

ERRATUM TO “CLASSIFYING SUBCATEGORIES OF MODULES”

MARK HOVEY

In my paper [Hov01], Theorem 5.2 is incorrect, as pointed out to me by Henning Krause. In the proof of that theorem, I assert that “The composition $f\alpha$ is proved to be an isomorphism” by Neeman. In fact, the map Neeman uses is not $f\alpha$, so the argument is incorrect. A counterexample to Theorem 5.2, and a corrected version, is provided by Krause in [Kra].

REFERENCES

- [Hov01] Mark Hovey, *Classifying subcategories of modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 8, 3181–3191 (electronic). MR1828603 (2002i:13007)
- [Kra] Henning Krause, *Thick subcategories of modules over Noetherian rings (with an appendix by Srikanth Iyengar)*, to appear in Math. Annalen.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY, MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT 06459
E-mail address: hovey@member.ams.org

Received by the editors September 28, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13C05, 18E30, 18G35.

©2007 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication