fields without nontrivial C^ω solutions (see [CG]).

REFERENCES

- [BCH] A. Bergamasco, P. Cordaro, and J. Hounie, *Global properties of a class of vector fields in the plane*, J. Diff. Equations **74** (1988), 179–199. MR89h:58175
- [BCP] A. Bergamasco, P. Cordaro, and G. Petronilho, *Global Solvability for a class of complex vector fields on the two-torus*, Preprint.
- [BgM1] A. Bergamasco and A. Meziani, *Semiglobal solvability of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type*, Mat. Contemporanea **18** (2000), 31–42. MR2001m:35013
- [BgM2] A. Bergamasco and A. Meziani, *Solvability near the characteristic set for a class of planar vector fields of infinite type*, Preprint.
- [BhM1] S. Berhanu and A. Meziani, *On rotationally invariant vector fields in the plane*, Manus. Math. **89** (1996), 355–371. MR97e:35007
- [BhM2] S. Berhanu and A. Meziani, *Global properties of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type*, Comm. PDE **22** (1997), 99–142. MR98c:35003
- [B] N. Bliev, *Generalized analytic functions in fractional spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 86, 1997. MR98g:30075
- [CG] P. Cordaro and X. Gong, *Normalization of complex-valued planar vector fields which degenerate along a real curve*, Preprint.
- [M1] A. Meziani, *On real analytic planar vector fields near the characteristic set*, Contemp. Math. **251** (2000), 429–438. MR2001e:35033
- [M2] A. Meziani, *On planar elliptic structures with infinite type degeneracy*, J. Funct. An. **179** (2001), 333–373. MR2001k:35122
- [NT] L. Nirenberg and F. Trèves, *Solvability of first order pde*, Comm. Pure Applied Math. **16** (1963), 331–351. MR29:348
- [T1] F. Trèves, *Remarks about certain first-order linear PDE in two variables*, Comm. PDE **5** (1980), 381–425. MR83e:35033
- [T2] F. Trèves, *Hypo-analytic structures: local theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1992. MR94e:35014
- [V] I. Vekua, *Generalized analytic functions*, Pergamon Press, 1962. MR27:321
- [W] W. L. Wendland, *Elliptic systems in the plane*, Pitman Monographs and Studies in Mathematics 3, 1979. MR80h:35053

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33199
E-mail address: mezziani@fiu.edu