

## LOCAL AND GLOBAL $C$ -REGULARITY

NIHAT GÖKHAN GÖĞÜŞ

ABSTRACT. A bounded domain  $D$  is called  $c$ -regular if the plurisubharmonic envelope of every continuous function on  $\overline{D}$  extends continuously to  $\overline{D}$ . We show using Gauthier's Fusion Lemma that a domain is locally  $c$ -regular if and only if it is  $c$ -regular.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The envelopes of functions play an essential role in pluripotential theory. In particular, they are used in the Perron-Bremermann method to solve the Dirichlet problem: find a homogeneous solution of the Monge-Ampère operator with continuous boundary data. So it is important to classify those domains where the solution is also continuous. In [Go] the notion of Jensen measures was used to completely characterize the domains where continuous functions always have continuous envelopes. Such domains are called  $c$ -regular. The problem whether  $c$ -regularity is a local property has remained unanswered. In this paper we show that a domain is  $c$ -regular if and only if it is locally  $c$ -regular.

To prove that a locally  $c$ -regular domain is  $c$ -regular we need a version of Gauthier's Fusion Lemma. Roughly speaking, given a finite number of plurisubharmonic functions  $v_j$  on subdomains  $V_j$  of a domain  $D$  there exists a plurisubharmonic function  $u$  on  $D$  such that the difference  $|u - v_j|$  on  $V_j$  is controlled by the sum of the differences of the  $v_j$ 's. The proof of this form of the Fusion Lemma is given in §3. In §4 we construct an operator  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $C(\overline{D})$  that arises by taking envelopes of a given  $\phi \in C(\overline{D})$  on the  $V_j$ 's. We prove that the iterates of  $\mathcal{L}\phi$  pointwise decrease to the envelope of  $\phi$  on  $\overline{D}$ . To show that the iterates converge uniformly to a continuous function on  $\overline{D}$  we consider the Krasnoselski-Mann iterations of  $\mathcal{L}$ . A beautiful result of Ishikawa in [I] provides a criteria for such iterations to converge uniformly on  $\overline{D}$ . It turns out that it is possible to establish Ishikawa's criteria once we prove the Fusion Lemma.

Finally in §5 we show that a  $c$ -regular domain is locally  $c$ -regular. The proof doesn't follow immediately from the definition. To achieve this we approximate the Jensen measures supported in a neighborhood of an open ball  $B$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by the Jensen measures supported in  $D \cap B$ .

The author would like to thank Prof. Evgeny Poletsky, who introduced this problem to him and constantly supported the development and improvement of this paper.

---

Received by the editors October 28, 2005 and, in revised form, August 2, 2006.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32U15.

2. BASIC NOTATION AND RESULTS

We shall denote an open ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , of radius  $r$  and center  $z$  by  $B(z, r)$ . In the special case where  $z = 0$  and  $r = 1$  we use  $B$  instead of  $B(0, 1)$ . We will write  $U$  for the open unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We shall denote by  $d\lambda$  the normalized standard Lebesgue measure on the boundary  $\partial U$  of  $U$ . If  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , then we set

$$(z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{w}_j.$$

If  $V$  is any set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $f$  is a function on  $V$ , we put

$$\|f\|_V = \sup_V |f|.$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For any upper (resp. lower) bounded function  $\psi$  defined on  $\Omega$ , we shall denote by  $\psi^*$  (resp. by  $\psi_*$ ) the upper semicontinuous (resp. the lower semicontinuous) regularisation of  $\psi$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . That is, for any  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,

$$\psi^*(z) = \limsup_{w \rightarrow z, w \in \Omega} \psi(w), \quad \psi_*(z) = \liminf_{w \rightarrow z, w \in \Omega} \psi(w).$$

A regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  is a Jensen measure with barycenter  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$  if

$$u^*(z) \leq \int u^* d\mu$$

for all  $u$  in the set  $PSH^b(\Omega)$  of all upper bounded plurisubharmonic functions on  $\Omega$ . The class of such Jensen measures will be denoted by  $\mathcal{J}_z^b$ . For any point  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ , let

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}_z = \hat{\mathcal{J}}_z(\Omega) = \{\mu : \mu = \lim \mu_j, \mu_j \in \mathcal{J}_{z_j}^b, z_j \rightarrow z, z_j \in \bar{\Omega}\},$$

where the limit above should be understood as the weak-\* limit in  $C^*(\bar{\Omega})$ .

We denote by  $PSH^c(\Omega)$  the class of plurisubharmonic functions on  $\Omega$  which are continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Given  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ , we write  $\mathcal{J}_z^c = \mathcal{J}_z^c(\Omega)$  for the set of all regular Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that

$$u(z) \leq \int u d\mu$$

for every  $u \in PSH^c(\Omega)$ . The class  $\mathcal{J}_z^c$  was introduced in [CCW] and later together with  $\mathcal{J}_z^c, \mathcal{J}_z^b$  was studied in [W], while the class  $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_z$  was introduced in [Go].

Given any function  $\varphi$  defined on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , we define the envelope

$$E^c \varphi(z) = E_{\Omega}^c \varphi(z) = \inf \left\{ \int \varphi d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{J}_z^c \right\}, \quad z \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

For an arbitrary bounded domain  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  and a Borel measurable subset  $A \subset \partial G$ , the positive harmonic measure of the set  $A$  with respect to  $G$  is denoted by  $\omega(\eta, A, G)$ . Recall that the function  $\omega(\eta, A, G)$  is harmonic on  $G$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}(z_0, \Omega)$  the set of all holomorphic mappings  $f$  of a neighborhood of the closure  $\bar{U}$  of the unit disk  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  into an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $f(0) = z_0$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}(z_0, \Omega)$ , then the measure

$$\mu_f(E) = \lambda(f^{-1}(E) \cap \partial U) = \omega(0, f^{-1}(E) \cap \partial U, U)$$

is a Jensen measure with barycenter  $z_0 = f(0)$ . For  $z \in \Omega$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_z = \mathcal{H}_z(\Omega)$  be the collection of all measures  $\mu_f$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{A}(z, \Omega)$ . Also let  $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_z$  be the closure

of  $\mathcal{H}_z$  in the weak-\* topology induced from  $C^*(\overline{\Omega})$ . The following is a well-known result of Poletsky (see [P1] and [P2]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $\varphi$  is an upper semicontinuous function on  $\Omega$ , then the function*

$$S\varphi(z) = \sup\{u(z) : u \leq \varphi \text{ is plurisubharmonic on } \Omega\}$$

*is plurisubharmonic on  $\Omega$  and equal to*

$$E\varphi(z) = \inf \left\{ \int \varphi d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{H}_z \right\},$$

*the plurisubharmonic envelope of  $\varphi$ .*

We use the definition of  $c$ -regular domains from [Go].

