

THE MARCHENKO REPRESENTATION OF REFLECTIONLESS JACOBI AND SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

INJO HUR, MATT MCBRIDE, AND CHRISTIAN REMLING

ABSTRACT. We consider Jacobi matrices and Schrödinger operators that are reflectionless on an interval. We give a systematic development of a certain parametrization of this class, in terms of suitable spectral data, that is due to Marchenko. Then some applications of these ideas are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in one-dimensional Schrödinger operators,

$$(1.1) \quad (Hy)(x) = -y''(x) + V(x)y(x),$$

with locally integrable potentials V that are in the limit point case at $\pm\infty$ and in Jacobi matrices,

$$(1.2) \quad (Ju)_n = a_n u_{n+1} + a_{n-1} u_{n-1} + b_n u_n.$$

Here we assume that $a, b \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, $a_n > 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

These operators have associated half line m functions m_\pm . These are *Herglotz functions*, that is, they map the upper half plane \mathbb{C}^+ holomorphically to itself. (The precise definitions of m_\pm for Schrödinger and Jacobi operators will be reviewed below.)

Recall that we call an operator *reflectionless* on a Borel set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ of positive Lebesgue measure if m_\pm satisfy the following identity:

$$(1.3) \quad m_+(x) = \overline{-m_-(x)} \quad \text{for (Lebesgue) a.e. } x \in S.$$

Reflectionless operators are important because they can be thought of as the fundamental building blocks of arbitrary operators with some absolutely continuous spectrum. See [9, 16, 18]. Reflectionless operators have remarkable properties, and if an operator is reflectionless on an *interval* (rather than a more complicated set), one can say even more. So these operators are of special interest.

Marchenko [12] developed a certain parametrization of the class \mathcal{M}_R of Schrödinger operators H that are reflectionless on $(0, \infty)$ and have spectrum contained in $[-R^2, \infty)$ (we are paraphrasing, Marchenko does not emphasize this aspect, and his goals are different from ours). It is in fact easy in principle to give such a parametrization in terms of certain spectral data, which has been used by many authors [3, 13, 14, 18–20]. We will briefly review this material in Section 2. Marchenko’s parametrization is different, and it makes certain properties of reflectionless Schrödinger operators very transparent. Some of these applications will be

Received by the editors January 29, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 34L40, 47B36, 81Q10.

Key words and phrases. Jacobi matrix, Schrödinger operator, reflectionless.

The third author’s work was supported by NSF grant DMS 1200553.

discussed below. For a rather different application of Marchenko's parametrization, see [11], where this material is used to construct the KdV flow on \mathcal{M}_R .

We have two general goals in this paper. First, we present a direct and easy approach to Marchenko's parametrization that starts from scratch and does not use any machinery. Marchenko's treatment relies on inverse scattering theory as its main tool (which then needs to be combined with a limiting process, as most reflectionless operators do not fall under the scope of classical scattering theory) and is rather intricate. We hope that our approach will help put things in their proper context; among other things, it will explain the role of the inequalities imposed on the representing measures σ . We will also extend these ideas to the discrete setting; in fact, we will start with this case as some technical issues from the continuous setting are absent here. The second goal is to explore some consequences and applications of Marchenko's parametrization, in the form developed here. We will have more to say about this towards the end of this introduction.

For the precise statement of the Marchenko parametrizations, we refer the reader to Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 below. However, the basic ideas are easy to describe. If S is an interval, then it's well known (compare, for example, [10, Corollary 2]) that (1.3) guarantees the existence of a genuine holomorphic continuation of m_+ through S (this is not an immediate consequence of the Schwarz reflection principle because of the possible presence of an exceptional set where (1.3) fails). More precisely, we have the following (the proof will be reviewed in Section 2).

Lemma 1.1. *Fix an open interval $S = (a, b)$, and let m_+ be a Herglotz function. Then m_+ satisfies (1.3) for $S = (a, b)$ (for some Herglotz function m_-) if and only if m_+ has a holomorphic continuation*

$$M : \mathbb{C}^+ \cup S \cup \mathbb{C}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+.$$

Note that there are two conditions really: m_+ must have a continuation M to $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^+ \cup S \cup \overline{\mathbb{C}^-}$, and, moreover, M must map all of Ω to \mathbb{C}^+ . However, these properties are immediate consequences of the fact that if $S = (a, b)$, then the exceptional null set from (1.3) is empty, so this is what the lemma really says.

This continuation M is necessarily given by $M(z) = -\overline{m_-(\bar{z})}$ on the lower half plane $z \in \mathbb{C}^-$. In other words, (1.3) for $S = (a, b)$ lets us combine m_+ and m_- into one holomorphic function M on the simply connected domain Ω . We can then introduce a conformal change of variable $z = \varphi(\lambda)$, $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \Omega$, to obtain a new Herglotz function $F(\lambda) \equiv M(\varphi(\lambda))$. The measures from the Herglotz representations of these functions F will be the data that we will use to parametrize the operators from the Marchenko class \mathcal{M}_R .

Let us now discuss some applications. As an immediate minor pay-off, we obtain a very quick new proof of [17, Theorem 1.2], which is now seen to be an immediate consequence of our Theorem 3.1 below. Recall that this result states that if a Jacobi matrix is bounded and reflectionless on $(-2, 2)$, then $a_n \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and if $a_{n_0} = 1$ for a single $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $a_n \equiv 1$, $b_n \equiv 0$. In Proposition 3.3 we try to indicate how these ideas could, perhaps, be carried further.

More importantly, the material from Section 4 yields continuous analogs of these results. Here are three such consequences of the Marchenko parametrization, combined with the material from [16]. We are now interested in half line Schrödinger operators H_+ on $L^2(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following assumptions.

Hypothesis 1.1. $\Sigma_{ac}(H_+) \supset (0, \infty)$ and V is uniformly locally integrable, that is,

$$(1.4) \quad \sup_{x \geq 0} \int_x^{x+1} |V(t)| dt < \infty.$$

Here, Σ_{ac} denotes an essential support of the absolutely continuous part of the spectral measure of H_+ . In other words, we are assuming that $\chi_{(0,\infty)}(E) dE \ll d\rho_{ac}(E)$. This implies that, but is not equivalent to, $\sigma_{ac}(H_+) \supset [0, \infty)$. An H_+ satisfying Hypothesis 1.1 can, of course, have embedded singular spectrum in $(0, \infty)$, and can have arbitrary spectrum outside this set.

Notice also that (1.4) implies that H_+ is bounded below.

To obtain self-adjoint operators, one has to impose a boundary condition at $x = 0$, but since Σ_{ac} is independent of this boundary condition, we won't make it explicit here.

Let us now state three (closely related) sample results.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume Hypothesis 1.1. Then*

$$(1.5) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int V(x+t)\varphi(t) dt \leq 0$$

for every compactly supported, continuous function $\varphi \geq 0$.

This says that in the situation described by Hypothesis 1.1, the positive part of V will go to zero, in a weak sense.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume Hypothesis 1.1. If, in addition, $V \geq 0$ on $\bigcup(x_n - d, x_n + d)$ for some increasing sequence $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ with bounded gaps (that is, $\sup(x_{n+1} - x_n) < \infty$) and some $d > 0$, then*

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int V(x+t)\varphi(t) dt = 0$$

for every compactly supported, continuous function φ .

Theorem 1.3 is a variation on the (continuous) Denisov-Rakhmanov Theorem [5, 16]. Recall that the DR Theorem asserts that (1.6) will follow if, in addition to Hypothesis 1.1, we have that $\sigma_{ess}(H_+) = [0, \infty)$. In Theorem 1.3, we replace this latter assumption by partial information on V ; more precisely, we assume here that V is non-negative every once in a while, with positive frequency.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume Hypothesis 1.1. We are given $d > 0$ (arbitrarily small) and $\epsilon > 0$ and (arbitrarily many) compactly supported, continuous test functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$. Then there exist $x_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ so that the following holds: If $x \geq x_0$ and $V(t) \geq -\delta$ for $|t - x| < d$, then*

$$\left| \int V(t)\varphi_j(t-x) dt \right| < \epsilon$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$.

In particular, this conclusion is obtained if $V \geq 0$ on $|t - x| < d$, in which case δ becomes irrelevant.

This is an Oracle Theorem type statement that, roughly speaking, says that if V is almost non-negative anywhere, then V has to be close to zero on a very long interval centered at that point (not in a pointwise sense, though).

Let us now discuss a completely different application of the Marchenko parametrization. Call a half line operator H_+ or J_+ (on $L^2(0, \infty)$ or $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, respectively) reflectionless on S if the corresponding m function m_+ satisfies (1.3) for some (unique, if it exists at all) Herglotz function m_- .

Reflectionless half line operators may, of course, be obtained by restricting reflectionless whole line problems. Since reflectionless operators may be reconstructed from arbitrary half line restrictions, we can actually think of such a half line restriction as just another representation of the original whole line problem. Perhaps somewhat surprisingly, however, there are other examples:

Theorem 1.5. (a) *There exists a half line Jacobi matrix J_+ that is reflectionless on $(-2, 2)$, but is not the restriction of a reflectionless whole line Jacobi matrix.*

(b) *There exists a half line Schrödinger operator H_+ that is reflectionless on $(0, \infty)$, but is not the restriction of a reflectionless whole line Schrödinger operator.*

Put differently, the associated m function m_- that is obtained from m_+ via (1.3) is not the m function of a Jacobi matrix or Schrödinger operator, respectively. The examples we will construct to prove Theorem 1.5 will be quite explicit, especially in the discrete case; they will satisfy $\sigma(J_+) = [-2, 2]$, $\sigma(H_+) = [0, \infty)$, so it is not spectrum outside S (there isn't any) that produces this effect. We will see below that Theorem 1.5 is in fact a rather quick consequence of the Marchenko parametrization.

We organize this paper as follows. Section 2 presents a very quick review of certain spectral data that are tailor made for the discussion of reflectionless operators; we also prove Lemma 1.1 there. In Sections 3 and 4, we formulate and prove the Marchenko parametrizations in the discrete and continuous settings, respectively. The remaining results are proved in the final two sections.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We briefly review some standard material about certain spectral data that are particularly convenient if one wants to discuss reflectionless operators. See [14, 18] for a more comprehensive discussion.

Given a pair of Herglotz functions m_\pm that satisfies (1.3), consider $H = m_+ + m_-$. Since this is another Herglotz function, we can take a holomorphic logarithm, which is a Herglotz function itself, if we agree that $\text{Im } \ln H \in (0, \pi)$, say. The *Krein function* of H is then defined (almost everywhere, with respect to Lebesgue measure) by

$$\xi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Im } \ln H(x + iy).$$

We have that $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, and (1.3) implies that $\xi = 1/2$ a.e. on S . Next, if

$$H(z) = A + Bz + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{t - z} - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} \right) d\rho(t)$$

is the Herglotz representation of H , then it's easy to verify (see, for example, [18, Sect. 5] for the details) that

$$(2.1) \quad m_+(z) = A_+ + B_+z + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{t - z} - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} \right) f(t) d\rho(t),$$

and here $0 \leq B_+ \leq B$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $f = 1/2$ Lebesgue-a.e. on S .

Conversely, these data determine an m_+ that will satisfy (1.3). More explicitly, if measurable functions ξ, f with $0 \leq \xi, f \leq 1$ and $\xi = f = 1/2$ a.e. on S are given, and if we also choose three constants $C > 0$, $0 \leq c \leq 1$, $A_+ \in \mathbb{R}$, then ξ and C first of all determine a unique H with $|H(i)| = C$. We in fact have the explicit formula

$$(2.2) \quad H(z) = C \exp \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{t}{t^2+1} \right) \xi(t) dt \right].$$

Then (2.1) with $B_+ = cB$ defines an m_+ , which will satisfy (1.3), with $m_- = H - m_+$. Any m_+ satisfying (1.3) is obtained in this way.

Let us now sketch the proof of Lemma 1.1.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Obviously, if M is as in the lemma, then (1.3) holds, with $m_-(z) := -\overline{M(\bar{z})}$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}^+$).

Conversely, assume that (1.3) holds with $S = (a, b)$. Since it suffices to prove the claim for arbitrary bounded subintervals of S , we may assume that S itself is bounded. Now consider H , defined as above. As observed earlier, its Krein function satisfies $\xi = 1/2$ a.e. on S . Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \frac{dt}{t-z},$$

originally defined for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, has a holomorphic continuation through (a, b) (evaluate the integral!), we see from the exponential Herglotz representation (2.2) that H itself has the same property. Now (2.1) makes it clear that m_+ has such a holomorphic continuation, too. Here we use the fact that in the situation under consideration, ρ cannot have a singular part on (a, b) ; this follows immediately from our earlier observation that H can be holomorphically continued through this interval.

By (1.3), this continuation of m_+ must be given by $M(z) = -\overline{m_-(\bar{z})}$ for $z = x - iy$, $a < x < b$, $y > 0$ and small, so we can actually continue to all of \mathbb{C}^- and this continuation clearly maps $\mathbb{C}^+ \cup \mathbb{C}^-$ to \mathbb{C}^+ , and $\text{Im } M(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in S$. The proof is now finished by observing that the open mapping theorem gives us strict inequality here. \square

3. THE DISCRETE CASE

We are now interested in Jacobi matrices J on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ that are reflectionless on $S = (-2, 2)$ and satisfy $\|J\| \leq R$ for some $R \geq 2$. We will denote the collection of these Jacobi matrices by \mathcal{M}_R .

The half line m functions may be defined as follows: For $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, let $f_{\pm}(\cdot, z)$ be the solutions of

$$a_n f(n+1, z) + a_{n-1} f(n-1, z) + b_n f(n, z) = z f(n, z)$$

that are square summable near $\pm\infty$ (the assumption that J is bounded makes sure that these are unique up to multiplicative constants). Now we can let

$$m_{\pm}(z) = \mp \frac{f_{\pm}(1, z)}{a_0 f_{\pm}(0, z)}.$$

We are assuming (1.3) on $(-2, 2)$, so by Lemma 1.1, we can combine m_{\pm} into one function $M : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$, $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^+ \cup (-2, 2) \cup \mathbb{C}^-$. Off the interval $(-2, 2)$, M is given

by

$$(3.1) \quad M(z) = \begin{cases} m_+(z), & z \in \mathbb{C}^+, \\ -\overline{m_-}(\bar{z}), & z \in \mathbb{C}^-. \end{cases}$$

Following our earlier outline, we now want to introduce a conformal change of variable $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \Omega$. We will work with the specific map

$$\varphi(\lambda) = -\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

In the subsequent developments, it is useful to keep in mind that φ maps the upper half of the unit circle onto $(-2, 2)$. The upper semi-disk is mapped onto \mathbb{C}^+ , while the complement (in \mathbb{C}^+ , of the closed disk) goes to \mathbb{C}^- under φ . (Of course, φ is defined by the formula given for arbitrary $\lambda \neq 0$, and we will frequently make use of this extended map without further comment.)

