

DERANGEMENTS IN SUBSPACE ACTIONS OF FINITE CLASSICAL GROUPS

JASON FULMAN AND ROBERT GURALNICK

ABSTRACT. This is the third in a series of four papers in which we prove a conjecture made by Boston et al. and Shalev that the proportion of derangements (fixed point free elements) is bounded away from zero for transitive actions of finite simple groups on a set of size greater than one. This paper treats the case of primitive subspace actions. It is also shown that if the dimension and codimension of the subspace go to infinity, then the proportion of derangements goes to one. Similar results are proved for elements in finite classical groups in cosets of the simple group. The results in this paper have applications to probabilistic generation of finite simple groups and maps between varieties over finite fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group and X a transitive G -set. An element $g \in G$ is called a derangement on X if g has no fixed points on X . We are interested in showing that under certain hypotheses the set of derangements of G on X is large. A conjecture due independently to Shalev and Boston et al. [BDF] states that if $|X| > 1$ and G is simple, then there is a universal constant $\delta > 0$ such that the proportion of elements of G which are derangements on X is at least δ .

We note that the study of derangements has applications to maps between varieties over finite fields and to random generation of groups. For details see the partially expository papers [DFG] and [FG1], as well as [GW] and [FG4]. Serre's survey [Se] describes applications in number theory and topology. Finally, we remark that results in this paper are applied in the derangement problem for almost simple groups (where the analog of the Boston–Shalev conjecture fails); see for example [FG3]. See also the recent paper [KLS] for another application to probabilistic generation of finite groups.

In [FG1] we announced a proof of this conjecture and treated the case of finite Chevalley groups of bounded rank. The paper [FG5] gives another proof in the bounded rank case and solves the Boston–Shalev conjecture for most other cases (all except subspace, extension field, and imprimitive group actions). The current paper treats the case of subspace actions, and the sequel [FG2] treats extension field and imprimitive group actions, completing the proof of the Boston–Shalev

Received by the editors April 8, 2013 and, in revised form, July 28, 2014 and April 14, 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20G40, 20B15.

Key words and phrases. Derangement, finite classical group, random matrix, random permutation.

The first author was partially supported by NSA grants H98230-13-1-0219 and by Simons Foundation Fellowship 229181.

The second author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1001962 and DMS-1302886 and by Simons Foundation Fellowship 224965.

conjecture. Prior to our work Shalev [Sh] had analyzed many families of actions for the special case of $PGL(n, q)$. However the case of subspace actions was not considered, and we resolve it here. We also treat a generalization (useful for the applications to curves) in which we study the proportion of derangements in a coset gH of a simple group H in a larger group G with G/H cyclic.

Our main result gives stronger results than the Boston–Shalev conjecture.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a simple classical group defined over \mathbb{F}_q with natural module V . Let Γ_k denote a G -orbit of nondegenerate or totally singular k -spaces with $k \leq (1/2) \dim V$.*

- (1) *There exists an absolute constant $\delta > 0$ such that the proportion of elements in G which are both regular semisimple and fix no element of Γ_k is greater than δ .*
- (2) *The limit of the proportion of derangements acting on Γ_k as $k \rightarrow \infty$ is 1.*

Note that if k is fixed, then in fact the proportion of derangements does not approach 1 (even for $q \rightarrow \infty$). The first part of the previous theorem implies the Boston–Shalev conjecture for subspace actions.

Next we describe the contents of this paper. Section 2 discusses preliminaries which will be used freely throughout the paper. These include cycle indices for the finite classical groups, enumerative formulae for various types of irreducible polynomials, related generating function identities, and generalities about asymptotics of generating functions.

Section 3 studies random permutations. First it examines the probability that a random permutation fixes (i.e. leaves invariant) a k -set, extending a result of Dixon [D] to cosets of the alternating group. It also proves results about random permutations which will be needed in showing asymptotic equidistribution of regular semisimple elements of finite classical groups over cosets. Section 4 gives analogs of results of Section 3 for other Weyl groups.

Section 5 describes relationships between maximal tori and conjugacy classes in the Weyl group. A typical consequence is that the proportion of elements of $GL(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix (i.e. leave invariant) a k -space is at most the proportion of permutations in S_n which fix a k -set.

Section 6 handles the case that the field size goes to infinity (either with fixed rank or increasing rank). Here we can use some algebraic geometry and algebraic group theory (although for the case of increasing rank, one needs to get precise bounds). In particular, we prove Theorem 6.4 which includes Theorem 1.1 for the case $q \rightarrow \infty$.

Section 7 focuses on the proportions of regular semisimple elements in finite classical groups. It reviews and extends results of [GuLub] and [FNP]. It derives a cycle index for $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ in even characteristic. Section 7 also uses combinatorics of maximal tori and the method of Section 5 to prove equidistribution of regular semisimple elements over cosets.

Section 8 proves that with high probability, an element of a finite classical group is *nearly regular semisimple*, that is, regular semisimple on some subspace of bounded codimension. Whereas Section 5 enables one to study proportions of elements of G which are regular semisimple and derangements on k -spaces, the results of Section 8 allow one to move beyond regular semisimple elements (for fixed q the proportion of regular semisimple elements does not tend to 1). For example it will be shown that when $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ with $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion

of elements in $SL(n, q)$ which are derangements on k -spaces tends to 1. (Prior to this paper it was not even known that this proportion was bounded away from zero.) We expect our results on nearly regular semisimple elements to have many other applications. We prove that the proportion of derangements on k -spaces is bounded away from 0 without the results of this section (and so prove the original Boston–Shalev conjecture for subspace actions).

Section 9 applies the tools of earlier sections to prove the Boston–Shalev conjecture (and a generalization for cosets) for primitive subspace actions. It moreover shows that for such actions with $|G|$ sufficiently large, the proportion of elements which are both semisimple regular and derangements in a subspace action is at least $\delta = .016$ (and often much better). The paper [BDF] speculates that if one is only concerned with derangements (not necessarily regular semisimple), then one may be able to take $\delta = 2/7$ (for any transitive action of a finite simple group). Section 9 also shows that as the dimension and codimension of the subspace grow, the proportion of derangements tends to 1. Given the earlier results for $q \rightarrow \infty$, it suffices to deal with the case of q fixed.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Cycle indices. To begin we review a tool which will be used throughout this paper: cycle index generating functions of the finite classical groups. Modeled on the cycle index of the symmetric groups (to be discussed in Section 3), these generating functions were introduced for GL in [K], further exploited for GL in [St], generalized to U, Sp, O in [F], and applied in [F], [FNP], [Wa]. Section 7 will use a cycle index for $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ in even characteristic. Work of Britnell ([B1], [B2]) gives cycle indices for SL and SU , and for some variants of orthogonal and conformal groups ([B3], [B4]).