**Definition 2.2.** A bounded open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is called  $c$ -regular if the envelope of every continuous function on  $\overline{\Omega}$  is continuous on  $\Omega$  and extends continuously to  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A bounded domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is locally  $c$ -regular if for each  $\zeta \in \partial D$  there exists an open neighborhood  $N$  of  $\zeta$  such that the set  $V := N \cap D$  is  $c$ -regular.

### 3. FUSION OF PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

The next lemma is a slightly modified version of Gauthier’s Fusion Lemma in [G], and the proof is a copy of Gauthier’s proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $U_j \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be bounded domains with  $\overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a number  $c > 0$  and for any functions  $v_1 \in PSH^b(U_1 \cup V)$  and  $v_2 \in PSH^b(U_2 \cup V)$  there exists a function  $u \in PSH^b(U_1 \cup V \cup U_2)$  so that*

$$(1) \quad \|u - v_j\|_{U_j \cup V} \leq c \|v_1 - v_2\|_V.$$

*If  $v_j \in PSH^c(U_j \cup V)$ , then  $u$  can be chosen to lie in  $PSH^c(U_1 \cup V \cup U_2)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\|v_1 - v_2\|_V = 0$ , then we set  $u$  equal to  $v_1$  on  $U_1 \cup V$  and  $v_2$  on  $U_2$ . Since  $v_1 = v_2$  on  $V$ ,  $u$  is plurisubharmonic on  $U_1 \cup V \cup U_2$ . We may assume that  $\|v_1 - v_2\|_V \neq 0$ . Take  $\chi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with  $-1 \leq \chi_1 \leq 0$ ,  $\chi_1 = -1$  on  $\overline{U_2}$  and  $\chi_1 = 0$  on  $\overline{U_1}$ . Set  $\chi_2 = -1 - \chi_1$ . Let  $\delta(z) = |z|^2$  and choose a number  $\lambda > 0$  so small that  $\delta + \lambda\chi_j$  are both plurisubharmonic for  $j = 1, 2$ . We let

$$(2) \quad \eta = \lambda^{-1} \|v_1 - v_2\|_V.$$

Set

$$(3) \quad u_j = v_j^* + \eta(\delta + \lambda\chi_j)$$

on  $U_j \cup \overline{V}$  and  $u_j = -\infty$  elsewhere. Finally, we set

$$u = \max\{u_1, u_2\}.$$

Clearly,  $u$  is upper bounded and plurisubharmonic on  $(U_1 \cup V \cup U_2) \setminus \partial V$ . Suppose  $z_0 \in \partial V \cap U_1$ . Since  $\chi_1 = 0$  and  $\chi_2 = -1$  on  $U_1$ , by (2) and (3) for all points  $z \in U_1 \cap \overline{V}$  near  $z_0$ ,

$$u_2(z) = v_2^*(z) + \eta(\delta - \lambda) = u_1(z) + (v_2^*(z) - v_1(z)) - \lambda\eta \leq u_1(z).$$

Since  $u_2(z) = -\infty$  for  $z \in U_1 \setminus \overline{V}$ , we have that  $u_2(z) \leq u_1(z)$  for all  $z \in U_1$  near  $z_0$ . As  $z_0$  was an arbitrary point of  $\partial V \cap U_1$ ,  $u$  is upper bounded and plurisubharmonic on a neighborhood of  $\partial V \cap U_1$ . A similar argument shows that  $u$  is upper bounded

and plurisubharmonic on a neighborhood of  $\partial V \cap U_2$ . Thus  $u \in PSH^b(U_1 \cup V \cup U_2)$ . If  $v_j \in PSH^c(U_j \cup V)$ , then we define the  $u_j$ 's the same way on  $\overline{U_j} \cup \overline{V}$ . By the construction,  $u$  is in  $PSH^c(U_1 \cup V \cup U_2)$ .

We now need to verify the required estimates. Let  $M$  be the supremum of  $\delta$  on the set  $U_1 \cup V \cup U_2$ . On  $U_j \setminus V, j = 1, 2$ ,

$$|u(z) - v_j(z)| = |u_j(z) - v_j(z)| = \eta\delta(z) \leq \lambda^{-1}M\|v_1 - v_2\|_V.$$

Take  $z \in V$  and for  $j = 1$  or  $2$  suppose first that  $|u(z) - v_j(z)| = u(z) - v_j(z)$ . Since  $|\delta(z) + \lambda\chi_j(z)| \leq M + \lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z) - v_j(z)| &\leq |\max\{v_1(z), v_2(z)\} - v_j(z)| + \eta(M + \lambda) \\ &\leq (\lambda^{-1}M + 2)\|v_1 - v_2\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|u(z) - v_j(z)| = v_j(z) - u(z)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z) - v_j(z)| &= v_j(z) - \max\{f_1, f_2\} \\ &\leq v_j(z) - \max\{v_1(z), v_2(z)\} + \eta(M + \lambda) \\ &\leq (\lambda^{-1}M + 2)\|v_1 - v_2\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $c = \lambda^{-1}M + 2$ , (1) holds. This completes the proof. □

The fusion lemma allows us to fuse plurisubharmonic functions over several domains.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain and  $N_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ , be open sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  so that*

$$\overline{D} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m N_j.$$

*For any integer  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $V_j = D \cap N_j$ . Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  (depending only on the  $N_j$ 's) so that if  $v_j \in PSH^b(V_j)$ , then there exists a function  $u \in PSH^b(D)$  such that for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ,*

$$\|u - v_j\|_{V_j} \leq c \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m} \|v_k - v_l\|_{V_k \cap V_l}.$$

*Moreover, if  $v_j \in PSH^c(V_j)$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , then the function  $u$  can be taken to lie in  $PSH^c(D)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on the number  $m$ . We start with  $m = 2$ . We fix open subsets  $L_j \Subset N_j$  with

$$\overline{D} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^2 L_j.$$

Set  $A_j = D \cap L_j$ . Let  $U_1 = D \setminus \overline{L_2}$  and  $U_2 = D \setminus \overline{L_1}$ . Then  $\overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} = \overline{D} \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2) = \emptyset$ . Also let  $V = V_1 \cap V_2$ . Note that  $V_j = U_j \cup V$  and thus  $v_j \in PSH^b(U_j \cup V), j = 1, 2$ . Apply Lemma 3.1 to  $v_j$  to get a constant  $c > 0$  and a function  $u \in PSH^b(D)$  so that for all  $z \in V_j$ ,

$$|u(z) - v_j(z)| \leq c\|v_1 - v_2\|_V.$$

If  $v_j \in PSH^c(V_j)$ , then by Lemma 3.1 the function  $u$  is in  $PSH^c(D)$ .

We have thus established the beginning of an inductive argument. Suppose that we have shown the theorem when  $\overline{D}$  is contained in the union of  $m$  open sets for

$m \geq 2$ . We may now assume that  $\bar{D}$  is contained in the union of open sets  $N_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m + 1$ . There exist open subsets  $L_j \Subset N_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , with

$$\bar{D} \subset N_{m+1} \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^m L_j \right).$$

Let  $D_m = D \cap \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^m L_j \right)$  and  $A_j = D \cap L_j$ . Since  $\bar{D}_m \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m N_j$ , by the induction assumption there exist a function  $u_0 \in PSH^b(D_m)$  and a constant  $c_m > 0$  such that

$$|u_0(z) - v_j(z)| \leq c_m \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m} \|v_k - v_l\|_{V_k \cap V_l}$$

for all  $z \in A_j$ .

Hence we can use the first part of the proof to fuse  $u_0$  and  $v_{m+1}$  on  $D$ . There exist a function  $u \in PSH^b(D)$  and a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that for all  $z \in D_m$ ,

$$|u(z) - u_0(z)| \leq c_0 \|u_0 - v_{m+1}\|_{D_m \cap V_{m+1}}$$

and for all  $z \in V_{m+1}$ ,

$$|u(z) - v_{m+1}(z)| \leq c_0 \|u_0 - v_{m+1}\|_{D_m \cap V_{m+1}}.$$

We only need to check the estimates. Take a number  $\delta > 0$  and a point  $z \in D_m \cap V_{m+1}$  so that

$$\|u_0 - v_{m+1}\|_{D_m \cap V_{m+1}} < |u_0(z) - v_{m+1}(z)| + \delta.$$

Then  $z \in A_k$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0 - v_{m+1}\|_{D_m \cap V_{m+1}} &< |u_0(z) - v_{m+1}(z)| + \delta \\ &\leq |u_0(z) - v_k(z)| + |v_k(z) - v_{m+1}(z)| + \delta \\ &\leq (c_m + 1) \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m+1} \|v_k - v_l\|_{V_k \cap V_l} + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\|u_0 - v_{m+1}\|_{D_m \cap V_{m+1}} \leq (c_m + 1) \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m+1} \|v_k - v_l\|_{V_k \cap V_l}.$$

If  $z \in V_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , then there exists  $k$  with  $z \in A_k$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z) - v_j(z)| &\leq |u(z) - u_0(z)| + |u_0(z) - v_k(z)| + |v_k(z) - v_j(z)| \\ &\leq (c_0 + 1)(c_m + 1) \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m+1} \|v_k - v_l\|_{V_k \cap V_l}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $z \in V_{m+1}$ , then

$$|u(z) - v_{m+1}(z)| \leq c_0(c_m + 1) \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m+1} \|v_k - v_l\|_{V_k \cap V_l}.$$

Set  $c = (c_0 + 1)(c_m + 1)$ . The continuity of  $u$  on  $\bar{D}$  follows immediately from the construction when all the  $v_k$ 's are continuous on  $\bar{V}_k$ . □

4. LOCALIZATION OF ENVELOPES

We give here a general argument to approximate the plurisubharmonic envelope of functions on a domain by envelopes with respect to the subdomains of the domain. Then we use this result and the fusion result of the previous section to show that local  $c$ -regularity of the boundary implies global  $c$ -regularity. The following lemma is so well known that we omit the proof.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded domain and  $N_1, \dots, N_m$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  so that*

$$\overline{D} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m N_j.$$

*Then there exist open subsets  $L_j \Subset N_j$  and functions  $\chi_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , so that*

- (p1)  $\overline{D} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m L_j$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^m \chi_j = 1$  on  $\overline{D}$ ,
- (p2)  $\chi_j = 0$  on  $\overline{D} \setminus N_j$  and  $\chi_j \geq 1/m$  on  $\overline{L_j} \cap \overline{D}$ .

Let  $D, N_j$  and  $\chi_j$  be as in Lemma 4.1. Set  $V_j = D \cap N_j$ . If  $\phi$  is a bounded function on  $\overline{D}$ , we define

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{L}\phi = \sum_{j=1}^m \chi_j (E_{V_j} \phi)^*.$$

Thus if we denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the set of bounded functions on  $\overline{D}$ , then we have an operator  $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . Note that for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}\phi \leq \phi$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is monotonic in the sense that  $\varphi \leq \psi$  implies  $\mathcal{L}\varphi \leq \mathcal{L}\psi$ . We set  $\mathcal{L}^0\phi = \phi$  and for any integer  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^k\phi = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{k-1}\phi).$$

We endow the set  $\mathcal{B}$  with the supremum norm

$$\|f\|_{\overline{D}} = \sup_{\overline{D}} |f|.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{B}$  together with this norm is a Banach space and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a nonlinear operator on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of bounded functions on  $\overline{D}$  so that

$$\phi(z) = \limsup_{w \rightarrow z, w \in \overline{D}} \phi(w)$$

for all  $z \in \overline{D}$ . That is,  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of bounded upper semicontinuous functions on  $\overline{D}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . The operator  $\mathcal{L}$  maps  $\mathcal{S}$  into  $\mathcal{S}$ . It's interesting to observe that the fixed points of  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  are precisely the bounded plurisubharmonic functions on  $D$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $\phi$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $\phi = (E\phi)^*$  on  $\overline{D}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L}\phi = \phi$  on  $\overline{D}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\phi = (E\phi)^*$  on  $\overline{D}$ , then  $\phi = (E\phi)^* \leq (E_{V_j}\phi)^* \leq \phi$  on  $\overline{V_j}$ . Hence  $\phi = (E_{V_j}\phi)^*$  on  $\overline{V_j}$  for each  $j$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}\phi = \chi_1 (E_{V_1}\phi)^* + \dots + \chi_m (E_{V_m}\phi)^* = \phi.$$

