

ERRATUM TO ‘MANN PAIRS’

LOU VAN DEN DRIES AND AYHAN GÜNAYDIN

Lemma 6.1 of [1] is false: a counterexample is given by $E = K = \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{U})$ and $G = \{1\}$, where \mathbb{U} is the multiplicative group of roots of unity. We now modify this lemma so that the rest of [1] is unaffected. Add to the hypothesis of Lemma 6.1 that for each prime number p all p^{th} roots of unity in E^\times are in the subgroup G . With this change, the proof of the lemma goes through; without it, the first sentence following “Proof of the Claim” is incorrect.

The only direct use of Lemma 6.1 in [1] is in the proof of Lemma 6.2. There is no need to modify Lemma 6.2 or its proof. At the end of that proof, the modified Lemma 6.1 is used with $E, F, \mathbf{k}^\times \Gamma_1$ in the role of E, K, G .

REFERENCES

- [1] Lou van den Dries and Ayhan Günaydin. Mann pairs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(5):2393–2414, 2010. MR2584604

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA,
ILLINOIS 61801

E-mail address: vddries@math.uiuc.edu

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES FUNDAMENTAIS, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, AVENIDA
PROFESSOR GAMA PINTO, 2, 1649-003 LISBON, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: ayhan@ptmat.fc.ul.pt

Received by the editors December 16, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03C35, 03C60, 03C98, 11U09.

©2011 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication