A HOMOTOPY PRINCIPLE FOR MAPS
WITH PRESCRIBED THOM-BOARDMAN SINGULARITIES

YOSHIKUMI ANDO

ABSTRACT. Let $N$ and $P$ be smooth manifolds of dimensions $n$ and $p (n \geq p \geq 2)$ respectively. Let $\Omega^I(N, P)$ denote an open subspace of $J^\infty(N, P)$ which consists of all Boardman submanifolds $\Sigma^J(N, P)$ of symbols $J$ with $J \leq I$. An $\Omega^I$-regular map $f : N \to P$ refers to a smooth map such that $j^\infty f(N) \subset \Omega^I(N, P)$. We will prove what is called the homotopy principle for $\Omega^I$-regular maps on the existence level. Namely, a continuous section $s$ of $\Omega^I(N, P)$ over $N$ has an $\Omega^I$-regular map $f$ such that $s$ and $j^\infty f$ are homotopic as sections.

INTRODUCTION

Let $N$ and $P$ be smooth ($C^\infty$) manifolds of dimensions $n$ and $p$ respectively with $n \geq p \geq 2$. In [1] there have been defined what are called the Boardman manifolds $\Sigma^J(N, P)$ in $J^\infty(N, P)$ for the symbol $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r)$, where $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r$ are a finite number of integers with $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \cdots \geq i_r \geq 0$. We say that a smooth map germ $f : (N, x) \to (P, y)$ has $x$ as a Thom-Boardman singularity of the symbol $I$ if and only if $j^\infty_0 f \in \Sigma^J(N, P)$. Let $\Omega^J(N, P)$ denote an open subset of $J^\infty(N, P)$ which consists of all Boardman manifolds $\Sigma^J(N, P)$ with symbols $J$ of length $r$ satisfying $J \leq I$ in the lexicographic order. It is known that $\Omega^J(N, P)$ is an open subbundle of $J^\infty(N, P)$ with the projection $\pi^\infty_N \times \pi^\infty_P$, whose fiber is denoted by $\Omega^J(n, p)$. A smooth map $f : N \to P$ is called an $\Omega^J$-regular map if $j^\infty f(N) \subset \Omega^J(N, P)$.

We will study a homotopy theoretic condition for a given continuous map to be homotopic to an $\Omega^J$-regular map. Let $C^\infty_{\Omega^J}(N, P)$ denote the space consisting of all $\Omega^J$-regular maps equipped with the $C^\infty$-topology. Let $\Gamma_{\Omega^J}(N, P)$ denote the space consisting of all continuous sections of the fiber bundle $\pi^\infty_N \Omega^J(N, P) : \Omega^J(N, P) \to N$ equipped with the compact-open topology. Then there exists a continuous map

$$j_{\Omega^J} : C^\infty_{\Omega^J}(N, P) \to \Gamma_{\Omega^J}(N, P)$$

defined by $j_{\Omega^J}(f) = j^\infty f$. It follows from the well-known theorem due to Gromov [1] that if $N$ is a connected open manifold, then $j_{\Omega^J}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. This property is called the homotopy principle (the terminology used in [2]). If $N$ is a closed manifold, then it becomes a hard problem for us to prove the homotopy principle. As the primary investigation preceding [1], we must refer to the Smale-Hirsch Immersion Theorem ([3]), k-mersion Theorem due to
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Feit [F] and the Phillips Submersion Theorem for open manifolds ([P]). In [E1] and [E2], Eliašberg has proved the well-known homotopy principle on the 1-jet level for $\Omega^{n-p+1,0}$-regular maps, say fold-maps. As for the Thom-Boardman singularities, du Plessis [duP] has proved that if $i_r > n - p - d'^l$, where $d'^l$ is the sum of $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{r-1}$ with $\alpha_l$ being 1 or 0 depending on whether $i_r - i_{r+1} > 1$ or otherwise, then $\partial I^r$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

In this paper we prove the following relative homotopy principle on the existence level for closed manifolds.

**Theorem 0.1.** Let $n \geq p \geq 2$. Let $N$ and $P$ be connected manifolds of dimensions $n$ and $p$ respectively with $\partial N = \emptyset$. Assume that $\Omega^I(N, P)$ contains $\Sigma^{n-p+1,0}(N, P)$ at least. Let $C$ be a closed subset of $N$. Let $s$ be a section in $\Gamma_{\Omega^I}(N, P)$ which has an $I^r$-regular map $g$ defined on a neighborhood of $C$ to $P$, where $j^\infty g = s$.

Then there exists an $I^r$-regular map $f : N \to P$ such that $j^\infty f$ is homotopic to $s$ relative to a neighborhood of $C$ by a homotopy $s_h$ in $\Gamma_{\Omega^I}(N, P)$ with $s_0 = s$ and $s_1 = j^\infty f$. In particular, we have $f = g$ on a neighborhood of $C$.

In [An1] we have given Theorem 0.1 for the symbol $I = (n - p + 1, 1, \cdots, 1, 0)$ with a partially sketchy proof using the results in [E1] and [E2]. The singularities of this symbol $I$ are often called $A_r$-singularities or Morin singularities. The detailed proof is given in [An3] Theorem 4.1] and [An6] Theorem 0.5] for the symbol $I = (n - p + 1, 0)$. We will use these two theorems in the proof of Theorem 0.1 in this paper.

Recently it turns out that this kind of homotopy principle has many applications. Theorem 0.1 is very important, even for fold-maps, in proving the relations between fold-maps, surgery theory and stable homotopy groups ([An3] Theorem 1], [An5] Theorems 0.2 and 0.3] and [An8]), where the homotopy type of $\Omega^{n-p+1,0}(n, p)$ determined in [An3] and [An5] has played an important role. We can now readily deduce the famous theorem about the elimination of cusps in [LT] and [ET] (see also [LT]) from these theorems.

The relative homotopy principle on the existence level for maps and singular foliations having only what are called $A$, $D$ and $E$ singularities is proved in [An2] and [An7].

In [Sady] Sadykov has applied [An1] Theorem 1] to the elimination of higher $A_r$-singularities ($r \geq 3$) for Morin maps when $n - p$ is odd. This result is a strengthened version of the Chess conjecture proposed in [C].

As an application of Theorem 0.1 we prove the following theorem. We note that the simplest case is also a slightly stronger form of the Chess conjecture.

**Theorem 0.2.** Let $n \geq p \geq 2$, and $N$ and $P$ be connected manifolds of dimensions $n$ and $p$ respectively. Let $I = (n - p + 1, i_2, \cdots, i_{r-1}, 1, 1)$ and $J = (n - p + 1, i_2, \cdots, i_{r-1}, 1, 0)$ such that $n - p + 1 - i_2$ and $r$ ($r \geq 3$) are odd integers. If $f : N \to P$ is an $I^r$-regular map, then $f$ is homotopic to an $I^r$-regular map $g : N \to P$ such that $j^\infty f$ and $j^\infty g$ are homotopic in $\Gamma_{\Omega^I}(N, P)$.

In Section 1 we will explain the notations which are used in this paper. In Section 2 we will review the definitions and the fundamental properties of the Boardman manifolds, from which we deduce several further results about higher intrinsic derivatives in Section 3. In Section 4 we will announce a special form of a homotopy principle in Theorem 4.1 and reduce the proof of Theorem 0.1 to
the proof of Theorem 4.1 by induction. Furthermore, we will introduce a certain rotation of the tangent spaces defined around the singularities of a given symbol in $N$ for a preliminary deformation of the section $s$. In Section 5 we will prepare several lemmas which are used in the deformation of the section $s$ to the jet extension of an $\Omega^4$-regular map in the proof of Theorem 4.1. We will prove Theorem 4.1 in Section 6, which is the decisive stage in the paper. In Section 7 we will prove Theorem 0.2 by applying Theorem 0.1.

1. Notation

Throughout the paper all manifolds are Hausdorff, paracompact and smooth of class $C^\infty$. Maps are basically continuous, but may be smooth (of class $C^\infty$) if necessary. Given a fiber bundle $\pi : E \to X$ and a subset $C$ in $X$, we denote $\pi^{-1}(C)$ by $E_C$ or $E|_C$. Let $\pi' : F \to Y$ be another fiber bundle. A map $\tilde{b} : E \to F$ is called a fiber map over a map $b : X \to Y$ if $\pi' \circ \tilde{b} = b \circ \pi$ holds. The restriction $\tilde{b}|_{E_C} : E_C \to F$ (or $F_{b(C)}$) is denoted by $b|_C$ or $\tilde{b}|_C$. We denote, by $b^k$, the induced fiber map $b^*(F) \to F$ covering $b$. Let $(b')^*(\tilde{b}|_{E_C}) : E_C \to b^*F$ over $C$ for a subset $C \subset X$ and $(b \circ j)^*(\tilde{b}) : j^*E \to (b \circ j)^*F$ over $W$ for a map $j : W \to X$ be the fiber maps canonically induced from $b$ and $j$ respectively. A fiberwise homomorphism $E \to F$ is simply called a homomorphism. For a vector bundle $E$ with a metric and a positive function $\delta$ on $X$, let $D_{\delta}(E)$ be the associated disk bundle of $E$ with radius $\delta$. If there is a canonical isomorphism between two vector bundles $E$ and $F$ over $X = Y$, then we write $E \cong F$.

When $E$ and $F$ are smooth vector bundles over $X = Y$, $\text{Hom}(E, F)$ denotes the smooth vector bundle over $X$ with fiber $\text{Hom}(E_x, F_x)$, $x \in X$, which consists of all homomorphisms $E_x \to F_x$.

Let $J^k(N, P)$ denote the $k$-jet space of manifolds $N$ and $P$ ($k$ may be $\infty$). Let $\pi^k_N$ and $\pi^k_P$ be the projections mapping a jet to its source and target respectively. The map $\pi^k_N \times \pi^k_P : J^k(N, P) \to N \times P$ induces a structure of a fiber bundle with structure group $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$, where $L^k(m)$ denotes the group of all $k$-jets of local diffeomorphisms of $(\mathbb{R}^m,0)$. The fiber $(\pi^k_N \times \pi^k_P)^{-1}(x,y)$ is denoted by $J^k_{x,y}(N, P)$.

Let $\pi_N$ and $\pi_P$ be the projections of $N \times P$ onto $N$ and $P$ respectively. We set

$$J^k(TN, TP) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Hom}(S^i(\pi^*_N(TN)), \pi^*_P(TP))$$

over $N \times P$. Here, for a vector bundle $E$ over $X$, let $S^i(E)$ be the vector bundle $\bigcup_{x \in X} S^i(E_x)$ over $X$, where $S^i(E_x)$ denotes the $i$-fold symmetric product of $E_x$. If we provide $N$ and $P$ with Riemannian metrics, then the Levi-Civita connections induce the exponential maps $\exp_{N, x} : T_xN \to N$ and $\exp_{P, y} : T_yP \to P$. In dealing with exponential maps we always consider convex neighborhoods $[K-N]$. We define the smooth bundle map

$$J^k(N, P) \to J^k(TN, TP) \quad \text{over } N \times P$$

by sending $z = j_x^k f \in J^k_x(N, P)$ to the $k$-jet of $(\exp_{P, y})^{-1} \circ f \circ \exp_{N, x}$ at $0 \in T_zN$, which is regarded as an element of $J^k(T_zN, T_yP)(= J^k_{x,y}(TN, TP))$ (see [K-N] Proposition 8.1) for the smoothness of exponential maps). More strictly, (1.2) gives a smooth equivalence of the fiber bundles under the structure group $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$. Namely, it gives a smooth reduction of the structure group $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$ of $J^k(N, P)$ to $O(p) \times O(n)$, which is the structure group of $J^k(TN, TP)$. 
Recall that $S^i(E)$ has the inclusion $S^i(E) \to \bigotimes^i E$ and the canonical projection $\bigotimes^j E \to S^i(E)$ (see [B, Section 4] and [Mats, Ch. III, Section 2]). Let $E_j$ be subbundles of $E$ ($j = 1, \cdots, i$). We define $E_1 \circ \cdots \circ E_i = \bigotimes_{j=1}^i E_j$ to be the image of $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_i = \bigotimes_{j=1}^i E_j \to \bigotimes^j E \to S^i(E)$. When $E_{j+1} = \cdots = E_{j+\ell}$, we often write $E_1 \circ \cdots \circ E_j \circ^\ell E_{j+1} \circ E_{j+\ell+1} \cdots \circ E_i$ in place of $\bigotimes_{j=1}^i E_j$.

2. Boardman manifolds

We review well-known results about Boardman manifolds in $J^\infty(N, P)$ ([B], [L2] and [Math2]). Let $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_r)$ be a Boardman symbol with $i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_r \geq 0$. For $k \leq r$, set $I_k = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k)$ and $(I_k, 0) = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k, 0)$. In the infinite jet space $J^\infty(N, P)$, there has been defined a sequence of submanifolds $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \Sigma^{I_1}(N, P)$ with the following properties. In this paper we often write $\Sigma^{I_k}$ for $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$ if there is no confusion.

Let $P = (\pi_N)^*(TP)$ and let $D$ be the total tangent bundle defined over $J^\infty(N, P)$. We explain important properties of the total tangent bundle $D$, which are often used in this paper. Let $f : (N, x) \to (P, y)$ be a germ and let $F$ be a smooth function in the sense of [B] Definition 1.4] defined on a neighborhood of $j^\infty_x f$. Given a vector field $v$ defined on a neighborhood of $x$ in $N$, there is a total vector field $D$ defined on a neighborhood of $j^\infty_x f$ such that $DF \circ j^\infty_x f = v(f \circ j^\infty_x f)$. It follows that $d(j^\infty_x f)(v)(F) = DF(j^\infty_x f)$ for $d(j^\infty_x f) : TN \to T(J^\infty(N, P))$ around $x$. This implies $d(j^\infty_x f)(v) = D$. Since $\pi_N \circ j^\infty_x f$ is the identity of $(N, x)$, the differential $d\pi_N^x$ induces the canonical isomorphism

\begin{equation}
(\pi_N^x)^*(d\pi_N^x)|D : D \to (\pi_N^x)^*(TN).
\end{equation}

In this paper we often identify $D$ with $(\pi_N^x)^*(TN)$ by this isomorphism.

First we have the first derivative $d_{1} : D \to P$ over $J^\infty(N, P)$. We define $\Sigma^{I_1}(N, P)$ to be the submanifold of $J^\infty(N, P)$ which consists of all jets $z$ such that the kernel rank of $d_{1, z}$ is $i_1$. Since $d_{1_j}|_{\Sigma^{I_1}(N, P)}$ is of constant rank $n - i_1$, we set $K_1 = \operatorname{Ker}(d_{1})$ and $Q_1 = \operatorname{Cok}(d_{1})$, which are vector bundles over $\Sigma^{I_1}(N, P)$. Set $K_0 = D$, $P_0 = P$ and $\Sigma^{I_0}(N, P) = J^\infty(N, P)$. We can inductively define $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$ and the bundles $K_k$ and $P_k$ over $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$ ($k \geq 1$) with the following properties:

1. $K_{k-1}|_{\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)} \supseteq K_k$ over $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$.

2. $K_k$ is an $i_k$-dimensional subbundle of $T(\Sigma^{I_{k-1}}(N, P))|_{\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)}$.