As anticipated, we now define the new Herglotz function

$$F(\lambda) = M(\varphi(\lambda)) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+).$$

It will also be convenient to let r denote the solution $r + 1/r = R$ with $0 < r \leq 1$; this is well defined because we are assuming that $R \geq 2$. Also, we will write $\sigma_n \equiv \int t^n d\sigma(t)$ for the (generalized) moments of a measure σ , for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 3.1. *$J \in \mathcal{M}_R$ if and only if the associated F function is of the form*

$$(3.2) \quad F(\lambda) = -\sigma_{-1} + (1 - \sigma_{-2})\lambda + \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t - \lambda},$$

for some finite Borel measure σ on $(-1/r, -r) \cup (r, 1/r)$ that satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad 1 - \sigma_{-2} + \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t^2 + Et + 1} > 0$$

for all $|E| > R$.

To spell this out even more explicitly, this says that if $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$, then the associated F will have a representation of the form (3.2), with a σ that has the stated properties. It is also clear that we have uniqueness: J determines m_\pm and thus F and σ . Conversely, if a measure σ satisfies (3.3) (and is supported on the set given), then (3.2) defines a function that is the F function of a unique $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$.

In other words, Theorem 3.1 sets up a one-to-one correspondence between $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$ and the measures σ on $r < |t| < 1/r$ satisfying (3.3).

If we are not interested in the specific value of $\|J\|$, then we may interpret Theorem 3.1 as setting up a one-to-one correspondence between bounded, reflectionless (on $(-2, 2)$) Jacobi matrices and measures σ that are supported by a compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfy $\sigma_{-2} < 1$. To obtain this version, it suffices to observe that the integral from (3.3) goes to zero as $|E| \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof will depend on the asymptotic properties of m_\pm for a Jacobi matrix, so we briefly review these first. See, for example, [20, Ch. 2] for this material.

For any J with $\|J\| \leq R$, we have that

$$(3.4) \quad m_+(z) = \int \frac{d\rho_+(t)}{t - z},$$

$$(3.5) \quad a_0^2 m_-(z) = z - b_0 + a_{-1}^2 \int \frac{d\rho_-(t)}{t - z},$$

and here ρ_{\pm} are probability (Borel) measures supported by $[-R, R]$. Conversely, if we are given such data (that is, we are given two compactly supported probability measures ρ_{\pm} and numbers $a_0, a_{-1} > 0, b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$), then there will be a bounded whole line Jacobi matrix J with half line m functions given by (3.4) and (3.5). Moreover, if both ρ_+ and ρ_- have infinite supports, then this J will be unique.

Whether or not a given Herglotz function has a representation of this type can be decided by looking at the large z asymptotics:

Lemma 3.2. *Let g be a Herglotz function and let $a > 0$. Then*

$$g(z) = \int \frac{d\rho(t)}{t - z}, \quad \rho(\mathbb{R}) = a$$

for some finite measure ρ if and only if $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} yg(iy) = ia$.

Proof. If g has such a representation, then $yg(iy) \rightarrow ia$ follows immediately from dominated convergence. To prove the converse, write down the (general) Herglotz representation of g :

$$(3.6) \quad g(z) = A + Bz + \int \left(\frac{1}{t - z} - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} \right) d\rho(t).$$

Then

$$y \operatorname{Im} g(iy) = By^2 + \int \frac{y^2}{t^2 + y^2} d\rho(t).$$

By monotone convergence, the integral converges to $\rho(\mathbb{R})$, so it follows that $\rho(\mathbb{R}) = a$ and $B = 0$. In particular, we know now that ρ is finite, so we may split the integral from (3.6) into two parts and, using the hypothesis again, we then conclude that $A - \int t/(t^2 + 1) d\rho(t) = 0$. \square

We are now ready for the

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We first show that F, σ have the asserted properties if $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$. Recall first of all that m_{\pm} have holomorphic continuations to a neighborhood of $(-\infty, -R) \cup (R, \infty)$. (This continuation of m_+ will, of course, be different from the continuation M of the same function, where the domains overlap.) This follows because ρ_{\pm} are supported by $[-R, R]$. As a consequence, F can be holomorphically continued through $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{t : r \leq |t| \leq 1/r\}$; indeed, the set removed contains all those $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that get mapped to $[-R, R]$ under the map φ . At $t = 0$, we need to argue slightly differently: F can be holomorphically to a neighborhood of this point because $m_+(z)$ is holomorphic at $z = \infty$. We will discuss this in more detail shortly.

So, if we now write down the Herglotz representation of F , then the representing measure σ will be supported by $\{t : r \leq |t| \leq 1/r\}$. In particular, such a σ is finite, so we may again split off the $t/(t^2 + 1)$ term in (3.6) and absorb it by A . We arrive at the following representation:

$$(3.7) \quad F(\lambda) = A + B\lambda + \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t - \lambda}.$$

We can now identify A, B by comparing the asymptotics of this function, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, with those of m_+ . Indeed, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ is close to zero, then $\varphi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^+$, so $F(\lambda) = m_+(\varphi(\lambda))$ for these λ , and (3.4) shows that

$$m_+(\varphi(\lambda)) = -\frac{1}{\varphi(\lambda)} + O(\lambda^2) = \lambda + O(\lambda^2).$$

This confirms that $\sigma(\{0\}) = 0$, as claimed earlier. We then see from a Taylor expansion of (3.7) that

$$F(\lambda) = A + \sigma_{-1} + (B + \sigma_{-2})\lambda + O(\lambda^2).$$

It follows that $A = -\sigma_{-1}$ and $B = 1 - \sigma_{-2}$, as asserted in (3.2).

To obtain (3.3), we take a look at the function $H(z) = m_+(z) + m_-(z)$. As observed above, in the proof of Lemma 1.1, H has a holomorphic continuation through $(-2, 2)$. Equivalently, the function $h(\lambda) = H(\varphi(\lambda))$, originally defined for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+, |\lambda| < 1$, may be holomorphically continued through the upper half of the unit circle. On $|\lambda| = 1$, we can obtain this continuation as

$$h(\lambda) = F(\lambda) - \overline{F(\bar{\lambda})}$$

and since $\bar{\lambda} = 1/\lambda$ for these λ , this gives

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda) &= B\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \int \left(\frac{1}{t-\lambda} - \frac{1}{t-1/\lambda}\right) d\sigma(t) \\ (3.8) \quad &= \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \left(1 - \sigma_{-2} + \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{(t-\lambda)(t-1/\lambda)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand sides are analytic functions of λ , these formulae hold for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+, |\lambda| \leq 1$. It is useful to observe here that $h_0 = \lambda - 1/\lambda$ is the H function of the free Jacobi matrix $a_n \equiv 1$, $b_n \equiv 0$. Now $a_0^2 H(z) = -1/g(z)$, where $g(z) = \langle \delta_0, (J-z)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle$ is the Green function of J at $n = 0$. This implies that $H(x) < 0$ for $x < -R$ (to the left of the spectrum) and $H(x) > 0$ for $x > R$. Since h_0 already has the correct signs, this forces the last factor from (3.8) to be positive for $|E| > R$. This gives (3.3).

Finally, observe that (3.3) also prevents point masses at $t = \pm r$, $t = \pm 1/r$, so σ is indeed supported by the (open) set given in the theorem. For example, if we had $\sigma(\{r\}) > 0$, then the integral from (3.3) would diverge to $-\infty$ as $E \rightarrow -R$, $E < -R$.

Conversely, assume now that a measure σ on $(-1/r, -r) \cup (r, 1/r)$ satisfying (3.3) is given. We want to produce a $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$ so that this σ represents its F function. It is clear how to proceed: define F by (3.2) and let

$$(3.9) \quad m_+(\varphi(\lambda)) = F(\lambda) \quad (|\lambda| < 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+),$$

$$(3.10) \quad m_-(\varphi(\lambda)) = -\overline{F(\bar{\lambda})} \quad (|\lambda| > 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^-).$$

Since φ maps both of these domains conformally onto \mathbb{C}^+ , this defines two Herglotz functions m_\pm . As the first step, to just obtain a Jacobi matrix J from m_\pm , we have to verify that these functions satisfy (3.4) and (3.5).

