

COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS OF SYMPLECTIC GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS AND THE INVERSE GALOIS PROBLEM I. IMAGES OF PROJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS

SARA ARIAS-DE-REYNA, LUIS DIEULEFAIT, AND GABOR WIESE

ABSTRACT. This article is the first part of a series of three articles about compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations and applications to the inverse Galois problem.

In this first part, we determine the smallest field over which the projectivisation of a given symplectic group representation satisfying some natural conditions can be defined. The answer only depends on inner twists. We apply this to the residual representations of a compatible system of symplectic Galois representations satisfying some mild hypothesis and obtain precise information on their projective images for almost all members of the system, under the assumption of huge residual images, by which we mean that a symplectic group of full dimension over the prime field is contained up to conjugation. Finally, we obtain an application to the inverse Galois problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

This article is the first part of a series of three articles about compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations with huge images and applications to the inverse Galois problem. The overall aim is, for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ even and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, to construct a compatible system of Galois representations over \mathbb{Q} such that the projective image of the residual representations at a positive density set of primes ℓ is $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ or $\mathrm{PSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$, thus realising these groups as Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} .

Three tasks emerge:

- (1) Given a compatible system of Galois representations, determine the fields of definition of the projective residual representations. For $n = 2$ and the compatible system arising from a Hecke eigenform $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ of level N and Dirichlet character ψ , these fields are known to be equal to the residue fields of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\frac{\alpha_p^2}{\psi(p)} \mid p \nmid N)$ at almost all places.

Received by the editors September 23, 2013 and, in revised form, January 30, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F80, 20C25, 12F12.

The first author worked on this article as a fellow of the Alexander-von-Humboldt foundation. She thanks the Université du Luxembourg for its hospitality during a long term visit. She was also partially supported by projects MTM2013-46231-P and MTM2015-66716-P of the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of Spain.

The second author was supported by projects MTM2012-33830 and MTM2015-66716-P of the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of Spain and by an ICREA Academia Research Prize.

The third author was partially supported by the DFG collaborative research centre TRR 45, the DFG priority program 1489 and the Fonds National de la Recherche Luxembourg (INTER/DFG/12/10/COMFGREP).

In this Part I we produce an analog of this number field for an n -dimensional compatible system of Galois representations. We need to make some irreducibility and regularity assumptions, which are automatically satisfied for compatible systems coming from modular forms.

- (2) Having established the minimal fields of definition for projective representations, the next task is to determine the actual image. In the case $n = 2$ a well-known classical theorem of Dickson classifies the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ (up to conjugation) into ‘huge’ ones (i.e. $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$), dihedral ones, subgroups of the upper triangular matrices and three exceptional ones; in representation theoretic language, the non-huge and non-exceptional images come from induced or reducible representations. In Part II [AddDW16] we supply an analog of this result for n -dimensional symplectic representations under the assumption of the existence of a non-trivial transvection in the image.

As a general strategy, as in [DW11], we will enforce local properties to the compatible system in order to ensure global ones: one local property takes care of the non-trivial transvection, another one (that of a maximally induced place, see below) of absolute irreducibility and in the end huge image and the existence of a suitable subfield of a cyclotomic field in the projective field of definition.

- (3) The final task is then to construct a compatible system of Galois representations satisfying the specified local requirements. This is achieved through the construction of a suitable automorphic representation in Part III [AddSW15], which is a joint work with Sug Woo Shin.

The present Part I is entirely independent of the other two papers. Part II is independent as well, except that in the end the results developed in Part II are combined with Theorem 6.5 from the present Part I in order to prove that the existence of a compatible system of Galois representations with certain specified local properties implies the desired application to the inverse Galois problem. Part III relies on some results of Part I and Part II.

Statement of the results. We now describe the main results of the present article in slightly simplified forms. Although we are mainly interested in symplectic representations (and compatible systems thereof), we also prove results for general linear representations along the way; some of the assumptions needed are different in that case, making the statements longer; but, we think that including the general linear case is worthwhile. In this overview we will, however, entirely stick to the symplectic case.

Our first result determines projective fields of definition for Galois representations.

Theorem 1.1. *Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of ℓ -adic fields (i.e. finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ) or of finite fields and $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_n(L)$ be a representation of a group G such that its restriction to the subgroup $I_{[\rho]}$ of G (defined in Proposition 2.14; $G/I_{[\rho]}$ is finite abelian) is residually absolutely irreducible.*

Then there is an extension $K_{[\rho]}$ of K inside L , which is explicitly described only in terms of inner twists, such that $\rho^{\mathrm{proj}} : G \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{GSp}_n(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGSp}_n(L)$ is conjugate to a representation $G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGSp}_n(K_{[\rho]})$, and $K_{[\rho]}$ is the smallest field with this property.

This is proved as Theorem 2.15 in Section 2. In Section 4 we compute the field $K_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$ from Theorem 1.1 for almost all residual representations $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ in a compatible system of Galois representations under certain assumptions. The computations are essentially based on relating the inner twists of the residual representations with inner twists of the compatible system. Here we present a simplified form of Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ with $\rho_\lambda : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_n(\bar{L}_\lambda)$ (for a Galois number field L/\mathbb{Q} ; \bar{L}_λ denotes an algebraic closure of the completion of L at the place λ) be a compatible system as in Definition 4.1, consisting of irreducible representations and such that its multiplier is a fixed finite order character times a fixed power of the cyclotomic character. Assume a certain condition at places above ℓ (the rational prime below λ), which is for instance satisfied when the ρ_λ are de Rham with Hodge-Tate weights independent of λ .*

Then there is a number field K_{ρ_\bullet} , which is explicitly determined by the inner twists of the compatible system, such that for all places λ of L at which ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible, except possibly finitely many, the projective field of definition of the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ is $\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda)$, the residue field of K_{ρ_\bullet} at λ .

We can then already determine the residual projective images under the assumption that almost all of them are ‘huge’ (defined in Definition 5.1). This is done in Corollary 5.8, a version of which we state here.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be as in Theorem 1.2. Assume, moreover, that for all but possibly a density zero set of places λ of L the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ has huge image.*

Then for all places λ of L with the possible exception of a density zero set, the image of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda^{\mathrm{proj}}$ is $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda))$ or $\mathrm{PSp}_n(\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda))$.

Under these assumptions together with that of the existence of a maximally induced place of a certain order (see Definition 6.1, this goes back to [KLS08] in the context of (n, p) -groups) we can derive the following application to the inverse Galois problem (proved as Theorem 6.5).

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be as in Theorem 1.3. Assume also that there is a place $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ such that ρ_\bullet is maximally induced at \mathfrak{q} of order p .*

Then for any $d \mid \frac{p-1}{n}$ there exists a set \mathcal{L}_d of rational primes ℓ of positive density such that for all $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_d$ there is a place λ of L above ℓ satisfying that the image of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda^{\mathrm{proj}}$ is $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ or $\mathrm{PSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$.

The maximally induced place of order p serves to produce a certain element $\xi_p \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ of degree $\frac{p-1}{n}$ in K_{ρ_\bullet} , generalising the approach of [DW11]. This element guarantees the existence of a subextension of K_ρ that is cyclic degree d , from which the existence of a positive density set of primes of residue degree d can be derived.

2. INNER TWISTS OF A GROUP REPRESENTATION

In this section we determine under some mild conditions the smallest field over which a member of the equivalence class of a (projective, symplectic) representation is defined. The main results are Theorem 2.15 and Proposition 2.17. This part owes

many of its ideas to Ribet’s paper [Rib85], including Papier’s theorem cited in that article, as well as to other papers of Ribet. A conceptual framework for some of those ideas is provided here as well as a generalisation.

We take some time and space to introduce the objects we work with in detail. A guiding example the reader may have in mind is that of a newform $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ with coefficient field $\mathbb{Q}_f = \mathbb{Q}(a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$. For a prime ℓ take $K = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and L the Galois closure over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ of the completion of \mathbb{Q}_f at some place above ℓ (or $L = \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$). The ρ we will be interested in are the ℓ -adic Galois representation ρ_f and its mod ℓ reduction $\overline{\rho}_f$ attached to f with respect to the chosen place.

We are interested in ℓ -adic fields (i.e. finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ) as well as finite fields. Since the reasonings are very similar in both cases, we will treat them simultaneously. In what follows, **when we write that a representation is “(residually) absolutely irreducible” we mean that it is “residually absolutely irreducible” in the case of ℓ -adic fields, and “absolutely irreducible” in the case of finite fields.** Whenever we consider a morphism between two topological spaces we assume it to be continuous. In particular, representations and characters will always be continuous.

Set-up 2.1. • K an ℓ -adic field with the ℓ -adic topology or a finite field with the discrete topology.

- L/K a Galois extension, $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(L/K)$, endowed with the Krull topology.
- G a finite or a compact topological group. In later sections, G will be a Galois group.
- $\mathcal{E} = \{\epsilon : G \rightarrow L^\times \text{character}\}$.

Note that the assumptions imply that $\text{im}(\epsilon)$ lies in a finite extension of K . Note also that Γ acts on \mathcal{E} from the left by composition: $\gamma\epsilon := \gamma \circ \epsilon$.

- Form the semi-direct product $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{E} \rtimes \Gamma$ for the above action. Since we consider the Γ -entry more important than the \mathcal{E} -entry, we denote elements of \mathcal{G} as (γ, ϵ) with $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ (instead of the other way around). We concretely have:

$$(\gamma_1, \epsilon_1) \cdot (\gamma_2, \epsilon_2) := (\gamma_1 \gamma_2, (\gamma_1 \epsilon_2) \epsilon_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma, \epsilon)^{-1} = (\gamma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1})).$$

Consequently, we have the exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\iota: \epsilon \mapsto (1, \epsilon)} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\pi: (\gamma, \epsilon) \mapsto \gamma} \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

This sequence is split in the obvious way. Note that the other projection

$$c : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad (\gamma, \epsilon) \mapsto \epsilon$$

is a 1-cocycle, when letting \mathcal{G} act on \mathcal{E} through its quotient Γ .

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The equivalence class of a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$ (for conjugation by an element of $\text{GL}_n(L)$) is denoted by $[\rho]$ and equivalence of representations is denoted by \sim . We denote the set of equivalence classes by $\text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$. Note ρ is defined over a finite extension \tilde{K}/K inside L , that is, $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{nat. emb.}} \text{GL}_n(L)$ (this is a standard fact: the reader can find a proof in e.g. [Ski09, p. 244]).
- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even. The symplectic equivalence class of a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(L)$ (for conjugation by an element of $\text{GSp}_n(L)$) is also denoted by $[\rho]$ and also symplectic equivalence of representations is denoted by \sim .

We denote the set of equivalence classes by $\text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$. Note that ρ is defined over a finite extension \tilde{K}/K inside L , that is, $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(\tilde{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{nat.emb.}} \text{GSp}_n(L)$. We make the convention that equivalence of symplectic representations always means symplectic equivalence. Note that the embedding $\text{GSp}_n(L) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$ allows us to see elements of $\text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ as elements of $\text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$. We will call $[\rho]$ (absolutely) irreducible, if (any member of) it is (absolutely) irreducible as a linear representation.

- Note that \mathcal{G} acts on $\text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ from the left by the following formula:

$$(\gamma, \epsilon) \cdot [\rho] := [(\gamma\rho) \otimes_L \epsilon^{-1}],$$

where $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$. In the same way, \mathcal{G} acts on the set $\text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ from the left.

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_1, r_2 : G \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(L)$ be projective representations. We call r_1 and r_2 equivalent (also denoted $r_1 \sim r_2$) if they are conjugate by the class (modulo scalars) of a matrix in $\text{GL}_n(L)$. The equivalence class of r_1 is also denoted $[r_1]$. For $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$ we denote by ρ^{proj} the composition of ρ with the natural projection $\text{GL}_n(L) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(L)$.
- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and $r_1, r_2 : G \rightarrow \text{PGSp}_n(L)$ be projective symplectic representations. We call r_1 and r_2 equivalent (also denoted $r_1 \sim r_2$) if they are conjugate by the class (modulo scalars) of a matrix in $\text{GSp}_n(L)$. The equivalence class of r_1 is also denoted $[r_1]$. For $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(L)$ we denote by ρ^{proj} the composition of ρ with the natural projection $\text{GSp}_n(L) \rightarrow \text{PGSp}_n(L)$.

Remark 2.2. Let K be an ℓ -adic field and \mathcal{O}_K its valuation ring.

- (a) It is well known that any representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$ is conjugate in $\text{GL}_n(K)$ to a representation $G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (see e.g. [Ser98, I-2]). We have already used this implicitly when speaking about residual representations.
- (b) In the symplectic case we assume that $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(K)$ is residually irreducible. One can show that then ρ is conjugate in $\text{GSp}_n(K)$ to a representation $G \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Indeed, if \mathcal{L} is a lattice provided by (a) and the standard symplectic pairing is scaled such that its restriction to $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$ is surjective onto \mathcal{O}_K , then the residual irreducibility together with Nakayama’s lemma implies that the $\mathcal{O}_K[G]$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{v \rightarrow (w \rightarrow \langle w, v \rangle)} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_K)$, where the G -action on the right hand side is twisted by the multiplier of ρ , is an isomorphism. Thus, \mathcal{L} admits a symplectic \mathcal{O}_K -basis and the corresponding base change matrix is general symplectic.

For the rest of this section we assume that we are in Set-up 2.1. We now make the main definition of this section, which generalises standard definitions for elliptic curves and modular forms.

Definition 2.3. Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$.

- Define $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ to be the stabiliser group of $[\rho]$ in \mathcal{G} (for the \mathcal{G} -action on $\text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or on $\text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$). Its elements are called *inner twists of $[\rho]$* . Explicitly, let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}$. Then (γ, ϵ) is an inner twist

of $[\rho]$ if and only if $[\rho] = [(\gamma\rho) \otimes_L \epsilon^{-1}]$, which is the case if and only if $[\gamma\rho] = [\rho \otimes_L \epsilon]$.

- Define the groups $\Gamma_{[\rho]} := \pi(\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}) \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} := \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}) = \iota^{-1}(\ker(\pi|_{\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}}))$.
- We say that $[\rho]$ has *no complex multiplication* if $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} = \{1\}$, i.e., if and only if the projection $\pi : \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]} \rightarrow \Gamma_{[\rho]}$ is an isomorphism.
- Define the field $K_{[\rho]} := L^{\Gamma_{[\rho]}}$. It is called the *projective field of definition of $[\rho]$* (see Theorem 2.15 for a justification of the terminology).
- Define the group $\Delta_{[\rho]} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]} \mid (\gamma, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}\}$.
- Define the field $E_{[\rho]} := L^{\Delta_{[\rho]}}$. It is called the *field of definition of $[\rho]$* (see Proposition 2.17 (b) for a justification of the terminology).

In our guiding example of a newform f , ρ_f has CM or a non-trivial inner twist if and only if f does.

- Lemma 2.4.** (a) *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ be absolutely irreducible. Then any $M \in \text{GL}_n(L)$ commuting with all $\rho(g)$ for $g \in G$ is a scalar matrix.*
- (b) *Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(L)$ be absolutely irreducible without complex multiplication, let $M \in \text{GL}_n(L)$ and assume that the image of $M^{-1}\rho M$ lies in $\text{GSp}_n(L)$. Then $M \in \text{GSp}_n(L)$, thus $M^{-1}\rho M$ belongs to the symplectic equivalence class of ρ .*

Proof. (a) This is a form of Schur’s Lemma. See e.g. [CR62, § 27 of Chapter IV, Lemma (27.3)].

(b) Call $\rho' = M^{-1}\rho M$. The symplecticity of ρ and ρ' implies for $g \in G$:

$$\rho(g)^{\text{tr}} J \rho(g) = m(\rho(g))J \quad \text{and} \quad (M^{-1}\rho(g)M)^{\text{tr}} J (M^{-1}\rho(g)M) = m(\rho'(g))J,$$

where m is the multiplier and J is the Gram matrix of the standard symplectic pairing. Combining these two equations yields

$$(MJ^{-1}M^{\text{tr}}J)^{-1}\rho(g)(MJ^{-1}M^{\text{tr}}J) = \frac{m(\rho'(g))}{m(\rho(g))}\rho(g).$$

Note that this equation implies that the character $\frac{m(\rho'(g))}{m(\rho(g))}$ lies in $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$. As we are assuming that ρ has no complex multiplication, this character is trivial. Using (a), we get that $MJ^{-1}M^{\text{tr}}J$ is a scalar matrix id_n , showing that $M \in \text{GSp}_n(L)$, as claimed. □

One can find examples showing that the conditions in Lemma 2.4 (b) are necessary. On our way to develop the main results of this section, we first include a proposition summarising some representation theory to be used in the sequel.

- Proposition 2.5.** (a) *Let $[\rho], [\rho'] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ be absolutely irreducible. If for all $g \in G$ the equality $\text{Tr}(\rho(g)) = \text{Tr}(\rho'(g))$ holds, then $[\rho] = [\rho']$.*
- (b) *Let $[\rho], [\rho'] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ be absolutely irreducible without complex multiplication. If $\text{Tr}(\rho(g)) = \text{Tr}(\rho'(g))$ for all $g \in G$, then $[\rho] = [\rho']$.*
- (c) *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ be (residually) absolutely irreducible and let $K \subseteq \tilde{K} \subseteq L$ be a field extension such that $\text{Tr}(\rho(g)) \in \tilde{K}$ for all $g \in G$. Then there is $M \in \text{GL}_n(L)$ such that $M^{-1}\rho(g)M \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$ for all $g \in G$. So, the equivalence class $[\rho]$ has a representative with target in $\text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$.*

(d) Let $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ be (residually) absolutely irreducible without complex multiplication and let $K \subseteq \tilde{K} \subseteq L$ be a field extension such that $\text{Tr}(\rho(g)) \in \tilde{K}$ and $m(g) \in \tilde{K}$ (multiplier map) for all $g \in G$. Then there is $M \in \text{GSp}_n(L)$ such that $M^{-1}\rho(g)M \in \text{GSp}_n(\tilde{K})$ for all $g \in G$. So, the equivalence class $[\rho]$ has a representative with target in $\text{GSp}_n(\tilde{K})$.