3. There exists the $(k+1)$-th intrinsic derivative $d_{k+1} : T(\Sigma^{I_{k-1}}(N, P))|_{\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)} \to P_k$ over $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$, so that it induces the exact sequence over $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$:

\begin{equation}
0 \to T(\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} T(\Sigma^{I_{k-1}}(N, P))|_{\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)} \xrightarrow{d_{k+1}} P_k \to 0.
\end{equation}

Namely, $d_{k+1}$ induces the isomorphism of the normal bundle

\begin{equation}
\nu(I_k \subset I_{k-1}) = (T(\Sigma^{I_{k-1}}(N, P)))|_{\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)}/T(\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P))
\end{equation}

of $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$ in $\Sigma^{I_{k-1}}(N, P)$ onto $P_k$.

4. $\Sigma^{I_{k+1}}(N, P)$ is defined to be the submanifold of $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$ which consists of all jets $z$ with $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}(d_{k+1, z}|_{K_{k+1, z}})) = i_{k+1}$. In particular, $\Sigma^{I_k}(N, P)$ is the disjoint union $\bigcup_{j=0}^{i_k} \Sigma^{(I_k, j)}(N, P)$.
(5) Set $K_{k+1} = \text{Ker}(d_{k+1}|K_k)$ and $Q_{k+1} = \text{Cok}(d_{k+1}|K_k)$ over $\Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P)$. Then it follows that $(K_k|_{\Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P)}) \cap T(\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P))|_{\Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P)} = K_{k+1}$. We have the canonical projection $e_k : P_{k-1}|_{\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P)} \rightarrow Q_k$.

(6) The intrinsic derivative
\[ d(d_{k+1}|K_k) : T(\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P))|_{\Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_{k+1}, Q_{k+1}) \text{ over } \Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P) \]
of $d_{k+1}|K_k$ is of constant rank $\dim(\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P)) - \dim(\Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P))$. We set $P_{k+1} = \text{Im}(d(d_{k+1}|K_k))$ and define $d_{k+2}$ to be
\[ d_{k+2} = d(d_{k+1}|K_k) : T(\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P))|_{\Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P)} \rightarrow P_{k+1} \]
as the epimorphism.

(7) There exists a bundle homomorphism of constant rank
\[ u_k : \text{Hom}(K_k \circ K_1 \circ \cdots \circ K_1, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_k, Q_k) \text{ over } \Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P) \]
such that the image of $u_k$ coincides with $P_k$. We denote, by $e_k$, the map $u_k$ as the epimorphism onto $P_k$. Furthermore, $u_k$ is defined as the composition
\[ \text{Hom}(K_k \circ K_1 \circ \cdots \circ K_1, P) \ni \text{Hom}(K_k \circ (K_k \circ \cdots \circ K_1), P) \]
\[ \cong \text{Hom}(K_k, \text{Hom}(K_k, P) \text{ by } \text{Cok}(id_{K_k}, e_k) : \text{Hom}(K_k, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_k, Q_k) \]
(\text{[H Theorem 7.14]}), where $\hookrightarrow$ refers to the inclusion.

(8) For a smooth map $f : N \rightarrow P$ such that $f^{\infty}$ is transverse to $\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P)$, let $S^{f_{k}}(j f^{\infty})$ denote $(j f^{\infty})^{-1}(\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P))$. If $f S^{f_{k}}(j f^{\infty}) : S^{f_{k}}(j f^{\infty}) \rightarrow P$ is of kernel rank $k_{k+1}$ at $x$, then $j f^{\infty} \in \Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P)$.

(9) The submanifold $\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P)$ is actually defined so that it coincides with the inverse image of the submanifold $\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P)$ in $J^k(N, P)$ by the canonical projection $\pi^{\infty}_{k} : J^k(N, P) \rightarrow J^k(N, P)$.

(10) The codimension of $\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P)$ in $J^k(N, P)$ is described in \text{[H Theorem 6.1]}). In particular, $\text{codim}\Sigma^{f_{k}}(N, P) (k \geq 2)$ is equal to
\[ \text{codim}\Sigma^{f_{k+1}}(N, P) + (p - n + i_1) \text{dim}(K_k \circ K_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_1) \]
\[ - \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^{k} (i_{i-1} - i_i) \text{dim}(K_k \circ K_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_i) \right\} . \]

We write $I < J$ when $\Omega^I(n + m, p + m) \subset \Omega^J(n + m, p + m)$ for any integer $m$ with $n + m > 0$ and $p + m > 0$ and write $I < J$ when $I \leq J$ and
\[ \Omega^I(n + m, p + m) \subset \Omega^J(n + m, p + m) \]
for some integer $m$ in this paper. In particular, we have $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r, 0) = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r, 0, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

\textbf{Remark 2.1.} (1) It is known that $\Omega^I(N, P)$ is an open subset of $J^\infty(N, P)$: Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r)$. We prove that the closure of $\Sigma^I(N, P)$ is contained in the subset which consists of all submanifolds $\Sigma^J(N, P)$ with symbol $J$ of length $r$ with $J \geq I$. Let $z \in J^\infty(N, P)$ lie in the closure of $\Sigma^I(N, P)$. By definition, we first have $\text{dim}(\text{Ker}(d_{i_1})) \geq i_1$. If the symbol of $z$ is $J$ with $J \neq I$, then we can inductively prove that $z$ has a number $k$ such that $\text{dim}(\text{Ker}(d_{i_j}|K_{j-1})) = i_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k < r$ and $\text{dim}(\text{Ker}(d_{k+1}|K_{k+1})) > i_{k+1}$. This implies the assertion.
(2) If \( I = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r) \) with \( r > n, i_1 \geq n - p + 1 \) and \( \text{codim} \Sigma^l(N, P) \leq n \), then it follows from (2.7) that \( i_{\ell} = 0 \) for \( \ell > n \).

We show Remark 2.1(2). We set \( k_i^j = K_k \circ K_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_j \). Then by applying (2.7), we have, for \( k \geq 2 \),

\[
\text{codim} \Sigma^k(N, P) - \text{codim} \Sigma^{k-1}(N, P)
= (p - n + i_1) \dim k^{k,1} - \sum_{\ell=2}^k (i_{\ell-1} - i_{\ell}) \dim k^{k,\ell}
\geq \dim k^{k,1} - \sum_{\ell=2}^k (i_{\ell-1} - i_{\ell}) \dim k^{k,\ell}
= \dim k^{k,2} \circ (K_1/K_2) + \dim k^{k,2} \circ K_2 - \sum_{\ell=2}^k (i_{\ell-1} - i_{\ell}) \dim k^{k,\ell}
= (i_1 - i_2) \dim k^{k,2} + \dim k^{k,2} \circ K_2 - \sum_{\ell=2}^k (i_{\ell-1} - i_{\ell}) \dim k^{k,\ell}
\geq \dim k^{k,2} - \sum_{\ell=3}^k (i_{\ell-1} - i_{\ell}) \dim k^{k,\ell}
\geq \dim k^{k,\ell} - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^k (i_{j-1} - i_{j}) \dim k^{k,j}
\cdots \cdots
\geq \dim(K_k \circ K_{k-1}) - (i_{k-1} - i_k) \dim K_k
\geq \dim(K_k \circ K_k)
\geq \dim K_k = i_k.
\]

Hence, if \( i_{n+1} > 0 \), then we have \( \text{codim} \Sigma^l(N, P) > n \).

3. Polynomials

Let \( V \) and \( W \) be vector spaces over the field \( \mathbb{R} \) with inner product of dimensions \( v \) and \( w \) respectively. Let \( e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_v \) and \( d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_w \) be orthonormal bases of \( V \) and \( W \) respectively. We introduce the inner product in \( \text{Hom} (\otimes^l V, W) \) as follows. Let \( h_i \in \text{Hom} (\otimes^l V, W) \) \((i = 1, 2)\) and let

\[
h_1(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_l}) = \sum_{j=1}^w a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l}^j d_j \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_l}) = \sum_{j=1}^w b_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l}^j d_j.
\]

Then we define the inner product by

\[
\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^w \left( \sum_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l} a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l}^j b_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l}^j \right).
\]

Let \( S \) and \( T \) be isomorphisms of \( V \) and \( W \) which preserve the inner products respectively. We define the action of \((T, S)\) on \( \text{Hom}(\otimes^l V, W) \) by \((T, S)h = T \circ h \circ (\otimes^l S^{-1})\). We show by induction on \( \ell \) that this inner product is invariant.
with respect to this action. We represent $S^{-1}$ by the matrix $(s_{ij})$ under the basis $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_v$.

The assertion for $\ell = 1$ is well known. Assume that the assertion holds for $\ell - 1$. Under the canonical isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\bigotimes^{\ell} V, W) \cong \text{Hom}(V, \text{Hom}(\bigotimes^{\ell-1} V, W))$ we let $h \in \text{Hom}(\bigotimes^{\ell} V, W)$ correspond to $\overline{h}$, which satisfies $\overline{h}(e_i_1)(e_i_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_i_\ell) = h(e_i_1 \otimes e_i_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_i_\ell)$. Then we have that $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \langle \overline{h}_1(e_j), \overline{h}_2(e_j) \rangle$. Hence, we have that

$$\langle (T, S)h_1, (T, S)h_2 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle (T, S)(\overline{h}_1(S^{-1}(e_i))), (T, S)(\overline{h}_2(S^{-1}(e_i))) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle h_1(S^{-1}(e_i)), h_2(S^{-1}(e_i)) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \overline{h}_1(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} s_{ij} e_j), \overline{h}_2(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} s_{ik} e_k) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} s_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} s_{ik} \langle \overline{h}_1(e_j), \overline{h}_2(e_k) \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \langle \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \delta_{jk} \langle \overline{h}_1(e_j), \overline{h}_2(e_k) \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \langle (T, S)h_1(e_j), (T, S)h_2(e_j) \rangle$$

$$= \langle (T, S)h_1, (T, S)h_2 \rangle.$$

We recall that $\text{Hom}(\bigotimes^{\ell} V, W)$ is identified with the set of $w$ polynomials of degree $\leq \ell$ having the constant 0 (see [Mats, Ch. III, Section 2]). Here, it will be better to use the basis $\partial/\partial x_1, \ldots, \partial/\partial x_v$ in place of $e_1, \cdots, e_v$ and write $x_1, \cdots, x_v$ as their dual basis. Let $V$ and $W$ be smooth vector bundles with metric over a manifold $S$ with fibers $V$ and $W$ respectively. Then $\text{Hom}(\bigotimes^{\ell} V, W)$ is also a vector bundle with metric. For a point $c \in S$, take an open neighborhood $U$ around $c$ such that $V|_U$ and $W|_U$ are the trivial bundles, say $U \times V$ and $U \times W$ respectively. Then an element of $\text{Hom}(\bigotimes^{\ell} V, W)|_U$ is identified with a polynomial $\sum_{j=1}^{w} \sum_{|\omega|=\ell} A_\omega(c)x_1^{\omega_1}x_2^{\omega_2} \cdots x_v^{\omega_v}d_j$, $c \in U$, where $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_v)$, $\omega_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \cdots, v$), and $|\omega| = \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_v$, and $A_\omega(c)$ is a real number. If $A_\omega(c)$ are smooth functions of $c$, then $\{A_\omega(c)\}$ defines a smooth section of $\text{Hom}(\bigotimes^{\ell} V, W)|_U$ over $U$. We have the following lemma under the above notation.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $m = (m_1, \cdots, m_v)$ with integers $m_i \geq 0$, $|m| = \ell$ and $c \in U$. Then

$$\sum_{j} (A_{\omega}(c)x_1^{\omega_1}x_2^{\omega_2} \cdots x_v^{\omega_v}d_j) \left( \frac{\partial^{m_1}}{\partial x_1^{m_1}} \circ \frac{\partial^{m_2}}{\partial x_2^{m_2}} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\partial^{m_v}}{\partial x_v^{m_v}} \right) |_{c}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq \omega, \\
\omega_1!\omega_2! \cdots \omega_v!(\sum_{j} A_{\omega}(c)d_j) & \text{if } m = \omega. \end{cases}$$
We now provide $N$ and $P$ with Riemannian metrics respectively. Then they induce metrics on $D$ and $P$, and hence induce a metric on $\text{Hom}(K_k \circ K_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_1, P)$.

Furthermore, we can prove inductively that $P_k$, and also $Q_{k+1}$ as the orthogonal complement of $\text{Im}(d_{k+1}|K_k)$, inherit induced metrics by (6) and (5) in Section 2 respectively. Consequently we have an induced metric on $\text{Hom}(K_{k+1}, Q_{k+1})$.

Let us recall that $d_{k+1}|K_{k-1} : K_{k-1} \rightarrow P_{k-1}$ and $e_k : P_{k-1} \rightarrow Q_k$ over $\Sigma^k(N, P)$ induce the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
K_k & \rightarrow & K_{k-1} & \rightarrow & P_{k-1} & \rightarrow & Q_k \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & K_{k-1}/K_k & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(K_{k-1}, Q_{k-1})
\end{array}
$$

Since $Q_k$ is the cokernel of $d_{k+1}|K_{k-1}$, we obtain the canonical isomorphism

$$j_{Q_k} : Q_k \rightarrow \text{Im}(d_{k+1}|K_{k-1})^\perp \text{ over } \Sigma^k(N, P),$$

where the symbol $\perp$ refers to the orthogonal complement. We also use the notation $j_{Q_k} : Q_k \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_{k-1}, Q_{k-1})$.

Let $k \geq 2$. We now construct the homomorphism, for $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$q(k)_{i+1,i+1} : T(\Sigma^k(N, P))|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)} \circ K_i \circ K_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_1 \rightarrow Q_1$$

over $\Sigma^k(N, P)$ inductively by using $d_{i+1}|\Sigma^k(N, P) : T(\Sigma^k(N, P))|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)} \rightarrow P_i|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)}$ as follows. By the inclusion $P_i|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)} \subset \text{Hom}(K_i, Q_i)|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)}$ we have the homomorphism

$$q(k)_{i+1,i+2} : (T(\Sigma^k(N, P))|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)} \circ K_i \rightarrow Q_i \text{ over } \Sigma^k(N, P).$$

Suppose that we have constructed the homomorphism, for $j \leq i$,

$$q(k)_{i+1,i+2} : T(\Sigma^k(N, P))|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)} \circ K_i \circ K_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_j \rightarrow Q_j$$

over $\Sigma^k(N, P)$. By using $j_{Q_j} : Q_j \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_{j-1}, Q_{j-1})$ over $\Sigma^k(N, P)$, we obtain the homomorphism

$$q(k)_{i+1,i+3} : T(\Sigma^k(N, P))|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)} \circ K_i \circ K_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_{j-1} \rightarrow Q_{j-1}$$

over $\Sigma^k(N, P)$. By setting $j = 2$, we obtain $q(k)_{i+1,i+1}$ is symmetric. This fact has been essentially stated in [B] Section 7, p. 413] without proof. Indeed, the symmetric homomorphism

$$b_i : T(\Sigma^i(N, P))|_{\Sigma^i(N, P)} \circ K_i \circ K_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_1 \rightarrow P \text{ over } \Sigma^k(N, P),$$

has been introduced in [B] Section 7, p. 412]. Let $p_{Q_i}^P : P \rightarrow Q_i$ be the canonical projection. Then $p_{Q_i}^P \circ b_i|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)}$ coincides with $q(k)_{i+1,i+1}$ by the definition of $b_i$. Note that $q(k)_{i+1,i+1}$ vanishes on $T(\Sigma^k(N, P))|_{\Sigma^k(N, P)} \circ K_i \circ K_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_1$.

