

L^p REGULARITY OF WEIGHTED BERGMAN PROJECTIONS

YUNUS E. ZEYTUNCU

ABSTRACT. We investigate L^p regularity of weighted Bergman projections on the unit disc and L^p regularity of ordinary Bergman projections in higher dimensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Setup and problems. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $\mu(z)$ be a non-negative measurable function on Ω . Let $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ denote the space of square-integrable functions on Ω with respect to the measure $\mu(z)dA(z)$ where $dA(z)$ is the ordinary Lebesgue measure. We call $\mu(z)$ a *weight* on Ω and $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ the *weighted L^2* space of Ω . $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\mu = \int_\Omega f(z)\overline{g(z)}\mu(z)dA(z),$$

and the norm:

$$\|f\|_{2,\mu}^2 = \int_\Omega |f(z)|^2 \mu(z)dA(z).$$

Let $L_a^2(\Omega, \mu)$ denote the subspace of holomorphic functions in $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$. This subspace may be trivial or finite dimensional depending on the weight μ . In such a case the main problem of this paper becomes trivial. It will be clear from the context that $L_a^2(\Omega, \mu)$ will be always infinite dimensional for all weights considered in this paper.

Definition 1.1. A weight μ is said to be an *admissible weight* on Ω if for any compact subset K of Ω , there exists $C_K > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in K} |f(z)| \leq C_K \|f\|_{2,\mu}$$

for all $f \in L_a^2(\Omega, \mu)$.

For instance if μ is continuous and never vanishes inside Ω (it can still vanish on the boundary), then it satisfies the inequality above and therefore it is admissible. It is easy to see that if μ is admissible, then $L_a^2(\Omega, \mu)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ and all point evaluation maps are continuous. See [PW90] for this definition and some sufficient conditions. In this note, all weights are admissible.

When $L_a^2(\Omega, \mu)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ there exists the orthogonal projection operator that we call *the weighted Bergman projection*:

$$\mathbf{B}_{\Omega,\mu} : L^2(\Omega, \mu) \rightarrow L_a^2(\Omega, \mu).$$

Received by the editors July 6, 2010 and, in revised form, June 8, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A25, 32A36; Secondary 32A30.

Key words and phrases. Bergman projection, irregularity, Forelli-Rudin formula.

©2012 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

This projection is an integral operator with the kernel, called *the weighted Bergman kernel*, denoted by $B_{\Omega,\mu}(z, w)$:

$$\mathbf{B}_{\Omega,\mu}f(z) = \int_{\Omega} B_{\Omega,\mu}(z, w)f(w)\mu(w)dA(w).$$

When $\mu(z) \equiv 1$, we call the weighted projection *the ordinary Bergman projection of Ω* . We denote the space of weighted p -integrable functions by $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and the weighted L^p norm by $\|\cdot\|_{p,\mu}$.

The Bergman projection $\mathbf{B}_{\Omega,\mu}$ is a canonical object on the weighted space (Ω, μ) and it is a fundamental question how perturbations of the domain Ω or the weight μ change the analytic properties of this canonical object. In this note, we are particularly interested in the following problem.

L^p Regularity Problem. For a given domain Ω and a weight μ on Ω , determine values of $p \in (1, \infty)$ such that the weighted Bergman projection $\mathbf{B}_{\Omega,\mu}$ is bounded from $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ to itself.

Note that, by duality and self-adjointness, if $\mathbf{B}_{\Omega,\mu}$ is bounded on $L^{p_0}(\Omega, \mu)$ for some $p_0 > 2$, then it is also bounded on $L^{q_0}(\Omega, \mu)$ where $\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{q_0} = 1$. Further, by interpolation, $\mathbf{B}_{\Omega,\mu}$ is also bounded on $L^s(\Omega, \mu)$ for any $q_0 \leq s \leq p_0$.

1.2. Background. This problem is investigated in various forms in the literature. We mention a few results that motivate our work in this note.

For $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$ the unit disc in \mathbb{C}^1 and radial weights $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^t$ for $t > -1$, the corresponding weighted Bergman projections are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, (1 - |z|^2)^t)$ for any $p \in (1, \infty)$. This can be proven either by Schur's lemma (see [FR75] or [Zhu07]) or by singular integral theory (see [McN94]). The same conclusion is also true for weights that are comparable to the weights above (see [Zey10b] and [Zey10a]). On the other hand, in [Dos04] there are examples of radial weights μ on \mathbb{D} such that the weighted projections are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ only if $p = 2$.

In higher dimensions, [PS77], [McN94], [MS94] and [CD06] contain some basic L^p regularity results in the unweighted case. In these articles, it is shown that if Ω is a strongly pseudoconvex domain or a smoothly bounded convex domain of finite type in \mathbb{C}^n or a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain of finite type in \mathbb{C}^2 or a decoupled domain in \mathbb{C}^n , then the ordinary Bergman projection \mathbf{B}_{Ω} is bounded from $L^p(\Omega)$ to $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $p \in (1, \infty)$. As for L^p irregularity results in higher dimensions, [Bar84] and [KP07] contain the main examples. In [Bar84], Barrett gives an example of a smoothly bounded non-pseudoconvex domain D in \mathbb{C}^2 such that the ordinary projection \mathbf{B}_D is not bounded on $L^p(D)$ for $p \geq 2 + \frac{1}{k}$ where k is a positive integer depending on the domain. In a recent series of papers, [KP07] and [KP08], Krantz and Peloso show that on the non-smooth worm domain $\mathcal{D}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, the ordinary projection $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\beta}}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{D}_{\beta})$ only if $p \in (\frac{2}{1+v_{\beta}}, \frac{2}{1-v_{\beta}})$ where v_{β} is determined by winding of the domain \mathcal{D}_{β} . Recently in [BS10], the authors obtained irregularity results for the Bergman projections of some higher dimensional versions of worm domains in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 3$.

1.3. Outline and results. This paper consists of two parts. In the first part (Sections 2 and 3), we focus on the case Ω is the unit disc \mathbb{D} in \mathbb{C}^1 and we vary the weight μ on \mathbb{D} . We investigate how L^p mapping properties of weighted Bergman projections change as weights change on \mathbb{D} . In the second part (Section 4), we focus on ordinary Bergman projections of higher dimensional domains, i.e., the

weight is fixed to Lebesgue measure and the domain is perturbed. In this part, we apply Forelli-Rudin’s inflation idea to weighted examples in the first part to construct domains in \mathbb{C}^2 whose ordinary Bergman projections exhibit irregularities in L^p scale. The following two theorems formulate weighted results.