Proof. (a) This follows from the theorem of Brauer-Nesbitt, cf. [CR62, §30 of Chapter V, Theorem (3.15)].

(b) Applying (a), we obtain that ρ and ρ' are equivalent as GL_n -representations, meaning that there is some matrix $M \in \text{GL}_n(L)$ such that $\rho' = M^{-1}\rho M$. Lemma 2.4 (b) shows that $M \in \text{GSp}_n(L)$, as claimed.

(c) This is Théorème 2 of [Car94] (which applies in the ℓ -adic case and over finite fields); see also [Maz97, p. 255].

(d) Applying (c) we obtain a matrix $M \in \text{GL}_n(L)$ such that $M^{-1}\rho(g)M \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$ for all $g \in G$. We want to show that there is a matrix $N \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$ such that $N^{-1}M^{-1}\rho(g)MN \in \text{GSp}_n(\tilde{K})$ for all $g \in G$. Again denote J the Gram matrix of the standard symplectic pairing. Then all $M^{-1}\rho(g)M$ respect the symplectic pairing with Gram matrix $I := M^{\text{tr}}JM$ up to the same multiplier $m(\rho(g))$, i.e.,

$$(M^{-1}\rho(g)M)^{\text{tr}}I(M^{-1}\rho(g)M) = m(\rho(g))I$$

for all $g \in G$.

We claim that $I \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$. Note that conjugating $M^{-1}\rho(g)M$ by I yields an element in $\text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$, using here the assumption $m(\rho(g)) \in \tilde{K}$. The absolute irreducibility of $M^{-1}\rho M$ now implies that conjugating by I preserves $M_n(\tilde{K})$ (see e.g. [Maz97, p. 252]). The Skolem-Noether theorem ([CR81, Corollary 3.63]) consequently shows $I \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$.

This now means that I defines a symplectic pairing on \tilde{K}^n (the representation space of $M^{-1}\rho M$), which is respected by $M^{-1}\rho M$ (up to the multiplier). The claimed existence of the matrix N is now just the fact that in a symplectic vector space a basis can be chosen such that the symplectic pairing has the standard form, i.e., is given by J . We conclude, using (b), that $(MN)^{-1}\rho(MN)$ is in the equivalence class $[\rho]$. □

We now include a word on topology.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$. Then $\Gamma_{[\rho]}$ and $\Delta_{[\rho]}$ are open subgroups of Γ (and thus of finite index).*

Proof. There is an open normal subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}_\rho$ of Γ acting trivially on ρ . Then we have inclusions

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\rho \subseteq \Delta_{[\rho]} \subseteq \Gamma_{[\rho]} \subseteq \Gamma,$$

all of which are of finite index. Fix a system of representatives $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ of $\Gamma/\tilde{\Gamma}_\rho$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_i := \{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E} \mid \gamma_i \rho \sim \rho \otimes \epsilon\} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{or} \\ \epsilon_i \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} & \text{for some } \epsilon_i \in \mathcal{E}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $\Gamma_{[\rho]} = \bigcup_{i=1, \mathcal{E}_i \neq \emptyset}^m \gamma_i \tilde{\Gamma}_\rho$ and $\Delta_{[\rho]} = \bigcup_{i=1, \mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}}^m \gamma_i \tilde{\Gamma}_\rho$, thus they are open subsets of Γ . □

The following lemma is very useful in our applications of the above theory to compatible systems of Galois representations, where the Frobenius traces lie in some global field.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ (in this case also assume that ρ has no complex multiplication) be absolutely irreducible. Then $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ is equal to the set*

$$\{(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G} \mid \gamma(\text{Tr}(\rho(g))) = \text{Tr}(\rho(g))\epsilon(g) \ \forall g \in G\}.$$

Proof. Proposition 2.5 (a) and (b). □

We continue with a useful observation on the values of ϵ occuring for inner twists.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$. Furthermore, let $\chi : G \rightarrow K^\times$ be any character and let $\psi : G \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. Let $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ occur in some $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$.*

- (a) *If $\det(\rho) = \psi\chi$, then $\epsilon^n = \frac{\gamma\psi}{\psi}$ and the values of ϵ^n are contained in the field generated over K by the values of ψ .*
- (b) *If $[\rho]$ is symplectic with multiplier map $m(\rho) = \psi\chi$, then $\epsilon^2 = \frac{\gamma\psi}{\psi}$ and the values of ϵ are contained in the field generated over K by the values of ψ .*

Proof. Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. We get:

(a) $\gamma(\det(\rho)) = \chi\gamma(\psi) = \psi\chi\epsilon^n$, implying $\epsilon^n = \frac{\gamma\psi}{\psi}$. The second assertion is now clear.

(b) The same calculation with the multiplier map yields $\epsilon^2 = \frac{\gamma\psi}{\psi}$. Consequently, the order of ϵ divides $2m$, where m is the order of ψ . Let ζ be a primitive m -th root of unity, $g \in G$ and $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi(g) = \zeta^b$, $\gamma(\zeta) = \zeta^c$. Then $\epsilon(g)^2 = \frac{\zeta^{bc}}{\zeta^c} = \zeta^{b(c-1)}$. If m is odd, there exists $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\zeta = (\zeta^2)^d$, thus $\epsilon(g)^2 = \zeta^{2bd(c-1)}$ and $\epsilon(g) \in K(\zeta)$. Assume now that m is even. Then $c - 1$ is also even (otherwise c would be even, and ζ^c would not be a primitive m -th root of unity). Hence $\epsilon(g)^2$ has order dividing $m/2$, so that $\epsilon(g)$ has order dividing m ; thus the values of ϵ are a subset of the values of ψ . □

We now study projective representations.

- Lemma 2.9.** (a) *For $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ one has $\rho^{\text{proj}} = (\rho \otimes \epsilon)^{\text{proj}}$.*
 (b) *For $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ one has $\rho^{\text{proj}} \sim (\rho \otimes \epsilon)^{\text{proj}}$.*
 (c) *Let $[\rho_1], [\rho_2] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho_1], [\rho_2] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ such that $\rho_1^{\text{proj}} \sim \rho_2^{\text{proj}}$. Then there is $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $[\rho_1] = [\rho_2 \otimes \epsilon]$.*

Proof. (a) and (b) are clear.

(c) Denote by s the injective homomorphism $L^\times \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$ which sends x to the scalar matrix $x \cdot \text{id}_n$. Let M be a matrix in $\text{GL}_n(L)$ (or in $\text{GSp}_n(L)$) such that $\rho_1^{\text{proj}} = M\rho_2^{\text{proj}}M^{-1}$. Define the character $\epsilon : G \rightarrow L^\times$ by the formula

$$\epsilon(g) := s^{-1}(\rho_1(g)(M\rho_2(g)M^{-1})^{-1}).$$

Note that ϵ is well defined, is multiplicative and that it obviously satisfies the requirements. □

For the next lemma note that $(\gamma\rho)^{\text{proj}} = \gamma(\rho^{\text{proj}})$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$.*

- (a) $\Gamma_{[\rho]} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma\rho^{\text{proj}} \sim \rho^{\text{proj}}\}$.
- (b) *If ρ^{proj} factors as*

$$G \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\tilde{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{nat. emb.}} \text{PGL}_n(L)$$

or as

$$G \rightarrow \text{PGSp}_n(\tilde{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{nat. emb.}} \text{PGSp}_n(L)$$

for some field $K \subseteq \tilde{K} \subseteq L$, then $K_{[\rho]} \subseteq \tilde{K}$.

Proof. (a) Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$. Then there is ϵ such that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$, i.e., $\gamma\rho \sim \rho \otimes \epsilon$. Hence, $\gamma\rho^{\text{proj}} \sim \rho^{\text{proj}}$ by Lemma 2.9. Conversely, if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying $\gamma\rho^{\text{proj}} \sim \rho^{\text{proj}}$, then by Lemma 2.9, there is ϵ such that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$, whence $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$.

(b) Let $U \subseteq \Gamma$ be the closed subgroup such that $\tilde{K} = L^U$. Then for all $\gamma \in U$ we have $\gamma\rho^{\text{proj}} = \rho^{\text{proj}}$. Hence, $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$ by (a). Consequently, $U \subseteq \Gamma_{[\rho]}$, whence $K_{[\rho]} \subseteq \tilde{K}$. □

The lemma shows that any field over which ρ^{proj} can be defined contains $K_{[\rho]}$. Our aim will be to show that under suitable assumptions ρ^{proj} can be defined over $K_{[\rho]}$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$. Let $g \in G$ and let $X^n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(g)X^{n-i}$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(g)$. Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. Then one has the equations*

$$\gamma(a_i(g)) = \epsilon(g)^i \cdot a_i(g)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. This follows by comparing the characteristic polynomials of $[\rho]$ and $(\gamma, \epsilon) \cdot [\rho]$. □

Corollary 2.12. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$.*

- (a) *The order of ϵ divides n .*
- (b) *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $g \in G$. If $a_i(g) \neq 0$, then $\epsilon^i(g) = 1$.*

Proof. (a) The coefficient $a_n(g)$ of the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(g)$ as in Lemma 2.11 is the determinant of $\rho(g)$, which is a unit. As $a_n(g) = \epsilon(g)^n a_n(g)$ for all g , we conclude $\epsilon(g)^n = 1$.

