

SANDWICH PAIRS IN CRITICAL POINT THEORY

MARTIN SCHECHTER

ABSTRACT. Since the development of the calculus of variations there has been interest in finding critical points of functionals. This was intensified by the fact that for many equations arising in practice the solutions are critical points of functionals. If a functional G is semibounded, one can find a Palais-Smale (PS) sequence

$$G(u_k) \rightarrow a, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

These sequences produce critical points if they have convergent subsequences (i.e., if G satisfies the PS condition). However, there is no clear method of finding critical points of functionals which are not semibounded. The concept of linking was developed to produce Palais-Smale (PS) sequences for C^1 functionals G that separate linking sets. In the present paper we discuss the situation in which one cannot find linking sets that separate the functional. We introduce a new class of subsets that accomplishes the same results under weaker conditions. We then provide criteria for determining such subsets. Examples and applications are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many problems arising in science and engineering call for the solving of the Euler equations of functionals, i.e., equations of the form

$$(1) \quad G'(u) = 0,$$

where $G(u)$ is a C^1 functional (usually representing the energy) arising from the given data. As an illustration, the equation

$$-\Delta u(x) = f(x, u(x))$$

is the Euler equation of the functional

$$G(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 - \int F(x, u(x)) dx$$

on an appropriate space, where

$$(2) \quad F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds,$$

and the norm is that of L^2 . The solving of the Euler equations is tantamount to finding critical points of the corresponding functional. The classical approach was to look for maxima or minima. If one is looking for a minimum, it is not sufficient

Received by the editors August 14, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35J65, 58E05, 49J35.

Key words and phrases. Critical point theory, variational methods, saddle point theory, semi-linear differential equations.

to know that the functional is bounded from below, as is easily checked. However, one can show that there is a sequence, called a *Palais-Smale PS sequence* satisfying

$$(3) \quad G(u_k) \rightarrow a, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$$

for $a = \inf G$. Such a sequence may not produce a critical point, but if it has a convergent subsequence, then it does. If every PS sequence for G has a convergent subsequence, then we say that G satisfies the PS condition. However, when extrema do not exist, there is no clear way of obtaining critical points. In particular, this happens when the functional is not bounded from either above or below. What can be used to replace semiboundedness? We shall describe an approach which is very useful in such cases. As a substitute for semiboundedness, one looks for suitable sets that separate the given functional. In other words, one looks for suitable subsets A, B of a Banach space E , which for a given C^1 functional G on E satisfy

$$(4) \quad a_0 := \sup_A G \leq b_0 := \inf_B G.$$

Ideally, we would want (4) to imply that G has a critical point, i.e., a point $u \in E$ such that

$$(5) \quad G(u) = a \geq b_0, \quad G'(u) = 0.$$

Clearly, this is too much to ask, since even semiboundedness is not sufficient to imply the existence of a critical point. However, there are pairs of subsets such that (4) produces a *Palais-Smale PS sequence*

$$(6) \quad G(u_k) \rightarrow a, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $a \geq b_0$. If A, B are such that (4) always implies (6), we say that A links B . Consequently, if A links B and G is a C^1 functional on E which satisfies (4) and the PS condition, then G has a critical point satisfying (5). Linking sets exist and are described in the literature.

In the present paper, we discuss the situation in which one cannot find linking sets that separate the functional, i.e., satisfy (4). Are there weaker conditions that will imply (6)? Our answer is yes, and we find pairs of subsets such that a condition weaker than (4) produces a PS sequence. We have

Definition 1. We shall say that a pair of subsets A, B of a Banach space E forms a sandwich, if for any $G \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ the inequality

$$(7) \quad -\infty < b_0 := \inf_B G \leq a_0 := \sup_A G < \infty$$

implies that there is a sequence satisfying

$$(8) \quad G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad b_0 \leq c \leq a_0, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Unlike linking, the order of a sandwich pair is immaterial, i.e., if the pair A, B forms a sandwich, so does B, A . Moreover, we allow sets forming a sandwich pair to intersect. One sandwich pair has been studied in the past. We have (cf. [S1], [Sc1], [Sc2]):