Theorem 1.2. *If λ is a radial weight on \mathbb{D} which satisfies [†]*

- (1) $\lambda(r)$ is a smooth function on $[0, 1]$,
- (2) $\lambda^{(n)}(1) := \frac{d^n}{dr^n} \lambda(1) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (3) for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $a_n \in (0, 1)$ such that $(-1)^n \lambda^{(n)}(r)$ is non-negative on the interval $(a_n, 1)$.

Then the weighted Bergman projection \mathbf{B}_λ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \lambda)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \lambda)$ only for $p = 2$.

The conditions in Theorem 1.2 can be checked for particular weights and we do this in the corollary following the proof in the second section. In particular, we recover and extend the result in [Dos04]. The proof uses successive integration by parts to compute the asymptotics of the moment function of weight λ . The infinite order vanishing of λ is crucial to integrate by parts infinitely many times.

Theorem 1.3. *For any given $p_0 > 2$ there exists a weight μ_0 on \mathbb{D} such that the weighted projection \mathbf{B}_{μ_0} is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu_0)$ only if $p \in (q_0, p_0)$, where $\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{q_0} = 1$.*

This theorem is the first appearance of weights of this type. The proof is constructive and weights are explicitly written down. One key ingredient of the proof is the Bekollé-Bonami condition. Lanzani and Stein present a clear explanation of this condition in [LS04].

In Section 4, by using the weighted results on \mathbb{D} , we construct domains in \mathbb{C}^2 with irregular ordinary Bergman projections. The following theorems formulate these constructions.

Theorem 1.4. *There are bounded domains Ω in \mathbb{C}^2 such that the ordinary Bergman projections of these domains Ω are bounded on $L^p(\Omega)$ only for $p = 2$.*

The remarks at the end of Barrett’s paper [Bar84] contain an example of a similar domain that is smoothly bounded but not complete Hartogs. The domains we construct here are even Reinhardt but do not have smooth boundary.

Additionally, the domains in Theorem 1.4 are simply connected. This highlights one more difference between one complex variable and several complex variables. In [LS04] and [Hed02], it is shown that there exists a universal constant $r > 2$ such that the ordinary Bergman projection of any simply connected proper domain D in \mathbb{C}^1 is bounded from $L^p(D)$ to $L^p(D)$ at least for any $p \in (r', r)$, where $\frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$. We see that in \mathbb{C}^n for $n \geq 2$ there exists no such a universal constant.

Theorem 1.5. *For any given $p_0 > 2$, there is a bounded domain Ω_0 in \mathbb{C}^2 such that the ordinary Bergman projection \mathbf{B}_{Ω_0} is bounded on $L^p(\Omega_0)$ only if $p \in (q_0, p_0)$, where $\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{q_0} = 1$.*

[†]Here, we abuse the notation and consider λ as a function on $[0, 1]$ and by $\lambda(z)$ we mean $\lambda(|z|)$.

The main difference between this theorem and other L^p irregularity results in the literature is the regularity part of the statement. Namely, we not only prove unboundedness but also prove that Bergman projection is bounded for a certain range.

The content of this paper is a part of the author’s Ph.D. dissertation at The Ohio State University. The author thanks J.D. McNeal, his advisor, for introducing him to this field and helping him with various points. The author thanks K. Koenig for helpful suggestions during this project and the anonymous referee for helpful recommendations to improve the presentation of the paper.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The first examples of weights of kind in Theorem 1.2 appear in [Dos04]. Before the proof of Theorem 1.2, we present the following corollary to give explicit examples of weights satisfying the properties listed in the theorem.

Corollary 2.1. *Let*

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda(r) = (1 - r^2)^A \exp\left(\frac{-B}{(1 - r^2)^\alpha}\right)$$

for some $A \geq 0, B > 0, \alpha > 0$. Then λ satisfies the conditions listed in Theorem 1.2 and \mathbf{B}_λ is bounded from $L^p(\lambda)$ to $L^p(\lambda)$ only for $p = 2$ and unbounded for $p \in (1, 2)$.

The claim of the corollary was first proven in [Dos04] with the restriction $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Proof. We have to check the functions defined by (2.2) satisfy the properties in Theorem 1.2. The first two conditions follow immediately and the last one can be seen by a careful look at the successive derivatives. We do this here only for the special case $A = 0, B = 1, \alpha = 1$ and the general case follows similarly. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(r) &= \exp\left(\frac{-1}{1 - r^2}\right), \\ \lambda'(r) &= \left(\frac{-2r}{(1 - r^2)^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-1}{1 - r^2}\right), \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda^{(n)}(r) &= \left(\frac{(-2r)^n}{(1 - r^2)^{2n}} + \text{lower order terms}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-1}{1 - r^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As r gets closer to 1, the dominant term in the parentheses is $\frac{(-2r)^n}{(1 - r^2)^{2n}}$ and this term satisfies the third condition. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is clear that the weighted projection \mathbf{B}_λ is bounded for $p = 2$, so in order to prove the theorem, we have to show unboundedness for $1 < p < 2$.

Step 1. Analyze the moment function $\Phi(x) = \int_0^1 r^{2x+1} \lambda(r) dr$, for $x \geq 0$.

For any $n > 0$ we integrate by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x) &= \int_0^1 r^{2x+1} \lambda(r) dr = \frac{1}{2x+2} \int_0^1 r^{2x+2} (-1) \lambda^{(1)}(r) dr \\ &= \vdots \\ &= \frac{1}{2x+2} \cdots \frac{1}{2x+1+n} \int_0^1 r^{2x+1+n} (-1)^n \lambda^{(n)}(r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience we use notation $\psi_n(r) = (-1)^n \lambda^{(n)}(r)$ and

$$\Phi_n(x) = \int_0^1 r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr.$$

Therefore, for any $n > 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2x+2} \cdots \frac{1}{2x+1+n} \Phi_n(x).$$

At this stage we need the third condition of the theorem because we do not know if $\Phi_n(x)$ is log-convex. Since $\psi_n(r)$ is not necessarily non-negative on $(0, 1)$ we cannot use Hölder's inequality. Fortunately, we know that $\psi_n(r)$ is non-negative on $(a_n, 1)$ and for large values of x , two integrals $\int_0^1 r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr$ and $\int_{a_n}^1 r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr$ are almost the same.