(b) is immediate. □

Lemma 2.13. *For $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$, consider $H_{[\rho]} := \bigcap_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}} \ker(\epsilon)$. It is a closed normal subgroup of G with abelian quotient of exponent dividing n .*

If ρ has complex multiplication, then the restriction of ρ to $H_{[\rho]}$ is not absolutely irreducible.

Proof. Let $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ and let M be a (symplectic) matrix such that $\rho \otimes \epsilon = M\rho M^{-1}$. If the restriction of ρ to $H_{[\rho]}$ is absolutely irreducible, then restricting to $H_{[\rho]}$ gives $\rho|_{H_{[\rho]}} = M\rho M^{-1}|_{H_{[\rho]}}$. By absolute irreducibility, any matrix that commutes with all of $\rho|_{H_{[\rho]}}$ is scalar, whence $\rho \otimes \epsilon = \rho$. Consequently, ϵ is the trivial character. □

Proposition 2.14. *For $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$, define the subgroup $I_{[\rho]} := \bigcap_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E} \text{ s.t. } \exists(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}} \ker(\epsilon)$. Assume that the restriction of ρ to $I_{[\rho]}$ is (residually) absolutely irreducible (in particular, by Lemma 2.13 this implies that $[\rho]$ has no complex multiplication). Then the equivalence class $[\rho]$ contains a member ρ' satisfying:*

- *Let $g \in G$. Then $\rho'(g) \in \text{GL}_n(K_{[\rho]}) \Leftrightarrow g \in I_{[\rho]}$.*
- *$\gamma\rho' = \rho' \otimes \epsilon$ for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 2.11, the character of ρ restricted to $I_{[\rho]}$ takes values in $K_{[\rho]}$. If ρ is symplectic, the formula $\epsilon^2 = \frac{\gamma(m \circ \rho)}{m \circ \rho}$ for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ (see also Lemma 2.8 (b)) shows that the multiplier of ρ restricted to $I_{[\rho]}$ takes values in $K_{[\rho]}$. Due to the (residual) irreducibility assumption, $\rho|_{I_{[\rho]}}$ is thus conjugate by some (symplectic) matrix M to a representation defined over $K_{[\rho]}$; see Proposition 2.5 (c) and (d). Thus $\rho' := M\rho M^{-1}$ is a representation of G such that its restriction to $I_{[\rho]}$ takes its values in the (symplectic) matrices with entries in $K_{[\rho]}$.

We start by showing the second property. For this, let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. Since $[\gamma\rho] = [\rho \otimes \epsilon]$, there is a (symplectic) matrix N such that $\gamma\rho' = N(\rho' \otimes \epsilon)N^{-1}$. Restricting to $I_{[\rho]}$ and using that this restriction is absolutely irreducible, the matrix N has to be scalar (see Proposition 2.4 (a)), showing $\gamma\rho' = \rho' \otimes \epsilon$.

Let now $g \in G \setminus I_{[\rho]}$. Then there is a $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ such that $\epsilon(g) \neq 1$. Hence, $\gamma(\rho'(g)) = \rho'(g)\epsilon(g) \neq \rho'(g)$, showing $\rho'(g) \notin \text{GL}_n(K_{[\rho]})$. □

Our first main theorem can now be obtained as an application of Hilbert’s Satz 90.

Theorem 2.15. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ such that its restriction to $I_{[\rho]}$ (see Proposition 2.14 for its definition) is (residually) absolutely irreducible. Then the equivalence class of ρ^{proj} has a member that factors through $\text{PGL}_n(K_{[\rho]})$ or $\text{PGSp}_n(K_{[\rho]})$, respectively. Combining with Lemma 2.10, $K_{[\rho]}$ is hence the smallest subfield of L with this property.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.14, we may and do assume that $\gamma\rho = \rho \otimes \epsilon$ for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$.

Now let $g \in G$. Consider the continuous 1-cocycle $c_g : \Gamma_{[\rho]} \rightarrow L^\times$ defined by $c_g(\gamma) = s^{-1}(\gamma\rho(g)\rho(g)^{-1})$, where $s : L^\times \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$ denotes the morphism which sends x to the scalar matrix $x \cdot \text{id}_n$. By Hilbert’s Satz 90, $H^1(\Gamma_{[\rho]}, L^\times)$ is the trivial module. Hence, c_g is a coboundary, i.e., it is of the form $c_g(\gamma) = \frac{a_g}{\gamma(a_g)}$ with some $a_g \in L^\times$. Then for any $g \in G$ and any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$ we obtain

$$\gamma\rho(g) \otimes \frac{\gamma(a_g)}{a_g} = \rho(g) \text{ and consequently } \gamma(\rho(g)a_g) = \rho(g)a_g,$$

showing that $\rho(g)a_g$ belongs to $\text{GL}_n(K_{[\rho]})$ (or to $\text{GSp}_n(K_{[\rho]})$). Taking this equation modulo scalars, yields the theorem. □

Remark 2.16. The previous theorem shows that the field $K_{[\rho]}$ is related to the minimal field of definition of the adjoint representation introduced by R. Pink in a more general context in [Pin98].

The following proposition complements Theorem 2.15 by clarifying the relation between $K_{[\rho]}$ and the minimal field over which a member of the equivalence class $[\rho]$ can be defined.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ be (residually) absolutely irreducible. Let $\chi : G \rightarrow K^\times$ be any character and let $\psi : G \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. If $[\rho]$ is symplectic, assume that the multiplier map is $m(\rho) = \psi\chi$; otherwise assume that $\det \rho = \psi\chi$ and $E_{[\rho]}$ contains the n -th roots of the values of ψ .*

- (a) $\Delta_{[\rho]}$ is an open normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{[\rho]}$ and, hence, $E_{[\rho]}/K_{[\rho]}$ is a finite Galois extension with Galois group $\Gamma_{[\rho]}/\Delta_{[\rho]}$. In particular, one has $\gamma(E_{[\rho]}) = E_{[\rho]}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$.
- (b) If $[\rho]$ is symplectic, also assume that $[\rho]$ has no complex multiplication. The equivalence class $[\rho]$ contains a representation that can be defined over the field $E_{[\rho]}$ and $E_{[\rho]}$ is the smallest such subfield of L . Moreover, $E_{[\rho]}$ is generated over K by the traces $\text{Tr}(\rho(g))$ for $g \in G$ (and the values of the multiplier if ρ is symplectic).

Proof. (a) Note that, if $[\rho]$ is symplectic, for all $\delta \in \Delta_{[\rho]}$, $\delta(m(\rho)) = m(\rho)$, whence $E_{[\rho]}$ contains the values of ψ . Let $\delta \in \Delta_{[\rho]}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$. There is $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. Taking into account that $E_{[\rho]}$ contains the values of ϵ by Lemma 2.8, we compute

$$(\gamma, \epsilon)(\delta, 1)(\gamma, \epsilon)^{-1} = (\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}, (\gamma^{\delta}\gamma^{-1}\epsilon^{-1})\epsilon) = (\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]},$$

whence $\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1} \in \Delta_{[\rho]}$. The finiteness follows from the fact that $\Delta_{[\rho]}$ has finite index in Γ , which was proved in Lemma 2.6.

(b) Lemma 2.11 implies that the character of ρ takes values in $E_{[\rho]}$, i.e., the traces lie in $E_{[\rho]}$. If ρ is symplectic, then the multiplier of ρ also takes values in $E_{[\rho]}$. Due to the (residual) absolute irreducibility, $[\rho]$ can thus be defined over $E_{[\rho]}$ by Proposition 2.5 (c) and (d). If the traces of ρ (together with the values of the multiplier for symplectic ρ) generated a proper subfield \tilde{K} of $E_{[\rho]}$, then $[\rho]$ could be defined over \tilde{K} . Then, however, there would be a $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Delta_{[\rho]}$ such that γ is the identity on \tilde{K} , and γ would fix all traces. This would mean $\gamma\rho \sim \rho$ (by Proposition 2.5 (a) and (b)), so that $(\gamma, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$, consequently, $\gamma \in \Delta_{[\rho]}$, a contradiction. \square

3. INNER TWISTS OF A GALOIS REPRESENTATION

In this short section we analyse the ramification of the characters occurring in inner twists. Let F be a number field and let $G := G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ as in Setup 2.1, which we assume for this section.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G_F, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G_F, L/K)$. Then any ϵ occurring in $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ is unramified at all places of F at which ρ is unramified.*

Proof. Let σ be an element such that $\rho(\sigma)$ is the identity. Then $\gamma\rho(\sigma)$ is also the identity, hence, $\gamma\rho \cong \rho \otimes \epsilon$ implies that $\epsilon(\sigma) = 1$. Now apply this reasoning to σ in inertia groups at primes at which ρ does not ramify. \square

Assumption 3.2. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic ℓ or $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ and $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$. For a prime \mathfrak{L} of F above ℓ we make the assumption:*

There exist an integer t and an integer s between 1 and n , and for each $i = 1, \dots, s$, an r_i -tuple $S_i = (a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,r_i})$ of natural numbers $0 \leq a_{i,j} \leq \ell - 1$ with