Theorem 2. *Let N be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space E and let $M = N^\perp$. Assume that at least one of the subspaces M, N is finite dimensional. Let G be a C^1 -functional on E such that*

$$(9) \quad m_0 := \inf_{w \in M} G(w) \neq -\infty$$

and

$$(10) \quad m_1 := \sup_{v \in N} G(v) \neq \infty.$$

Then there are a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset E$ such that

$$(11) \quad G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad m_0 \leq c \leq m_1, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from this that M, N form a sandwich pair if one of them is finite dimensional. (Note that $m_0 \leq m_1$.)

Theorem 2 has been generalized as follows (cf. [Sc4]):

Theorem 3. *Let N be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space E and let $M = N^\perp$. Assume that at least one of the subspaces M, N is finite dimensional. Let G be a C^1 -functional on E such that*

$$m_0 := \sup_{v \in N} \inf_{w \in M} G(v + w) \neq -\infty$$

and

$$m_1 := \inf_{w \in M} \sup_{v \in N} G(v + w) \neq \infty.$$

Then there are a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset E$ such that

$$G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad m_0 \leq c \leq m_1, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

This constitutes the sum total of results of this type. To date only complementing subspaces have been considered with one of them being finite dimensional. The purpose of the present paper is to show that other sets can qualify as well.

2. CRITERIA

In this section we present sufficient conditions for sets to qualify as sandwich pairs. We have

Proposition 4. *If A, B is a sandwich pair and J is a diffeomorphism on the entire space having a derivative J' satisfying*

$$(12) \quad \|J'(u)^{-1}\| \leq C, \quad u \in E,$$

then JA, JB is a sandwich pair.

Proof. Suppose $G \in C^1$ satisfies

$$(13) \quad -\infty < b_0 := \inf_{JB} G \leq a_0 := \sup_{JA} G < \infty.$$

Let

$$G_1(u) = G(Ju), \quad u \in E.$$

Then

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} -\infty < b_0 &:= \inf_{JB} G = \inf_{Ju \in JB} G(Ju) = \inf_B G_1 \\ &\leq a_0 := \sup_{JA} G = \sup_{Ju \in JA} G(Ju) = \sup_A G_1 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since A, B form a sandwich pair, there is a sequence $\{h_k\} \subset E$ such that

$$(15) \quad G_1(h_k) \rightarrow c, \quad b_0 \leq c \leq a_0, \quad G'_1(h_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

If we set $u_k = Jh_k$, this becomes

$$(16) \quad G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad b_0 \leq c \leq a_0, \quad G'(u_k)J'(h_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

In view of (12), this implies $G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, JA, JB is a sandwich pair. \square

Proposition 5. *Let N be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space E with complement $M' = M \oplus \{v_0\}$, where v_0 is an element in E having unit norm, and let δ be any positive number. Let $\varphi(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be such that*

$$0 \leq \varphi(t) \leq 1, \quad \varphi(0) = 1,$$

and

$$\varphi(t) = 0, \quad |t| \geq 1.$$

Let

$$(17) \quad F(v + w + sv_0) = v + [s + \delta - \delta\varphi(\|w\|^2/\delta^2)]v_0, \quad v \in N, w \in M, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Assume that one of the subspaces M, N is finite dimensional. Then $A = N' = N \oplus \{v_0\}$, $B = F^{-1}(\delta v_0)$ is a sandwich pair.

Proof. Define

$$J(v + w + sv_0) = v + w + [s + \delta - \delta\varphi(\|w\|^2/\delta^2)]v_0, \quad v \in N, w \in M, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then J is a diffeomorphism on E with its inverse having a derivative satisfying (12). Moreover, $JA = N'$ and $JB = M + \delta v_0$. Hence, JA, JB form a sandwich pair as long as one of them is finite dimensional (Theorem 3). We now apply Proposition 4. \square

Theorem 6. *Let N be a finite dimensional subspace of a Banach space E . Let F be a Lipschitz continuous map of E onto N such that $F = I$ on N and*

$$(18) \quad \|F(g) - F(h)\| \leq K\|g - h\|, \quad g, h \in E.$$

Let p be any point of N . Then $A = N$, $B = F^{-1}(p)$ forms a sandwich pair.

Proof. Let G be a C^1 -functional on E satisfying (7), where A, B are the subsets of E specified in the theorem. If the theorem is not true, then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(19) \quad \|G'(u)\| \geq 3\delta$$

whenever

$$(20) \quad b_0 - 3\delta \leq G(u) \leq a_0 + 3\delta.$$

Since $G \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, there is a locally Lipschitz continuous mapping $Y(u)$ of $\hat{E} = \{u \in E : G'(u) \neq 0\}$ into E such that

$$\|Y(u)\| \leq 1, \quad u \in \hat{E}$$

and

$$(G'(u), Y(u)) \geq 2\delta$$

whenever u satisfies (20) (for the construction of such a map, cf., e.g., [Sc4]). Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= \{u \in E : b_0 - 2\delta \leq G(u) \leq a_0 + 2\delta\}, \\ Q_1 &= \{u \in E : b_0 - \delta \leq G(u) \leq a_0 + \delta\}, \\ Q_2 &= E \setminus Q_0, \\ \eta(u) &= \rho(u, Q_2)/[\rho(u, Q_1) + \rho(u, Q_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked that $\eta(u)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on E and satisfies

$$\eta(u) = 1, u \in Q_1; \eta(u) = 0, u \in \overline{Q}_2; 0 < \eta(u) < 1, \text{ otherwise.}$$

Consider the differential equation

$$(21) \quad \sigma'(t) = W(\sigma(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma(0) = u \in N,$$

where

$$W(u) = -\eta(u)Y(u).$$

The mapping W is locally Lipschitz continuous on the whole of E and is bounded in norm by 1. Hence by a well-known existence theorem for ordinary differential equations in a Banach space, (20) has a unique solution for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote the solution of (20) by $\sigma(t)u$. The mapping $\sigma(t)$ is in $C(E \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ and is called the flow generated by $W(u)$. Note that

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} dG(\sigma(t)u)/dt &= (G'(\sigma(t)u), \sigma'(t)u) \\ &= -\eta(\sigma(t)u)(G'(\sigma(t)u), Y(\sigma(t)u)) \\ &\leq -2\delta\eta(\sigma(t)u). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$E_\alpha = \{u \in E : G(u) \leq \alpha\}.$$

I claim that there is a $T > 0$ such that

$$(23) \quad \sigma(T)E_{a_0+\delta} \subset E_{b_0-\delta}.$$

In fact, we take $T > (a_0 - b_0 + \delta)/(2\delta)$. Let u be any element in $E_{a_0+\delta}$. If there is a $t_1 \in [0, T]$ such that $\sigma(t_1)u \notin Q_1$, then

$$G(\sigma(T)u) \leq G(\sigma(t_1)u) < b_0 - \delta$$

by (22). Hence $\sigma(T)u \in E_{b_0-\delta}$. On the other hand, if $\sigma(t)u \in Q_1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, then $\eta(\sigma(t)u) = 1$ for all t , and (22) yields

$$G(\sigma(T)u) \leq G(u) - 2\delta T \leq a_0 - 2\delta T < b_0 - \delta.$$

Hence (23) holds.

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of N containing the point p such that

$$(24) \quad \rho(\partial\Omega, p) > KT + \delta,$$

where ρ is the distance in E . If $v \in \partial\Omega$, then

$$\|v - p\| \leq \|v - F\sigma(t)v\| + \|F\sigma(t)v - p\|.$$

Hence,

$$(25) \quad \|F\sigma(t)v - p\| > KT + \delta - tK > 0, \quad v \in \partial\Omega, 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

since

$$\|F\sigma(t)v - v\| \leq K \int_0^t \|\sigma'(s)v\| ds \leq Kt.$$

Let

$$H(t) = F\sigma(t).$$

Then $H(t)$ is a continuous map of $\overline{\Omega}$ into N for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Moreover, $H(t)v \neq p$ for $v \in \partial\Omega$ by (25). Hence, the Brouwer degree $d(H(t), \Omega, p)$ is defined. Consequently,

$$d(H(T), \Omega, p) = d(H(0), \Omega, p) = d(I, \Omega, p) = 1.$$

This means that there is a $v \in \overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$F\sigma(T)v = p.$$