To make this point rigorous, we define

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_n(x) = \int_{a_n}^1 r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Phi_n(x)}{\tilde{\Phi}_n(x)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\int_0^{a_n} r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr}{\int_{a_n}^1 r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\int_0^{a_n} r^{2x+1+n} |\psi_n(r)| dr}{\int_{a_n}^1 r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr} \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq s \leq a_n} |\psi_n(s)| \frac{\int_0^{a_n} r^{2x+1+n} dr}{a_n^{2x+1+n} \int_{a_n}^1 \psi_n(r) dr} \\ &= \frac{\max_{0 \leq s \leq a_n} |\psi_n(s)|}{\int_{a_n}^1 \psi_n(r) dr} \frac{a_n^{\frac{2x+2+n}{2}}}{a_n^{\frac{2x+1+n}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{\max_{0 \leq s \leq a_n} |\psi_n(s)|}{\int_{a_n}^1 \psi_n(r) dr} \frac{a_n}{2x+2+n} \\ &= C(n) \frac{1}{2x+2+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $n > 0$,

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi_n(x)}{\tilde{\Phi}_n(x)} = 1.$$

If we combine (2.3) and (2.5), we get for any $n > 0$, there exists $X(n)$ such that for any $x > X(n)$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2x+2} \cdots \frac{1}{2x+1+n} \tilde{\Phi}_n(x) \right) \leq \Phi(x) \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{2x+2} \cdots \frac{1}{2x+1+n} \tilde{\Phi}_n(x) \right).$$

Again, for convenience label $\Theta_n(x) = \frac{1}{2x+2} \cdots \frac{1}{2x+1+n} \tilde{\Phi}_n(x)$ and write $\Theta_n(x) = e^{-\theta_n(x)}$.

We also note that by Hölder’s inequality, for any $0 < t < 1$ and $x, y > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_n(tx + (1-t)y) &= \int_{a_n}^1 r^{2tx+2(1-t)y+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr \\ &= \int_{a_n}^1 (r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r))^t (r^{2y+1+n} \psi_n(r))^{1-t} dr \\ &\leq \left(\int_{a_n}^1 r^{2x+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr \right)^t \left(\int_{a_n}^1 r^{2y+1+n} \psi_n(r) dr \right)^{1-t} \\ &= \left(\tilde{\Phi}_n(x) \right)^t \left(\tilde{\Phi}_n(y) \right)^{1-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\log \tilde{\Phi}_n(x)$ is convex.

Step 2. A specific sequence of functions.

We take $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the action of \mathbf{B}_λ on functions $z^{km} \bar{z}^m$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\mathbf{B}_\lambda(z^{km} \bar{z}^m) = \frac{\Phi(km)}{\Phi((k-1)m)} z^{(k-1)m}.$$

Now we focus on the following ratio

$$\begin{aligned} R_k(m) &= \frac{\|\mathbf{B}_\lambda(z^{km} \bar{z}^m)\|_{p,\lambda}^p}{\|z^{km} \bar{z}^m\|_{p,\lambda}^p} \\ &= \left(\frac{\Phi(km)}{\Phi((k-1)m)} \right)^p \frac{\|z^{(k-1)m}\|_{p,\lambda}^p}{\|z^{km} \bar{z}^m\|_{p,\lambda}^p} \\ &= \left(\frac{\Phi(km)}{\Phi((k-1)m)} \right)^p \frac{\Phi(\frac{p}{2}(k-1)m)}{\Phi(\frac{p}{2}(k+1)m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Given $1 < p < 2$, fix $k > \frac{2+p}{2-p}$ independent of m . This choice of k gives us the following inequalities

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{p}{2}(k-1)m < \frac{p}{2}(k+1)m < (k-1)m < km.$$

By (2.6) we know that for any $n > 0$ there exists $M(n)$ such that for all $m > M(n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_k(m) &\geq C(p) \left(\frac{\Theta_n(km)}{\Theta_n((k-1)m)} \right)^p \frac{\Theta_n(\frac{p}{2}(k-1)m)}{\Theta_n(\frac{p}{2}(k+1)m)} \\ &= C(p) \exp \left[p\theta_n((k-1)m) - p\theta_n(km) + \theta_n\left(\frac{p}{2}(k+1)m\right) - \theta_n\left(\frac{p}{2}(k-1)m\right) \right] \\ &= C(p) \exp \left[-pm\theta'_n(v_m) + pm\theta'_n(w_m) \right] \\ &= C(p) \exp \left[-pm(v_m - w_m)\theta''_n(u_m) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where we used the mean value theorem twice and $w_m \in (\frac{p}{2}(k-1)m, \frac{p}{2}(k+1)m)$, $v_m \in ((k-1)m, km)$ and $u_m \in (w_m, v_m)$. Further note that w_m, v_m, u_m and $v_m - w_m$ are comparable to m , where the comparison constants only depend on p (they also depend on k but recall k is fixed and it depends on p). Therefore, for all $m > M(n)$,

$$(2.8) \quad R_k(m) \geq C(p) \exp[-D(p)u_m^2 \theta_n''(u_m)]$$

where $C(p)$ and $D(p)$ are strictly positive constants that depend only on p .

Step 3. Second derivative of $\theta_n(x)$.

Now we consider $\theta_n''(x)$, for any $n > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_n(x) &= -\log \Theta_n(x) \\ &= \log(2x+2) + \dots + \log(2x+1+n) - \log \tilde{\Phi}_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$-x^2 \theta_n''(x) = 4 \left(\frac{x^2}{(2x+2)^2} + \dots + \frac{x^2}{(2x+1+n)^2} \right) + x^2 [\log \tilde{\Phi}_n(x)]''.$$

Note that $\frac{x^2}{(2x+1+n)^2} \geq \frac{1}{8}$ for sufficiently large x (for fixed n), and if we use the fact that $\log \tilde{\Phi}_n(x)$ is convex, then for any $n > 0$ there exists $X(n) > 0$ such that for all $x > X(n)$ we have

$$(2.9) \quad -x^2 \theta_n''(x) \geq 4 \left(\frac{1}{8} + \dots + \frac{1}{8} \right) = \frac{n}{2}.$$

If we combine this estimate with the inequality (2.8) we obtain that for any $n > 0$ there exists $M(n, p)$ such that for all $m > M(n, p)$ we have

$$R_k(m) \geq C(p) \exp[D(p)\frac{n}{2}].$$

This certainly implies that for any $1 < p < 2$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_k(m) = \infty$$

and this concludes the proof. □

Note that if $p = 2$, then we cannot have (2.7) and further one can easily see that $R(k, m) \leq 1$ for any $k, m > 0$ by Hölder's inequality. This complies with the fact that \mathbf{B}_λ is bounded if $p = 2$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. We use a theorem of Bekollé-Bonami which was announced in [BB78] and explained well in [LS04] and [Bor04]. This theorem is similar to Muckenhoupt's A_p condition for the Hilbert transform. For A_p weights, see [Muc72] and [CF74].