So let $y > 0$ (typically large), and let $s > 0$ be the unique positive solution of $1/s - s = y$. Then $\varphi(is) = iy$ and $s = 1/y + O(1/y^3)$. Thus a Taylor expansion of (3.2) shows that m_+ , defined by (3.9), satisfies $m_+(iy) = i/y + O(y^{-2})$. Lemma 3.2 implies that m_+ satisfies (3.4), with $\rho_+(\mathbb{R}) = 1$. In fact, ρ_+ is supported by $[-R, R]$. This follows because the definition (3.9) also makes sure that $m_+(z)$ can be holomorphically continued through the complement (in \mathbb{R}) of this interval.

Similarly, for large positive t , we have that

$$-\overline{F(it)} = i(1 - \sigma_{-2})t + \sigma_{-1} + \frac{i\sigma_0}{t} + O(t^{-2}).$$

As before, take $t > 1$ to be the solution of $\varphi(it) = iy$ for (large) $y > 0$. It then follows that m_- , defined by (3.10), satisfies

$$(3.11) \quad m_-(iy) = i(1 - \sigma_{-2})y + \sigma_{-1} + i\frac{1 - \sigma_{-2} + \sigma_0}{y} + O(y^{-2}) \quad (y \rightarrow \infty).$$

We can now again refer to Lemma 3.2 to conclude that m_- satisfies (3.5), with

$$(3.12) \quad a_0 = (1 - \sigma_{-2})^{-1/2}, \quad b_0 = -\frac{\sigma_{-1}}{1 - \sigma_{-2}}.$$

Note in this context that (3.3) implies that $1 - \sigma_{-2} > 0$. So (3.12) does define coefficients $a_0 > 0$, $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. By suitably defining $a_{-1} > 0$, we can then guarantee that $\rho_-(\mathbb{R}) = 1$. As above, we also see that ρ_- is in fact supported by $[-R, R]$.

By the material reviewed at the beginning of this section, we obtain a unique Jacobi matrix J from the pair m_\pm . It is indeed unique because ρ_\pm are equivalent to Lebesgue measure on $(-2, 2)$, so are certainly not supported by a finite set. It is immediate from the definition of m_\pm that this J will be reflectionless on $(-2, 2)$, and, by construction, its F function is represented by the measure σ we started out with.

It remains to show that $\|J\| \leq R$. We observed that ρ_\pm are supported by $[-R, R]$, and the essential spectrum can be determined by decomposing into half lines, so if there is spectrum outside $[-R, R]$, it can only consist of discrete eigenvalues. If we had such a discrete eigenvalue at E_0 , $|E_0| > R$, then the corresponding eigenfunction u must satisfy $u(0) \neq 0$ because if $u(0) = 0$, then u would be in the domain of the half line problems and thus $\rho_\pm(\{E_0\}) > 0$, contradicting the fact that these measures are supported by $[-R, R]$. However, $u(0) \neq 0$ says that u has non-zero scalar product with δ_0 , thus the representing measure of $g(z) = \langle \delta_0, (J-z)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle$ has a point mass at E_0 . This implies that $a_0^2 H(x) = -1/g(x)$ changes its sign at $x = E_0$ (this function is holomorphic near E_0 , so this statement makes sense), but we already argued in the first part of this proof that (3.3) prevents such a sign change. \square

It was proved in [17, Theorem 1.2] that if $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$ for some $R \geq 2$, then $a_n \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if $a_{n_0} = 1$ for a single $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $a_n \equiv 1$, $b_n \equiv 0$. This is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1. Indeed, (3.12) says that $1/a_0^2 = 1 - \sigma_{-2} \leq 1$, and we can only have equality here if $\sigma_{-2} = 0$, which forces σ to be the zero measure. It's easy to check that this makes m_\pm equal to the half line m functions of the free Jacobi matrix. To obtain the full claim, it now suffices to recall that \mathcal{M}_R is shift invariant.

It is tempting to try to obtain more information about the coefficients of a $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$ in this way, by relating them to the moments of σ . The following result is probably unimpressive, but it can serve as an illustration. Also, as we'll discuss after the proof, it is optimal. Recall that we define $r \in (0, 1]$ by the equation $r + 1/r = R$.

Proposition 3.3. *If $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$ is not the free Jacobi matrix, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that $a_n > 1$ and*

$$(3.13) \quad r^2 < \frac{a_{n+1}^2 - 1}{a_n^2 - 1} < \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

Proof. The inequality $a_n > 1$ was established above; we only need to prove (3.13). By comparing (3.11) with (3.5), we obtain that

$$(3.14) \quad \sigma_{-2} = 1 - \frac{1}{a_0^2}, \quad \sigma_0 = \frac{a_{-1}^2 - 1}{a_0^2}.$$

Now $r^2 < t^{-2} < 1/r^2$ on the support of σ , hence

$$(3.15) \quad r^2\sigma_0 < \sigma_{-2} < \frac{1}{r^2}\sigma_0.$$

Strict inequality would in fact not follow for the zero measure $\sigma = 0$, but that would lead us back to the free Jacobi matrix, the case that we explicitly excluded.

Now (3.13), for $n = -1$, follows by combining (3.15) with (3.14). We then obtain (3.13) for arbitrary n by shift invariance. \square

The inequalities (3.13) are indeed sharp, as we pointed out earlier, because they are a rephrasing of (3.15), and we can get arbitrarily close to equality here with measures of the form $\sigma = g\delta_{1/r-\epsilon}$ or $\sigma = g\delta_{r+\epsilon}$.

4. THE CONTINUOUS CASE

We consider Schrödinger operators $H = -d^2/dx^2 + V(x)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, with locally integrable potentials V . We assume limit point case at $\pm\infty$. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, there are unique (up to a constant factor) solutions f_\pm of $-f'' + Vf = zf$ that are square integrable near $\pm\infty$. The half line m functions may now be defined as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad m_\pm(z) = \pm \frac{f'_\pm(0, z)}{f_\pm(0, z)}.$$

These obey the asymptotic formulae

$$(4.2) \quad m_\pm(z) = \sqrt{-z} + o(1)$$

as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ inside a sector $\delta \leq \arg z \leq \pi - \delta$. See, for example, [1, 6–8].

We proceed as in the previous section. We now say that $H \in \mathcal{M}_R$ if H is reflectionless on $(0, \infty)$ and $\sigma(H) \subset [-R^2, \infty)$. Occasionally, we will abuse terminology and/or notation and instead say that V is in \mathcal{M}_R . For $H \in \mathcal{M}_R$, we again obtain a holomorphic function $M : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ from Lemma 1.1, where now $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^+ \cup (0, \infty) \cup \mathbb{C}^-$. Off the real line, M is again given by (3.1). We use the conformal map $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \Omega$, $\varphi(\lambda) = -\lambda^2$ to introduce the Herglotz function $F(\lambda) = M(\varphi(\lambda))$. We then have the following analog of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. *$H \in \mathcal{M}_R$ if and only if the associated F function is of the form*

$$(4.3) \quad F(\lambda) = \lambda + \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t - \lambda}$$

for some finite Borel measure σ on $(-R, R)$ that satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad 1 + \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t^2 - R^2} \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if $H \in \mathcal{M}_R$, then V is real analytic. More specifically, $V(x)$ has a holomorphic continuation $V(z)$ to the strip $|\text{Im } z| < 1/R$.

As in the discrete case, this establishes a one-to-one correspondence between Schrödinger operators $H \in \mathcal{M}_R$ and measures σ on $(-R, R)$ satisfying (4.4). Also as before, if we are not interested in the value of R , then we can say that Theorem 4.1 provides us with a one-to-one correspondence between Schrödinger operators H that are reflectionless on $(0, \infty)$ and bounded below and compactly supported measures σ .

Proof. It is again straightforward to check that given an $H \in \mathcal{M}_R$, the corresponding F has such a representation. The general Herglotz representation of F reads

$$F(\lambda) = A + B\lambda + \int \left(\frac{1}{t - \lambda} - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} \right) d\sigma(t).$$

Now (4.2) immediately shows that $B = 1$ here. Moreover, $m_{\pm}(z)$ have holomorphic continuations through $(-\infty, -R^2)$. Since $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-R, R]$ gets mapped to this set under φ , it follows that σ is supported by $[-R, R]$, as claimed (point masses at the end points will be prevented by (4.4)). We can again split off the second term from the integral and absorb it by A . The redefined A must then satisfy $A = 0$, by (4.2). Thus (4.3) holds.

To obtain (4.4), we again consider $H = m_+ + m_-$ and $h(\lambda) = H(\varphi(\lambda))$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+, \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$. This function has a holomorphic continuation through the imaginary axis, and for $\lambda = iy, y > 0$, we have that $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$, thus for these λ , it follows that

$$(4.5) \quad h(\lambda) = F(\lambda) - \overline{F(\lambda)} = 2\lambda \left(1 + \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t^2 - \lambda^2} \right).$$

We conclude the argument as in the discrete case: By analyticity, (4.5) holds for all λ in the second quadrant Q_2 . The function $h(\lambda)$ (more precisely: its boundary value as $\varphi(\lambda) \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}, x < -R^2$) must be negative for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda < -R$, and the factor 2λ already has the correct sign, so the expression in parentheses must be positive. By monotone convergence, when λ increases to $-R$, the integrals $\int \frac{d\sigma}{\lambda^2 - t^2}$ approach $\int \frac{d\sigma}{R^2 - t^2}$ and they increase strictly. Therefore, the condition that the last factor from (4.5) is positive for all $\lambda < -R$ is equivalent to (4.4).

Conversely, if a measure σ on $(-R, R)$ satisfying (4.4) is given, define F by (4.3) and then

$$(4.6) \quad m_+(\varphi(\lambda)) = F(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in Q_2),$$

$$(4.7) \quad m_-(\varphi(\lambda)) = -\overline{F(\bar{\lambda})} \quad (\lambda \in Q_4);$$

here, $Q_j \subset \mathbb{C}$ denotes the (open) j th quadrant. By construction, this pair of Herglotz functions satisfies (1.3) on $S = (0, \infty)$. We must show that m_{\pm} are the half line m functions of a Schrödinger operator H . We thus need an inverse spectral theory result for Schrödinger operators that lets us verify this claim. We will refer to the classical Gelfand-Levitan theory; the version we will use is taken from [15]. Note that since we are dealing with limit point operators here and since it is clear that $m_+(z) = \sqrt{-z} + o(1)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ inside suitable sectors for the m_+ just defined, we may state the results of the discussion of [15, Sect. 19] as follows (for convenience, we focus on the right half line for now): Let $d\rho_0(x) = (1/\pi)\chi_{(0,\infty)}(x)\sqrt{x} dx$ be the half line spectral measure for zero potential. Consider the signed measure $\nu = \rho_+ - \rho_0$, where ρ_+ is the measure associated with m_+ . Then m_+ is the m function of some

half line Schrödinger operator (with locally integrable potential) if and only if ρ_+ satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) If $f \in L^2(0, L)$ for some $L > 0$ and $\int |F|^2 d\rho_+ = 0$ with $F(x) = \int f(t) \frac{\sin t\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} dt$, then $f = 0$.
- (2) It is possible to define a distribution ϕ by

$$(4.8) \quad \phi(t) = \int \frac{\sin t\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} d\nu(x).$$

Moreover, ϕ is a locally integrable function.

More explicitly, what (2) is asking for is the following: If $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$(4.9) \quad \int d|\nu|(x) \left| \int dt g(t) \frac{\sin t\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} \right| < \infty$$

and there is a locally integrable function ϕ so that for all $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have that

$$(4.10) \quad \int d\nu(x) \int dt g(t) \frac{\sin t\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} = \int \phi(t) g(t) dt.$$

Let us now check these conditions for the m_+ (or rather, ρ_+) defined above. To learn more about ρ_+ , we have to analyze the boundary values of $m_+(z)$ as z approaches the real line; this corresponds to letting $\lambda \in Q_2$ approach either the negative real axis or the positive imaginary axis. We find that

$$d\rho_+(x) = d\mu(x) + \frac{1}{\pi} \chi_{(0,\infty)}(x) \operatorname{Im} F(ix^{1/2}) dx,$$

and here μ is a finite measure, supported by $[-R^2, 0]$. In particular, ρ_+ is equivalent to Lebesgue measure on $(0, \infty)$, so condition (1) holds trivially. As for condition (2), this definitely holds for compactly supported ν ; the locally integrable function ϕ can then simply be obtained by taking (4.8) at face value. Also, to establish (2) for a sum of measures, it clearly suffices to verify this condition for the individual summands separately.

So by splitting off a compactly supported part, we can now focus on

$$d\nu_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \chi_{(1,\infty)}(x) \left(\operatorname{Im} F(ix^{1/2}) - x^{1/2} \right) dx.$$

Observe that near infinity, $F(\lambda) = \lambda - \sigma_0 \lambda^{-1} + O(\lambda^{-2})$, thus

$$d\nu_1(x) = c \chi_{(1,\infty)}(x) x^{-1/2} dx + f(x) dx$$

where the density $f \in C([1, \infty))$ satisfies $f(x) = O(x^{-1})$. It is clear that this decay is fast enough to give (2) for this part of ν_1 ; we will again end up interpreting (4.8) as a classical integral. By again splitting off a compactly supported part, we thus see that it now suffices to verify (2) for the measure

$$d\nu_2(x) = \chi_{(0,\infty)}(x) x^{-1/2} dx.$$

Clearly, (4.9) holds. It is also clear that the left-hand side of (4.10) does define a distribution, and in fact a tempered distribution. We now compute its Fourier transform. So apply the left-hand side to the Fourier transform \widehat{g} of a test function

g. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\nu_2(x) \int dt \hat{g}(t) \frac{\sin t\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} &= -i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^\infty (g(x^{1/2}) - g(-x^{1/2})) \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= -i\sqrt{2\pi} \int_0^\infty (g(s) - g(-s)) \frac{ds}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that this last integral equals $(\text{PV}(1/s), g)$, where the principal value distribution is defined as follows:

$$\left(\text{PV}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right), g \right) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|s|>\delta} \frac{g(s)}{s} ds.$$

Since $\text{PV}(1/s)$ is the Fourier transform of $i(\pi/2)^{1/2} \text{sgn}(t)$, we now see that $\phi_2(t) = \pi \text{sgn}(t)$, which is a locally integrable function, as claimed.

