

## HÖLDER NORM ESTIMATES FOR ELLIPTIC OPERATORS ON FINITE AND INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL SPACES

SIVA R. ATHREYA, RICHARD F. BASS, AND EDWIN A. PERKINS

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new method for proving the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{C^\alpha} \leq c \|f\|_{C^\alpha},$$

where  $u$  solves the equation  $\Delta u - \lambda u = f$ . The method can be applied to the Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . It also allows us to obtain similar estimates when we replace the Laplacian by an infinite-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator or other elliptic operators. These operators arise naturally in martingale problems arising from measure-valued branching diffusions and from stochastic partial differential equations.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Delta$  be the Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  define the usual Hölder norms by

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{C^\alpha} = \sup_x |f(x)| + \sup_{x, h \neq 0} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{|h|^\alpha} \equiv \|f\|_\infty + |f|_{C^\alpha}.$$

A classical estimate is that if  $\lambda > 0$  and  $u$  is the solution in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda u - \Delta u = f,$$

then we have the inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{C^\alpha} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{C^\alpha},$$

where  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and  $c_1$  is a constant not depending on  $f$ . In the case of the Laplacian in finite dimensions, there are a number of proofs of (1.3). See, for example, [GT], Chapter 4, or [Ba], Section II.3. Another proof can be found in [Ba], Section IV.3 or [S], Section V.4. This latter proof is the basis of the method we pursue in this work. Two of the more important applications of (1.3) and its generalizations are to the existence of solutions to certain elliptic partial differential equations with variable coefficients and to the uniqueness in law of

---

Received by the editors October 24, 2003 and, in revised form, February 13, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35J15; Secondary 35R15, 47D07, 60J35.

*Key words and phrases*. Semigroups, Schauder estimates, Hölder spaces, perturbations, resolvents, elliptic operators, Laplacian, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations.

The first author's research was supported in part by an NBHM travel grant.

The second author's research was supported in part by NSF grant DMS0244737.

The third author's research was supported in part by an NSERC Research Grant.

solutions to certain stochastic differential equations. The connection of (1.3) with the latter arises from the fact that the resolvent of Markov solutions to the stochastic differential equations will provide solutions to the generalization of (1.2) to more general elliptic operators.

In this paper we investigate the analogue of (1.3) when the Laplacian is replaced by other elliptic operators. In particular we:

- (1) introduce a new method, which we call the semigroup method, for proving (1.3);
- (2) use our method to obtain an analogue of (1.3) for the case of infinite-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators; and
- (3) show how the semigroup method allows one to determine the appropriate substitute for the norms given in (1.1) and illustrate this by proving that the norm introduced in [BP] to handle a class of degenerate diffusions is a special case of this general method.

In work in preparation [ABGP] we use some of the above results to prove uniqueness for an infinite-dimensional system of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type stochastic differential equations with Hölder continuous coefficients.

The semigroup method is particularly simple in the case of the Laplacian, even if we replace  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . We need one elementary calculation, namely, that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial p_t(x, y)}{\partial x} \right| dy \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{t}},$$

where  $p_t(x, y) = (2\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp(-(y-x)^2/2t)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We use this and the fact that the transition density for  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion is a product of 1-dimensional densities to see that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial P_t f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_{\infty},$$

where  $P_t$  is the semigroup corresponding to the Laplacian. Some manipulations of semigroups then lead to (1.3). A key step is to define the semigroup norm

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{S^\alpha} = \|f\|_{\infty} + \sup_{t>0} \frac{\|P_t f - f\|_{\infty}}{t^{\alpha/2}}.$$

This norm was also used in the argument of [CD].

The proof of (1.3) for the Laplacian in infinitely many dimensions is relatively recent and is due to Cannarsa and Da Prato [CD]. Their method involves interpolation spaces. It is well suited to the Laplacian, but perhaps less so for other operators. Our results in Section 3 give a new proof for the infinite-dimensional Laplacian.

We use the semigroup method to obtain an analogue to (1.3) when the Laplacian is replaced by the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} V_{ij} x_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x),$$

where  $a$  is positive definite and  $V$  is nonnegative definite (see Theorem 5.6). This operator is a generalization of the infinite-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. It is well known that the infinite-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator arises when using Fourier transforms to study parabolic stochastic partial differential equations (see [W]) and this was in fact the motivation for considering this

problem. One principal difference from the Laplacian case is that the operators  $\partial/\partial x_i$  and  $P_t$  no longer commute. Related results for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck case have been obtained by [D], [L], [Z]. In Remark 5.8 we discuss them briefly and compare them to our results Theorem 5.6 and Corollary 5.7.

When one considers operators other than the Laplacian, it turns out that the  $C^\alpha$  norms defined by (1.1) may not be the most appropriate. In fact, the semigroup norm given in (1.4) is in some cases the natural one. In the case of certain degenerate elliptic operators, we discovered this after the fact. In [BP] two of the authors investigated Hölder norm inequalities for an operator that arises in the study of branching measure-valued diffusions. There the estimates were proved by hand, and we were forced to replace the use of the  $C^\alpha$  norms by weighted Hölder norms. In this paper we prove that these weighted Hölder norms are precisely the  $S^\alpha$  norms used by the semigroup method. This suggests the potential for a more unified approach to such norms in the study of degenerate stochastic differential equations in both finite and infinite dimensions and avoids having to guess the appropriate norm through ad hoc methods.

**Layout of the paper.** Here is the plan for the rest of the paper. In Section 2 we define the semigroup norm and establish some preliminary facts. In Section 3 we present the semigroup method in the case of the infinite-dimensional Laplacian (Proposition 3.3). Although the estimates in the Laplacian case are known, we present this case separately for clarity. In Section 4 we give some connections between the semigroup norm and the usual Hölder norms (Propositions 4.1 and 4.2). Next, in Section 5, we consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, and establish the analogue of (1.3) in Theorem 5.6 and Corollary 5.7. Section 6 considers geometrical aspects of the semigroup norm, analogous to Section 4. Many of these results will be used in the uniqueness proof for infinite-dimensional stochastic equations in [ABGP]. In Section 7 we establish the equivalence of the semigroup norm with weighted Hölder norms in the context of the operator considered in [BP]. We use the letter  $c$  with subscripts for finite positive constants whose value is unimportant. The constants  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  may change from proposition to proposition.

## 2. THE SEMIGROUP NORM

We use the following notation. If  $E = \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}_+^d, \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , or a separable Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D_w f(x)$  is the directional derivative of  $f$  at  $x \in E$  in the direction  $w$ ; we do not require  $w$  to be a unit vector. We write  $D_i$  for  $D_{\epsilon_i}$  and  $D_{ij}$  for  $D_i D_j$ , where  $\epsilon_i$  denotes the  $i$ th unit vector in a convenient orthonormal system; for  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ ,  $\epsilon_i$  will be the  $i$ th coordinate direction.

The inner product in  $E$  is denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and  $|\cdot|$  denotes the norm generated by this inner product.  $C_b = C_b(E)$  is the collection of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued bounded continuous functions on  $E$  and for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $C^\alpha$  is the set of functions in  $C_b$  for which  $\|f\|_{C^\alpha} = \|f\|_\infty + |f|_{C^\alpha}$ , defined as in (1.1) by replacing  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $E$ , is finite. Finally  $C_b^2$  is the set of functions in  $C_b$  for which the first and second order partials are also in  $C_b$ .

Given an operator  $\mathcal{L}$  that is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup  $P_t$  on the space of bounded measurable functions on  $E$ , we let

$$R_\lambda = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} P_s ds$$

be the corresponding resolvent. Recall that  $(\lambda - \mathcal{L})R_\lambda f = f$  provided  $R_\lambda f$  is in the domain of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . We define the semigroup norm (the “ $S$ ” stands for “semigroup”)  $\| \cdot \|_{S^\alpha}$  for

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha \in (0, 1) \quad \text{by} \quad \|f\|_{S^\alpha} = \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{t>0} t^{-\alpha/2} \|P_t f - f\|_\infty.$$

Let  $S^\alpha$  denote the space of measurable functions on  $E$  for which this norm is finite. We set  $|f|_{S^\alpha}$  equal to the last term in (2.1), so

$$\|f\|_{S^\alpha} = \|f\|_\infty + |f|_{S^\alpha}.$$

In a number of places we will use a similar convention:  $|f|_B$  will denote a seminorm in some Banach space  $B$ , and  $\|f\|_B$  will then be  $\|f\|_\infty + |f|_B$ .

*Remark 2.1.* Since  $\|P_t f - f\|_\infty \leq 2\|f\|_\infty$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq 3\|f\|_\infty + \sup_{0<t\leq 1} t^{-\alpha/2} \|P_t f - f\|_\infty.$$

We will use the following result a number of times.

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exists  $c_2(\alpha)$  such that if for some  $w \in E$  and  $0 < c_1 < \infty$ ,*

$$\|D_w P_t f\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_1 |w|}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_\infty$$

*for all bounded measurable  $f$ , then for all  $f \in S^\alpha$ ,*

$$\|D_w P_t f\|_\infty \leq c_1 c_2 |w| t^{(\alpha-1)/2} |f|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* Note for  $r \geq 0, w \in E$

$$D_w P_{2r} f - D_w P_r f = D_w P_r [P_r f - f].$$

The sup norm of the expression inside the brackets is bounded by  $r^{\alpha/2} |f|_{S^\alpha}$ . Therefore by our hypothesis,

$$(2.3) \quad \|D_w P_{2r} f - D_w P_r f\|_\infty \leq c_1 |w| r^{(\alpha-1)/2} |f|_{S^\alpha}.$$

Using the hypothesis again,

$$\|D_w P_{t2^k} f\|_\infty \leq c_1 |w| (t2^k)^{-1/2} \|f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore

$$D_w P_t f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (D_w P_{t2^k} f - D_w P_{t2^{k+1}} f).$$

Using (2.3) and the triangle inequality,

$$\|D_w P_t f\|_\infty \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_1 |w| (t2^k)^{(\alpha-1)/2} |f|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1 |w| c_2(\alpha) t^{(\alpha-1)/2} |f|_{S^\alpha}.$$

□

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume*

$$(2.4) \quad \|D_w P_t f\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_1 |w|}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_\infty$$

*for all bounded measurable  $f$  on  $E$  and all  $w \in E$ . Then  $S^\alpha \subset C^\alpha$  and*

$$\|f\|_{C^\alpha} \leq (c_1 c_2(\alpha) + 2) \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

*where  $c_2(\alpha)$  is as in Lemma 2.2.*

*Proof.* By (2.4), Lemma 2.2 and the mean value theorem, if  $w \in E$ , then

$$|P_t f(x+w) - P_t f(x)| \leq c_1 c_2 |w| t^{(\alpha-1)/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

We also have

$$|P_t f(x+w) - f(x+w)| \leq t^{\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}, \quad |P_t f(x) - f(x)| \leq t^{\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

by the definition of  $S^\alpha$ . By the triangle inequality,

$$|f(x+w) - f(x)| \leq t^{\alpha/2} (c_1 c_2 |w| t^{-1/2} + 2) \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

