

NON-INTEGRATED DEFECT RELATION FOR MEROMORPHIC
MAPS OF COMPLETE KÄHLER MANIFOLDS
INTO $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ INTERSECTING HYPERSURFACES

MIN RU AND SURAIZOU SOGOME

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish a non-integrated defect relation for a meromorphic map of a complete Kähler manifold whose universal covering is biholomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ intersecting hypersurfaces in general position, as well as an application to the Gauss map of a closed regular submanifold of \mathbb{C}^m . The result provides a complement to the recent result of Ru (2004) on a defect relation for meromorphic mappings from \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ intersecting hypersurfaces in general position.

1. INTRODUCTION

Relating to the study of the value distribution of the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^m , H. Fujimoto (see [4]) introduced the notion of the non-integrated defect for a holomorphic map of an open Riemann surface M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and obtained some results analogous to the classical defect relation. In [5], he generalized these results to the case of a meromorphic map of a complete Kähler manifold M whose universal covering is biholomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{P}^{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ and the meromorphic map satisfies a certain growth condition (see the condition in Theorem 1.1).

Let M be an m -dimensional complex Kähler manifold. Let f be a meromorphic map of M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, μ_0 be a positive integer and D be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d with $f(M) \not\subset D$. We denote the intersection multiplicity of the image of f and D at $f(p)$ by $\nu^f(D)(p)$ and the pull-back of the normalized Fubini-Study metric form Ω on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ by Ω_f . The *non-integrated defect of f with respect to D cut by μ_0* is defined by

$$\delta_{\mu_0}^f(D) := 1 - \inf\{\eta \geq 0 : \eta \text{ satisfies condition } (\star)\}.$$

Here, the condition (\star) means that there exists a bounded non-negative continuous function h on M with zeros of order not less than $\min(\nu^f(D), \mu_0)$ such that

$$d\eta\Omega_f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h^2 \geq [\min(\nu^f(D), \mu_0)],$$

Received by the editors January 26, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32H30; Secondary 53A10.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic mappings, Gauss map of minimal surfaces, defect relation, Nevanlinna theory.

The first author was supported in part by NSA under grant number H98230-09-1-0004 and H98230-11-0201.

©2011 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

where d is the degree of D and we mean by $[\nu]$ the $(1, 1)$ -current associated with a divisor ν . Note that the condition (\star) also means that, for each holomorphic function $\phi (\neq 0)$ on an open subset U of M with $\nu_\phi = \min(\nu^f(D), \mu_0)$ outside an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2 , the function $u := \log(h^2\|f\|^{2d\eta}/|\phi|^2)$ is continuous and plurisubharmonic on U , where $\|f\|^2 = |f_0|^2 + \dots + |f_n|^2$, and $f = [f_0 : \dots : f_n]$ is a (local) reduced representation of f . So, similar to the classical Nevanlinna's defect, we have the following properties.

- $0 \leq \delta_{\mu_0}^f(D) \leq 1$. To see $\delta_{\mu_0}^f(D) \geq 0$, take $\eta = 1$ and $h = |Q(f)|/\|f\|^d$, where Q is the homogeneous polynomial defining D .
- If $f(M) \cap D = \emptyset$, then, by taking $\eta = 0, h = 1$, we have that $\delta_{\mu_0}^f(D) = 1$.
- If $\nu^f(D)(p) \geq \mu$ for all $p \in f^{-1}(D)$, with some positive integer $\mu \geq \mu_0$, then $\delta_{\mu_0}^f(D) \geq 1 - \mu_0/\mu$ by taking $\eta = \mu_0/\mu$ and $h = (|Q(f)|/\|f\|^d)^{\mu_0/\mu}$.

Let $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{ij} h_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ be the Kähler form of M . We define

$$\text{Ric } \omega = dd^c \log(\det(h_{ij})),$$

where $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ and $d^c = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$.

We assume the following growth condition for f : there exists a non-zero bounded continuous real-valued function h on M such that $\rho\Omega_f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial} \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric } \omega$ for some non-negative constant ρ . In this paper, we extend the results in [5] to the case where $f(M)$ intersects with hypersurfaces instead of hyperplanes; namely, we prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an m -dimensional complete Kähler manifold and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic map which is algebraically non-degenerate (i.e., its image is not contained in any proper subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$). Assume that the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{C}^m . Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces of degree d_j in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, located in general position. Let $d = \text{l.c.m.}\{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$ (the least common multiple of $\{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$). Assume that there exists a non-zero bounded continuous real-valued function h on M such that $\rho\Omega_f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial} \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric } \omega$ for some non-negative constant ρ . Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{l-1}^f(D_j) \leq n + 1 + \epsilon + \frac{\rho l(l-1)}{d},$$

where $l \leq 2^{n^2+4n} e^n d^{2n} (nI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n$ and $I(x) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k > x\}$ for a positive real number x .

We remark that in the case $M = \mathbb{C}^m$, we also have the following statement (see Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 4.6) which is essentially due to Min Ru (see [10] and [9]) without the truncation and to An and Phuong with the truncation (see [1]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic map which is algebraically non-degenerate. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces of degree d_j in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, located in general position. Let $d = \text{l.c.m.}\{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{l-1}^{f,\star}(D_j) \leq n + 1 + \epsilon,$$

where $l \leq 2^{n^2+4n}e^n d^{2n} (nI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n$, $I(x) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k > x\}$ for a positive real number x , and $\delta_{l-1}^{f,*}(D)$ is the classical Nevanlinna's (truncated) defect of f with respect to D .

Note that, from the discussion below, we have that $\delta_{l-1}^f(D) \leq \delta_{l-1}^{f,*}(D)$ (see Proposition 2.1). Thus, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are complementing each other.

The main tools of the proof are the following fundamental result of Yau: “Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold of infinite volume and u a non-negative function satisfying $\Delta \log u = 0$ almost everywhere. Then $\int_M u^p = \infty$ for $p > 0$,” as well as the techniques developed in [10] and [11] of dealing with hypersurfaces in general position. The proof gets down to the construction of such a function u on M which contradicts Yau’s result mentioned above if the theorem fails.