But then

$$\sigma(T)v \in F^{-1}(p) = B.$$

This is not consistent with (23). Hence, A, B form a sandwich pair. \square

3. APPLICATIONS

In the present section we assume that Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with boundary $\partial\Omega$ sufficiently regular so that the Sobolev inequalities hold and the embedding of $H^{m,2}(\Omega)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ is compact (cf. [Ad]). Let A be a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\Omega)$. We assume that $A \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ and that

$$C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset D := D(A^{1/2}) \subset H^{m,2}(\Omega)$$

for some $m > 0$, where $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ denotes the set of test functions in Ω (i.e., infinitely differentiable functions with compact supports in Ω) and $H^{m,2}(\Omega)$ denotes the Sobolev space. If m is an integer, the norm in $H^{m,2}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$(26) \quad \|u\|_{m,2} := \left(\sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \|D^\mu u\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Here D^μ represents the generic derivative of order $|\mu|$ and the norm on the right hand side of (26) is that of $L^2(\Omega)$. We shall not assume that m is an integer.

Let q be any number satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &\leq q \leq 2n/(n-2m), & 2m < n, \\ 2 &\leq q < \infty, & n \leq 2m, \end{aligned}$$

and let $f(x, t)$ be a Carathéodory function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. This means that $f(x, t)$ is continuous in t for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and measurable in x for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We make the following assumptions.

(A). The function $f(x, t)$ satisfies

$$|f(x, t)| \leq V(x)^q |t|^{q-1} + V(x)W(x)$$

and

$$f(x, t)/V(x)^q = o(|t|^{q-1}) \text{ as } |t| \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $V(x) > 0$ is a function in $L^q(\Omega)$ such that

$$(27) \quad \|Vu\|_q \leq C\|u\|_D, \quad u \in D,$$

and W is a function in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Here

$$\|u\|_q := \left(\int_\Omega |u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q},$$

$$(28) \quad \|u\|_D := \|A^{1/2}u\|$$

and $q' = q/(q-1)$. (If Ω and $V(x)$ are bounded, then (27) will hold automatically by the Sobolev inequality. However, there are functions $V(x)$ which are unbounded

and such that (27) holds even on unbounded regions Ω .) With the norm (28), D becomes a Hilbert space. Define

$$F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$$

and

$$(29) \quad G(u) := \|u\|_D^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx.$$

It is readily shown that G is a continuously differentiable functional on the whole of D (cf., e.g., [Sc4]). Since the embedding of D in $L^2(\Omega)$ is a compact, the spectrum of A consists of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity

$$0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_{\ell} < \cdots.$$

(We take λ_0 to be an eigenvalue.)

Let λ_{ℓ} , $\ell > 0$, be one of these eigenvalues. We assume that the eigenfunctions of λ_{ℓ} are in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and that the following hold:

$$(30) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq \lambda_{\ell} t^2 + W_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for some } W_1(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$(31) \quad \lambda_{\ell} t^2 \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| \leq \delta \text{ for some } \delta > 0,$$

$$(32) \quad \nu t^2 \leq 2F(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for some } \nu > \lambda_{\ell-1},$$

$$(33) \quad H(x, t) := 2F(x, t) - tf(x, t) \leq C(|t| + 1)$$

and

$$(34) \quad \sigma(x) := \limsup_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} H(x, t)/|t| < 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

We have

Theorem 7. *Under the above hypotheses,*

$$(35) \quad Au = f(x, u), \quad u \in D$$

has at least one nontrivial solution.

