

## ON THE NONEXISTENCE OF NONTRIVIAL INVOLUTIVE $n$ -HOMOMORPHISMS OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

EFTON PARK AND JODY TROUT

ABSTRACT. An  $n$ -homomorphism between algebras is a linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\phi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_n)$  for all elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ . Every homomorphism is an  $n$ -homomorphism for all  $n \geq 2$ , but the converse is false, in general. Hejazian *et al.* (2005) ask: Is every  $*$ -preserving  $n$ -homomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras continuous? We answer their question in the affirmative, but the even and odd  $n$  arguments are surprisingly disjoint. We then use these results to prove stronger ones: If  $n > 2$  is even, then  $\phi$  is just an ordinary  $*$ -homomorphism. If  $n \geq 3$  is odd, then  $\phi$  is a difference of two orthogonal  $*$ -homomorphisms. Thus, there are no *nontrivial*  $*$ -linear  $n$ -homomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be algebras and  $n \geq 2$  an integer. A linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is an  $n$ -homomorphism if for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,

$$\phi(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \phi(a_1) \phi(a_2) \cdots \phi(a_n).$$

A 2-homomorphism is then just a homomorphism, in the usual sense, between algebras. Furthermore, every homomorphism is clearly also an  $n$ -homomorphism for all  $n \geq 2$ , but the converse is false, in general. The concept of  $n$ -homomorphism was studied for complex algebras by Hejazian, Mirzavaziri, and Moslehian [7]. This concept also makes sense for rings and (semi)groups. For example, an  $AE_n$ -ring is a ring  $R$  such that every additive endomorphism  $\phi : R \rightarrow R$  is an  $n$ -homomorphism; Feigelstock [4, 5] classified all unital  $AE_n$ -rings.

In [7], Hejazian *et al.* ask: Is every  $*$ -preserving  $n$ -homomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras continuous? We answer in the affirmative by proving that every involutive  $n$ -homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  between  $C^*$ -algebras is in fact norm contractive:  $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ . Surprisingly, the arguments for the even and odd  $n$  cases are disjoint and, thus, are discussed in different sections. When  $n = 3$ , automatic continuity is reported by Bračič and Moslehian [2], but note that the proof of their Theorem 2.1 does not extend to the nonunital case since the unitization of a 3-homomorphism is not a 3-homomorphism, in general.

---

Received by the editors April 6, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05; Secondary 47B99, 47L30.

©2008 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Using these automatic continuity results, we prove the following stronger results: If  $n > 2$  is even, every  $*$ -linear  $n$ -homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  between  $C^*$ -algebras is in fact a  $*$ -homomorphism. If  $n \geq 3$  is odd, every  $*$ -linear  $n$ -homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is a difference  $\phi(a) = \psi_1(a) - \psi_2(a)$  of two orthogonal  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\psi_1 \perp \psi_2$ . Regardless, for all integers  $n \geq 3$ , every *positive* linear  $n$ -homomorphism is a  $*$ -homomorphism. Note that if  $\psi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism, then  $-\psi = 0 - \psi$  is a norm contractive  $*$ -preserving 3-homomorphism that is not positive linear.

There is also a dichotomy between the unital and nonunital cases. When the domain algebra  $A$  is unital, there is a simple representation of an  $n$ -homomorphism as a certain  $n$ -potent multiple of a homomorphism (discussed in the Appendix). The nonunital case is more subtle. For example, if  $A$  and  $B$  are nonunital (Banach) algebras such that  $A^n = B^n = \{0\}$ , then *every* linear map  $L : A \rightarrow B$  (bounded or unbounded) is, trivially, an  $n$ -homomorphism (see Examples 2.5 and 4.3 of [7]).

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we prove automatic continuity for the even case and in Section 3 for the odd case. In Section 4, we prove our nonexistence results. A key fact in many of our proofs is the Cohen Factorization Theorem [3] of  $C^*$ -algebras. (See Proposition 2.33 in [8] for an elementary proof of this important result.) Finally, in Appendix A, we collect some facts about  $n$ -potents that we need.

The authors would like to thank Dana Williams and Tom Shemanske for their helpful comments and suggestions.

## 2. AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY: THE EVEN CASE

In this section, we prove that when  $n > 2$  is even, every involutive (*i.e.*,  $*$ -linear)  $n$ -homomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras is completely positive and norm contractive, which generalizes the well-known result for  $*$ -homomorphisms ( $n = 2$ ). Recall that a linear map  $\theta : A \rightarrow B$  between  $C^*$ -algebras is *positive* if  $a \geq 0$  implies  $\theta(a) \geq 0$  or, equivalently, for every  $a \in A$  there is a  $b \in B$  such that  $\theta(a^*a) = b^*b$ . We say that  $\theta$  is *completely positive* if, for all  $k \geq 1$ , the induced map  $\theta_k : M_k(A) \rightarrow M_k(B)$ ,  $\theta_k((a_{ij})) = (\theta(a_{ij}))$ , on  $k \times k$  matrices is positive.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. If  $n \geq 2$  is even, then every involutive  $n$ -homomorphism from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is completely positive.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism. We may assume  $n = 2k > 2$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the inner product on  $\mathcal{H}$ . By Stinespring's Theorem [9] (see Prop. II.6.6 in [1]),  $\phi$  is completely positive if and only if for any  $m > 1$  and elements  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$  and vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle \phi(a_i^* a_j) v_j, v_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