2. PRELIMINARIES

First of all, throughout the article, we’ll use the common letter K to denote a constant, even when it should be replaced by a new constant. Let h be a non-constant holomorphic function on an open domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. For a set $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of integers $\alpha_i \geq 0$, we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m$ and $D^\alpha h = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_m^{\alpha_m} h$, where $D_i h = (\partial/\partial z_i)h$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. We define $\nu_h^0 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\nu_h^0(z) := \max\{k : D^\alpha h(z) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \text{ with } |\alpha| < k\} \quad (z \in G).$$

By a divisor on a domain G in \mathbb{C}^m we mean a map ν of G into \mathbb{Z} such that, for each $z_0 \in G$, there are non-zero holomorphic functions h and g on a connected neighborhood $U(\subset G)$ of z_0 so that $\nu(z) = \nu_h^0(z) - \nu_g^0(z)$ for each $z \in U$ outside an analytic set of dimension $\leq m - 2$. Two divisors are regarded as the same if they are identical outside an analytic set of dimension $\leq m - 2$.

Take a non-zero meromorphic function φ on a domain G in \mathbb{C}^m . For each $z_0 \in G$, we choose non-zero holomorphic functions g and h on a neighborhood $U(\subset G)$ of z_0 such that $\varphi = \frac{g}{h}$ on U and $\dim(f^{-1}(0) \cup g^{-1}(0)) \leq m - 2$. We define $\nu_\varphi^\infty := \nu_h$, $\nu_\varphi^a := \nu_{g-ah}$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\nu_\varphi = \nu_\varphi^0 - \nu_\varphi^\infty$, which are independent of the choices of h and g and so are globally well-defined on G . Let f be a meromorphic map of $B(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $0 < R_0 \leq \infty$. We take holomorphic functions f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n such that $I_f := \{z \in B(R_0), f_0(z) = \dots = f_n(z) = 0\}$ is of dimension at most $m - 2$ and $f(z) = [f_0(z) : \dots : f_n(z)]$ on $B(R_0) - I_f$ in terms of homogeneous coordinates $[w_0 : \dots : w_n]$ on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. We call such a representation $f(z) = [f_0(z) : \dots : f_n(z)]$ a reduced representation of f .

For $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ we set $\|z\| = (|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2)^{1/2}$ and define $B(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \|z\| < r\}$, $S(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \|z\| = r\}$ for $0 < r \leq +\infty$, where we mean $B(\infty) = \mathbb{C}^m$ and $S(\infty) = \emptyset$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m &:= d^c \log \|z\|^2 \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|^2)^{m-1} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^m - \{0\}, \\ \nu_l &:= (dd^c \|z\|^2)^l \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f(z) = [f_0(z) : \dots : f_n(z)]$ be a reduced representation of f . Set $\|f\| := (|f_0|^2 + \dots + |f_n|^2)^{1/2}$. Then the pull-back of the normalized Fubini-Study metric form Ω on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ by f is given by

$$\Omega_f = dd^c \log \|f\|^2.$$

Fixing $r_0 < R_0$, the characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T_f(r, r_0) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t^{2m-1}} \int_{B(t)} \Omega_f \wedge v_{m-1} \quad (0 < r_0 < r < R_0).$$

We then have (see [12], pp. 251-255),

$$T_f(r, r_0) = \int_{S(r)} \log \|f\| \sigma_m - \int_{S(r_0)} \log \|f\| \sigma_m.$$

Let μ_0 be a positive integer or ∞ and ν be a divisor on a domain $B(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Set $|\nu| = \overline{\{z \in B(R_0) : \nu(z) \neq 0\}}$. We define the counting function of ν truncated by μ_0 by

$$N_\nu^{[\mu_0]}(r_0, r) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n^{[\mu_0]}(t)}{t} dt,$$

where

$$n^{[\mu_0]}(t) = \frac{1}{t^{2m-2}} \int_{|\nu| \cap B(t)} \min\{\nu, \mu_0\} v_{m-1} \quad \text{if } m \geq 2,$$

$$n^{[\mu_0]}(t) = \sum_{|z| \leq t} \min\{\nu(z), \mu_0\} \quad \text{if } m = 1.$$

Let D be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d , and let Q be the homogeneous polynomial defining D . We define the divisor $\nu(f, D)(z) := \nu_{Q \circ f}^0(z)$ ($z \in B(R_0)$) which is rephrased as the intersection multiplicity of the image of f and D at $f(z)$. We define the (truncated) counting function of D for f by, for fixed $r_0 < R_0$,

$$N_f^{[\mu_0]}(r, D) := N_{\nu(f, D)}^{[\mu_0]}(r_0, r).$$

By the first main theorem,

$$N_f^{[\mu_0]}(r, D) \leq dT_f(r, r_0) + O(1).$$

The classical Nevanlinna's defect $\delta_{\mu_0}^{f, \star}(D)$ of f with respect to D cut by μ_0 is defined by

$$\delta_{\mu_0}^{f, \star}(D) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow R_0} \left(1 - \frac{N_f^{[\mu_0]}(r, D)}{dT_f(r, r_0)} \right).$$

The relationship between the non-integrated defect and the classical Nevanlinna's defect is given as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *If $\lim_{r \rightarrow R_0} T_f(r, r_0) = \infty$, then*

$$0 \leq \delta_{\mu_0}^f(D) \leq \delta_{\mu_0}^{f, \star}(D) \leq 1,$$

where δ^\star is the classical Nevanlinna's defect.

Proof. Take η satisfying the condition (\star) in the definition of $\delta_{\mu_0}^f(D)$. The function

$$v := d\eta \log \|f\| + \log h - \log |\varphi|$$

is then plurisubharmonic, where h is bounded and φ is holomorphic on $B(R_0)$ with $\nu_\varphi = \min(\nu^f(D), \mu_0)$ outside an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2 . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{S(r)} v\sigma_m - \int_{S(r_0)} v\sigma_m \\ &= d\eta \int_{S(r)} \log \|f\| \sigma_m + \int_{S(r)} \log h \sigma_m - \int_{S(r)} \log |\varphi| \sigma_m + K \\ &\leq d\eta T_f(r, r_0) - N_f^{[\mu_0]}(r, D) + K, \end{aligned}$$

where K is a constant, because h is bounded from above. This implies that

$$\frac{N_f^{[\mu_0]}(r, D)}{dT_f(r, r_0)} \leq \eta + \frac{K}{T_f(r, r_0)}.$$

As $r \rightarrow R_0$, we obtain $\delta_{\mu_0}^*(D) \geq 1 - \eta$. Hence $\delta_{\mu_0}^*(D) \geq \delta_{\mu_0}^f(D)$. □

3. THE GENERALIZED WRONSKIAN AND THE LEMMA OF THE LOGARITHMIC DERIVATIVE

We first recall the following lemma of the logarithmic derivative.