One point to distinguish between the discussion here and the classification result of Bekollé-Bonami is that in our work the projection operator changes as the weight changes. However, in [BB78] or [LS04], the (ordinary) projection operator is fixed and only the function spaces change as the weight changes.

We start with copying the following definition and the theorem from [LS04]. We use \mathbb{R}_+^2 to denote the upper half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^1 : \Im(z) > 0\}$.

Definition 3.1. A weight μ on \mathbb{R}_+^2 is said to be in class $A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any disc $D = D(x_0, R)$, where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R > 0$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{|D \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2|^p} \int_{D \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} \mu(z) dA(z) \left(\int_{D \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} \mu(z)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dA(z) \right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

Here $|\cdot|$ denotes standard Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 3.3. (Bekollé and Bonami - Lanzani and Stein) *Let μ be a weight on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , then \mathbf{P}_1^\dagger is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mu)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mu)$ if and only if $\mu \in A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$.*

Proof. See [LS04, Proposition 4.5]. □

The goal in the present section is to relate this result to weighted Bergman projections. Unfortunately, it is not simple to do this for an arbitrary weight μ since it is not simple to relate the weighted Bergman projection \mathbf{B}_μ and the ordinary Bergman projection \mathbf{B}_1 in general. But the good news is that this relation is possible if we focus on weights μ of the form $\mu = |g|^2$ for a non-vanishing holomorphic function g on \mathbb{D} . The following theorem expresses this idea.

For the rest of this section, let $\phi(\zeta) = \frac{i-\zeta}{i+\zeta}$ be the biholomorphism from \mathbb{R}_+^2 to \mathbb{D} , and ψ be the inverse of ϕ .

Theorem 3.4. *Let g be a holomorphic function on \mathbb{D} which does not vanish inside \mathbb{D} . Let $\omega = |g|^2$ be the weight and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathbf{B}_{|g|^2}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{D}, |g|^2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{D}, |g|^2)$,
- (2) \mathbf{B}_1 is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{D}, |g|^{2-p})$ to $L^p(\mathbb{D}, |g|^{2-p})$,
- (3) \mathbf{P}_1 is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2, |(g \circ \phi)\phi'|^{2-p})$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2, |(g \circ \phi)\phi'|^{2-p})$,
- (4) $|(g \circ \phi)\phi'|^{2-p} \in A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$.

Proof. The equivalence of (3) and (4) is nothing but Theorem 3.3.

Let's look at the equivalence of (2) and (3). A more general form of this equivalence can be proved. Namely, if μ is a weight on \mathbb{D} , then the following two are equivalent:

- \mathbf{B}_1 is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$,
- \mathbf{P}_1 is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2, (\mu \circ \phi)|\phi'|^{2-p})$.

Put $\Lambda(\nu) = (\mu \circ \phi(\nu))|\phi'(\nu)|^{2-p}$, and let $B_1(z, w)$ and $P_1(\zeta, \nu)$ be the ordinary Bergman kernels on \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{R}_+^2 , respectively. The following transformation formulas are well known:

$$P_1(\zeta, \nu) = \phi'(\zeta) B_1(\phi(\zeta), \phi(\nu)) \overline{\phi'(\nu)},$$

$$B_1(z, w) = \psi'(z) P_1(\psi(z), \psi(w)) \overline{\psi'(w)}.$$

Take $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \Lambda)$ and change variables to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |f(\nu)|^p \Lambda(\nu) dA(\nu) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |f(\nu)|^p (\mu \circ \phi(\nu)) |\phi'(\nu)|^{2-p} dA(\nu) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\psi(w))|^p |\psi'(w)|^p \mu(w) dA(w). \end{aligned}$$

[†]In this section, \mathbf{P}_1 denotes the ordinary Bergman projection on \mathbb{R}_+^2 .

Thus, $(f \circ \psi)\psi' \in L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$. Now we consider the action of projection operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_1 [f(\psi(w))\psi'(w)](z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_1(z, w) f(\psi(w))\psi'(w) dA(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} B_1(z, \phi(\nu)) f(\nu) \overline{\phi'(\nu)} dA(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_1 [f(\psi(w))\psi'(w)](\phi(\zeta)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} B_1(\phi(\zeta), \phi(\nu)) f(\nu) \overline{\phi'(\nu)} dA(\nu) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi'(\zeta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} P_1(\zeta, \nu) f(\nu) dA(\nu) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi'(\zeta)} \mathbf{P}_1 f(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that \mathbf{B}_1 is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ and prove that \mathbf{P}_1 is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \Lambda)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{P}_1 f\|_{p, \Lambda}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\mathbf{P}_1 f(\zeta)|^p \Lambda(\zeta) dA(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\mathbf{P}_1 f(\zeta)|^p (\mu \circ \phi(\zeta)) |\phi'(\zeta)|^{2-p} dA(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\phi'(\zeta)|^p |\mathbf{B}_1 [f(\psi)\psi'](\phi(\zeta))|^p (\mu \circ \phi(\zeta)) |\phi'(\zeta)|^{2-p} dA(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\mathbf{B}_1 [f(\psi)\psi'](z)|^p \mu(z) dA(z) \quad (\text{use boundedness}) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\psi)\psi'|^p \mu(z) dA(z) \\ &= C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |f(\zeta)|^p (\mu \circ \phi(\zeta)) |\phi'(\zeta)|^{2-p} dA(\zeta) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{p, \Lambda}^p. \end{aligned}$$

The same arguments above similarly prove that boundedness of \mathbf{P}_1 implies boundedness of \mathbf{B}_1 . Therefore, we finish the proof of the equivalence of (2) and (3).

Next, we prove the equivalence of (1) and (2). We start with an identity between the kernels. Let $B_\omega(z, w)$ be the weighted Bergman kernel ($\omega = |g|^2$). By using the orthonormal representation for the kernel we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad g(z)B_\omega(z, w)\overline{g(w)} = B_1(z, w).$$

Indeed, if $\{e_n(z)\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L_a^2(1)$, then $\{\frac{e_n(z)}{g(z)}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L_a^2(\omega)$.