We proceed as follows: For each  $1 \leq i \leq m$  use the Cohen Factorization Theorem [3] to factor  $a_i = a_{i1} \cdots a_{ik}$  into a product of  $k$  elements. Thus, their adjoints factor

as  $a_i^* = a_{ik}^* \cdots a_{i1}^*$ . Since  $n = 2k$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle \phi(a_i^* a_j) v_j, v_i \rangle &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle \phi(a_{ik}^* \cdots a_{i1}^* a_{j1} \cdots a_{jk}) v_j, v_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle \phi(a_{ik})^* \cdots \phi(a_{i1})^* \phi(a_{j1}) \cdots \phi(a_{jk}) v_j, v_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{j=1}^m \phi(a_{j1}) \cdots \phi(a_{jk}) v_j, \sum_{i=1}^m \phi(a_{i1}) \cdots \phi(a_{ik}) v_i \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x = \sum_{i=1}^m \phi(a_{i1}) \cdots \phi(a_{ik}) v_i \in \mathcal{H}$ . The result now follows.  $\square$

Even though the previous result is a corollary of the more general theorem below, we have included it because the proof technique is different.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an  $n$ -homomorphism. Then, for all  $k \geq 1$ , the induced maps  $\phi_k : M_k(A) \rightarrow M_k(B)$  on  $k \times k$  matrices are  $n$ -homomorphisms. Moreover, if  $\phi$  is involutive ( $\phi(a^*) = \phi(a)^*$ ), then each  $\phi_k$  is also involutive.*

*Proof.* Given  $n$  matrices  $a^1 = (a_{ij}^1), \dots, a^n = (a_{ij}^n)$  in  $M_k(A)$ , we can express their product  $a^1 a^2 \cdots a^n = (a_{ij})$ , where the  $(i, j)$ -th entry  $a_{ij}$  is given by the formula

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}=1}^k a_{im_1}^1 a_{m_1 m_2}^2 \cdots a_{m_{n-1} j}^n.$$

Since  $\phi_k(a^1 a^2 \cdots a^n) = (\phi(a_{ij}))$  by definition and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a_{ij}) &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}=1}^k \phi(a_{im_1}^1 a_{m_1 m_2}^2 \cdots a_{m_{n-1} j}^n) \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}=1}^k \phi(a_{im_1}^1) \phi(a_{m_1 m_2}^2) \cdots \phi(a_{m_{n-1} j}^n) \\ &= [\phi_k(a^1) \phi_k(a^2) \cdots \phi_k(a^n)]_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\phi_k : M_k(A) \rightarrow M_k(B)$  is an  $n$ -homomorphism. Now suppose that  $\phi$  is involutive. We compute for all  $a = (a_{ij}) \in M_k(A)$ :

$$\phi_k(a^*) = \phi_k((a_{ji}^*)) = (\phi(a_{ji}^*)) = (\phi(a_{ji})^*) = \phi_k(a)^*$$

and hence each  $\phi_k : M_k(A) \rightarrow M_k(B)$  is involutive.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras. If  $n \geq 2$  is even, then  $\phi$  is completely positive. Thus,  $\phi$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $n = 2k > 2$ . Since  $\phi$  is linear, we want to show that for every  $a \in A$  we have  $\phi(a^* a) \geq 0$ . By the Cohen Factorization Theorem, for any  $a \in A$  we can find  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$  such that the factorization  $a = a_1 \cdots a_k$  holds. Thus, the adjoint factors as  $a^* = a_k^* \cdots a_1^*$ . Since  $n = 2k$  and  $\phi$  is  $n$ -multiplicative

and  $*$ -preserving,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(a^*a) &= \phi(a_k^* \cdots a_1^* a_1 \cdots a_k) \\ &= \phi(a_k)^* \cdots \phi(a_1)^* \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) \\ &= (\phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k))^* (\phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k)) \\ &= b^*b \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where  $b = \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) \in B$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is a positive linear map. By the previous lemma, all of the induced maps  $\phi_k : M_k(A) \rightarrow M_k(B)$  on  $k \times k$  matrices are involutive  $n$ -homomorphisms and are positive. Hence,  $\phi$  is completely positive and therefore bounded [1].  $\square$