Theorem 3.1 (See [5], Theorem 3.1). *Let ϕ be a non-zero meromorphic function on $B(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, $0 < R_0 \leq \infty$, and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, $0 < r_0 < R_0$, and take positive numbers p, p' such that $0 < p|\alpha| < p' < 1$. Then, for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$,*

$$\int_{S(r)} \left| z^\alpha (D^\alpha \phi / \phi)(z) \right|^p \sigma_m(z) \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_\phi(R, r_0) \right)^{p'},$$

where K is a constant not depending on each r and R , and $z^\alpha := z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_m^{\alpha_m}$ for $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$.

Let F be a meromorphic map of $B(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ ($0 < R_0 \leq \infty$) into $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}(\mathbb{C})$. Take a reduced representation $F = [F_1 : \dots : F_l]$. We shall say that F is *linearly non-degenerate* if $F(B(R_0)) \not\subset H$ for every hyperplane H in $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}(\mathbb{C})$, or equivalently, F_1, \dots, F_l are linearly independent on \mathbb{C}^m . Take an arbitrary set $\alpha^i = (\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{il})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, of non-negative integers. We define the generalized Wronskian of F by

$$W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F) = \det \left(D^{\alpha^1} F, \dots, D^{\alpha^l} F \right).$$

Proposition 3.2 (See [5], Proposition 4.5). *Let $F : B(R_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l-1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate meromorphic map. Then there exist $\alpha^j = (\alpha_{j1}, \dots, \alpha_{jl})$ with $\alpha_{ji} \geq 0$ being integers, $|\alpha^j| \leq l - 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$, and $|\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^l| \leq l(l - 1)/2$ such that the generalized Wronskian $W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F) \neq 0$.*

Take such $\alpha^j = (\alpha_{j1}, \dots, \alpha_{jl})$, $1 \leq j \leq l$, so that the generalized Wronskian $W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F) \neq 0$. Let L_1, \dots, L_l be linear forms of l variables and assume that they are linearly independent. Theorem 3.1 implies the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3 (See [5], Proposition 6.1). *In the above situation, set $l_0 = |\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^l|$ and take t, p' with $0 < tl_0 < p' < 1$. Then, for $0 < r_0 < R_0$ there exists a*

positive constant K such that for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$,

$$\int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{L_1(F) \dots L_l(F)} \right|^t \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^{p'}.$$

Proof. By the property of the Wronskian (see [5], Proposition 4.9),

$$W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F_1, \dots, F_l) = CW_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(L_1(F), \dots, L_l(F)),$$

where C is a constant depending only on L_1, \dots, L_l . Hence, we only need to estimate

$$I := \int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(L_1(F), \dots, L_l(F))}{L_1(F) \dots L_l(F)} \right|^t \sigma_m.$$

Let

$$\chi = \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(L_1(F), \dots, L_l(F))}{L_1(F) \dots L_l(F)}$$

and set $\varphi_j := L_j(F)/L_1(F), 1 \leq j \leq l$. Again, by the property of the Wronskian (see [5], Proposition 4.9), we can write χ as

$$\chi = \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_l)}{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_l} = \sum_{\sigma_{i_1 \dots i_l}} \text{sgn}(\sigma_{i_1 \dots i_l}) \frac{D^{\alpha^1} \varphi_{i_1}}{\varphi_{i_1}} \dots \frac{D^{\alpha^l} \varphi_{i_l}}{\varphi_{i_l}},$$

where $\sigma_{i_1 \dots i_l}$ is the permutation from $(1, 2, \dots, l)$ to (i_1, \dots, i_l) . Therefore, the above integrand can be estimated from above by a positive constant multiple of the sum of some functions of the type

$$\psi_{i_1 \dots i_l} := \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{D^{\alpha^1} \varphi_{i_1}}{\varphi_{i_1}} \dots \frac{D^{\alpha^l} \varphi_{i_l}}{\varphi_{i_l}} \right|^t.$$

Set $p_j = \frac{|\alpha^j|}{|\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^l|}$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$. By the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{S(r)} \psi_{i_1 \dots i_l} \sigma_m \leq \prod_{j=1}^l \left[\int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^j} \frac{D^{\alpha^j} \varphi_{i_j}}{\varphi_{i_j}} \right|^{t/p_j} \sigma_m \right]^{p_j}.$$

Since $(t/p_j)|\alpha^j| = (|\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_l|)t < p' < 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$, we can apply Theorem 3.1 to get

$$\int_{S(r)} \psi_{i_1 \dots i_l} \sigma_m \leq K \prod_{j=1}^l \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_{\varphi_{i_j}}(R, r_0) \right)^{p' p_j}.$$

On the other hand, by (2.5) in [5],

$$T_{\varphi_j}(r, r_0) \leq T_F(r, r_0) + K$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, l$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$I \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^{p'}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we prove the main theorem (Theorem 1.1). Before doing that, we first prove some preparation lemmas.

Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d , located in general position, and let $Q_j, 1 \leq j \leq q$, be homogeneous polynomials defining D_j . Let N be a large integer (to be determined later), and let V_N be the space of homogeneous polynomials of $n + 1$ variables of degree N . Pick n distinct polynomials $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$. Arrange the n -tuples $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ of non-negative integers by lexicographic order. Define, for the n -tuples $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ of non-negative integers with $\sigma(\mathbf{i}) := \sum_j i_j \leq N/d$, the spaces $W_{\mathbf{i}} := W_{N,\mathbf{i}}$ by

$$W_{N,\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \geq \mathbf{i}} \gamma_1^{e_1} \cdots \gamma_n^{e_n} V_{N-d\sigma(\mathbf{e})}.$$

Clearly, $W_{(0,\dots,0)} = V_N$ and $W_{\mathbf{i}} \supset W_{\mathbf{i}'}$ if $\mathbf{i}' \geq \mathbf{i}$, so that the $\{W_{\mathbf{i}}\}$ in fact define a filtration of V_N . We recall the following lemma due to [3].

Lemma 4.1 (See [3], Proposition 3.3). *For any non-negative integer N and any $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subset \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$, the dimension of the vector space $\frac{V_N}{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \cap V_N}$ is equal to the number of n -tuples $(\mathbf{i}) = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $i_1 + \dots + i_n \leq N$ and $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq d - 1$. In particular, for all $N \geq n(d - 1)$, we have*

$$\dim \frac{V_N}{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \cap V_N} = d^n.$$

Lemma 4.2 (See [10], Lemma 3.2). *There is an isomorphism*

$$\frac{W_{\mathbf{i}}}{W_{\mathbf{i}'}} \cong \frac{V_{N-d\sigma(\mathbf{i})}}{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \cap V_{N-d\sigma(\mathbf{i})}},$$

where $\mathbf{i}' > \mathbf{i}$ are consecutive n -tuples with $W_{\mathbf{i}'} \subset W_{\mathbf{i}}$.

