HEIGHTS ON GROUPS AND SMALL MULTIPLICATIVE DEPENDENCIES

JEFFREY D. VAALER

Abstract. We generalize the absolute logarithmic Weil height from elements of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Q}^\times / \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}^\times)$ to finitely generated subgroups of $\mathbb{Q}^\times / \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}^\times)$. The height of a finitely generated subgroup is shown to equal the volume of a certain naturally occurring, convex, symmetric subset of Euclidean space. This connection leads to a bound on the norm of integer vectors that give multiplicative dependencies among finite sets of algebraic numbers.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{Q}$ denote the field of algebraic numbers, and write $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ for its multiplicative group of nonzero elements. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N$ be a finite, nonempty collection of elements in $\mathbb{Q}^\times$, and assume that these elements generate a multiplicative subgroup

$$A = \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n^{\xi_n} : \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N \right\}$$

of positive, torsion free rank $M$. If $k$ is an algebraic number field and $A \subseteq k^\times$, then the torsion subgroup $\text{Tor}(A)$ is contained in the subgroup of roots of unity in $k^\times$, and therefore $\text{Tor}(A)$ is a finite cyclic group. We assume that $1 \leq M < N$. Then the elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N$ are multiplicatively dependent, and the set of multiplicative dependencies

$$Z = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \prod_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n^{z_n} \in \text{Tor}(A) \right\}$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module of positive rank $L = N - M$. In this paper we consider the problem of showing that $Z$ contains a nonzero vector $z$ for which the norm

$$|z|_\infty = \max\{ |z_1|, |z_2|, \ldots, |z_N| \}$$

is not too large. More generally, we will show that $Z$ contains $L$ linearly independent vectors $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_L$ such that the product

$$\prod_{l=1}^{L} |z_l|_\infty$$

is not too large. We will establish bounds on the product (1.3) which depend on the absolute logarithmic Weil height of the numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N$. If $A \subseteq k^\times$, then
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we give more explicit bounds for (1.3) which depend on the heights of the numbers \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N\) and also on the field \(k\).

Let \(h : \mathbb{Q}^\times \to [0, \infty)\) denote the absolute logarithmic Weil height. If \(\alpha\) is an element of \(\mathbb{Q}^\times\) and \(\zeta\) is an element in the torsion subgroup \(\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}^\times)\), then it is well known that \(h(\alpha\zeta) = h(\alpha)\). Let \(G = \mathbb{Q}^\times / \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}^\times)\) denote the corresponding quotient group. It follows that

\[
h : G \to [0, \infty)
\]

is well defined on the cosets of \(G\). We note that \(G\) has the structure of a vector space (written multiplicatively) over the field \(\mathbb{Q}\) of rational numbers. To see this let \(r/s\) denote a rational number, where \(r\) and \(s\) are relatively prime integers and \(s\) is positive. If \(\alpha\) is in \(\mathbb{Q}^\times\) and \(\zeta_1\) and \(\zeta_2\) are in \(\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}^\times)\), then all roots of the two polynomial equations

\[
x^s - (\zeta_1 \alpha)^r = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x^s - (\zeta_2 \alpha)^r = 0
\]

belong to the same coset in \(G\). If we write \(\alpha^{r/s}\) for this coset, we find that

\[
(r/s, \alpha) \mapsto \alpha^{r/s}
\]

defines a scalar multiplication in the abelian group \(G\). It follows that \(G\) is a vector space (written multiplicatively) over the field \(\mathbb{Q}\). We can also draw this conclusion from the structure theory for the multiplicative group of an algebraically closed field (see [13, Theorem 77.1]).

If \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are points in \(G\), then from basic properties of the height (see [7, section 1.5]) we find that \((\alpha, \beta) \mapsto h(\alpha\beta^{-1})\) defines a metric on the vector space \(G\). If \(r/s\) is an element of \(\mathbb{Q}\) as above, and \(\alpha\) belongs to \(G\), we have the well-known identity (see [7, Lemma 1.5.18])

\[
h(\alpha^{r/s}) = |r/s|h(\alpha).
\]

It follows that \(\alpha \mapsto h(\alpha)\) defines a norm on the vector space \(G\) with respect to the usual archimedean absolute value on the field \(\mathbb{Q}\) of scalars. In this paper we will make use of the completion of \(G\) with respect to this norm. The completion is a Banach space over the field \(\mathbb{R}\) of real numbers, and the structure of this Banach space was determined in [1, Theorem 1].

Rather than working with elements \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N\) in \(\mathbb{Q}^\times\), it will be convenient throughout the remainder of this paper to write \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N\) for the image of these points in \(G\). Also, we write

\[
(1.4) \quad \mathfrak{A} = \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n^{\xi_n} : \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N \right\}
\]

for the subgroup of rank \(M\) generated by \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N\) in \(G\). Then the \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module \(\mathcal{Z}\) of multiplicative dependencies is given by

\[
(1.5) \quad \mathcal{Z} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \prod_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n^{z_n} = 1 \right\}.
\]

We may regard the logarithmic Weil height \(h\) as defined on the collection of all finitely generated subgroups \(\mathfrak{A} \subseteq G\) having rank 1. For if \(\mathfrak{A}\) has rank 1, if \(\mathfrak{A}\) is
generated by the coset \( \alpha_1 \) and also by the coset \( \alpha_2 \) in \( \mathcal{G} \), then we must have either \( \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \) or \( \alpha_1^{-1} = \alpha_2 \). As \( h(\alpha_1) = h(\alpha_1^{-1}) \), we find that
\[
h(\mathfrak{A}) = h(\alpha_1) = h(\alpha_2)
\]
determines a well-defined height on the subgroup \( \mathfrak{A} \). In this paper we extend the absolute logarithmic Weil height to the collection of all finitely generated subgroups of \( \mathcal{G} \) having arbitrary positive rank. To accomplish this we will make use of the isometric isomorphism \( \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha(y) \) that was identified in \([1]\) equation (5.1). In particular, this map associates each point \( \alpha \) in \( \mathcal{G} \) with a certain continuous, compactly supported, real valued function \( y \mapsto f_\alpha(y) \), defined on the locally compact Hausdorff space \( Y \) of all places of \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \). Once the height has been extended to finitely generated subgroups of \( \mathcal{G} \), as in \([6.14]\), we will show that every finitely generated subgroup contains multiplicatively independent elements such that the product of the heights of the independent elements is majorized by the height of the subgroup. The precise result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \) be a finitely generated subgroup of positive rank \( N \), and let the height \( h(\mathfrak{A}) \) be defined by \([6.14]\). Then there exist multiplicatively independent elements \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_N \) in \( \mathfrak{A} \) such that
\[
h(\beta_1)h(\beta_2) \cdots h(\beta_N) \leq h(\mathfrak{A}).
\]
Moreover, the subgroup \( \mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A} \) generated by \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_N \) has index at most \( N! \) in \( \mathfrak{A} \).

We will obtain Theorem [1] from a more general result of the same sort which applies to finitely generated subgroups contained in the completion of \( \mathcal{G} \) with respect to the metric induced in \( \mathcal{G} \) by the Weil height. The main result in [1] established an isometric isomorphism between the completion of \( \mathcal{G} \) and a closed subspace \( \mathcal{X} \) contained in a certain real Banach space \( L^1(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda) \). Theorem [5] and Corollary [2] provide a generalization of Theorem [1] to arbitrary lattices of finite rank contained in an arbitrary \( L^1 \)-space. In particular, the more general assertions of Theorem [5] and Corollary [2] make no reference to algebraic numbers. The connection with algebraic numbers and the space \( \mathcal{G} \) arises from the results obtained in [1].

As an illustration of Theorem [1] we consider the special case in which \( \mathfrak{A} \) is the image of a group of \( S \)-units. Toward this end, let \( k \) be an algebraic number field, and let \( S \) be a finite collection of places of \( k \) such that \( S \) contains all the archimedean places of \( k \). Write
\[
(1.7) \quad U_S(k) = \{ \gamma \in k^\times : |\gamma|_v = 1 \text{ for all places } v \notin S \}
\]
for the multiplicative group of \( S \)-units in \( k^\times \). We assume that \( |S| = s \geq 2 \) so that \( U_S(k) \) has positive, torsion free rank \( s - 1 \), and we write \( \mathfrak{U}_S(k) \) for the image of \( U_S(k) \) in \( \mathcal{G}_k \). Obviously, \( \mathfrak{U}_S(k) \) also has positive rank \( s - 1 \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( k \) be an algebraic number field, \( U_S(k) \) the multiplicative group of \( S \)-units in \( k^\times \), and write \( \mathfrak{U}_S(k) \) for the image of \( U_S(k) \) in \( \mathcal{G}_k \). If \( |S| = s \geq 2 \), then
\[
(1.8) \quad h(\mathfrak{U}_S(k)) = \frac{s! \text{Reg}_S(k)}{(2[k : \mathbb{Q}])^{s-1}},
\]
where \( \text{Reg}_S(k) \) denotes the \( S \)-regulator of \( U_S(k) \).
By combining Theorem 1 and (1.8), we find that \( \mathcal{U}_S(k) \) contains multiplicatively independent elements \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{s-1} \), such that

\[
(1.9) \quad h(\gamma_1)h(\gamma_2) \cdots h(\gamma_{s-1}) \leq \frac{s! \text{Reg}_S(k)}{(2[k : \mathbb{Q}])^{s-1}}.
\]

This sharpens similar inequalities due to Brindza [9] and Hajdu [14], and is of essentially the same quality as that obtained by Bugeaud and Györy [10, Lemma 1]. Of course (1.6) applies more generally to finitely generated subgroups of \( G \) that do not necessarily occur as the image of a group of \( S \)-units.