Following the proof of [B] Theorem 4.1 we briefly prove the symmetricity. Let

$$z = j_{x,y}^\infty f \in \Sigma^k(N, P).$$

Let $m_y$ denote the maximal ideal of function germs $(P, y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ vanishing at $y$ and let $m_y^Q$ denote the ideal of $m_y$ which consists of all germs $\alpha \in m_y$ such that the differential $d(\alpha \circ f)$ at $x$ vanishes. Then $Q_{1,z}$ is canonically identified with $\text{Hom}(m_y^Q/(m_y \cap m_y^2), \mathbb{R})$. Let $D$ and $D_j$ be sections
of \( T(\Sigma^{l-1}(N, P))|_{\Sigma^{l_{k}}(N, P)} \) and \( K_j \) respectively defined around \( z \) and let \( \alpha \in m_y^Q \). Then (3.1) is regarded as the homomorphism induced from

\[
(3.3) \quad T(\Sigma^{l-1}(N, P))z \otimes K_{i,z} \otimes K_{i-1,z} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_{1,z} \otimes m_y^Q/(m_y^Q \cap m_y^2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
\]

which maps \( D \otimes D_i \otimes \cdots \otimes D_1 \otimes \alpha \) to \( (DD_i \cdots D_1 \alpha)(z) \) (see (a) and (b) in the proof of [B Theorem 4.1]). We have to show the following for the symmetry (consult Remark 3.2 below to avoid the infinity of the dimensions of the tangent spaces). In the expression with \([D_j, D_{j-1}] = D_j D_{j-1} - D_{j-1} D_j \)

\[
DD_1 \cdots D_j D_{j-1} \cdots D_1 \alpha = DD_1 \cdots D_{j-1} D_j D_j \cdots D_1 \alpha
\]

for some \( j \) with \( 1 < j \leq i + 1 \) (\( D_{i+1} = D \)), we have that \([D_j, D_{j-1}] \) is the section of \( K_{i-1} \) for \( j \leq i \) and of \( T(\Sigma^{l-1}(N, P))z \) for \( j = i + 1 \) by [B Lemma 3.2]. Since \( K_j|_{\Sigma^{l_{k}}(N, P)} \subset K_{j-1}|_{\Sigma^{l_{k}}(N, P)} \), the length of \( D D_i \cdots [D_j, D_{j-1}] \cdots D_1 \) is \( i \), \( D \) and \([D_j, D_{j-1}] \) lie in \( T(\Sigma^{l-1}(N, P))z \) and since \( T(\Sigma^{l-1}(N, P))z \subset T(\Sigma^{l_{k}}(N, P))z \), we have that \( (DD_i \cdots [D_j, D_{j-1}] \cdots D_1 \alpha)(z) = 0 \) by \( \text{Ker}(d_{i,z}) = T(\Sigma^{l-1}(N, P))z \) in (2.2). This is what we want.

In particular, if \( i = 1 \) and we restrict \( T(\Sigma^{l-1}(N, P))|_{\Sigma^{l_{k}}(N, P)} \) to \( K_1 \), then we have the homomorphism \( \eta(k)^{2,2}(\Omega^1_1 \cap \Omega^1_2) : \Omega^1_1 \cap \Omega^1_2 \rightarrow \Omega^1_1 \) over \( \Sigma^{l_{k}}(N, P) \), which induces the nonsingular quadratic form \( (\Omega^1_1/\Omega^1_2) \cap (\Omega^1_1/\Omega^1_2) \rightarrow \Omega^1_1 \) on each fiber.

**Remark 3.2.** We can entirely do the arguments in Sections 2 and 3 on \( J^{\ell}(N, P) \) for a large \( \ell \).

We give a proof of Remark 3.2. Take Riemannian metrics on \( N \) and \( P \) which enable us to consider the exponential maps \( TN \rightarrow N \) and \( TP \rightarrow P \) by the Levi-Civita connections. For any points \( x \in N \) and \( y \in P \), we have the normal coordinate systems \((x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) and \((y_1, \ldots, y_p) \) on convex neighborhoods \( U \) of \( x \) and \( V \) of \( y \) associated to orthonormal bases of \( T_x N \) and \( T_y P \) respectively (see [K-N]). Let us define the canonical embedding \( \mu^\ell_\infty : J^{\ell}(TN, TP) \rightarrow J^\infty(TN, TP) \) by putting the null homomorphism of \( \text{Hom}(S^i(\pi^\ell_N(TN)), \pi^\ell_{P}(TP)) \) as the \( i \)-th component for \( i > \ell \). It is clear that \( \pi^\ell_\infty \circ \mu^\ell_\infty = id_{J^{\ell}(TN, TP)} \) and \( \mu^\ell_\infty \circ \pi^\ell_\infty (\mu^\ell_\infty(J^{\ell}(TN, TP))) = id_{\mu^\ell_\infty(J^{\ell}(TN, TP))} \). We regard \( \mu^\ell_\infty \) as the map to \( J^\infty(N, P) \) under the identification (1.2).

We can prove that \( D|_{\mu^\ell_\infty(J^{\ell}(TN, TP))} \) is tangent to \( \mu^\ell_\infty(J^{\ell}(TN, TP)) \). Indeed, let \( x \in U \subset N \) and \( y \in V \subset P \) be as above. For any points \( u \in U \) and \( v \in V \), let us consider the bases of \( T_u U \) and \( T_v V \) which are induced from the bases of \( T_x N \) and \( T_y P \) by the parallel displacements along the geodesics from \( x \) to \( u \) and \( y \) to \( v \) determined by the connections respectively. We take the normal coordinate systems \((u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) around \( u \) in \( U \), and \((v_1, \ldots, v_p) \) around \( v \) in \( V \), associated to these bases. We note that \( a_1, \ldots, u_n \) (resp. \( v_1, \ldots, v_p \)) are smooth functions of \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) (resp. \( y_1, \ldots, y_p \)). Let \( \sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \) with nonnegative integers \( \sigma_i \). We define the coordinate system \( X_i \), \( Y_j \) and \( W_{j,\sigma}(j^\infty f) \) of \( J^\infty(TU, TV) \) as follows. When \( z = j^\infty f \) with \( u \in U \) and \( v = f(u) \in V \), we set

\[
X_i = x_i \circ \pi^\ell_\infty,
Y_j = y_j \circ \pi^\ell_\infty,
W_{j,\sigma}(j^\infty f) = \frac{\partial^{\sigma_j}(v_j \circ f)}{\partial u_1^{a_1} \cdots \partial u_n^{a_n}}(u),
\]
where \(|\sigma| \geq 1\). In this definition we should note that the normal coordinate systems 
\((u_1, \ldots, u_n)\) and \((v_1, \ldots, v_p)\) vary depending on points \(u\) and \(v\).

A smooth function \(\Phi\) defined on an open subset of \(\mu_\infty^s(J^J(TU, TV))\) is written 
as \(\Phi \circ \mu_\infty^{\ast} \circ \pi_\overline{\ell}\) on the same open subset and is a smooth function with variables 
\(X_i, \ Y_j\) and \(W_j, \sigma\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p\) and \(1 \leq |\sigma| \leq \ell\). Hence, \(\Phi\) is extended 
to \((\Phi \circ \mu_\infty^{\ast}) \circ \pi_\overline{\ell}\) defined on an open subset of \(J^J(TU, TV)\), which is a smooth function 
in the sense of [B, Definition (1.4)]. By using [B (1.8)], we have that 
\[\frac{\partial (\Phi \circ j^\infty f)}{\partial x_i}(u)\]
\[= \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X_i}(z) \frac{\partial (X_i \circ j^\infty f)}{\partial x_i}(u) + \sum_j \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y_j}(z) \frac{\partial (Y_j \circ j^\infty f)}{\partial x_i}(u)\]
\[\quad + \sum_{j, \sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W_{j, \sigma}}(z) \frac{\partial (W_{j, \sigma} \circ j^\infty f)}{\partial x_i}(u)\]
\[= \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X_i}(z) \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_i}(u) + \sum_{j, k, h} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y_j}(z) \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial v_k}(f(u)) \frac{\partial (v_k \circ f)}{\partial u_h}(u) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_i}(u)\]
\[\quad + \sum_{j, \sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W_{j, \sigma}}(z) \sum_h \frac{\partial (W_{j, \sigma} \circ j^\infty f)}{\partial u_h}(u) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_i}(u)\]
\[= \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X_i}(z) + \sum_{j, k, h} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y_j}(z) \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial v_k}(\pi_N^s(z)W_k)(z) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_i}(\pi_N^s(z))\]
\[\quad + \sum_{j, \sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W_{j, \sigma}}(z) \left( \sum_h W_{j, \sigma}(z) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_i}(\pi_N^s(z)) \right),\]
where

(i) for each \(h\) and \(i\), the function \(\partial u_h/\partial x_i\) is a smooth function of \(x_1, \ldots, x_n,\)
(ii) for each \(j\) and \(k\), the function \(\partial v_k/\partial y_j\) is a smooth function of \(y_1, \ldots, y_p,\)
and hence \(\partial y_j/\partial v_k\) is also a smooth function of \(y_1, \ldots, y_p,\)
(iii) \(\pi_N^s = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)\), where the \(h\)-th component is equal to 1,
(iv) setting \(\sigma' = (s_1, \ldots, s_{h-1}, s_h+1, s_{h+1}, \ldots, s_n)\) we have
\[\frac{\partial (W_{j, \sigma} \circ j^\infty f)}{\partial u_h}(u) = \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial u_h}(\partial^{\sigma'}(v_j \circ f)/(\partial u_1^{s_1} \cdots \partial u_n^{s_n}))(u) = W_{j, \sigma'}(j^\infty f),\]
(v) \(|\sigma| \leq \ell - 1\), since \(W_{j, \sigma'}(z)\) vanishes for \(|\sigma| \geq \ell\).

Since \(\Phi\) is a function of variables \(X_i, \ Y_j\) and \(W_j, \sigma\) with \(0 \leq |\sigma| \leq \ell\), so is \(D_i(\Phi)\).

Therefore, \(D_i\) is tangent to \(\mu_\infty^s(J^J(TN, TP))\) at \(z\) for each \(i\). Since \(D\) is locally generated by \(D_1, D_2\) is tangent to \(\mu_\infty^s(J^J(TN, TP))\) at \(z\). It suffices for Remark 3.2 to note that we can do the arguments in Sections 2 and 3 just on \(\mu_\infty^s(J^J(TN, TP))\).

4. Primary obstruction

Let \(s \in \Gamma_{\Omega^J}(N, P)\) be smooth around \(s^{-1}(\Sigma^J(N, P))\) and transverse to \(\Sigma^J(N, P)\).
We set \(S^J(s) = s^{-1}(\Sigma^J(N, P))\) and \(s|S^J(s)^+ = K_j(S^J(s))\) \(s|S^J(s)^+ Q_i = Q(S^J(s))\) \(s|S^J(s)^+ P_j = P_j(S^J(s))\). We often write \(S^J(s)\) as \(S^J\) if there is no confusion.
Set \(\Sigma^J(n, p) = \Sigma^J(R^n, R^p) \cap \Omega^J(0, 0)(R^n, R^p)\) and \(\Omega^J(n, p) = \Omega^J(R^n, R^p) \cap J_{0,0}^\infty(R^n, R^p)\).

Let \(L = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n, 0)\) and codim\(\Sigma^J(n, p) \leq n\). Let \(\Gamma_{\Omega^J}^s(N, P)\) denote the subspace of \(\Gamma_{\Omega^J}(N, P)\) consisting of all smooth sections of \(\pi_N^s|\Omega^J(N, P) :\)
Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k, 0)$, where $I \leq L$, $i_k > 0$, and codim$\Sigma^J(n, p) \leq n$. Let $C(I^+)$ (resp. $C(I)$) refer to the union $C \cup (\bigcup_{J \geq 1} S^J(s))$ (resp. $C \cup (\bigcup_{J \geq 1} S^J(s))$) where $C$ is a closed subset of $N$ and codim$\Sigma^J(n, p) \leq n$. Here, $s \in \Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N, P)$ is, of course, assumed to be smooth around $C(I^+)$ (resp. $C(I)$) and to be transverse to $\Sigma^J(N, P)$ for all symbols $J > I$ (resp. $J \geq I$). We often regard $I$ as the symbol $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k, 0, \ldots, 0)$ of length $n + 1$.

We show in this section that it is enough for the proof of Theorem 0.1 to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $N$ and $P$ be connected manifolds of dimensions $n$ and $p$ respectively with $\partial N = \emptyset$ and $n \geq p \geq 2$. Let $L = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n, 0)$, $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k, 0)$, $C(I^+)$ and $C(I)$ be as above. Assume that $\Omega^L(N, P)$ contains $\Sigma^{n-p+1,0}(N, P)$ at least. Let $s$ be a section in $\Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N, P)$ which has an $\Omega^L$-regular map $g(I^+)$ defined on a neighborhood of $C(I^+)$ to $P$, where $j^\infty g(I^+) = s$. Then there exists a homotopy $s_{\lambda} \in \Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N, P)$ of $s_0 = s$ relative to a neighborhood of $C(I^+)$ which satisfies the following properties:

1. $s_1 \in \Gamma_I(N, P)$ and if $I > (n - p + 1, 0)$, then $s_1 (N \setminus C(I))$ is contained in $\Omega^L(N, P) \setminus \Sigma^J(N, P)$.
2. There exists an $\Omega^L$-regular map $g_1$ defined on a neighborhood of $C(I)$ (resp. on $N$ when $I = (n - p + 1, 0)$), where $j^\infty g_1 = s_1$ holds. In particular, $g_1 = g(I^+)$ on a neighborhood of $C(I^+)$. 

The case $I = (n - p + 1, 0)$ of Theorem 4.1 follows from Theorem 1 of [An1], where a partially sketchy proof was given, and the detailed proof was given in [An4] Theorem 4.1] and [An6] in which [E1] 2.2 Theorem] and [E2] Theorem 4.7] have played important roles.