$r_1 + \dots + r_s = n$ such that, if we denote by B_i the matrix,

$$B_i \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{r_i}^{b_i} & & & 0 \\ & \psi_{r_i}^{b_i \ell} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \psi_{r_i}^{b_i \ell^{r_i-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with ψ_{r_i} a (fixed choice of) fundamental character of niveau r_i (so that ψ_1 is the mod- ℓ cyclotomic character) and $b_i = a_{i,1} + a_{i,2}\ell + \dots + a_{i,r_i}\ell^{r_i-1}$, then

$$(\rho \otimes \psi_1^t)|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{B_1} & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \boxed{B_s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is well known that Assumption 3.2 is verified if $F_{\mathfrak{L}} = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic ℓ or $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ and $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L/K)$. Let \mathfrak{L} be a prime of F above ℓ such that Assumption 3.2 is verified. If $a_{i,j} < \frac{\ell-1}{2n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and all $1 \leq j \leq r_i$, then the character ϵ is unramified at \mathfrak{L} for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$.*

Proof. First note that the restriction to $I_{\mathfrak{L}}$ of the determinant of ρ is $\chi_{\ell}^{b_1 + \dots + b_s - t}$. For any exponent x of ψ_{r_i} occurring in B_i for any $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ we have the

$$0 \leq x < \frac{\ell^{r_i} - 1}{2n},$$

as each exponent x is of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{r_i} a^{(j)} \ell^{j-1}$, where the $a^{(j)}$ are the entries of S_i up to a cyclic permutation and they are less than $\frac{\ell-1}{2n}$.

Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. We first consider the action of γ . As K is a finite field, γ acts by raising to the ℓ^c -th power for some c . In particular ${}^{\gamma}\psi_{r_i} = \psi_{r_i}^{\ell^c}$. This shows that ${}^{\gamma}(\rho \otimes \psi_1^t)|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ has the same shape as $(\rho \otimes \psi_1^t)|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ except that the elements of each S_i are permuted. Taking the determinant on both sides of ${}^{\gamma}\rho \cong \rho \otimes \epsilon$ yields that $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ has order m dividing n , as γ acts trivially on the cyclotomic character. Moreover, looking at any diagonal entry we get $\psi_{r_i}^x = \psi_{r_j}^y \cdot \epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ for some exponents x and y . Let r be the least common multiple of r_i and r_j . Then we can rewrite the previous equation as

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_r^{\tilde{x}} = \psi_r^{\tilde{y}} \cdot \epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$$

with $0 \leq \tilde{x} < \frac{\ell^r - 1}{2n}$, since $\psi_r = \psi_{r_i}^{\frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell^{r_i} - 1}}$ and hence $\tilde{x} = x \frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell^{r_i} - 1} < \frac{\ell^{r_i} - 1}{2n} \frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell^{r_i} - 1} = \frac{\ell^r - 1}{2n}$. The same estimate holds true for \tilde{y} .

Furthermore, the knowledge of the order of $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ implies $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}} = \psi_r^{\frac{\ell^r - 1}{m}b}$ for some $1 \leq b \leq m - 1$ coprime to m . Note that this implies the estimates

$$\frac{\ell^r - 1}{n} \leq \frac{\ell^r - 1}{m} \leq \frac{\ell^r - 1}{m}b \leq \frac{(m - 1)(\ell^r - 1)}{m} \leq \frac{(n - 1)(\ell^r - 1)}{n}.$$

But, since ψ_r has order $\ell^r - 1$, equation (3.1) implies that $\frac{(\ell^r - 1)b}{m} + \tilde{y} - \tilde{x}$ is divisible by $\ell^r - 1$, hence we get a contradiction. Thus $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ is trivial, as was to be shown. \square

4. INNER TWISTS IN COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS OF GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we aim to generalise the results of Section 2 to compatible systems of Galois representations. For a compatible system ρ_\bullet we will define number fields E_{ρ_\bullet} and K_{ρ_\bullet} such that almost all members ρ_λ and their projectivisation $\rho_\lambda^{\text{proj}}$ can be defined over the completion at λ of E_{ρ_\bullet} and K_{ρ_\bullet} , respectively. The example of a non-CM newform f already used in Section 2 can serve as a guidance to the reader. The field E_{ρ_\bullet} is then $\mathbb{Q}_f = \mathbb{Q}(a_p \mid p \text{ prime}, p \nmid N)$ and K_{ρ_\bullet} is $\mathbb{Q}(\frac{a_p^2}{\psi(p)} \mid p \text{ prime}, p \nmid N)$, where N is the level and ψ the Dirichlet character of f .

Definition 4.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $G := G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ be the absolute Galois group of a number field F . A compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ of n -dimensional representations of G_F consists of the following data:

- A number field L .
- A finite set S of finite places of F .
- For each finite place \mathfrak{p} of F not in S , a monic polynomial $P_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{O}_L[X]$ (with \mathcal{O}_L the ring of integers of L).
- For each finite place λ of L (together with fixed embeddings $L \hookrightarrow L_\lambda \hookrightarrow \overline{L}_\lambda$ with L_λ the completion of L at λ and \overline{L}_λ an algebraic closure thereof) a continuous Galois representation

$$\rho_\lambda : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{L}_\lambda)$$

such that ρ_λ is unramified outside $S \cup S_\ell$ (where ℓ is the residue characteristic of λ and S_ℓ is the set places of F lying above ℓ) and such that for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S \cup S_\ell$ the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ is equal to $P_\mathfrak{p}$ (equality inside $\overline{L}_\lambda[X]$).

We say that the compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ is *symplectic* if for all λ the representation ρ_λ is of the form $G_F \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(\overline{L}_\lambda)$. We say that $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ is *almost everywhere (a. e.) symplectic* if for all but possibly finitely many λ the representation ρ_λ is of the form $G_F \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(\overline{L}_\lambda)$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a continuous finite order character. We say that the compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ has *determinant* $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ if for all places λ of L (say, λ lies over the rational prime ℓ) the determinant of ρ_λ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ with χ_ℓ the ℓ -adic cyclotomic character.

We say that a symplectic compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ has *multiplier map* $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ if for all places λ of L (say, λ lies over the rational prime ℓ) the multiplier map of ρ_λ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ with χ_ℓ the ℓ -adic cyclotomic character. In the case of an almost everywhere symplectic compatible system, we only insist on this property at those places λ , where the representation is symplectic.

A compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ is called *almost everywhere (a. e.) absolutely irreducible* if all its members ρ_λ are absolutely irreducible except for finitely many places λ of L .

For a place \mathfrak{p} of F not in S , denote by $a_\mathfrak{p}$ the coefficient in front of X^{n-1} of $P_\mathfrak{p}(X)$, i.e., the ‘trace’ (up to sign). For the sequel, assume Set-up 2.1 for some number field K , and assume that L/K is a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group Γ . Note that, in this context, Γ , L and K are all endowed with the discrete topology. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet} := \{(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G} \mid \gamma(a_\mathfrak{p}) = a_\mathfrak{p} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) \text{ for all places } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } F \text{ not in } S\},$$

$\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet} := \pi(\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}) \subseteq \Gamma$, $\Delta_{\rho_\bullet} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet} \mid (\gamma, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\rho_\bullet} := \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}) = \iota^{-1}(\ker(\pi|_{\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}}))$. (Compare with Definition 2.3 and Lemma 2.7.) We say that the compatible system ρ_\bullet has *no complex multiplication* if $\mathcal{E}_{\rho_\bullet} = \{1\}$.

Let $K_{\rho_\bullet} := L^{\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}}$, called the *projective field of definition of ρ_\bullet* , and $E_{\rho_\bullet} := L^{\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}}$, called the *field of definition of ρ_\bullet* . Note that E_{ρ_\bullet} is generated over K by the set $\{a_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ place of } F \text{ not in } S\}$.

Remark 4.2. Let ρ_\bullet be an a. e. symplectic compatible system of n -dimensional Galois representations without complex multiplication, and let $\rho_\lambda \in \rho_\bullet$ be a symplectic member of the system. Then ρ_λ has no complex multiplication. Indeed, if $\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow \overline{L}_\lambda^\times$ is an inner twist for $[\rho_\lambda] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G_F, \overline{L}_\lambda/K_\lambda)$, then $m(\rho_\lambda) = m(\rho_\lambda \otimes \epsilon) = m(\rho_\lambda)\epsilon^2$, hence $\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow \overline{L}_\lambda^\times$ is at most quadratic. Therefore we may view it as a character $\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$, and it satisfies $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = a_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ (equality in L) for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. Thus $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho_\bullet} = 1$ because ρ_\bullet has no complex multiplication. This implies that ρ_λ does not have complex multiplication.

Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system. For a place λ of L we can consider Set-up 2.1 for the Galois extension $\overline{L}_\lambda/K_\lambda$. If $\rho_\lambda \in \rho_\bullet$ is residually absolutely irreducible (and symplectic), by Proposition 2.5 (c) (Proposition 2.5 (d) and Remark 4.2) the equivalence class of ρ_λ contains a member that is defined over L_λ . Thus we can consider Set-up 2.1 for the Galois extension L_λ/K_λ . In this case we will use the notation $\Gamma_\lambda := \text{Gal}(L_\lambda/K_\lambda)$ (denoting the prime of K below λ also by λ), and $\mathcal{E}_\lambda := \{\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow L_\lambda^\times \text{ character}\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_\lambda := \mathcal{E}_\lambda \rtimes \Gamma_\lambda$. In this setting, apply Definition 2.3 with $[\rho_\lambda]$, so that, in particular, $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$ is the stabiliser subgroup inside \mathcal{G}_λ of $[\rho_\lambda]$.