Suppose now that  $\mathcal{L}\phi = \phi$ . Take  $z_0 \in D$ . Let  $J = \{j : z_0 \in V_j\}$  and  $V = \bigcap_{j \in J} V_j$ . Suppose  $\phi$  is not plurisubharmonic around  $z_0$ . Then there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(z_0, V)$  such that

$$\int \phi d\mu_f < \phi(z_0).$$

Since  $\chi_k(\bar{z}_0) = 0$  if  $k \notin J$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}\phi(z_0) = \sum_{j \in J} \chi_j(z_0) E_{V_j} \phi(z_0) \leq \int \phi \, d\mu_f < \phi(z_0),$$

a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore,  $\phi$  is plurisubharmonic on  $D$ . Hence the equality  $\phi = (E\phi)^*$  holds on  $\bar{D}$ .  $\square$

As a corollary, we show that the iterations of  $\mathcal{L}\phi$  converge to the plurisubharmonic envelope of  $\phi$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *For any  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ ,*

$$\lim_k \mathcal{L}^k \phi(z) = (E_D \phi)^*(z)$$

for all  $z \in \bar{D}$ .

*Proof.* The functions  $\mathcal{L}^k \phi$  form a decreasing sequence of upper semicontinuous functions on  $\bar{D}$ . Then the function defined by

$$\psi = \lim_k \mathcal{L}^k \phi$$

is also in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \lim_k \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{k-1} \phi) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \chi_j \lim_k (E_{V_j} \mathcal{L}^{k-1} \phi) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \chi_j E_{V_j} \psi = \mathcal{L}\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\psi = (E_D \psi)^*$  on  $\bar{D}$  by Theorem 4.2. Note that  $\mathcal{L}^k \phi \leq \phi$  implies that  $\psi \leq \phi$ . Consequently,  $\psi = (E_D \psi)^* \leq (E_D \phi)^*$ . Also for each  $k$ ,  $(E_D \phi)^* \leq \mathcal{L}^k \phi$ ; hence  $(E_D \phi)^* \leq \psi$  and the equality follows.  $\square$

We need some helpful concepts from functional analysis (see [I]).

If  $(X, \| \cdot \|)$  is a Banach space,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $C$  is a convex subset of  $X$  and  $T : C \rightarrow X$  is any map, we define the Krasnoselski-Mann iterations

$$(5) \quad x_{k+1} = (1 - t_k)x_k + t_k T x_k,$$

where  $t_k$  is a sequence in  $[0, 1]$ . Throughout the following we will impose the conditions that  $\sum_k t_k = \infty$  and  $0 \leq t_k \leq b < 1$  for all  $k$ .

**Definition 4.4.** A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow X$  is called nonexpansive if for all  $x, y \in C$  we have

$$\| Tx - Ty \| \leq \| x - y \| .$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *The operator  $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is nonexpansive.*

*Proof.* Take  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $\delta = \| \varphi - \psi \|_{\bar{D}}$ . Then on  $\bar{D}$ ,

$$\varphi - \delta \leq \psi \leq \varphi + \delta;$$

therefore

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi - \delta \leq \mathcal{L}\psi \leq \mathcal{L}\varphi + \delta.$$

We have  $|\mathcal{L}\varphi - \mathcal{L}\psi| \leq \delta$  on  $\bar{D}$ ; hence  $\| \mathcal{L}\varphi - \mathcal{L}\psi \|_{\bar{D}} \leq \| \varphi - \psi \|_{\bar{D}}$ .  $\square$

For any subset  $A$  of  $X$  and a point  $x \in X$ , the distance of  $x$  to  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{dist}(x, A)$ . That is,

$$\text{dist}(x, A) := \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in A\}.$$

In ([I]), Ishikawa proves the following very useful theorem.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $A$  be a closed subset of a Banach space  $X$  and let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a nonexpansive mapping with a nonempty fixed points set  $F$  in  $A$ . Suppose that  $x_k \in A$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , where  $\{x_k\}$  is the sequence of iterations defined in (5). Suppose there is a nondecreasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(r) > 0$  for  $r \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\|x - Tx\| \geq f(\text{dist}(x, F))$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Then the sequence converges to a member of  $F$ .

If  $z \in D$  and  $B(z, r) \subset D$  is a ball in  $D$ , then  $B(z, r)$  is  $c$ -regular by [Wa]. In the rest of the section we will assume that  $D$  is a locally  $c$ -regular domain. By compactness of  $\overline{D}$  this means there exists a number of open sets  $N_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , so that  $\overline{D}$  is contained in the union of the  $N_j$ 's and the sets  $V_j = D \cap N_j$  are  $c$ -regular for each  $j$ . Throughout,  $\mathcal{L}$  will denote the operator on  $C(\overline{D})$  defined by (4). We remark here that the set of fixed points of our operator  $\mathcal{L} : C(\overline{D}) \rightarrow C(\overline{D})$  is precisely  $PSH^c(D)$  by Theorem 4.2. For any function  $\varphi \in C(\overline{D})$ , let  $\varphi_1 = \varphi$  and

$$\varphi_{k+1} = (1 - t_k)\varphi_k + t_k\mathcal{L}\varphi_k$$

for  $k \geq 1$ . Now we can prove the following:

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded locally  $c$ -regular domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  so that for all  $\varphi \in C(\overline{D})$ ,*

$$\text{dist}(\varphi, PSH^c(D)) \leq c \|\varphi - \mathcal{L}\varphi\|_{\overline{D}}.$$

*Proof.* There exist open sets  $N_1, \dots, N_m$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\overline{D} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m N_j$  and for each  $j$ ,  $V_j = N_j \cap D$  is  $c$ -regular. Let  $\varphi$  be a function in  $C(\overline{D})$ . The functions  $v_j = E_{V_j}\varphi$  are now in  $PSH^c(V_j)$ .