**Proof of Theorem 0.1.** Take an open neighborhood $U(C)$ of $C$ where the given $\Omega^I$-regular map $g$ is defined. Let $U_i(C)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) be open neighborhoods of $C$ with $U_5(C) = U(C)$ such that $\text{ClU}_i(C) \subset U_{i+1}(C)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Here, $\text{Cl}$ refers to the topological closure. By [G-G, Ch. II, Corollary 4.11] there exists a homotopy of $\Omega^I$-regular maps $g_{\lambda} : U_5(C) \to P$ relative to $\text{ClU}_1(C)$ such that $g_{0} = g$ and $j^\infty g_{1}|_{U_5(C)}$ is transverse to $\Sigma^J(N, P)$ for all symbols $J$. By applying the homotopy extension property we obtain a homotopy $\mu_{\lambda}$ in $\Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N, P)$ such that $\mu_{0} = s$, $\mu_{\lambda}|_{\text{ClU}_1(C)} = j^\infty g_{\lambda}|_{\text{ClU}_1(C)}$ and $\mu_{1}|(N \setminus \text{ClU}_2(C)) \in \Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N \setminus \text{ClU}_2(C), P)$. Let $N' = N \setminus \text{ClU}_2(C)$, $C' = \text{ClU}_3(C) \cap N'$ and $g' = g_1|_{(U_4(C) \cap U_2(C))}$. By Section 2 (4) and Remark 2.1(2) there exists a smallest symbol $L = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n, 0)$ such that $\Omega^L(n, p) \subset \Omega^J (n, p)$, codim$\Sigma^L(n, p) \leq n$ and $\mu_1|N' \in \Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N', P)$. We apply Theorem 4.1 to the case of $\mu_1|N'$, $C'$, $g'$ and $J^\infty(N', P)$. By Remark 2.1(2), we can choose a symbol $J = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k, 0)$ which is the largest symbol of length $n + 1$ such that $J \leq L$, $S^J(\mu_1) \cap C' \neq \emptyset$ and codim$\Sigma^J(n, p) \leq n$. Then we first set $C(J^+) = C'$ and $g(J^+) = g'$. By Theorem 4.1 there exist a homotopy $s'_{\lambda}$ in $\Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N', P)$ relative to a neighborhood of $C'$ with $s'_0 = \mu_1|N'$ and $s'_1 \in \Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N', P)$ and an $\Omega^L$-regular map $g'_1$ defined on a neighborhood of $C(J)$ in $N'$, where $j^\infty g'_1 = s'_1$ holds. Then we can prove by downward induction on the symbols that there exists an $\Omega^L$-regular map $f' : N' \to P$ such that $j^\infty f'$ is homotopic to $s'_1$ relative to a neighborhood of $\text{ClU}_2(C) \setminus \text{ClU}_1(C)$ by a homotopy $s''_{\lambda}$ in $\Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N', P)$ with $s''_0 = s'_1$ and $s''_1 = j^\infty f'$. Now we have the homotopy $\overline{\mu}_{\lambda}$ in $\Gamma_{\Omega^L}(N, P)$ defined by $\overline{\mu}_{\lambda}|N' = s''_{2\lambda}$.
\(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1/2\), \(p_\lambda|N' = s_{\lambda}^2|_{1-1}\) (1/2 \leq \lambda \leq 1) and \(p_\lambda|\text{Cl}U_\lambda(C) = j^\infty g_!|\text{Cl}U_\lambda(C)\). Thus we obtain the required homotopy \(s_\lambda\) in Theorem 0.1 by pasting \(\mu_\lambda\) and \(p_\lambda\). \(\square\)

In the rest of Section 4 and in Sections 5 and 6 we use the notation \(\Omega\) for \(\Omega^L\) in Theorem 4.1.

We begin by preparing several notions and results, which are necessary for the proof of Theorem 4.1. For the map \(g(I^+)/N\) we take an open neighborhood \(U(C(I^+))'\) of \(C(I^+)/N\) where \(g(I^+)\) is defined and \(j^\infty g(I^+) = s\). Without loss of generality we may assume that \(N \setminus U(C(I^+))'\) is nonempty. Take a smooth function \(h_{C(I^+)} : N \rightarrow [0, 1]\) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
    h_{C(I^+)}(x) = 1 & \text{for } x \in C(I^+), \\
    h_{C(I^+)}(x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in N \setminus U(C(I^+))', \\
    0 < h_{C(I^+)}(x) < 1 & \text{for } x \in U(C(I^+))' \setminus C(I^+).
\end{cases}
\]

By the Sard Theorem ([H2]) there is a regular value \(r\) of \(h_{C(I^+)} \) with \(0 < r < 1\). Then \(h_{C(I^+)}^{-1}(r)\) is a submanifold and we set \(U(C(I^+)) = h_{C(I^+)}^{-1}([r, 1])\). We decompose \(N \setminus \text{Int}U(C(I^+))\) to the connected components, say \(L_1, \cdots, L_j, \cdots\). It suffices to prove Theorem 4.1 for each \(L_j \cup \text{Int}U(C(I^+))\). Since \(\partial N = \emptyset\), we have that \(N \setminus U(C(I^+))\) has empty boundary. If \(L_j\) is not compact, then Theorem 4.1 holds for \(L_j \cup \text{Int}U(C(I^+))\) by Gromov’s theorem ([G1, Theorem 4.1.1]). Therefore, it suffices to consider the special case where

(C1) \(N \setminus \text{Int}U(C(I^+))\) is compact, connected and nonempty,

(C2) \(\partial U(C(I^+))\) is a submanifold of dimension \(n - 1\),

(C3) for the smooth function \(h_{C(I^+)} : N \rightarrow [0, 1]\) satisfying (4.1) there is a sufficiently small positive real number \(\varepsilon\) with \(r - 2\varepsilon > 0\) such that \(r - \varepsilon (0 \leq t \leq 2)\) are all regular values of \(h_{C(I^+)}\).

We have that \(h_{C(I^+)}^{-1}([r - 2\varepsilon, 1])\) is contained in \(U(C(I^+))'\). We set \(U(C(I^+))_1 = h_{C(I^+)}^{-1}([r - (2 - t)\varepsilon, 1])\). In particular, we have \(U(C(I^+))_2 = U(C(I^+))\). Furthermore, we may assume that

(C4) \(s \in \Gamma_{0, 1}(N, P)\), and \(S^I(s)\) is transverse to \(\partial U(C(I^+))_0\) and \(\partial U(C(I^+))_2\).

Let \(\nu(\Sigma^I)\) be the normal bundle \((T(J^\infty(N, P))|_{\Sigma^I})/T(\Sigma^I(N, P))\) and let \(c(I) = \text{dim} \nu(\Sigma^I)\). Let \(J_k : K_1 \rightarrow \nu(\Sigma^I)\) be the composition of the inclusion \(K_1 \rightarrow T(J^\infty(N, P))\) and the projection \(T(J^\infty(N, P))|_{\Sigma^I(N, P)} \rightarrow \nu(\Sigma^I)\). We have the monomorphism

\[
J_k \circ (s|S^I) : K_1(S^I(s)) \rightarrow K_1|_{\Sigma^I(N, P)} \rightarrow \nu(\Sigma^I).
\]

Let \(s \in \Gamma_{0, 1}(N, P)\) be smooth around \(s^{-1}(\Sigma^I(N, P))\) and transverse to \(\Sigma^I(N, P)\). Let us take a Riemannian metric on \(N\). Let \(n(s, I)\) or simply \(n(I)\) be the orthogonal normal bundle of \(S^I(s)\) in \(N\). We have the bundle map

\[
ds|n(s, I) : n(s, I) \rightarrow \nu(\Sigma^I)
\]

covering \(s|S^I : S^I(s) \rightarrow \Sigma^I(N, P)\). Let \(i_{n(s, I)} : n(s, I) \subset TN|_{S^I}\) denote the inclusion. We define \(\Psi(s, I) : K_1(S^I(s)) \rightarrow n(s, I) \subset TN|_{S^I}\) to be the composition

\[
i_{n(s, I)} \circ ((s|S^I)^* ds|n(s, I))^{-1} \circ ((s|S^I)^* (J_k \circ (s|S^I)K_1)) : K_1(S^I(s)) \rightarrow (s|S^I)^* \nu(\Sigma^I) \rightarrow n(s, I) \rightarrow TN|_{S^I}.
\]

Let \(i_{K_1(S^I(s))} : K_1(S^I(s)) \rightarrow TN|_{S^I}\) be the inclusion.
Remark 4.2. If \( f \) is an \( \Omega \)-regular map such that \( j^\infty f \) is transverse to \( \Sigma^I(N, P) \), then it follows from the definition of \( D \) that \( i_{K_1(S^I(j^\infty f))} = \Psi(j^\infty f, I) \) under (2.1).

In what follows let \( M = S^I(s)\Int(U(C(I^+))) \). Let \( \text{Mono}(K_1(S^I(s))|_M, TN|_M) \) denote the subset of \( \text{Hom}(K_1(S^I(s))|_M, TN|_M) \) which consists of all monomorphisms \( K_1(S^I(s))_c \to T_c N, c \in M \). We denote the bundle of local coefficients \( B(\pi_j(\text{Mono}(K_1(S^I(s))|_c, T_c N)), c \in M, \text{by } B(\pi_j), \) which is a covering space over \( M \) with fiber \( \pi_j(\text{Mono}(K_1(S^I(s))|_c, T_c N)) \) defined in [Ste, 30.1]. By the obstruction theory due to [Ste, 36.3], the obstructions for \( i_{K_1(S^I(s))}|_M \) and \( \Psi(s, I)|_M \) to be homotopic relative to \( \partial M \) are the primary differences \( d(i_{K_1(S^I(s))}|_M, \Psi(s, I)|_M) \), which are defined in \( H^1(M, \partial M; B(\pi_j)) \) with local coefficients. We show that if \( I > (n - p + 1), 0 \), then all of them vanish by [Ste 38.2]. In fact, if \( i_1 = n - p + 1 \), then we have

\[
\dim M < \dim S^{i_1} = n - i_1(p - n + i_1) = p - 1.
\]

If \( i_1 > n - p + 1 \), then

\[
\dim M \leq \dim S^{i_1} = n - i_1(p - n + i_1) < n - i_1 < p - 1.
\]

Since \( \text{Mono}(\mathbb{R}^{i_1}, \mathbb{R}^n) \) is identified with \( GL(n)/GL(n - i_1) \), it follows from [Ste 25.6] that \( \pi_j(\text{Mono}(\mathbb{R}^{i_1}, \mathbb{R}^n)) \cong \{0\} \) for \( j < n - i_1(\leq p - 1) \). Hence, there exists a homotopy \( \psi^M(s, I)_\lambda : K_1(S^I(s))|_M \to TN|_M \) relative to \( M \cap U(C(I^+))_1 \) in \( \text{Mono}(K_1(S^I(s))|_M, TN|_M) \) such that \( \psi^M(s, I)_0 = i_{K_1(S^I(s))}|_M \) and \( \psi^M(s, I)_1 = \Psi(s, I)|_M \). Let \( \text{Iso}(TN|_M, TN|_M) \) denote the subspace of \( \text{Hom}(TN|_M, TN|_M) \) which consists of all isomorphisms of \( T_c N, c \in M \). The restriction map

\[
r_M : \text{Iso}(TN|_M, TN|_M) \to \text{Mono}(K_1(S^I(s))|_M, TN|_M)
\]

defined by \( r_M(h) = h_i(\text{Mono}(S^I(s))|_c), \) for \( h \in \text{Iso}(T_c N, T_c N) \), induces a structure of a fiber bundle with fiber \( \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^{i_1}, \mathbb{R}^n - i_1) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^{i_1}, \mathbb{R}^{i_1}) \). By applying the covering homotopy property of the fiber bundle \( r_M \) to the sections \( i_{D^2(N)} \) and the homotopy \( \psi^M(s, I)_\lambda \), we obtain a homotopy \( \Psi(s, I)_\lambda : TN|_{S^I(s)} \to TN|_{S^I(s)} \) such that \( \Psi(s, I)_0 = i_{D^2(N)} \), \( \Psi(s, I)_\lambda(c) = i_{D^2(N)} \) for all \( c \in S^I \cup U(C(I^+))_1 \) and \( r_M \circ \Psi(s, I)_\lambda(TN|_M) = \psi^M(s, I)_\lambda \). We define \( \Phi(s, I)_\lambda : TN|_{S^I(s)} \to TN|_{S^I(s)} \) by \( \Phi(s, I)_\lambda = (\Psi(s, I)_\lambda)^{-1} \).

5. Lemmas

Let \( I \) be the symbol in Theorem 4.1. In the proof of the following lemma, \( \Phi(s, I)_\lambda|_c (c \in M) \) is regarded as a linear isomorphism of \( T_c N \). Let \( r_0 \) be a small positive real number with \( r_0 < 1/10 \). In what follows we set \( d_1(s, I) = (s|S^I(s))_1 \).

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( s \in \Gamma^*_\Omega(N, P) \) be a section satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1. Let \( I > (n - p + 1, 0) \). Then there exists a homotopy \( s_\lambda \) relative to \( U(C(I^+))_2 - 3r_0 \) in \( \Gamma^*_\Omega(N, P) \) with \( s_0 = s \) satisfying

(5.1.1) for any \( \lambda, S^I(s_\lambda) = S^I(s) \) and \( \pi^\infty \circ s_\lambda|S^I(s_\lambda) = \pi^\infty \circ s|S^I(s) \),

(5.1.2) we have \( i_{K_1(S^I(s_\lambda))} = \Psi(s_1, I) \), and in particular, \( K_1(S^I(s_\lambda))_c \subset n(s, I)_c \) for any point \( c \in S^I(s_\lambda) \).

**Proof.** Consider the exponential map \( \exp_{N,x} : T_x N \to N \) defined near \( 0 \in T_x N \) by the above Riemannian metric on \( N \). We write an element of \( n(I)_c \) as \( \mathbf{v}_c \). There exists a small positive number \( \delta \) such that the map

\[
e : D_0(n(I))|_M \to N
\]
defined by \( e(\mathbf{v}_c) = \exp_{N,c}(\mathbf{v}_c) \) is an embedding, where \( c \in M \) and \( \mathbf{v}_c \in D_\delta(n(I)_c) \) (note that \( e(M) \) is the inclusion). Let \( \rho : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) be a decreasing smooth function such that \( 0 \leq \rho(t) \leq 1 \), \( \rho(t) = 1 \) if \( t \leq \delta/10 \) and \( \rho(t) = 0 \) if \( t \geq \delta \).

Let \( \ell(\mathbf{v}) \) denote the parallel translation defined by \( \ell(\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v} \). If we represent a jet of \( J^\infty(N,P) \) by \( j^\infty_x \sigma_x \) for a germ \( \sigma_x : (N,x) \to (P,y) \), then we define the homotopy \( b_\lambda : J^\infty(N,P) \to J^\infty(N,P) \) \((0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)\) of the bundle maps over \( N \times P \) by setting \( b_\lambda(j^\infty_x \sigma_x) \) to be

\[
\begin{cases}
  j^\infty_x (\sigma_x \circ \exp_{N,c} \circ \ell(\mathbf{v}_c) \circ \Phi(s,I)_{|\rho(\|\mathbf{v}_c\|)\lambda}|c \circ \ell(-\mathbf{v}_c) \circ \exp_{N,c}^{-1}) & \text{if } x = e(\mathbf{v}_c), \ c \in M \text{ and } \|\mathbf{v}_c\| \leq \delta, \\
  j^\infty_x \sigma_x & \text{if } x \notin \text{Im}(e).
\end{cases}
\]

If \( \delta \) is sufficiently small, then we may suppose that

\[
e(D_\delta(n(I))|\lambda) \cap U(C(I^+))_{2-3\rho_0} \subset e(D_\delta(n(I))|\lambda \cap U(C(I^+))_1).
\]

If \( c \in S^I \cap U(C(I^+))_1 \) or if \( \|\mathbf{v}_c\| \geq \delta \), then \( \Phi(s,I)|_c \circ \Phi(s,I)_{|\rho(\|\mathbf{v}_c\|)\lambda}|c \) is equal to \( \Phi(s,I)|_c \circ \text{id}_{tr,N} \) respectively. Hence, \( b_\lambda \) is well defined. We define the homotopy \( s_\lambda \) of \( \Gamma^\Omega_1(N,P) \) by \( s_\lambda(x) = b_\lambda \circ s(x) \). By (5.1) we have the following:

1. \( \pi^\infty_P \circ s_\lambda(x) = \pi^\infty_P \circ s(x) \).

2. Since \( b_\lambda \) preserves \( \Sigma^J(N,P) \) for all symbols \( J \), the Boardman symbols of \( s_\lambda(x) \) and \( s(x) \) are equal. In particular, we have \( S^I(s_\lambda) = S^I(s) \).

3. If \( c \in S^I(s) \), then we have that \( n(s,I)_c \supset K_1(S^I(s)_c) \) and \( i_{K_1(S^I(s)_c)} = \Psi(s,I)_c \).