We now wish to show that if  $n \geq 2$  is even, then an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism is actually norm-contractive. First, we will need generalizations of the familiar  $C^*$ -identity appropriate for  $n$ -homomorphisms.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. For all  $k \geq 1$ , we have that*

$$\begin{cases} \|x\|^{2k} = \|(x^*x)^k\|, \\ \|x\|^{2k+1} = \|x(x^*x)^k\| \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* In the even case, we easily have that

$$\|x\|^{2k} = (\|x\|^2)^k = \|x^*x\|^k = \|(x^*x)^k\|$$

by the functional calculus since  $x^*x \geq 0$ . In the odd case, we compute again using the  $C^*$ -identity and functional calculus:

$$\begin{aligned}\|x(x^*x)^k\|^2 &= \|(x(x^*x)^k)^*(x(x^*x)^k)\| \\ &= \|(x^*x)^k x^* x (x^*x)^k\| \\ &= \|(x^*x)^{2k+1}\| = \|(x^*x)\|^{2k+1} \\ &= (\|x\|^2)^{2k+1} = (\|x\|^{2k+1})^2;\end{aligned}$$

the result follows by taking square roots.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras. If  $\phi$  is bounded, then  $\phi$  is norm-contractive ( $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose  $n = 2k$  is even. Then for all  $x \in A$  we have

$$\phi((x^*x)^k) = \phi(x^*x \cdots x^*x) = (\phi(x^*)\phi(x))^k = (\phi(x)^*\phi(x))^k.$$

Thus by the previous lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi(x)\|^n &= \|\phi(x)\|^{2k} \\ &= \|(\phi(x)^*\phi(x))^k\| = \|\phi((x^*x)^k)\| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|(x^*x)^k\| = \|\phi\| \|x\|^{2k} = \|\phi\| \|x\|^n,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\|\phi\| \leq 1$  by taking  $n$ -th roots.

The proof for the odd case  $n = 2k + 1$  is similar.  $\square$

## 3. AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY: THE ODD CASE

The positivity methods above do not work when  $n$  is odd, since the negation of a  $*$ -homomorphism defines an involutive 3-homomorphism that is (completely) bounded, but **not** positive. We need the following slight generalization of Lemma 3.5 of Harris [6].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . If  $a \in A$ , then  $\lambda \in \sigma((a^*a)^k)$  if and only if there does not exist an element  $c \in A$  with*

$$(1) \quad c(\lambda - (a^*a)^k) = a.$$

*Proof.* If  $\lambda \notin \sigma((a^*a)^k)$ , then  $c = a(\lambda - (a^*a)^k)^{-1} \in A$  satisfies

$$c(\lambda - (a^*a)^k) = a(\lambda - (a^*a)^k)^{-1}(\lambda - (a^*a)^k) = a,$$

and so (1) holds.

On the other hand, if  $\lambda \in \sigma((a^*a)^k)$  then, by the commutative functional calculus, there is a sequence  $\{b_m\}_1^\infty$  in the unitization  $A^+$  with  $b_m \not\rightarrow 0$  but  $d_m =_{\text{def}} (\lambda - (a^*a)^k)b_m \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\lambda \neq 0$  we must have

$$a^*(a^*a)^{k-1}(ab_m) = (a^*a)^k b_m = \lambda b_m - d_m \not\rightarrow 0,$$

which implies  $ab_m \not\rightarrow 0$ . Hence, there does not exist an element  $c \in A$  that can satisfy equation (1), since this would imply that

$$ab_m = c(\lambda - (a^*a)^k)b_m \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a contradiction. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We now prove automatic continuity for involutive  $n$ -homomorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras for all odd values of  $n$ . Note that we do not assume that  $A$  is unital, nor do we appeal to the unitization  $\phi^+ : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  of  $\phi$ , which is **not** an  $n$ -homomorphism, in general.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras. If  $n \geq 3$  is odd, then  $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  is norm-contractive.*

*Proof.* Let  $n = 2k + 1$  where  $k \geq 1$ . Given any  $a \in A$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\lambda \notin \sigma((a^*a)^k)$ , there is, by the previous lemma, an element  $c \in A$  such that

$$a = c(\lambda - (a^*a)^k) = (\lambda c - c(a^*a)^k).$$

Noting that  $c(a^*a)^k$  is a product of  $2k + 1 = n$  elements in  $A$ , and  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -linear  $n$ -homomorphism, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a) &= \phi(\lambda c - c(a^*a)^k) = \lambda\phi(c) - \phi(c(a^*a)^k) \\ &= \lambda\phi(c) - \phi(c)(\phi(a)^*\phi(a))^k = \phi(c)(\lambda - (\phi(a)^*\phi(a))^k), \end{aligned}$$

which yields that there is an element  $\phi(c) \in B$  with:

$$\phi(c)(\lambda - (\phi(a)^*\phi(a))^k) = \phi(a).$$

By the previous lemma, we conclude that  $\lambda \notin \sigma((\phi(a)^*\phi(a))^k)$ . Thus, we have shown the following inclusion of spectra:

$$\sigma((\phi(a)^*\phi(a))^k) \subseteq \sigma((a^*a)^k) \cup \{0\}.$$

Therefore, by the spectral radius formula [1, II.1.6.3] and the generalization of the  $C^*$ -identity in Lemma 2.4, we must deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi(a)\|^{2k} &= \|(\phi(a)^*\phi(a))^k\| \\ &= r((\phi(a)^*\phi(a))^k) \leq r((a^*a)^k) \\ &= \|(a^*a)^k\| = \|a\|^{2k},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\|\phi(a)\| \leq \|a\|$  for all  $a \in A$ , as desired.  $\square$

Note that the argument in the previous proof does *not* work for  $n = 2k$  even, since we would need to employ  $(a^*a)^{k-1}a$  which is a product of  $2k - 1 = n - 1$  elements as needed, but not self-adjoint, in general. Thus, we could not appeal to the spectral radius formula for self-adjoint elements and Lemma 3.1 would not apply. Hence, the even and odd  $n$  arguments are essentially disjoint.