Our second main result is an inequality that bounds both the product (1.3) and the product of the heights of \( M \) multiplicatively independent elements from the group \( A \). The upper bound depends only on the rank \( M \) and on the heights of the generators \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N \). Earlier results on problems of this sort were established by Baker [4] and Stark [35, Lemma 7]. Then sharper and more explicit bounds were obtained in papers of Loxton and van der Poorten [20], [37], [38]. Their results provide a bound on the norm of one nonzero element in \( \mathbb{Z} \). A somewhat simpler, explicit bound on the norm of one nonzero element in \( \mathbb{Z} \) has recently been given by Loher and Masser [18, Corollary 3.2], and attributed to K. Yu. The result we prove here is roughly comparable to, but sharper and simpler than, inequalities of Matveev [23, Theorem 4] and Bertrand [5, Theorem 2].

**Theorem 3.** Let \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N \) be elements of the vector space \( G \) which generate a subgroup \( A \) of positive rank \( M \). If \( 1 \leq M < N \), then there exist \( L = N - M \) linearly independent elements \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_L \) in the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( \mathbb{Z} \) defined by (1.5), and \( M \) multiplicatively independent elements \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_M \) in \( A \), such that

\[
(1.10) \quad \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^{L} |z_l|_\infty \right\} \left\{ \prod_{m=1}^{M} h(\beta_m) \right\} \leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} h(\alpha_n) \right\}^{M}.
\]

For each algebraic number field \( k \), we write \( G_k \) for the image of \( k^\times \) in \( G \) under the canonical homomorphism. It follows that \( G_k \) is a subgroup of \( G \), and clearly \( G_k \) is isomorphic to the quotient group \( k^\times / \text{Tor}(k^\times) \). For each positive integer \( M \) we define

\[
(1.11) \quad \mathcal{H}(k, M) = \inf \{ h(\gamma_1)h(\gamma_2) \cdots h(\gamma_M) : \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_M \text{ are multiplicatively independent elements in } G_k \}.
\]

It follows from a well-known result of Kronecker [16] that \( 0 < h(\gamma) \) for all points \( \gamma \neq 1 \) in \( G_k \). Also, by Northcott’s theorem [27] there are only finitely many points \( \gamma \) in \( G_k \) with \( h(\gamma) \) less than a positive constant. Hence the infimum on the right of (1.11) is achieved, and in particular the value of \( \mathcal{H}(k, M) \) is positive. It is conjectured (see [7, Conjecture 4.4.6]) that for each positive integer \( M \) there exists a positive constant \( c(M) \) such that

\[
(1.12) \quad \frac{c(M)}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq \mathcal{H}(k, M)
\]

for each algebraic number field \( k \). The existence of such a constant \( c(M) \) in case \( M = 1 \) would provide an answer to a well-known question first posed by D. H. Lehmer [17]. Then (1.12) is a natural generalization of Lehmer’s problem to higher dimensions. An unconditional result in the direction of the conjectured
lower bound \( \text{(1.12)} \) has been obtained by Amoroso and David \[2\]. They prove that for each positive integer \( M \) there exists a positive constant \( c_1(M) \) such that

\[
\frac{c_1(M)}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]} \left( \log(3[k : \mathbb{Q}]) \right)^{-M\kappa(M)} \leq \mathcal{H}(k, M),
\]

where \( \kappa(M) = (M + 1)(M + 1)!^{M-1} \).

If \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N \) belong to \( \mathcal{G}_k \), then the number \( \mathcal{H}(k, M) \) defined by \( \text{(1.11)} \) is clearly a lower bound for the second product that appears on the left of \( \text{(1.10)} \). This leads to the following inequality.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( k \) be an algebraic number field. Let \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N \) be elements of the multiplicative group \( \mathcal{G}_k \) which generate a subgroup \( \mathfrak{A} \) of positive rank \( M \). If \( 1 \leq M < N \), then there exist \( L = N - M \) linearly independent elements \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_L \) in the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( \mathcal{Z} \) defined by \( \text{(1.5)} \), such that

\[
L \prod_{l=1}^{L} |z_l|_\infty \leq \mathcal{H}(k, M)^{-1}\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} h(\alpha_n) \right\}^M.
\]

Under the hypotheses of Corollary \[1\], the inequalities \( \text{(1.13)} \) and \( \text{(1.14)} \) can be combined. This plainly leads to the more explicit bound

\[
L \prod_{l=1}^{L} |z_l|_\infty \leq \frac{[k : \mathbb{Q}]}{c_1(M)} \left( \log(3[k : \mathbb{Q}]) \right)^{M\kappa(M)} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} h(\alpha_n) \right\}^M,
\]

where \( c_1(M) \) is a positive constant that depends only on \( M \). An even sharper bound follows from very recent work of Amoroso and Viada \[3\].

In sections 2–4 of this paper we establish a new formula for the volume of a zonoid. The precise result is stated in Theorem \[4\]. As this is a formula for the volume of a certain convex symmetric set in Euclidean space, we obtain a further result, stated in section 5 as Theorem \[5\], from an application of Minkowski’s second theorem. Algebraic numbers appear again in section 6. There we combine Theorem \[5\] with the results of \[1\] to complete the proof of Theorem \[1\]. Finally, in section 7 we combine Theorem \[1\] and the basis form of Siegel’s Lemma obtained in \[8\]. This leads to a proof of Theorem \[3\].

2. **Zonoids**

Let \( \delta : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, \infty) \) be a norm, and

\[
B = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : \delta(\xi) \leq 1 \}
\]

the associated unit ball in the \( N \)-dimensional, real Banach space \( (\mathbb{R}^N, \delta) \). Then \( B \) is a compact, convex, symmetric subset having a nonempty interior. The dual norm \( \delta^* : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, \infty) \) is given by

\[
\delta^*(\eta) = \sup \{ \langle \xi, \eta \rangle : \delta(\xi) \leq 1 \},
\]

where we write

\[
\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \xi_1\eta_1 + \xi_2\eta_2 + \cdots + \xi_N\eta_N
\]

for the usual inner product on vectors \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \). We also write

\[
B^* = \{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N : \delta^*(\eta) \leq 1 \}
\]
for the dual (or polar) unit ball in the dual Banach space \((\mathbb{R}^N, \delta^*)\). Of course, \(B^*\) is also a compact, convex, symmetric subset having a nonempty interior, \(\delta^*\) is the norm that is dual to \(\delta^*\), and \(B\) is the unit ball that is dual to \(B^*\).

Let \(\text{Vol}_N\) denote \(N\)-dimensional Lebesgue measure or \(N\)-dimensional volume defined on Borel measurable subsets of \(\mathbb{R}^N\). An important problem in the theory of convex sets is to estimate the volume product \(\text{Vol}_N(B) \text{Vol}_N(B^*)\) by an expression that depends only on the dimension \(N\). An upper bound is given by the Blaschke-Santaló inequality (see [33])

\[
\text{Vol}_N(B) \text{Vol}_N(B^*) \leq \kappa_N^2,
\]

where \(\kappa_N\) is the volume of the \(N\)-dimensional unit ball. The lower bound

\[
\frac{4}{N!} \leq \text{Vol}_N(B) \text{Vol}_N(B^*)
\]

was conjectured by K. Mahler [21], [22], who proved the inequality (2.4) in the special case \(N = 2\). In general, the conjectured lower bound (2.4) remains an open problem. However, (2.4) has been proved by S. Reisner [28, Theorem 2], in case either \(B\) or \(B^*\) is a zonoid. Related results are discussed in [19], [29], and [30].

We briefly recall basic facts that we will need concerning zonoids. A more extensive discussion of zonoids is contained in the survey papers of Bolker [6], Schnieder and Weil [34], and in the monograph of Thompson [36]. Let \[\Sigma_{N-1} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi|_2 = 1\}\] denote the surface of the Euclidean unit ball in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Here we write \(|\xi|_2\) for the usual Euclidean or \(l^2\)-norm on vectors in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). We also write

\[|\xi|_1 = |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| + \cdots + |\xi_N| \quad \text{and} \quad |\xi|_\infty = \max\{|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|, \ldots, |\xi_N|\}\]

for the \(l^1\) and \(l^\infty\) norms, respectively, on vectors \(\xi\) in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Then the dual unit ball \(B^*\) is a zonoid (see [34, Theorem 1.2]) if and only if there exists a finite, even measure \(\rho\), defined on the \(\sigma\)-algebra of Borel subsets of \(\Sigma_{N-1}\), such that

\[
\delta(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{N-1}} |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \, d\rho(\eta)
\]

for all vectors \(\xi\) in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). We say that \(\rho\) is an even (or symmetric) measure if \(\rho(E) = \rho(-E)\) for all Borel subsets \(E \subseteq \Sigma_{N-1}\).

Let \[U = (u_{mn}) = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N)\] be an \(M \times N\) real matrix with \(\text{rank}(U) = N\), where \(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N\) denote the columns of \(U\). Then let

\[U^t = V = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_M)\]

denote the \(N \times M\) transpose of \(U\), where \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_M\) are the columns of \(V\). It follows that the map

\[
\xi \mapsto |U\xi|_1 = \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N u_{mn} \xi_n = \sum_{m=1}^M |\langle \xi, v_m \rangle|
\]

defines a norm on \(\mathbb{R}^N\). The unit ball associated to the norm (2.6) is obviously

\[B_U = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |U\xi|_1 \leq 1\},\]
and it is not difficult to show that the dual unit ball is given by

\begin{equation}
B^*_U = \{ Vw : w \in \mathbb{R}^M \text{ and } |w|_\infty \leq 1 \}.
\end{equation}

Thus the dual unit ball \( B^*_U \) is the linear image in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) of the unit cube in \( \mathbb{R}^M \), where \( N \leq M \), and therefore \( B^*_U \) is an example of a zonotope. It is easy to see that a zonotope of the form \( (2.7) \) is an example of a zonoid. This follows because the sum on the right of \( (2.6) \) can be written as

\begin{equation}
|U\xi|_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{M} |\langle \xi, v_m \rangle| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{N-1}} |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \, d\rho_V(\eta),
\end{equation}

where \( \rho_V \) is the unique atomic measure on Borel subsets of \( \Sigma_{N-1} \) that assigns point mass \( |v_m|_2 \) to each of the \( 2M \) points \( \pm v_m |v_m|^{-1} \) for \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \).