By using this relation between the kernels we obtain the following relation between the operators

$$(3.6) \quad g(z)(\mathbf{B}_\omega f)(z) = (\mathbf{B}_1(f.g))(z) \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(\omega).$$

Suppose (2) is true. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{B}_\omega f\|_{p,\omega}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\mathbf{B}_\omega f)(z)|^p |g(z)|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\mathbf{B}_1(f.g))(z)|^p |g(z)|^{2-p} = \|\mathbf{B}_1(f.g)\|_{p,|g|^{2-p}}^p \\ &\lesssim \|f.g\|_{p,|g|^{2-p}}^p = \|f\|_{p,\omega}^p \end{aligned}$$

and (1) follows. Now suppose (1) is true. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{B}_1 f\|_{p,|g|^{2-p}}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\mathbf{B}_1 f)(z)|^p |g(z)|^{2-p} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\mathbf{B}_\omega(f/g))(z)|^p |g(z)|^2 = \|\mathbf{B}_\omega(\frac{f}{g})\|_{p,\omega}^p \\ &\lesssim \|\frac{f}{g}\|_{p,\omega}^p = \|f\|_{p,|g|^{2-p}}^p \end{aligned}$$

and (2) follows. This finishes the proof of the equivalence of (1) and (2). □

Remark 1. Absence of a relation of the form (3.6) for an arbitrary weight μ is the main difficulty to generalize Theorem 3.4 to larger classes of weights.

Just for clarity, we rewrite the first and the last condition in Theorem 3.4 as follows.

Corollary 3.7. *Let F be a non-vanishing holomorphic function on \mathbb{R}_+^2 and let $\omega(z) = |(F \circ \psi(z))\psi'(z)|^2$, then \mathbf{B}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \omega)$ if and only if $|F|^{2-p} \in A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$.*

The next corollary gives explicit examples of weights in Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $F(\zeta) = \zeta^{2/3}$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $\omega = |(F \circ \psi(z))\psi'(z)|^2$. The weighted projection \mathbf{B}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\omega)$ for $p \in (\frac{5}{4}, 5)$ and unbounded for any other values of p .*

It is easy to see that the exponent $\frac{2}{3}$ is not special. We can generalize the corollary so that for any given $p_0 > 2$ we can find a weight function ω_0 (take $F(\zeta) = \zeta^{\frac{2}{p_0-2}}$) for which the boundedness range is exactly (q_0, p_0) . This proves Theorem 1.3 stated in the Introduction.

Proof. By Corollary 3.7, we need to check for which values of p ,

$$|\zeta|^{\frac{2}{3}(2-p)} \in A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

We start with $p \geq 5$. In this case, $\frac{2}{3}(2-p) = -2 - 2\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \geq 0$. Also we take $\mathbf{D}_1 = D(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2$ then

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}_1} |\zeta|^{\frac{2}{3}(2-p)} dA(\zeta) = c \int_0^1 r^{-2-2\epsilon} r dr = \infty.$$

This shows that the $A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ inequality fails and $|\zeta|^{\frac{2}{3}(2-p)} \notin A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for $p \geq 5$. Consequently, \mathbf{B}_ω is unbounded on $L^p(\omega)$ for $p \geq 5$. The next step is $2 \leq p < 5$. In this case, $\frac{2}{3}(2-p) = -2 + 2\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Given any $\mathbf{D}_2 = D(x_0, R)$, for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R > 0$. There are two possibilities: either $\mathbf{D}_2 \cap D(0, 2R)$ is empty or not.

Suppose $\mathbf{D}_2 \cap D(0, 2R)$ is not empty; then clearly $\mathbf{D}_2 \subset D(0, 4R)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|\mathbf{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2|^p} \int_{\mathbf{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |F(z)|^{2-p} dA(z) \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |F(z)|^{\frac{2-p}{1-p}} dA(z) \right)^{p-1} \\ & \leq \frac{c}{R^{2p}} \int_{D(0,4R) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |F(z)|^{2-p} dA(z) \left(\int_{D(0,4R) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |F(z)|^{\frac{2-p}{1-p}} dA(z) \right)^{p-1} \\ & = \frac{c}{R^{2p}} \int_0^{4R} r^{-2+2\epsilon} r dr \left(\int_0^{4R} r^{\frac{2-2\epsilon}{4-3\epsilon}} r dr \right)^{4-3\epsilon} \\ & = \frac{c}{R^{2p}} R^{2\epsilon} R^{10-8\epsilon} \\ & = c. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the supremum over discs of this type is finite.

Suppose $\mathbf{D}_2 \cap D(0, 2R)$ is empty; then clearly $|z| \sim |x_0|$ for any $z \in \mathbf{D}_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|\mathbf{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2|^p} \int_{\mathbf{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |F(z)|^{2-p} dA(z) \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |F(z)|^{\frac{2-p}{1-p}} dA(z) \right)^{p-1} \\ & \leq \frac{c}{R^{2p}} \int_{\mathbf{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |x_0|^{\frac{2}{3}(2-p)} dA(z) \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |x_0|^{\frac{2(2-p)}{3(1-p)}} dA(z) \right)^{p-1} \\ & = \frac{c}{R^{2p}} |\mathbf{D}_2| |x_0|^{-2+2\epsilon} |\mathbf{D}_2|^{4-3\epsilon} |x_0|^{2-2\epsilon} \\ & = c. \end{aligned}$$

This again implies that the supremum over discs of this type is finite. These two cases show that for $2 \leq p < 5$, $|\zeta|^{2-p} \in A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Consequently, \mathbf{B}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\omega)$ for $2 \leq p < 5$.

This with the duality and the self-adjointness of \mathbf{B}_ω finish the proof of Corollary 3.8. □

Remark 2. The weight ω in Corollary 3.8 is unbounded on \mathbb{D} . But if we take $F(\zeta) = \frac{-2i}{(i+\zeta)^2} \left(\frac{-2\zeta}{i+\zeta} \right)^{2/3}$, then $\omega(z) = |z - 1|^{4/3}$ is a bounded function on \mathbb{D} and the conclusion of Corollary 3.8 holds for this choice, too. The proof works the same way. See Appendix A for details.

4. DOMAINS WITH IRREGULAR BERGMAN PROJECTIONS

In this section, we lift up the results of the previous chapters to \mathbb{C}^2 . For a given weight μ on \mathbb{D} we define the following domain in \mathbb{C}^2 ,

$$(4.1) \quad \Omega = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z \in \mathbb{D}, |w|^2 < \mu(z)\}.$$

Let \mathbf{B}_Ω be the ordinary Bergman projection of Ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_\Omega : L^2(\Omega) & \rightarrow L_a^2(\Omega), \\ (\mathbf{B}_\Omega F)(z, w) & = \int_\Omega B_\Omega [(z, w), (t, s)] F(t, s) dV(t, s). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *We have the following relation between the kernels*

$$(4.3) \quad B_{\Omega} [(z, w), (t, s)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (2m + 2)w^m K_m(z, t)\bar{s}^m$$

where $K_m(z, t)$ is the weighted Bergman kernel for the weight μ^{m+1} on \mathbb{D} .