#### 4. NONEXISTENCE OF NONTRIVIAL INVOLUTIVE $n$ -HOMOMORPHISMS OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

Our first main result is the nonexistence of nontrivial  $n$ -homomorphisms on unital  $C^*$ -algebras for all  $n \geq 3$ . We do the unital case first since it is much simpler to prove and helps to frame the argument for the nonunital case.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism between the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , where  $A$  is unital. If  $n \geq 2$  is even, then  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. If  $n \geq 3$  is odd, then  $\phi$  is the difference  $\phi(a) = \psi_1(a) - \psi_2(a)$  of two orthogonal  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\psi_1 \perp \psi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ .*

*Proof.* In either case, by Proposition A.1, the element  $e = \phi(1) \in B$  is an  $n$ -potent ( $e^n = e$ ) and is self-adjoint because

$$e = \phi(1) = \phi(1^*) = \phi(1)^* = e^*.$$

Also, there is an associated algebra homomorphism  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  defined for all  $a \in A$  by the formula

$$\psi(a) = e^{n-2}\phi(a) = \phi(a)e^{n-2}$$

such that  $\phi(a) = e\psi(a) = \psi(a)e$ . In either case,  $\psi$  is  $*$ -linear since  $\phi$  is  $*$ -linear and  $e$  is self-adjoint and commutes with the range of  $\phi$ :

$$\psi(a^*) = e^{n-2}\phi(a^*) = e^{n-2}\phi(a)^* = (e^{n-2}\phi(a))^* = \psi(a)^*.$$

Now, if  $n = 2k$  is even,  $e = e^n = (e^k)^*e^k \geq 0$  and so  $e = p$  is a projection. Thus,  $\phi(a) = p\psi(a) = \psi(a)p = p\psi(a)p$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. If  $n \geq 3$  is odd, then by Lemma A.8,  $e$  is the difference of two orthogonal projections  $e = p_1 - p_2$  which must commute with both  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  by the functional calculus. Define  $\psi_1, \psi_2 : A \rightarrow B$  by  $\psi_i(a) = p_i\psi(a)p_i$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\psi_1 \perp \psi_2$  are orthogonal  $*$ -homomorphisms, and

$$\psi_1(a) - \psi_2(a) = p_1\psi(a) - p_2\psi(a) = e\psi(a) = \phi(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ , from which the desired result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a linear map between  $C^*$ -algebras. If  $A$  is unital, the following are equivalent for all integers  $n \geq 2$ :*

- (a)  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism.
- (b)  $\phi$  is a positive  $n$ -homomorphism.
- (c)  $\phi$  is an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism and  $\phi(1) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Clearly (a)  $\implies$  (b)  $\implies$  (c). If  $n \geq 2$  is even, then (c)  $\implies$  (a) by the previous result. If  $n \geq 3$  is odd, then by the previous result, we only need to show that  $\phi$  is positive. Let  $n = 2k + 1$ . Given any  $a \in A$ , by the Cohen Factorization Theorem, we can write  $a = a_1 \cdots a_k$ . Since  $\phi(1) \geq 0$ , by hypothesis, and  $n = 2k + 1$ , we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a^*a) &= \phi(a^*1a) = \phi(a_k^* \cdots a_1^* 1 a_1 \cdots a_k) \\ &= \phi(a_k)^* \cdots \phi(a_1)^* \phi(1) \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) \\ &= (\phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k))^* \phi(1) (\phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k)) \\ &= b^* \phi(1) b \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $b = \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) \in B$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is positive linear and therefore a  $*$ -homomorphism.  $\square$

Next, we extend our nonexistence results to the nonunital case, by appealing to approximate unit arguments (which require continuity!) and the following important factorization property of  $*$ -preserving  $n$ -homomorphisms.