We will make use of a basic formula for the \( N \)-dimensional volume of the zonotope \( (2.7) \) that was established by P. McMullen \cite{25}. For each subset \( I \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, M\} \) of cardinality \( |I| = N \), let \( V_I \) denote the \( N \times N \) submatrix of \( V \) such that \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) indexes rows and \( m \) in \( I \) indexes columns. Then McMullen’s formula is

\begin{equation}
\text{Vol}_N(B^*_U) = 2^N \sum_{|I|=N} |\det V_I|.
\end{equation}

3. Zonoids obtained from Banach spaces

Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a Banach space with norm given by \( \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{X}} \), and let \( \mathcal{X}^* \) denote the dual Banach space of continuous linear functionals on \( \mathcal{X} \). If \( x \) is a vector in \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \hat{x} \) is a vector in \( \mathcal{X}^* \), we write \( \langle x, \hat{x} \rangle \) for the value of the continuous linear functional \( \hat{x} \) at the point \( x \). Then the dual norm on \( \mathcal{X}^* \) is given by

\[ \| \hat{x} \|_{\mathcal{X}^*} = \sup \{ \langle x, \hat{x} \rangle : \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1 \}. \]

If \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \) is a closed linear subspace we write

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{M}^\perp = \{ \hat{x} \in \mathcal{X}^* : \langle m, \hat{x} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } m \in \mathcal{M} \}
\end{equation}

for the subspace of all continuous linear functionals in \( \mathcal{X}^* \) that vanish on \( \mathcal{M} \). Then \( \mathcal{M}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{X}^* \) is a closed linear subspace, and we recall that the quotient norm on the Banach space \( \mathcal{X}^*/\mathcal{M}^\perp \) is defined by

\begin{equation}
\| \hat{x} + \mathcal{M}^\perp \|_{\mathcal{X}^*/\mathcal{M}^\perp} = \inf \{ \| \hat{x} + \hat{y} \|_{\mathcal{X}^*} : \hat{y} \in \mathcal{M}^\perp \}. \]

By the Hahn-Banach theorem (see [32, Theorem 3.3]), each linear functional \( m^* \) in \( \mathcal{M}^* \) extends to a linear functional \( z^* \) in \( \mathcal{X}^* \). If \( z^*_1 \) and \( z^*_2 \) are both extensions of \( m^* \), then \( z^*_1 - z^*_2 \) clearly belongs to the subspace \( \mathcal{M}^\perp \). It follows that the map

\[ \sigma : \mathcal{M}^* \to \mathcal{X}^*/\mathcal{M}^\perp \]

given by

\begin{equation}
\sigma(m^*) = z^* + \mathcal{M}^\perp
\end{equation}

is well defined. Moreover, the map \( (3.3) \) is an isometric isomorphism (see [32, Theorem 4.9]) from \( \mathcal{M}^* \) onto \( \mathcal{X}^*/\mathcal{M}^\perp \). In view of \( (3.2) \), for each point \( m^* \) in \( \mathcal{M}^* \) the norm of \( m^* \) is given by

\begin{equation}
\| m^* \|_{\mathcal{M}^*} = \sup \{ \langle m, m^* \rangle : \|m\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 1 \}
= \inf \{ \| z^* + y^* \|_{\mathcal{X}^*} : y^* \in \mathcal{M}^\perp \},
\end{equation}

where \( z^* \) in \( \mathcal{X}^* \) extends \( m^* \).
Now let \( T : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathcal{X} \) be an injective linear transformation. We define a norm \( \delta : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, \infty) \) by
\[
\delta(\xi) = \|T(\xi)\|_N.
\]
Because \( T \) is injective, it follows that the linear transformation \( T \) is an isometry from \( (\mathbb{R}^N, \delta) \) into \( (\mathcal{X}, \| \cdot \|_\mathcal{X}) \). As in (2.1) the dual norm \( \delta^* : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, \infty) \) is determined by
\[
\delta^*(\eta) = \sup\{ \langle \xi, \eta \rangle : \delta(\xi) \leq 1 \}.
\]
The unit ball in \( (\mathbb{R}^N, \delta) \) and the dual unit ball in \( (\mathbb{R}^N, \delta^*) \), are given by
\[
(3.5) \quad B = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : \delta(\xi) \leq 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad B^* = \{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N : \delta^*(\eta) \leq 1 \}.
\]
We recall that the adjoint transformation \( T^* : \mathcal{X}^* \to \mathbb{R}^N \) is the unique linear transformation such that
\[
(3.6) \quad \langle \xi, T^*(x^*) \rangle = \langle T(\xi), x^* \rangle
\]
for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and all \( x^* \in \mathcal{X}^* \). For our purposes it is useful to have an alternative description of \( \delta^* \) and \( B^* \) in terms of the adjoint transformation \( T^* \).

**Lemma 1.** The dual norm \( \delta^* : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, \infty) \) is given by
\[
(3.7) \quad \delta^*(\eta) = \inf\{ \|x^*\|_{\mathcal{X}^*} : x^* \in \mathcal{X}^* \text{ and } T^*(x^*) = \eta \},
\]
and the dual unit ball \( B^* \) is
\[
(3.8) \quad B^* = \{ T^*(x^*) : x^* \in \mathcal{X}^* \text{ and } \|x^*\|_{\mathcal{X}^*} \leq 1 \}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{M} = T(\mathbb{R}^N) \) denote the range of \( T \), so that \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \) is a closed linear subspace of dimension \( N \). Obviously \( T \) induces an isometric isomorphism \( U : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathcal{M} \) by \( U(\xi) = T(\xi) \). Then the adjoint map \( U^* : \mathcal{M}^* \to \mathbb{R}^N \) is an isometric isomorphism with respect to the norm \( \delta^* \) on \( \mathbb{R}^N \). That is, if \( \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( m^* \) is the unique point in \( \mathcal{M}^* \) such that \( U^*(m^*) = \eta \), then
\[
\delta^*(\eta) = \sup \{ \langle \xi, \eta \rangle : \delta(\xi) \leq 1 \}
\]
\[
= \sup \{ \langle \xi, U^*(m^*) \rangle : \|U(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 1 \}
\]
\[
= \sup \{ \langle U(\xi), m^* \rangle : \|U(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 1 \}
\]
\[
= \sup \{ \langle m, m^* \rangle : \|m\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 1 \}.
\]
If \( z^* \) in \( \mathcal{X}^* \) extends the linear functional \( m^* \), then from (3.4) we get
\[
(3.9) \quad \delta^*(\eta) = \inf \{ \|z^* + y^*\|_{\mathcal{X}^*} : y^* \in \mathcal{M}^\perp \}.
\]

The linear transformation \( T \) can be written as the composition \( T = i \circ U \), where
\[
\mathbb{R}^N \xrightarrow{U} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{X}.
\]
It follows that the adjoint map \( T^* \) is the composition \( T^* = U^* \circ i^* \), where
\[
\mathcal{X}^* \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathcal{M}^* \xrightarrow{U^*} \mathbb{R}^N.
\]
As \( T \) is injective, the adjoint transformation \( T^* \) is surjective (see (11, Theorem 2.1)). Hence there certainly exists a point \( z^* \) in \( \mathcal{X}^* \) such that \( T^*(z^*) = \eta \). Then writing \( \eta = U^* \circ i^*(z) \) and \( i^*(z) = m^* \), we find that \( m^* \) is the unique point in \( \mathcal{M}^* \) such that \( U^*(m^*) = \eta \). Therefore the linear functional \( z^* \) does extend \( m^* \) to \( \mathcal{X}^* \).
Finally, the closed subspace \( \mathcal{M}^\perp \) is also the kernel of \( T^* \) (see [32, Theorem 4.12]). As \( T^*(z^*) = \eta \) we find that
\[
\{ z^* + y^* : y^* \in \mathcal{M}^\perp \} = \{ z^* : T^*(y^*) = 0 \}
\]
\[= \{ x^* : T^*(x^*) = \eta \}. \tag{3.10} \]
The identity (3.7) now follows by combining (3.9) and (3.10). Then (3.8) is immediate from (3.7). \( \square \)

It will be convenient at this point to write \((X, \mathcal{A}, \nu)\) for a measure space. That is, \( X \) is a nonempty set, \( \mathcal{A} \) is a \( \sigma \)-algebra of subsets of \( X \), and \( \nu \) is a measure defined on the subsets in \( \mathcal{A} \). To avoid degenerate situations, we assume that \( \mathcal{A} \) contains subsets of positive \( \nu \)-measure. We will apply Lemma 1 to the real Banach space \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) and its dual space \( L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \). Let \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \) be a collection of \( \mathbb{R} \)-linearly independent elements from \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \). By abuse of language we refer to \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \) as functions rather than as equivalence classes of functions equal \( \nu \)-almost everywhere. We adopt a similar abuse of language with respect to other Banach spaces of measurable functions.

Using the functions \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \), we define an injective linear transformation \( T : \mathbb{R}^N \to L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) by setting
\[
T(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n F_n(x). \tag{3.11} \]
We find that the adjoint transformation \( T^* : L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \to \mathbb{R}^N \) is given by \( T^*(g) = \eta \), where \( g \) is a function in \( L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) and \( \eta \) is the vector in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) having co-ordinates \( \eta_n \), for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), given by
\[
\eta_n = \int_X F_n(x)g(x) \, d\nu(x). \tag{3.12} \]
Alternatively, if we write
\[
\langle f, g \rangle = \int_X f(x)g(x) \, d\nu(x), \]
then \( T^* : L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \to \mathbb{R}^N \) is the unique linear transformation such that
\[
\langle \xi, T^*(g) \rangle = \langle T(\xi), g \rangle \tag{3.13} \]
for all vectors \( \xi \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) and all functions \( g(x) \) in \( L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \).

As before we write
\[
\delta(\xi) = \| T(\xi) \|_1 = \int_X \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n F_n(x) \right| \, d\nu(x) \tag{3.14} \]
for the norm in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) induced by \( T \), and
\[
B = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : \| T(\xi) \|_1 \leq 1 \} \tag{3.15} \]
for the corresponding unit ball. From Lemma 1 we conclude that the dual norm is given by
\[
\delta^*(\eta) = \inf \{ \| g \|_\infty : g \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \text{ and } T^*(g) = \eta \}, \]
and the dual unit ball is
\[
B^* = \{ T^*(g) : g \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \text{ and } \| g \|_\infty \leq 1 \}. \tag{3.16} \]
The following lemma shows that the dual unit ball \( B^* \) defined by (3.16) is a zonoid.
Lemma 2. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^N \to L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ be the injective linear transformation defined by (3.11). Then there exists a unique, finite, even measure $\rho$, defined on the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel subsets of $\Sigma_{N-1}$, such that

$$
\|T(\xi)\|_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{N-1}} |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \, d\rho(\eta)
$$

for all vectors $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(x)$ in $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ be defined by

$$
\varphi(x) = \left\{ |F_1(x)|^2 + |F_2(x)|^2 + \cdots + |F_N(x)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Then let $A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ be the measurable subset on which $\varphi(x)$ is positive. As the functions $F_1(x), F_2(x), \ldots, F_N(x)$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent elements of $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$, it is obvious that $\nu(A)$ is not zero. Define a measurable function $\psi : A \to \Sigma_{N-1}$ by

$$
\psi(x) = \varphi(x)^{-1}(F_n(x)).
$$

Let $C(\Sigma_{N-1})$ be the algebra of continuous real valued functions defined on $\Sigma_{N-1}$ equipped with the supremum norm. We define a positive linear functional $\Phi : C(\Sigma_{N-1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\Phi(f) = 2 \int_A \varphi(x) f(\psi(x)) \, d\nu(x).
$$

It is clear that

$$
|\Phi(f)| \leq K \|f\|_{\infty}, \quad \text{where} \quad K = \int_A \varphi(x) \, d\nu(x),
$$

and therefore $f \mapsto \Phi(f)$ is continuous. It follows from the Riesz representation theorem (see [31, Theorems 2.14 and 2.17]) that there exists a finite measure $\tilde{\rho}$, defined on the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel subsets of $\Sigma_{N-1}$, such that

$$
\Phi(f) = \int_{\Sigma_{N-1}} f(\eta) \, d\tilde{\rho}(\eta)
$$

for each function $f$ in $C(\Sigma_{N-1})$. In particular, if $\xi$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$, then

$$
\eta \mapsto |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle|
$$

defines a function in $C(\Sigma_{N-1})$. By applying (3.18) and (3.19) with this choice of $f$, we arrive at the identity

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{N-1}} |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \, d\tilde{\rho}(\eta) = \int_A \varphi(x) |\langle \xi, \psi(x) \rangle| \, d\nu(x)
$$

$$
= \int_A \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n F_n(x) \right| \, d\nu(x)
$$

$$
= \|T(\xi)\|_1.
$$

Now let $\rho$ denote the even part of $\tilde{\rho}$. That is, $\rho$ is the measure defined on Borel subsets $E$ of $\Sigma_{N-1}$ by

$$
2\rho(E) = \tilde{\rho}(E) + \tilde{\rho}(-E).
$$
As each of the functions \( (3.20) \) is clearly even, \( (3.21) \) implies that
\[
\|T(\xi)\|_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{N-1}} |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \, d\rho(\eta)
\]
for all vectors \( \xi \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \). This verifies the identity \( (3.17) \).

Finally, the assertion that \( \rho \) is the unique even measure that satisfies the identity \( (3.17) \) follows from [34, Theorem 1.4]. \( \square \)

4. THE VOLUME OF A ZOIND

In this section our main result is a formula for the \( N \)-dimensional volume of the zonoid \( B^* \) defined in \( (3.16) \). Formulas for the volume of a zonoid in terms of the even measure \( \rho \) that occurs in the representation \( (3.17) \) are known and discussed in [34]. Here we establish a different formula for the volume of \( B^* \) which depends on the functions \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \). Our result generalizes the formula \( (2.9) \) for the volume of a zonotope obtained by McMullen in [25].

Using \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \), we define a function
\[
\Delta : X^N \to \mathbb{R}
\]
by setting
\[
(4.1) \quad \Delta(x) = \Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x) = \det(F_l(x_n)),
\]
where \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) indexes rows, \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) indexes columns, and \( x \) denotes a point in the product space \( X^N \) with co-ordinates \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N \). Let \( \mathcal{A}^N \) denote the product \( \sigma \)-algebra of subsets of \( X^N \), and let \( \nu^N \) denote the corresponding product measure defined on the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A}^N \). We expand the function \( \Delta(x) \) as a sum over the symmetric group \( S_N \) so that
\[
(4.2) \quad \Delta(x) = \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{l=1}^{N} F_l(x_{\pi(l)}).
\]
It follows from \( (4.2) \) that \( x \mapsto \Delta(x) \) is \( \mathcal{A}^N \)-measurable because it is expressed as a sum in which each term is obviously \( \mathcal{A}^N \)-measurable. The function \( x \mapsto \Delta(x) \) is also integrable on \( X^N \) with respect to the product measure \( \nu^N \). This is a consequence of the bound
\[
(4.3) \quad \int_{X^N} |\Delta(x)| \, d\nu^N(x) = \int_{X^N} \left| \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{l=1}^{N} F_l(x_{\pi(l)}) \right| \, d\nu^N(x)
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \int_{X^N} \prod_{l=1}^{N} |F_l(x_{\pi(l)})| \, d\nu^N(x)
\]
\[
= N! \prod_{l=1}^{N} \|F_l\|_1.
\]
We note that there is equality in the inequality \( (4.3) \) whenever the integrable functions \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \) have disjoint support.

**Theorem 4.** The volume of the zonoid \( B^* \) defined by \( (3.16) \) is given by
\[
(4.4) \quad \text{Vol}_N(B^*) = \frac{2^N}{N!} \int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x).
\]
Our proof of Theorem 4 will require three lemmas. We recall that a simple function \( F : X \to \mathbb{R} \) is \( \mathcal{A} \)-measurable and takes only finitely many distinct values.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \) are \( \mathbb{R} \)-linearly independent simple functions in \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \). Then there exists an \( N \times M \) real matrix
\[
A = (a_1 \ a_2 \ \cdots \ a_M) = (a_{lm}),
\]
and a partition
\[
X = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \cdots \cup E_M
\]
of \( X \) into disjoint \( \mathcal{A} \)-measurable subsets, such that
\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \ & \text{the matrix } A \text{ has rank } N, \text{ and no column of } A \text{ is identically zero,} \\
(ii) \ & \text{for each integer } l = 1, 2, \ldots, N \text{ the identity} \\
& \quad F_l(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{lm} \chi_{E_m}(x)
\end{align*}
\]
holds for \( \nu \)-almost all points \( x \) in \( X \), where \( \chi_{E_m}(x) \) denotes the characteristic function of the subset \( E_m \),
\[
(iii) \ & \text{for each integer } m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \text{ we have } 0 < \nu(E_m) < \infty.
\]

**Proof.** Because each of the functions \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \) is a simple function, the map
\[
x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} F_1(x) \\ F_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ F_N(x) \end{pmatrix}
\]
takes finitely many values in \( \mathbb{R}^N \). Therefore we may partition \( X \) into finitely many disjoint, \( \mathcal{A} \)-measurable subsets \( E_0, E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_M \) such that \( (4.7) \) is constant on the subset \( E_m \) for each \( m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M \). Clearly we may assume that the map \( (4.7) \) takes the value 0 on the subset \( E_0 \), where \( E_0 \) may be the empty set. Then for \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) we select a nonzero (column) vector \( a_m \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) such that
\[
\begin{pmatrix} F_1(x) \\ F_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ F_N(x) \end{pmatrix} = a_m \quad \text{whenever } x \in E_m.
\]
We write \( (4.5) \) for the corresponding real \( N \times M \) matrix, where \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_M \) denote the columns of the matrix \( A \). If we also write \( \chi_{E_m}(x) \) for the characteristic function of the \( \mathcal{A} \)-measurable subset \( E_m \), then each simple function \( F_l(x) \) can be represented as
\[
(4.8) \quad F_l(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{lm} \chi_{E_m}(x).
\]
If one or more of the subsets \( E_m \) occurring in the sum \( (4.8) \) has \( \nu \)-measure zero, then we can remove that term from the sum. This changes each of the functions \( F_l \) on a set of \( \nu \)-measure zero, and so does not change the element that \( F_l \) represents in the Banach space \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \). Thus we may assume without loss of generality that \( 0 < \nu(E_m) \) for each \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \). For each \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) there exists an integer \( l \) so that \( a_{lm} \neq 0 \). As the corresponding simple function \( F_l(x) \) is \( \nu \)-integrable on \( X \), we must also have \( \nu(E_m) < \infty \).
By hypothesis the simple functions $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent. It follows that if $\xi \neq 0$ is in $\mathbb{R}^N$, then
\[
\sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l F_l(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l a_{lm} \right\} \chi_{E_m}(x)
\]
is not zero in $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$. This shows that the rows of the matrix $A$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent, and hence $A$ has rank $N$. \qed