4. Setting \( s(c) = j^\infty_x \sigma_c \) we have by (5.1) that

\[
s_1(c) = j^\infty_x (\sigma_c \circ \exp_{N,c} \circ \Phi(s,I)_1|_c \circ \exp_{N,c}^{-1}).
\]

Since

\[
d_1(s_1,I)(\Psi(s,I)(K_1(S^I(s)_c))) = d_1(s,I) \circ \Phi(s,I)_1(\Psi(s,I)(K_1(S^I(s)_c)))
\]

vanishes, we have that \( \Psi(s,I)(K_1(S^I(s)_c)) \subset K_1(S^I(s)_c) \). By the dimensional reason we have \( \Psi(s,I)(K_1(S^I(s)_c)) = K_1(S^I(s)_c) \). By (4.2), \( \Psi(s,I)(\mathbf{w}) \) is equal to

\[
i_{n(s_1,I)} \circ ((s_1|S^I)^*(d_1|n(s_1,I)))^{-1} \circ ((s_1|S^I)^*(j_K \circ (s_1|S^I)^{K_1}))|\mathbf{w}) = i_{n(s_1,I)} \circ ((s|S^I)^*(d_1|n(s,I)))^{-1} \circ ((s|S^I)^*(j_K \circ (s|S^I)^{K_1})) \circ \Phi(s,I)(\mathbf{w})
\]

\[
= \mathbf{w}.
\]

4. \( s_\lambda \in \Gamma^\Omega_1(N,P) \).

Lemma 5.2. Let \( s \) be a section in \( \Gamma^\Omega_1(N,P) \) satisfying the property (5.1.2) for \( s \) (in place of \( s_1 \)) of Lemma 5.1. Then there exists a homotopy \( \alpha_\lambda \) relative to \( U(C(I^+))_{2-3\rho_0} \) in \( \Gamma^\Omega_1(N,P) \) with \( \alpha_0 = s \) such that

5.2.1. \( \alpha_\lambda \) is transpose to \( S^I(N,P) \) and \( S^I(\alpha_\lambda) = S^I(s) \) for any \( \lambda \),

5.2.2. we have \( i_{K_1(S^I(\alpha_\lambda))} = \Psi(\alpha_\lambda,1,I) \), and in particular, \( K_1(S^I(\alpha_\lambda)) \subset n(s,I)_c \) for any point \( c \in S^I(\alpha_\lambda) \),

5.2.3. \( \pi^\infty_P \circ \alpha_\lambda | S^I(\alpha_\lambda) \) is an immersion to \( P \) such that

\[
d(\pi^\infty_P \circ \alpha_\lambda | S^I(\alpha_\lambda)) = (\pi^\infty_P \circ \alpha_\lambda)^{TP} \circ d_1(\alpha_\lambda,1,I)|T(S^I(\alpha_\lambda)) : T(S^I(\alpha_\lambda)) \to TP,
\]

where \( (\pi^\infty_P \circ \alpha_\lambda)^{TP} : (\pi^\infty_P \circ \alpha_\lambda)^*TP \to TP \) is the canonical induced bundle map.
Maps with prescribed Thom-Boadman singularities

Proof. We choose a Riemannian metric of $P$ and identify $Q(S^t(s))$ with the orthogonal complement of $\text{Im}(d_1(s, I))$ in $(\pi_P^* s | S^t(s))^*(TP)$. Since $K_1 \cap T(S^t(N, P)) = \{0\}$, it follows that $(\pi_P^* s)^* s | S^t(s)\cap d_1(s, I)T(S^t)$ is a monomorphism. By the Hirsch Immersion Theorem ([H1, Theorem 5.7]) there exists a smooth homotopy of monomorphisms $m_\lambda : T(S^t) \to TP$ covering a homotopy $m_\lambda : S^t \to P$ relative to $U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0}$ such that $m_0' = (\pi_P^* s)^* s | S^t(s)\cap d_1(s, I)T(S^t)$ and $m_1$ is an immersion with $d(m_1) = m_1'$. Then we can extend $m_\lambda$ to a smooth homotopy $\tilde{m}_\lambda : TN | S^t \to TP$ of homomorphisms of constant rank $n - i_1$ relative to $U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0}$. Let $m_1$ be given as above. Let $F_{1, \alpha}$ be the canonical monomorphism induced from $m_1$ by $(m_1')$ and let $F_2$ be the orthogonal complement of $F_{1, \alpha}$ in $m_*(TP \times [0, 1])$. Since $F_2$ is isomorphic to $(F_2 | S\times 0) \times [0, 1]$, we obtain a monomorphism of rank $c(I) - i_1$.

Next extend $m_\lambda$ to a smooth homotopy $\tilde{m}_\lambda : TN | S^t \to TP$ of homomorphisms of constant rank $n - i_1$, it induces the homomorphism of kernel rank $i_1$

$$d : n(I) \times [0, 1] \to \text{Im}(d_1(s, I)|n(I)) \times [0, 1] \to F_2.$$

We define $\tilde{d}$ to be the composition

$$TN | S^t \times [0, 1] \cong (T(S^t) \oplus n(I)) \times [0, 1] \cong \text{Im}(m_*(m')) \oplus \text{Cok}(m_*(m')) \cong m_*(TP \times [0, 1]) \overset{d \otimes [0, 1]}{\longrightarrow} TP \times [0, 1].$$

We define $\tilde{m}_\lambda$ by $(\tilde{m}_\lambda'(v, \lambda)) = \tilde{m}(v, \lambda).$

Recall the submanifold $\Sigma^t_i(N, P)$ of $J^t(N, P) = J^t(TN, TP)$ which corresponds to $\Sigma^t_i(N, P)$ in Section 2, property (9). Then $\pi_P^* \Sigma^t(N, P)$ becomes a fiber bundle. We regard $\tilde{m}_\lambda$ as a homotopy $S^t \to \Sigma^t_i(N, P)$. By the covering homotopy property to $s | S^t$ and $\tilde{m}_\lambda$, we obtain a smooth homotopy $\alpha^t_\lambda : S^t \to \Sigma^t_i(N, P)$.

We have a smooth metric of $n(I)$ over $S^t$. For a sufficiently small positive function $e : S^t \to \mathbb{R}$, let $E_e(S^t)$ denote $\exp_n D_e(n(I))$. By using the transversality of $s$ and the homotopy extension property of bundle maps for $s | E_e(S^t)$ and $\alpha^t_\lambda$, we first extend $\alpha^t_\lambda$ to a smooth homotopy $\beta_\lambda$ of $E_e(S^t)$ to a tubular neighborhood of $\Sigma^t_i(N, P)$, say $U_{\Sigma^t_i}$, covering $\alpha^t_\lambda$ relative to $E_e(S^t) \cap U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0}$ such that $\beta_0 = s | E_e(S^t)$ and $\beta_1$ is transverse to $\Sigma^t_i(N, P)$. Next extend $\beta_\lambda$ to a homotopy $\alpha_\lambda \in \Gamma_{\Omega(N, P)}$ so that $\alpha_0 = s$, $\alpha_1 | E_e(S^t) = \beta_\lambda$, $\alpha_\lambda | U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0} = s | U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0}$ and that $\alpha_\lambda(N \ \Omega(U(E_e(S^t) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0}))) \subset \Omega(N, P) \ \text{Int} U_{\Sigma^t_i}$. This is the required homotopy $\alpha_\lambda$.

Here we give two lemmas necessary for the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $\pi : E \to S$ be a smooth $c(I)$-dimensional vector bundle with a smooth metric over an $(n-c(I))$-dimensional manifold $S$, which is identified with the zero-section. Then we can identify $\exp_E | D_{\pi}(E) = \text{id} D_{\pi}(E)$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\pi : E \to S$ be given as above. Let $f_m : E \to P$ ($m = 1, 2$) be $\Omega$-regular maps such that, for any $c \in S$.

(i) $f_1 | S = f_2 | S$, which are immersions and $(df_1)_c = (df_2)_c$. 
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(ii) $j^\infty f_m$ is transverse to $\Sigma^l(E, P)$ and $S = S^l(j^\infty f_1) = S^l(j^\infty f_2)$,
(iii) $K_1(S^l(j^\infty f_1)) = K_1(S^l(j^\infty f_2))$, are tangent to $\pi^{-1}(c)$,
(iv) $K_j(S^l(j^\infty f_1)) = K_j(S^l(j^\infty f_2))$, $P_j(S^l(j^\infty f_1)) = P_j(S^l(j^\infty f_2))$, and $T,c(S^l(j^\infty f_1)) = T,c(S^l(j^\infty f_2))$ for $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$,
(v) the two homomorphisms $(j^\infty f_m|S)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d(j^\infty f_m)|T,c(S^l(j^\infty f_m)))$ of $T,c(S^l(j^\infty f_m))$ to $P_j(S^l(j^\infty f_m))$ for $m = 1, 2$ are equal for $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$.

Let $\eta : S \to [0, 1]$ be any smooth function. Let $\varepsilon : S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a sufficiently small positive smooth function. We define $F^0 : D_c(E) \to P$ by

$$f^0(v_c) = \exp_{P^1(f_c)}((1 - \eta(c))\exp_{P^2(f_c)}(f_1(v_c)) + \eta(c)\exp_{P^2(f_c)}(f_2(v_c)))$$

for any $v_c \in \pi^{-1}(c)$ with $\|v_c\| \leq \varepsilon(c)$. Then the map $F^0$ is a well-defined $\Omega$-regular map such that for $m = 1, 2$, and for any $c \in S$,

(5.3.1) $F^0|S = f_m|S$ and $(dF^0)_c = (df_m)_c$,
(5.3.2) $j^\infty F^0$ is transverse to $\Sigma^l(E, P)$ and $S = S^l(j^\infty F^0)$,
(5.3.3) $K_1(S^l(j^\infty F^0)) = K_1(S^l(j^\infty F_m))$, are tangent to $\pi^{-1}(c)$,
(5.3.4) $K_j(S^l(j^\infty F^0)) = K_j(S^l(j^\infty F_m))$, $P_j(S^l(j^\infty F^0)) = P_j(S^l(j^\infty F_m))$ and $T,c(S^l(j^\infty F^0)) = T,c(S^l(j^\infty F_m))$ for $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$,
(5.3.5) the homomorphism

$$(j^\infty F^0|S)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d(j^\infty F^0)|T,c(S^l(j^\infty F^0))) : T,c(S^l(j^\infty F^0)) \to P_j(S^l(j^\infty F^0))$$

is equal to the homomorphisms $(j^\infty f_m|S)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d(j^\infty f_m)|T,c(S^l(j^\infty f_m))) (m = 1, 2)$ in (v) for $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$.

Proof. We take a Riemannian metric on $P$. Then $S$ has the Riemannian metric induced from $f_1|S = f_2|S$, which, together with the smooth metric of the vector bundle $E$, yields the Riemannian metric on $E$. In particular, $S$ is a Riemannian submanifold of $E$ and $S$ is orthogonal to each fiber $E_c, c \in S$. Then the local coordinates of $\exp_{E_c}(K_1(S^l(j^\infty f_m)))$ and $\exp_{P,f_m(c)}(Q(S^l(j^\infty f_m)))$ are independent of coordinates of $S$, where $Q(S^l(j^\infty f_m))$ is regarded as the orthogonal complement of $\text{Im}(d_1(j^\infty f_m, I)_c)$ in $T_{f_m(c)}P$.

It follows that $F^0|S$ is an immersion and $(dF^0)_c = (df_m)_c$. We prove the assertions (5.3.4) and (5.3.5) for $j = \ell + 1$, by induction on $j$, under the inductive assumptions (5.3.4) and (5.3.5) for $j \leq \ell$. We may consider $\eta(c)$ as a constant when dealing with higher intrinsic derivatives by the property of the total tangent bundle $D$ given in the beginning of Section 2 under (1.2). We have the following exact sequence for all $j$ ($1 \leq j \leq n + 1$) induced from (2.2) for a general section $s \in \Gamma_\Omega(E, P)$ which is transverse to $\Sigma^l(E, P)$,

$$0 \longrightarrow T,c(S^l_j(s)) \longrightarrow T,c(S^l_{j-1}(s)) \xrightarrow{h} P_j(S^l(s))_c \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $h$ is $(s|S^l(s))^{*}(d_{j+1} \circ ds|T,c(S^l_{j-1}(s)))$. By comparing the exact sequences (5.2) for $s = j^\infty F^0$, $j^\infty f_m$, we have that $T,c(S^l_j(j^\infty F^0)) = T,c(S^l_j(j^\infty f_m))$. It follows from (5) in Section 2 and (5.3.5) that $K_{j+1}(S^l(j^\infty F^0)) = K_{j+1}(S^l(j^\infty f_m))$ and hence, $P_{j+1}(S^l(j^\infty F^0)) = P_{j+1}(S^l(j^\infty f_m))$. Set $K_{j,c} = K_j(S^l(s))_c$, $P_j = P_j(S^l(s))_c$, $j \leq \ell + 1$ and $Q_c = Q(S^l(s))_c$ for $s = j^\infty F^0, j^\infty f_m$. Let $t \in T,c(S^l_j(s))$ and $v_j \in K_{j,c}$ for $s = j^\infty F^0, j^\infty f_m$. For a point $f_m(c) \in P$, there exists the normal coordinates system $(y_1, \cdots, y_{p-n+1})$ associated to $Q_c$. It follows from the
properties of $\mathbf{b}_{\ell_1}$ that setting $\mathbf{w} = t \circ \mathbf{v}_{\ell_1} \circ \mathbf{v}_2 \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{v}_1$, we have

$$p_{Q_1}^* \circ \mathbf{b}_{\ell_1}(d(j^{\infty}f^0)(t) \circ d(j^{\infty}f^0)(\mathbf{v}_{\ell_1}) \circ \cdots \circ d(j^{\infty}f^0)(\mathbf{v}_1))(y_j \circ \pi^c_{\mathbb{R}})$$

$$= (w)(y_j \circ f^0)$$

$$= (1 - \eta(c))(w)(y_j \circ f_1) + \eta(c)(w)(y_j \circ f_2)$$

$$= (w)(y_j) \circ f_m$$

$$= p_{Q_1}^* \circ \mathbf{b}_{\ell_1}(d(j^{\infty}f_m)(t) \circ d(j^{\infty}f_m)(\mathbf{v}_{\ell_1}) \circ \cdots \circ d(j^{\infty}f_m)(\mathbf{v}_1))(y_j \circ \pi^c_{\mathbb{R}})$$

for $m = 1, 2$. Therefore, $(s|S^I)^*(p_{Q_1}^* \circ \mathbf{b}_{\ell_1})|T_c(S^I(s)) \circ K_{\ell_1,c} \circ \cdots \circ K_{1,c}$ for $s = j^{\infty}f^0, j^{\infty}f_m$. Moreover, $c_{\ell_1}$ in (7) of Section 2, with $P$ being replaced by $Q_1$, induces the same homomorphism

$$c_{\ell_1}(s|S^I) : \text{Hom}(K_{\ell_1,c} \circ K_{\ell_1,c} \circ \cdots \circ K_{1,c}, Q_c) \to P_{\ell_1,c}$$

for $s = j^{\infty}f^0, j^{\infty}f_m$. By [2] Lemmas 7.11 and 7.13 and Definition 7.12] we have

$$c_{\ell_1}(s|S^I) \circ b_{\ell_1}(s|S^I) = (s|S)^* (d_{\ell_1+2} \circ d(s)|T_c(S^I(s)))$$

for $s = j^{\infty}f^0, j^{\infty}f_m$. Therefore, $(j^{\infty}f^0|S)^* (d_{\ell_1+2} \circ d(j^{\infty}f^0)|T_c(S^I(j^{\infty}f^0)))$ coincides with $(j^{\infty}f_m|S)^* (d_{\ell_2+2} \circ d(j^{\infty}f_m)|T_c(S^I(j^{\infty}f_m)))$. This implies (5.3.5) for $j = \ell + 1$. This completes the proof.