We start with the analog of Proposition 2.17 for compatible systems of ℓ -adic Galois representations; our main focus below will be to obtain results about their residual representations.

Proposition 4.3. *Let ρ_\bullet be an a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system of n -dimensional Galois representations as in Definition 4.1. If ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic, assume that the multiplier of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ and that ρ_\bullet has no complex multiplication. If ρ_\bullet is not a. e. symplectic, assume that the determinant of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ and that E_{ρ_\bullet} contains the n -th roots of the values of ψ .*

- (a) Δ_{ρ_\bullet} is a normal subgroup of Γ_{ρ_\bullet} and, hence, $E_{\rho_\bullet}/K_{\rho_\bullet}$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}/\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$. In particular, the field $\gamma(E_{\rho_\bullet}) = E_{\rho_\bullet}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}$.
- (b) For each place λ of L such that ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible (and symplectic if ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic), the equivalence class $[\rho_\lambda]$ contains a representation that can be defined over the field $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$ and $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$ is the smallest such field.

Proof. (a) First of all, note that, if ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic, then E_{ρ_\bullet} contains the values of ψ . Indeed, for all $\delta \in \Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$, for all places λ of L fixed by δ and all places \mathfrak{p} of F outside $S \cup S_\ell$ (where ℓ is the residue characteristic of λ), we have that $\text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) = a_{\mathfrak{p}} = \delta(a_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Tr}(\delta^\rho \rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. Here by abuse of notation we denote by δ any extension of δ to \overline{L}_λ . Suppose that ρ_λ is absolutely irreducible and symplectic. Then by Remark 4.2 ρ_λ does not have complex multiplication. Moreover, by Chebotarev's Density Theorem and Proposition 2.5 (b), ρ_λ and $\delta^\rho \rho_\lambda$ are equivalent as symplectic representations. Hence $\delta(m(\rho_\lambda)) = m(\delta^\rho \rho_\lambda) = m(\rho_\lambda)$ for all except possibly finitely many places $\lambda \in L$. Since the multiplier of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$, we obtain that the values of ψ are fixed by δ , thus they lie in E_{ρ_\bullet} .

This allows us to compute $(\gamma\epsilon)(\delta, 1)(\gamma, \epsilon)^{-1} = (\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_\bullet$ as in Proposition 2.17 (a) for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}$ and $\delta \in \Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$, which shows that $\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho_\bullet}$.

(b) Let λ be a place of L such that ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible (and symplectic if ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic). For all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S \cup S_\ell$ (where ℓ is the residue characteristic of λ), the trace of $\rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ is fixed by all elements of Δ_{ρ_\bullet} that fix λ , whence it belongs to $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$, and we saw above that the multiplier of ρ_λ takes values in $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$ when ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic. Using Chebotarev’s Density Theorem and Proposition 2.5 (c) and (d), we see that ρ_λ can be defined over $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$.

Since E_{ρ_\bullet} is generated by the $\{a_\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}$, it is clear that $[\rho_\lambda]$ cannot contain a member which is defined over a subfield smaller than $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$. □

We will next see that, when ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible, the projective field of definition of $[\rho_\lambda]$ of Definition 2.3 is just the completion at λ of the projective field of definition of the compatible system.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system as in Definition 4.1. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. If ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic, assume that ρ_\bullet has no complex multiplication and that the multiplier of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. If ρ_\bullet is not a. e. symplectic, assume that L contains the n -th roots of the values of ψ and that the determinant of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. Let λ be a place of L such that ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible (and symplectic if ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic).*

Then we have

$$\Gamma_{[\rho_\lambda]} = \Gamma_\lambda \cap \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet},$$

where the intersection is taken inside Γ via the embedding $\Gamma_\lambda \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ coming from the embedding $L \hookrightarrow L_\lambda$.

Proof. We use Lemma 2.7. The inclusion ‘ \supseteq ’ is clear. Conversely, let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho_\lambda]}$; in particular, $\gamma \in \Gamma_\lambda$. There is a character $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda$ such that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$, i.e., that $\gamma(a_\mathfrak{p}) = a_\mathfrak{p} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S \cup S_\ell$. Lemma 2.8 implies that ϵ can be lifted to an element of \mathcal{E} , i.e., taking values in L^\times . The equation $\gamma(a_\mathfrak{p}) = a_\mathfrak{p} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S \cup S_\ell$ is now an equation in the field L , implying $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}$. □

The following theorem is our main result about projective fields of definition of compatible systems of ℓ -adic representations.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system as in Definition 4.1. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. If ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic, assume that ρ_\bullet has no complex multiplication and that the multiplier of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. If ρ_\bullet is not a. e. symplectic, assume that L contains the n -th roots of the values of ψ and that the determinant of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$.*

Then for each place λ of L such that ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible (and symplectic if ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic), the projective field of definition of $[\rho_\lambda]$ is $(K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$, the completion of K_{ρ_\bullet} at the prime lying below λ .

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, we have $K_{[\rho_\lambda]} = L_\lambda^{\Gamma_{[\rho_\lambda]}} = L_\lambda^{\Gamma_\lambda \cap \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}} = (L^{\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}})_\lambda = (K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$. □

Given a compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ we will now consider the residual representations $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ (see Remark 2.2). If M is a local field, we denote by $\kappa(M)$ its residue field. Let λ be a finite place of L and assume ρ_λ is defined over L_λ (which is the case whenever ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible (and symplectic without complex multiplication)). Consider Set-up 2.1 for the Galois extension $\kappa(L_\lambda)/\kappa(K_\lambda)$ with

Galois group $\bar{\Gamma}_\lambda$, using the notation $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda$ and $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_\lambda$. We apply Definition 2.3 to the equivalence class $[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]$ of the residual representation, using the notation $\mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{G}}_\lambda$, $\mathcal{E}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda$ and $\Gamma_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]} \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}_\lambda$.

We now state and prove our principal result describing the projective fields of definition for almost all residual representations of a compatible system. It may be useful to roughly summarise where the various conditions in the theorem come from. That ψ has finite order is needed to ensure that all ϵ occurring in inner twists are of finite order; the condition on the n -th roots of the values of ψ in the non-symplectic case ensures that ϵ takes its values in E_{ρ_\bullet} (which is automatic in the symplectic case). The absolute irreducibility and the non-CM condition (in the symplectic case) are needed to ensure that the representations are determined by the characteristic polynomials of Frobenius. The condition on the shape above ℓ is needed to exclude that the residual inner twists ramify at ℓ (if infinitely many of them did ramify, then they would not ‘glue’ to an inner twist of the compatible system).

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system as in Definition 4.1. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. If ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic, assume that ρ_\bullet has no complex multiplication and that the multiplier of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. If ρ_\bullet is not a. e. symplectic, assume that L contains the n -th roots of the values of ψ and that the determinant of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$.*

Assume that for primes \mathfrak{L} of F lying over the residue characteristic of λ , the representation $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ satisfies Assumption 3.2 (this is automatic if $F_\mathfrak{L} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$). Moreover, assume that there is an integer k , independent of λ , such that the numbers $a_{i,j}$ appearing in Assumption 3.2 are bounded by k .

Then for all places λ of L at which ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible, except possibly finitely many, the projective field of definition of $[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]$ is $\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda)$.

Proof. We may restrict to those λ of residual characteristic ℓ satisfying $\frac{\ell-1}{2n} > k$ (cf. Proposition 3.3), and symplectic without complex multiplication if ρ_\bullet is a. e. symplectic. We may furthermore limit ourselves to λ such that λ is unramified in L/K .

Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$ be an inner twist of $[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]$. We identify ϵ with its lift to an element of \mathcal{E} of the same order (with respect to the fixed $L \hookrightarrow L_\lambda$) and $\Gamma_\lambda = \text{Gal}(L_\lambda/K_\lambda)$ with $\bar{\Gamma}_\lambda = \text{Gal}(\kappa(L_\lambda)/\kappa(K_\lambda))$, so that $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$. By Lemma 2.8 we know that the order of ϵ is bounded independently of λ . Moreover, by Proposition 3.3, ϵ is unramified outside S . Let \mathcal{E}_0 be the finite subset of \mathcal{E} consisting of those characters meeting these two requirements. Hence, $\mathcal{G}_0 := \mathcal{E}_0 \rtimes \Gamma$ is a finite subgroup of \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$ are subgroups.

Now consider $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Assume that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$ for infinitely many λ . Then for all places \mathfrak{p} of F not in S and each of these λ (except those above the residue characteristic of \mathfrak{p}), we have

$$\gamma(a_\mathfrak{p}) \equiv a_\mathfrak{p} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) \pmod{\lambda}.$$

Consequently, we have equality $\gamma(a_\mathfrak{p}) = a_\mathfrak{p} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$, whence $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$. This means that avoiding also those finitely many λ such that a $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$ is in $\mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$, we have $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]} = \mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$, thus $\kappa(K_{[\rho_\lambda]}) = K_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$. Since ρ_λ is residually absolutely irreducible, Theorem 4.5 yields $K_{[\rho_\lambda]} = (K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$, which proves the theorem. \square

5. APPLICATION TO COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS WITH HUGE RESIDUAL IMAGES

In this section we make use of Theorem 4.6 to prove a first result that allows us to (almost) determine the projective image of the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$, (except for finitely many λ), for a compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)$ satisfying suitable conditions.