Of course, one can give an analogous discussion for the left half line and m_- . So, to conclude the proof of the first part of the theorem, we must show that the Schrödinger operator obtained above has spectrum contained in $[-R^2, \infty)$. This can be done by the same arguments as in the discrete case: Clearly, by the decomposition method for σ_{ess} , as ρ_\pm are supported by this set, there is no *essential* spectrum outside $[-R^2, \infty)$. If we had a discrete eigenvalue $E_0 < -R^2$, then the corresponding eigenfunction u must satisfy $u(0) \neq 0$ because otherwise $\rho_\pm(\{E_0\}) > 0$, but we already know that this is not the case. It then follows from the standard construction of a spectral representation of the whole problem (see, for example, [4, Sect. 9.5]) that $\rho(\{E_0\}) > 0$, where ρ denotes the measure associated with the Green function $g = -1/(m_+ + m_-)$. This implies that $H = m_+ + m_-$ changes its sign at E_0 , but this is incompatible with (4.4): Recall that we in fact specifically formulated (4.4) as the condition that would guarantee that H is negative throughout $(-\infty, -R^2)$.

We now move on to the last part of the proof, which discusses the real analyticity of $V \in \mathcal{M}_R$. We will obtain this property from the Riccati equation that is satisfied by m_+ , together with a Taylor expansion about infinity. This part of the argument essentially follows the treatment of [12].

Given a potential $V \in \mathcal{M}_R$, let

$$p(w) = \frac{1}{w} - F\left(\frac{1}{w}\right).$$

We originally define this function for $w \in Q_3$; this choice makes sure that $F(1/w) = m_+(-1/w^2)$. However, it is also clear that p has a holomorphic continuation to a neighborhood of $w = 0$. The corresponding Taylor expansion may be found from (4.3):

$$(4.11) \quad p(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n w^{n+1},$$

where we again write $\sigma_n = \int t^n d\sigma(t)$. We now claim that for $n \geq 0$,

$$(4.12) \quad |\sigma_n| \leq R^{n+2}.$$

To prove this, observe that obviously $|\sigma_n| \leq \sigma_0 R^n$, since σ is supported by $(-R, R)$. Now condition (4.4) implies that $\sigma_0 \leq R^2$, so we obtain (4.12). It follows that (4.11) converges at least on $|w| < 1/R$.

We now consider the shifted potentials $V_x(t) = V(x+t)$ and the associated data $p(x, w), \sigma_n(x)$. Since \mathcal{M}_R was defined in terms of shift invariant conditions, V_x will also be in \mathcal{M}_R for all x .

From (4.1), we obtain that (for $w \in Q_3$)

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{dp}{dx} = -V(x) + p^2(x, w) - \frac{2}{w} p(x, w).$$

We now temporarily work with the integrated form of this equation. We may then replace every occurrence of p by its expansion (4.11); this we can do for $|w| < 1/R$. The interchange of series and integration in the resulting expressions is easily justified: The coefficients $\sigma_n(x)$ are measurable (they can be obtained as derivatives with respect to w , so are pointwise limits of measurable functions), and (4.12) gives uniform (in x) control, so dominated convergence applies. This produces

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\sigma_n(x) - \sigma_n(0)) w^{n+1} &= - \int_0^x V(t) dt + \sum_{j, k \geq 0} w^{j+k+2} \int_0^x \sigma_j(t) \sigma_k(t) dt \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} w^n \int_0^x \sigma_n(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

This was originally derived for $w \in Q_3$, $|w| < 1/R$, but since both sides are holomorphic in w , the equation holds for all $|w| < 1/R$.

We can now compare coefficients in these convergent power series. Starting with the constant terms, this gives that $\int_0^x V dt + 2 \int_0^x \sigma_0 dt = 0$ or, by differentiation,

$$(4.14) \quad V(x) = -2\sigma_0(x)$$

for almost every x . Since V may be redefined in an arbitrary way on a null set, we can assume that (4.14) holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. (Of course, $\sigma_0(x)$ is well defined pointwise, for any given x , independently of the representative of V chosen, as the zeroth moment of the measure $d\sigma(x, \cdot)$ that represents the F function of V_x .)

Next, we obtain that

$$\sigma_0(x) - \sigma_0(0) = -2 \int_0^x \sigma_1(t) dt.$$

This shows that σ_0 is in fact absolutely continuous, and $\sigma'_0 = -2\sigma_1$. Proceeding in this way, we see inductively that $\sigma_n(x)$ is an absolutely continuous function for arbitrary $n \geq 0$. Moreover, since the derivatives σ'_n are built from finitely many other functions σ_j , they are bounded functions by (4.12). We have a crude preliminary bound of the form $|\sigma'_n(x)| \leq CnR^n$. This allows us to differentiate the series (4.11) (with respect to x) term by term, for $|w| < 1/R$. We then return to the differential version (4.13) of the Riccati equation. By again comparing coefficients of power series, we finally arrive at the following recursion formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= -2\sigma_0(x), \\ (4.15) \quad \sigma'_0(x) &= -2\sigma_1(x), \\ \sigma'_n(x) &= -2\sigma_{n+1}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma_j(x) \sigma_{n-1-j}(x) \quad (n \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Formally, this could have been obtained very quickly from (4.13), but initially we did not know that the $\sigma_n(x)$ are differentiable, so we had to be more circumspect. We now use this recursion to obtain more detailed information about the $\sigma_n(x)$.

Lemma 4.2. *The moments $\sigma_n(x)$ satisfy $\sigma_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$(4.16) \quad |\sigma_n^{(p)}(x)| \leq R^{n+p+2} \frac{(n+1+p)!}{(n+1)!}.$$

Assuming Lemma 4.2, we can finish the proof of Theorem 4.1 very quickly. By (4.14), the lemma in particular says that $V \in C^\infty$. Now (4.16), for $n = 0$ and general $p \geq 0$, may be used to confirm that the Taylor series of $V(x)$ about an arbitrary $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ has radius of convergence $\geq 1/R$. We can then refer to the same estimates and one of the standard bounds on the remainder to see that this Taylor series converges to $V(x)$ on $(x_0 - 1/R, x_0 + 1/R)$. Since the strip $|\text{Im } z| < 1/R$ is simply connected, this shows that V has a holomorphic continuation to the whole strip. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.2. We already know that $\sigma_n \in C^1$, so the first claim follows from (4.15), by an obvious inductive argument. We prove (4.16) by induction on p . For $p = 0$, this is just (4.12). Now assume that (4.16) holds for $0, 1, \dots, p$ and all $n \geq 0$. We wish to establish the same estimates for $p + 1$ and all $n \geq 0$. We will explicitly discuss only the case $n \geq 1$; $n = 0$ is similar, but much easier. The Leibniz rule says that

$$\frac{d^p}{dx^p} (\sigma_j \sigma_{n-1-j}) = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} \sigma_j^{(k)} \sigma_{n-1-j}^{(p-k)},$$

so from (4.15) and the induction hypothesis we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^{(p+1)}| &\leq 2R^{n+p+3} \frac{(n+2+p)!}{(n+2)!} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} R^{n+p+3} \frac{(j+1+k)!}{(j+1)!} \frac{(n-j+p-k)!}{(n-j)!}. \end{aligned}$$

As observed in [12, pg. 293], the sum over k can be evaluated: we have that

$$(4.17) \quad \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} \frac{(j+1+k)!}{(j+1)!} \frac{(n-j+p-k)!}{(n-j)!} = \frac{(n+p+2)!}{(n+2)!}$$

(we'll return to this formula in a moment). Since the answer provided in (4.17) is independent of j , we can now also sum over this index. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^{(p+1)}| &\leq 2R^{n+p+3} \frac{(n+2+p)!}{(n+2)!} + nR^{n+p+3} \frac{(n+p+2)!}{(n+2)!} \\ &= R^{n+p+3} \frac{(n+p+2)!}{(n+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

It remains to verify (4.17). This can be rephrased: we must show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^p \binom{N_1+k}{k} \binom{N_2-k}{p-k} = \binom{N_1+N_2+1}{p},$$

for integers $N_1 \geq 1$, $N_2 \geq p$. It's not hard to convince oneself that the left-hand side can be given the same combinatorial interpretation as the right-hand side (choose p objects from a collection of $N_1 + N_2 + 1$), so this identity holds. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.2, 1.3, 1.4

This will depend on material from [16]. We will give a quick review, but will refer the reader to [16] for some of the more technical details.