Proof. Let N denote the subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ spanned by the eigenfunctions of A corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{\ell}$, and let $M = N^{\perp} \cap D$. Thus $D = M \oplus N$. This time we take

$$G(u) = 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \|u\|_D^2,$$

the negative of (29). We are therefore looking for solutions of $G'(u) = 0$. Let N' be the set of those functions in N are orthogonal to $E(\lambda_{\ell})$. N' is spanned by those eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{\ell-1}$. Let v_0 be an eigenfunction of λ_{ℓ} with norm one. Let $M_1 = M \oplus E(\lambda_{\ell}) \ominus \{v_0\}$. We can write

$$E = M_1 \oplus \{v_0\} \oplus N'.$$

Consider the mapping

$$F(v + w + sv_0) = w + [s + \delta - \delta\varphi(\|v\|^2/\delta^2)]v_0, \quad v \in N', w \in M_1, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where φ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5. We take

$$A = M_1 \oplus \{v_0\}, \quad B = F^{-1}(\delta v_0).$$

By Proposition 5, A, B form a sandwich pair.

For $v \in N$, we write $v = v' + y$, where $v' \in N'$ and $y \in E(\lambda_\ell)$. Since $E(\lambda_\ell)$ is finite dimensional and contained in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, there is a $\rho > 0$ such that

$$(36) \quad \|y\|_D \leq \rho \text{ implies } \|y\|_\infty \leq \delta/2,$$

where δ is given by (31). Thus, if

$$(37) \quad \|v\|_D \leq \rho \text{ and } |v(x)| \geq \delta,$$

then

$$\delta \leq |v(x)| \leq |v'(x)| + |y(x)| \leq |v'(x)| + \delta/2.$$

Hence

$$|v(x)| \leq 2|v'(x)|$$

holds for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ satisfying (37). Thus by (31),

$$\begin{aligned} G(v) &\geq \lambda_\ell \int_{|v|<\delta} v^2 dx - 2 \int_{|v|>\delta} \{|Vv|^q + |Vv|W\} dx - \|v\|_D^2 \\ &\geq \lambda_\ell \|v\|^2 - \|v\|_D^2 - C \int_{2|v'|>\delta} \{|Vv'|^q + |Vv'|W + \delta^{2-q}|v'|^q\} dx \\ &\geq \lambda_\ell \|v'\|^2 - \|v'\|_D^2 - C \|v'\|_D^q \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\lambda_\ell}{\lambda_{\ell-1}} - 1 - C \|v'\|_D^{q-2} \right) \|v'\|_D^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this we see that there are positive constants ϵ, ρ such that

$$G(v) \geq \epsilon \|v'\|_D^2, \quad \|v\|_D \leq \rho, \quad v \in N.$$

Moreover, this shows that

$$(38) \quad G(v) \geq \epsilon_1, \quad \|v\|_D = \rho, \quad v \in N$$

for some positive ϵ_1 unless there is a solution of

$$Ay = \lambda_\ell y = f(x, y), \quad y \in E(\lambda_\ell) \setminus \{0\}$$

(cf. [Sc4]). Since such a solution would solve (35), we may assume that (38) holds.

Since

$$\|v\|_D^2 \leq \lambda_\ell \|v\|^2, \quad v \in N$$

and

$$\lambda_{\ell+1} \|w\|^2 \leq \|w\|_D^2, \quad w \in M,$$

we have by (30)

$$G(w) \leq \lambda_\ell \|w\|^2 + B_1 - \|w\|_D^2 \leq B_1, \quad w \in A,$$

where $B_1 = \int_\Omega W_1(x) dx$. Moreover, (32) implies

$$G(v') \geq (\nu - \lambda_{\ell-1}) \|v'\|^2, \quad v' \in N'.$$

Hence, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$G(v) \geq \epsilon, \quad v \in B.$$

In view of these inequalities we can now apply Proposition 5 to conclude that there is a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset D$ such that

$$(39) \quad G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad \epsilon \leq c \leq B_1, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\rho_k = \|u_k\|_D$. If $\rho_k \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(40) \quad G(u_k) = 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) \, dx - \rho_k^2 \rightarrow c$$

and

$$(G'(u_k), u_k)/2 = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_k)u_k \, dx - \rho_k^2 = o(\rho_k).$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Omega} H(x, u_k) \, dx = o(\rho_k).$$