Proof. See [FR75], [Lig89] or [BFS99]. This relation is sometimes called the Forelli-Rudin formula or inflation principle. □

In particular, $\pi B_{\Omega} [(z, 0), (t, 0)] = K_0(z, t) = B_{\mu}(z, t)$ in our earlier notation.

This relation between the kernels can be used to relate the L^p mapping properties of the projections.

Proposition 4.4. *For a given $p \in (1, \infty)$, suppose that \mathbf{B}_{μ} is unbounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$, then \mathbf{B}_{Ω} is also unbounded on $L^p(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Unboundedness of \mathbf{B}_{μ} on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ implies that there exists a sequence of functions $\{f_n(z)\}$ in $L^p(\mu)$ such that the ratio

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{B}_{\mu}f_n\|_{p,\mu}^p}{\|f_n\|_{p,\mu}^p}$$

is unbounded. Define $F_n(z, w) = f_n(z)$. Clearly

$$f_n \in L^p(\mu) \implies F_n \in L^p(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_n\|_{p,\mu}^p = \pi \|F_n\|_{p,\Omega}^p.$$

The projections of F_n and f_n are related as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\Omega}F_n(z, 0) &= \int_{\Omega} B_{\Omega} [(z, 0), (t, s)] F_n(t, s) dV(t, s) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} B_{\Omega} [(z, 0), (t, s)] f_n(t) dV(t, s) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f_n(t) \int_{|s|^2 < \mu(t)} B_{\Omega} [(z, 0), (t, s)] dA(s) dA(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f_n(t) cB_{\Omega} [(z, 0), (t, 0)] dA(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f_n(t) cB_{\mu}(z, t) dA(t) \\ &= c\mathbf{B}_{\mu}f_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $B_{\Omega} [(z, 0), (t, s)]$ is anti-holomorphic in s , therefore the mean value property holds in s . In order to compare the L^p norms of the projections we argue as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{B}_{\Omega}F_n\|_{p,\Omega}^p &= \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{B}_{\Omega}F_n(z, w)|^p dV(z, w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{|w|^2 < \mu(z)} |\mathbf{B}_{\Omega}F_n(z, w)|^p dA(w) dA(z) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\mathbf{B}_{\Omega}F_n(z, 0)|^p \mu(z) dA(z) \quad \text{by the sub-mean value property} \\ &= c \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\mathbf{B}_{\mu}f_n(z)|^p \mu(z) dA(z) \quad \text{by the identity above} \\ &= c \|\mathbf{B}_{\mu}f_n\|_{p,\mu}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the ratio

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{B}_\Omega F_n\|_{p,\Omega}^p}{\|F_n\|_{p,\Omega}^p}$$

is unbounded, too. This finishes the proof. □

We do not know if the converse of this theorem is true in general. Although constructing a *bad sequence* of functions on Ω from the one on (\mathbb{D}, μ) works fine, we do not know how to control all the projections on Ω by just the projections on (\mathbb{D}, μ) . Nevertheless, again there is a certain class of μ for which we can prove the converse.

Proposition 4.5. *Let g be a holomorphic function on \mathbb{D} which does not vanish inside \mathbb{D} and let $\omega = |g|^2$ be the weight. Suppose \mathbf{B}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\omega)$ for some $p \in (1, \infty)$, then \mathbf{B}_Ω is also bounded on $L^p(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z \in \mathbb{D}, |w|^2 < \omega(z)\}$.*

Proof. Recall that $K_m(z, t)$ is the weighted Bergman kernel for the weight $|g(z)|^{2(m+1)}$ so we can apply observation (3.5) to the kernels $K_m(z, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_m(z, t) &= \frac{1}{g(z)^{m+1}} B_1(z, t) \frac{1}{g(t)^{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{g(z)^m} B_\omega(z, t) \frac{1}{g(t)^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_\Omega [(z, w), (t, s)] &= B_\omega(z, t) \sum_{m=0}^\infty (2m + 2) \left(\frac{w\bar{s}}{g(z)\overline{g(t)}} \right)^m \\ &= B_\omega(z, t) B_1 \left(\frac{w}{g(z)}, \frac{s}{g(t)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We recognize the sum as the representation of the ordinary Bergman kernel on \mathbb{D} (up to a constant). Therefore, we can express \mathbf{B}_Ω as a combination of operators involving \mathbf{B}_ω and \mathbf{B}_1 .

Indeed, by the integral representation of \mathbf{B}_Ω and the identity for B_Ω above,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_\Omega F(z, w) &= \int_\Omega B_\Omega [(z, w), (t, s)] F(t, s) dV(t, s) \\ &= \int_\Omega B_\omega(z, t) B_1 \left(\frac{w}{g(z)}, \frac{s}{g(t)} \right) F(t, s) dV(t, s) \\ &= \int_\mathbb{D} B_\omega(z, t) \int_{|s|^2 < |g(t)|^2} B_1 \left(\frac{w}{g(z)}, \frac{s}{g(t)} \right) F(t, s) dA(s) dA(t) \\ &= \int_\mathbb{D} B_\omega(z, t) \int_\mathbb{D} B_1 \left(\frac{w}{g(z)}, \sigma \right) F(t, g(t)\sigma) |g(t)|^2 dA(\sigma) dA(t) \end{aligned}$$

where we make the change of variable $\sigma = \frac{s}{g(t)}$. Next, we compute $\|\mathbf{B}_\Omega F\|_{p,\Omega}^p$ by using this identity and writing the integral on Ω as an iterated integral and making

the change of variable $u = \frac{w}{g(z)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{B}_\Omega F\|_{p,\Omega}^p &= \int_\Omega |\mathbf{B}_\Omega F(z, w)|^p dV(z, w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{|w|^2 < |g(z)|^2} |\mathbf{B}_\Omega F(z, w)|^p dA(w) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{|w|^2 < |g(z)|^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_\omega(z, t) \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_1\left(\frac{w}{g(z)}, \sigma\right) F(t, g(t)\sigma) |g(t)|^2 dA(\sigma) dA(t) \right|^p dA(w) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_\omega(z, t) \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_1(u, \sigma) F(t, g(t)\sigma) |g(t)|^2 dA(\sigma) dA(t) \right|^p dA(u) |g(z)|^2 dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

We change the order of integration and integrate with respect to z first. Also, we notice that the expression in braces below is the weighted p -norm of a projected function. Furthermore, \mathbf{B}_ω is L^p -bounded (by the hypothesis), so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{B}_\Omega F\|_{p,\Omega}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \cdot \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_\omega(z, t) \left[\int_{\mathbb{D}} B_1(u, \sigma) F(t, g(t)\sigma) dA(\sigma) \right] |g(t)|^2 dA(t) \right|^p |g(z)|^2 dA(z) \right\} dA(u) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_1(u, \sigma) F(t, g(t)\sigma) dA(\sigma) \right|^p |g(t)|^2 dA(t) dA(u). \end{aligned}$$