As in Lemma 4.1 we find open subsets  $L_j \Subset N_j$  and a partition of unity  $\chi_j$  for  $\overline{D}$  satisfying (p1) and (p2). Let  $A_j = L_j \cap D$ . Then using (p2), it's not difficult to see that for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$(6) \quad \|\varphi - v_j\|_{\overline{A_j}} < m \|\varphi - \mathcal{L}\varphi\|_{\overline{D}}.$$

Let

$$d_j = \text{dist}(\varphi, PSH^c(A_j))$$

and find functions  $u_j \in PSH^c(A_j)$  so that

$$\|u_j - \varphi\|_{\overline{A_j}} \leq 2d_j.$$

Using (6),

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} d_j \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\varphi - v_j\|_{\overline{A_j}} \leq m \|\varphi - \mathcal{L}\varphi\|_{\overline{D}}.$$

Now we fuse the functions  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  on  $\overline{D}$ . By Theorem 3.2, there exist a function  $u \in PSH^c(D)$  and a constant  $c_0 > 0$  so that

$$\|u - u_j\|_{A_j} \leq c_0 \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m} \|u_k - u_l\|_{\overline{A_k \cap A_l}}$$



for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . On the other hand, since  $\|u_j - \varphi\|_{\overline{A_j}} \leq 2d_j$ ,

$$\|u_k - u_l\|_{\overline{A_k \cap A_l}} \leq 4 \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} d_j.$$

Taking the sum over such terms,

$$\sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m} \|u_k - u_l\|_{\overline{A_k \cap A_l}} \leq 2m(m-1) \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} d_j.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(\varphi, PSH^c(D)) &\leq \|u - \varphi\|_{\overline{D}} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} (\|u - u_j\|_{\overline{A_j}} + \|u_j - \varphi\|_{\overline{A_j}}) \\ &\leq (2c_0m(m-1) + 2) \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} d_j \\ &\leq (2c_0m^2(m-1) + 2m)\|\varphi - \mathcal{L}\varphi\|_{\overline{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set  $c = 2c_0m^2(m-1) + 2m$  to finish the proof. □

Using the above theorem and Ishikawa’s result, it’s now possible to prove that if a domain is locally  $c$ -regular, then it is  $c$ -regular.

**Theorem 4.8.** *If a domain  $D$  is locally  $c$ -regular, then it is  $c$ -regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be locally  $c$ -regular and  $\varphi \in C(\overline{D})$ . Recall that we define  $\varphi_1 = \varphi$  and for every integer  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\varphi_{k+1} = (1 - t_k)\varphi_k + t_k\mathcal{L}\varphi_k.$$

Let us show by induction that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^k\varphi \leq \varphi_k \leq \varphi.$$

If  $k = 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi \leq (1 - t_1)\varphi + t_1\mathcal{L}\varphi = \varphi_1 \leq \varphi.$$

Suppose for some  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^k\varphi \leq \varphi_k \leq \varphi$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}^{k+1}\varphi = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^k\varphi \leq \mathcal{L}\varphi_k \leq \varphi_{k+1} \leq \varphi.$$

By Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 4.6 the sequence of iterations  $\varphi_k$  converges uniformly on  $\overline{D}$  to a function  $u \in PSH^c(D)$ . On the other hand by Corollary 4.2 the sequence of functions  $\mathcal{L}^k\varphi$  decreases pointwise to  $(E\varphi)^*$  on  $\overline{D}$ . Thus

$$(E\varphi)^* \leq u \leq \varphi.$$

Since  $u$  is in  $PSH^c(D)$ , it follows that  $u = (E\varphi)^* \in PSH^c(D)$ . □

### 5. LOCALLY $c$ -REGULAR DOMAINS

The purpose of this section is to prove that global  $c$ -regularity is sufficient for local  $c$ -regularity. For any open ball  $K = B(z, r)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and a number  $\delta > 1$  we let  $K_\delta = B(z, \delta r)$ .

The main idea of the proof is contained in the following observations.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $1 < \delta < 2$ ,  $0 < r \leq 1$  and  $g : \overline{U} \rightarrow B_\delta$  be a holomorphic map from a neighborhood of  $\overline{U}$  into  $B_\delta$  such that  $g(0) = z_0$  for some  $z_0 \in \partial B_r$ . Given a number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define the set*

$$A = \{\eta \in \partial U : |g(\eta) - g(0)| > \varepsilon\}.$$

Then

$$\omega(0, A, U) = \lambda(A) \leq \frac{8}{(\delta\varepsilon)^2}(\delta^2 - r^2).$$

*Proof.* The function

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathbf{Re}(z, z_0)$$

is plurisubharmonic and  $u(z) \leq 1$  on  $B_\delta$ . Take any point  $z \in B_\delta$  with  $\varepsilon < |z - z_0|$ . Then

$$\varepsilon^2 < |z - z_0|^2 \leq |z|^2 - 2\mathbf{Re}(z, z_0) + 1.$$

Since  $\delta < 2$ ,

$$(7) \quad u(z) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathbf{Re}(z, z_0) < 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}.$$

Let  $v(\eta) = u(g(\eta))$  for  $\eta \in \bar{U}$ . The function  $v$  is subharmonic on  $U$ ,  $v \leq 1$  on  $\bar{U}$ ,  $v|_A \leq 1 - \varepsilon^2/8$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r^2}{\delta^2} &= u(z_0) = v(0) \leq \int_{\eta \in \partial U} v(\eta) d\lambda(\eta) \\ &= \int_A v(\eta) d\lambda(\eta) + \int_{\partial U \setminus A} v(\eta) d\lambda(\eta) \\ &\leq (1 - \varepsilon^2/8)\lambda(A) + 1 - \lambda(A) = 1 - (\varepsilon^2/8)\lambda(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lambda(A) \leq \frac{8}{\delta^2\varepsilon^2}(\delta^2 - r^2).$$

□

If  $A$  is any subset of  $\partial G$ , the characteristic function of  $A$ , which is equal to 1 if  $\eta \in A$  and 0 if  $\eta \notin A$ , is denoted by  $\chi_A(\eta)$ . The following remarks on harmonic measure will also be necessary.

*Remark 5.2.*

(1) Let  $G$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  with non-polar boundary. Then for every Borel subset  $A$  of  $\partial G$ ,

$$\omega(\eta, A, G) = \sup\{v(\eta) : v^* \leq \chi_A \text{ is subharmonic on } G\}$$

for every  $\eta \in G$  (see [R, Theorem 4.3.3]).

If  $A \subset \partial G$  is relatively open in  $\partial G$  and  $\zeta$  is a regular boundary point of  $G$  so that  $\zeta$  is either in  $A$  or in  $\partial G \setminus \bar{A}$ , then by [R, Theorem 4.3.4],

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} \omega(\eta, A, G) = \chi_A(\zeta).$$

If  $G$  is a bounded simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then all the points of the boundary of  $G$  are regular (see [R, Theorem 4.2.1]).