If we take  $t = |w|^2$ , we see that  $\|f\|_{C^\alpha} \leq (c_1 c_2 + 2) \|f\|_{S^\alpha}$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$  be an  $E$ -valued Markov process with semigroup  $P_t$  and laws  $\{\mathbb{P}^x, x \in E\}$ . Assume (2.4) and also*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{E}^x(|X_t - \mathbb{E}^x(X_t)|^2) \leq c_0 t^{1/2} \text{ for all } t \leq 1.$$

*If  $f, g \in S^\alpha$ , then  $fg \in S^\alpha$  and for some  $c_1 = c_1(c_0, \alpha)$ ,*

$$(2.6) \quad |fg|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1 [\|f\|_\infty |g|_{S^\alpha} + |f|_{S^\alpha} \|g\|_\infty + |f|_{C^\alpha} |g|_{C^\alpha} + \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty],$$

*and*

$$(2.7) \quad \|fg\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \|g\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $Q_t x = \mathbb{E}^x(X_t) \in E$  (by hypothesis). Note that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} P_t f g(x) - fg(x) &= \mathbb{E}^x((f(X_t) - f(Q_t x))(g(X_t) - g(Q_t x))) + g(Q_t x)(P_t f(x) - f(x)) \\ &\quad + f(Q_t x)(P_t g(x) - g(x)) - (f(Q_t x) - f(x))(g(Q_t x) - g(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Note also that for  $t \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(Q_t x) - f(x)| &\leq |P_t f(x) - f(x)| + |\mathbb{E}^x(f(X_t) - f(Q_t x))| \\ &\leq |f|_{S^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2} + |f|_{C^\alpha} \mathbb{E}^x(|X_t - Q_t x|^\alpha) \\ &\leq |f|_{S^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2} + |f|_{C^\alpha} c_0^{\alpha/2} t^{\alpha/4}, \end{aligned}$$

the latter by (2.5) and Jensen's inequality. We put this into (2.8) and use Hölder's inequality to conclude that for all  $t \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t f g(x) - fg(x)| &\leq |f|_{C^\alpha} |g|_{C^\alpha} \mathbb{E}^x(|X_t - Q_t x|^2)^\alpha \\ &\quad + (\|g\|_\infty |f|_{S^\alpha} + \|f\|_\infty |g|_{S^\alpha}) t^{\alpha/2} \\ &\quad + |f(Q_t x) - f(x)| \left( [|g|_{S^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2} + c_0^{\alpha/2} |g|_{C^\alpha} t^{\alpha/4}] \wedge 2 \|g\|_\infty \right) \\ &\leq [c |f|_{C^\alpha} |g|_{C^\alpha} + \|g\|_\infty |f|_{S^\alpha} + 3 \|f\|_\infty |g|_{S^\alpha}] t^{\alpha/2} \\ &\quad + |f(Q_t x) - f(x)| c_3 [(|g|_{C^\alpha} t^{\alpha/4}) \wedge \|g\|_\infty]. \end{aligned}$$

We use (2.9) again to bound the last term by

$$c_4 [|f|_{S^\alpha} \|g\|_\infty + |f|_{C^\alpha} |g|_{C^\alpha}] t^{\alpha/2}.$$

Substituting this into the above, we see that for  $t \leq 1$ ,

$$|P_t f g(x) - fg(x)| \leq c_1 [\|f\|_\infty |g|_{S^\alpha} + |f|_{S^\alpha} \|g\|_\infty + |f|_{C^\alpha} |g|_{C^\alpha}].$$

If  $t > 1$ , the left-hand side is at most  $2\|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty$  and, using the fact that  $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{S^\alpha}$ , we arrive at (2.6). This and Lemma 2.3 now imply (2.7). □

## 3. HÖLDER ESTIMATES – THE LAPLACIAN CASE

Let  $\ell^2$  be the space of real square summable sequences  $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  equipped with the norm  $|x| = (\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}$  and take  $\epsilon_i$  to be the unit vector in the  $i$ th coordinate direction. We study perturbations of

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i a_i^2 D_{ii}.$$

Here we assume each  $a_i > 0$  and  $|a|^2 = \sum_i a_i^2 < \infty$ . The reader interested only in the finite-dimensional case may restrict all indices to the range 1 to  $d$  and take each  $a_i = 1$ , but we will be implicitly working in  $\ell^2$  below. Let  $P_t$  be the semigroup corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exists  $c_1$  such that for any bounded measurable  $f$ ,*

$$\|D_i P_t f\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_1}{a_i \sqrt{t}} \|f\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$p_t^j(x_j, dy_j) = \frac{1}{a_j \sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-(y_j - x_j)^2 / 2a_j^2 t} dy_j$$

be the transition density of one-dimensional Brownian motion with parameter  $a_j^2$ . Let

$$q_t^j(x_j, dy_j) = D_j p_t^j(x_j, dy_j) = \frac{1}{a_j \sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{y_j - x_j}{a_j^2 t} e^{-(y_j - x_j)^2 / 2a_j^2 t} dy_j.$$

Note that

$$\int |q_t^j(x_j, dy_j)| = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_j \sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{|y_j - x_j|}{a_j^2 t} e^{-(y_j - x_j)^2 / 2a_j^2 t} dy_j = \frac{c_2}{a_j \sqrt{t}}.$$

Now fix  $i$  and let

$$F(y_i; x, t, i) = \int \prod_{j \neq i} p_t^j(x_j, dy_j) f(y_1, y_2, \dots).$$

Here we are integrating  $f$  with respect to the Gaussian measure  $\prod_{j \neq i} p_t^j(x_j, dy_j)$  which is supported on  $\ell^2$  for  $x = (x_j) \in \ell^2$  since  $|a|^2 < \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_i P_t f(x) &= \int D_i \left( \prod_j p_t^j(x_j, dy_j) \right) f(y) = \int \int q_t^i(x_i, dy_i) \prod_{j \neq i} p_t^j(x_j, dy_j) f(y) \\ &= \int q_t^i(x_i, dy_i) F(y_i; x, t, i). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p_t^j(x_j, dy_j)$  integrates to one for each  $j$ , we see that  $\|F\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ . Therefore

$$|D_i P_t f(x)| \leq \|F\|_\infty \int |q_t^i(x_i, dy_i)| \leq \frac{c_2}{a_i \sqrt{t}} \|f\|_\infty.$$

□

*Remark 3.2.* The conclusion of Lemma 3.1 is not the same as (2.4) because of the presence of the  $a_i$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $R_\lambda$  be the resolvent corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}$ . There exists  $c_1$  not depending on  $\lambda$  and  $c_2 = c_2(\lambda)$  such that for all  $f \in S^\alpha$ ,*

$$(a) \quad \|D_i D_j R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_1}{a_i a_j} \lambda^{-\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

$$(b) \quad \|D_i D_j R_\lambda f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq \frac{c_2(\lambda)}{a_i a_j} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

$$(c) \quad \|D_i R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_1}{a_i} \lambda^{-1/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

and

$$(d) \quad \|D_i R_\lambda f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq \frac{c_2(\lambda)}{a_i} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* (a) By the translation invariance of Brownian motion,  $D_i$  and  $P_t$  commute. By the semigroup property we have

$$D_i D_j R_\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_i D_j P_s f(x) ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_i P_{s/2} D_j P_{s/2} f(x) ds.$$

(The interchange of the integration and differentiation follows easily by dominated convergence.) By Lemmas 3.1 and 2.2,  $\|D_j P_{s/2} f\|_\infty \leq c_3 a_j^{-1} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}$ . Using Lemma 3.1 again

$$(3.1) \quad \|D_i D_j R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_4}{a_i} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \frac{1}{a_j \sqrt{s}} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} ds \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq \frac{c_5}{a_i a_j} \lambda^{-\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

(b) In view of Remark 2.1, we need only consider  $t \leq 1$ . We write

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &P_t(D_i D_j R_\lambda f) - (D_i D_j R_\lambda f) \\ &= e^{\lambda t} \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_i D_j P_s f ds - \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_i D_j P_s f ds \\ &= (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_i D_j P_s f ds - e^{\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} D_i D_j P_s f ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t \leq 1$ , then  $|e^{\lambda t} - 1| \leq c_6(\lambda)t \leq c_6 t^{\alpha/2}$ , and so the  $L^\infty$  norm of the first term on the last line is bounded by  $c_6 t^{\alpha/2} \|D_i D_j R_\lambda f\|_\infty$ . Applying (3.1), we bound the first term by  $c_7(\lambda)(a_i a_j)^{-1} t^{\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}$ .

Since  $t \leq 1$ , then  $e^{\lambda t}$  is bounded. By Lemmas 3.1 and 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_i D_j P_s f\|_\infty &= \|D_i P_{s/2} D_j P_{s/2} f\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_8}{a_i} s^{-1/2} \|D_j P_{s/2} f\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{c_9}{a_i a_j} s^{-1/2} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating from 0 to  $t$ , the second term on the last line of (3.2) is bounded by

$$\frac{c_{10}}{a_i a_j} \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \int_0^t s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} ds = \frac{c_{11}}{a_i a_j} t^{\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

(c) The first derivative estimates are similar but easier. Using Lemma 3.1,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D_i R_\lambda f\|_\infty &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \|D_i P_s f\|_\infty ds \\ &\leq \frac{c_{12}}{a_i} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} s^{-1/2} ds \|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_{13}}{a_i} \lambda^{-1/2} \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

(d) For  $t \leq 1$ , we write

$$P_t(D_i R_\lambda f) - (D_i R_\lambda f) = (e^{\lambda t} - 1)D_i R_\lambda f + e^{\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} D_i P_s f ds$$

as in (3.2). The first term on the right is bounded by  $c_{14}(\lambda)a_i^{-1}t\|f\|_\infty$ , which is fine since  $t < 1$ . Use Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1 to bound the second term on the right by

$$\frac{c_{15}}{a_i} \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \int_0^t s^{(\alpha-1)/2} ds \leq \frac{c_{16}}{a_i} t^{(\alpha+1)/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq \frac{c_{17}}{a_i} t^{\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

□

4. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NORMS – THE LAPLACIAN CASE

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $f \in C^\alpha$  and  $g \in S^\alpha$ , then*

$$\|fg\|_{S^\alpha} \leq (|a|^\alpha + 1)\|f\|_{C^\alpha}\|g\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*In fact,*

$$\|fg\|_{S^\alpha} \leq [\|f\|_\infty\|g\|_{S^\alpha} + |a|^\alpha\|f\|_{C^\alpha}\|g\|_\infty].$$

*Proof.* The  $L^\infty$  norm of  $fg$  is clearly bounded by the product of the  $L^\infty$  norms of  $f$  and  $g$ . Fix  $x$ . We need to obtain a bound on

$$|P_t(fg)(x) - (fg)(x)|.$$

Let  $\tilde{f}(y) = f(y) - f(x)$ ; clearly  $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$ . Then

$$P_t(fg)(x) - fg(x) = P_t(\tilde{f}g)(x) + f(x)P_tg(x) - f(x)g(x),$$

so

$$|P_t(fg)(x) - fg(x)| \leq |P_t(\tilde{f}g)(x)| + |f(x)| |P_tg - g| \leq |P_t(\tilde{f}g)(x)| + t^{\alpha/2}\|f\|_\infty\|g\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

The first term on the right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}(\tilde{f}g)(x + X_t)| &\leq \|g\|_\infty \mathbb{E}|f(x + X_t) - f(x)| \\ &\leq \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_{C^\alpha} \mathbb{E}|X_t|^\alpha \\ &\leq \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_{C^\alpha} (\mathbb{E}|X_t|^2)^{\alpha/2} \\ &= \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_{C^\alpha} |a|^\alpha t^{\alpha/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_t$  is the Brownian motion associated with the semigroup  $P_t$ . The required bound follows. □

Clearly the function that is identically one is in  $S^\alpha$ , and hence the above proposition implies that  $C^\alpha \subset S^\alpha$ . Here is a partial converse, which also shows that these spaces coincide and have equivalent norms in the finite-dimensional case. Incidentally, this and Proposition 3.3 provide a new proof for (1.3) as well.