Once again, we change the order of integration and integrate with respect to u first. We notice the same thing above for \mathbf{B}_1 now, i.e., the expression in braces is the weighted p -norm of a projected function and \mathbf{B}_1 is L^p -bounded, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{B}_\Omega F\|_{p,\Omega}^p &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} B_1(u, \sigma) F(t, g(t)\sigma) dA(\sigma) \right|^p |g(t)|^2 dA(t) dA(u) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F(t, g(t)\sigma)|^p dA(\sigma) |g(t)|^2 dA(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{|s|^2 < |g(t)|^2} |F(t, s)|^p dA(s) dA(t) \\ &= \int_\Omega |F(t, s)|^p dV(t, s) \\ &= \|F\|_{p,\Omega}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we finally get

$$\|\mathbf{B}_\Omega F\|_{p,\Omega}^p \lesssim \|F\|_{p,\Omega}^p.$$

Note that to justify the changes of order of integrations, we can start with a polynomial F and use the fact that polynomials in (z, \bar{z}, w, \bar{w}) are dense in $L^p(\Omega)$. □

When we combine the last two theorems we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Let g be a holomorphic function on \mathbb{D} which does not vanish inside \mathbb{D} and let $\omega = |g|^2$ be the weight. Then \mathbf{B}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\omega)$ for some $p \in (1, \infty)$ if and only if \mathbf{B}_Ω is bounded on $L^p(\Omega)$.*

This corollary with the weights constructed in Corollary 3.8 and in Remark 2 establishes the proof of Theorem 1.5. In particular, for any $p_0 > 2$ if

$$\Omega_{p_0} = \left\{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z \in \mathbb{D}, |w|^2 < |z - 1|^{\frac{4}{p_0-2}} \right\}.$$

Then the ordinary Bergman projection of Ω_{p_0} is bounded on $L^p(\Omega_{p_0})$ if and only if $p \in (q_0, p_0)$.

Proposition 4.4 combined with the examples of weights in Theorem 1.2 proves Theorem 1.4. In particular, for any $A \geq 0, B > 0, \alpha > 0$ if

$$\Omega_{A,B,\alpha} = \left\{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z \in \mathbb{D}, |w|^2 < (1 - |z|^2)^A \exp\left(\frac{-B}{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}\right) \right\}.$$

Then the ordinary Bergman projection of $\Omega_{A,B,\alpha}$ is bounded on $L^p(\Omega_{A,B,\alpha})$ if and only if $p = 2$.

APPENDIX A. DETAILS OF REMARK 2

Let $F(\zeta) = \frac{-2i}{(i+\zeta)^2} \left(\frac{-2\zeta}{i+\zeta}\right)^{\frac{2}{p_0-2}}$ for some $p_0 > 2$. F is a non-vanishing holomorphic function on \mathbb{R}_+^2 . For this choice of F , we get $\omega = |(F \circ \psi(z))\psi'(z)|^2 = |z - 1|^{\frac{4}{p_0-2}}$.

By Corollary 3.7, \mathbf{B}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \omega)$ if and only if $|F|^{2-p} \in A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Our goal in this appendix is to show that, indeed

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\zeta)|^{2-p} &= \left(\frac{2}{|i + \zeta|^2} \left(\frac{|2\zeta|}{|i + \zeta|} \right)^{\frac{2}{p_0-2}} \right)^{2-p} \\ &\sim |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} |i + \zeta|^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} \\ &\in A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \end{aligned}$$

only for $p \in (q_0, p_0)$.

By Definition 3.1, this is equivalent to show that there exists $C = C(p) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|D \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2|^p} &\left(\int_{D \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} |i + \zeta|^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} dA(\zeta) \right) \\ &\left(\int_{D \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} |i + \zeta|^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} dA(\zeta) \right)^{p-1} \leq C \end{aligned}$$

for any disc $D = D(x_0, R)$, where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R > 0$, if and only if $p \in (q_0, p_0)$. For convenience, we label the first integral I_1 and the second one I_2 .

We start with $p \geq p_0$. In this case, $\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2} = -2 - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and therefore

$$\int_{D \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2} |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} |i + \zeta|^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} dA(\zeta) = \infty$$

for discs D centered at $\zeta = 0$. Thus, $|F(\zeta)|^{2-p} \notin A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for $p \geq p_0$ and \mathbf{B}_ω is unbounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \omega)$ for $p \geq p_0$.

Next, we consider $2 \leq p < p_0$. When $p = 2$ the estimate above holds trivially since $4 - 2p = 0$.

Given any $D = D(x_0, R)$, we split up to the following cases.

Case 1. $D \cap D(0, 2R)$ is not empty and $R < 2$. In this case, $D \subset D(0, 4R)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{D(0,4R)} |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} |i + \zeta|^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} dA(\zeta) \\ &\leq M_R^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} \int_{D(0,4R)} |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} dA(\zeta) \\ &\leq M_R^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} \frac{R^{2+\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}}}{2 + \frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} \\ &\lesssim M_R^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} R^{\frac{2p_0-2p}{p_0-2}} \end{aligned}$$

where $M_R = \max_{D(0,4R)} |i + \zeta|$.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_{D(0,4R)} |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} |i + \zeta|^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} dA(\zeta) \\ &\leq m_R^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} \int_{D(0,4R)} |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} dA(\zeta) \\ &\leq m_R^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} \frac{R^{2+\frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}}}{2 + \frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} \\ &\lesssim m_R^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} R^{2+\frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} \end{aligned}$$

where $m_R = \min_{D(0,4R)} |i + \zeta|$.

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(I_2)^{p-1} &\lesssim M_R^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} R^{\frac{2p_0-2p}{p_0-2}} m_R^{\frac{-(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} R^{2p-2-\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{M_R}{m_R}\right)^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} R^{2p} \end{aligned}$$

and finally we get

$$\frac{1}{|D|^p} I_1(I_2)^{p-1} \leq C_p \left(\frac{M_R}{m_R}\right)^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}}.$$

For $R < 2$, the quantities M_R and m_R are comparable so we get something finite on the right hand side.