Let V be an n -dimensional K -vector space endowed with a symplectic pairing. Recall that an element $\tau \in \text{GL}(V)$ is a non-trivial *transvection* if $\tau - \text{id}_V$ has rank 1, i.e., if τ fixes a hyperplane pointwisely and there is a line U such that $\tau(v) - v \in U$ for all $v \in V$. Any transvection has determinant 1. A *symplectic transvection* is a transvection in $\text{Sp}(V)$. Any symplectic transvection has the form

$$T_v[\lambda] \in \text{Sp}(V) : u \mapsto u + \lambda \langle u, v \rangle v$$

with *direction vector* $v \in V$ and *parameter* $\lambda \in K$ (cf. [Art57, pp. 137-138]).

Definition 5.1. Let L be an algebraically closed field, and G a subgroup of $\text{GSp}_n(L)$. We will say that G is *huge* if the subgroup of G generated by the transvections contained in G is conjugated (in $\text{GSp}_n(L)$) to $\text{Sp}_n(K)$ for some subfield $K \subseteq L$.

Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K .

Remark 5.2. When K is a finite field of characteristic ℓ , a subgroup $G \subseteq \text{GSp}_n(\bar{K})$ is huge if and only if it contains a subgroup conjugated (in $\text{GSp}_n(\bar{K})$) to $\text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. This result is proved in Part II [AdDW16] of the present series of papers.

The main ingredient will be the following group-theoretic result:

Proposition 5.3. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic different from 2, and $G \subseteq \text{GSp}_n(\bar{K})$ be a group such that the group generated by the transvections in G is $\text{Sp}_n(K)$. Then $G \subseteq \text{GSp}_n(K)\bar{K}^\times$.*

To prove this proposition, first note the following easy fact:

Lemma 5.4. *Let $G \subseteq \text{GSp}_n(\bar{K})$ be a group such that the group generated by the transvections in G is $\text{Sp}_n(K)$. Then G is contained in the normaliser of $\text{Sp}_n(K)$ in $\text{GSp}_n(\bar{K})$.*

Proof. Let $A \in G$ be any element, say with multiplier α . To see that it belongs to the normaliser $N_{\text{GSp}_n(\bar{K})}(\text{Sp}_n(K))$, it suffices to see that, for all transvections $T = T_v[\lambda] \in \text{Sp}_n(K)$, $ATA^{-1} \in \text{Sp}_n(K)$. An easy computation shows that, for all $w \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} AT_v[\lambda]A^{-1}(w) &= A(A^{-1}w + \lambda \langle A^{-1}w, v \rangle Av) = w + \lambda \langle A^{-1}w, v \rangle Av \\ &= w + \lambda \alpha \langle w, Av \rangle Av = T_{Av}[\lambda \alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

But $T_{Av}[\lambda]$ is a transvection and belongs to G , so it belongs to $\text{Sp}_n(K)$, as was to be shown. □

So, in order to obtain Proposition 5.3, all we need is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic different from 2. Then $N_{\text{GSp}_n(\bar{K})}(\text{Sp}_n(K)) \subseteq \text{GSp}_n(K)\bar{K}^\times$.*

We will make use of an auxiliary lemma:

Lemma 5.6. *Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2. The group of automorphisms of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ is the semi-direct product of two subgroups, as described below:*

- *The group of automorphisms Φ such that: for all $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $\Phi(B) = ABA^{-1}$, where $A \in \mathrm{GSp}_n(K)$ (semi-inner automorphisms).*
- *The group of automorphisms Φ such that there exists $\phi : K \rightarrow K$ field automorphism such that, for all $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $\Phi(B)$ is the matrix with entries obtained by applying ϕ to the entries of B (field automorphisms).*

Proof. On the one hand, the main Theorem of [Hua48, p. 740], implies that the group of automorphisms of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ is generated by the group of semi-inner automorphisms and the group of field automorphisms. On the other hand, the intersection of these two subgroups is the identity element. This is proved in [KLS08, p. 548], inside of the proof of Corollary 2.6; namely, the reasoning is that any automorphism of the shape $B \mapsto A^{-1}BA$ must respect the trace. But there is always an element $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K)$ such that no field automorphism preserves the trace of B . \square

Proof of Proposition 5.5. Denote by N the normaliser of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ in $\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$, and consider also the centraliser $C = C_{\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})}\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, that is, the elements $A \in \mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$ such that, for all $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $A^{-1}BA = B$.

First, note that we can view N/C in a natural way as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$: namely, given $A \in N$, it defines an automorphism of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ by conjugation, and the kernel of the homomorphism $N \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$ is obviously C . Let us call \tilde{N} the image of N in the automorphism group.

We know that \tilde{N} is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$. By Lemma 5.6, we know that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$ is the semi-direct product of the group of the semi-inner automorphisms and the group consisting of the field automorphisms. Clearly all semi-inner automorphisms belong to \tilde{N} . Note moreover that no field automorphism belongs to \tilde{N} (the reasoning being the same as in the proof of Lemma 5.6 above). Therefore the group \tilde{N} coincides with the group of semi-inner automorphisms. That is to say, for any matrix $A \in N$, there exists a matrix $A_1 \in \mathrm{GSp}_n(K)$ such that the automorphism $B \mapsto A^{-1}BA$ coincides with the automorphism $A_1^{-1}BA_1$. That is to say, for all $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $(A_1A^{-1})^{-1}B(A_1A^{-1}) = B$. Equivalently, $A_1A^{-1} \in C$.

But, in our situation, $C = \overline{K}^\times \{\mathrm{Id}\}$. One sees this by considering a basis of V consisting of directions of transvections in $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, say $T_{v_1}[\lambda_1], \dots, T_{v_n}[\lambda_n]$. Assume that $B \in C$. Then, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $B^{-1}T_{v_i}[\lambda]B = T_{v_i}[\lambda]$. But $B^{-1}T_{v_i}[\lambda]B = T_{Bv_i}[\lambda\beta^{-1}]$ (where β is the multiplier of B), hence B must fix all $\langle v_i \rangle$. Repeating the same reasoning with transvections with directions $v_1 + v_2, \dots, v_1 + v_n$, one sees that B must be a homothety.

Hence $N = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(K)\overline{K}^\times$. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 5.3, we obtain the following.

Corollary 5.7. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic different from 2, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$ be a group such that the group generated by the transvections in G is $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$. Then the image of G under the projection $\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGSp}_n(\overline{K})$ is either $\mathrm{PSp}_n(K)$ or $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(K)$.*

Corollary 5.8. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. absolutely irreducible and a. e. symplectic compatible system as in Definition 4.1. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. Assume that the multiplier of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$.*

Assume, moreover, that for all but possibly a density zero set of places λ of L the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ has huge image.

Assume that for primes \mathfrak{L} of F lying over the residue characteristic of λ , the representation $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ satisfies Assumption 3.2 (this is automatic if $F_\mathfrak{L} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$). Moreover, assume that there is an integer k , independent of λ , such that the numbers $a_{i,j}$ appearing in Assumption 3.2 are bounded by k .

Then for all places λ of L with the possible exception of a density zero set, the image of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda^{\text{proj}}$ is $\text{PGSp}_n(\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda))$ or $\text{PSp}_n(\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda))$.

Proof. Let λ be a place of L such that the image of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ is symplectic and huge. Then the restriction of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ to $I_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$ (see Proposition 2.14) is absolutely irreducible and Theorems 2.15 and 4.6 show that $\bar{\rho}_\lambda^{\text{proj}}$ can be defined over $\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda)$ and that this field is the smallest one with this property.

Now, because of Corollary 5.7, we know that there exists a field $K' \subseteq \bar{K}$ such that the image of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda^{\text{proj}}$ is conjugated to $\text{PSp}_n(K')$ or $\text{PGSp}_n(K')$ whenever $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ has huge image. In particular $\bar{\rho}_\lambda^{\text{proj}}$ can be defined over K' , and this is the smallest field with this property. Hence $K' = \kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda)$, and the statement follows. \square

6. APPLICATION TO THE INVERSE GALOIS PROBLEM

In this section we generalise results of [DW11] by proving that compatible systems with certain local properties and the global assumption that all (but a density zero set) residual images are huge lead to the realisation of $\text{PSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ or $\text{PGSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ as Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} for certain fixed d and a positive density set of primes ℓ . In Part II [AddDW16] we replace the residual huge image assumption by weaker local assumptions and in Part III [AddDSW15] we prove the existence of such compatible systems. Some of the ideas in this section are taken from [KLS08], where the terminology of (n, p) -groups is used.