Note that because of the presence of the  $a_i$  in the conclusion of Lemma 3.1, we cannot conclude that  $S^\alpha$  and  $C^\alpha$  are equivalent in the infinite-dimensional case. Let us set

$$(4.1) \quad |f|_{\alpha,i} = \sup_{x,h} \frac{|f(x + h\epsilon_i) - f(x)|}{|h|^\alpha}.$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *There exists  $c_1(\alpha)$  such that for each  $i$ ,  $|f|_{\alpha,i} \leq c_1 a_i^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}$ .*



*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1

$$|P_t f(x + h\epsilon_i) - P_t f(x)| \leq |h| \|D_i P_t f\|_\infty \leq c_2 |h| a_i^{-1} t^{(\alpha-1)/2} |f|_{S^\alpha}.$$

We also have

$$|P_t f(x) - f(x)| \leq t^{\alpha/2} |f|_{S^\alpha},$$

and the same with  $x$  replaced by  $x + h\epsilon_i$ . Using the triangle inequality,

$$|f(x + h\epsilon_i) - f(x)| \leq (2t^{\alpha/2} + c_2 |h| a_i^{-1} t^{(\alpha-1)/2}) |f|_{S^\alpha}.$$

Taking  $t = a_i^{-2} |h|^2$  yields our result. □

*Remark 4.3.* Although our bounds show  $|f|_{S^\alpha} \leq |a|^\alpha |f|_{C^\alpha}$  and  $|f|_{\alpha,i} \leq c_1 a_i^{-\alpha} |f|_{S^\alpha}$ , this does not give a geometric characterization in terms of Hölder norms. It is natural to ask if a more complicated combination of  $|f|_{\alpha,i}$  and  $|f|_{C^\alpha}$  could be used to accomplish this. To see that this does not appear to be possible, consider the  $d$ -dimensional case with all the  $a_i$ 's equal to 1. For each integer  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , we now construct an example where  $\|f\|_{C^\alpha} \approx 1$ ,  $|f|_{\alpha,i} \approx 1$  for each  $i$ , yet  $\|f\|_{S^\alpha} \approx k^{\alpha/2}$ . Here “ $\approx$ ” means the ratio of the left- and right-hand sides are bounded above and below by positive constants that do not depend on  $i, k$ , or  $d$ . All constants  $c$  below are also independent of these quantities.

Let  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth decreasing function which equals 1 on  $[0, 1]$  and 0 on  $[2, \infty)$ . Let  $g(z) = \phi(z)z^\alpha$  for  $z \geq 0$ . Then

$$(4.2) \quad |g(z_1) - g(z_2)| \leq c_2 |z_1 - z_2|^\alpha, \quad \|g\|_\infty \leq 2^\alpha.$$

Set  $y(k) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and choose  $\{y(j) : j = k + 1, \dots, d\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  so that for all distinct  $i, j$ ,  $|y(i) - y(j)| > 4M = 4M(d, k) \geq 20$ , where  $M$  will be chosen sufficiently large in what follows. If  $\pi_k : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is the projection onto the first  $k$  coordinates, define

$$f(x) = g(|\pi_k(x)|) + \sum_{j=k+1}^d \phi(|\pi_k(x) - y(j)|)g(|x_j|),$$

where  $x_j$  is the  $j$ th coordinate of  $x$ . Note that our spacing of the  $y(j)$ 's means at most one of the summands will be nonzero and so  $\|f\|_\infty \leq 2^\alpha$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  there is at most one  $j$  so that  $|\pi_k(x) - y(j)| \leq 2M$ . Let  $j_0 = j_0(x)$  denote this  $j$  when it exists. If  $j_0 = k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}^x f(X_t) - f(x)| &\leq |\mathbb{E}^x (g(|\pi_k(X_t)|) - g(|\pi_k x|))| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^d \mathbb{E}^x (\phi(|\pi_k(X_t) - y(j)|)g(|X_t^j|)) \\ &\leq c_2 \mathbb{E}^x (|\pi_k(X_t) - \pi_k(x)|^\alpha) + 2^\alpha \sum_{j=k+1}^d \mathbb{P}^x (|\pi_k(X_t) - \pi_k(x)| > M) \\ &\leq c_2 \mathbb{E} (|\pi_k(X_t) - \pi_k(x)|^2)^{\alpha/2} + 2^\alpha d \mathbb{E}^x (|\pi_k(X_t) - \pi_k(x)|^\alpha) M^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq c_2 t^{\alpha/2} k^{\alpha/2} + 2^\alpha d t^{\alpha/2} k^{\alpha/2} M^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq c_3 k^{\alpha/2} t^{\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line we have taken  $M$  sufficiently large depending on  $(d, k)$ . If there is no  $j_0$ , then the above argument remains valid. If  $j_0 > k$  a similar argument gives

the same bound and we have shown

$$|f|_{S^\alpha} \leq ck^{\alpha/2}.$$

To obtain the reverse bound note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}^0(f(X_t)) - f(0)| &\geq \mathbb{E}^0(|\pi_k(X_t)|^\alpha \phi(|\pi_k(X_t)|)) - 2^\alpha \sum_{j=k+1}^d \mathbb{P}^0(|\pi_k(X_t) - y(j)| \leq 2) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}^0(|\pi_k(X_t)|^\alpha) - \mathbb{E}^0(|\pi_k(X_t)|^\alpha \mathbf{1}_{(|\pi_k(X_t)| > 1)}) \\ &\quad - 2^\alpha \sum_{j=k+1}^d \mathbb{P}^0(|\pi_k(X_t)| > M). \end{aligned}$$

An easy calculation shows that the first term on the right-hand side is at least  $c_5 k^{\alpha/2} t^{\alpha/2}$  and so the right-hand side is at least

$$\begin{aligned} c_5 k^{\alpha/2} t^{\alpha/2} - \mathbb{E}^0(|\pi_k(X_t)|^2) - 2^\alpha d \mathbb{E}^0(|\pi_k(X_t)|^2) M^{-2} \\ \geq t^{\alpha/2} k^{\alpha/2} [c_5 - (kt)^{1-\alpha/2} (1 + c_6 d M^{-2})] \\ \geq c_7 t^{\alpha/2} k^{\alpha/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line is valid for  $M$  large and  $t \leq t_0(k)$ . This proves  $|f|_{S^\alpha} \geq c_7 k^{\alpha/2}$  and so we have established  $|f|_{S^\alpha} \approx k^{\alpha/2}$ .

If  $|h| \leq 1$  it is easy to see that there is at most one summand in the definition of  $f$  which is non-zero for either  $x$  or  $x + h$ . It is therefore straightforward to check that  $|f|_{C^\alpha} \approx |f|_{\alpha,i} \approx 1$  for all  $i$ . For the lower bounds on  $|f|_{\alpha,i}$  consider  $|f(h\epsilon_i) - f(0)|$  if  $i \leq k$  and  $|f(\tilde{y}(i) + h\epsilon_i) - f(\tilde{y}(i))|$ , where  $\tilde{y}(i) = (y(i), 0, \dots, 0)$  if  $i > k$ .

Therefore there does not appear to be a simple characterization of  $S^\alpha$  in terms of the  $|f|_{\alpha,i}$ . On the other hand, if we write  $\|f\|_{S^\alpha}$  as

$$\|f\|_\infty + \sup_t t^{-\alpha/2} \sup_x \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(t, 0, y - x) [f(y) - f(x)] dy \right|,$$

where  $P(t, x, y)$  is the transition density for  $P_t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we see that  $S^\alpha$  does have a geometric characterization in terms of a weighted average of  $f(y) - f(x)$ .

### 5. HÖLDER ESTIMATES – THE GENERALIZED ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK CASE

In this section we obtain Hölder norm estimates for perturbations of an appropriate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and let  $V : \mathcal{D}(V) \rightarrow H$  be a (densely defined) self-adjoint nonnegative definite operator on  $H$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad V^{-1} \text{ is a trace class operator on } H.$$

Then there is a complete orthonormal system  $\{\epsilon_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of eigenvectors of  $V^{-1}$  with corresponding positive eigenvalues  $\lambda_n^{-1}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^{-1} < \infty, \quad \lambda_n \uparrow \infty, \quad V\epsilon_n = \lambda_n \epsilon_n$$

(see, e.g. Section 120 in [RN]). Let  $Q_t = e^{-tV}$  be the semigroup of contraction operators on  $H$  with generator  $-V$ . If  $w \in H$ , let  $w_n = \langle w, \epsilon_n \rangle$  and, as discussed in Section 2, we will write  $D_i f$  and  $D_{ij} f$  for  $D_{\epsilon_i} f$  and  $D_{\epsilon_i} D_{\epsilon_j} f$ , respectively. (In the

example from the theory of SPDEs that motivated us,  $V$  is given by  $V\epsilon_i = c_1 i^2 \epsilon_i$ , and clearly  $V^{-1}$  is of trace class.)

Assume  $a : H \rightarrow H$  is a bounded positive definite operator on  $H$  with a bounded inverse and set  $a_{ij} = \langle a\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ . Therefore for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$(5.2) \quad \gamma^{-1}|z|^2 \geq \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} z_i z_j \geq \gamma|z|^2, \quad z \in H.$$

We consider the  $H$ -valued process which, with respect to the coordinates  $x_i = \langle x, \epsilon_i \rangle$ , is associated with the generator

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{L}f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} D_{ij} f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i x_i D_i f(x).$$

The definition is as follows.

Let  $(W_t, t \geq 0)$  be the cylindrical Brownian motion on  $H$  with covariance  $a$ . Recall (see section 3.2 of [KX]) that this means if  $\sigma$  is the positive definite square root of  $a$ , then  $W_t$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ -valued process such that for some sequence of independent 1-dimensional Brownian motions  $\{B_j\}$ ,

$$W^i(t) \equiv W_t(\epsilon_i) = \sum_j \sigma_{ij} B_j(t),$$

and so more generally,

$$W_t(h) = \sum_i \langle h, \epsilon_i \rangle W_t(\epsilon_i), \quad h \in H, t \geq 0,$$

is a mean zero Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}(W_s(h)W_t(h')) = \langle h, ah' \rangle (s \wedge t).$$

As usual we may extend the definition of  $(W_t(h), t \leq T)$  to measurable paths  $h : [0, T] \rightarrow H$  such that  $\int_0^T \|h_s\|^2 ds < \infty$ . Then  $(W_t(h), t \leq T, h \in H)$  is again a mean zero Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}(W_t(h)W_s(g)) = \int_0^{s \wedge t} \langle h_r, ag_r \rangle dr.$$

We will often write  $\int_0^t h_s dW_s$  for  $W_t(h)$ , where the integral is the Wiener integral.  $\mathcal{F}_t$  denotes the right-continuous filtration generated by  $W$ .

Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = -VX_t dt + dW_t.$$

A continuous  $H$ -valued  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted process is a solution of this stochastic differential equation if and only if for all  $h \in \mathcal{D}(V)$  we have

$$(5.4) \quad \langle X_t, h \rangle = \langle X_0, h \rangle - \int_0^t \langle X_s, Vh \rangle ds + W_t(h), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Using standard techniques (extend (5.4) to time dependent  $h$  and set  $h_s = Q_{t-s}h$  first for  $h \in \mathcal{D}(V)$  and then for  $h \in H$ ), one can show that such a solution is a continuous  $H$ -valued  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted process which solves the mild form of (5.4) with initial condition  $X_0 \in H$ , that is,

$$(5.5) \quad \langle X_t, h \rangle = \langle X_0, Q_t h \rangle + \int_0^t Q_{t-s} h dW_s \quad \text{a.s. for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } h \in H.$$

There is a pathwise unique solution of (5.5) (which also solves (5.4)) whose laws  $\{\mathbb{P}^x, x \in H\}$  define a unique homogeneous strong Markov process on the space of continuous  $H$ -valued paths (see, e.g. Section 5.2 of [KX] and note that (5.4) follows trivially from (5.2.26) of [KX]). We let  $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x(f(X_t))$  denote the associated semigroup. Clearly  $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$  is an  $H$ -valued Gaussian process satisfying

$$(5.6) \quad \mathbb{E}(\langle X_t, h \rangle) = \langle X_0, Q_t h \rangle \text{ for all } h \in H$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad \text{Cov}(\langle X_t, g \rangle \langle X_t, h \rangle) = \int_0^t \langle Q_{t-s} h, a Q_{t-s} g \rangle ds \equiv C_t(g, h).$$

Our reason for introducing (5.4) is that it shows that  $X$  will solve a martingale problem associated with  $\mathcal{L}$ . More precisely if  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded  $C^2$  function of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with bounded first and second partial derivatives, then  $f(X_t) - f(X_0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}f(X_s) ds$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale. Our objective in this section is to obtain bounds on  $D_i D_j R_\lambda$  in the  $S^\alpha$  norm associated with  $P_t$ , where  $R_\lambda$  is the  $\lambda$ -resolvent corresponding with  $P_t$ . We start by noting that  $P_t$  no longer commutes with the differential operators  $D_w$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Assume  $t \geq 0$ ,  $w \in H$ , and  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded measurable function such that  $D_{Q_t w} f$  is bounded and continuous (on  $H$ ). Then*

$$D_w P_t f(x) = P_t(D_{Q_t w} f)(x), \quad x \in H.$$

*Proof.* Let  $Z_t \in H$  denote a mean zero Gaussian random vector with covariance  $C_t$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}^x(X_t \in \cdot) = \mathbb{P}(Q_t x + Z_t \in \cdot)$ . Therefore if  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{P_t f(x + rw) - P_t f(x)}{r} = \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{f(Z_t + Q_t(x + rw)) - f(Z_t + Q_t x)}{r} \right).$$

Use the mean value theorem to see that for some  $r'$  between 0 and  $r$  the integrand on the right side of (5.8) equals  $D_{Q_t w} f(Z_t + Q_t x + r' Q_t w)$ , which approaches  $D_{Q_t w} f(Z_t + Q_t x)$  as  $r$  approaches 0 by the assumed continuity of  $D_{Q_t w} f$ . The result now follows by dominated convergence.  $\square$

The next step is the analogue of Lemma 3.1, which will require considerably more work in the present Ornstein-Uhlenbeck setting. Recall that  $C_b(H)$  is the space of bounded continuous real-valued functions on  $H$ .

We introduce the following notation. Let

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 2t/(e^{2t} - 1) & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $t \geq 0$  and  $w \in H$  set  $|w|_t = (\sum_i w_i^2 h(\lambda_i t))^{1/2}$ . Clearly  $h(t)$  and  $|w|_t$  are decreasing functions of  $t$  and  $|w|_0 = |w|$ .

The next result is closely related to (6.2.10) and (6.4.14) of [DZ].

**Proposition 5.2.** *If  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and measurable and  $w \in H$ , then for all  $t > 0$ ,  $P_t f$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $H$ ,  $D_w P_t f \in C_b(H)$  and*

$$\|D_w P_t f\|_\infty \leq \frac{|w|_t \|f\|_\infty}{\sqrt{\gamma t}}.$$

*Proof.* First consider  $f \in C_b(H)$ . Let  $\pi_n$  be the projection operator of  $H$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $\pi_n y = (\langle y, \epsilon_i \rangle)_{i \leq n}$ . Then under  $\mathbb{P}^x$ ,  $\pi_n X_t$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Gaussian variable with mean  $\pi_n Q_t x$  and covariance matrix

$$C_t^n(i, j) = \int_0^t \langle Q_{t-s} \epsilon_i, a Q_{t-s} \epsilon_j \rangle ds = \int_0^t e^{-(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)s} ds a_{ij}, \quad i, j \leq n.$$

Here of course  $a_{ij} = \langle \epsilon_i, a \epsilon_j \rangle$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then for some  $\varepsilon_{n,t} > 0$ ,

$$\langle x, C_t^n x \rangle = \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j e^{-\lambda_i s} e^{-\lambda_j s} ds \geq \int_0^t \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 e^{-2\lambda_i s} ds \geq \varepsilon_{n,t} |x|^2.$$

This shows  $C_t^n$  is nondegenerate and so  $\pi_n X_t$  has a Gaussian density

$$p_t^n(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\det C_t^n)^{-1/2} \exp(-\langle z - \pi_n Q_t x, (2C_t^n)^{-1} (z - \pi_n Q_t x) \rangle).$$

Let  $f_n(y) = f(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle y, \epsilon_i \rangle \epsilon_i) \equiv \tilde{f}_n(\pi_n y)$ . Then

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{P_t f_n(x + rw) - P_t f_n(x)}{r} = \int \tilde{f}_n(y) \left[ \frac{p_t^n(y - r\pi_n Q_t w) - p_t^n(y)}{r} \right] dy.$$

By the mean value theorem, there is an  $r' = r'(y)$  between 0 and  $r$  such that the expression in square brackets is

$$(5.10) \quad -D_{\pi_n Q_t w} p_t^n(y - r' \pi_n Q_t w) = p_t^n(y - r' \pi_n Q_t w) \langle (C_t^n)^{-1} \pi_n Q_t w, y - \pi_n Q_t x - r' \pi_n Q_t w \rangle,$$

by an easy calculation. As  $r \rightarrow 0$  the above converges to

$$p_t^n(y) \langle (C_t^n)^{-1} \pi_n Q_t w, y - \pi_n Q_t x \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that the integrands on the right side of (5.9) are uniformly integrable in  $r$  over  $|r| < 1$  due to the Gaussian tail of  $p_t^n$ . For example, one can show the contribution from  $|y| > k$  is small uniformly in  $|r| < 1$  for  $k$  large. Therefore we may apply dominated convergence to take the limit as  $r \rightarrow 0$  through the integral in (5.9) and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} D_w P_t f_n(x) &= \int \tilde{f}_n(y) p_t^n(y) \langle (C_t^n)^{-1} \pi_n Q_t w, y - \pi_n Q_t x \rangle dy \\ &= \mathbb{E}^x (f_n(X_t) \langle (C_t^n)^{-1} \pi_n Q_t w, \pi_n(X_t - Q_t x) \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Introduce  $U_n = (C_t^n)^{-1/2} \pi_n Q_t w$ ,  $Z_n = (C_t^n)^{-1/2} \pi_n(X_t - Q_t x)$  and  $R_n = \langle U_n, Z_n \rangle$ . The above may now be rewritten as

$$(5.11) \quad D_w P_t f_n(x) = \mathbb{E}^x (f_n(X_t) R_n).$$

We need the following lemma whose proof is provided at the end of the current argument.

**Lemma 5.3.**

$$(5.12) \quad |U_n| \leq \frac{|w|_t}{\sqrt{\gamma t}}.$$

The coordinates of  $Z_n$  are i.i.d. standard normal random variables and so Lemma 5.3 implies that

$$(5.13) \quad \mathbb{E}^x (R_n^2) = |U_n|^2 \leq \frac{|w|_t^2}{\gamma t}.$$

If  $Y_t = X_t - Q_t x$ , then the joint laws of  $(Y_t, Z_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are independent of  $x$  (recall  $Z_n = (C_t^n)^{-1/2} \pi_n Y_t$ ) and the same is therefore true of the joint laws of  $(Y_t, R_n)$  on  $H \times \mathbb{R}$ . This sequence of laws is tight by (5.13) and so we may choose a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  (independent of  $x$  and  $f$ ) such that  $(Y_t, R_{n_k}) \Rightarrow (Y_t^\infty, R)$  with respect to weak convergence in  $H \times \mathbb{R}$ . As  $Y_t^\infty$  clearly is equal in law to  $Y_t$  we will drop the superscript. Using (5.11), we have

$$(5.14) \quad D_w P_t f_{n_k}(x) = \mathbb{E}^x(f(Q_t x + Y_t)R_{n_k}) + \mathbb{E}^x((f_{n_k}(X_t) - f(X_t))R_{n_k}).$$

The second term is bounded in absolute value by

$$\mathbb{E}^x((f_{n_k}(X_t) - f(X_t))^2)^{1/2} \mathbb{E}^x(R_{n_k}^2)^{1/2}$$

which approaches 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by (5.13), the continuity of  $f$  and dominated convergence. The above weak convergence along with the continuity of  $f$  and (5.13) show that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the first term in (5.14) converges to  $\mathbb{E}(f(Q_t x + Y_t)R)$ , and Fatou's lemma and (5.13) show that

$$(5.15) \quad \mathbb{E}(R^2) \leq \frac{|w|_t^2}{\gamma t}.$$

We have proved that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_w P_t f_{n_k}(x) = \mathbb{E}(f(Q_t x + Y_t)R) \equiv J(x).$$

Clearly  $J$  is continuous on  $H$  by the continuity of  $f$ , (5.15) and dominated convergence. Dominated convergence also shows that  $P_t f_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow P_t f(x)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . An elementary argument using the fundamental theorem of calculus now shows that

$$D_w P_t f(x) \text{ exists and equals } J(x).$$

In particular,  $D_w P_t f$  is continuous. The required bound on the sup norm of  $D_w P_t f$  is now immediate from (5.15) and Cauchy-Schwarz.

Consider now the case when  $f$  is only bounded and measurable. We have shown above that for a fixed  $w \in H$  and all  $g \in C_b(H)$ ,

$$(5.16) \quad P_t g(x+w) - P_t g(x) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}(g(Q_t(x+sw) + Y_t)R) ds, \quad x \in H.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all bounded measurable (real-valued) maps on  $H$  for which (5.16) is valid.  $\mathcal{S}$  is clearly a vector space containing  $C_b(H)$  and is closed under bounded pointwise limits. A standard result (e.g., p. 11 of [M]) now shows that  $\mathcal{S}$  contains all bounded measurable functions. This, together with (5.15), proves that for  $f$  as above,

$$|P_t f(x+w) - P_t f(x)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_\infty |w|_t}{\sqrt{\gamma t}}$$

and in particular  $P_t f$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $H$ .