Case 2. $D \cap D(0, 2R)$ is not empty and $R \geq 2$. In this case, $D \subset D(0, 4R)$ and $D \subset D(-i, 5R)$. We use Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \left(\int_{D(0,4R)} |\zeta|^{\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\int_{D(-i,5R)} |i + \zeta|^{\frac{t}{t-1} \frac{(4p-8)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \\ &\lesssim \left(R^{2+t\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(R^{2+\frac{t}{t-1} \frac{(4p-8)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \\ &= R^{2+\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2} + \frac{(4p-8)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $t > 1$ such that the first integral above is finite.

On the other hand, again by Hölder’s inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \left(\int_{D(0,4R)} |\zeta|^t \frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\int_{D(-i,5R)} |i + \zeta|^{\frac{t}{t-1} \frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \\ &\lesssim \left(R^{2+t} \frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(R^{2+\frac{t}{t-1} \frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \\ &= R^{2+\frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)} + \frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $t > 1$ such that the first integral above is finite.

Combining these two estimates, we obtain $I_1(I_2)^{p-1} \lesssim R^{2p}$ and

$$\frac{1}{|D|^p} I_1(I_2)^{p-1} \leq C_p.$$

Case 3. $D \cap D(0, 2R)$ is empty. For this case, the crucial observation is the following. If

$$\begin{aligned} N_R &= \max_D |\zeta| \quad \text{and} \quad n_R = \min_D |\zeta|, \\ K_R &= \max_D |i + \zeta| \quad \text{and} \quad k_R = \min_D |i + \zeta|, \end{aligned}$$

then for any $R > 0$, the quantities N_R and n_R and the quantities K_R and k_R are comparable to each other. Therefore, we get

$$I_1 \lesssim |D| n_R^{\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} K_R^{\frac{(4p-8)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 \lesssim |D| N_R^{\frac{4-2p}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}} k_R^{\frac{(2p-4)(p_0-1)}{(p_0-2)(1-p)}}.$$

These give us

$$I_1(I_2)^{p-1} \lesssim |D|^p \left(\frac{n_R}{N_R} \right)^{\frac{4-2p}{p_0-2}} \left(\frac{K_R}{k_R} \right)^{\frac{(4p-8)(p_0-1)}{p_0-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|D|^p} I_1(I_2)^{p-1} \leq C_p.$$

Therefore, in all three cases $\frac{1}{|D|^p} I_1(I_2)^{p-1}$ is bounded and $|F(\zeta)|^{2-p} \in A_p^+(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for $2 \leq p < p_0$ and \mathbf{B}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \omega)$ for $2 \leq p < p_0$.

Duality and the self-adjointness of \mathbf{B}_ω concludes that for this choice of F and ω , the weighted Bergman projection \mathbf{B}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \omega)$ if and only if $p \in (q_0, p_0)$ where $\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{q_0} = 1$.

REFERENCES

[Bar84] David E. Barrett. Irregularity of the Bergman projection on a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^2 . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 119(2):431–436, 1984. MR740899 (85e:32030)

[BB78] David Bekollé and Aline Bonami. Inégalités à poids pour le noyau de Bergman. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 286(18):A775–A778, 1978. MR497663 (81e:42015)

[BFS99] Harold P. Boas, Siqi Fu, and Emil J. Straube. The Bergman kernel function: explicit formulas and zeroes. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(3):805–811, 1999. MR1469401 (99f:32037)

[Bor04] Alexander Borichev. On the Bekollé-Bonami condition. *Math. Ann.*, 328(3):389–398, 2004. MR2036327 (2005c:30040)

[BS10] David Barrett and Sönmez Şahutoğlu. Irregularity of the Bergman projection on worm domains in \mathbb{C}^n . *Preprint*, 2010.

[CD06] Philippe Charpentier and Yves Dupain. Estimates for the Bergman and Szegő projections for pseudoconvex domains of finite type with locally diagonalizable Levi form. *Publ. Mat.*, 50(2):413–446, 2006. MR2273668 (2007h:32003)

[CF74] R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman. Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals. *Studia Math.*, 51:241–250, 1974. MR0358205 (50:10670)

- [Dos04] Milutin R. Dostanić. Unboundedness of the Bergman projections on L^p spaces with exponential weights. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 47(1):111–117, 2004. MR2064739 (2005b:47067)
- [FR75] Frank Forelli and Walter Rudin. Projections on spaces of holomorphic functions in balls. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 24:593–602, 1974/75. MR0357866 (50:10332)
- [Hed02] Håkan Hedenmalm. The dual of a Bergman space on simply connected domains. *J. Anal. Math.*, 88:311–335, 2002. Dedicated to the memory of Tom Wolff. MR1979775 (2004k:46037)
- [KP07] Steve G. Krantz and Marco M. Peloso. New results on the Bergman kernel of the worm domain in complex space. *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.*, 14:35–41 (electronic), 2007. MR2336324 (2008d:32031)
- [KP08] Steven G. Krantz and Marco M. Peloso. The Bergman kernel and projection on non-smooth worm domains. *Houston J. Math.*, 34(3):873–950, 2008. MR2448387 (2010i:32032)
- [Lig89] Ewa Ligocka. On the Forelli-Rudin construction and weighted Bergman projections. *Studia Math.*, 94(3):257–272, 1989. MR1019793 (90i:32034)
- [LS04] Loredana Lanzani and Elias M. Stein. Szegő and Bergman projections on non-smooth planar domains. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 14(1):63–86, 2004. MR2030575 (2004m:30063)
- [McN94] Jeffery D. McNeal. The Bergman projection as a singular integral operator. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 4(1):91–103, 1994. MR1274139 (95b:32039)
- [MS94] J. D. McNeal and E. M. Stein. Mapping properties of the Bergman projection on convex domains of finite type. *Duke Math. J.*, 73(1):177–199, 1994. MR1257282 (94k:32037)
- [Muc72] Benjamin Muckenhoupt. Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 165:207–226, 1972. MR0293384 (45:2461)
- [PS77] D. H. Phong and E. M. Stein. Estimates for the Bergman and Szegő projections on strongly pseudo-convex domains. *Duke Math. J.*, 44(3):695–704, 1977. MR0450623 (56:8916)
- [PW90] Zbigniew Pasternak-Winiarski. On the dependence of the reproducing kernel on the weight of integration. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 94(1):110–134, 1990. MR1077547 (91j:46035)
- [Zey10a] Yunus E. Zeytuncu. L^p regularity of some weighted Bergman projections on the unit disc. *Preprint*, 2010.
- [Zey10b] Yunus E. Zeytuncu. Weighted Bergman projections and kernels: L^p regularity and zeros. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139:2105–2112, 2011. MR2775388
- [Zhu07] Kehe Zhu. *Operator theory in function spaces*, volume 138 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2007. MR2311536 (2008i:47064)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843
E-mail address: zeytuncu@math.tamu.edu