Lemma 4. Suppose that $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent functions in $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent simple functions $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N$ defined on $X$ and $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent simple functions $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N$ defined on $X$, such that
\[
\sum_{l=1}^{N} ||F_l - s_l||_1 < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{l=1}^{N} ||F_l - t_l||_1 < \epsilon,
\]
and the inequalities
\[
\left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l s_l \right\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l F_l \right\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l t_l \right\|_1
\]
hold for all vectors $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. Let $C_1$ be a positive constant such that $||F_l||_1 \leq C_1$ for each $l = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Because the functions $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent, there exists a positive constant $3C_2$ such that
\[
3C_2 |\xi|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l F_l \right\|_1
\]
for all vectors $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $0 < \eta \leq C_2$, and then let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N$ be simple functions on $X$ such that
\[
\sum_{l=1}^{N} ||F_l - u_l||_1 < \eta.
\]
It follows that
\[
3C_2 |\xi|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l (F_l - u_l) \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l u_l \right\|_1
\]
\[
\leq \eta |\xi|_{\infty} + \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l u_l \right\|_1,
\]
and therefore
\[
2C_2 |\xi|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_l u_l \right\|_1
\]
for all vectors $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. In particular, (4.12) implies that the simple functions $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent. We also get

$$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i u_l \right\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i (u_l - F_l) \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i F_l \right\|_1$$

$$\leq \eta |\xi|_{\infty} + \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i F_l \right\|_1$$

$$\leq \eta (2C_2)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i u_l \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i F_l \right\|_1,$$

and therefore

(4.13) $$(1 - \eta (2C_2)^{-1}) \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i u_l \right\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i F_l \right\|_1$$

for all vectors $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. In a similar manner we find that

(4.14) $$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i F_l \right\|_1 \leq (1 + \eta (2C_2)^{-1}) \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi_i u_l \right\|_1$$

for all vectors $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Thus we define

$$s_l(x) = (1 - \eta (2C_2)^{-1}) u_l(x) \quad \text{and} \quad t_l(x) = (1 + \eta (2C_2)^{-1}) u_l(x),$$

for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. It follows that $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N$ are linearly independent simple functions defined on $X$, and the inequality (4.10) holds for all vectors $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

To complete the proof we observe that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} \| F_l - s_l \|_1 \leq \sum_{l=1}^{N} \| F_l - u_l \|_1 + \eta (2C_2)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \| u_l \|_1$$

$$\leq \eta + \eta (2C_2)^{-1} \left( \eta + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \| F_l \|_1 \right)$$

$$\leq \eta (1 + (2C_2)^{-1}) (C_2 + C_1 N)$$

$$< \epsilon,$$

provided $\eta$ is sufficiently small. An identical bound applies to the sum on the left of (4.15) but with $s_n$ replaced by $t_n$. This verifies (4.9). \hfill \Box

**Lemma 5.** Suppose that $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N$ and $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_N$ belong to $L^1(X, A, \nu)$. Let $C_1$ be a positive constant such that $\|F_n\|_1 \leq C_1$ and $\|G_n\|_1 \leq C_1$ hold for each $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Then we have

$$\int_{X^N} \left| \Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x) - \Delta(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_N; x) \right| \, d\nu^N(x)$$

(4.16) $$\leq N! C_1^{N-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \| F_l - G_l \|_1.$$
Proof. We use the identity
\[
\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x) - \Delta(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_N; x)
= \sum_{l=1}^{N} \Delta(G_1, \ldots, G_{l-1}, F_l, F_{l+1}, \ldots, F_N; x)
- \sum_{l=1}^{N} \Delta(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{l-1}, G_l, F_{l+1}, \ldots, F_N; x)
= \sum_{l=1}^{N} \Delta(G_1, \ldots, G_{l-1}, F_l - G_l, F_{l+1}, \ldots, F_N; x)
\]
and the bound (4.3). In this way we obtain the inequality
\[
\int_{X^N} \left| \Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x) - \Delta(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_N; x) \right| \, d\nu^N(x)
\leq \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{X^N} \left| \Delta(G_1, \ldots, G_{l-1}, F_l - G_l, F_{l+1}, \ldots, F_N; x) \right| \, d\nu^N(x)
\leq N! \sum_{l=1}^{N} \left\{ \|G_1\|_1 \cdots \|G_{l-1}\|_1 \|F_l - G_l\|_1 \|F_{l+1}\|_1 \cdots \|F_N\|_1 \right\}
\leq N! C_1^{N-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \|F_l - G_l\|_1,
\]
which proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 4. First we assume that \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \) are \( \mathbb{R} \)-linearly independent simple functions in \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \). Let \( A = (a_{lm}) \) denote the \( N \times M \) matrix (4.5), and let
\[
X = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \cdots \cup E_M
\]
be the partition of \( X \) into disjoint \( \mathcal{A} \)-measurable subsets which satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 3. Using these objects we define a second \( N \times M \) matrix
\[
D = (a_{lm}\nu(E_m)).
\]
As \( 0 < \nu(E_m) < \infty \) for each \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), we conclude that the matrix \( D \) also has rank \( N \).

Let \( g \) belong to \( L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) and satisfy \( \|g\|_\infty \leq 1 \). Then it follows from (3.16) and (4.6) that
\[
T^*(g) = (\langle F_1, g \rangle)
= \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{lm} \langle \chi_{E_m}, g \rangle \right)
= \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{lm}\nu(E_m)w_m \right)
= Dw
\]
is the image of $g$ in $B^*$, where $w = (w_m)$ is the vector in $\mathbb{R}^M$ determined by

$$w_m = \nu(E_m)^{-1} \int_{E_m} g(x) \, d\nu(x). \quad (4.19)$$

Because $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, it is obvious that $\|w\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. For an arbitrary vector $w$ in $\mathbb{R}^M$ with $\|w\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, we can clearly select a function $g$ in $L^\infty(X, A, \nu)$ such that $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and the co-ordinates of $w$ are given by (4.19). It follows that $B^*$ as defined by (3.16) is also given by

$$B^* = \{ Dw : w \in \mathbb{R}^M \text{ and } \|w\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \}. \quad (4.20)$$

Then from McMullen’s formula (2.9) we get

$$\text{Vol}_N(B^*) = 2^N \sum_{|I|=N} |\det D_I| \quad (4.21)$$

$$= 2^N \sum_{|I|=N} |\det A_I| \prod_{m \in I} \nu(E_m).$$

For $x$ in $X^N$ let $Z(x)$ denote the $M \times N$ matrix

$$Z(x) = (\chi_{E_m(x_n)}), \quad (4.22)$$

where $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ indexes rows and $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ indexes columns. Then (4.6) leads to the matrix identity

$$(F_1(x_n)) = AZ(x).$$

Hence by the Cauchy-Binet identity we have

$$\det(F_1(x_n)) = \sum_{|I|=N} \det A_I \det I Z(x), \quad (4.23)$$

where $A_I$ is the $N \times N$ submatrix of $A$ with columns indexed by $I$, and $I Z(x)$ is the $N \times N$ submatrix of $Z(x)$ with rows indexed by $I$. For each subset

$I = \{m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_N\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}$

with cardinality $N$, let $\mathcal{E}(I) \subseteq X^N$ denote the subset

$$\mathcal{E}(I) = \bigcup_{\pi \in S_N(I)} \{ E_{\pi(m_1)} \times E_{\pi(m_2)} \times \cdots \times E_{\pi(m_N)} \} \subseteq X^N, \quad (4.24)$$

where $S_N(I)$ is the symmetric group on the elements of the set $I$. From (4.22) and elementary properties of the determinant we find that the map

$$x \mapsto \det I Z(x)$$

is supported on the subset $\mathcal{E}(I)$, and this map takes the value $\pm 1$ at each point of $\mathcal{E}(I)$. We also note that

$$\mathcal{E}(I) : I \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, M\} \text{ and } |I| = N \} \quad (4.25)$$
is a collection of disjoint $A^N$-measurable subsets of $X^N$. Then from (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25), we obtain the identity

$$
\int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x)
= \sum_{|I|=N} |\det A_I| \int_{E(I)} |\det I Z(x)| \, d\nu^N(x)
= \sum_{|I|=N} |\det A_I| \nu^N(E(I))
= N! \sum_{|I|=N} |\det A_I| \prod_{m \in I} \nu(E_m).
$$

(4.26)

By combining (4.21) and (4.26) we obtain the desired formula (4.4), but under the assumption that $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N$ are simple functions.