The proof of the following lemma is elementary, and so is left to the reader.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $\pi : E \to S$ be given as above. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ be a pair consisting of a manifold and its submanifold of codimension $c(\Omega)$. Let $\varepsilon : S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a sufficiently small positive smooth function. Let $h : \tilde{D}_{\varepsilon}(E) \to (\Omega, \Sigma)$ be a smooth map such that $S = h^{-1}(\Sigma)$ and that $h$ is transverse to $\Sigma$. Then there exists a smooth homotopy $h_\lambda : (D_{\varepsilon}(E), S) \to (\Omega, \Sigma)$ between $h$ and $\exp_{\Omega, h(\varepsilon)} \circ dh|D_{\varepsilon}(E)$ such that

(5.4.1) $h_\lambda|S = h_0|S$, $S = h_\lambda^{-1}(\Sigma) = h_0^{-1}(\Sigma)$ for any $\lambda$,

(5.4.2) $h_\lambda$ is smooth and is transverse to $\Sigma$ for any $\lambda$,

(5.4.3) $h_0 = h$ and $h_1(\varepsilon_c) = \exp_{\Omega, h(\varepsilon)} \circ dh(\varepsilon_c)$ for $c \in S$ and $\varepsilon_c \in D_{\varepsilon}(E_c)$.

6. **Proof of Theorem 4.1**

In what follows we denote, by $\mu$, the section $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma_N(N, P)$ in Lemma 5.2 which satisfies (5.2.1), (5.2.2) and (5.2.3). We first introduce several homomorphisms between vector bundles over $S^I(\mu)$, which are used for the construction of the required $\Omega$-regular map in Theorem 4.1. We take a Riemannian metric on $P$, which induces the Riemannian metric on $S^I(\mu)$. Let us choose a Riemannian metric on $N$ which induces a metric of the vector bundle $\mathbf{n}(I)$ over $S^I(\mu)$ such that

(i) $S^I(\mu)$ is a Riemannian submanifold,

(ii) for the symbol $I$, $K_j(S^I(\mu))/K_{j+1}(S^I(\mu))$ is orthogonal to $S^I(\mu)$ in $S^I-1(\mu)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ on $S^I(\mu)$ ($S^I0(\mu) = N$).

Let $1 \leq j < k$. Let $Q, K_j$ and $P_j$ refer to $Q(S^I(\mu))$, $K_j(S^I(\mu))$ and $P_j(S^I(\mu))$ respectively. Let $\mathbf{n}(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1})$ or simply $\mathbf{n}(I_j \subset I_{j-1})$ be the orthogonal normal bundle of $S^I(\mu)$ in $S^I-1(\mu)$ over $S^I(\mu)$. Let $K_j/K_{j+1}$ refer to the orthogonal complement of $K_{j+1}$ in $K_j$. Then we have $K_j/K_{j+1} \subset \mathbf{n}(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1})$. Let $n^I_j$
refer to the orthogonal complement of \( K_j/K_{j+1} \) in \( n(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1}) \). Now we have the following isomorphism by (2.2)

\[
(\mu|S^j)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d\mu|n(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1})) : n(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1}) = K_j/K_{j+1} \oplus n_{j}' \rightarrow P_j.
\]

Let us first define the section \( q'((\mu, I)) \) of \( \text{Hom}(n(I), \text{Im}(d_1(\mu, I)|n(I))) \) over \( S^j(\mu) \) by \( q'(\mu, I)^1 = d_1(\mu, I)|n(I) \), which vanishes on \( K_1|S^j \) and gives an isomorphism of \( \bigoplus_{j=1}^k n_{j}' \) onto \( \text{Im}(d_1(\mu, I)|n(I)) \).

For \( 1 \leq j \leq k \), \( q(k)^{j+1,j+1} \) in (3.1) induces the homomorphism

\[
(\mu|S^j)^*q(k)^{j+1,j+1} \circ (((\mu|S^j)^*d\mu|n(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1})) \circ i_{K_j} \circ K_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ K_i).
\]

If we regard \( q(\mu, I)^{j+1} \) to vanish on the orthogonal complement of \( n(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1}) \cap K_j \cap K_{j-1} \cap \cdots \cap K_1 \), then \( q(\mu, I)^{j+1} \) defines a section of \( \text{Hom}(\bigotimes_{j=1}^k n(I), Q) \). Then we have the following section of \( \text{Hom}(\bigotimes_{j=1}^k n(I), Q) \):

\[
q'(\mu, I) = \sum_{j=1}^k q(\mu, I)^{j+1} \text{ over } S^j(\mu).
\]

Let us consider the direct sum decompositions

\[
n(\mu, I) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k n(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1}), \quad n(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1}) = K_j/K_{j+1} \oplus n_{j}',
\]

\[
K_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k K_j/K_{j+1} \oplus K_1, \quad (\pi^\infty_\mu \circ \mu|S^j)^*(TP) = Q \oplus Q^\perp
\]

and the inclusion \( i_Q : Q \rightarrow (\pi^\infty_\mu \circ \mu|S^j)^*(TP) \) which is induced by the Riemannian metric on \( P \). Let us define the homomorphism \( q(\mu, I) \) by

\[
q(\mu, I) = (\pi^\infty_\mu \circ \mu|S^j)^TP \circ (i_Q \circ q'(\mu, I) + q'(\mu, I)^1) : \bigotimes_{j=1}^{k+1} n(I) \rightarrow TP
\]

covering the immersion \( \pi^\infty_\mu \circ \mu|S^j(\mu) : S^j(\mu) \rightarrow P \).

Let \( (\partial/\partial x_1, \ldots, \partial/\partial x_{c(I)}) \) and \( (\partial/\partial y_1, \ldots, \partial/\partial y_{p-n+i_1}) \) be orthonormal bases of \( n(I)_c \) and \( Q_c \) for \( c \in S^j(\mu) \). An element of \( \text{Hom}(\bigotimes^i n(I), Q)_c \) is identified with \( \sum_{i=1}^{p-n+i_1} (\sum_{|\omega|=j} a_\omega(\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_{c(I)})) \partial/\partial y_i, \) where \( \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_{c(I)}) \), \( \omega_i \geq 0 \) \((i = 1, \ldots, p - n + i_1)\), and \( |\omega| = \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_{c(I)} \) and \( a_\omega(c) \) are real numbers. Thus \( i_Q \circ q'(\mu, I) + q'(\mu, I)^1 \) is regarded as \( p - n + i_1 \) polynomials of \( c(I) \) variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_{c(I)} \) with constant 0 whenever we fix a point \( c \in S^j(\mu) \).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We first prove Theorem 4.1 for the case \( I > (n - p + 1, 0) \). Deform \( s \in \Gamma^\infty_\Omega(N, P) \) in Theorem 4.1 as above to a section \( \mu \in \Gamma_\Omega(N, P) \) as in Lemma 5.2 which satisfies (5.2.1), (5.2.2) and (5.2.3) where \( \alpha_1 \) is replaced by \( \mu \). Set \( S^j = S^j(\mu), Q = Q(S^j(\mu)), K_j = K_j(S^j(\mu)) \) and \( P_j = P_j(S^j(\mu)) \). We define \( E(S^j) \) to be \( \exp_{\delta_j}(D_{\delta_j}(n(I))) \), where \( \delta : \Sigma(\Omega(N, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a sufficiently small positive function which is constant on \( \mu(S^j(\mu)) \setminus \text{Int}U(C(I^+)) \). Here, \( E(S^j) \) is a tubular neighborhood of \( S^j \) with the natural projection \( \pi_E : E(S^j) \rightarrow S^j \).
It suffices for the proof of Theorem 4.1 to prove the following assertion (A). In fact, we obtain a required homotopy $s_\lambda$ in Theorem 4.1 by pasting the homotopies $\alpha_\lambda$ in Lemma 5.2 and $H_\lambda$ in (A).

(A) There exists a homotopy $H_\lambda$ relative to $U(C(I^+))_{2-\tau_0}$ in $\Gamma_\Omega(N, P)$ with $H_0 = \mu$ satisfying the following:

(1) $H_\lambda$ is transverse to $\Sigma^I(N, P)$ and $S^I(H_\lambda) = S^I$ for any $\lambda$.

(2) We have an $\Omega$-regular map $G$ which is defined on a neighborhood of $E(S^I) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-\tau_0}$ to $P$ such that $j^{\infty}G = H_1$ on $E(S^I) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-\tau_0}$.

(3) $H_1 \in \Gamma_\Omega^N(N, P)$.

Let us prove (A). Under the identification of $Q$ with the orthogonal $p - n + i_1$-dimensional bundle of $\text{Im}(d_3(\mu, I))$ in $(\pi_\nu^\infty \circ \mu S^I)(TP)$, the map $\exp_{\mu} \circ (\pi_\nu^\infty \circ \mu S^I)^TP|D_\gamma(Q)$ is an immersion for some small positive function $\gamma$. In the proof we express a point of $E(S^I)$ as $v_c$, where $c \in S^I$, $v_c \in \pi(I, c)$ and $\|v_c\| \leq \delta(\mu(c))$. In the proof we say that a smooth homotopy

$$k_\lambda : (E(S^I), \partial E(S^I)) \to (\Omega(N, P), \Omega(N, P) \setminus \Sigma^I(N, P))$$

has the property (C) if it satisfies for any $\lambda$

(C-1) $k_\lambda^\ast(\Sigma^I(N, P)) = S^I$, and $\pi_\nu^\infty \circ k_\lambda S^I = \pi_\nu^\infty \circ k_0 S^I$,

(C-2) $k_\lambda$ is smooth and transverse to $\Sigma^I(N, P)$.

If we choose $\delta$ sufficiently small compared with $\gamma$, then we can define the map $g_0 : E(S^I) \to P$ by

$$(6.5) \quad g_0(v_c) = \exp_{\pi_\nu^\infty \circ \mu(c)} \circ q(\mu, I)_{c} \circ \exp_{k_0 c}^{-1}(v_c).$$

It follows from Lemma 6.1 below that $g_0$ is an $\Omega$-regular map such that $j^{\infty}g_0$ is transverse to $\Sigma^I(N, P)$, $S^I(j^{\infty}g_0) = S^I(\mu)$ and $\pi_\nu^\infty \circ \mu(c) = g_0(c)$ for any $c \in S^I$. Now we need to modify $g_0$ by using Lemma 5.3 so that $g_0$ is compatible with $g(I^+)$. Let $\eta : S^I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that

(1) $0 \leq \eta(c) \leq 1$ for $c \in S^I$,

(2) $\eta(c) = 0$ for $c \in S^I \cap U(C(I^+))_{2-(7/2)\tau_0}$,

(3) $\eta(c) = 1$ for $c \in S^I \setminus U(C(I^+))_{2-4\tau_0}$.

Then consider the map $G : E(S^I) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-3\tau_0} \to P$ defined by

- if $x \in U(C(I^+))_{2-3\tau_0}$, then $G(x) = g(I^+)(x)$,
- if $v_c \in E(S^I)|_{S^I \setminus U(C(I^+))_{2-(7/2)\tau_0}}$, then $G(v_c) = g_0(v_c)$,
- if $v_c \in E(S^I)|_{S^I \cap U(C(I^+))_{2-4\tau_0}}$, then we define $G(v_c)$ to be

$$\exp_{P,g_0(c)}((1-\eta(c)) \exp_{P,g_0(c)}^{-1}(g(I^+)(v_c)) + \eta(c) \exp_{P,g_0(c)}^{-1}(g_0(v_c))),$$

where $\delta$ is so small that $E(S^I) \cap U(C(I^+))_{2-3\tau_0} \subset \pi_\nu^E(1)(S^I \cap U(C(I^+))_{2-(7/2)\tau_0})$ holds. It follows from Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 6.1 below that $G$ is an $\Omega$-regular map defined on $E(S^I) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-3\tau_0}$, that $G(E(S^I))$ has the singularities of the symbol $I$ exactly on $S^I$, and that for any $c \in S^I$ and $1 \leq j \leq k + 1$,

(1) $G(S^I) = g_0(S^I) = \pi_\nu^E \circ \mu(S^I)$ and $(dG)_c = (dg_0)_c$,

(2) $j^{\infty}G$ is transverse to $\Sigma^I(N, P)$ and $S^I(j^{\infty}g_0) = S^I(j^{\infty}g_0) = S^I$,

(3) $Q(S^I(j^{\infty}G)) = Q(S^I(j^{\infty}g_0)) = Q$, $K_j(S^I(j^{\infty}G)) = K_j(S^I(j^{\infty}g_0)) = K_j$, $P_j(S^I(j^{\infty}G)) = P_j(S^I(j^{\infty}g_0)) = P_j$ and $T_c(S^I(j^{\infty}G)) = T_c(S^I(j^{\infty}g_0)) = T_c(S^I(j^{\infty}g_0)) = T_c(S^I(j^{\infty}g_0)) = T_c(S^I(j^{\infty}g_0)) = T_c(S^I(j^{\infty}g_0))$. 
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(4) the following three homomorphisms are equal:

\[
\begin{align*}
(j^\infty G|S^n)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d(j^\infty G)|T_c(S^{j-1}(j^\infty G))), \\
(j^\infty g_0|S^n)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d(j^\infty g_0)|T_c(S^{j-1}(j^\infty g_0))), \\
(\mu|S^n)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d\mu|T_c(S^{j-1}(\mu))).
\end{align*}
\]

Under the identification \(\mu^* P \cong (\pi_P^\infty \circ \mu)^*(TP)\) and \(\mu^* D \cong TN\) in (2.1), we have, in particular, that

\[
(j^\infty G|S^n)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d(j^\infty G)|n(I_j \subset I_{j-1})) = (\mu|S^n)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d\mu|n(I_j \subset I_{j-1}))
\]

over \(S^j\). Set \(\exp_\Omega = \exp_\Omega(N,P)\) for short. Let \(h^1_\lambda\) and \(h^3_\lambda\) be the maps \((E(S^j), S^j) \to (\Omega(N,P), \Sigma^j(N,P))\) defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
h^1_\lambda(v_c) &= \exp_\Omega,\mu(c) \circ d\mu \circ (\exp_N,c)^{-1}(v_c), \\
h^3_\lambda(v_c) &= \exp_\Omega, j^\infty G(c) \circ d(c, j^\infty G) \circ (\exp_N,c)^{-1}(v_c).
\end{align*}
\]

By applying Lemma 5.4 to the section \(\mu\) and \(h^1_\lambda\), we first obtain a homotopy \(h^1_\lambda \in \Gamma_\Omega(E(S^j), P)\) between \(h^3_\lambda = \mu\) and \(h^1_\lambda\) on \(E(S^j)\) satisfying properties (5.4.1), (5.4.2) and (5.4.3) of Lemma 5.4. Similarly we obtain a homotopy \(h^3_\lambda \in \Gamma_\Omega(E(S^j), P)\) between \(h^3_\lambda\) and \(h^1_\lambda = j^\infty G\) on \(E(S^j)\) satisfying properties (5.4.1), (5.4.2) and (5.4.3).