**Lemma 4.3** (Coherent Factorization Lemma). *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras. For any  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and any  $a \in A$ , if  $a = a_1 \cdots a_k = b_1 \cdots b_k$  in  $A$ , then*

$$\phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) = \phi(b_1) \cdots \phi(b_k) \in B.$$

Note that, in general,  $\phi(a) \neq \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k)$  when  $1 < k < n$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, we may assume  $1 < k < n$ . Since  $\phi$  is  $*$ -linear, the range  $\phi(A) \subset B$  is a self-adjoint linear subspace of  $B$  (but not necessarily a subalgebra, in general). Given any  $d = \phi(c) \in \phi(A)$ , using the Cohen Factorization Theorem, write  $d = d_1 \cdots d_n = \phi(c_1) \cdots \phi(c_n)$  where  $d_i = \phi(c_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Consider the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) d &= \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) \phi(c_1) \cdots \phi(c_n) \\ &= \phi(a_1 \cdots a_k c_1 \cdots c_{n-k}) \phi(c_{n-k+1}) \cdots \phi(c_n) \\ &= \phi(b_1 \cdots b_k c_1 \cdots c_{n-k}) \phi(c_{n-k+1}) \cdots \phi(c_n) \\ &= \phi(b_1) \cdots \phi(b_k) \phi(c_1) \cdots \phi(c_n) \\ &= \phi(b_1) \cdots \phi(b_k) d. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f = \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) - \phi(b_1) \cdots \phi(b_k)$ . Then  $fd = 0$  for all  $d \in \phi(A) \subset B$ , and thus  $fd = 0$  for all  $d$  in the  $*$ -subalgebra  $A_\phi$  of  $B$  generated by  $\phi(A)$ . In particular, for the element

$$d_a = \phi(a_k^*) \cdots \phi(a_1^*) - \phi(b_k^*) \cdots \phi(b_1^*) = f^* \in A_\phi.$$

Hence,  $ff^* = fd_a = 0$  and so  $\|f\|^2 = \|ff^*\| = 0$  by the  $C^*$ -identity. Therefore,

$$\phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_k) - \phi(b_1) \cdots \phi(b_k) = f = 0,$$

and the result is proven.  $\square$

**Definition 4.4.** An approximate unit for a (nonunital)  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a net  $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of elements in  $A$  indexed by a directed set  $\Lambda$  such that

- (a)  $0 \leq e_\lambda$  and  $\|e_\lambda\| \leq 1$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (b)  $e_\lambda \leq e_\mu$  if  $\lambda \leq \mu$  in  $\Lambda$ .
- (c) For all  $a \in A$ ,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|ae_\lambda - a\| = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|e_\lambda a - a\| = 0.$$

Every  $C^*$ -algebra has an approximate unit, which is countable ( $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$ ) if  $A$  is separable (see Section II.4 of Blackadar [1]).

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras, where  $A$  is nonunital. Then, for all  $a \in A$ , the limit

$$\psi(a) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \phi(a) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(a) \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2}$$

exists, independently of the choice of the approximate unit  $\{e_\lambda\}$  of  $A$ , and defines a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\phi(a) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda) \psi(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $n \geq 3$ . Given  $a \in A$ , use the Cohen Factorization Theorem to factor  $a = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ . Define a map  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  by

$$\psi(a) = \phi(a_1 a_2) \phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n) = \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_{n-2}) \phi(a_{n-1} a_n),$$

which is well-defined by the Coherent Factorization Lemma. The continuity of  $\phi$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \phi(a) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_n) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda^{n-2} a_1 a_2) \phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n) \\ &= \phi(a_1 a_2) \phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n) = \psi(a) \in B. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that we can write:

$$\psi(a) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \phi(a) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(a) \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2},$$

and so  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  is linear since  $\phi$  is linear. Moreover, since  $\phi$  is  $*$ -linear, it follows that  $\psi$  is also  $*$ -linear:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a)^* &= (\phi(a_1 a_2) \phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n))^* \\ &= \phi(a_n)^* \cdots \phi(a_3)^* \phi(a_1 a_2)^* \\ &= \phi(a_n^*) \cdots \phi(a_3^*) \phi(a_2^* a_1^*) \\ &= \phi(a_{n-1}^* a_{n-2}^*) \phi(a_{n-1}^*) \cdots \phi(a_{12}^*) \\ &= \psi((a_{n-1}^* a_{n-2}^*) (a_{n-1}^*) \cdots (a_{12}^*)) \\ &= \psi(a_n^* \cdots a_1^*) = \psi(a^*). \end{aligned}$$

In the computation above, we factored  $a_n = a_{n-2} a_{n-1}$  and set  $a_{12} = a_1 a_2$  to obtain the factorization  $a^* = a_n^* \cdots a_1^* = (a_{n-1}^* a_{n-2}^*) a_{n-1}^* \cdots a_{12}^*$  into  $n$  elements. Given



$a, b \in A$  with factorizations  $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$  and  $b = b_1 \cdots b_n$ , the fact that  $\phi$  is an  $n$ -homomorphism implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a)\psi(b) &= (\phi(a_1 a_2)\phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n))(\phi(b_1 b_2)\phi(b_3) \cdots \phi(b_n)) \\ &= \phi((a_1 a_2)a_3 \cdots a_n(b_1 b_2))\phi(b_3) \cdots \phi(b_n) \\ &= \phi((ab_1)b_2)\phi(b_3) \cdots \phi(b_n) \\ &= \psi(ab); \end{aligned}$$

note that  $ab = (ab_1)b_2 b_3 \cdots b_n$  is a factorization of  $ab$  into  $n$  elements. A second proof of multiplicativity goes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(ab) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \phi(ab) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \phi(\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} a e_\mu^{n-2} b) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \phi(a e_\mu^{n-2} b) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \phi(a)\phi(e_\mu)^{n-2} \phi(b) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^{n-2} \phi(a) \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\mu)^{n-2} \phi(b) \\ &= \psi(a)\psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\psi$  is a well-defined  $*$ -homomorphism. Finally, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)\psi(a) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)\phi(a_1 a_2)\phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda(a_1 a_2)a_3 \cdots a_n) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda a) \\ &= \phi(a). \end{aligned}$$