Definition 6.1. Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. symplectic compatible system as in Definition 4.1. Let $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ be a place of F that is totally split over \mathbb{Q} and does not divide $2n$. Denote by q the rational prime under \mathfrak{q} . Let $\delta : G_{\mathbb{Q}_q^n} \rightarrow L^\times$ be a tame symplectic character of degree n and order $2p$ as in Section 3.1 of [KLS08] (where it is denoted χ) for an odd prime p such that the order of q in \mathbb{F}_p^\times is equal to n (note that, in particular, $n \mid (p - 1)$).

We say that the compatible system ρ_\bullet is *maximally induced at \mathfrak{q} of order p* if for each λ not above q the restriction of ρ_λ to a decomposition group at \mathfrak{q} is equivalent to $\text{Ind}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q^n}}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}}(\delta) \otimes \alpha$ (where we view δ as taking its values in \bar{L}_λ via $L \hookrightarrow \bar{L}_\lambda$) with an unramified character $\alpha : G_{\mathbb{Q}_q} \rightarrow \bar{L}_\lambda^\times$.

The definition is made precisely in such a way in order to ensure that $\text{Ind}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q^n}}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}}(\delta)$ is symplectic and irreducible. We refer to [KLS08] for more details (see also Part II [AddDW16]). Note moreover that the maximally induced place ensures that $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ is absolutely irreducible for almost all λ . The existence of δ is a result of local class field theory.

Lemma 6.2. *Let p and q be primes such that the order of q in \mathbb{F}_p^\times is equal to n . Let $\zeta_p \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a primitive p -th root of unity. Then the element $\xi_p := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_p^{q^i}$ has degree $\frac{p-1}{n}$ over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. See the paragraph above Proposition 2.16 of Chapter 2 of [Was82]. □

Note that the element ξ_p from Lemma 6.2 is the trace of an element in the image of $\text{Ind}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q^n}}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}}(\delta)$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. absolutely irreducible a. e. symplectic compatible system as in Definition 4.1. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order m . Assume that ρ_\bullet has no complex multiplication and that the multiplier of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^\alpha$. Assume also that there is a place $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ such that ρ_\bullet is maximally induced at \mathfrak{q} of order p .*

Then $\xi_p := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \zeta_p^{q^i}$ is an element of K_{ρ_\bullet} .

Proof. Let λ be a place of L not above q (the prime below \mathfrak{q}), such that ρ_λ is absolutely irreducible and symplectic. Let us take any $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$ so that ${}^\gamma\rho_\lambda \sim \rho_\lambda \otimes \epsilon$. We first want to prove that $\gamma(\xi_p) = \xi_p$.

There is an element $\sigma_0 \in I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ (the inertia group at \mathfrak{q}) such that ${}^\gamma\rho_\lambda(\sigma_0)$ and $(\rho_\lambda \otimes \epsilon)(\sigma_0)$ are conjugated to

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(\zeta_p) & & & \\ & \gamma(\zeta_p)^q & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \gamma(\zeta_p)^{q^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \epsilon(\sigma_0) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_p & & & \\ & \zeta_p^q & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \zeta_p^{q^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. Since $\zeta_p \zeta_p^q \cdots \zeta_p^{q^{n-1}} = 1$, from the equality of the determinants of the matrices in (6.2) we get $\epsilon(\sigma_0)^n = 1$. But, $\epsilon(\sigma_0)$ is also a p -th root of unity (comparing the eigenvalues of the two matrices), whence $\epsilon(\sigma_0) = 1$, as $(n, p) = 1$. We then get $\gamma(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^{q^i}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and therefore $\gamma(\xi_p) = \zeta_p^{q^i} + \cdots + \zeta_p^{q^{i(n-1)}} = \zeta_p + \cdots + \zeta_p^{q^{n-1}} = \xi_p$.

Hence, we have established $\xi_p \in K_{[\rho_\lambda]}$. But, since this holds for almost all λ , we conclude from Theorem 4.5 (using that, due to the presence of the maximally induced place, the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ is absolutely irreducible for almost all λ) that ξ_p lies in K_{ρ_\bullet} . □

Finally we will make use of the following proposition:

Proposition 6.4 (Prop. 7.2 of [DW11]). *Let L/\mathbb{Q} be a finite field extension which contains a cyclic extension M/\mathbb{Q} of degree d . Then the set of primes ℓ such that there is an ideal λ in \mathcal{O}_L of residue degree d has a positive density.*

The following is our main result in this part about the application to the inverse Galois problem.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. symplectic compatible system as in Definition 4.1 with $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. Assume that the multiplier of ρ_\bullet is $\psi\chi_\ell^\alpha$.*

Assume, moreover, that for all but a density zero set of places λ of L the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ has huge image.

Assume that for primes \mathfrak{L} of F lying over the residue characteristic of λ , the representation $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ satisfies Assumption 3.2 (this is automatic if $F_\Sigma = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$). Moreover, assume that there is an integer k , independent of λ , such that the numbers $a_{i,j}$ appearing in Assumption 3.2 are bounded by k .

Assume also that there is a place $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ such that ρ_\bullet is maximally induced at \mathfrak{q} of order p .

Then for any $d \mid \frac{p-1}{n}$ there exists a set \mathcal{L}_d of rational primes ℓ of positive density such that for all $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_d$ there is a place λ of L above ℓ satisfying that the image of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda^{\text{proj}}$ is $\text{PGSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ or $\text{PSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$.

Proof. Let $\xi_p := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \zeta_p^{q^i}$. By Lemma 6.2 we know that ξ_p has degree $\frac{p-1}{n}$ over \mathbb{Q} and by Lemma 6.3 we also know that ξ_p lies in K_{ρ_\bullet} . Consequently, K_{ρ_\bullet} contains a cyclic extension M/\mathbb{Q} of degree d . The theorem is now just a combination of Proposition 6.4 and Corollary 5.8. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for remarks and suggestions for clarifying the exposition.

REFERENCES

- [AdDSW15] Sara Arias-de-Reyna, Luis V. Dieulefait, Sug Woo Shin, and Gabor Wiese, *Compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations and the inverse Galois problem III. Automorphic construction of compatible systems with suitable local properties*, Math. Ann. **361** (2015), no. 3–4, 909–925, DOI 10.1007/s00208-014-1091-x. MR3319552
- [AdDW16] Sara Arias-de-Reyna, Luis Dieulefait, and Gabor Wiese, *Compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations and the inverse Galois problem II: Transvections and huge image*, Pacific J. Math. **281** (2016), no. 1, 1–16, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2016.281.1. MR3459964
- [Art57] E. Artin, *Geometric algebra*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York-London, 1957. MR0082463 (18,553e)
- [Car94] Henri Carayol, *Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet* (French), p -adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (Boston, MA, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 165, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 213–237, DOI 10.1090/conm/165/01601. MR1279611 (95i:11059)
- [CR62] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XI, Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1962. MR0144979 (26 #2519)
- [CR81] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981. With applications to finite groups and orders; Pure and Applied Mathematics; A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR632548 (82i:20001)
- [DW11] Luis Dieulefait and Gabor Wiese, *On modular forms and the inverse Galois problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 9, 4569–4584, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05477-2. MR2806684 (2012k:11069)
- [Hua48] Loo-Keng Hua, *On the automorphisms of the symplectic group over any field*, Ann. of Math. (2) **49** (1948), 739–759. MR0027764 (10,352e)
- [KLS08] Chandrashekar Khare, Michael Larsen, and Gordan Savin, *Functoriality and the inverse Galois problem*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 3, 541–564, DOI 10.1112/S0010437X07003284. MR2422339 (2009m:11076)
- [Maz97] Barry Mazur, *An introduction to the deformation theory of Galois representations*, Modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995), Springer, New York, 1997, pp. 243–311. MR1638481

- [Pin98] Richard Pink, *Compact subgroups of linear algebraic groups*, J. Algebra **206** (1998), no. 2, 438–504, DOI 10.1006/jabr.1998.7439. MR1637068 (99g:20087)
- [Rib85] Kenneth A. Ribet, *On l -adic representations attached to modular forms. II*, Glasgow Math. J. **27** (1985), 185–194, DOI 10.1017/S0017089500006170. MR819838 (88a:11041)
- [Ser98] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Abelian l -adic representations and elliptic curves*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 7, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1998, With the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute, Revised reprint of the 1968 original.
- [Ski09] Christopher Skinner, *A note on the p -adic Galois representations attached to Hilbert modular forms*, Doc. Math. **14** (2009), 241–258. MR2538615 (2010m:11068)
- [Was82] Lawrence C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. MR718674 (85g:11001)

FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, DE LA TECHNOLOGIE ET DE LA COMMUNICATION, UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG, 6, RUE RICHARD COUDENHOVE-KALERGI, L-1359 LUXEMBOURG, LUXEMBOURG

Current address: Departamento de Álgebra, Universidad de Sevilla, Avda. Reina Mercedes s/n. Apdo. 1160, CP 41080, Sevilla, Spain

E-mail address: sara.arias@us.es

DEPARTAMENT D'ÀLGEBRA I GEOMETRIA, FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA DE LES CORTS CATALANES, 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

E-mail address: ldieulefait@ub.edu

FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, DE LA TECHNOLOGIE ET DE LA COMMUNICATION, UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG, 6, RUE RICHARD COUDENHOVE-KALERGI, L-1359 LUXEMBOURG, LUXEMBOURG

E-mail address: gabor.wiese@uni.lu