Next we construct a homotopy of bundle maps \(n(I) \to \nu(\Sigma^j)\) covering a homotopy \(S^j \to \Sigma^j(N,P)\) between \(d\mu|n(I)\) and \(d(j^\infty G)|n(I)\). Let us recall the additive structure of \(J^\infty(N,P)\) in (1.2). Then we have the homotopy \(\kappa_\lambda : S^j \to J^\infty(N,P)\) defined by

\[
\kappa_\lambda(c) = (1 - \lambda)\mu(c) + \lambda j^\infty G(c) \quad \text{covering } \pi_P^\infty \circ \mu|S^j : S^j \to P,
\]

where \(\pi_P^\infty \circ \mu|S^j\) is the immersion as in (5.2.3) of Lemma 5.2. We show that \(\kappa_\lambda\) is actually a homotopy of \(S^j\) to \(\Sigma^j(N,P)\). Indeed, from the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 5.3 using (1), (2), (3) and (4) above it follows that the Boardman symbol of \(\kappa_\lambda(c)\) is \(I\) for any \(\lambda\) and any \(c \in S^j\) and that

\[
Q(S^j(\kappa_\lambda)) = Q, K_j(S^j(\kappa_\lambda)) = K_j \quad \text{and} \quad P_j(S^j(\kappa_\lambda)) = P_j.
\]

Here, recall the direct sum decomposition

\[
n(\mu,I) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k n(I_j \subset I_{j-1})
\]

and the isomorphisms \(n(I_j \subset I_{j-1}) \to P_j\). Then we can construct a splitting \(i^\infty_\lambda : \nu(\Sigma^j) \to D\) and direct sum decompositions

\[
\nu(\Sigma^j) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \nu(I_j \subset I_{j-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad K_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k-1} (K_j/K_{j+1}) \oplus K_k
\]

over the images of \(\kappa_\lambda(S^j)\) so that

\[
\begin{align*}
d\mu(n(I_j \subset I_{j-1})) &= i^\infty_\lambda(\nu(I_j \subset I_{j-1})|_{\mu(S^j)}), \\
d(j^\infty G)(n(I_j \subset I_{j-1})) &= i^\infty_\lambda(\nu(I_j \subset I_{j-1})|_{j^\infty G(S^j)}).
\end{align*}
\]

and that these decompositions are compatible with \(j^\infty \circ (\mu|S^j) : K_1(S^j(\mu)) \to K_1(\Omega^j(N,P)) \to \nu(\Sigma^j)\). By the equalities of the homomorphisms in (6.6), we obtain a homotopy of bundle maps \(\tilde{\kappa}_\lambda : n(I) \to i^\infty_\lambda(\nu(\Sigma^j))\) covering \(\kappa_\lambda\) with the property
(C) by using the homotopy \( (\kappa_\lambda)^{\infty}(\nu(\Sigma^I)) : \kappa_\lambda^{\infty}(i^{\infty}(\nu(\Sigma^I))) \to i^{\infty}(\nu(\Sigma^I)) \) such that 
\( \kappa_0 = d\mu|n(I) \) and \( \kappa_\infty = d(j^{\infty}G)|n(I) \). We define a homotopy \( h_\lambda^2 : (E(S^I), S^I) \to (\Omega(N, P), \Sigma^I(N, P)) \) covering \( \kappa_\lambda \) by
\[
h_\lambda^2(v_c) = \exp_{\Omega(\mu(c))} \circ \kappa_\lambda \circ (\exp_{N(c)})^{-1}(v_c).
\]

Then we have that \( h_0^2(v_c) = h_1^2(v_c), h_1^2(v_c) = h_0^3(v_c) \) on \( E(S^I) \). Since \( h_0^1(v_c) = h_1^2(v_c) = \mu(v_c) \) for \( v_c \in U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0}, \) we may assume in the construction of \( h_\lambda^1, h_\lambda^2 \) and \( h_\lambda^3 \) that if \( v_c \in U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0}, \) then
\[
h_\lambda^3(v_c) = h_0^3(v_c) = h_1^2(v_c) \text{ and } h_\lambda^3(v_c) = h_1^1(v_c) \text{ for any } \lambda.
\]

Let \( h_\lambda' \in \Gamma_{\Omega}(E(S^I) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0}, P) \) be the homotopy which is obtained by pasting \( h_\lambda^1, h_\lambda^2 \) and \( h_\lambda^3 \). The homotopies \( h_\lambda^1, h_\lambda^2, h_\lambda^3 \) are not homotopies relative to \( E(S^I) \cap U(C(I^+))_{2-3r_0} \) in general. By using the above properties of \( h_\lambda^1, h_\lambda^2 \) and \( h_\lambda^3 \), we can modify \( h_\lambda' \) to a smooth homotopy \( h_\lambda \in \Gamma_{\Omega}(E(S^I), P) \) satisfying the property (C) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & h_\lambda(v_c) = h_0(v_c) = \mu(v_c) \text{ for any } \lambda \text{ and any } v_c \in E(S^I) \cap U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0}, \\
(2) \quad & h_0(v_c) = \mu(v_c) \text{ for any } v_c \in E(S^I), \\
(3) \quad & h_\lambda(v_c) = j^\infty G(v_c) \text{ for any } v_c \in E(S^I).
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( S^I \setminus \text{Int}U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0} \) is closed and since \( G \) is an \( \Omega \)-regular map such that \( j^\infty G \) is transverse to \( \Sigma^I(N, P) \), it follows from [G-C] Ch. II, Corollary 4.11 that there exists a homotopy \( G_\lambda \) of \( \Omega \)-regular maps \( E(S^I) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0} \to P \) relative to \( U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0} \) with \( G_0 = G \) such that \( j^\infty G_\lambda^{-1}(\bigcup_{J \supseteq I} \Sigma^J(N, P)) \) is contained in \( \text{Int} |\exp_N(D_{1/2}(n(I))) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0}| \), that \( j^\infty G_\lambda \) is transverse to \( \Sigma^I(N, P) \) for any \( \lambda \) and that \( j^\infty G_1 \) is transverse to \( \Sigma^J(N, P) \) for all symbols \( J \). By using (1) and \( G_\lambda \), we can extend \( h_\lambda \) to the homotopy \( h_\lambda \in \Gamma_{\Omega}(E(S^I) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0}, P) \) defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
H_\lambda'E(S^I) = h_{2\lambda}, \\
H_\lambda'E(S^I) \cup U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0} = j^\infty G_{2\lambda-1} \quad & (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1/2), \\
H_\lambda'U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0} = \mu U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0} \quad & (1/2 \leq \lambda \leq 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, we slightly modify \( H_\lambda' \) to be smooth and replace \( \delta \) and \( E(S^I) \) by smaller ones. By the transversalities of \( H' \) to \( \Sigma^I(N, P) \) and of \( H_1' \) to \( \Sigma^J(N, P) \) for all symbols \( J \) and the homotopy extension property to \( \mu \) and \( H_1' \), we can extend \( H_\lambda' \) to a homotopy
\[
H_\lambda : (N, S^I) \to (\Omega(N, P), \Sigma^I(N, P))
\]
relative to \( U(C(I^+))_{2-2r_0} \) such that \( H_0 = \mu \) and \( H_1 \in \Gamma_{\Omega}(N, P) \). Then \( H_\lambda \) is the required homotopy in \( \Gamma_{\Omega}(N, P) \) in the assertion (A).

We finally prove the case \( I = (n-p+1, 0) \). Note that \( \Omega^I(N, P) = \Sigma^{n-p}(N, P) \cup \Sigma^J(N, P) \) and an \( \Omega^I \)-regular map is always transverse to \( J \)-maps. If we set \( N_0 = S^{n-p}(s) \cup S^I(s) \), then \( \Omega^I = C \cup (N \setminus N_0) \). Let us recall the neighborhoods \( U(C(I^+))_i \) and \( U(C(I^+))_i \); \( i = 1, 2 \) of \( C \cup (N \setminus N_0) \), where \( g(I^+) \) is defined. Since \( s \in \Gamma_{\Omega^I}(N, P), s|N_0 \) is a section in \( \Gamma_{\Omega^I}(N_0, P) \) and \( g(I^+)\big|\bigl(U(C(I^+))_i \cap N_0\bigr) \) is an \( \Omega^I \)-regular map. Then it follows from [An1, Theorem 4.1] and [An6, Theorem 0.5] that there exist a homotopy \( u_\lambda \in \Gamma_{\Omega^I}(N_0, P) \) relative to \( U(C(I^+))_i \cap N_0 \) and an \( \Omega^I \)-regular map \( f_0 : N_0 \to P \) such that \( u_\lambda = s|N_0 \) and \( u_1 = j^\infty f_0 \). The required homotopy \( s_\lambda \) with an \( \Omega^J \)-regular map \( g_\lambda \) is defined by \( s_\lambda|N_0 = u_\lambda, s_\lambda|U(C(I^+))_2 = j^\infty g(I^+)\big|U(C(I^+))_2, g_\lambda|N_0 = f_0 \) and \( g_\lambda|U(C(I^+))_2 = g(I^+)\big|U(C(I^+))_2 \).
Lemma 6.1. Let $I$, $\mu$, $E(S^I)$ and $g_0$ be given as in the proof Theorem 4.1. Let the positive function $\delta$ in the definition of $E(S^I)$ be sufficiently small. Then we have, for every point $c \in S^I$,

(6.1.1) $(g_0|S^I)^*(dg_0)_c = d_1(\mu, I)_c$ and $Q(S^I(j^\infty g_0))_c = Q(S^I)(\mu)_c$,

(6.1.2) for $1 \leq j \leq k + 1$,

(j-a) $K_j(S^I(j^\infty g_0))_c = K_{j,c}$, $P_j(S^I(j^\infty g_0))_c = P_j(S^I)(\mu)_c$ and $T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(j^\infty g_0)) = T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu))$,

(j-b) the following two homomorphisms to $Q(S^I)(\mu)_c$ are equal:

$$(j^\infty g_0|S^I)^*(q(k)^j_{1+j})*(T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu))) \circ K_{j,c} \circ K_{j-1,c} \circ \cdots \circ K_{1,c},$$

$$(\mu|S^I)^*(k_{1+j}^j)*(T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu))) \circ K_{j,c} \circ K_{j-1,c} \circ \cdots \circ K_{1,c},$$

(j-c) the following two homomorphisms to $P_j(S^I)(\mu)_c$ are equal:

$$(j^\infty g_0|S^I)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d(j^\infty g_0)|T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu))),$$

$$(\mu|S^I)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d\mu|T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu))),$$

(j-d) $T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(j^\infty g_0)) = T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu))$, $n(j^\infty g_0, I_j \subset I_{j-1})_c = n(\mu, I_j \subset I_{j-1})_c$ and the sequence

$$(6.9) 0 \rightarrow T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(j^\infty g_0)) \rightarrow T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu)) \rightarrow P_j(S^I)(\mu)_c \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where $h = (\mu|S^I)^*(d_{j+1} \circ d\mu|T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu)))$.

Consequently, $j^\infty g_0$ is transverse to $\Sigma^I(N, \mathcal{P})$ along $S^I(\mu)$ and $g_0$ is an $\Omega$-regular map.

Proof. Let $K_j$, $Q$ and $P_j$ refer to $K_j(S^I)(\mu)$, $Q(S^I)(\mu)$ and $P_j(S^I)(\mu)$ respectively. Let $c$ be a point of $S^I$. Since $\pi^N \circ \mu|S^I$ is an immersion and $q'(\mu, I)^j = d_1(\mu, I)|n(I)$, we have $(g_0|S^I)^*(dg_0)_c = d_1(\mu, I)_c$. In particular, we have $K_1(S^I(j^\infty g_0)) = K_1$ and $Q(S^I(j^\infty g_0)) = Q$. We note that $d(\pi^N) \circ d(j^\infty g_0)|_{S^I} = id_{\mathcal{P}|N}$ and $d(\pi^N) \circ d(\mu)|_{S^I} = id_{\mathcal{P}|N}$. Let us recall the notation $c_{j+1}(s|S^I)$ and $b_{j+1}(s|S^I)$ for $s = j^\infty g_0, \mu$ used in the proof of Lemma 5.3, which are induced from $c_{j+1}$ with $\mathcal{P}$ being replaced by $Q_1$ and $p^{n^N}_{Q_1} \circ b_{j+1}$ respectively.

Let us prove Lemma 6.1 by induction on $j$. We may start with $j = 0$. However, we begin by $j = 1$ for the reader's convenience. We first have $P_1(S^I(j^\infty g_0))_c = P_1 \circ \mu = Hom(K_1, Q)_c$. It follows from (3.1), Lemma 3.1 and the definitions (6.4) and (6.5) for $g_0$ that for $s = j^\infty g_0, \mu$, the homomorphisms

$$(s|S^I)^*q'(\mu, I, I_1 \subset I_0)_c \circ K_{1,c} : n(\mu, I_1 \subset I_0)_c \circ K_{1,c} \rightarrow Q_c$$

coincide with each other and the homomorphisms $(s|S^I)^*q'(\mu, I, I_1 \subset I_0)_c \circ K_{1,c}$ vanish. By the dimensional reason we have that

$n(j^\infty g_0, I_1 \subset I_0) = n(\mu, I_1 \subset I_0)$ and $T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(j^\infty g_0)) = T_c(S^I_{\mu-1}(\mu))$.

Thus the equality in (j-c) for $j = 1$ follows from the argument analogous to that in the proof of Lemma 5.3 using

$$(c_1(s|S^I) \circ b_1(s|S^I) = (s|S^I)^*(d_2 \circ d(s)|TN)$$

for $s = j^\infty g_0, \mu$ in [3] Lemmas 7.11 and 7.13 and Definition 7.12] (by the definition of intrinsic derivatives, we may show the case $j = 1$ by calculating the derivatives of the sections $(g_0)^*(dg_0)$ and $d_1(\mu, I)$ of $Hom(TN, (\pi^N \circ \mu)^*T\mathcal{P})$ over $exp_{N,c}(n(\mu, I_1 \subset I_0)_c)$.
at c). Furthermore, we have \( T_c(S^i(j\infty_0)) \cap K_{1,c} = K_2(S^i(j\infty_0)) = K_{2,c} \).