□

Using similar factorizations, the fact that  $\{e_\lambda^n\}$  is also an approximate unit for  $A$ , and the fact that the strict completion of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(\phi(A))$  generated by the range  $\phi(A)$  is the multiplier algebra  $M(C^*(\psi(A)))$ , we obtain the nonunital version of Proposition A.1.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras with  $A$  nonunital, and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism with associated  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ . Then there is a self-adjoint  $n$ -potent  $e = e^* = e^n \in M(C^*(\phi(A)))$  such that  $\phi(e_\lambda) \rightarrow e$  strictly for any approximate unit  $\{e_\lambda\}$  of  $A$ , and with the property that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a) &= e\psi(a) = \psi(a)e, \\ \psi(a) &= e^{n-2}\phi(a) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* By the previous proof, we can define  $e \in M(C^*(\phi(A)))$  on generators  $\phi(a)$  by

$$e\phi(a) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)\phi(a) = \phi(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})\phi(a_n) \in C^*(\phi(A))$$

for any  $a = a_1 \cdots a_n \in A$ . It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} e^n \phi(a) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda)^n \phi(a) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi(e_\lambda^n)\phi(a_1)\phi(a_2) \cdots \phi(a_n) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi((e_\lambda^n)a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})\phi(a_n) \\ &= \phi(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1})\phi(a_n) = e\phi(a), \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $e \in M(C^*(\phi(A)))$  is  $n$ -potent. The fact that  $e = e^*$  follows from  $\phi(e_\lambda)^* = \phi(e_\lambda^*) = \phi(e_\lambda)$ . The other statements follow from the previous proof.  $\square$

The dichotomy between the unital and nonunital cases is now clear. If  $A$  is unital, then  $C^*(\phi(A)) \subset B$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B$  with unit  $\psi(1) = \phi(1)^{n-1} \in B$  (which is a projection!) and so

$$M(C^*(\psi(A))) = C^*(\phi(A)) \subset B.$$

However, for  $A$  nonunital, we cannot identify the multiplier algebra  $M(C^*(\phi(A)))$  as a subalgebra of  $B$ , or even  $M(B)$ , unless  $\phi$  is surjective. In general, we only have inclusions  $\psi(A) \subset C^*(\phi(A)) \subset B$ .

Now that we know, as in the unital case, every involutive  $n$ -homomorphism is an  $n$ -potent multiple of a  $*$ -homomorphism, we can prove the following general version of Theorem 4.1 and its corollary in a similar manner using Lemma A.8.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an involutive  $n$ -homomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras. If  $n \geq 2$  is even, then  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. If  $n \geq 3$  is odd, then  $\phi$  is the difference  $\phi(a) = \psi_1(a) - \psi_2(a)$  of two orthogonal  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\psi_1 \perp \psi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ .*

**Corollary 4.8.** *For all  $n \geq 2$  and  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is a positive  $n$ -homomorphism if and only if  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism.*

#### APPENDIX A. ON $n$ -HOMOMORPHISMS AND $n$ -POTENTS

An element  $x \in A$  is called an  $n$ -potent if  $x^n = x$ . Note that if  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is an  $n$ -homomorphism, then  $\phi(x) = \phi(x^n) = \phi(x)^n \in B$  is also an  $n$ -potent. The following important result is Proposition 2.2 in [7], whose proof is included for completeness.

**Proposition A.1.** *If  $A$  is a unital algebra (or ring) and  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is an  $n$ -homomorphism, then there is a homomorphism  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  and an  $n$ -potent  $e = e^n \in B$  such that  $\phi(a) = e\psi(a) = \psi(a)e$  for all  $a \in A$ . Also,  $e$  commutes with the range<sup>1</sup> of  $\phi$ , i.e.,  $e\phi(a) = \phi(a)e$  for all  $a \in A$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $e = \phi(1) = \phi(1^n) = \phi(1)^n = e^n \in B$  is an  $n$ -potent. Define a linear map  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  by  $\psi(a) = e^{n-1}\phi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . For all  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(ab) &= e^{n-2}\phi(ab) = e^{n-2}\phi(a1^{n-2}b) \\ &= (e^{n-2}\phi(a))(\phi(1)^{n-2}\phi(b)) \\ &= (e^{n-2}\phi(a))(e^{n-2}\phi(b)) = \psi(a)\psi(b), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\psi$  is an algebra homomorphism. Furthermore,

$$e\psi(a) = \phi(1)(\phi(1)^{n-2}\phi(a)) = \phi(1)^{n-1}\phi(a) = \phi(1^{n-1}a) = \phi(a).$$

Similarly,  $\psi(a)e = \phi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . The final statement is a consequence of the fact that for all  $a \in A$ ,

$$e\phi(a) = \phi(1)\phi(a1^{n-1}) = (\phi(1)\phi(a)\phi(1)^{n-2})\phi(1) = \phi(1a1^{n-2})e = \phi(a)e.$$

$\square$

The following computation will be more significant when we consider the nonunital case (see the proof of Theorem 4.5).