The key tool is [16, Theorem 3], which says that if V satisfies Hypothesis 1.1, then any ω limit point $W = \lim S_{x_n} V$ (that is, any such limit for a sequence $x_n \rightarrow \infty$) under the shift map $(S_x V)(t) \equiv V(x + t)$ must be reflectionless on $(0, \infty)$. These limits are taken inside a certain metric space (\mathcal{V}^C, d) of whole line potentials. In fact, \mathcal{V}^C is a space of signed measures on \mathbb{R} , and locally integrable potentials U are interpreted as the measures $U(x) dx$. However, for our purposes here, measures can be avoided. This is so because the measure analog of the space \mathcal{M}_R contains no new members: all such measures will be (real analytic) functions anyway. The key fact here is the observation that we will still have (4.2) for a Schrödinger operator $-d^2/dx^2 + \mu$ with a measure, as long as $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$. This follows from the standard proofs of (4.2), suitably adjusted. See also [2, Lemma 5.1]. If $\mu(\{0\}) \neq 0$, then we can shift and instead consider $S_{x_0} \mu$ for an x_0 with $\mu(\{x_0\}) = 0$. With (4.2) in place, we can then follow the development given in Section 4 to confirm that an operator $-d^2/dx^2 + \mu \in \mathcal{M}_R$ still has an F function of the form described in Theorem 4.1, so no new operators are obtained.

The metric d is described in detail in [16]; here, we will be satisfied with a non-technical description. For our purposes, the following properties are important. First of all, convergence to a W with respect to d is equivalent to the condition that

$$(5.1) \quad \int W(t)\varphi(t) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int V(x_n + t)\varphi(t) dt$$

for all continuous, compactly supported test functions φ . (Only limit points $W \in \mathcal{M}_R$ will occur in our situation, so we may assume here that W is continuous, say.) Second, the spaces (\mathcal{V}^C, d) are compact. Since also $\{S_x V\} \subset \mathcal{V}^C$, this means that we can always pass to convergent subsequences of shifted versions of the original potential. Similarly, the spaces \mathcal{M}_R are compact if endowed with the same metric d .

Finally, it's easy to see that limit points W cannot have spectrum outside the (in fact: essential) spectrum of H_+ [16, Proposition 1]. Thus they will lie in \mathcal{M}_R if we take $R \geq 0$ so large that H_+ has no (essential) spectrum below $-R^2$.

The second crucial ingredient to all three proofs is the following immediate consequence of (4.14): any $W \in \mathcal{M}_R$ satisfies $W(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if $W(x_0) = 0$ for a single $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then $W \equiv 0$. This follows as in the discrete case because $W(x_0) = 0$ forces σ (for x_0) to be the zero measure, and this makes m_\pm equal to the m functions for zero potential.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If the statement of the theorem didn't hold, then we could find a sequence $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ so that $S_{x_n} V \rightarrow W$ (using compactness) and (1.5) along that sequence converges to some $a > 0$, for some test function φ . But then (5.1) forces W to be positive somewhere. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. This is similar. The extra assumption on V , if combined with (5.1), makes sure that every limit point W is non-negative somewhere. As explained above, this implies that $W \equiv 0$. In other words, the zero potential is the only possible limit point. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. This will again follow from the same ideas. Fix a test function $\psi \geq 0$, $\int \psi = 1$ that is supported by $(-d, d)$. We claim that we can find $\delta > 0$ such that if $W \in \mathcal{M}_R$ satisfies $\int W\psi > -2\delta$ (recall that $W \leq 0$, so $\int W\psi \leq 0$), then

$$(5.2) \quad \left| \int W(t)\varphi_j(t) dt \right| < \epsilon \quad (j = 1, \dots, N).$$

This is a consequence of the compactness of \mathcal{M}_R : If our claim was wrong, then we could find a sequence $W_n \rightarrow W$, $W_n, W \in \mathcal{M}_R$ so that $\int W_n\psi \rightarrow 0$, but (5.2) fails for all W_n . But then $\int W\psi = 0$, hence $W = 0$ on the support of ψ , hence $W \equiv 0$. Thus (5.2) could not fail for all W_n in this situation. Our claim was correct. We can and will also insist here that $\delta \leq \epsilon$.

With this preparation out of the way, use compactness again to find an x_0 with the property that for each $x \geq x_0$, there is a limit point $W \in \mathcal{M}_R$, which will depend on x , so that

$$\left| \int (W(t) - V(x+t))\theta(t) dt \right| < \delta$$

for the test functions $\theta = \psi$ and $\theta = \varphi_j$. Now if $V \geq -\delta$ on $(x-d, x+d)$, then $\int V(x+t)\psi(t) dt \geq -\delta$, thus $\int W\psi > -2\delta$, so (5.2) applies and it follows that

$$\left| \int V(x+t)\varphi_j(t) dt \right| < \delta + \epsilon \leq 2\epsilon,$$

as desired. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

(a) Recall how we obtained the conditions on F and σ for a $J \in \mathcal{M}_R$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1: Essentially, we had to make sure that the behavior of F as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ is consistent with the known asymptotics of $m_+(z)$ and $m_-(z)$, respectively, as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. If we only want m_+ to be the m function of a (half line) Jacobi matrix, but not m_- , then we only need to make sure that the asymptotics of F as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ come out right.

To obtain such an example, let's just take $\sigma = \delta_1$, so

$$(6.1) \quad F(\lambda) = -1 + \frac{1}{1-\lambda}.$$

As F approaches a limit as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, this is clearly not the F function of a whole line Jacobi matrix. (So the point really was to choose a σ with $\sigma_{-2} = 1$, to destroy the required asymptotics at large λ .) However, (6.1) will yield an m function m_+ of a (positive) half line Jacobi matrix J_+ via (3.9). This follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.1; notice that (3.3) was not used in this part of the argument. Also, by construction, this m_+ will satisfy (1.3) on $(-2, 2)$, for the companion Herglotz function m_- that is also extracted from F , via (3.10).

So we have already proved Theorem 1.5(a). However, it is also interesting to work things out somewhat more explicitly. We can find $m_+(z)$ most conveniently by using the material from Section 2. Notice that (3.8) becomes

$$h(\lambda) = h_0(\lambda) \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)(1-1/\lambda)},$$

hence

$$(6.2) \quad H(z) = \frac{H_0(z)}{z+2},$$

where $H_0(z) = \sqrt{z^2 - 4}$ is the H function of the free Jacobi matrix. Now (2.1), specialized to the case at hand, says that $m_+ = A_+ + (1/2)H$. Here we use the fact that the measure ρ associated with H is supported by $(-2, 2)$, as we read off from (6.2); there is no point mass at -2 because H_0 contains the factor $(z+2)^{1/2}$. We also know that $m_+(iy) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$ (because $F(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$), and this implies that $A_+ = -1/2$. Thus

$$m_+(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{z-2}{z+2}} - 1 \right);$$

of course, the square root must be chosen so that m_+ becomes a Herglotz function. With this explicit formula, we can confirm one more time that m_+ is the m function of a Jacobi matrix J_+ . The associated measure can also be read off:

$$d\rho_+(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \chi_{(-2,2)}(x) \sqrt{\frac{2-x}{2+x}} dx.$$

In particular, we can now confirm the additional claim that $\sigma(J_+) = [-2, 2]$ that was made earlier, in Section 1.

It is instructive to obtain this example as a limit of measures $\sigma_\epsilon = (1-\epsilon)\delta_1$. For $\epsilon > 0$ (and small), these measures obey (3.3), so are admissible in the sense of Theorem 3.1. The F function is given by

$$F_\epsilon(\lambda) = -1 + \epsilon + \epsilon\lambda + \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\lambda}.$$

A similar analysis can be given. The associated Jacobi matrices J_ϵ have an eigenvalue at $E_\epsilon = -1 - 1/\epsilon$ and no other spectrum outside $[-2, 2]$; of course, they are reflectionless on $(-2, 2)$. (Operators in \mathcal{M}_R with only discrete spectrum outside $[-2, 2]$ are usually called *solitons*.) So our example shows the following: There is a sequence of solitons J_ϵ so that the half line restrictions $(J_\epsilon)_+$ converge, in the strong operator topology, to our J_+ from above. The unrestricted whole line operators J_ϵ do not converge, of course; their operator norms form an unbounded sequence. In fact, (3.12) informs us that $a_0 = \epsilon^{-1/2}$, so this is already divergent.

(b) This is very similar, but somewhat more tedious from a technical point of view. Since we already went through similar arguments in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we will be satisfied with a sketch. Let

$$d\sigma(t) = \chi_{(1,\infty)}(t)e^{-t} dt;$$

as the discussion we are about to give will make clear, only certain general features of this measure matter, not its precise form. Note that a compactly supported σ cannot produce an example of the desired type, as observed above, after Theorem 4.1. As in part (a), the basic idea is to leave the asymptotics of m_+ essentially

untouched while seriously upsetting those of m_- . Indeed, if we now define F by (4.3) and then m_\pm by (4.6) and (4.7) and extract the corresponding measures ρ_\pm , then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho_+(x) &= d\rho_0(x) + \chi_{(0,\infty)}(x)f(x)dx, \\ d\rho_-(x) &= d\rho_+(x) + \chi_{(-\infty,-1)}(x)e^{-|x|^{1/2}}dx, \end{aligned}$$

with a density f that again satisfies $f(x) = cx^{-1/2} + O(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Exactly this situation was discussed in the proof of Theorem 4.1: such a ρ_+ satisfies conditions (1), (2) from the Gelfand-Levitin theory, and since also $m_+(z) = \sqrt{-z} + o(1)$ for large $|z|$, it follows that m_+ is the m function of a half line Schrödinger operator H_+ . Notice also that ρ_+ is supported by $(0, \infty)$, so indeed $\sigma(H_+) = [0, \infty)$.

To finish the proof, we show that $\nu = \rho_- - \rho_0$ does not satisfy condition (2). Now we just saw that $\rho_+ - \rho_0$ does define a locally integrable function via (4.8) (interpreted in distributional sense), so this will follow if we can show that the formal expression

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \frac{\sin t\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} e^{-|x|^{1/2}} dx$$

does *not* define a locally integrable function. In fact, it is almost immediate that with the interpretation given above, (6.3) does not even define a distribution: Since $x^{-1/2} \sin tx^{1/2} = |x|^{-1/2} \sinh t|x|^{1/2}$ for $x < 0$, it is clear that (4.9) diverges for any test function $g \geq 0$, $g \not\equiv 0$ whose support lies to the right of 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. V. Atkinson, *On the location of the Weyl circles*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **88** (1981), no. 3-4, 345–356, DOI 10.1017/S0308210500020163. MR616784 (83a:34023)
- [2] Ali Ben Amor and Christian Remling, *Direct and inverse spectral theory of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with measures*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **52** (2005), no. 3, 395–417, DOI 10.1007/s00020-004-1352-2. MR2184572 (2006g:34196)
- [3] Walter Craig, *The trace formula for Schrödinger operators on the line*, Comm. Math. Phys. **126** (1989), no. 2, 379–407. MR1027503 (90m:47063)
- [4] Earl A. Coddington and Norman Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955. MR0069338 (16,1022b)
- [5] Sergey A. Denisov, *On the continuous analog of Rakhmanov’s theorem for orthogonal polynomials*, J. Funct. Anal. **198** (2003), no. 2, 465–480, DOI 10.1016/S0022-1236(02)00073-3. MR1964547 (2004a:42034)
- [6] W. N. Everitt, *On a property of the m -coefficient of a second-order linear differential equation*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **4** (1971/72), 443–457. MR0298104 (45 #7156)
- [7] Fritz Gesztesy and Barry Simon, *A new approach to inverse spectral theory. II. General real potentials and the connection to the spectral measure*, Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 2, 593–643, DOI 10.2307/2661393. MR1804532 (2001m:34185b)
- [8] B. J. Harris, *The asymptotic form of the Titchmarsh-Weyl m -function associated with a second order differential equation with locally integrable coefficient*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **102** (1986), no. 3-4, 243–251, DOI 10.1017/S0308210500026329. MR852357 (88b:34026a)
- [9] Shinichi Kotani, *Ljapunov indices determine absolutely continuous spectra of stationary random one-dimensional Schrödinger operators*, Stochastic analysis (Katata/Kyoto, 1982), North-Holland Math. Library, vol. 32, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 225–247, DOI 10.1016/S0924-6509(08)70395-7. MR780760 (86h:60117)
- [10] Shinichi Kotani, *One-dimensional random Schrödinger operators and Herglotz functions*, Probabilistic methods in mathematical physics (Katata/Kyoto, 1985), Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1987, pp. 219–250. MR933826 (89h:60100)

- [11] S. Kotani, *KdV flow on generalized reflectionless potentials* (English, with English and Ukrainian summaries), Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. **4** (2008), no. 4, 490–528, 574. MR2485241 (2009m:37194)
- [12] V. A. Marchenko, *The Cauchy problem for the KdV equation with nondecreasing initial data, What is integrability?*, Springer Ser. Nonlinear Dynam., Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 273–318. MR1098341 (92d:34156)
- [13] Alexei Poltoratski and Christian Remling, *Reflectionless Herglotz functions and Jacobi matrices*, Comm. Math. Phys. **288** (2009), no. 3, 1007–1021, DOI 10.1007/s00220-008-0696-x. MR2504863 (2010i:47063)
- [14] Alexei Poltoratski and Christian Remling, *Approximation results for reflectionless Jacobi matrices*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **16** (2011), 3575–3617, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnq227. MR2824839 (2012g:47091)
- [15] Christian Remling, *Schrödinger operators and de Branges spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **196** (2002), no. 2, 323–394, DOI 10.1016/S0022-1236(02)00007-1. MR1943095 (2003j:47055)
- [16] Christian Remling, *The absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **10** (2007), no. 4, 359–373, DOI 10.1007/s11040-008-9036-9. MR2386257 (2009c:34174)
- [17] Christian Remling, *Uniqueness of reflectionless Jacobi matrices and the Denisov-Rakhmanov theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 6, 2175–2182, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-2010-10747-5. MR2775395 (2012f:47083)
- [18] Christian Remling, *The absolutely continuous spectrum of Jacobi matrices*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 125–171, DOI 10.4007/annals.2011.174.1.4. MR2811596 (2012e:47090)
- [19] Christian Remling, *Topological properties of reflectionless Jacobi matrices*, J. Approx. Theory **168** (2013), 1–17, DOI 10.1016/j.jat.2012.12.009. MR3027547
- [20] Gerald Teschl, *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 72, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. MR1711536 (2001b:39019)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73019
E-mail address: ihur@math.ou.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73019
E-mail address: mmcbride@math.ou.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73019
E-mail address: cremling@math.ou.edu
URL: www.math.ou.edu/~cremling