(2) If  $G$  is a simply connected domain with locally connected boundary and  $p : U \rightarrow G$  is a conformal mapping onto  $G$ , then by [Po, Theorem 2.1],  $p$  extends continuously to  $\bar{U}$ . If  $A \subset \partial G$  is a Borel measurable subset, then

$$\omega(\eta, A, G) = \omega(p^{-1}(\eta), p^{-1}(A), U)$$

for all  $\eta \in G$  (see [Po, p. 86]).

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $0 < r \leq 1 < \delta < 2$ ,  $z_0 \in B_r$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}(z_0, B_\delta)$ . Then there exists a conformal mapping  $p : \bar{U} \rightarrow U$  so that the function  $g = f \circ p$  maps  $\bar{U}$  into  $\bar{B}_r$ ,  $g(0) = z_0$  and for every open ball  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,*

$$\mu_f(K) \leq \mu_g(K) + \frac{8}{\delta^2}(\delta^2 - r^2).$$

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the connected component of  $f^{-1}(B_r)$  in  $\bar{U}$  that contains the origin. Note that  $\partial H$  is a semi-analytic subset of  $\bar{U}$ . As Theorem 6.5.12 in [KrP] implies,  $\partial H$  is locally connected. By the maximum principle, the set  $H$  is simply connected. Take a conformal mapping  $p : U \rightarrow H$  such that  $p(0) = 0$ . It follows that the mapping  $p$  extends continuously to a mapping of  $\bar{U}$  onto  $\bar{H}$  (see [Po, Theorem 2.1]). Thus, the function defined by  $g(\eta) = f(p(\eta))$  maps  $\bar{U}$  into  $\bar{B}_r$  and  $g(0) = z_0$ .

We consider the sets

$$F_\varepsilon = f^{-1}(K_\varepsilon) \cap \partial U, \quad G_\varepsilon = g^{-1}(K_\varepsilon) \cap \partial U, \quad J_\varepsilon = f^{-1}(K_\varepsilon) \cap \partial H$$

for  $\varepsilon \geq 1$ . To prove the theorem we will first compare the functions  $\omega^*(\eta, J_\varepsilon, H)$  and  $\omega^*(\eta, F_1, U)$  for  $\eta \in \partial H$ . One can write  $\partial H$  as the union of two disjoint sets  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , where

$$\Gamma_1 = \partial U \cap \partial H \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = U \cap \partial H.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 1$ . If  $\eta \in J_\varepsilon$ , then by (1) in Remark 5.2,

$$\omega^*(\eta, F_1, U) \leq \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \eta} \omega(\zeta, J_\varepsilon, H) = 1.$$

There remain two other cases to investigate. First let us suppose that  $\eta \in \Gamma_1 \setminus J_\varepsilon$ . Then  $\eta \in \partial U \setminus \bar{F}_1$ ; hence using Remark 5.2 once more,

$$\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \eta} \omega(\zeta, F_1, U) = 0 \leq \omega^*(\eta, J_\varepsilon, H).$$

Finally suppose that  $\eta \in \Gamma_2 \setminus J_\varepsilon$ . Define the set  $A$  as in Lemma 5.1 by

$$A = \{\zeta \in \partial U : |f(\zeta) - f(\eta)| > \varepsilon\}.$$

Note that  $F_1 \subset A$  since  $f(\eta) \notin K_\varepsilon$  and that  $\eta \in \Gamma_2$  implies  $f(\eta) \in \partial B_r$ . Let  $c$  be a conformal mapping of  $U$  onto  $U$  such that  $c(0) = \eta$ . Then

$$c^{-1}(A) = \{\zeta \in \partial U : |f \circ c(\zeta) - f \circ c(0)| > \varepsilon\}.$$

We use the fact that the harmonic measure is invariant under conformal mappings of  $U$  onto  $U$  and apply Lemma 5.1 to the function  $f \circ c$  to get

$$\omega(\eta, F_1, U) \leq \omega(\eta, A, U) = \omega(0, c^{-1}(A), U) \leq \frac{8}{\delta^2 \varepsilon^2}(\delta^2 - r^2).$$

Therefore, we have shown that for all  $\eta \in \partial H$ ,

$$\omega^*(\eta, F_1, U) - \frac{8}{\delta^2 \varepsilon^2}(\delta^2 - r^2) \leq \omega^*(\eta, J_\varepsilon, H).$$

Now both functions in the last inequality are harmonic in  $H$ ; thus the inequality holds for all  $\eta \in \bar{H}$ , in particular for  $\eta = 0$ . Hence

$$(8) \quad \mu_f(K) = \omega(0, F_1, U) \leq \omega(0, J_\varepsilon, H) + \frac{8}{\delta^2 \varepsilon^2}(\delta^2 - r^2).$$

Since  $G_\varepsilon = p^{-1}(J_\varepsilon)$  and  $p^{-1}(0) = 0$ , by (2) in Remark 5.2,

$$\omega(0, J_\varepsilon, H) = \omega(0, G_\varepsilon, U) = \mu_g(K_\varepsilon).$$

Therefore

$$\mu_f(K) \leq \mu_g(K_\varepsilon) + \frac{8}{\delta^2 \varepsilon^2}(\delta^2 - r^2).$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$\mu_f(K) \leq \mu_g(K) + \frac{8}{\delta^2}(\delta^2 - r^2).$$

□

Following the terminology in [P3] we first extend the definition of harmonic measure to subsets of  $\bar{U}$ . Let  $A \subset \bar{U}$  be a closed set. We consider the function

$$\omega(\zeta, A, U) = \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow \zeta, \xi \in U} \inf\{v(\xi) : v_* \geq \chi_A \text{ is superharmonic on } U\}.$$

If  $E$  is a relatively open subset of  $\bar{U}$ , then

$$\omega(\zeta, E, U) = \sup \omega(\zeta, A, U),$$

where the supremum is taken over all closed subsets  $A$  of  $E$ .

The next definitions and Theorem 5.4 are due to Poletsky ([P3, Theorem 2.1]).