Let $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}} = \dim(W_{\mathbf{i}}/W_{\mathbf{i}'})$, where $\mathbf{i}' > \mathbf{i}$ are consecutive n -tuples with $W_{\mathbf{i}'} \subset W_{\mathbf{i}}$. By Lemma 4.1, $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}} = d^n$ for every \mathbf{i} such that $N - d\sigma(\mathbf{i}) \geq n(d - 1)$. Moreover, Lemma 4.1 implies that $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}$ is independent of the choice of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$. Hence, $\sum_{\mathbf{i}} i_j \Delta_{\mathbf{i}}$ is independent of the choice of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ and j for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Set, for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta := \sum_{\mathbf{i}} i_j \Delta_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *With $N = 2d(n + 1)(nd + n)(2^n - 1)(I(\epsilon^{-1}) + 1) + nd$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{lN}{\Delta} \leq d(n + 1) + \epsilon/2$$

where $l = \binom{N + n}{n}$. Moreover, l satisfies the following estimate:

$$(4.3) \quad l \leq 2^{n^2+4n} e^n d^{2n} (nI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n,$$

where $I(x) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k > x\}$ for a positive real number x .

Proof. First notice that

$$(4.4) \quad l = \binom{N+n}{n} = \frac{(n+N)(n+N-1)\cdots(n+1)N!}{N!n!} \leq \frac{(N+n)^n}{n!}.$$

Now since N is divisible by d , it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \sum_{\sigma(\mathbf{i}) \leq N/d} i_j \Delta_{\mathbf{i}} \geq \sum_{\sigma(\mathbf{i}) \leq N/d-n} i_j \Delta_{\mathbf{i}} = d^n \sum_{\sigma(\mathbf{i}) \leq N/d-n} i_j \\ &= \frac{d^n}{n+1} \sum_{\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{i}}) = N/d-n} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} i_j \\ &= \frac{d^n}{n+1} \sum_{\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{i}}) = N/d-n} (N/d-n) \\ &= \frac{d^n}{n+1} \binom{N/d}{n} (N/d-n) \\ &= \frac{N(N-d)\cdots(N-dn)}{d(n+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{i}} = (i_1, \dots, i_{n+1})$ and, in the above, we used the fact that the number of non-negative integer m -tuples with sum $\leq T$ for a positive integer T is equal to the number of non-negative integer $(m+1)$ -tuples with sum exactly T , which is $\binom{T+m}{m}$.

For every integer $j \leq n$, $(N-dj) \geq (N-dn)$; so

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N-dj} \leq \left(\frac{1}{N-dn}\right)^n$$

and thus

$$\frac{lN}{\Delta} \leq d(n+1) \left(\frac{N+n}{N-nd}\right)^n.$$

Using

$$N = 2d(n+1)(nd+n)(2^n-1)(I(\epsilon^{-1})+1) + nd,$$

one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{N+n}{N-nd}\right)^n &= \left(1 + \frac{n+nd}{N-nd}\right)^n \\ &= 1 + \sum_{r=1}^n \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{nd+n}{N-nd}\right)^r \\ &\leq 1 + (2^n-1) \frac{nd+n}{N-nd} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2d(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{lN}{\Delta} \leq d(n+1) + \epsilon/2.$$

To estimate l , we use the following inequality:

$$\binom{x+y}{y} \leq \frac{(x+y)^{x+y}}{x^x y^y} = \left(1 + \frac{y}{x}\right)^x \left(1 + \frac{x}{y}\right)^y = \left(e\left(1 + \frac{x}{y}\right)\right)^y$$

for positive integers x, y . Hence, with $N = 2d(n+1)(nd+n)(2^n-1)(I(\epsilon^{-1})+1)+nd$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \binom{N+n}{n} \leq e^n \left(1 + \frac{N}{n}\right)^n \\ &\leq e^n (1 + 2d(n+1)(d+1)(2^n-1)(I(\epsilon^{-1})+1) + d)^n \\ &\leq 2^{n^2+4n} e^n d^{2n} (nI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now prove the main theorem. Since the universal covering of M is the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^m , by lifting f to the covering, we may assume that $M = B(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. So we let $f : B(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be an algebraically non-degenerate map. The proof of the main theorem breaks into the following two cases: the case

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{T_f(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} < \infty$$

and the case

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{T_f(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} = \infty.$$

We first deal with the case when

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{T_f(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} < \infty.$$

Let D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_1, \dots, d_q , located in general position. Let $Q_j, 1 \leq j \leq q$, be the homogeneous polynomials defining D_j . Replacing Q_j by Q_j^{d/d_j} if necessary, where d is the l.c.m. (the least common multiple) of the d_j 's, we can assume that Q_1, \dots, Q_q have the same degree d . For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let V_N be the space of homogeneous polynomials of $n+1$ variables of degree N and fix a (arbitrary) basis ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_l , where $l = \dim V_N$. Set $F = [\phi_1(f) : \dots : \phi_l(f)]$. Then $F : B(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is linearly non-degenerate. By Proposition 3.2, there exist $\alpha^j = (\alpha_{j1}, \dots, \alpha_{jl})$ with $\alpha_{ji} \geq 0$ being integers, $|\alpha^j| \leq l-1$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$, and $|\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^l| \leq l(l-1)/2$ such that the generalized Wronskian $W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F) \neq 0$.