Finally if  $0 < \varepsilon < t$ , we may apply the bound obtained in the continuous case to the continuous map  $P_\varepsilon f$  and conclude that  $D_w P_t f(x) = D_w P_{t-\varepsilon}(P_\varepsilon f)(x)$  exists, is continuous and is bounded in absolute value by

$$\frac{\|P_\varepsilon f\|_\infty |w|_{t-\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\gamma(t-\varepsilon)}} \leq \frac{\|f\|_\infty |w|_{t-\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\gamma(t-\varepsilon)}}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  to obtain the required bound. □

*Proof of Lemma 5.3.* Note that  $\pi_n Q_t w = (e^{-\lambda_i t} w_i)_{i \leq n}$  where  $\pi_n w = \sum_1^n w_i \epsilon_i$ , and so by replacing  $w$  with  $\sum_1^n w_i \epsilon_i$ , we may assume  $\langle w, \epsilon_i \rangle = 0$  for  $i > n$ . We may consider  $Q_t$  as an operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via  $Q_t = \text{diag}(e^{-\lambda_i t})_{i \leq n}$  and the required result then becomes

$$|(C_t^n)^{-1/2} Q_t w|^2 \leq \frac{|w|_t^2}{\gamma t}, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Define  $D_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $D_t w = \left( \frac{e^{-\lambda_i t} w_i}{\sqrt{h(\lambda_i t)}} \right)_{i \leq n}$ . Then we claim the above follows from

$$(5.17) \quad |(C_t^n)^{-1/2} D_t u|^2 \leq \frac{|u|^2}{\gamma t}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

To see this set  $u_i = w_i \sqrt{h(\lambda_i t)}$ . Then  $D_t u = Q_t w$  and (5.17) would imply the required inequality. If  $B_t^n = D_t^{-1} C_t^n D_t^{-1}$  (all operators now are on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), then

$$B_t^n(i, j) = \int_0^t \sqrt{h(\lambda_i t)} e^{\lambda_i(t-s)} a_{ij} \sqrt{h(\lambda_j t)} e^{\lambda_j(t-s)} ds.$$

If  $\gamma$  is as in (5.2), then one easily sees that

$$\langle z, B_t^n z \rangle \geq \int_0^t \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 h(\lambda_i t) e^{2\lambda_i(t-s)} ds = \gamma t |z|^2.$$

Therefore  $B_t^n$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix with all eigenvalues no smaller than  $\gamma t$ . If the eigenvectors of  $B_t^n$  are  $\tau_i$  with corresponding eigenvalues  $\mu_i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, B_t^n z \rangle &= \sum \mu_i \langle z, \tau_i \rangle^2 \\ &\leq \sum \frac{\mu_i^2}{\gamma t} \langle z, \tau_i \rangle^2 \\ &= (\gamma t)^{-1} \langle z, (B_t^n)^2 z \rangle = (\gamma t)^{-1} |B_t^n z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $z = (C_t^n)^{-1} D_t u$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |(C_t^n)^{-1/2} D_t u|^2 &= \langle z, C_t^n z \rangle \\ &= \langle z, D_t B_t^n D_t z \rangle \\ &= \langle D_t z, B_t^n D_t z \rangle \\ &\leq (\gamma t)^{-1} |B_t^n D_t z|^2 \quad (\text{by the above with } D_t z \text{ in place of } z) \\ &= (\gamma t)^{-1} |u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.17) holds and the proof is complete. □

Now that we have Proposition 5.2, we obtain the Hölder norm estimates by making suitable modifications to what we did in Section 3. The main difference is the lack of commutativity between  $P_t$  and  $D_w$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be in  $S^\alpha$  and let  $u, w \in H$ . Then  $D_w P_t f$  and  $D_u D_w P_t f$  are in  $C_b(H)$  and for some constant  $c_1(\alpha, \gamma)$ , satisfy*

$$(5.18) \quad \|D_w P_t f\|_\infty \leq c_1 |w|_t t^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}$$

and

$$(5.19) \quad \|D_u D_w P_t f\|_\infty \leq c_1 |u|_{t/2} |Q_{t/2} w|_{t/2} t^{\alpha/2-1} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

Moreover

$$(5.20) \quad f \in C^\alpha \text{ and } \|f\|_{C^\alpha} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* Using Proposition 5.2 we have by Lemma 2.2 (with  $c_1 = |w|_t/|w|$  in that result) that

$$(5.21) \quad \|D_w P_t f\|_\infty \leq c_2 |w|_t t^{(\alpha-1)/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

The continuity of  $D_w P_t f$  is given by Proposition 5.2.

Use (5.21) with Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 to conclude that for  $t > 0$  and  $u, w \in H$ ,

$$(5.22) \quad D_u D_w P_t f = D_u P_{t/2} D_{Q_{t/2} w} P_{t/2} f \text{ exists, is continuous,}$$

and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_u D_w P_t f\|_\infty &\leq (\gamma t/2)^{-1/2} |u|_{t/2} \|D_{Q_{t/2} w} P_{t/2} f\|_\infty \\ &\leq c_3 t^{-1/2} |u|_{t/2} |Q_{t/2} w|_{t/2} (t/2)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

which gives (5.19).

The last result follows from Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 2.3. □

**Lemma 5.5.** *If  $r > 0, \beta < 1$ , there is a  $c_1(\beta, r)$  such that for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} |w|_{t/r}^2 t^{-\beta} dt \leq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^\infty (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{\beta-1} w_i^2.$$

*Proof.* If  $I_i = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} h(\lambda_i t/r) t^{-\beta} dt$ , Fubini's theorem shows that

$$(5.23) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} |w|_{t/r}^2 t^{-\beta} dt = \sum_{i=1}^\infty w_i^2 I_i.$$

Note that if  $\lambda_i > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} I_i &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda_i t/r}{e^{2\lambda_i t/r} - 1} (2\lambda_i t/r)^{-\beta} 2\lambda_i/r dt (2\lambda_i/r)^{\beta-1} \\ &\leq c_2(r) \lambda_i^{\beta-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{1-\beta}}{e^v - 1} dv = c_3(r) \lambda_i^{\beta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover for all  $\lambda$  we have

$$I_i \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^{-\beta} \lambda^{\beta-1} \lambda dt \leq c_4 \lambda^{\beta-1}.$$

Therefore  $I_i \leq c_5(r) (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{\beta-1}$ , and if this is used in (5.23), the desired result follows. □

If  $w \in H$ , set  $\|w\|_{H,1} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i|$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $\lambda > 0, f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be in  $S^\alpha$ , and  $u, w \in H$ . The functions  $D_w R_\lambda f$  and  $D_u D_w R_\lambda f$  are bounded and continuous on  $H$ . Moreover there exist constants  $c_1(\alpha, \gamma)$  and  $\{c_2(\alpha, \gamma, \varepsilon) : \varepsilon \in (0, \alpha/2)\}$ , independent of  $f$  and  $\lambda$ , such*



that for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha/2)$ ,

$$(5.24) \quad \|D_w R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq c_2 \lambda^{-(1+\alpha)/4} \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty w_i^2 (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{\varepsilon - \alpha - 1} \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

$$(5.25) \quad \|D_u D_w R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq c_2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty w_i^2 (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{-\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i^2 (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{\varepsilon - \alpha} \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

$$(5.26) \quad |D_w R_\lambda f|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i| (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{-1/2} \right) \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

$$(5.27) \quad |D_u D_w R_\lambda f|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1 (|u| \|w\|_{H,1} + |w| \|u\|_{H,1}) \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* A use of Proposition 5.4 allows us to differentiate through the time integral and see that

$$D_w R_\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_w P_s f(x) ds$$

and

$$D_u D_w R_\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_u D_w P_s f(x) ds$$

are both continuous on  $H$ . Moreover by (5.19) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|D_u D_w R_\lambda f\|_\infty \\ & \leq c_4 \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \int_0^\infty |u|_{s/2} |Q_{s/2} w|_{s/2} s^{\alpha/2-1} e^{-\lambda s} ds \\ & \leq c_4 \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty |u|_{s/2}^2 s^{\alpha-\varepsilon-1} e^{-\lambda s} ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^\infty |Q_{s/2} w|_{s/2}^2 s^{\varepsilon-1} e^{-\lambda s} ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Use Lemma 5.5 and the trivial bound  $|Q_{s/2} w|_{s/2} \leq |w|_{s/2}$  to conclude from the above that

$$\|D_u D_w R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq c_5 \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{\varepsilon - \alpha} u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{-\varepsilon} w_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This gives (5.25), and the derivation of (5.24) is similar.

Now consider (5.26). As in Remark 2.1 we may assume that  $0 < t \leq 1$ . Use (5.18) to see that

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|D_w P_t R_\lambda f - D_w R_\lambda f\|_\infty \\ & \leq (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \left\| \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_w P_s f ds \right\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} D_w P_s f ds \right\|_\infty \\ & \leq c_6(\alpha, \gamma) \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \left[ (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} |w|_{s/2} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} ds + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} |w|_{s/2} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} ds \right] \\ & = c_6 \|f\|_{S^\alpha} [I_1 + I_2]. \end{aligned}$$

First bound  $I_1$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.30) \quad & (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i| \sqrt{2\lambda_i s} (e^{2\lambda_i s} - 1)^{-1/2} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} ds \\
 & \leq (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \sum_{\lambda_i > \lambda} |w_i| e^{-\lambda t} \int_t^\infty \sqrt{2\lambda_i s} (e^{2\lambda_i s} - 1)^{-1/2} (\lambda_i s)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \lambda_i ds \lambda_i^{(-1-\alpha)/2} \\
 & \quad + (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \sum_{\lambda_i \leq \lambda} |w_i| \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} (\lambda s)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \lambda ds \lambda^{(-1-\alpha)/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A substitution shows the integral in the first term in (5.30) is bounded uniformly in  $i$  and so this first term is at most

$$(5.31) \quad c_7(\alpha)(1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \sum_{\lambda_i > \lambda} |w_i| \lambda_i^{(-1-\alpha)/2}.$$

The integral in the second term in (5.30) is at most  $c_8(\alpha)e^{-\lambda t}$  and so the second term in (5.30) is at most

$$(5.32) \quad c_8(1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \sum_{\lambda_i \leq \lambda} |w_i| \lambda^{(-1-\alpha)/2}.$$

Use (5.31) and (5.32) in (5.30) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.33) \quad & I_1 \leq c_9(1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i| (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{(-1-\alpha)/2} \\
 & \leq c_9 t^{\alpha/2} \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i| (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{-1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next bound  $I_2$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.34) \quad & \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i| \sqrt{2\lambda_i s} (e^{2\lambda_i s} - 1)^{-1/2} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} ds \\
 & \leq \sum_{\lambda_i > \lambda} |w_i| \int_0^t \sqrt{2\lambda_i s} (e^{2\lambda_i s} - 1)^{-1/2} (\lambda_i s)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \lambda_i ds \lambda_i^{(-\alpha-1)/2} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\lambda_i \leq \lambda} |w_i| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} (\lambda s)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \lambda ds \lambda^{(-1-\alpha)/2} \\
 & \leq \sum_{\lambda_i > \lambda} |w_i| \int_0^{\lambda_i t} \sqrt{2} (e^{2u} - 1)^{-1/2} u^{\alpha/2} du \lambda_i^{(-1-\alpha)/2} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\lambda_i \leq \lambda} |w_i| \int_0^{\lambda t} e^{-u} u^{(\alpha-1)/2} du \lambda^{(-1-\alpha)/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The integral in the first summation is at most

$$c_{10} \int_0^{\lambda_i t} u^{\alpha/2-1} du \leq c_{10} (\lambda_i t)^{\alpha/2}$$

and the integral in the second summation in (5.34) is at most

$$\int_0^{\lambda t} e^{-u/2} u^{\alpha/2-1} du \leq c_{10}(\lambda t)^{\alpha/2}.$$