Now assume that $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N$ are arbitrary $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent functions in $L^1(X, A, \nu)$ and let $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. By Lemma 4 there exist $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent simple functions $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N$ defined on $X$, and $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent simple functions $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N$ defined on $X$, which satisfy the conclusions (4.9) and (4.10) of that result. Write

$$
C_1 = 2 \max \{ \|F_1\|_1, \|F_2\|_1, \ldots, \|F_N\|_1 \},
$$

so that

$$
\max \{ \|F_1\|_1, \ldots, \|F_N\|_1, \|s_1\|_1, \ldots, \|s_N\|_1, \|t_1\|_1, \ldots, \|t_N\|_1 \} \leq C_1.
$$

Let

$$
B_s = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|\xi_1 s_1 + \xi_2 s_2 + \cdots + \xi_N s_N\|_1 \leq 1 \}
$$

and

$$
B_t = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|\xi_1 t_1 + \xi_2 t_2 + \cdots + \xi_N t_N\|_1 \leq 1 \}
$$

be the corresponding unit balls. From the inequality (4.10) we conclude that

$$
B_t \subseteq B \subseteq B_s,
$$

and therefore

$$
(4.27) \quad B^*_s \subseteq B^* \subseteq B^*_t.
$$

In particular, (4.27) implies that

$$
(4.28) \quad \text{Vol}_N(B^*_s) \leq \text{Vol}_N(B^*) \leq \text{Vol}_N(B^*_t).
$$

As $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N$ are simple functions, by the case already considered we have

$$
(4.29) \quad \text{Vol}(B^*_s) = \frac{2^N}{N!} \int_{X^N} |\Delta(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x),
$$

and similarly

$$
(4.30) \quad \text{Vol}(B^*_t) = \frac{2^N}{N!} \int_{X^N} |\Delta(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x).
$$
Using (4.16), (4.27) and (4.29), we obtain the inequality
\[
\frac{2^N}{N!} \int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x) - \text{Vol}_N(B^*)
\leq \frac{2^N}{N!} \left\{ \int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x) - \int_{X^N} |\Delta(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x) \right\}
\leq (2C_1)^N \sum_{n=1}^N \|F_n - s_n\|_1
\leq (2C_1)^N \epsilon.
\]

Then the analogous inequality
\[
\text{Vol}_N(B^*) - \frac{2^N}{N!} \int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x) \leq (2C_1)^N \epsilon
\]
can be established in a similar manner by using (4.30). The identity (4.4) in the general case now follows by combining (4.31) and (4.32).

5. Application of Minkowski’s second theorem

Again we suppose that \(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N\) are \(\mathbb{R}\)-linearly independent functions in the real Banach space \(L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu)\). We write \(B\) for the unit ball in \(\mathbb{R}^N\) defined by (3.15), and \(B^*\) for the dual unit ball defined by (3.16). Lemma 2 shows that \(B^*\) is a zonoid. The inequality (2.4) has been established by S. Reisner [28] in this case, and therefore we have the inequality
\[
\frac{4^N}{N!} \leq \text{Vol}_N(B) \text{Vol}_N(B^*)
\]
for the volume product. From (5.1) and the formula for the volume of a zonoid established in Theorem 4, we conclude that
\[
2^N \leq \text{Vol}_N(B) \int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x).
\]
Let \(0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_N < \infty\) denote the successive minima of the convex symmetric set \(B\) with respect to the integer lattice \(\mathbb{Z}^N\). We recall (see [12, Chapter VIII] for further details) that \(\mu_n\) is defined by
\[
\mu_n = \inf \{ r > 0 : rB \cap \mathbb{Z}^N \text{ contains } n \text{ linearly independent points} \}.
\]
If we write \(T : \mathbb{R}^N \to L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu)\) for the linear transformation defined by (3.11), then it follows [12, Lemma 1, Chapter VIII] that there exist linearly independent points \(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N\) in the integer lattice \(\mathbb{Z}^N\) such that
\[
\|T(b_n)\|_1 = \mu_n \quad \text{for each} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
\]
Minkowski’s theorem on successive minima [12, Theorem V, Chapter VIII] asserts that the successive minima satisfy the fundamental inequality
\[
\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_N \text{Vol}_N(B) \leq 2^N.
\]
Then the inequalities (5.2) and (5.4) imply that
\begin{equation}
\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_N \leq \int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x).
\end{equation}

We reformulate these results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \) be \( \mathbb{R} \)-linearly independent functions in \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) and let \( T : \mathbb{R}^N \to L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) be the linear transformation defined by
\begin{equation}
T(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n F_n(x).
\end{equation}

Then there exist linearly independent points \( b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N \) in the integer lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^N \) such that
\begin{equation}
\prod_{n=1}^{N} \|T(b_n)\|_1 \leq \int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x).
\end{equation}

Moreover, the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module generated by \( b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N \) has index at most \( N! \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^N \).

**Proof.** The inequality (5.6) plainly follows from (5.3) and (5.5). The last assertion of the theorem is a well-known corollary of Minkowski’s theorem (see [12, Corollary, Theorem V, Chapter VIII]). \( \square \)

Suppose that \( U = (u_{mn}) \) is an \( N \times N \) real matrix and \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_N \) are functions in \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) determined for each \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) by
\begin{equation}
G_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{mn} F_n(x).
\end{equation}

Then at each point \( x \) in \( X^N \) the identity
\begin{equation}
\Delta(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_N; x) = (\text{det } U) \Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)
\end{equation}
follows immediately from the definition (4.1). If the matrix \( U \) has integer entries and \( \text{det } U = \pm 1 \), then the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module generated by the functions \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_N \) is obviously equal to the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module generated by \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \). In this case it follows from (5.8) that the value of the integral
\begin{equation}
\int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x)
\end{equation}
depends only on the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module in \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) generated by \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \), and does not depend on the choice of generators.

By a **lattice** in \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) we understand a discrete \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( \mathcal{L} \) in \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) that is generated by a finite collection of \( \mathbb{R} \)-linearly independent functions. If \( \mathcal{L} \) is a lattice and has rank \( N \), and if \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N \) is a collection of \( \mathbb{R} \)-linearly independent functions in \( L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu) \) that generate \( \mathcal{L} \), then we define
\begin{equation}
I(\mathcal{L}) = \int_{X^N} |\Delta(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N; x)| \, d\nu^N(x).
\end{equation}

By our previous remarks the value of the integral \( I(\mathcal{L}) \) is well defined because it does not depend on the choice of generators.
Corollary 2. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice in $L^1(X, A, \nu)$ of rank $N$. Then there exist linearly independent functions $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_N$ in $\mathcal{L}$ such that

$$
(5.10) \prod_{n=1}^{N} \|H_n\|_1 \leq I(\mathcal{L}).
$$

Moreover, the $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_N$ has index at most $N!$ in the lattice $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 5 to a basis for $\mathcal{L}$, and then we let $H_n = T(b_n)$. \qed

6. Heights on finitely generated subgroups

In this section we return to the situation discussed in the introduction. Let $k$ be an algebraic number field of degree $d$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, $v$ a place of $k$ and $k_v$ the completion of $k$ at $v$. We select two absolute values from the place $v$. The first is denoted by $\| \|$ and defined as follows:

(i) if $v \mid \infty$, then $\| \|$ is the unique absolute value on $k_v$ that extends the usual absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R}$,

(ii) if $v \mid p$, then $\| \|$ is the unique absolute value on $k_v$ that extends the usual $p$-adic absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

The second absolute value is denoted by $| |$ and defined by $|x|_v = \|x\|^d_v/d_v$ for all $x$ in $k_v$, where $d_v = [k_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$ is the local degree. If $\alpha$ belongs to the multiplicative group $k^\times$ of nonzero elements in $k$, then these absolute values satisfy the product formula

$$
(6.1) \sum_v \log |\alpha|_v = 0,
$$

where the sum on the left of (6.1) is over all places $v$ of $k$, and only finitely many terms in the sum are nonzero. If $\alpha$ is in $k^\times$, then the absolute, logarithmic Weil height (or simply the height) of $\alpha$ is defined by

$$
(6.2) h(\alpha) = \sum_v \log^+ |\alpha|_v.
$$

From (6.1) and (6.2) we obtain the identity

$$
(6.3) 2h(\alpha) = \sum_v |\log |\alpha|_v|,
$$

where $| |$ (an absolute value without a subscript) is the usual archimedean absolute value on $\mathbb{R}$. It can be shown that the value of the sum in (6.2) does not depend on the field $k$ that contains $\alpha$. Thus the height is well defined on the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Q}^\times$, and the height is constant on each coset of the torsion subgroup $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}^\times)$. Therefore it is well defined on the quotient $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Q}^\times / \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}^\times)$. As noted in the introduction, $\mathcal{G}$ is a vector space (written multiplicatively) over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers, and $\alpha \mapsto 2h(\alpha)$ is a norm on $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $Y$ denote the set of all places $y$ of the field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $k \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an algebraic number field such that $k/\mathbb{Q}$ is a finite Galois extension. At each place $v$ of $k$ we write

$$
(6.4) Y(k, v) = \{y \in Y : y|v\}.
$$
for the subset of places of $Y$ that lie over $v$. Clearly we can express $Y$ as the disjoint union

$$Y = \bigcup_v Y(k,v),$$

where the union is over all places $v$ of $k$. In [1, section 2] the authors show that each subset $Y(k,v)$ can be expressed as an inverse limit of finite sets. This determines a totally disconnected, compact, Hausdorff topology in $Y(k,v)$. Then it follows from (6.5) that $Y$ is a totally disconnected, locally compact, Hausdorff space. The topology induced in $Y$ does not depend on the number field $k$. It is also shown in [1, section 3] that for each finite Galois extension $k/\mathbb{Q}$ the absolute Galois group $\text{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/k)$ acts transitively and continuously on the elements of each compact, open subset $Y(k,v)$. Moreover (see [1, Theorem 4]), there exists a regular measure $\lambda$ defined on the Borel subsets of $Y$ that is positive on nonempty open sets, finite on compact sets, and satisfies the identity $\lambda(\tau E) = \lambda(E)$ for all automorphisms $\tau$ in $\text{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/k)$ and all Borel subsets $E$ of $Y$. The measure $\lambda$ is unique up to a positive multiplicative constant. In [1, Theorem 5] we established a choice of $\lambda$ such that

$$\lambda(Y(k,v)) = \left[\frac{[k_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]}\right] \left[\frac{[k : \mathbb{Q}]}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]}\right].$$

for each finite Galois extension $k/\mathbb{Q}$ and each place $v$ of $k$. More generally, if $k/\mathbb{Q}$ is a finite, but not necessarily Galois, extension, then the identity (6.6) continues to hold.