Let  $f_j \in \mathcal{A}(z_j, D)$  for some points  $z_j \in D$ . The cluster  $\text{cl } L$  of the sequence  $L = \{f_j\}$  is the set of all points  $z \in D$  such that for every  $r > 0$  and infinitely many  $j$  the sets  $f_j(\bar{U}) \cap B(z, r) \neq \emptyset$ . A point  $z \in \text{cl } L$  is called *essential* if

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \omega(0, f_j^{-1}(V), U) > 0$$

for every open set  $V$  containing  $z$ . The set of essential points of  $L$  is denoted by  $\text{ess } L$ . Other points in  $\text{cl } L$  are called *nonessential*.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $z_j \in D$  be points converging to  $z \in \bar{D}$ , and let  $f_j \in \mathcal{A}(z_j, D)$  be holomorphic mappings such that  $\mu_{f_j}$  converges to a measure  $\mu \in \hat{J}_z$ . Let  $L = \{f_j\}$ . Then there exist conformal mappings  $q_j : U \rightarrow U$  so that the functions  $g_j = f_j \circ q_j$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}(z_j, D)$  and if  $M = \{g_j\}$ , then  $\text{cl } M = \text{ess } L = \text{ess } M$  and the  $\mu_{g_j}$  converge weak-\* to  $\mu$ .*

Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.1 allow us to prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Suppose the set  $V = D \cap B$  is non-empty. Let  $z_0 \in \bar{V}$ ,  $z_j \in V$  be points in  $V$  converging to  $z_0$  and  $f_j \in \mathcal{A}(z_j, D)$  be holomorphic mappings so that  $\mu_{f_j}$  converges weak-\* to a measure  $\mu$  with  $\text{supp } \mu \subset \bar{V}$ . Then there exist conformal mappings  $p_j : U \rightarrow U$  so that the functions  $g_j = f_j \circ p_j$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}(z_j, V)$  and  $\mu_{g_j}$  converges weak-\* to  $\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Using Theorem 5.4 we can find conformal mappings  $q_j : U \rightarrow U$  and replace  $f_j$  by  $f_j \circ q_j$ . So without loss of generality we may assume that for  $L = \{f_j\}$ ,  $\text{cl } L = \text{ess } L$ . First let us show that the set  $\text{cl } L$  is contained in  $\bar{B}$ .

Take numbers  $c > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a point  $z_1$  such that  $K = B(z_1, \varepsilon) \subset E_c = \{z : |z| \geq e^c\}$ . Let

$$u_j(\zeta) = \log |f_j(\zeta)|$$

for any  $\zeta \in \bar{U}$ . Since  $D$  is bounded there exists a number  $M > 0$  so that  $|f_j(\zeta)| \leq e^M$  for every  $\zeta \in \bar{U}$  and  $j \geq 1$ . Let  $\varepsilon_j > 0$  be numbers decreasing to 0. Take a harmonic function  $h_j$  on  $U$  so that if  $\zeta \in \partial U$ , then  $h_j(\zeta) = \varepsilon_j$  when  $\log |f_j(\zeta)| < \varepsilon_j$  and  $h_j(\zeta) = M$  otherwise. Let

$$A_j = \{\zeta \in \partial U : |f_j(\zeta)| < e^{\varepsilon_j}\}.$$

Since the sequence  $\{\mu_{f_j}\}$  converges weak-\* to a measure supported in  $\overline{B}$ , the arclengths  $l(A_j)$  of the sets  $A_j$  converge to 1. This implies that  $h_j(0)$  decreases to 0. Hence if  $F_c = \{\zeta \in \overline{U} : h_j(\zeta) \geq c\}$ , then  $h_j/c \geq 1$  on  $F_c$  and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \omega(0, F_c, U) = 0.$$

Note that  $u_j \leq h_j$ . It follows that  $f_j^{-1}(K) \subset f_j^{-1}(E_c) \subset F_c$ . Then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \omega(0, f_j^{-1}(K), U) = 0.$$

That is,  $z_1$  is not an essential point of  $L$  and  $z_1 \notin \text{cl } L$ . Therefore  $\text{cl } L \subset \overline{B}$ .

Now it is possible to see that for every number  $\delta > 1$  there exists an integer  $j_0 \geq 1$  such that  $f_j(\overline{U}) \subset B_\delta$  for every  $j \geq j_0$ . If not, then there exist  $\delta > 1$  and  $\zeta_j \in \overline{U}$  so that  $z_j = f_j(\zeta_j) \notin B_\delta$  for all  $j$ . Since  $\overline{D} \setminus B_\delta$  is compact, a subsequence  $\{z_{j_k}\}$  converges to a point  $z \in \overline{D} \setminus B_\delta$ . Then  $z \in \text{cl } L$ , but not in  $\overline{B}$ , which is a contradiction.

There exist non-increasing numbers  $\delta_j > 1$  converging to 1 such that each  $f_j$  maps  $\overline{U}$  into  $B_{\delta_j}$ . Let  $r_j > 0$  be an increasing sequence of numbers converging to 1 such that  $z_j \in B_{r_j}$ . By Theorem 5.3 there exist conformal maps  $p_j$  from  $\overline{U}$  into  $\overline{U}$  so that for each  $j$  the function  $g_j = f_j \circ p_j$  maps  $\overline{U}$  into  $\overline{B}_{r_j} \cap D \subset V$  and for every open ball  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$\mu_{f_j}(K) \leq S_j = \mu_{g_j}(K) + \frac{8}{\delta_j^2}(\delta_j^2 - r_j^2).$$

Thus  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}(z_j, V)$ .

Suppose there exist a subsequence  $\{j_k\}$ , an open ball  $K_0$  and a number  $a > 0$  such that  $\mu(K_0) + a < S_{j_k}$  for all  $k$ . Then a subsequence of  $\mu_{g_{j_k}}$ , which we call  $\{\mu_{g_{j_k}}\}$  again, converges weak-\* to a measure  $\mu_0$ . As  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\mu(K) + a \leq \mu_0(K)$  and for any other open ball  $K$ ,  $\mu(K) \leq \mu_0(K)$ . This is not possible since  $\mu(\overline{V}) = \mu_0(\overline{V}) = 1$ . Thus  $S_j$  has a limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  for every open ball  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the limit is equal to  $\mu(K)$ . This implies  $\mu_{g_j}$  converges weak-\* to  $\mu$  and the proof is finished.  $\square$

To prove Lemma 5.1 we used the fact that on the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  one can find a plurisubharmonic function that has a uniform estimate as in (7). Our proof does not work if we replace the open ball by an arbitrary open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . As the following example shows we cannot even take the open polydisk instead of the open ball.