Given $z \in B(1)$ there exists a numbering $\{i_1, \dots, i_q\}$ of the indices $1, \dots, q$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad |Q_{i_1} \circ f(z)| \leq \dots \leq |Q_{i_q} \circ f(z)|.$$

Since Q_1, \dots, Q_q are in general position, the Hilbert Nullstellensatz implies that for any integer $k, 0 \leq k \leq n$, there is an integer $m_k \geq d$ such that

$$x_k^{m_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{jk}(x_0, \dots, x_n) Q_{i_j}(x_0, \dots, x_n),$$

where $b_{jk}, 1 \leq j \leq n+1, 0 \leq k \leq n$, are homogeneous forms with coefficients in \mathbb{C} of degree $m_k - d$. So

$$|f_k(z)|^{m_k} \leq c_1 \|f(z)\|^{m_k-d} \max\{|Q_{i_1}(f)(z)|, \dots, |Q_{i_{n+1}}(f)(z)|\},$$

where c_1 is a positive constant depending only on the coefficients of b_{jk} , thus depending only on the coefficients of Q_j . Therefore,

$$(4.6) \quad \|f(z)\|^d \leq c_1 \max\{|Q_{i_1}(f)(z)|, \dots, |Q_{i_{n+1}}(f)(z)|\}.$$

By (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$(4.7) \quad \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{\|f(z)\|^d}{|Q_j(f)(z)|} \leq c_1^{q-n} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\|f(z)\|^d}{|Q_{i_k}(f)(z)|}.$$

Take $\gamma_1 = Q_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_n = Q_{i_n}$ and let $V_N = W_{\mathbf{0}} \supset \dots \supset W_{\mathbf{i}} \supset W_{\mathbf{i}'} \supset \dots$ be the filtration of V_N , associated to $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ as discussed earlier. We now choose a basis ψ_1, \dots, ψ_l for V_N in the following way: We start with the last non-zero $W_{\mathbf{i}}$ and pick a basis of it. Then, we continue inductively as follows: suppose $\mathbf{i}' > \mathbf{i}$ are consecutive n -tuples such that $d\sigma(\mathbf{i}), d\sigma(\mathbf{i}') \leq N$ and assume that we have chosen a basis of $W_{(\mathbf{i}')}$. It follows directly from the definition that we may pick representatives in $W_{\mathbf{i}}$ for the quotient space $W_{\mathbf{i}}/W_{(\mathbf{i}')}$, of the form $\gamma_1^{i_1} \dots \gamma_n^{i_n} \eta$, where $\eta \in V_{N-d\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$. We extend the previously constructed basis in $W_{\mathbf{i}'}$ by adding these representatives. In particular we have obtained a basis for $W_{\mathbf{i}}$ and our induction procedure may go on unless $W_{\mathbf{i}} = V_N$. Note that if we let ψ be an element of the basis constructed with respect to $W_{\mathbf{i}}/W_{(\mathbf{i}')}$, then we may write $\psi = \gamma_1^{i_1} \dots \gamma_n^{i_n} \eta$, where $\eta \in V_{N-d\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$. Thus we have a bound

$$(4.8) \quad |\psi(f)(z)| \leq c_2 |\gamma_1(f)(z)|^{i_1} \dots |\gamma_n(f)(z)|^{i_n} \|f(z)\|^{N-d\sigma(\mathbf{i})},$$

where c_2 is a positive constant which depends only on f and Q_1, \dots, Q_q . Observe that there are precisely $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}$ such functions ψ in our basis. Write ψ_1, \dots, ψ_l as linear forms L_1, \dots, L_l in ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_l so that $\psi_t(f) = L_t(F)$, where $F = [\phi_1(f) : \dots : \phi_l(f)]$. Then (4.8) implies that

$$\prod_{t=1}^l |L_t(F(z))| \leq K \left(\prod_{\mathbf{i}=(i_1, \dots, i_n)} |\gamma_1^{i_1}(f(z)) \dots \gamma_n^{i_n}(f(z))|^{\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}} \right) \|f(z)\|^{lN-d\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \sigma(\mathbf{i})\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}},$$

where, as we noted earlier, K is a constant depending only on f and D_1, \dots, D_q which may be different each time. So

$$\frac{\|f(z)\|^{d\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \sigma(\mathbf{i})\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}}}{\prod_{\mathbf{i}} |\gamma_1^{i_1\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}}(f(z))| \dots |\gamma_n^{i_n\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}}(f(z))|} \leq K \frac{\|f(z)\|^{lN}}{\prod_{t=1}^l |L_t(F(z))|};$$

thus, using (4.1),

$$\frac{\|f(z)\|^{dn\Delta}}{|\gamma_1^{\Delta}(f(z))| \dots |\gamma_n^{\Delta}(f(z))|} \leq K \frac{\|f(z)\|^{lN}}{\prod_{t=1}^l |L_t(F(z))|}.$$

With $\gamma_1 = Q_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_n = Q_{i_n}$, this gives

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\|f(z)\|^{dn\Delta}}{|Q_{i_1}^{\Delta}(f(z))| \dots |Q_{i_n}^{\Delta}(f(z))|} \leq K \frac{\|f(z)\|^{lN}}{\prod_{t=1}^l |L_t(F(z))|}.$$

On the other hand, from (4.7), we get

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{\|f(z)\|^{dq\Delta}}{|Q_1^{\Delta}(f(z))| \dots |Q_q^{\Delta}(f(z))|} \leq K \frac{\|f(z)\|^{dn\Delta}}{|Q_{i_k}^{\Delta}(f)(z)| \dots |Q_{i_n}^{\Delta}(f)(z)|}.$$

Combining (4.9) and (4.10), we derive that

$$\frac{\|f(z)\|^{dq\Delta}}{|Q_1^\Delta(f(z)) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f(z))|} \leq K \frac{\|f(z)\|^{lN}}{\prod_{t=1}^l |L_t(F(z))|}.$$

Hence,

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{\|f(z)\|^{dq\Delta-lN} |W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)(z)|}{|Q_1^\Delta(f(z)) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f(z))|} \leq K \frac{|W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)(z)|}{|L_1(F(z)) \cdots L_l(F(z))|}.$$

Note that although L_1, \dots, L_l depend on z , there are only finitely many such choices since there are only finitely many choices of $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subset \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$.

We continue with the proof of the main theorem by absurdity. We assume that

$$(4.12) \quad \rho\Omega_f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric } \omega$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{l-1}^f(D_j) > (n+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho l(l-1)}{d}.$$

Then, from the discussion earlier, there exist constants $\eta_j \geq 0$ and continuous plurisubharmonic functions $\tilde{u}_j (\neq -\infty)$ such that $e^{\tilde{u}_j} |\varphi_j| \leq \|f\|^{d\eta_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, q$, and

$$(4.14) \quad q - \sum_{j=1}^q \eta_j > n + 1 + \epsilon + \frac{\rho l(l-1)}{d},$$

where φ_j is a non-zero holomorphic function with $\nu_{\varphi_j}^0 = \min(\nu^f(D_j), l-1)$. Let $u_j = \tilde{u}_j + \log |\varphi_j|$. Then, $u_j (\neq -\infty), 1 \leq j \leq q$, are continuous plurisubharmonic functions,

$$(4.15) \quad e^{u_j} \leq \|f\|^{d\eta_j},$$

and $u_j - \log |\varphi_j|$ is plurisubharmonic, where φ_j is a non-zero holomorphic function with $\nu_{\varphi_j}^0 = \min(\nu^f(D_j), l-1)$. Let

$$(4.16) \quad v := \log \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right| + \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta u_j,$$

where Δ is the integer defined in (4.1). We now show that v is plurisubharmonic on $M = B(1)$. To do so, we need the following lemma.