**Lemma 6.** Let $\lambda$ be the unique regular measure defined on the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of Borel subsets of $Y$ which satisfies $\lambda(\tau E) = \lambda(E)$ for all automorphisms $\tau$ in $\text{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/k)$ and all Borel subsets $E$ of $Y$, and which satisfies (6.6) for all finite Galois extensions $k/\mathbb{Q}$ and all places $v$ of $k$. Then the identity (6.6) holds for all finite, but not necessarily Galois, extensions $k/\mathbb{Q}$.

**Proof.** Let $l/\mathbb{Q}$ be a finite Galois extension such that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq k \subseteq l$. Then for each place $v$ of $k$ we have the disjoint union

$$Y(k,v) = \bigcup_{w|v} Y(l,w),$$

where the union on the right of (6.7) is over all places $w$ of the finite Galois extension $l$ such that $w|v$. As (6.6) is already known to hold for finite Galois extensions, we find that

$$\lambda(Y(k,v)) = \sum_{w|v} \lambda(Y(l,w))$$

$$= \sum_{w|v} \left[\frac{l_w : \mathbb{Q}_w}{l : \mathbb{Q}}\right] \left[\frac{l : \mathbb{Q}}{[l : k]}\right] \left[\frac{[k : \mathbb{Q}]}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]}\right]$$

$$= \left[\frac{[k_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]}\right].$$

This verifies the lemma. \qed
If \( y \) is a place in \( Y(k, v) \) we select an absolute value \( \| \|_y \) from \( y \) such that the restriction of \( \| \|_y \) to \( k \) is equal to \( \| \|_v \). As the restriction of \( \| \|_v \) to \( \mathbb{Q} \) is one of the usual absolute values on \( \mathbb{Q} \), it follows that this choice of the normalized absolute value \( \| \|_y \) does not depend on \( k \). If \( \alpha \) is a point in \( \mathcal{G} \), then we associate \( \alpha \) with the continuous, compactly supported function

\[
y \mapsto f_\alpha(y) = \log \| \alpha \|_y
\]

defined on the locally compact Hausdorff space \( Y \) (see [1, equation (1.9)]). Each function (6.8) belongs to the real Banach space \( L^1(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda) \), where \( \mathcal{B} \) is the \( \sigma \)-algebra of Borel subsets of \( Y \), and \( \lambda \) is the normalized measure on \( \mathcal{B} \) that is invariant with respect to the natural Galois action (see [1, Theorem 4]). Moreover, the map

\[
\alpha \mapsto f_\alpha
\]

is a linear transformation from the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( \mathcal{G} \) into the real Banach space \( L^1(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda) \). Let

\[
\mathcal{F} = \{ f_\alpha(y) : \alpha \in \mathcal{G} \}
\]

denote the image of \( \mathcal{G} \) under this linear map. Then \( \alpha \mapsto 2h(\alpha) \) is a norm on the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( \mathcal{G} \), and \( f_\alpha \mapsto \| f_\alpha \|_1 \) is obviously a norm on the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( \mathcal{F} \). With respect to these norms, the map \( \alpha \rightarrow f_\alpha \) is a linear isometry from the vector space \( \mathcal{G} \) (written multiplicatively) onto the vector space \( \mathcal{F} \) (written additively). It follows from the product formula (see [1, equation (1.10)]) that each function \( f_\alpha \) in \( \mathcal{F} \) belongs to the closed subspace

\[
\mathcal{X} = \{ F \in L^1(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda) : \int_Y F(y) \, d\lambda(y) = 0 \}.
\]

Then Theorem 3 in [1] asserts that \( \mathcal{F} \) is a dense subset of \( \mathcal{X} \) with respect to the \( L^1 \)-norm.

As \( \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha \) is a linear isometry, we have

\[
h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Y |f_\alpha(y)| \, d\lambda(y)
\]

at each point \( \alpha \) in \( \mathcal{G} \). We wish to extend (6.10) to finitely generated subgroups of \( \mathcal{G} \), or equivalently to finitely generated subgroups of \( \mathcal{F} \). To accomplish this we require the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.** Suppose that \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N \) are \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linearly independent elements of \( \mathcal{G} \). Then the corresponding functions \( f_{\alpha_1}(y), f_{\alpha_2}(y), \ldots, f_{\alpha_N}(y) \) are \( \mathbb{R} \)-linearly independent elements of \( \mathcal{X} \).

**Proof.** For each \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), let \( \gamma_n \) be a representative in \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times \) of the coset \( \alpha_n \) in \( \mathcal{G} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times / \text{Tor}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times) \). Let \( k \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) be an algebraic number field such that

\[
\{ \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_N \} \subseteq k^\times.
\]

Then let \( S \) be a finite subset of places of \( k \) such that \( S \) contains all archimedean places of \( k \), and such that

\[
\{ \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_N \} \subseteq U_S(k),
\]

where

\[
U_S(k) = \{ \beta \in k : |\beta|_v = 1 \text{ for all } v \notin S \}
\]
denotes the multiplicative group of \( S \)-units in \( k \). Write \( s \) for the cardinality of \( S \) and assume that \( s \geq 2 \). By the \( S \)-unit theorem (stated as [26, Theorem 3.5]), there
exist multiplicatively independent elements $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{s-1}$ in $U_S(k)$ which form a fundamental system of $S$-units. Write
\begin{equation}
M = ([k_v : \mathbb{Q}_v] \log \| \eta_r \|_v)
\end{equation}
for the associated $(s-1) \times s$ real matrix, where $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s-1$ indexes rows and $v$ in $S$ indexes columns. As the $S$-regulator does not vanish, the $s-1$ rows of the matrix $M$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent.

Because the elements $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{s-1}$ form a fundamental system of $S$-units, there exists an $N \times (s-1)$ integer matrix
\begin{equation}
L = (l_{nr}),
\end{equation}
where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ indexes rows and $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s-1$ indexes columns, such that
\begin{equation}
\log \| \gamma_n \|_v = \sum_{r=1}^{s-1} l_{nr} \log \| \eta_r \|_v
\end{equation}
at each place $v$ in $S$. Write $\Gamma$ for the $N \times s$ matrix
\begin{equation}
\Gamma = ([k_v : \mathbb{Q}_v] \log \| \gamma_n \|_v),
\end{equation}
where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ indexes rows and $v$ in $S$ indexes columns. Then (6.13) implies that $\Gamma = LM$. By hypothesis the rows of $\Gamma$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent. Therefore the rows of $L$ must be $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent. Because $L$ has integer entries, it follows that the rows of $L$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent. As the rows of $M$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent, we find that the rows of $\Gamma = LM$ are also $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent. This proves the lemma.

Let $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be a finitely generated subgroup of rank $N$. The map (6.9) is an isometric isomorphism from the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathcal{G}$ onto the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathcal{F}$, and therefore we may identify $\mathfrak{A}$ with its image $\mathfrak{A}'$ in $\mathcal{F}$. If the elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N$ form a basis for $\mathfrak{A}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then their images $f_{\alpha_1}(y), f_{\alpha_2}(y), \ldots, f_{\alpha_N}(y)$ in $\mathcal{F}$ obviously form a basis for $\mathfrak{A}'$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module in $\mathcal{F}$. Then Lemma 7 asserts that the functions $f_{\alpha_1}(y), f_{\alpha_2}(y), \ldots, f_{\alpha_N}(y)$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent elements of the Banach space $\mathcal{X}$, and therefore they generate a lattice in $\mathcal{X}$ as discussed in section 5. Therefore we define the height of $\mathfrak{A}$ (or the height of $\mathfrak{A}'$) by
\begin{equation}
\text{height} \mathfrak{A} = 2^{-N} \int_{\chi} |\Delta(f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, f_{\alpha_N}; y) | \, d\lambda^N(y).
\end{equation}
Because of the identity (5.8), the integral on the right of (6.14) does not depend on the choice of generators for the subgroup $\mathfrak{A}$, and therefore $\text{height} \mathfrak{A}$ is well defined on the collection of finitely generated subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$. Of course this height is (aside from the factor $2^{-N}$) a special case of the expression (5.9) which is well defined on the more general collection of finitely generated subgroups in $L^1(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$. We note that if $\mathfrak{A}$ has rank 1, then (6.14) is the absolute logarithmic height (6.11) applied to a generator of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N$ form a basis for the finitely generated subgroup $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. By Lemma 7 the functions $f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, f_{\alpha_N}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent elements of the Banach space $\mathcal{X} \subseteq L^1(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$. Therefore we can apply
Theorem 5 with $F_n = f_{\alpha_n}$. By that result there exist linearly independent points $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N$ in the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^N$ which satisfy the inequality (5.6). Write

$$(b_1 \ b_2 \ \cdots \ b_N) = (b_{mn})$$

for the corresponding $N \times N$ matrix having the vector $b_n$ as its $n$th column. For $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ let $\beta_n$ in $\mathcal{G}$ be defined by

$$f_{\beta_n}(y) = \sum_{m=1}^N b_{mn} f_{\alpha_m}(y).$$

Then (5.6) can be written as

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \left\{ \int_Y \left| f_{\beta_n}(y) \right| \ d\lambda(y) \right\} \leq \int_{Y^N} \left| \Delta(f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, f_{\alpha_N}; y) \right| \ d\lambda^N(y).$$

The inequality (1.6) follows from (6.16) by applying identities (6.10) and (6.14) for the height.