The purpose of a cycle index is to study properties of a random group element depending only on its conjugacy class; we illustrate the case of $GL(n, q)$ and refer the reader to the references in the previous paragraph for other groups. As is explained in Chapter 6 of the textbook [H], an element $\alpha \in GL(n, q)$ has its conjugacy class determined by its rational canonical form. This form corresponds to the following combinatorial data. To each monic, nonconstant, irreducible polynomial ϕ over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , associate a partition (perhaps the trivial partition) λ_ϕ of some nonnegative integer $|\lambda_\phi|$. Let $\deg(\phi)$ denote the degree of ϕ . The only restrictions necessary for this data to represent a conjugacy class are that $|\lambda_z| = 0$ and $\sum_\phi |\lambda_\phi| \deg(\phi) = n$. Note that given a matrix α , the vector space V on which it acts uniquely decomposes as a direct sum of spaces V_ϕ where the characteristic polynomial of α on V_ϕ is a power of ϕ and the characteristic polynomials on different summands are coprime. Each V_ϕ decomposes as a direct sum of cyclic subspaces, and the parts of λ_ϕ are the dimensions of the subspaces in this decomposition divided by the degree of ϕ . For example, the identity matrix has λ_{z-1} equal to (1^n) and all other λ_ϕ equal to the empty set. An elementary transvection with $a \neq 0$ in the $(1, 2)$ position, ones on the diagonal, and zeros elsewhere has λ_{z-1} equal to $(2, 1^{n-2})$ and all other λ_ϕ equal to the empty set. For a given matrix only finitely many λ_ϕ are nonempty.

Many algebraic properties of a matrix can be stated in terms of the data parameterizing its conjugacy class. For instance the characteristic polynomial of $\alpha \in GL(n, q)$ is equal to $\prod_\phi \phi^{|\lambda_\phi(\alpha)|}$ and the minimal polynomial of α is equal

to $\prod_{\phi} \phi^{\lambda_{\phi,1}(\alpha)}$ where $\lambda_{\phi,1}$ is the largest part of the partition λ_{ϕ} . Furthermore $\alpha \in GL(n, q)$ is semisimple if and only if all $\lambda_{\phi}(\alpha)$ have largest part at most 1, regular if and only if all $\lambda_{\phi}(\alpha)$ have at most 1 part, and regular semisimple if and only if all $\lambda_{\phi}(\alpha)$ have size at most 1. To define the cycle index for $Z_{GL(n,q)}$, let $x_{\phi,\lambda}$ be variables corresponding to pairs of polynomials and partitions. Define

$$Z_{GL(n,q)} = \frac{1}{|GL(n, q)|} \sum_{\alpha \in GL(n,q)} \prod_{\phi} x_{\phi,\lambda_{\phi}(\alpha)}.$$

Note that the coefficient of a monomial is the probability of belonging to the corresponding conjugacy class, and is therefore equal to one over the order of the centralizer of a representative. Let $m_i(\lambda)$ be the number of parts of size i of λ , and let λ'_i denote the number of parts of λ of size at least i . It is well known (e.g. easily deduced from page 181 of [M]) that the size of the conjugacy class of $GL(n, q)$ corresponding to the data $\{\lambda_{\phi}\}$ is

$$\frac{|GL(n, q)|}{\prod_{\phi} q^{\deg(\phi) \cdot \sum_i (\lambda'_{\phi,i})^2} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{q^{\deg(\phi)}}\right)_{m_i(\lambda_{\phi})}},$$

where

$$(1/q)_j = (1 - 1/q)(1 - 1/q^2) \cdots (1 - 1/q^j).$$

It follows that

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_{GL(n,q)} u^n = \prod_{\phi \neq z} \left[\sum_{\lambda} x_{\phi,\lambda} \frac{u^{|\lambda| \cdot \deg(\phi)}}{q^{\deg(\phi) \cdot \sum_i (\lambda'_i)^2} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{q^{\deg(\phi)}}\right)_{m_i(\lambda)}} \right].$$

This is called the cycle index generating function.

2.2. Polynomial enumeration and related identities. Next we recall some results about enumeration of various types of irreducible polynomials and related identities. (The enumerative results are only really required in Section 8 and only upper bounds are used. However as exact formulas are available, we state them.) Let $N(q; d)$ denote the number of monic irreducible degree d polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q with nonzero constant term. Let μ denote the Möbius function of elementary number theory. The following result is well known and appears for example in [LiN].

Lemma 2.1. $N(q; 1) = q - 1$ and for $d > 1$, $N(q; d) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu(r) q^{d/r}$.

Next we consider analogous results useful for treating the unitary groups. Let $\sigma : x \mapsto x^q$ be the involutory automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . This induces an automorphism of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[z]$ by sending $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i z^i$ to $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i^{\sigma} z^i$. Then given a polynomial $\phi(z)$ with coefficients in the field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and nonzero constant term, define an involutory map $\phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi}$ by

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = \frac{z^{\deg(\phi)} \phi^{\sigma}(1/z)}{\phi(0)^{\sigma}}.$$

The polynomial $\tilde{\phi}$ is called the conjugate of ϕ .

Let $\tilde{N}(q; d)$ denote the number of monic irreducible self-conjugate degree d polynomials over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Let $\tilde{M}(q; d)$ denote the number of (unordered) conjugate pairs $\{\phi, \tilde{\phi}\}$ of monic irreducible polynomials of degree d over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} that are not self-conjugate.

Lemma 2.2 ([F, Theorem 9]).

(1)

$$\tilde{N}(q; d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu(r)(q^{d/r} + 1) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(2)

$$\tilde{M}(q; d) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - q - 2) & \text{if } d = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{r|d} \mu(r)(q^{2d/r} - q^{d/r}) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } d > 1, \\ \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{r|d} \mu(r)q^{2d/r} & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Finally we consider analogous results useful for treating the symplectic and orthogonal groups. Given a degree n monic polynomial $\phi(z)$ with $\phi(0) \neq 0$, define its conjugate $\phi^*(z) := \frac{z^n \phi(1/z)}{\phi(0)}$. Let $N^*(q; d)$ denote the number of monic irreducible self-conjugate polynomials of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q , and let $M^*(q; d)$ denote the number of (unordered) conjugate pairs $\{\phi, \phi^*\}$ of monic, irreducible, non-self-conjugate polynomials of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2.3 ([FNP]). *Let $f = \gcd(q - 1, 2)$.*

(1)

$$N^*(q; d) = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } d = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } d > 1, \\ \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{r|d \\ r \text{ odd}}} \mu(r)(q^{d/(2r)} + 1 - f) & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

(2)

$$M^*(q; d) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(q - f - 1) & \text{if } d = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}N(q; d) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } d > 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}(N(q; d) - N^*(q; d)) & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The following generating function identities will be useful. Lemma 2.4 is well known; see for instance [F].

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $|u| < q^{-1}$. Then*

$$\prod_{d \geq 1} (1 - u^d)^{-N(q; d)} = \frac{1 - u}{1 - uq}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $|u| < q^{-1}$.*

(1) $\prod_{d \geq 1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-N(q; d)} = (1 - u)^{-1}.$

(2) $\prod_{d \geq 1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{u^d (-1)^i}{q^{id}}\right)^{-\tilde{N}(q; d)} \left(1 - \frac{u^{2d}}{q^{2id}}\right)^{-\tilde{M}(q; d)} = (1 - u)^{-1}.$

(3) *Let $f = \gcd(q - 1, 2)$. Then*

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u}{q^{2i-1}}\right)^{-f} \prod_{d \geq 1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^i u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-N^*(q; 2d)} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-M^*(q; d)} = (1 - u)^{-1}.$$

Proof. For the first assertion, note by Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{d \geq 1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-N(q;d)} &= \prod_{i \geq 1} \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-N(q;d)} \\ &= \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{(1 - u/q^i)}{(1 - u/q^{i-1})} \\ &= (1 - u)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second assertion, the left-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{i \text{ odd}} \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-\tilde{N}(q;d)} \left(1 - \frac{u^{2d}}{q^{2id}}\right)^{-\tilde{M}(q;d)} \\ &\cdot \prod_{i \text{ even}} \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-\tilde{N}(q;d)} \left(1 - \frac{u^{2d}}{q^{2id}}\right)^{-\tilde{M}(q;d)}. \end{aligned}$$

By parts (a) and (c) of Lemma 1.3.14 of [FNP], this is equal to

$$\prod_{i \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 + u/q^i)}{(1 - qu/q^i)} \prod_{i \text{ even}} \frac{(1 - u/q^i)}{(1 + qu/q^i)} = (1 - u)^{-1}.$$

For the third assertion,

$$\prod_{d \geq 1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^i u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-N^*(q;2d)} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-M^*(q;d)}$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{i \text{ odd}} \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-N^*(q;2d)} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-M^*(q;d)} \\ &\cdot \prod_{i \text{ even}} \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-N^*(q;2d)} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-M^*(q;d)}. \end{aligned}$$

By parts (a) and (d) of Lemma 1.3.17 of [FNP], this is equal to

$$\prod_{i \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 - u/q^i)^f}{(1 - qu/q^i)} \prod_{i \text{ even}} (1 - u/q^i) = \frac{\prod_{i \text{ odd}} (1 - u/q^i)^f}{1 - u}.$$

□

The statement of Lemma 2.6 uses the partition notation of Subsection 2.1.

Lemma 2.6 ([St]).

$$1 + \sum_{\lambda} \frac{u^{|\lambda|}}{q^{\sum_i (\lambda_i)^2} \prod_i (1/q)_{m_i(\lambda)}} = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - u/q^i}.$$

We also record the following identity as it will be needed.

Lemma 2.7 (Euler).

(1)

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u}{q^i}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^n}{(q^n - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}.$$

(2)

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u}{q^i}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(q^n - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}.$$

The following lemma is Euler’s pentagonal number theorem (see for instance page 11 of [A1]).

Lemma 2.8. For $q > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^i}\right) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(q^{-\frac{n(3n-1)}{2}} + q^{-\frac{n(3n+1)}{2}}\right) \\ &= 1 - q^{-1} - q^{-2} + q^{-5} + q^{-7} - q^{-12} - q^{-15} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper quantities which can be easily re-expressed in terms of the infinite product $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^i}\right)$ will sometimes arise, and Lemma 2.8 gives arbitrarily accurate upper and lower bounds on these products. Hence we will state bounds like

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{4^i}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right)} \leq 2.4$$

without explicitly mentioning Euler’s pentagonal number theorem on each occasion.

2.3. Generating function asymptotics. If one is given two generating functions $f(u) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n u^n$ and $g(u) = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n u^n$, the notation $f \ll g$ will mean that $|f_n| \leq |g_n|$ for all n . This will be used throughout this paper.

In determining the limiting probabilities of the generating functions considered in this paper, we shall sometimes use the following standard result about analytic functions.

Lemma 2.9. Suppose that $g(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n u^n$ and $g(u) = f(u)/(1-u)$ for $|u| < 1$. Let $D(R)$ denote the open disc consisting of complex numbers u with $|u| < R$. If $f(u)$ is analytic in $D(R)$, where $R > 1$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = f(1)$ and $|a_n - f(1)| = o(r^{-n})$ for any r such that $1 < r < R$.

Proof. Define $F(1) = f'(1)$ and $F(u) = (f(1) - f(u))/(1 - u)$ elsewhere in $D(R)$. Then F is analytic in that disc and must be represented by a Taylor series $\sum_n b_n u^n$ converging there. If $1 < r < R$, then $\sum b_n r^n$ converges and so $b_n r^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $|b_n| = o(r^{-n})$. Now $g(u) = f(1)/(1-u) - F(u)$ and therefore $a_n = f(1) - b_n$. Thus $a_n \rightarrow f(1)$ and $|a_n - f(1)| = o(r^{-n})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

3. ALTERNATING AND SYMMETRIC GROUPS

This section studies conjugacy class properties of random permutations. It begins by reviewing the cycle index of the symmetric groups. Then it discusses the probability that a random permutation fixes a k -set, extending an upper bound of Dixon to cosets of the alternating group. It also discusses an upper bound of Luczak and Pyber. Finally, this section proves results about random permutations which will be needed in showing asymptotic equidistribution of regular semisimple elements of finite classical groups over cosets.

3.1. Cycle index of the symmetric groups. For a permutation π let $n_i(\pi)$ be the number of length i cycles of π . Pólya proved that

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \prod_{i \geq 1} x_i^{n_i(\pi)} = \prod_{m \geq 1} e^{x_m u^m / m}.$$

This follows from the fact that the number of permutations in S_n with n_i cycles of size i is equal to

$$\frac{n!}{\prod_i i^{n_i} n_i!}.$$

This generating function is called the cycle index of the symmetric groups, because it stores complete information about the cycle structure of permutations. This cycle index will be used several times in this paper.

An integer valued random variable Z is said to be Poisson of mean λ if the chance that $Z = k$ is $\frac{\lambda^k}{e^\lambda k!}$. The following result of Shepp and Lloyd will be important. For in-depth discussions of Theorem 3.1, see [DPi] or [AT]. Theorem 3.1 follows from the cycle index of the symmetric groups and Lemma 2.9.

Theorem 3.1 ([ShL]). *Given a permutation π , let $n_i(\pi)$ denote the number of i -cycles of π . Then for fixed k and π random in S_n , the vector $(n_1(\pi), \dots, n_k(\pi))$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to (Z_1, \dots, Z_k) , where the Z_i are independent and Z_i is Poisson with mean $1/i$.*

3.2. Chance of fixing a k -set. Motivated by questions about random generation and computation of Galois groups, Dixon [D] examined the probability that a random permutation fixes (i.e. leaves invariant) a k -set. Note that we can suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, since a permutation fixes a k -set if and only if it fixes an $(n - k)$ -set.

Theorem 3.2 ([D]). *For $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, the proportion of elements in S_n which fix a k -set is at most $2/3$.*

Our next goal is to prove that for $n \geq 5$ (so that A_n is simple), the proportion of derangements in a coset gA_n of A_n in S_n on k -sets is at least $1/3$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let gA_n be a coset of A_n in S_n . Then for $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$, the proportion of elements in gA_n with the property that the cycle containing 1 has length j is $\frac{1}{n}$.*

Proof. There are $\binom{n-1}{j-1}$ ways of choosing the elements to be in the cycle with 1 and $(j-1)!$ ways of ordering them. Since $n - j \geq 2$, the number of elements in either coset of A_{n-j} in S_{n-j} is $(n-j)!/2$. The result now follows since

$$\frac{\binom{n-1}{j-1} (j-1)! (n-j)! / 2}{|A_n|} = 1/n. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let gA_n be a coset of A_n in S_n .*

- (1) *For $2 \leq k \leq n/2$, the proportion of elements in gA_n which are derangements on k -sets is at least $1/3$.*
- (2) *For $n \geq 5$, $k = 1$, the proportion of elements in gA_n without fixed points is at least $1/3$.*

In particular, when A_n is simple, the proportion of derangements in a coset gA_n on k -sets ($1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$) is at least $1/3$.

Proof. For the proof of part (1) we use a method similar to that of Dixon [D]. Let $I(n, k)$ be the set of elements in gA_n which leave invariant a k -set and let $i(n, k) = \frac{|I(n, k)|}{|gA_n|}$ be the proportion of such elements. Let $C(n, j)$ be the set of permutations in gA_n such that the cycle containing 1 has size j . Consider the set $I(n, k) \cap C(n, j)$. For $n - k < j$ this set is empty. For $1 \leq j \leq k$ or $j = n - k - 1, n - k$ note that $|I(n, k) \cap C(n, j)| \leq |C(n, j)| = \frac{|gA_n|}{n}$ by Lemma 3.3 since $j \leq n - 2$. For each j satisfying $k + 1 \leq j \leq n - k - 2$, observe that a fixed k -set must use only symbols outside of the cycle containing 1. Thus the proportion of such elements is at most $\frac{1}{n}i(n - j, k)$. Now use induction on n . The base case $n = 4$ is easily checked, and $i(n - j, k) \leq 2/3$ if $k \leq (n - j)/2$ and otherwise $i(n - j, k) = i(n - j, n - j - k) \leq 2/3$ since $n - j - k \geq 2$. Thus

$$i(n, k) \leq \frac{k + 2 + (n - 2k - 2)2/3}{n} \leq 2/3$$

since $k \geq 2$.

For the proof of part (2) we use the cycle index of the alternating groups. This is the average of the cycle index of the symmetric groups and the cycle index of the symmetric groups with x_i replaced by $-x_i$ for i even. Setting $x_1 = 0$ and $x_i = 1$ for $i \geq 2$ gives that the proportion of derangements (on 1-sets) in A_n is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\prod_{i \geq 2} e^{u^i/i} + \prod_{i \geq 2} e^{(-1)^{i+1}u^i/i} = \frac{1}{e^u(1-u)} + \frac{1+u}{e^u}.$$

Using the power series expansion for e^{-u} , it is straightforward to see that for $n \geq 5$, this coefficient is at least $1/3$. Similarly, for the other coset of A_n in S_n , the proportion of derangements is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\frac{1}{e^u(1-u)} - \frac{1+u}{e^u},$$

which is at least $1/3$ for $n \geq 5$. □

Concerning large k , we will need the following result of Luczak and Pyber [LucPy], which shows that as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements in S_n which are derangements on k -sets approaches 1.

Theorem 3.5 ([LucPy]). *There is a universal constant A such that the probability that a random element of S_n fixes a k -set is at most $Ak^{-.01}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n/2$.*

To close this subsection, we establish results which will be useful in analyzing subspace actions of $SL(n, 3)$.

Lemma 3.6. *For $n \geq 2$, the chance that an element of S_n has one or two fixed points is at most $3/5$.*

Proof. For $n = 2, 3, 4$ one checks this directly. For $n \geq 5$, it follows from the cycle index (or from inclusion-exclusion) that the proportion of elements with one fixed point is $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i / i! \leq 1/2 - 1/6 + 1/24$ and that the proportion of elements with two fixed points is $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (-1)^i / i! \leq .5(1/2 - 1/6 + 1/24)$. Adding these bounds together gives $.5625 \leq 3/5$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *For $2 \leq k \leq n/2$, the chance that an element in S_n fixes a k -set and has at most two fixed points is at most $3/5$.*

Proof. The method of proof is an induction along the lines of Lemma 2 of Dixon [D]. Let $I(n, k)$ be the set of elements in S_n which fix a k -set and have at most two fixed points, and $i(n, k) = \frac{|I(n,k)|}{n!}$. Let $C(n, j)$ be the set of permutations such that the cycle containing the element 1 has size j . Now consider the set $I(n, k) \cap C(n, j)$. This set is empty for $n - k < j$. For $k + 1 \leq j \leq n - k - 2$, any element of this set fixes a k -set which is disjoint from the cycle containing 1. Thus $|I(n, k) \cap C(n, j)| = (n - 1)! i(n - j, k)$ and, using the fact that $i(n - j, k) = i(n - j, n - j - k)$, it follows by induction that in this case $|I(n, k) \cap C(n, j)| \leq \frac{3}{5} (n - 1)!$. If $j = n - k - 1$, then $|I(n, k) \cap C(n, j)| \leq \frac{3}{5} (n - 1)!$, since by Lemma 3.6, the proportion of $\pi \in S_{n-j}$ with one or two fixed points is $\leq 3/5$. Finally, if $j \leq k$ or $j = n - k$, then $|I(n, k) \cap C(n, j)| \leq |C(n, j)| = (n - 1)!$. Hence

$$i(n, k) \leq (k + 1 + 3(n - 2k - 1)/5)/n \leq 3/5,$$

where the second inequality follows because $k \geq 2$. \square

3.3. Other results on random permutations. This subsection derives a result on random permutations which will be useful in analyzing how the proportion of regular semisimple elements varies over cosets of $SL(n, q)$ in $GL(n, q)$.

We begin with two lemmas which bound coefficients of certain generating functions.

Lemma 3.8. *For $0 < t < 1, r \geq 1$, the coefficient of u^r in $(1 - u)^{-t}$ is at most $\frac{t}{r} e^t (r)^t$.*

Proof. This coefficient is equal to $\frac{t}{r} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (1 + \frac{t}{i})$. Taking logarithms (base e), one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left[\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(1 + \frac{t}{i} \right) \right] &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \log \left(1 + \frac{t}{i} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{t}{i} \leq t(1 + \log(r - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking exponentials one sees that the sought proportion is at most $\frac{t}{r} e^t (r)^t$. \square

Recall the notation \ll defined in Subsection 2.3.

Lemma 3.9. *For $p \geq 2$ fixed, the coefficient of u^n in $\exp \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u^i}{pi^2} \right)$ is $O \left(\frac{\log(n)}{pn} \right)$.*

Proof. Let $f(u) = \exp \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u^i}{pi^2} \right)$ and let f_n denote the coefficient of u^n in $f(u)$. Considering the coefficient of u^{n-1} in the derivative of $f(u)$, one obtains the recursion

$$pnf_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j \frac{1}{n-j}.$$

Since

$$f(u) \ll \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} u^i/i\right) = \frac{1}{1-u},$$

it follows that $f_n \leq 1$. This with the recursion gives that $f_n = O\left(\frac{\log(n)}{pn}\right)$, as claimed. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let a_1, \dots, a_r be the distinct cycle lengths of a permutation and let m_1, \dots, m_r be the multiplicities with which they occur. Then the proportion of $\pi \in S_n$ satisfying $\gcd(a_1 m_1, \dots, a_r m_r, q-1) \neq 1$ is at most $\frac{c_1 \log(n)^3}{n^{1/2}}$ for a universal constant c_1 (independent of n, q).*

Proof. Letting p be a prime, we show that the proportion of $\pi \in S_n$ with $\gcd(a_1 m_1, \dots, a_r m_r)$ divisible by p is at most $\frac{c_1 \log(n)^2}{n^{1/2}}$ for a universal constant c_1 . This is enough since n has at most $\log_2(n)$ distinct prime factors.

The proportion of permutations satisfying $\gcd(a_1 m_1, \dots, a_r m_r)$ divisible by p is at most the proportion of permutations where all cycles of length not divisible by p occur with multiplicity a multiple of p . From the cycle index of the symmetric groups, the latter proportion is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i \geq 1} e^{\frac{u^{ip}}{ip}} \prod_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ \gcd(i,p)=1}} \left(1 + \frac{u^{ip}}{ip!} + \frac{u^{2ip}}{i^{2p}(2p)!} + \dots\right) \\ &= (1-u^p)^{-1/p} \prod_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ \gcd(i,p)=1}} \left(1 + \frac{u^{ip}}{ip!} + \frac{u^{2ip}}{i^{2p}(2p)!} + \dots\right) \\ &\ll (1-u^p)^{-1/p} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{u^{ip}}{ip!} + \frac{u^{2ip}}{i^{2p}p^{2!}} + \dots\right) \\ &= (1-u^p)^{-1/p} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u^{ip}}{pi^p}\right) \\ &\ll (1-u^p)^{-1/p} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u^{ip}}{pi^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This is simply the coefficient of $u^{n/p}$ in

$$(1-u)^{-1/p} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u^i}{pi^2}\right).$$

It follows from Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 that the sought coefficient is at most

$$C \left[\frac{\log(n)}{n} + n^{-1/2} + \sum_{r=1}^{(n/p)-1} r^{-1/2} \cdot \frac{\log(n)}{n-pr} \right]$$

for a universal constant C . Note that the first term came from an upper bound for the coefficient of $u^{n/p}$ in $\exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u^i}{pi^2}\right)$, and that the second term came from an upper bound for the coefficient of $u^{n/p}$ in $(1-u)^{-1/p}$. Splitting the sum into two

sums (one with r ranging from 1 to $\frac{n}{2p}$ and the other with r ranging from $\frac{n}{2p} + 1$ to $\frac{n}{p} - 1$) proves that the proportion of permutations with $\gcd(a_1 m_1, \dots, a_r m_r)$ divisible by p is at most $O\left(\frac{\log(n)^2}{n^{1/2}}\right)$, as claimed. \square

4. RESULTS FOR OTHER WEYL GROUPS

This section extends results of Section 3 to other Weyl groups, and considers various analogs of the property that a random permutation fixes a k -set.

To begin we review the cycle index of the hyperoctahedral group B_n . Given an element $\pi \in B_n$, let $n_i(\pi)$ be the number of positive i -cycles of π and let $m_i(\pi)$ be the number of negative i -cycles of π . From [JK], the conjugacy classes of B_n are indexed by pairs of n -tuples (n_1, \dots, n_n) and (m_1, \dots, m_n) satisfying $\sum_i i(n_i + m_i) = n$, and a conjugacy class with this data has size

$$\frac{2^n n!}{\prod_i n_i! m_i! (2i)^{n_i + m_i}}.$$

As noted in [DPi], this can be conveniently encoded by the equation

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{u^n}{2^n n!} \sum_{\pi \in B_n} \prod_{i \geq 1} x_i^{n_i(\pi)} y_i^{m_i(\pi)} = \prod_{i \geq 1} e^{\frac{u^i (x_i + y_i)}{2i}}.$$

This equation is referred to as the cycle index of the hyperoctahedral groups.

In analogy with Theorem 3.1, Diaconis and Pitman obtained the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Given $\pi \in B_n$, let $n_i(\pi)$ and $m_i(\pi)$ denote the number of positive and negative i -cycles of π respectively. Then for fixed k and π random in B_n , the vector $(n_1(\pi), m_1(\pi), \dots, n_k(\pi), m_k(\pi))$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $(Y_1, Z_1, \dots, Y_k, Z_k)$ where all the Y 's, Z 's are independent and Y_i, Z_i are both Poisson random variables with mean $1/(2i)$.*

Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 will be useful in analyzing the action of the unitary groups on totally singular k -spaces.

- Lemma 4.2.**
- (1) *The proportion of elements in S_{2k} with all cycles even is $\binom{2k}{k} / 4^k$.*
 - (2) *The proportion of part (1) is decreasing in k so is maximized for $k = 1$ when it is equal to $1/2$.*
 - (3) *The proportion of part (1) is at most $\frac{1}{(\pi k)^{1/2}} e^{\frac{1}{24k} - \frac{2}{12k+1}} < \frac{1}{(\pi k)^{1/2}}$ and is asymptotic to $\frac{1}{(\pi k)^{1/2}}$.*

Proof. From the cycle index of the symmetric groups (reviewed in Section 3), it follows that the sought proportion is the coefficient of u^{2k} in

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} e^{\frac{u^{2i}}{2i}}.$$

This is equal to the coefficient of u^{2k} in $(1 - u^2)^{-1/2}$ and so also equal to the coefficient of u^k in $(1 - u)^{-1/2}$. This is equal to

$$\frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{4^k}.$$

For the second assertion, observe that

$$\frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{4^k} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{4} \cdots \frac{2k-1}{2k}.$$

The third assertion follows from Stirling's bounds

$$(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n+1/(12n+1)} < n! < (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n+1/(12n)}$$

proved for instance on page 52 of [Fe]. □

Theorem 4.3. *For $2 \leq 2k \leq n$, the probability that an element of S_n fixes a $2k$ -set and all orbits on this invariant subset are even is at most $\frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{4^k} < \frac{1}{(\pi k)^{1/2}}$.*

Proof. Some subset of the cycle lengths of π are even and add to $2k$. (For instance if $2k = 6$, then at least one of $(6), (4, 2), (2, 2, 2)$ must appear as cycle lengths.) Using the fact that the number of permutations with n_i i -cycles is

$$\frac{n!}{\prod_i i^{n_i} n_i!},$$

it follows that the proportion of elements in S_n fixing a $2k$ -set using only even cycles is at most

$$\sum_{\substack{(b_2, b_4, \dots) \\ 2b_2+4b_4+\dots=2k}} \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ 1a_1+2a_2+\dots=n-2k}} \prod_{i \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{i^{a_i} a_i!} \prod_{i \text{ even}} \frac{1}{i^{a_i+b_i} (a_i + b_i)!}.$$

Note that here $a_i + b_i$ is the number of i -cycles of π , and that equality holds if $2k = n$. Since $\frac{1}{(a_i+b_i)!} \leq \frac{1}{a_i! b_i!}$, the sought proportion is at most

$$\sum_{\substack{(b_2, b_4, \dots) \\ 2b_2+4b_4+\dots=2k}} \prod_{i \text{ even}} \frac{1}{i^{b_i} b_i!} \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ 1a_1+2a_2+\dots=n-2k}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i^{a_i} a_i!}.$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ 1a_1+2a_2+\dots=n-2k}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i^{a_i} a_i!} = 1$$

is the sum of reciprocals of centralizer sizes over all conjugacy classes of the group S_{n-2k} . Hence the sought proportion is at most

$$\sum_{\substack{(b_2, b_4, \dots) \\ 2b_2+4b_4+\dots=2k}} \prod_{i \text{ even}} \frac{1}{i^{b_i} b_i!},$$

which is the probability that an element of S_{2k} has all cycles even; the result thus follows from Lemma 4.2. □

Next we study the probability that an element $\pi \in B_n$ fixes a k -set using only positive cycles.

Theorem 4.4. (1) *The proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using only positive cycles is at most the proportion of elements in B_k with all cycles positive.*

(2) *The proportion of elements in B_k with all cycles positive is equal to the proportion of elements in S_{2k} with all cycles even (which was bounded in Lemma 4.2).*

Proof. Recall the description of conjugacy classes and centralizer sizes of B_n given at the beginning of this subsection. If an element of B_n fixes a k -set using only positive cycles, then its cycle structure vector must contain positive cycles of lengths adding to k . Thus the chance that an element π of B_n fixes a k -set using only positive cycles is at most

$$\sum_{\substack{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) \\ b_1 + 2b_2 + \dots = k}} \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n), (c_1, \dots, c_n) \\ (a_1 + c_1) + 2(a_2 + c_2) + \dots = n - k}} \prod_i \frac{1}{(a_i + b_i)! c_i! (2i)^{a_i + b_i + c_i}},$$

with equality if $n = k$. Here $a_i + b_i$ is the number of positive i -cycles of π and c_i is the number of negative i -cycles of π . Since $\frac{1}{(a_i + b_i)!} \leq \frac{1}{a_i! b_i!}$, the sought proportion is at most

$$\sum_{\substack{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) \\ b_1 + 2b_2 + \dots = k}} \frac{1}{b_i! (2i)^{b_i}} \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n), (c_1, \dots, c_n) \\ (a_1 + c_1) + 2(a_2 + c_2) + \dots = n - k}} \prod_i \frac{1}{a_i! c_i! (2i)^{a_i + c_i}}.$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n), (c_1, \dots, c_n) \\ (a_1 + c_1) + 2(a_2 + c_2) + \dots = n - k}} \prod_i \frac{1}{a_i! c_i! (2i)^{a_i + c_i}} = 1,$$

being the sum of reciprocals of centralizer sizes over all conjugacy classes of the group B_{n-k} . Thus the sought proportion is at most

$$\sum_{\substack{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) \\ b_1 + 2b_2 + \dots = k}} \prod_i \frac{1}{b_i! (2i)^{b_i}},$$

which is the probability that an element of B_k has all cycles positive. Rewriting this sum as

$$\sum_{\substack{(b_2, b_4, \dots, b_{2k}) \\ 2b_2 + 4b_4 + \dots = 2k}} \prod_{i \text{ even}} \frac{1}{b_i! i^{b_i}}$$

shows that it is also the probability that an element of S_{2k} has all even cycles. \square

We let D_n denote the group of signed permutations with the product of signs equal to 1; thus $|D_n| = 2^{n-1}n!$. We let D_n^- denote the nontrivial coset of D_n in B_n , i.e. the group of signed permutations with the product of signs equal to -1 .

Theorem 4.5. (1) *For $n > k$, the proportion of elements of D_n which fix a k -set using only positive cycles is equal to the proportion of elements of D_n^- which fix a k -set using only positive cycles. Both proportions are at most the proportion of elements of S_{2k} with all cycles even (which was bounded in Lemma 4.2).*

(2) *The proportion of elements of D_k with all cycles positive is twice the proportion of elements of S_{2k} with all cycles even (which was bounded in Lemma 4.2).*

Proof. For part (1), note that since $n > k$, there is a bijection between the elements of D_n which fix a k -set using positive cycles and the elements of D_n^- which fix a k -set using positive cycles (just change the sign of a cycle not involved in the k -set). Hence the number of elements of B_n which fix a k -set using positive cycles is twice the number for D_n , so part (1) follows from Theorem 4.4. Part (2) follows from part (2) of Theorem 4.4 since elements of B_n with all cycles positive lie in D_n . \square

- Theorem 4.6.** (1) For $n > k$, the proportion of elements in D_n which fix a k -set using an even (resp. odd) number of negative cycles is at most $1/2$.
 (2) For $n > k$, the proportion of elements in D_n^- which fix a k -set using an even (resp. odd) number of negative cycles is at most $1/2$.
 (3) For $n \geq k$, the proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using an even (resp. odd) number of negative cycles is at most $1/2$.

Proof. From the cycle index of B_n , the proportion of elements in D_n which fix a k -set using an even number of negative cycles is at most

$$\frac{2 \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_k), (b_1, \dots, b_k) \\ \sum i(a_i + b_i) = k, \sum b_i \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{(c_1, \dots, c_n), (d_1, \dots, d_n) \\ \sum i(c_i + d_i) = n - k, \sum d_i \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{\prod_i (a_i + c_i)!(b_i + d_i)!(2i)^{a_i + b_i + c_i + d_i}}}{\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_k), (b_1, \dots, b_k) \\ \sum i(a_i + b_i) = k, \sum b_i \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{\prod_i a_i! b_i! (2i)^{a_i + b_i}}} \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{(c_1, \dots, c_n), (d_1, \dots, d_n) \\ \sum i(c_i + d_i) = n - k, \sum d_i \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{\prod_i c_i! d_i! (2i)^{c_i + d_i}} \right].$$

Here a_i, b_i denote the number of positive and negative i -cycles involved in fixing the k -set, and c_i and d_i are the number of remaining positive and negative i -cycles. The first term in square brackets is the proportion of elements of B_k which lie in D_k , which is $1/2$. The second term in square brackets is the proportion of elements of B_{n-k} which lie in D_{n-k} , which is also $1/2$. This proves the first part of the theorem. The second part is proved similarly. For $n > k$, part (3) is immediate from parts (1) and (2), and for $n = k$ part (3) follows since D_n is an index two subgroup of B_n . □

The following results about B_n and D_n will be useful for treating the cases $q = 2, 3$.

- Proposition 4.7.** (1) The proportion of elements of B_n with no positive fixed points and at most one negative fixed point is at most $7/12$.
 (2) The proportion of elements of B_n ($n \geq 2$) with at most one positive fixed point and at most one negative fixed point is at most $5/6$.

Proof. From the cycle index of the groups B_n , the proportion of elements with no positive fixed point and at most one negative fixed point is the coefficient of u^n in $\frac{(1+u/2)}{(1-u)e^u}$. This is easily seen to be at most $7/12$, this value being attained at $n = 3$. For the second assertion, one uses the generating function $\frac{(1+u/2)^2}{(1-u)e^u}$, and the coefficient of u^n is at most $5/6$, this value being attained at $n = 3$. □

We need one more result about elements in B_n .

- Theorem 4.8.** (1) The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using an even number of negative cycles and have at most one positive fixed

point and at most one negative fixed point is at most

$$\frac{9}{8e} \leq .414.$$

- (2) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using an odd number of negative cycles and have at most one positive fixed point and at most one negative fixed point is at most*

$$\frac{9}{8e} \leq .414.$$

Proof. From the cycle index of B_n , the proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using an even number of negative cycles and have at most one positive fixed point and at most one negative fixed point is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(a_1 \leq 1, \dots, a_k), (b_1 \leq 1, \dots, b_k) \\ \sum_i (a_i + b_i) = k, \sum b_i \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{(c_1 \leq 1, \dots, c_n), (d_1 \leq 1, \dots, d_n) \\ \sum_i (c_i + d_i) = n - k}} \\ & \frac{1}{\prod_i (a_i + c_i)! (b_i + d_i)! (2i)^{a_i + b_i + c_i + d_i}} \\ & \leq \left[\sum_{\substack{(a_1 \leq 1, \dots, a_k), (b_1 \leq 1, \dots, b_k) \\ \sum_i (a_i + b_i) = k, \sum b_i \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{\prod_i a_i! b_i! (2i)^{a_i + b_i}} \right] \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{(c_1 \leq 1, \dots, c_n), (d_1 \leq 1, \dots, d_n) \\ \sum_i (c_i + d_i) = n - k}} \frac{1}{\prod_i c_i! d_i! (2i)^{c_i + d_i}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here a_i and b_i denote the number of positive and negative i -cycles involved in fixing the k -set, and c_i and d_i are the number of remaining positive and negative i -cycles.

The first sum in square brackets is (by the cycle index of B_k) equal to the proportion of elements in B_k with an even number of negative cycles, at most one positive fixed point, and at most one negative fixed point; such elements lie in D_k so the proportion is at most $1/2$. Thus the proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using an even number of negative cycles and have at most one positive fixed point and at most one negative fixed point is at most $1/2$ multiplied by the proportion of elements of B_{n-k} with at most one positive fixed point and at most one negative fixed point. By Theorem 4.1, the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of elements of B_n with at most one positive fixed point and at most one negative fixed point is equal to $\left[\frac{(1+1/2)}{e^{1/2}} \right]^2 = \frac{9}{4e}$, which proves part (1) of the theorem. Part (2) is proved by the same reasoning. \square

Theorem 4.9 gives a type D analog of Theorem 4.8.