Proposition 4.4. *In the above situation, set*

$$\psi = \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f)}.$$

Then

$$\nu_\psi^\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta \min\{\nu_{Q_j^\Delta(f)}^0, l-1\}$$

outside an analytic set of codimension at least two.

Proof. Let I_F be the indeterminacy set of F , and take $a \in B(1) \setminus I_F$. We first show the following claim: *If h is a holomorphic function around a , assume that $D^\alpha h \neq 0$ around a . Then $\nu_{D^\alpha h}^0(a) = \max\{0, \nu_h^0(a) - |\alpha|\}$.* To see this, take a system of holomorphic local coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m)$ in a neighborhood of a such that $z(a) = 0$ and h can be written as $h = z_1^{\nu_h^0(a)} \tilde{h}$, and \tilde{h} has no zero in a neighborhood of a . From this representation of h , we can easily conclude the claim.

Now for each $a \in B(1) \setminus I_F$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $Q_j(f)$ vanishes at a for $1 \leq j \leq q_1$ and that $Q_j(f)$ does not vanish at a for $j > q_1$. By the assumption that the Q_j 's are in general position, we know that $q_1 \leq n$.

For $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \subset \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$, consider the filtration $V_N = W_0 \supset \dots \supset W_i \supset W_{i'} \supset \dots$, associated to $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ as discussed earlier, and take a basis ψ_1, \dots, ψ_l of V_N according to this filtration. Then, there are linearly independent linear forms L_1, \dots, L_l such that $\psi_t(f) = L_t(F)$, $1 \leq t \leq l$. Denote by $W := W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)$, the generalized Wronskian of F . From the basic properties of the generalized Wronskian (see [5] Proposition 4.9),

$$W = W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F) = CW_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(L_1(F), \dots, L_l(F)) = CW_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(\psi_1(f), \dots, \psi_l(f)),$$

where C is some constant. Let ψ be an element of the basis $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_l\}$. As we discussed earlier, we may write $\psi = Q_1^{i_1} \dots Q_n^{i_n} \eta$ with $\eta \in V_{N-d\sigma(i)}$. Therefore

$$\psi(f) = (Q_1(f))^{i_1} \dots (Q_n(f))^{i_n} \eta(f),$$

and note that there are Δ_i such that ψ is our basis. Assume that $\nu_{Q_j(f)}^0(a) \geq l - 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq q_0$ and $\nu_{Q_j(f)}^0(a) < l - 1$ for $q_0 < j \leq q_1$. Since, from above, $W = C \det(D^{\alpha^i}(\psi_j(f)))_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$, by the claim (note that there are Δ_i such that ψ is our basis), and noticing that $|\alpha^j| \leq l - 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_W^0(a) &\geq \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q_0} i_j (\nu_{Q_j}^0(a) - (l - 1)) \right) \Delta_{\mathbf{i}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} i_j \Delta_{\mathbf{i}} \right) (\nu_{Q_j}^0(a) - (l - 1)) = \Delta \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} (\nu_{Q_j}^0(a) - (l - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \nu_{Q_j(f)}^0(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_{Q_j(f)}^0(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{q_0} \nu_{Q_j(f)}^0(a) + \sum_{j=q_0}^{q_1} \nu_{Q_j(f)}^0(a).$$

Hence, $\nu_\psi^\infty(a) \leq \sum_{j=0}^q \Delta \min\{\nu_{Q_j(f)}^0(a), l - 1\}$. □

From the above proposition, by the definition of v (see (4.16)), and using the fact that $u_j - \log |\varphi_j|$ is plurisubharmonic and $\nu_{\varphi_j}^0 = \min(\nu^f(D_j), l - 1)$, we see that v is plurisubharmonic on $M = B(1)$.

We now continue our proof. By the growth condition of f (see (4.12)), there exists a continuous plurisubharmonic function $w \neq -\infty$ on $B(1)$ such that

$$(4.17) \quad e^w dV \leq \|f\|^{2\rho} v_m.$$

Set

$$(4.18) \quad t = \frac{2\rho}{qd \Delta - lN - \Delta d(\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_q)}$$

and

$$\chi := z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f)}.$$

Define

$$u := w + tv.$$

Then u is plurisubharmonic and so subharmonic on the Kähler manifold M .

By the result of S.-T. Yau ([13]) and L. Karp ([8]), we have necessarily

$$\int_{B(1)} e^u dV = \infty,$$

because $B(1)$ has infinite volume with respect to the given complete Kähler metric (cf. [8], Theorem B). Now, from (4.15), (4.17) and (4.18),

$$\begin{aligned} e^u dV &= e^{w+tv} dV \leq e^{tv} \|f\|^{2\rho} v_m \\ &= |\chi|^t \left(\prod_{j=1}^q e^{t\Delta u_j} \right) \|f\|^{2\rho} v_m \leq |\chi|^t \left(\prod_{j=1}^q \|f\|^{t\Delta \eta_j} \right) \|f\|^{2\rho} v_m \\ &= |\chi|^t \|f\|^{2\rho + t\Delta \sum_{j=1}^q \eta_j} v_m = |\chi|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta - lN)} v_m. \end{aligned}$$

The contradiction will appear if we can show that

$$\int_{B(1)} e^u dV < \infty.$$

From Lemma 4.3, $\frac{lN}{\Delta} \leq d(n+1) + \epsilon$. Thus $qd - \frac{lN}{\Delta} \geq d(q - (n+1 + \epsilon))$. So, using (4.14),

$$dq\Delta - lN - \Delta \sum_{j=1}^q d\eta_j \geq d\Delta (q - (n+1 + \epsilon)) - \Delta \sum_{j=1}^q d\eta_j > \Delta \rho t(l-1).$$

This implies that $tl(l-1)/2 < 1$. Since $|\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^l| \leq l(l-1)/2$, we can choose p' such that $t(|\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^l|) \leq tl(l-1)/2 < p' < 1$. By the help of the identity (cf. [12], p.226)

$$v_m = (dd^c |z|^2)^m = 2m|z|^{2m-1} \sigma_m \wedge d|z|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(1)} e^u dV &\leq \int_{B(1)} |\chi|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta - lN)} v_m \\ &\leq 2m \int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \left(\int_{S(r)} |\chi|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta - lN)} \sigma_m \right) dr \\ (4.19) \quad &= 2m \int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \left(\int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \|f\|^{(dq\Delta - lN)} \sigma_m \right|^t \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4.11),