Use these bounds in (5.34) to see that  $I_2$  is also bounded by the right-hand side of (5.33). Use this and (5.33) in (5.29) to conclude that

$$(5.35) \quad \|D_w P_t R_\lambda f - D_w R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq c_{11} \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{-1/2} |w_i| \right) t^{\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

Proposition 5.1 and (5.18) imply that

$$(5.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_t D_w P_s f - D_w P_t P_s f\|_\infty &= \|P_t D_{w-Q_t w} P_s f\|_\infty \\ &\leq c_{12} |w - Q_t w|_s s^{(\alpha-1)/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} |w - Q_t w|_s s^{(\alpha-1)/2} ds \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i| (1 - e^{-\lambda_i t}) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} (2\lambda_i s)^{1/2} (e^{2\lambda_i s} - 1)^{-1/2} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} ds \\ &\leq \sum_{\lambda_i > \lambda} |w_i| (1 - e^{-\lambda_i t}) \int_0^\infty (2\lambda_i s)^{1/2} (e^{2\lambda_i s} - 1)^{-1/2} (\lambda_i s)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \lambda_i ds \lambda_i^{(-1-\alpha)/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\lambda_i \leq \lambda} |w_i| (1 - e^{-\lambda_i t}) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} (\lambda s)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \lambda ds \lambda^{(-1-\alpha)/2} \\ &\leq c_{13} \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i| (1 - e^{-\lambda_i t}) (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{(-1-\alpha)/2} \\ &\leq c_{13} \sum_{i=1}^\infty |w_i| (\lambda_i + \lambda)^{-1/2} t^{\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrate (5.36) with respect  $e^{-\lambda s} ds$ , use the above bound, and combine the resulting inequality with (5.35) to derive (5.26).

Finally consider (5.27). Use (5.19) to see that for  $0 < t \leq 1$  and  $u, w \in H$ ,

$$(5.37) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|D_u D_w P_t R_\lambda f - D_u D_w R_\lambda f\|_\infty \\ &\leq (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \left\| \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} D_u D_w P_s f ds \right\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} D_u D_w P_s f ds \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq c_{14} \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \left[ (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} |u|_{s/2} |Q_{s/2} w|_{s/2} s^{(\alpha/2)-1} ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} |u|_{s/2} |Q_{s/2} w|_{s/2} s^{(\alpha/2)-1} ds \right] \\ &\leq c_{14} |w| |u| \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \left[ (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \int_{\lambda t}^\infty e^{-u} u^{\alpha/2-1} du \lambda^{-\alpha/2} + \int_0^t s^{\alpha/2-1} ds \right] \\ &\leq c_{15} |w| |u| \|f\|_{S^\alpha} [(1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \lambda^{-\alpha/2} + t^{\alpha/2}] \\ &\leq c_{16} |w| |u| \|f\|_{S^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(5.38) \quad P_t D_u D_w P_s f - D_u D_w P_t P_s f = [P_t D_u D_w P_s f - D_u P_t D_w P_s f, \\ + [D_u P_t D_w P_s f - D_u D_w P_t P_s f].$$

By Proposition 5.1 and (5.22) (the latter to verify the hypothesis of Proposition 5.1), the first term on the right is equal to  $P_t D_{u-Q_t u} D_w P_s f$  and so by (5.19) has sup norm bounded by

$$c_{17} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} |u - Q_t u|_{s/2} |Q_{s/2} w|_{s/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_{18} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} |u - Q_t u|_{s/2} |w|_{s/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 show that the second term on the right-hand side of (5.38) is  $D_u P_t D_{w-Q_t w} P_s f$ , which by (5.22) and Proposition 5.1 equals  $P_t D_{Q_t u} D_{(I-Q_t)w} P_s f$ . Use (5.19) to bound the sup norm of this expression by

$$c_{19} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} |Q_t u|_{s/2} |Q_{s/2}(w - Q_t w)|_{s/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_{19} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} |u|_{s/2} |w - Q_t w|_{s/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

These bounds and (5.38) give

$$(5.39) \quad \|P_t D_u D_w P_s f - D_u D_w P_t P_s f\|_\infty \\ \leq c_{20} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} [|u|_{s/2} |w - Q_t w|_{s/2} + |w|_{s/2} |u - Q_t u|_{s/2}] \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

Note that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} s^{(\alpha/2)-1} |u|_{s/2} |w - Q_t w|_{s/2} ds \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \\ \leq |u| \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \int_0^\infty s^{(\alpha/2)-1} \left[ \sum_i w_i^2 (1 - e^{-\lambda_i t})^2 \frac{\lambda_i s}{e^{\lambda_i s} - 1} \right]^{1/2} ds \\ \leq |u| \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \int_0^\infty s^{(\alpha/2)-1} \sum_i |w_i| (1 - e^{-\lambda_i t}) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i s}}{\sqrt{e^{\lambda_i s} - 1}} ds \\ \leq |u| \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \sum_i |w_i| \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda_i s)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \lambda_i^{-\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{e^{\lambda_i s} - 1}} \lambda_i ds (1 - e^{-\lambda_i t}).$$

Note that  $1 - e^{-\lambda_i t} \leq (\lambda_i t)^{\alpha/2}$  and so the above gives

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} s^{(\alpha/2)-1} |u|_{s/2} |w - Q_t w|_{s/2} ds \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_{21} |u| \|w\|_{H,1} \|f\|_{S^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2}.$$

Integrate (5.39) with respect to  $e^{-\lambda s} ds$ , use the above bound, and combine the resulting bound with (5.37) to conclude that

$$\|P_t D_u D_w R_\lambda f - D_u D_w R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq c_{22} [|u| |w| + |u| \|w\|_{H,1} + \|u\|_{H,1} |w|] \|f\|_{S^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2}$$

and (5.27) follows. □

**Corollary 5.7.** *There exists a constant  $c_1(\alpha, \gamma)$  such that for all  $\lambda > 0$ , any bounded measurable  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and for all  $i \leq j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$(5.40) \quad \|D_i R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq c_1 (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{-(\alpha+1)/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

$$(5.41) \quad \|D_{ij} R_\lambda f\|_\infty \leq c_1 (\lambda + \lambda_j)^{-\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

$$(5.42) \quad \|D_i R_\lambda f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1 (\lambda + \lambda_i)^{-1/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

$$(5.43) \quad \|D_{ij} R_\lambda f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* The first two inequalities follow easily from the bounds in the proof of Theorem 5.6 prior to the use of Hölder’s inequality. For example, to derive (5.41), use (5.28) with  $u = \epsilon_i$  and  $w = \epsilon_j$  to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{ij}R_\lambda f| &\leq c_3\|f\|_{S^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{h(\lambda_j s/2)}s^{\alpha/2-1}e^{-\lambda s}ds \\ &\leq c_3\|f\|_{S^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{h(u/2)}u^{\alpha/2-1}du\lambda_j^{-\alpha/2} \\ &\leq c_4\|f\|_{S^\alpha}\lambda_j^{-\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Use  $h \leq 1$  to also bound the first line of the above display by  $c_5\|f\|_{S^\alpha}\lambda^{-\alpha/2}$ , and (5.41) follows. A similar argument gives (5.40). The last two inequalities are now immediate from (5.26), (5.27) and the first two inequalities.  $\square$

*Remark 5.8.* In Corollary 5.7 we showed that the operator  $D_{ij}R_\lambda$  is a bounded operator on  $S^\alpha$  with a norm independent of  $i$  and  $j$ . It is also known that  $D_{ij}R_\lambda$  is a bounded operator with respect to the usual  $C^\alpha$  norm, again with a norm independent of  $i$  and  $j$ ; see [D], [L], [Z], or especially Section 6.4.1 of [DZ]. Neither of these results contains the other. The  $C^\alpha$  norm emphasizes the local continuity, while the  $S^\alpha$  norm also gives weight to the behavior of  $f(x)$  when  $|x|$  is large. Both results are of interest.

6. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NORMS – THE GENERALIZED ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK CASE

We now prove the analogue of Proposition 4.1. Let  $|f|_{\alpha,i}$  be defined as in (4.1) and set

$$(6.1) \quad |f|_{\alpha,i,W} = \sup_{x,h \neq 0} \frac{|f(x+h\epsilon_i) - f(x)||x_i|^{\alpha/2}}{|h|^{\alpha/2}}.$$

Let

$$(6.2) \quad \|f\|_{E^\alpha} = \|f\|_\infty + \sum_i |f|_{\alpha,i} + \sum_i \lambda_i^{\alpha/2}|f|_{\alpha,i,W} \equiv \|f\|_\infty + |f|_{E^\alpha},$$

and let  $E^\alpha$  be the space of continuous functions with  $\|f\|_{E^\alpha} < \infty$ . In Proposition 6.3 below we introduce a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{F^\alpha}$  which is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{S^\alpha}$  in finite dimensions. This norm could be used in place of  $\|\cdot\|_{E^\alpha}$  in the statement of Proposition 6.1; we use  $\|\cdot\|_{E^\alpha}$  in the next proposition because of its simpler form.