Assume the assertions (6.1.2) for \( j = \ell \). Then, we have
\[
K_{\ell+1}(S^i(j\infty_0)) = K_\ell(S^i(j\infty_0)) \cap T_c(S^{i+1}(j\infty_0)) = K_{\ell+1,c}
\]
and hence, \( P_{\ell+1}(S^i(j\infty_0)) = P_{\ell+1,c} \) by (7) in Section 2. By (3.1), Lemma 3.1 and the definitions (6.4) and (6.5) for \( g_0 \), the two homomorphisms to \( Q_c \)
\[
(s|S^l)^*q(k)^{12,2,2+2}\ | n(\mu, I_{\ell+1} \subset I_{\ell}) \circ K_{\ell+1,c} \circ K_{\ell,c} \circ \cdots \circ K_{1,c}
\]
and \( s = j\infty_0, \mu \) coincide with each other. Furthermore, \( (s|S^l)^*q(k)^{12,2,2+2} \) vanish on \( T_c(S^{i+1}(s)) \circ K_{\ell+1,c} \circ K_{\ell,c} \circ \cdots \circ K_{1,c} \) for \( s = j\infty_0, \mu \). By the dimensional reason we have that
\[
n(j\infty_0, I_{\ell+1} \subset I_{\ell}) = n(\mu, I_{\ell+1} \subset I_{\ell}) \text{ and } T_c(S^{i+1}(j\infty_0)) = T_c(S^{i+1}(\mu)).
\]
Hence, it follows that the two homomorphisms to \( Q_c \)
\[
(s|S^l)^*q(k)^{12,2,2+2}| T_c(S^i(s)) \circ K_{\ell+1,c} \circ K_{\ell,c} \circ \cdots \circ K_{1,c}
\]
for \( s = j\infty_0, \mu \) coincide with each other. Thus the equality in (j-c) for \( j = \ell + 1 \) follows from the equality \( \ell_{\ell+1}(s|S^l) \circ \ell_{\ell+1}(s|S^l) = (s|S^l)^*(d_{\ell+2} \circ d(s)|T_c(S^i(s))) \) for \( s = j\infty_0, \mu \) in [B] Lemmas 7.11 and 7.13 and Definition 7.12. Furthermore we have
\[
T_c(S^i(j\infty_0)) \cap K_{\ell+1}(S^i(j\infty_0)) = K_{\ell+2}(S^i(j\infty_0)) = K_{\ell+2,c}
\]
and the exact sequence (6.9) for \( \ell + 1 \).

Therefore, \( j\infty_0 \) is transverse to \( \Sigma^{i+1}(N,P) \) along \( S^i(\mu) \) for \( 1 \leq \ell \leq k + 1 \).
Since \( \Omega(N,P) \) is an open subset and \( S^i(j\infty_0) \setminus \text{Int}(C(I^+)) = \text{compact} \), we have that if \( \delta \) is sufficiently small, then \( j\infty_0(E(S^i)) \subset \Omega(N,P) \), namely, \( g_0 \) is an \( \Omega \)-regular map.

\section{Proof of Theorem 0.2}

In this section we prove Theorem 0.2 by applying Theorem 0.1.

**Proposition 7.1.** Under the same assumptions as Theorem 0.2, any section \( s \in \Gamma^{i+1}_r(N,P) \) has a homotopy \( s_\lambda \in \Gamma^{i+1}(N,P) \) such that \( s_0 = s \) and \( s_1 \in \Gamma^{i+1}_r(N,P) \).

We need the following lemma for the proof of Proposition 7.1. We provide \( D \) and \( P \) smooth metrics induced from Riemannian metrics on \( N \) and \( P \) respectively. Let us consider the splittings \( K_j \rightarrow K_r \ (r \geq j) \) and \( Q_1 \rightarrow P \) to the inclusion \( K_r \rightarrow K_j \) and the projection \( P \rightarrow Q_1 \) respectively. Then we may regard \( \text{Hom}(\Omega^j(K_r, Q_1)) \) as a subbundle of \( \text{Hom}(K_i \cap K_{j-1} \cap \cdots \cap K_1, P) \) over \( \Sigma^{i+1}_r(N,P) \).

**Lemma 7.2.** Assume the same assumptions as Theorem 0.2. Then, under the above remark, we have
\[
(7.2.1) \ Q_1|_{\Sigma^i_r(N,P)} \text{ and } \Omega^{i+1}_r|_{\Sigma^i_r(N,P)} \text{ are trivial line bundles equipped with the canonical orientations respectively},
\]
\[
(7.2.2) \text{the homomorphisms } c_j|\text{Hom}(\Omega^j(K_r, Q_1)) \rightarrow P_j \ (1 \leq j \leq r) \text{ and } e_j \circ c_{j-1}|\text{Hom}(\Omega^{j-1}(K_r, Q_1)) \rightarrow Q_j \ (1 < j \leq r) \text{ are injective over } \Sigma^i_r(N,P).
\]

**Proof.** In the proof \( \Sigma^i_r \) refers to \( \Sigma^{i+1}_r(N,P) \).

(7.2.1) By Section 2, property (5), \( d_2|K_1 : K_1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_1, Q_1) \) induces the isomorphism
\[
K_1/K_2 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_1/K_2, Q_1) \text{ over } \Sigma^i_r.
\]
This yields \( q : K_1/K_2 \circlearrowright K_1 \to Q_1 \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \), which is a nonsingular quadratic form on each fiber. Since \( \dim K_1/K_2 = n - p + 1 - i_2 \) is odd, we choose the unique orientation of \( Q_1 \), expressed by the unit vector \( e_p \), so that the index (the number of the negative eigenvalues) of \( q_2 \), \( z \in \Sigma^{I_r} \), is less than \( (n - p + 1 - i_2)/2 \).

Since \( K_r \circlearrowright \Sigma^{I_r} \) is a line bundle and \( r + 1 \) is even, \( \bigcirc^{r+1} K_r \circlearrowright \Sigma^{I_r} \) has the canonical orientation.

(7.2.2) We prove the assertion by induction on \( j \) (\( r \geq 3 \)). Since the kernel of \( d_2|K_1 \) is \( K_2 \), we have that \( c_1 = u_1 \) induces the inclusion \( \text{Hom}(K_r, Q_1) \to \text{Hom}(K_1, Q_1) = P_1 \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \) and \( e_2 : P_1 \to Q_2 \) is identified with the restriction \( \text{Hom}(K_1, Q_1) \to \text{Hom}(K_2, Q_1) \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \). Hence, \( e_2 \circ c_1 \mid \text{Hom}(K_r, Q_1) \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \) is injective for \( j = 2 \). Suppose that \( e_{j-1} \circ c_{j-2} \mid \text{Hom}(\bigcirc^{r-2} K_r, Q_1) \) is injective to \( Q_{j-1} \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \) for \( 1 \leq j - 2 < r \). Then it follows from the definition of \( u_{j-1} \) in (2.6) that \( c_{j-1} \mid \text{Hom}(\bigcirc^{j-1} K_r, Q_1) \) is injective to \( \text{Hom}(K_{j-1}, Q_{j-1}) \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \). Since the image of \( c_{j-1} \) is \( P_{j-1} \), the map \( c_{j-1} \mid \text{Hom}(\bigcirc^{j-1} K_r, Q_1) \) is injective to \( P_{j-1} \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \). We next show that

\[
c_{j-1}(\text{Hom}(\bigcirc^{j-1} K_r, Q_1)) \cap \text{Ker}(e_j) = \{0\}.
\]

In the proof we use the notation \( b_{j-1}' \) introduced in (3) (7.6). Recall that

\[
\text{Ker}(e_j) = \text{Im}(c_{j-1} \circ b_{j-1}'|K_{j-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad d_j = c_{j-1} \circ b_{j-1}'
\]

in (3) Lemma 7.11. Since \( d_j|K_j \) vanishes and \( K_r \subset K_j \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \) and since \( d_j \) is symmetric, it is impossible that

\[
c_{j-1}(\text{Hom}(\bigcirc^{j-1} K_r, Q_1)) \subset \text{Im}(d_j|K_{j-1}) = \text{Im}(c_{j-1} \circ b_{j-1}'|K_{j-1}) = \text{Ker}(e_j).
\]

Hence, the composition \( e_j \circ c_{j-1} \mid \text{Hom}(\bigcirc^{j-1} K_r, Q_1) \) is injective to \( Q_j \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \) for \( j \leq r \) by (5) in Section 2. Thus the map \( c_j \mid \text{Hom}(\bigcirc^{j-1} K_r, Q_1) \) is injective to \( P_j \) over \( \Sigma^{I_r} \) for \( j \leq r \). This proves the lemma. \( \square \)

Proof of Proposition 7.1. In the proof we identify \( J^k(N, P) \) with \( J^k(TN, TP) \) by (1.2). By (9) in Section 2, there exist open subbundles \( \Omega^L(N, P) \) of \( J^k(N, P) \) such that \( (\pi^\infty)^{-1}(\Omega^L(N, P)) = \Omega^L(N, P) \) for \( L \) with length \( k \). It follows that

\[
(\pi^\infty \circ s)(N \setminus (S^I(s))) \subset \Omega^{I_r-1, 0}(N \setminus (S^I(s)), P).
\]

We now construct a new section \( \tilde{u} : N \to \Omega^I(N, P) \) as follows.

Let \( e_p(Q_c) \) and \( e_0(\bigcirc^{r+1} K_r, c) \) be the oriented unit vectors induced from the orientations of \( Q_1, s(c) \) and \( \bigcirc^{r+1} K_r, s(c) \) in Lemma 7.2 by \( s \) respectively. Then we define the section \( \phi^j : S^I(s) \to \text{Hom}(\bigcirc^{r+1} K_r, Q) \) by \( \phi^j(c)(e(\bigcirc^{r+1}(K_r), c)) = e_p(Q_c) \). Extend \( \phi^j \) to a section \( u_\phi : S^I(s) \to \text{Hom}(S^I+1(TN), (\pi^\infty \circ s)^*(TP)) \) so that \( u_\phi(c) \bigcirc^{r+1} K_r, c = \phi^j(c) \) for \( c \in S^I(s) \). Since \( S^I(s) \) is a closed submanifold and since \( \text{Hom}(S^{r+1}(TN), (\pi^\infty \circ s)^*(TP)) \) is a vector bundle, we extend \( u_\phi \) arbitrarily to the section \( u_\phi^N : N \to \text{Hom}(S^{r+1}(TN), (\pi^\infty \circ s)^*(TP)) \). Set \( \tilde{u}_\phi = (id_N \times \pi^\infty \circ s)H \circ u_\phi^N \), where \( H = \text{Hom}(S^{r+1}(\pi^\infty_N(TN)), \pi^*_{\phi}(TP)) \). Then we define \( \tilde{u} \) by \( \tilde{u} = \pi^\infty \circ s \oplus \tilde{u}_\phi \) as the section of \( J^r+1(N, P) = J^r+1(TN, TP) \). We lift \( \tilde{u} \) to the section \( s^J \) of \( J^\infty(N, P) \) over \( N \). Then we have that \( \pi^\infty \circ s^J = \tilde{u} \) and \( \pi^r \circ s^J = \pi^\infty \circ s \). Furthermore, we define the homotopy \( s_\lambda \in \Gamma_{\Omega^I}(N, P) \) by

\[
s_\lambda = (1 - \lambda)s + \lambda s^J.
\]

It follows from \( \pi^\infty \circ s_\lambda = \pi^\infty \circ s = \pi^r \circ s^J \) that \( s_\lambda \) is transverse to \( \Sigma^I \).
We prove that \( s^J \in \Omega^J(N, P) \). For any point \( c \in S^{I_t}(s) \), let \( U_c \) be a convex neighborhood of \( c \) and let \( k \) and \( y_p \) be the coordinates of \( \exp_{N,c}((K, r, c)) \) and \( \exp_{P,\pi^*c}((\pi^*_P \circ s^J)^T(P)(Q)_c) \) respectively. Let \( D_k \) denote the vector of the total tangent bundle \( D \) which corresponds to \( k \) as defined in [3] Definition 1.6. It follows from the definition of \( D \) that
\[
(\bigcirc^{r+1}D_k)y_{p, l_{s^J}(c)} = \partial^{r+1}y_p/\partial k^{r+1}(c) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } c \in S^{I_t}(s).
\]
Then it follows from Lemma 7.2, (7.2.2), and \( d_{r+1} = c_r \circ b'_r \) that
\[
d_{r+1, s^J(c)} : K_{r, s^J(c)} \to P_{r, s^J(c)} \supset c_r(Hom(\bigcirc^rK_{r, s^J(c)}, Q_{r, s^J(c)}))
\]
is injective. Hence, we have that \( s^J(S^{I_t}(s)) \subset \Sigma^J(N, P) \). Since \( s^J(N \setminus (S^{I_t}(s)) \subset \Omega^{I_{t-1,0}}(N, P) \), the assertion is proved. Since we can deform \( s^J \) to be transverse to \( \Sigma^L(N, P) \) for all symbols \( L \), we obtain a required homotopy \( s_\lambda \).

**Proof of Theorem 0.2.** By assumption, we may assume that \( j^\infty f \) is the section \( N \to \Omega^J(N, P) \) which is transverse to \( \Sigma^L(N, P) \) for all symbols \( L \). By Proposition 7.1, we have a section \( s^J : N \to \Omega^J(N, P) \) such that \( j^\infty f \) and \( s^J \) are homotopic in \( \Gamma^J(N, P) \). By Theorem 0.1 we obtain an \( \Omega^J \)-regular map \( g \) such that \( j^\infty g \) and \( s^J \) are homotopic in \( \Gamma^J(N, P) \). This proves the assertion.

**Corollary 7.3.** Let \( n \geq p \geq 2 \), and let \( N \) and \( P \) be as above. Let \( I = (n - p + 1, 1, 1, 1) \) and \( J = (n - p + 1, 1, 1, 0) \) such that \( n - p \) is an odd integer. Then if \( f : N \to P \) is an \( \Omega^J \)-regular map, then \( f \) is homotopic to an \( \Omega^J \)-regular map \( g : N \to P \) such that \( j^\infty f \) and \( j^\infty g \) are homotopic in \( \Gamma^J(N, P) \).

This corollary proves the Chess conjecture ([C]). Sadykov ([Sady]) has actually proved a similar assertion corresponding to \( J = (n - p + 1, 1, 0) \) in the case of \( N \) and \( P \) being orientable.

Let \( \pi_0(X) \) be the arcwise connected components of \( X \). Theorem 0.1 asserts that
\[
(j^\Omega)_*: \pi_0(C^\infty \Omega(N, P)) \to \pi_0(\Gamma^J(N, P))
\]
is surjective. However, \((j^\Omega)_* \) is not necessarily injective. Let \( N = S^2, P = \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( I = (1, 0) \). Then we have by [An3] that \( \Omega^{1,0}(2, 2) \) is homotopy equivalent to \( SO(3) \). It follows from [Sted 36.4] that every two sections of \( \Omega^{1,0}(S^2, \mathbb{R}^2) \) over \( S^2 \) are mutually homotopic. Namely, \( \pi_0(\Gamma^J(N, P)) \) consists of a single element. On the other hand, let \( f^\lambda : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) be a homotopy of fold-maps. Define \( F : S^2 \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) by \( F(x, \lambda) = f^\lambda(x) \) so that if \( \lambda \) is sufficiently small, then \( F(x, \lambda) = f_0(x) \) and \( F(x, 1 - \lambda) = f_1(x) \). By a very small perturbation of \( F \) fixing \( f_0 \) and \( f_1 \), we may assume that \( F \) is smooth and \( f^\lambda \) is still an \( \Omega^{1,0} \)-regular map for any \( \lambda \). Furthermore, the map \( F : S^2 \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1] \) becomes an \( \Omega^{1,0} \)-regular map, and \( S^{1,0}(F) \) is a submanifold of \( S^2 \times [0, 1] \). By the Jacobian matrix of \( F \) we know that the kernel line bundle \( K_1(j^\infty F) \) over \( S^{1,0}(F) \) is independent with \( \partial/\partial \lambda \), and \( T(S^{1,0}(F)) \cap K_1(j^\infty F) = \{0\} \). This implies that \( S^{1,0}(F) \) is regularly projected onto \([0, 1] \). Hence, \( S^{1,0}(f_0) \) must be diffeomorphic to \( S^{1,0}(f_1) \). Thus we conclude that \( \pi_0(C^\infty \Omega(S^2, \mathbb{R}^2)) \) is an infinite set.
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