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{|W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)| \|f\|^{(dq\Delta - lN)}}{|Q_1^\Delta(f) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f)|} \leq K \sum_{L_1, \dots, L_l} \left(\frac{|W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)|}{|L_1(F) \cdots L_l(F)|} \right),$$

where the summation is taken for all the possible linear forms choices of the linear forms L_1, \dots, L_l . Note that the set of linear forms $\{L_1, \dots, L_l\}$ comes from the

filtration of V_N associated to the $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subset \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$. Hence the number of choices of the sets $\{L_1, \dots, L_l\}$ is the same as the number of the choices of the sets $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$, which is finite. Hence the summation in (4.20) is a finite sum whose number of terms depends only on f and Q_1, \dots, Q_q . By Proposition 3.3, for each L_1, \dots, L_l ,

$$(4.21) \quad \int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{L_1(F) \cdots L_l(F)} \right|^t \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^{p'}.$$

Combining (4.20) and (4.21) thus gives

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta - lN)} \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^{p'},$$

for $r_0 < r < R < 1$, where, as we noted, we use the letter K to denote a constant depending only on f and D_1, \dots, D_q even when it should be replaced by a new constant. According to Lemma 2.4 in [7], if we choose $R := r + (1-r)/eT_F(r, r_0)$, then

$$T_F(R, r_0) \leq 2T_F(r, r_0) \leq 2dT_f(r, r_0)$$

outside a set E with $\int_E 1/(1-r)dr < \infty$. If

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{T_f(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} < \infty,$$

then (4.22) becomes

$$(4.23) \quad \int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta - lN)} \sigma_m \leq \frac{K}{(1-r)^{p'}} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{p'}$$

for all $r \in [0, 1)$ outside a set E with $\int_E 1/(1-r)dr < \infty$. Varying a constant K slightly, we may assume that the above inequality holds for all $r \in [0, 1)$ because of Proposition 5.5 in [5]. Therefore, by (4.19) and (4.23), we have

$$\int_{B(1)} e^u dV \leq K \int_0^1 \frac{r^{2m-1}}{(1-r)^{p'}} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{p'} dr < \infty,$$

since $p' < 1$. This contradicts the result of S.-T. Yau ([13]) and L. Karp ([8]) mentioned earlier. This completes the proof for the first case.

We now deal with the case where

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{T_f(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} = \infty.$$

This case is similar to the standard Nevanlinna theory. We use the logarithmic derivative lemma and the previous discussions to prove the following refinement of the second main theorem (see [10]).

Theorem 4.5. *Let $f : B(R_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $0 < R_0 \leq \infty$, be a meromorphic map which is algebraically non-degenerate and D_1, \dots, D_q be hypersurfaces of degree $d_j, 1 \leq j \leq q$, in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ located in general position. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$(q - (n + 1 + \epsilon))T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} N_f^{[l-1]}(r, D_j) + S(r),$$

where $l \leq 2^{n^2+4n} e^n d^{2n} (nI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n$, $d = \text{l.c.m.}\{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$, and $S(r)$ is evaluated as follows:

(1) In the case $R_0 < \infty$,

$$S(r) \leq K \left(\log^+ \frac{1}{R_0 - r} + \log^+ T_f(r, r_0) \right)$$

for every $r \in [0, R_0)$ excluding a set E with $\int_E \frac{1}{R_0 - t} dt < \infty$.

(2) In the case $R_0 = \infty$,

$$S(r) \leq K(\log^+ T_f(r, r_0) + \log r)$$

for every $r \in [0, R_0)$ excluding a set E' with $\int_{E'} dt < \infty$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $d_1 = \dots = d_q = d$. Similar to the proof of (4.22), by using (4.20) and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$(4.24) \quad \int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \dots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta - lN)} \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^{p'}$$

for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$. Hence, by virtue of the concavity of the logarithm, the above inequality implies that

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & t \int_{S(r)} \log |z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l}| \sigma_m + t \int_{S(r)} \log \left| \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \dots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right| \sigma_m \\ & + t(dq\Delta - lN) \int_{S(r)} \log \|f\| \sigma_m \\ & \leq K \left(\log^+ \frac{R}{R-r} + \log^+ T_F(R, r_0) \right) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

for $r_0 < R < R_0$. But, by the Jensen formula (see [5], p.236),

$$\int_{S(r)} \log \left| \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \dots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right| \sigma_m = N_{\nu_{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}}^0(r_0, r) - \Delta \sum_{j=1}^q N_f(r, D_j) + O(1).$$

By Proposition 4.4, $\Delta \sum_{j=1}^q N_f(r, D_j) - N_{\nu_{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}}^0(r_0, r) \leq \Delta \sum_{j=1}^q N_f^{[l-1]}(r, D_j)$ and therefore (4.25) becomes

$$(dq\Delta - lN)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta N_f^{[l-1]}(r, D_j) + K \left(\log^+ \frac{R}{R-r} + \log^+ T_F(R, r_0) \right) + O(1).$$

By Lemma 4.3, with $N = 2d(n+1)(nd+n)(2^n-1)(I(\epsilon^{-1})+1) + nd$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\frac{lN}{\Delta} \leq d(n+1) + \epsilon,$$

and, moreover, l satisfies $l \leq 2^{n^2+4n} e^n d^{2n} (nI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (q - (n + 1 + \epsilon))T_f(r) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q d^{-1} N_f^{[l-1]}(r, D_j) + K \left(\log^+ \frac{R}{R-r} + \log^+ T_F(R, r_0) \right) \\
 (4.26) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q d^{-1} N_f^{[l-1]}(r, D_j) + K \left(\log^+ \frac{R}{R-r} + \log^+ T_f(R, r_0) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_f(r, r_0)$ is continuous, increasing and we may assume $T_f(r, r_0) \geq 1$, we can apply Lemma 2.4 in [7] to show that

$$T_f\left(r + \frac{R_0 - r}{eT_f(r, r_0)}, r_0\right) \leq 2T_f(r, r_0)$$

outside a set E of r such that $\int_E 1/(R_0 - r)dr < \infty$ in the case $R_0 < \infty$ and

$$T_f\left(r + \frac{1}{T_f(r, r_0)}, r_0\right) \leq 2T_f(r, r_0)$$

outside a set E' of r such that $\int_{E'} dr < \infty$ in the case $R_0 = \infty$. Substituting $R = r + \frac{R_0-r}{eT_f(r, r_0)}$ if $R_0 < \infty$ and $R = r + 1/T_f(r, r_0)$ if $R_0 = \infty$ in (4.26) proves the theorem. \square