**Proposition 6.1.** *There exists  $c_1(\alpha, \gamma)$  such that if  $f \in E^\alpha$  and  $g \in S^\alpha$ , then*

$$\|fg\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1\|f\|_{E^\alpha}\|g\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*In fact,*

$$\|fg\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1[\|f\|_\infty\|g\|_{S^\alpha} + |f|_{E^\alpha}\|g\|_\infty].$$

*In particular  $E^\alpha \subset S^\alpha \subset C^\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, it suffices to fix  $x \in H$  and show that if  $f(x) = 0$ , then for some  $c_2 = c_2(\alpha, \gamma)$

$$(6.3) \quad |P_t(fg)(x)| \leq c_2|f|_{E^\alpha}\|g\|_\infty t^{\alpha/2}.$$

For  $y \in H$  let  $z_i(y), z_i^*(y) \in H$  satisfy

$$\langle z_i(y), \epsilon_j \rangle = \langle y, \epsilon_j \rangle 1_{(j \leq i)} + \langle x, \epsilon_j \rangle 1_{(j > i)}$$

and

$$\langle z_i^*(y), \epsilon_j \rangle = \langle y, \epsilon_j \rangle \mathbf{1}_{(j < i)} + \langle Q_t x, \epsilon_i \rangle \mathbf{1}_{(j=i)} + \langle x, \epsilon_j \rangle \mathbf{1}_{(j > i)}.$$

Let

$$f_i(y) = f(z_i(y)) - f(z_{i-1}(y)).$$

Note that  $f_i(y)$  is equal to  $f(z_{i-1}(y) + (y_i - x_i)\epsilon_i) - f(z_{i-1}(y))$ . Therefore we see  $\|f_i\|_\infty \leq |f|_{\alpha,i}|y_i - x_i|^\alpha$ . Our assumption  $f(x) = 0$ , together with dominated convergence and the continuity of  $f$ , implies  $P_t(fg)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty P_t(f_i g)(x)$ . Then

$$(6.4) \quad |P_t(fg)(x)| \leq \sum_i P_t|f_i g|(x) \leq \sum_i \|g\|_\infty P_t|f_i|(x).$$

Let  $Z_t$  denote a mean zero Gaussian random vector in  $H$  with covariance  $C_t$ . Then

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} P_t(|f_i|)(x) &= \mathbb{E}(|f(z_i(Q_t x + Z_t)) - f(z_{i-1}(Q_t x + Z_t))|) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(|f(z_i(Q_t x + Z_t)) - f(z_i^*(Q_t x + Z_t))|) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}(|f(z_i^*(Q_t x + Z_t)) - f(z_{i-1}(Q_t x + Z_t))|) \\ &\leq |f|_{\alpha,i} \mathbb{E}(|\langle Z_t, \epsilon_i \rangle|^\alpha) + |f|_{\alpha,i,W} |\langle Q_t x - x, \epsilon_i \rangle|^{\alpha/2} |x_i|^{-\alpha/2} \mathbf{1}_{(x_i \neq 0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbb{E}(\langle Z_t, \epsilon_i \rangle^2) = a_{ii}(1 - e^{-2\lambda_i t})(2\lambda_i)^{-1} \leq \gamma^{-1}t.$$

Therefore the first term in (6.5) is at most

$$(6.7) \quad |f|_{\alpha,i} \mathbb{E}(\langle Z_t, e_i \rangle^2)^{\alpha/2} \leq |f|_{\alpha,i} \gamma^{-\alpha/2} t^{\alpha/2}.$$

The second term in (6.5) is bounded by

$$(6.8) \quad |f|_{\alpha,i,W} (1 - e^{-\lambda_i t})^{\alpha/2} \leq |f|_{\alpha,i,W} \lambda_i^{\alpha/2} t^{\alpha/2}.$$

Put (6.7) and (6.8) into (6.5) and sum over  $i$  to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i P_t(|f_i|)(x) &\leq \left[ \gamma^{-\alpha/2} \sum_i |f|_{\alpha,i} + \sum_i |f|_{\alpha,i,W} \lambda_i^{\alpha/2} \right] t^{\alpha/2} \\ &\leq c_2(\alpha, \gamma) |f|_{E^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Put this bound into (6.4) to derive (6.3) and hence complete the proof of the required inequalities.

Set  $g = 1$  and use (5.20) to prove the final inclusions. □

**Proposition 6.2.** *Assume  $\lambda_i \geq c_1 i^2$  for all  $i$  and some  $c_1 > 0$ . Then  $S^\alpha$  is an algebra and (2.6) and (2.7) are valid.*

*Proof.* We verify the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4. If  $Z_t$  is as in the previous proof, by (6.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^x(|X_t - \mathbb{E}^x(X_t)|^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}(\langle Z_t, \epsilon_i \rangle^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_{ii} \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_i t}}{2\lambda_i} \\ &\leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^\infty (i^{-2} \wedge t). \end{aligned}$$

An elementary calculation shows the above is at most  $c_3\sqrt{t}$  and so the result follows now from Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

Finally, we present a norm that is equivalent to  $S^\alpha$  in the finite-dimensional case. Define

$$(6.9) \quad |f|_{F^\alpha} = \sup_{t \neq 0, x} \frac{|f(Q_t x) - f(x)|}{t^{\alpha/2}}.$$

The letter  $F$  stands for “flow”, as what we have here is a weighted Hölder seminorm along the flow  $Q_t x$ . Note  $Q_t$  is deterministic:

$$Q_t x = Q_t \left( \sum_i x_i \epsilon_i \right) = \sum_i e^{-\lambda_i t} x_i \epsilon_i.$$

Define

$$(6.10) \quad \|f\|_{F^\alpha} = \|f\|_{C^\alpha} + |f|_{F^\alpha}.$$

Recall  $\pi_n$  is the projection of  $H$  onto the subspace spanned by  $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ . In the next result we effectively reduce to the finite-dimensional case by considering functions which only depend on the first  $d$  coordinates.

**Proposition 6.3.** *There exist positive  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  depending on  $(\gamma, d)$  such that for any measurable  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $f = f \circ \pi_d$ ,*

$$c_1 \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{F^\alpha} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $Z_t$  be the Gaussian vector introduced in the previous proof. Then, using (6.6), we have

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |P_t f(x) - f(x)| &\leq |\mathbb{E}(f(Q_t x + Z_t) - f(Q_t x))| + |f(Q_t x) - f(x)| \\ &\leq |f|_{C^\alpha} \mathbb{E}(|\pi_d Z_t|^\alpha) + |f|_{F^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2} \\ &\leq t^{\alpha/2} \left[ |f|_{C^\alpha} (d\gamma^{-1})^{\alpha/2} + |f|_{F^\alpha} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the left-hand inequality is established.

Turning to the right-hand inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(Q_t x) - f(x)| &= \left| (P_t f(x) - f(x)) - (\mathbb{E}(f(Q_t x + Z_t)) - f(Q_t x)) \right| \\ &\leq |f|_{S^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2} + |f|_{C^\alpha} \mathbb{E}(|\pi_d Z_t|^\alpha) \\ &\leq t^{\alpha/2} \left[ |f|_{S^\alpha} + c_3 \|f\|_{S^\alpha} (d\gamma^{-1})^{\alpha/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used (5.20) and (6.6) again. This together with a further application of (5.20) give the right-hand inequality.  $\square$

The following gives a relationship between  $S^\alpha$  and  $C^\alpha$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** *We have*

$$|f|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1 \sum_k |f|_{\alpha, k} + |f|_{F^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* As in (6.11),

$$|P_t f(x) - f(x)| \leq |\mathbb{E}f(Q_t x + Z_t) - f(Q_t x)| + |f(Q_t x) - f(x)|.$$

The second term on the right is bounded by  $|f|_{F^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2}$ , so we need to bound  $|\mathbb{E}f(y + Z_t) - f(y)|$ , where we write  $y$  for  $Q_t x$ . Replacing  $f(\cdot)$  by  $f(\cdot) - f(y)$ , without loss of generality we may assume  $f(y) = 0$ . Define random variables  $Y_i$  by

$$\langle Y_i(\omega), \epsilon_j \rangle = \langle y + Z_t(\omega), \epsilon_j \rangle 1_{(j \leq i)} + \langle y, \epsilon_j \rangle 1_{(j > i)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}f(y + Z_t)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}|f(Y_i) - f(Y_{i-1})| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f|_{\alpha,i} \mathbb{E}|\langle Z_t, \epsilon_i \rangle|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Using the calculation in (6.7), this is turn is bounded by

$$\sum_i |f|_{\alpha,i} (\gamma^{-1}t)^{\alpha/2},$$

which gives the proposition. □

### 7. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NORMS: SUPER-MARKOV CHAINS

In [BP] Hölder norm estimates were proved for the operator

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d [\gamma_i x_i D_{ii} f(x) + b_i D_i f(x)]$$

operating on  $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ . Here  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) \in (0, \infty)^d$  and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ . The estimates were with respect to the norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{C_w^\alpha} = \|f\|_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^d |f|_{\alpha,i,w},$$

where

$$|f|_{\alpha,i,w} = \sup_{h>0, x \in [0, \infty)^d} \frac{|f(x + h\epsilon_i) - f(x)|}{h^\alpha} x_i^{\alpha/2}.$$

Set  $C_w^\alpha = \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^d) : \|f\|_{C_w^\alpha} < \infty\}$ . In [BP] this norm was essentially forced on us in order to get the estimates we needed. The Hölder norm estimates analogous to Corollary 5.7, but for  $\|f\|_{C_w^\alpha}$ , are derived in [BP] and make up a considerable portion of that paper. So in this section we content ourselves with showing that the  $C_w^\alpha$  norm is equivalent to the  $S^\alpha$  norm for this operator.

Let  $P_t$  denote the semigroup associated with  $\mathcal{L}$  and let  $\mathbb{E}^x$  denote expectation with respect to the associated Markov process  $(X_t, t > 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ , starting at  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ . More precisely under  $\mathbb{P}^x$ ,  $X$  is the unique (in law) process such that  $X_0 = x$  and

$$M^f(t) = f(X_t) - f(x) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}f(X_s) ds$$

is a  $\sigma(X_s, s \leq t)$ -martingale for all  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ . If  $d = 1$ , let  $\mathbb{P}^x(X_t \in dy) = p_t^{\gamma,b}(x, dy)$  and write  $p_t^i(x_i, dy_i)$  for  $p_t^{\gamma_i, b_i}(x_i, dy_i)$ .

We will need some results proved in [BP]. The first lemma is elementary.



**Lemma 7.1.** (a) For each  $p > 0$  there exists a constant  $c_p$  such that if  $r > 0$ , then

$$\int_0^\infty |z - r|^p \frac{z^{r-1}}{\Gamma(r)} e^{-z} dz \leq c_p (r^{p/2} + 1).$$

(b) Let  $N$  be a Poisson random variable with parameter  $w$  and let  $r \geq 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[N^{-r} \mathbf{1}_{(N \geq 1)}] \leq c_r (1 \wedge w^{-r}).$$

*Proof.* See Lemma 3.2(a) and Lemma 3.3(a) in [BP]. □

**Lemma 7.2.** Let  $(P_t)$  be as above.

(a) Let  $d = 1$  and  $t > 0$ . If  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and measurable, then

$$\begin{aligned} (P_t f)'(x) &= e^{-x/\gamma t} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\frac{x}{\gamma t}\right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\infty f(z\gamma t) e^{-z} \left[ \frac{z^{k+\frac{b}{\gamma}}}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{b}{\gamma})} - \frac{z^{k-1+\frac{b}{\gamma}}}{\Gamma(k+\frac{b}{\gamma})} \right] \frac{dz}{\gamma t} \\ &\quad + e^{-x/\gamma t} \int_0^\infty f(z\gamma t) z^{\frac{b}{\gamma}} e^{-z} \frac{dz}{\gamma t} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{1}_{(b>0)} e^{-x/\gamma t} \int_0^\infty f(z\gamma t) e^{-z} \frac{z^{\frac{b}{\gamma}-1}}{\Gamma(b/\gamma)} \frac{dz}{\gamma t} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{1}_{(b=0)} e^{-x/\gamma t} f(0) \int_0^\infty e^{-z} \frac{dz}{\gamma t}. \end{aligned}$$

The series converges uniformly in  $x$  on compacts in  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $t > 0$ .

(b) If  $f \in C_w^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ , then for all  $t > 0$ ,  $P_t f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ . Moreover there is a  $c_\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\|(P_t f)_i\|_\infty + \|x_i (P_t f)_{ii}\|_\infty \leq c_\alpha |f|_{\alpha,i} (\gamma_i t)^{\alpha/2-1}.$$

*Proof.* (a) See Lemmas 4.1(a) and 4.5(a) of [BP] and note the continuity assumed there is not needed for this result.