- Theorem 4.9.** (1) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of D_n (or D_n^-) with at most one positive fixed point, at most one negative fixed point, and which fix a k -set using an even number of negative cycles is at most $\frac{9}{8e} \leq .414$.*
 (2) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of D_n (or D_n^-) with at most one positive fixed point, at most one negative fixed point, and which fix a k -set using an odd number of negative cycles is at most $\frac{9}{8e} \leq .414$.*

Proof. Since k is fixed, we can assume that $n \geq k + 3$. Then there is a bijection between elements in D_n with at most one positive fixed point, at most one negative fixed point, and which fix a k -set using an even number of negative cycles, and elements in D_n^- with the same restrictions. Indeed, one can switch the sign of a cycle of length ≥ 2 which is not involved in the k -set. Hence the proportions in part (1) are equal to the corresponding proportions for B_n , and part (1) is immediate from part (1) of Theorem 4.8. Similarly part (2) follows from part (2) of Theorem 4.8. \square

- Theorem 4.10.** (1) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of B_n with no positive fixed points, at most one negative fixed point, and which fix a k -set using an even number of negative cycles is at most .276.*
- (2) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of B_n with no positive fixed points, at most one negative fixed point, and which fix a k -set using an odd number of negative cycles is at most .276.*
- (3) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of D_n (or D_n^-) with no positive fixed points, at most one negative fixed point, and which fix a k -set using an even number of negative cycles is at most .276.*
- (4) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of D_n (or D_n^-) with no positive fixed points, at most one negative fixed point, and which fix a k -set using an odd number of negative cycles is at most .276.*

Proof. For parts (1) and (2), arguing as in Theorem 4.8 shows that the sought proportion is at most $1/2$ times the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of elements of B_n with no positive fixed points and at most one negative fixed point. By Theorem 4.1, this is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{e^{1/2}} \frac{1}{e^{1/2}} (1 + 1/2) \right] \leq .276.$$

For parts (3) and (4), one argues as in Theorem 4.9 to reduce to the B_n case. \square

5. MAXIMAL TORI AND THE WEYL GROUP

For G a finite classical group, this section gives upper bounds on the proportion of elements of G which are regular semisimple and fix a k -space in terms of the proportion of elements of the Weyl group W which fix a k -set. Since finite classical groups contain many regular semisimple elements (this is made more precise in Section 7), this will enable us to bound away from 0 the proportion of elements of G which are regular semisimple and derangements on k -spaces.

Let X be a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p defined over the prime field. Let σ_q denote the Frobenius endomorphism with fixed points $X(q)$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_q$ or $\sigma_q\tau$ where τ is a graph automorphism. We only consider the case where the graph automorphism τ is trivial or has order 2 (since we are only dealing with classical groups and we may assume the rank is large, this is not a problem). Let $G = X_\sigma$, the set of fixed points of σ . This is a finite group of Lie type defined over the field of q elements.

Let W denote the Weyl group of X and $W_0 := \langle W, \tau \rangle$ the extended Weyl group (i.e. the normalizer of a maximal torus T of X in $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ — we may choose τ to normalize some σ -invariant maximal torus T). In order to state the results uniformly, we view $\tau = 1$ if the graph automorphism is not present.

There is a bijection between conjugacy classes of W_0 in the coset τW and conjugacy classes of maximal tori — if $w \in W$, let T_w denote the corresponding maximal torus in G (up to conjugacy); see [SS] for the basic background on this.

We say that T_w is nondegenerate if the centralizer of T_w in the algebraic group is a (maximal) torus. Let N_w denote the normalizer of T_w . If T_w is nondegenerate, then $N_w/T_w \cong C_W(w)$. In any case, $|N_w/T_w| \geq |C_W(w)|$. If $x \in G$ is regular semisimple, then x is in a unique maximal torus (its centralizer). Also, if T_w contains a regular semisimple element, then it certainly is nondegenerate.

Choose a set of representatives R for the conjugacy classes in the coset τW_0 . If S is a subset of R , let G_S denote the set of semisimple elements of G conjugate to an element of T_w for some $w \in S$. So G_S is the union of all the conjugates of T_w , for $w \in X$. Note that the union of conjugates of T_w has size at most $|G : N_w||T_w| = |G|/|C_W(w)|$.

Thus,

$$|G_S|/|G| \leq |G|^{-1} \sum_{w \in S} |G : N_w||T_w| = \sum_{w \in S} |C_W(w)|^{-1} = \sum_{w \in S} |W|^{-1}|w^W|$$

is equal to the proportion of elements of W conjugate to an element of S .

If we want to estimate the proportion of regular semisimple elements conjugate to an element of $T_w, w \in S$, it suffices to sum over those T_w which contain a regular semisimple element and so improve the estimate (for q sufficiently large with G of fixed rank, all maximal tori will contain semisimple regular elements).

Let G be a classical group over a finite field with natural module V . Let U be either a totally singular or nondegenerate subspace of V . Suppose that $x \in G$ is regular semisimple with T the maximal torus of G containing x .

Note that if $G = SL, Sp$ or SU , then x has distinct eigenvalues on V , whence x and T have precisely the same invariant subspaces. If the stabilizer of U is connected (in the algebraic group), then any semisimple element stabilizing U is in a maximal torus of U . In particular, this holds if the characteristic is 2 or U is totally singular. So in those cases, x leaves U invariant if and only if T does.

Finally, assume that the characteristic is not 2, U is nondegenerate of even dimension and $G = SO^\epsilon(n, q)$. Let $U' = U^\perp$. The connected part of the stabilizer of U is $SO(U) \times SO(U')$. So if $\det(x|_U) = 1$, then T preserves U as well. The only other possibility is that $\det(x|_U) = -1$. Indeed, in this case T need not leave W invariant. This forces x to have -1 as an eigenvalue on each of U and U' .

We count these elements separately. If q is large, it is easy to show that the proportion of such elements goes to 0 with $q \rightarrow \infty$ (uniformly in n). We can also observe that such an x will fix a nondegenerate space U'' with $\dim U = \dim U''$ (by interchanging a -1 eigenspace and a 1 eigenspace) with $\det x|_{U''} = 1$. Thus, x will be in a maximal torus fixing a nondegenerate space of the given dimension (of one type or the other). Moreover, if T_w is a maximal torus containing such an element x , then w must have a fixed point and indeed if n is even, w must have two fixed points.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$. The proportion of elements of any subgroup H between $SL(n, q)$ and $GL(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a k -space is at most the proportion of elements in S_n which fix a k -set. In fact, it is at most the proportion of elements in S_n which fix a k -set and have at most $q - 1$ fixed points.*

Proof. Let $w \in S_n$ and let T_w be the corresponding maximal torus of H . If w has cycles of length a_1, \dots, a_r , then $V = \bigoplus V_i$ where $\dim V_i = a_i$ and T_w acts irreducibly on each V_i . As long as T_w contains a regular semisimple element, then these are the only subspaces left invariant by T_w (and so by any regular semisimple element in T_w as well, because of the uniqueness of T_w).

Thus, x regular semisimple in T_w fixes a k -space if and only if w fixes a k -set (i.e. some subset of the a 's adds to k).