Let $\tilde{u}_k = u_k/\rho_k$. Then $\|\tilde{u}_k\|_D = 1$. Thus, there is a renamed subsequence such that $\tilde{u}_k \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ weakly in D , strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . By (33) and (34),

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup \int_{\Omega} H(x, u_k) \, dx / \rho_k &\leq \int_{\Omega} \limsup [H(x, u_k)/|u_k|] |\tilde{u}_k| \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x) |\tilde{u}| \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma(x) < 0$ a.e. in Ω , the last two statements imply that $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$. However, we see from (40) that

$$2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) \, dx / \rho_k^2 \rightarrow 1,$$

while (30) implies

$$\limsup 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) \, dx / \rho_k^2 \leq \lambda_{\ell} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}^2 \, dx,$$

showing that $\tilde{u} \not\equiv 0$. This contradiction tells us that the ρ_k must be bounded. We can now apply Theorem 3.4.1 of [Sc4] to conclude that there is a $u \in D$ satisfying

$$(41) \quad G(u) = c, \quad G'(u) = 0.$$

Since $c \geq \varepsilon > 0$, we see that $u \neq 0$, and the proof is complete. □

The proof of Theorem 7 implies

Corollary 8. *If λ_{ℓ} is a simple eigenvalue, then hypothesis (30) can be weakened to*

$$(42) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq \lambda_{\ell+1}t^2 + W_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \, t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for some } W_1(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$$

in Theorem 7.

Remark 9. The proof of Theorem 7 is much simpler if $\ell = 0$. In this case $N' = \{0\}$ and (36) immediately implies (38). The rest of the proof is unchanged.

We now show that we can essentially reverse the inequalities (30)–(34) and obtain the same results. In fact we have

Theorem 10. *Equation (35) has at least one nontrivial solution if we assume $\ell > 0$ and*

$$(43) \quad \lambda_{\ell}t^2 \leq 2F(x, t) + W_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \, t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for some } W_1(x) \in iL^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$(44) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq \lambda_{\ell}t^2, \quad |t| \leq \delta \text{ for some } \delta > 0,$$

$$(45) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq \nu t^2, \quad x \in \Omega, \, t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for some } \nu < \lambda_{\ell+1},$$

$$(46) \quad H(x, t) \geq -C(|t| + 1), \quad x \in \Omega, \, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$(47) \quad \liminf_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} H(x, t)/|t| > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Proof. In this case we take G to be the functional (29). We take $A = N$, $N' = N \ominus \{v_0\}$, and consider the mapping

$$F(v + w + sv_0) = v + [s + \delta - \delta\varphi(\|w\|^2/\delta^2)]v_0, \quad v \in N', \quad w \in M, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where φ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5. By (43) we have

$$G(v) \leq B_1, \quad v \in N.$$

For $w \in M_1$ we write $w = w' + y$, where $w' \in M$ and $y \in E(\lambda_\ell)$. Then (44) implies

$$G(w) \geq \epsilon_1, \quad \|w\|_D = \rho, \quad w \in M_1,$$

unless (35) has a nontrivial solution. Hence by the argument given in the proof of Theorem 7 we have a sequence satisfying (39). If \tilde{u}_k and \tilde{u} are as in the proof of Theorem 7, then (46), (47) imply that $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$ as in that proof. However, (40) implies

$$2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) dx / \rho_k^2 \rightarrow 1,$$

while (45) implies

$$\limsup 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) dx / \rho_k^2 \leq \nu \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}^2 dx,$$

showing that $\tilde{u} \not\equiv 0$. This contradiction proves the theorem as in the case of Theorem 7. \square

The proof of Theorem 10 implies

Corollary 11. *If λ_ℓ is a simple eigenvalue, then hypothesis (43) can be weakened to*

$$(48) \quad \lambda_{\ell-1} t^2 \leq 2F(x, t) + W_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{for some } W_1(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

in Theorem 10.