(b) See Propositions 5.2 and 5.1(a) in [BP]. □

*Remark 7.3.* A measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$  satisfying  $\|f\|_{C_w^\alpha} < \infty$  need not be continuous on  $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^d$  and so we have added the continuity of  $f$  in our definition of  $C_w^\alpha$  as was done in [BP]. It may be more in the spirit of our definition of  $S^\alpha$  to drop the continuity condition for  $C_w^\alpha$  and this can be easily done. If  $f : (0, \infty)^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\|f\|_{C_w^\alpha} < \infty$  (the norm is extended to such functions in the obvious way), then Lemma 2.2 of [BP] shows that  $f$  has a unique continuous extension to  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$  which is Hölder  $(\alpha/2)$  continuous and is necessarily in  $C_w^\alpha$ . In view of Proposition 7.6 below, functions in  $S^\alpha$  will have the same property, i.e., are continuous when redefined in the necessary manner on  $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^d$ .

**Lemma 7.4.** Let  $f$  be a bounded Borel function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ . If  $t > 0$ , then  $D_i P_t f(x)$  is a continuous function in  $x_i$  satisfying

$$|D_i P_t f(x)| \leq c_1 [(\gamma_i t x_i)^{-1/2} \wedge (\gamma_i t)^{-1}] \|f\|_\infty$$

for some constant  $c_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{x}_i = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d-1}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  and define

$$F^{\hat{x}_i}(y_i) = \int \prod_{j \neq i} p_t^j(x_j, dy_j) f(y).$$

Set  $s = \gamma_i t$  for a fixed  $t > 0$ . Then use Lemma 7.2(a) to see

(7.1)

$$\begin{aligned} D_i P_t f(x) &= D_i \int F^{\hat{x}_i}(y_i) p_t^i(x_i, dy_i) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-x_i/s} \frac{(x_i/s)^k}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} F^{\hat{x}_i}(zs) e^{-z} \left[ \frac{z^{k+(b_i/\gamma_i)}}{\Gamma(k+(b_i/\gamma_i)+1)} - \frac{z^{k+(b_i/\gamma_i)-1}}{\Gamma(k+(b_i/\gamma_i))} \right] \frac{dz}{s} \\ &\quad + e^{-x_i/s} \int_0^{\infty} F^{\hat{x}_i}(zs) e^{-z} \frac{z^{b_i/\gamma_i}}{\Gamma((b_i/\gamma_i)+1)} \frac{dz}{s} \\ &\quad - 1_{(b_i>0)} e^{-x_i/s} \int_0^{\infty} F^{\hat{x}_i}(zs) e^{-z} \frac{z^{(b_i/\gamma_i)-1}}{\Gamma(b_i/\gamma_i)} \frac{dz}{s} - 1_{(b_i=0)} e^{-x_i/s} F^{\hat{x}_i}(0) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-z} \frac{dz}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a_k = a_k(\hat{x}_i)$  is the integral in the above summation over  $k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_k| &\leq \|F^{\hat{x}_i}\|_{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-z} \frac{z^{k+(b_i/\gamma_i)-1}}{\Gamma(k+(b_i/\gamma_i))} \frac{|z-(k+(b_i/\gamma_i))|}{k+(b_i/\gamma_i)} \frac{dz}{s} \\ &\leq c_2 \|f\|_{\infty} \left( (k+(b_i/\gamma_i))^{1/2} + 1 \right) (k+(b_i/\gamma_i))^{-1} s^{-1} \\ &\leq 2c_2 \|f\|_{\infty} (k+(b_i/\gamma_i))^{-1/2} s^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 7.1(a) is used in the second inequality. It is now easy to see that the series in (7.1) converges uniformly for  $x_i$  in a compact set and so  $D_i P_t f(x)$  is continuous in  $x_i$ . Moreover this bound, (7.1) and the elementary bound in Lemma 7.1(b) also show that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_i P_t f(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-x_i/s} \frac{(x_i/s)^k}{k!} c_3 \|f\|_{\infty} (k+(b_i/\gamma_i))^{-1/2} s^{-1} + 2e^{-x_i/s} \|f\|_{\infty} s^{-1} \\ &\leq c_4 (1 \wedge (x_i/s)^{-1/2}) \|f\|_{\infty} s^{-1} + 2e^{-x_i/s} \|f\|_{\infty} s^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e^{-x_i/s} \leq 1 \wedge (x_i/s)^{-1/2}$ , the required result follows. □

**Lemma 7.5.** *If  $f$  is a bounded Borel function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ , then*

$$|D_i P_t f(x)| \leq c_1(\alpha) \frac{t^{(\alpha-1)/2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_i x_i}} \|f\|_{S^\alpha},$$

where  $c_1$  depends only on  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the previous result, exactly as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

**Proposition 7.6.** *Let  $f$  be a bounded Borel function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ . Then*

$$|f|_{\alpha, i, w} \leq c_1 \gamma_i^{-\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* If  $h > 0$ , then Lemma 7.4, the fundamental theorem of calculus and Lemma 7.5 show that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t f(x+h\epsilon_i) - P_t f(x)| &= \left| \int_0^h D_i P_t f(x+h'\epsilon_i) dh' \right| \\ &\leq c_2 t^{(\alpha-1)/2} \gamma_i^{-1/2} \int_{x_i}^{x_i+h} y^{-1/2} dy \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \\ &\leq c_2 t^{(\alpha-1)/2} (\gamma_i x_i)^{-1/2} h \|f\|_{S^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$|P_t f(x) - f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{S^\alpha} t^{\alpha/2}.$$

The above two inequalities imply

$$|f(x + h\epsilon_i) - f(x)| \leq (2t^{\alpha/2} + c_2 t^{(\alpha-1)/2} (\gamma_i x_i)^{-1/2} h) \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

We optimize by setting  $t = (c_2^2/4)h^2(x_i\gamma_i)^{-1}$ , and so

$$|f(x + h\epsilon_i) - f(x)| \leq c_3(\alpha)\gamma_i^{-\alpha/2} h^\alpha x_i^{-\alpha/2} \|f\|_{S^\alpha}.$$

□

Recall the definition of  $|f|_{S^\alpha}$  from (2.1).

**Proposition 7.7.** *If  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ , then  $|f|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_1(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^d ((b_i/\gamma_i) + 1)\gamma_i^{\alpha/2} |f|_{\alpha,i,w}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $f \in C_w^\alpha$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Knowing  $P_\varepsilon f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  from Lemma 7.2(b) and the fact that we are working with a solution to the martingale problem for  $\mathcal{L}$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t f(x) - P_\varepsilon f(x)| &= \left| \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} P_s \mathcal{L}(P_\varepsilon f)(x) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}P_{s+\varepsilon} f(x) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_\varepsilon^t |\mathcal{L}P_s f(x)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Use the upper bounds in Proposition 7.2(b) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t f(x) - P_\varepsilon f(x)| &\leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^d (b_i \gamma_i^{(\alpha/2)-1} + \gamma_i^{\alpha/2}) |f|_{\alpha,i,w} \int_\varepsilon^t s^{\alpha/2-1} ds \\ &\leq c_3 \sum_{i=1}^d (1 + b_i/\gamma_i) \gamma_i^{\alpha/2} |f|_{\alpha,i,w} t^{\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  to complete the proof. □

**Theorem 7.8.** *Assume  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \gamma_i \leq K$  and  $b_i \leq K$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , for some  $\varepsilon \leq 1 \leq K$ . There are constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2(\alpha)$  such that for all  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,*

$$c_1 \varepsilon^{\alpha/2} \max_{i \leq d} |f|_{\alpha,i,w} \leq |f|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_2(K/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^d |f|_{\alpha,i,w},$$

and therefore there are constants  $c_3$  and  $c_4$ , depending on  $\varepsilon, K$  and  $\alpha$ , such that

$$c_3 d^{-1} \|f\|_{C_w^\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq c_4 \|f\|_{C_w^\alpha}$$

for all  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ .

*Proof.* This is immediate from Propositions 7.6 and 7.7. □

*Remark 7.9.* Let  $D$  denote differentiation with respect to  $t$ , define

$$\|f\|_{G^\alpha} = \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{t>0} \|DP_t f\|_\infty t^{1-(\alpha/2)},$$

and introduce

$$G^\alpha = \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^d) : DP_t f(x) \text{ exists and is continuous in } t > 0 \text{ for all } x, \|f\|_{G^\alpha} < \infty\}.$$

The proof of Proposition 7.6 can be easily modified to show that  $C_w^\alpha \subset G^\alpha$  and

$$\|f\|_{G^\alpha} \leq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^d (1 + b_i/\gamma_i) \gamma_i^{\alpha/2} |f|_{\alpha,i,w} + \|f\|_\infty$$

for all  $f \in C_w^\alpha$ . A trivial integration shows that  $G^\alpha \subset \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^d) : \|f\|_{S^\alpha} < \infty\}$  and  $\|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \|f\|_{G^\alpha}$ . Combine these observations with Theorem 7.8 to conclude  $C_w^\alpha = G^\alpha = S^\alpha \cap C_b$  and for  $\varepsilon, K$  as in Theorem 7.7 there are  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  such that

$$c_2 d^{-1} \|f\|_{C_w^\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{S^\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \|f\|_{G^\alpha} \leq c_3 \|f\|_{C_w^\alpha}.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank L. Zambotti for patiently answering our many questions concerning norms related to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator.

#### REFERENCES

- [ABGP] S. Athreya, R.F. Bass, M. Gordina and E.A. Perkins, Infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type, in preparation.
- [Ba] R.F. Bass, *Probabilistic techniques in analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR1329542 (96e:60001)
- [BP] R.F. Bass and E.A. Perkins, Degenerate stochastic differential equations with Hölder continuous coefficients and super-Markov chains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003) 373–405. MR1928092 (2003m:60144)
- [CD] P. Cannarsa and G. Da Prato, Infinite-dimensional elliptic equations with Hölder-continuous coefficients. *Adv. Differential Equations* **1** (1996) 425–452. MR1401401 (97g:35174)
- [D] G. Da Prato, Some results on elliptic and parabolic equations in Hilbert spaces. *Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei* **7** (1996) 181–199. MR1454413 (98g:35206)
- [DZ] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Second order partial differential equations in Hilbert spaces*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. MR1985790 (2004e:47058)
- [GT] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Second edition*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. MR0737190 (86c:35035)
- [KX] G. K. Kallianpur and J. Xiong, *Stochastic Differential Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*. IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, Vol. 26, IMS, Hayward, 1995. MR1465436 (98h:60001)
- [L] A. Lunardi, An interpolation method to characterize domains of generators of semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* **53** (1996) 321–329. MR1406778 (98a:47040)
- [M] P.A. Meyer, *Probability and Potentials*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1966. MR0205288 (34:5119)
- [RN] F. Riesz, B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional Analysis*, Ungar, New York, 1955. MR0071727 (17:175i)
- [S] E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970. MR0290095 (44:7280)

- [W] J.B. Walsh, An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. *Ecole d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIV—1984*, 265–439. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR0876082 (88a:60002)
- [Z] L. Zambotti, An analytic approach to existence and uniqueness for martingale problems in infinite dimensions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **118** (2000) 147–168. MR1790079 (2001h:60116)

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 8TH MILE MYSORE ROAD, BANGALORE 560059, INDIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CONNECTICUT 06269

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA V6T 1Z2