*Example 5.6.* Consider the open polydisk  $U \times U$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Let

$$s_j = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}.$$

Then  $s_j$  is decreasing to 1 and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_j^j = \infty.$$

Let  $z_0 = (0, 0)$  and

$$f_j(\zeta) = (s_j \zeta, \zeta^j)$$

for all  $\zeta \in \overline{U}$ . Then  $\mu_{f_j} \in \mathcal{H}_{z_0}(U_{s_j^2} \times U_{s_j})$ . Let  $p_j$  be a conformal mapping from  $\overline{U}$  into  $\overline{U}$  so that  $g_j = f_j \circ p_j$  maps  $\overline{U}$  into  $U \times U$ . Note that  $\mu_{f_j}$  weak-\* converges to

the measure

$$\mu = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} d\alpha d\beta$$

on  $\partial U \times \partial U$ , where  $d\alpha$ ,  $d\beta$  denote the arclength measures on  $\partial U$ . On the other hand since  $|s_j p_j(\zeta)| \leq 1$  for every  $\zeta \in \bar{U}$ ,

$$(|p_j(\zeta)|)^j \leq \frac{1}{s_j^j}.$$

Hence  $|p_j(\zeta)|^j$  converges uniformly to 0 on  $\bar{U}$ . It follows that if  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $K = B(1, \varepsilon)$ , then  $K \cap g_j(\bar{U}) = \emptyset$  for  $j$  large enough. Thus if  $\mu_0$  is the weak-\* limit of a subsequence of  $\mu_{g_j}$ , then  $\mu_0(K) = 0 < \mu(K)$ .

The following characterization of  $c$ -regular domains was proved in [Go, Corollary 4.4].

**Theorem 5.7.** *A bounded domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is  $c$ -regular if and only if for all  $z \in \partial D$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_z^b = \mathcal{J}_z^c$  if and only if for all  $z \in \partial D$ , measures  $\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{J}}_z$  and for any sequence of points  $\{z_j\} \subset D$  converging to  $z$  there exist measures  $\mu_j \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{z_j}$  that converge weak-\* to  $\mu$ .*

Now let us show that if a domain is  $c$ -regular, then it is locally  $c$ -regular.

**Theorem 5.8.** *A  $c$ -regular domain is locally  $c$ -regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a  $c$ -regular domain. Take any point  $\zeta \in \partial D$  and a ball  $B_0$  so that  $V = D \cap B_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality we will assume that  $B_0$  is the unit ball  $B$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We will show that the set  $V$  is  $c$ -regular.

If  $z \in \partial V$ , then either  $z \in \partial B$  or  $z \in B$ . For all  $z \in \partial B$ , the classes  $\mathcal{J}_z^b$  and  $\mathcal{J}_z^c$  coincide and they are equal to  $\{\delta_z\}$ , the Dirac measure at  $z$  (see [S] and also [W, Corollary 3.8]). Therefore we may assume that  $z \in B \cap \partial V$ . Suppose there exist  $\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{J}}_z(V)$  and a sequence of points  $\{z_j\} \subset V$  converging to  $z$ . Since  $D$  is  $c$ -regular and  $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_z(V) \subset \hat{\mathcal{J}}_z(D)$  there exist measures  $\mu_j \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{z_j}(D)$  that converge weak-\* to  $\mu$  in  $C^*(\bar{D})$ . We point out that the space  $C^*(\bar{D})$  is metrizable (see [C, Theorem 5.1]), therefore we can find functions  $f_j \in \mathcal{A}(z_j, D)$  so that the measures  $\mu_{f_j}$  converge weak-\* to  $\mu$ . By Theorem 5.5 there exist functions  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}(z_j, V)$  so that the measures  $\mu_{g_j} \in \mathcal{H}_{z_j}(V)$  converge weak-\* to  $\mu$ . Thus by Theorem 5.7,  $V$  is  $c$ -regular and the assertion follows.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [C] J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. MR1070713 (91e:46001)
- [CCW] M. Carlehed, U. Cegrell and F. Wikström, *Jensen measures, hyperconvexity and boundary behaviour of the pluricomplex Green function*, Ann. Polon. Math. 71 (1999), no. 1, 87–103. MR1684047 (2000a:32075)
- [G] P. M. Gauthier, *Approximation by (pluri)subharmonic functions: Fusion and localization*, Canad. J. Math. 44 (1992), no. 5, 941–950. MR1186474 (93i:32018)
- [Go] N. G. Gogus, *Continuity of Plurisubharmonic Envelopes*, Ann. Polon. Math. 86 (2005), no.3, 197–217. MR2207634
- [I] S. Ishikawa, *Fixed points and iterations of a nonexpansive mapping in Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 59, (1976), 65–71. MR0412909 (54:1030)
- [K] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*, Oxford Sci. Publ., 1991. MR1150978 (93h:32021)
- [KrP] S. G. Krantz and H. R. Parks, *A primer of real analytic functions*, Second edition, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, 1992. MR1182792 (93j:26013)

- [P1] E. A. Poletsky, *Plurisubharmonic functions as solutions of variational problems*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 52, Part 1 (1991), 163–171. MR1128523 (92h:32022)
- [P2] E. A. Poletsky, *Holomorphic currents*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 42, no. 1 (1993), 85–144. MR1218708 (94c:32007)
- [P3] E. A. Poletsky, *Analytic geometry on compacta in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Math. Z. 222 (1996), no. 3, 407–424. MR1400200 (97e:32015)
- [Po] Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. MR1217706 (95b:30008)
- [R] T. Ransford, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 28. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR1334766 (96e:31001)
- [S] N. Sibony, *Une classe de domaines pseudoconvexes*, Duke Math. J. 55 (1987), 299–319. MR894582 (88g:32036)
- [Wa] J. Walsh, *Continuity of envelopes of plurisubharmonic functions*, J. Math. and Mech., Vol. 18, No.2 (1968), 143–148. MR0227465 (37:3049)
- [W] F. Wikström, *Jensen measures and boundary values of plurisubharmonic functions*, Ark. Mat, 39 (2001), 181–200. MR1821089 (2002b:32053)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 215 CARNEGIE HALL, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13244

*E-mail address:* [nggogus@syr.edu](mailto:nggogus@syr.edu)

*Current address:* Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabanci University, Orhanli, Tuzla, 34956, Istanbul, Turkey

*E-mail address:* [nggogus@sabanciuniv.edu](mailto:nggogus@sabanciuniv.edu)