4. SOME IMPORTANT QUANTITIES

We now show that we can improve the results of the last section. For each fixed k , let N_k denote the subspace of $D := D(A^{1/2})$ spanned by the eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k$, and let $M_k = N_k^\perp \cap D$. Then $D = M_k \oplus N_k$. We define

$$(49) \quad \alpha_k := \max\{(Av, v) : v \in N_k, v \geq 0, \|v\| = 1\},$$

where $\|v\|$ denotes the $L^2(\Omega)$ norm of v . We assume that A has an eigenfunction φ_0 of constant sign a.e. on Ω corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 .

Next we define for $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(50) \quad \gamma_k(a) := \max\{(Av, v) - a\|v^-\|^2 : v \in N_k, \|v^+\| = 1\}$$

and

$$(51) \quad \Gamma_k(a) := \inf\{(Aw, w) - a\|w^-\|^2 : w \in M_k, \|w^+\| = 1\},$$

where $u^\pm = \max\{\pm u, 0\}$.

We take any integer $\ell \geq 0$ and let N denote the subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ spanned by the eigenspaces of A corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$. We take $M = N^\perp \cap D$, where $D = D(A^{1/2})$. We assume that $F(x, t)$ satisfies

$$(52) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1(t^-)^2 + \gamma_\ell(a_1)(t^+)^2 - W_1(x) &\leq 2F(x, t) \\ &\leq a_2(t^-)^2 + \nu(t^+)^2, \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

for numbers a_1, a_2 satisfying $\alpha_\ell < a_1 \leq a_2$, where W_1 is a function in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\nu < \Gamma_\ell(a_2)$. We also assume that

$$(53) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq \lambda_{\ell+1}t^2, \quad |t| \leq \delta \text{ for some } \delta > 0,$$

$$(54) \quad |f(x, t)| \leq C|t| + W(x), \quad W \in L^2(\Omega),$$

$$(55) \quad f(x, t)/t \rightarrow \alpha_\pm(x) \text{ a.e. as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

and the only solution of

$$(56) \quad Au = \alpha_+(x)u^+ - \alpha_-(x)u^-$$

is $u \equiv 0$. We have

Theorem 12. *Under the above hypotheses, (35) has a nontrivial solution.*

Proof. By (50),

$$(57) \quad \|v\|_D^2 \leq a_1\|v^-\|^2 + \gamma_\ell(a_1)\|v^+\|^2, \quad v \in N,$$

and by (51) we have

$$(58) \quad a_2\|w^-\|^2 + \Gamma_\ell(a_2)\|w^+\|^2 \leq \|w\|_D^2, \quad w \in M.$$

Hence

$$(59) \quad G(v) \leq B_1, \quad v \in N.$$

Since $\nu < \Gamma_\ell(a_2)$, we see by continuity that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\nu < (1 - \varepsilon)\Gamma_\ell\left(\frac{a_2}{1 - \varepsilon}\right).$$

Hence,

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} G(w) &\geq \varepsilon\|w\|_D^2 + (1 - \varepsilon)\left[\Gamma_\ell\left(\frac{a_2}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) - \frac{\nu}{1 - \varepsilon}\right]\|w^+\|^2 \\ &\geq \varepsilon\|w\|_D^2, \quad w \in M \end{aligned}$$

by (52).