<sup>1</sup>Note that the range  $\phi(A)$  is not a subalgebra of  $B$  in general.

**Corollary A.2.** *Let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be as in Proposition A.1 and  $n \geq 3$ . Then for all  $a \in A$ , if  $a = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,*

$$\psi(a) = \phi(a_1 a_2) \phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n).$$

*Proof.* We compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a) &=_{\text{def}} e^{n-2} \phi(a) = \phi(1)^{n-2} \phi(a_1 \cdots a_n) \\ &= \phi(1)^{n-2} \phi(a_1) \cdots \phi(a_n) \\ &= (\phi(1)^{n-2} \phi(a_1) \phi(a_2)) \phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n) \\ &= \phi(1^{n-2} a_1 a_2) \phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n) \\ &= \phi(a_1 a_2) \phi(a_3) \cdots \phi(a_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Definition A.3.** Let  $A$  be a unital algebra. An  $n$ -partition of unity is an ordered  $n$ -tuple  $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$  of idempotents ( $e_k^2 = e_k$ ) that sum to the identity  $e_0 + e_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1} = 1$  and are pairwise mutually orthogonal, i.e.,  $e_j e_k = \delta_{jk} 1$  for all  $0 \leq j, k \leq n-1$ , where  $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kronecker delta.

Note that  $e_0 = 1 - (e_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1})$  is completely determined by  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}$  and is thus redundant in the notation for an  $n$ -partition of unity.

**Definition A.4.** Let  $\omega_0 = 0$  and  $\omega_k = e^{2\pi i(k-1)/(n-1)}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Note that  $\omega_1 = 1$  and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$  are the  $(n-1)$ -th roots of unity and  $\Sigma_n = \{\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}\}$  are the  $n$  roots of the polynomial equation  $x^n - x = x(x^{n-1} - 1) = 0$ .

If  $A$  is a complex algebra, we let  $\tilde{A}$  denote  $A$ , if  $A$  is unital, or the unitization  $A^+ = A \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , if  $A$  is nonunital.

**Theorem A.5.** *Let  $A$  be a complex algebra. If  $e \in A$  is an  $n$ -potent, there is a unique  $n$ -partition of unity  $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$  in  $\tilde{A}$  such that*

$$e = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_k e_k.$$

*If  $A$  is nonunital, then  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} \in A$ .*

*Proof.* Define the  $n$  polynomials  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$  by

$$p_k(x) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq k} (x - \omega_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (\omega_k - \omega_j)}.$$

In particular,  $p_0(x) = 1 - x^{n-1}$ . Each polynomial  $p_k$  has degree  $n-1$  and satisfies  $p_k(\omega_k) = 1$  and  $p_k(\omega_j) = 0$  for all  $j \neq k$ . It follows that  $p_j(x)p_k(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \Sigma_n$ . We also claim for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k(x) = p_0(x) + \cdots + p_{n-1}(x) = 1.$$

$$(3) \quad x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_k p_k(x).$$

Indeed, these identities follow from the fact that these polynomial equations have degree  $n-1$  but are satisfied by the  $n$  distinct points in  $\Sigma_n$ .

Now, given any  $x^n = x$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  it follows that  $p_k(x)^2 = p_k(x)$ . Hence, for any  $n$ -potent  $e \in A$ , if we define  $e_k = p_k(e)$ , then  $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$  consists of idempotents  $e_k^2 = p_k(e)^2 = p_k(e) = e_k$  and satisfy, by (2),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k(e) = 1_{\tilde{A}}.$$

They are pairwise orthogonal because  $e_j e_k = p_j(e)p_k(e) = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ . Moreover,

$$e = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_k p_k(e) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_k e_k$$

by Equation (3). For  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , note that  $p_k(x) = xq_k(x)$  for some polynomial  $q_k(x)$ . Hence, if  $A$  is nonunital and  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , we have  $e_k = p_k(e) = eq_k(e) \in A$ , since  $A$  is an ideal in  $\tilde{A}$ . □

The following result is the  $n$ -homomorphism version of the previous  $n$ -potent result. Recall say that two linear maps  $\psi_i, \psi_j : A \rightarrow B$  are *orthogonal* ( $\psi_i \perp \psi_j$ ) if

$$\psi_i(a)\psi_j(b) = \psi_j(b)\psi_i(a) = 0$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ .<sup>2</sup>