The sublattice generated by the vectors $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N$ has index at most $N!$ in $\mathbb{Z}^N$. Then it follows from (6.15) that the subgroup $\mathfrak{B}$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_N$ has the same index, and in particular has index at most $N!$. □

7. Application of Siegel’s Lemma

Again we suppose that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N$ are elements of $\mathcal{G}$, but in this section we assume that these elements generate a multiplicative subgroup $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$ with positive rank $M$, and we assume that $1 \leq M < N$. Hence the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of linear dependencies,

$$Z = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \prod_{n=1}^N \alpha_n^{z_n} = 1 \right\},$$

has rank $L = N - M$. We use the functions $f_{\alpha_1}(y), f_{\alpha_2}(y), \ldots, f_{\alpha_N}(y)$ in $\mathcal{F}$ to define an $M \times N$ matrix

$$U(\alpha; y) = (f_{\alpha_n}(y_l))$$

with entries in $\mathcal{F}$, where $l = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ indexes rows and $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ indexes columns. Then we define a nonnegative function $Q : Y^M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$Q(\alpha; y) = \left\{ \det(U(\alpha; y)U(\alpha; y)^T) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left\{ \det \left( \sum_{n=1}^N f_{\alpha_n}(y_l) f_{\alpha_n}(y_m) \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using Hadamard’s inequality (see [15, Theorem 30]) we find that

$$Q(\alpha; y) \leq \prod_{m=1}^M \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N (f_{\alpha_n}(y_m))^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \prod_{m=1}^M \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N |f_{\alpha_n}(y_m)| \right\}$$

(7.4)
at each point \( y \) in \( Y^M \). By integrating both sides of (7.3) over \( Y^M \), we get the bound

\[
2^{-M} \int_{Y^M} Q(\alpha; y) \, d\lambda^M(y) \leq 2^{-M} \prod_{m=1}^{M} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{Y} |f_{\alpha_n}(y_m)| \, d\lambda(y_m) \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} h(\alpha_n) \right\}^M.
\]

(7.5)

In particular (7.5) shows that the function \( y \mapsto Q(y) \) is integrable on \( Y^M \) with respect to the product measure \( \lambda^M \).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N \) be elements of \( G \) which generate a subgroup \( \mathfrak{A} \) of positive rank \( M \). If \( 1 \leq M < N \), then there exist \( L = N - M \) linearly independent elements \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_L \) in the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( \mathcal{Z} \) defined by (7.1), such that

\[
\prod_{l=1}^{L} |z_l|_\infty \cdot h(\mathfrak{A}) \leq 2^{-M} \int_{Y^M} Q(\alpha; y) \, d\lambda^M(y).
\]

(7.6)

**Proof.** Let \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_M \) form a basis for \( \mathfrak{A} \). Then the functions

\[
f_{\gamma_1}(y), f_{\gamma_2}(y), \ldots, f_{\gamma_M}(y)
\]

form a basis for the image of \( \mathfrak{A} \) in \( \mathcal{F} \). Hence there exists an \( M \times N \) matrix \( A = (a_{mn}) \) with integer entries such that

\[
f_{\alpha_n}(y) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{mn} f_{\gamma_m}(y)
\]

(7.8)

for each \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). Also, there exists an \( N \times M \) matrix \( B = (b_{nm}) \) with integer entries such that

\[
f_{\gamma_m}(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_{nm} f_{\alpha_n}(y)
\]

(7.9)

for each \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \). A vector \( z \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^N \) belongs to \( \mathcal{Z} \) if and only if

\[
0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} z_n f_{\alpha_n}(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} z_n \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{mn} f_{\gamma_m}(y) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{mn} z_n \right\} f_{\gamma_m}(y).
\]

(7.10)

Because the functions (7.7) form a basis, we find that

\[
\mathcal{Z} = \{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^N : Az = 0 \}.
\]

(7.11)

By the basis form of Siegel’s Lemma (see [8, Theorem 2]), there exist \( L = N - M \) linearly independent vectors \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_L \) in \( \mathcal{Z} \) such that

\[
\prod_{l=1}^{L} |z_l|_\infty \leq D^{-1} \left\{ \det AA^T \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]
where $D$ is the greatest common divisor of the collection of all $M \times M$ subdeterminants of the matrix $A$. Combining (7.8) and (7.9) leads to the identity $AB = 1_M$, where $1_M$ denotes the $M \times M$ identity matrix. As both $A$ and $B$ have integer entries, it follows from the Cauchy-Binet identity (see [12, Lemma 2, Chapter I]) that $D = 1$.

Let $V(\gamma; y) = (f_{\gamma_m}(y))$ denote the $M \times M$ matrix with entries in $X$, where $l = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ indexes rows and $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ indexes columns. Then (7.2) and (7.8) imply that $U(\alpha; y) = V(\gamma; y)A$, and therefore

$$Q(\alpha; y)^2 = \det(U(\alpha; y)U(\alpha; y)^T) = \det V(\gamma; y) \det AA^T \det V(\gamma; y)^T = \det AA^T (\det V(\gamma; y))^2.$$ 

It follows using (4.1) that

$$Q(\alpha; y) = \left\{ \det AA^T \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Delta(f_{\gamma_1}, f_{\gamma_2}, \ldots, f_{\gamma_M}; y)|.$$ 

Integrating both sides of (7.12) over $Y^M$ with respect to the product measure $\lambda^M$ leads to the identity

$$2^{-M} \int_{Y^M} Q(\alpha; y) \, d\lambda^M(y) = 2^{-M} \left\{ \det AA^T \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{Y^M} |\Delta(f_{\gamma_1}, f_{\gamma_2}, \ldots, f_{\gamma_M}; y)| \, d\lambda^M(y) = \left\{ \det AA^T \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} h(\mathfrak{A}).$$ 

The inequality (7.6) now follows by combining (7.11) and (7.13).

**Proof of Theorem 3.** We apply Theorem 1 to the subgroup $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ of rank $M$. By that result there exist $M$ multiplicatively independent elements $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_M$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$h(\beta_1)h(\beta_2) \cdots h(\beta_M) \leq h(\mathfrak{A}).$$ 

We use the bound (7.14) on the left hand side of (7.6), and we use (7.5) on the right hand side of (7.6). In this way we arrive at inequality (1.10) in the statement of Theorem 3.

**Proof of Corollary 2.** Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{s-1}$ be multiplicatively independent elements in $U_S(k)$ which form a fundamental system of $S$-units. Then

$$\{f_{\eta_1}(y), f_{\eta_2}(y), \ldots, f_{\eta_{s-1}}(y)\}$$ 

is the image of this fundamental system in $\mathcal{G}_k$, and therefore (7.15) forms a basis for the group $\Delta_S(k)$. At each place $v$ of $k$ let $E_v = \{y \in Y : y|v\}$, and write $\chi_{E_v}(y)$ for the characteristic function of the compact set $E_v$. Then at each point $y$ in $Y$ we have the representation

$$f_{\eta_l}(y) = \sum_{v \in S} \log \|\eta_l\|_v \chi_{E_v}(y), \quad \text{for} \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, s - 1.$$
Clearly (7.16) is analogous to representation (4.6) in the statement of Lemma 3 but now the disjoint subsets are

\[ \{ E_v : v \in S \}, \]

and they are indexed by the places in \( S \). Let \( A \) denote the \((s - 1) \times s\) real matrix

\[ A = \left( \log \| \eta_i \|_v \right), \]

where \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, s - 1 \) indexes rows and \( v \) in \( S \) indexes columns. For \( y \) in \( Y^{(s-1)} \) let \( Z(y) \) denote the \( s \times (s - 1) \) matrix

\[ Z(y) = \left( \chi_{E_v}(y_n) \right), \]

where \( v \) in \( S \) indexes rows and \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, s - 1 \) indexes columns.

From (6.14) we find that

\[ h(U_S(k)) = 2^{1-s} \int_{Y^{s-1}} |\Delta(f_{\eta_1}, f_{\eta_2}, \ldots, f_{\eta_{s-1}}; y)| \, d\lambda^{(s-1)}(y). \]

We argue exactly as in our derivation (4.26), and obtain the identity

\[ \int_{Y^{s-1}} |\Delta(f_{\eta_1}, f_{\eta_2}, \ldots, f_{\eta_{s-1}}; y)| \, d\lambda^{(s-1)}(y) \]

\[ = (s - 1)! \sum_{I \subseteq S, |I|=s-1} |\det A_I| \prod_{v \in I} \lambda(E_v), \]

where the sum on the right of (7.20) runs over subsets \( I \subseteq S \) with cardinality \( s - 1 \). Using Lemma 6 for each subset \( I \subseteq S \) with cardinality \( s - 1 \) we find that

\[ |\det A_I| \prod_{v \in I} \lambda(E_v) = [k : \mathbb{Q}]^{1-s} |\det A_I| \prod_{v \in I} [k_v : \mathbb{Q}_v] \]

\[ = [k : \mathbb{Q}]^{1-s} \det \left( [k_v : \mathbb{Q}_v] \log \| \eta_i \|_v \right) \]

\[ = [k : \mathbb{Q}]^{1-s} \text{Reg}_S(k), \]

where \( \text{Reg}_S(k) \) denotes the \( S \)-regulator. Finally, we combine (7.19), (7.20) and (7.21). In this way we obtain the identity

\[ h(U_S(k)) = \frac{(s - 1)! \text{Reg}_S(k)}{(2[k : \mathbb{Q}])^{s-1}} \sum_{I \subseteq S, |I|=s-1} 1 = \frac{s! \text{Reg}_S(k)}{(2[k : \mathbb{Q}])^{s-1}}. \]

This proves the corollary. \( \square \)
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