As in the proof of Theorem 7, we note that the following alternative holds:

Either

(a) there is an infinite number of eigenfunctions $y \in E(\lambda_\ell) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(61) \quad Ay = f(x, y) = \lambda_0 y,$$

or

(b) for each $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(62) \quad G(w) \geq \varepsilon, \quad \|w\|_D = \rho, \quad w \in M_\ell.$$

Since option (a) solves our problem, we may assume that option (b) holds. Let $v_0 \in E(\lambda_\ell)$, and let F be the mapping (17). Take $A = N$, $B = F^{-1}(\delta v_0)$. By (59), (60), (62) we see that (7) holds with $b_0 > 0$ and $a_0 = B_1$. By Proposition 5 we can conclude that there is a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset D$ such that

$$(63) \quad G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad b_0 \leq c \leq B_1, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$(64) \quad G(u_k) = \|u_k\|_D^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) dx \rightarrow c$$

and

$$(65) \quad (G'(u_k), v) = 2(u_k, v)_D - 2(f(u_k), v) \rightarrow 0, \quad v \in D.$$

If $\rho_k = \|u_k\|_D \rightarrow \infty$, let $\tilde{u}_k = u_k/\rho_k$. Then $\|\tilde{u}_k\|_D = 1$. Thus there is a renamed subsequence such that $\tilde{u}_k \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ weakly in D , strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . Hence

$$G(u_k)/\rho_k^2 = \|\tilde{u}_k\|_D^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) dx/\rho_k^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$(66) \quad |F(x, u_k)|/\rho_k^2 \leq C(|\tilde{u}_k(x)|^2 + W_3(x)/\rho_k^2), \quad W_3 \in L^1(\Omega),$$

by (52), and the right hand side of (66) converges to $C|\tilde{u}(x)|^2$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$(67) \quad 2F(x, u_k(x))/\rho_k^2 \rightarrow \alpha_+(x)(\tilde{u}^+)^2 + \alpha_-(x)(\tilde{u}^-)^2 \quad \text{a.e.},$$

we see that the convergence in (67) is not only pointwise a.e. but also in $L^1(\Omega)$. Since $\|\tilde{u}_k\|_D = 1$, (66) implies

$$(68) \quad \int_{\Omega} \{\alpha_+(\tilde{u}^+)^2 + \alpha_-(\tilde{u}^-)^2\} dx = 1.$$

Also

$$(G'(u_k), v)/\rho_k = 2(\tilde{u}_k, v)_D - 2(f(u_k), v)/\rho_k \rightarrow 0$$

for each $v \in D$. This implies

$$(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})_D = (\alpha_+ \tilde{u}^+ - \alpha_- \tilde{u}^-, v), \quad v \in D.$$

Consequently, \tilde{u} is a solution of (56). By hypothesis $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$. But this contradicts (68). Hence $\rho_k \leq C$. The theorem now follows from Theorem 3.4.1 of [Sc4]. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ad] R. A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, 1975. MR0450957 (56:9247)
- [BL] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, Interpolation Spaces, Springer, 1976. MR0482275 (58:2349)
- [FMS] M.F. Furtado, L.A. Maia, and E.A.B. Silva, On a double resonant problem in \mathbb{R}^N . Differential Integral Equations 15 (2002), no. 11, 1335–1344. MR1920690 (2003g:35064)
- [FS] M.F. Furtado and E.A.B. Silva, Double resonant problems which are locally non-quadratic at infinity. Proceedings of the USA-Chile Workshop on Nonlinear Analysis (Viña del Mar-Valparaiso, 2000), 155–171 (electronic), Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf., 6, Southwest Texas State Univ., San Marcos, TX, 2001. MR1804772 (2002g:35079)
- [Sc1] M. Schechter, New saddle point theorems. Generalized functions and their applications (Varanasi, 1991), 213–219, Plenum, New York, 1993. MR1240078 (94i:58034)
- [Sc2] M. Schechter, A generalization of the saddle point method with applications, Ann. Polon. Math. 57 (1992), no. 3, 269–281. MR1201854 (94c:58028)
- [Sc3] M. Schechter, New linking theorems, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 99(1998) 255–269. MR1636619 (99h:58035)

- [Sc4] M. Schechter, *Linking Methods in Critical Point Theory*, Birkhäuser Boston, 1999. MR1729208 (2001f:58032)
- [Si1] E. A. de B e Silva, *Linking theorems and applications to semilinear elliptic problems at resonance*, *Nonlinear Analysis TMA* 16(1991), 455–477. MR1093380 (92d:35108)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92697-3875
E-mail address: `mschecht@math.uci.edu`