Corollary 4.6. *In the same situation as in Theorem 4.5, if*

$$(i) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow R_0} \frac{T_f(r, r_0)}{\log(1/R_0 - r)} = \infty$$

or

$$(ii) \quad R_0 = \infty,$$

then

$$\sum_j \delta_{l-1}^f(D_j) \leq \sum_j \delta_{l-1}^{f,*}(D_j) \leq n + 1 + \epsilon,$$

where $\delta^{f,*}$ is the classical Nevanlinna's defect defined by

$$\delta_{l-1}^{f,*}(D_j) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow R_0} \left(1 - \frac{N_f^{[l-1]}(r, D_j)}{dT_f(r, r_0)} \right).$$

Corollary 4.6 gives the proof of the second case. The proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.1) is thus complete.

5. VALUE DISTRIBUTION OF THE GAUSS MAP OF A COMPLETE REGULAR SUBMANIFOLD OF \mathbb{C}^m

Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be a regular submanifold of \mathbb{C}^m ; namely, let M be a connected complex manifold and f be a holomorphic map of M into \mathbb{C}^m such that $\text{rank } d_p f = \dim M$ for every point $p \in M$.

To each point $p \in M$, we assign the tangent space $T_p(M)$ of M at p which may be regarded as an n -dimensional linear subspace of $T_{f(p)}\mathbb{C}^m$. On the other hand, each $T_p(\mathbb{C}^m)$ is identified with $T_0(\mathbb{C}^m) = \mathbb{C}^m$ by a parallel translation. Therefore, to each $T_p(M)$ corresponds a point $G(p)$ in the complex Grassmannian manifold $G(n, m)$ of all n -dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{C}^m , where $n = \dim M$.

Definition 5.1. We call the map $G : M \rightarrow G(n, m)$ the Gauss map of $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$.

The space $G(n, m)$ is canonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{P}(\wedge^n \mathbb{C}^m)$, where $N = \binom{m}{n} - 1$. The Gauss map G may be identified with a holomorphic map of M into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ given as follows:

Taking holomorphic local coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) defined on an open set U , we consider the map

$$\wedge := D_1 f \wedge \dots \wedge D_n f : U \rightarrow \wedge^n \mathbb{C}^m - \{0\},$$

where $D_i f = ((\partial/\partial z_i) f_1, \dots, (\partial/\partial z_i) f_{N+1})$. Then, locally we have

$$G = \pi \circ \wedge,$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is the canonical projection map.

A regular submanifold M of \mathbb{C}^m is considered a Kähler manifold with the metric ω induced from the standard flat metric on \mathbb{C}^m . By dV we denote the volume form on M . We can see that, for arbitrarily holomorphic coordinates z_1, \dots, z_n ,

$$dV = |\wedge|^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\right)^n dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n,$$

where

$$|\wedge|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_n})}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} \right|^2.$$

Therefore, for a regular submanifold $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, the Gauss map $G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies the following growth condition:

$$\Omega_G + dd^c \log h^2 = dd^c \log |\wedge|^2 = \text{Ric}(\omega),$$

where $h = 1$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be a complete regular submanifold such that the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to $B(R_0)$ ($0 < R_0 \leq +\infty$). If the Gauss map $G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraically non-degenerate, then for all hypersurfaces D_1, \dots, D_q of degree d_j , $j = 1, \dots, q$ in general position, by letting $d = \text{l.c.m.} \{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$ (the least common multiple of $\{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$), we have, for every $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{l-1}^G(D_j) \leq N + 1 + \epsilon + \frac{l(l-1)}{d},$$

where $l \leq 2^{N^2+4N} e^N d^{2N} (NI(\epsilon^{-1}))^N$, $n = \dim M$, and $N = \binom{m}{n} - 1$.

REFERENCES

[1] T. T. H. An and H. T. Phuong, An explicit estimate on multiplicity truncation in the second main theorem for holomorphic curves encountering hypersurfaces in general position in projective space, *Houston J. Math.*, **35** (2009), 775-786. MR2534280 (2010i:30048)
 [2] A. Biancofiore and W. Stoll, Another proof of the lemma of the logarithmic derivative in several complex variables, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, **100** (1981), 29-45. MR627748 (83i:32007)
 [3] G. Dethloff and T. V. Tan, A second main theorem for moving hypersurfaces targets, *Houston J. Math.*, **37** (2011), 79-111. MR2786547
 [4] H. Fujimoto, On the Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in R^m , *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **35** (1983), No.2, 279-288. MR692327 (84g:53015)

- [5] H. Fujimoto, Value distribution of the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in R^n , *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **35** (1983), 663-681. MR714468 (85c:53011)
- [6] H. Fujimoto, Nonintegrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into $P^{N_1}(C) \times \cdots \times P^{N_k}(C)$, *Japan. J. Math.*, **11** (1985), No 2, 233-264. MR884636 (88m:32049)
- [7] W.K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, Clarendon Press, 1964. MR0164038 (29:1337)
- [8] L. Karp, Subharmonic functions on real and complex manifolds, *Math. Z.*, **179** (1982), 535-554. MR652859 (84d:53042)
- [9] Y. Liu and M. Ru, A defect relation for meromorphic maps on parabolic manifolds intersecting hypersurfaces, *Illinois J. Math.*, **49** (2005), no. 1, 237-257. MR2157377 (2006c:32014)
- [10] M. Ru, A defect relation for holomorphic curves intersecting hypersurfaces, *American Journal of Mathematics* **126** (2004), 215-226. MR2033568 (2004k:32026)
- [11] M. Ru, Holomorphic curves into algebraic varieties, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **169** (2009), no.1, 255-267. MR2480605 (2010d:32009)
- [12] W. Stoll, Introduction to value distribution theory of meromorphic maps, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **950** (1982), 210-359. MR672787 (84a:32041)
- [13] S.-T. Yau, Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry, *Indiana U. Math. J.* **25** (1976), 659-670. MR0417452 (54:5502)
- [14] A. Vitter, The lemma of the logarithmic derivative in several variables, *Duke Math. J.*, **44**(1977), 89-104. MR0432924 (55:5903)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77204
E-mail address: minru@math.uh.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77204
E-mail address: ssogome@math.uh.edu