**Proposition A.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be complex algebras. If  $A$  is unital, then a linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is an  $n$ -homomorphism if and only if there exist  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal homomorphisms  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1} : A \rightarrow B$  such that for all  $a \in A$ ,*

$$\phi(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_k \psi_k(a).$$

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be an  $n$ -homomorphism. By Proposition A.1, there is an  $n$ -potent  $e \in B$  and a homomorphism  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\phi(a) = e\psi(a) = \psi(a)e$ . Using the previous result, write  $e = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_k e_k$ , where  $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$  is the associated  $n$ -partition of unity in  $\tilde{A}$  defined by the polynomials  $p_k$ . Since  $e_k = p_k(e)$ , we have that  $e_k\psi(a) = \psi(a)e_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Define  $\psi_k : A \rightarrow B$  by

$$\psi_k(a) =_{\text{def}} e_k\psi(a) = e_k^2\psi(a) = e_k\psi(a)e_k.$$

Then  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}$  are orthogonal homomorphisms and, for all  $a \in A$ ,

$$\phi(a) = e\psi(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_k e_k\psi(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_k \psi_k(a).$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Follows from the fact that  $\omega_k^n = \omega_k$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . □

*Remark A.7.* If  $A$  is nonunital, the above result does not hold. One reason is that the unitization  $\phi^+ : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  of an  $n$ -homomorphism is not, in general, an  $n$ -homomorphism. Also, if  $A^n = B^n = \{0\}$ , then every linear map  $L : A \rightarrow B$  is an  $n$ -homomorphism. (See Examples 2.5 and 4.3 of Hejazian *et. al.* [7].)

Let  $\Sigma_n$  be the  $n$  roots of the polynomial equation  $x = x^n$  from Definition A.4. If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, it follows that a normal  $n$ -potent  $e = e^n$  must have spectrum  $\sigma(e) \subseteq \Sigma_n$ . Recall that a projection is an element  $p = p^* = p^2 \in A$ . Two projections  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are orthogonal if  $p_1 p_2 = 0$ . A tripotent is a 3-potent element  $e^3 = e \in A$ .

<sup>2</sup>Note that the zero homomorphism is orthogonal to every homomorphism.

The following characterization of self-adjoint  $n$ -potents in  $C^*$ -algebras is important for our nonexistence results on  $n$ -homomorphisms.

**Lemma A.8.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra.*

(a) *If  $n \geq 2$  is an even integer, the following are equivalent:*

(i)  *$e$  is a projection.*

(ii)  *$e$  is a positive  $n$ -potent.*

(iii)  *$e$  is a self-adjoint  $n$ -potent.*

(b) *If  $n \geq 3$  is an odd integer, the following are equivalent:*

(i)  *$e$  is a self-adjoint tripotent.*

(ii)  *$e = p_1 - p_2$  is a difference of two orthogonal projections.*

(iii)  *$e$  is a self-adjoint  $n$ -potent.*

*Proof.* In both the even and odd cases, (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) (See Theorem A.5.) Suppose (iii) holds. If  $n = 2k$  is even,

$$e = e^* = e^n = e^{2k} = (e^k)^*(e^k) \geq 0,$$

and so the spectrum of  $e$  satisfies  $\sigma(e) \subset \Sigma_n \cap [0, \infty] = \{0, 1\}$ . Thus,  $e$  is a projection. If  $n \geq 3$  is odd, then since  $e = e^*$  we must have  $\sigma(e) \subset \Sigma_n \cap \mathbb{R} = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Thus,  $\lambda = \lambda^3$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(e)$ , which implies  $e = e^3$  is tripotent.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Blackadar, *Theory of  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 122. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. MR2188261 (2006k:46082)
- [2] J. Bračič and S. Moslehian, *On Automatic Continuity of 3-Homomorphisms on Banach Algebras*, to appear in Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. arXiv: math.FA/0611287.
- [3] P. Cohen, *Factorization in group algebras*, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959) 199–205. MR0104982 (21:3729)
- [4] S. Feigelstock, *Rings whose additive endomorphisms are  $N$ -multiplicative*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 39 (1989), no. 1, 11–14. MR976254 (89j:16043)
- [5] S. Feigelstock, *Rings whose additive endomorphisms are  $N$ -multiplicative. II*, Period. Math. Hungar. 25 (1992), no. 1, 21–26. MR1200838 (93j:16018)
- [6] L. Harris, *A Generalization of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 42 (1981), no. 3, 331–361. MR607306 (82e:46089)
- [7] M. Hejazian, M. Mirzavaziri, and M.S. Moslehian,  *$n$ -homomorphisms*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 31 (2005), no. 1, 13–23. MR2228453 (2007b:47091)
- [8] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams, *Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace  $C^*$ -Algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, American Mathematical Society, 1998. MR1634408 (2000c:46108)
- [9] W. Stinespring, *Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 211–216. MR0069403 (16:1033b)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY, BOX 298900, FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76129

*E-mail address:* e.park@tcu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, 6188 KEMENY HALL, HANOVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03755

*E-mail address:* jody.trout@dartmouth.edu