Note that for a fixed q , the number of 1-cycles in w is at most $q - 1$ or T_w will not contain any regular semisimple elements. \square

Theorem 5.2. (1) For $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, the proportion of elements in any coset of $SU(n, q)$ in $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate k -space is at most the proportion of elements in S_n which fix a k -set.
 (2) For $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, the proportion of elements in any coset of $SU(n, q)$ in $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a totally singular k -space is at most the proportion of elements in S_n which fix a $2k$ -set, and have all orbits in this invariant subset even.

Proof. For $SU(n, q)$, $\langle \tau, W \rangle \cong S_n \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ and so we are really considering conjugacy classes in S_n .

Consider w having cycles of lengths a_1, \dots, a_r . Then T_w acts on $V_1 \perp \dots \perp V_r$ where $\dim V_i = a_i$.

If a_i is odd, then T_w is irreducible on V_i , and if a_i is even, then T_w leaves invariant precisely two proper subspaces each totally singular of dimension $a_i/2$.

Thus, the probability of being regular semisimple and fixing a nondegenerate k -space is at most the probability of fixing a k -set. Similarly a regular semisimple element fixing a totally singular k -space lies in T_w where w leaves invariant a $2k$ -set with all orbits of w on this set of even length. The results follow. \square

We let B_n denote the hyperoctahedral group of signed permutations on n symbols.

Theorem 5.3. (1) For $1 \leq k \leq n$, the proportion of elements in the group $Sp(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate $2k$ -space is at most the proportion of elements in S_n which fix a k -set.
 (2) For $1 \leq k \leq n$, the proportion of elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a totally singular k -space is at most the proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using only positive cycles.
 (3) Consider the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$. For $q = 2$ it is at most the proportion of elements in B_n with no positive fixed points and at most one negative fixed point. For $q = 3$ it is at most the proportion of elements in B_n with at most one positive fixed point and at most one negative fixed point.

Proof. View w in W as $(a_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (a_r, \epsilon_r)$ where (a_1, \dots, a_r) is a partition of n and $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$. Let \bar{w} denote the image of w in S_n (so it has cycles of size a_1, \dots, a_r).

Then $V = V_1 \perp \dots \perp V_r$ where $\dim V_i = 2a_i$, and if $\epsilon_i = -$, then T_w acts irreducibly on V_i while if $\epsilon_i = +$, then $V_i = A \oplus B$ where A and B are totally singular subspaces of V_i with T_w acting irreducibly on A and B (with A and B nonisomorphic as T_w -modules). Thus, the only nondegenerate subspaces left invariant by the T_w are sums of V_j for some subset. Thus, the proportion of elements which are both

semisimple and leave invariant a nondegenerate subspace of dimension $2k$ is at most the probability that a random element of S_n fixes a k -set.

For totally singular k -spaces (so $k \leq n$), we would need some subset of the V_i corresponding to $\epsilon_i = +$ with the a_i adding up to k . In particular, some subset of the a_i must add up to k and so we get the same upper bound (or just using positive cycles if we want a somewhat better bound).

Suppose that T_w contains a regular semisimple element. If $q = 2$, there can be no positive 1-cycles (for then T_w is trivial on a 2-dimensional space) and there can be at most one negative 1-cycle (otherwise either $(T - 1)^2$ or $(T^2 + T + 1)^2$ divides the characteristic polynomial of any element of T_w).

If $q = 3$, similarly we see that there can be at most one positive 1-cycle (using the fact that any element has $\det = 1$ — if we are in the conformal symplectic group, then there can be at most two positive cycles of length 1). Similarly, there can be at most one negative cycle of length 1 (otherwise $(T - 1)^2$, $(T + 1)^2$ or $(T^2 + 1)^2$ divides the characteristic polynomial of any element of T_w). \square

The next result will be useful in analyzing the action of $Sp(2n, q), q$ even on nondegenerate hyperplanes in the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional orthogonal representation. Note that the stabilizers of these hyperplanes are orthogonal groups.

Theorem 5.4. *Let q be even. The proportion of elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a positive (resp. negative) type nondegenerate hyperplane is at most $1/2$.*

Proof. We view $\Omega(2n + 1, q) = Sp(2n, q) = G$. Suppose that T_w is a nondegenerate maximal torus of G . It is well known that every element of G is contained in a conjugate of $O^+(2n, q)$ or $O^-(2n, q)$. However, a regular semisimple element is in precisely 1 (since a regular semisimple element of $Sp(2n, q)$ has no eigenvalue 1). Similarly, we see that each nondegenerate maximal torus stabilizes a unique nondegenerate hyperplane.

It is straightforward to see that the T_w in Ω^+ are those such that w is in the type D subgroup of index 2 in the Weyl group, and the rest are in Ω^- .

Let R be a set of representatives for the W conjugacy classes of elements contained in the subgroup D of index 2 in W . So we obtain an upper bound for the number N of regular semisimple elements in O^+ by noting that:

$$\begin{aligned} N &\leq \sum_{w \in R} [G : N(T_w)] |T_w| \\ &= \sum_{w \in R} |G| |N(T_w) : T_w|^{-1} \\ &\leq |G| \sum_{w \in R} |C_W(w)|^{-1} \\ &= |G| \sum_{w \in D} |W|^{-1} \\ &= |G| |D| / |W| \\ &= (1/2) |G|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the probability that a random element of $Sp(2n, q)$ is both regular semisimple and is in O^+ is at most $1/2$ and similarly for O^- (we just get those T_w with w

not in the subgroup of index 2) — and in the limit as q increases, the proportion approaches $1/2$. \square

For orthogonal groups, regular semisimple does not imply distinct eigenvalues. We say an element in an n -dimensional orthogonal group is *strongly regular semisimple* if it has n distinct eigenvalues. Note that if x is strongly regular semisimple and $x \in T$, a maximal torus, then a subspace is x -invariant if and only if it is T -invariant. If x is regular semisimple, the same statement is true for totally singular spaces.

Theorem 5.5. *Let q be odd. Let $G = \Omega(2n + 1, q)$.*

- (1) *The proportion of elements of G which are strongly regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate positive (resp. negative) type space of dimension $2k$ is at most the proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using an even (resp. odd) number of negative cycles. If $q = 3$ the elements in B_n can have no positive fixed points and at most one negative fixed point.*
- (2) *The proportion of elements of G which are semisimple and fix a nondegenerate $2k$ -space is at most the proportion of elements of S_n which fix a k -set.*
- (3) *The proportion of elements of G which are regular semisimple and fix a totally singular space of dimension k is at most the proportion of elements in B_n which fix a k -set using only positive cycles.*

Proof. Again, the Weyl group is the group of signed permutations. Let w be an element of the Weyl group with corresponding torus T_w . Then $V = V_0 \perp V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_r$ where $\dim(V_0) = 1$, and $\dim(V_i) = 2a_i$ with T_w acting irreducibly on V_i if $\epsilon_i = -$ and acting irreducibly on a pair of totally isotropic subspaces of V_i if $\epsilon_i = +$ (the type of V_0 is determined by the other V_i). We argue exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5.4 (note that if the nondegenerate space has dimension $2k$, we need that $\sum a_i = k$ for some subset of the a 's, i.e. the image of w in the symmetric group preserves a k -set).

If $q = 3$ and the maximal torus T_w contains regular semisimple elements, then w has at most one fixed point of each sign. Similarly, if $q = 3$ and T_w contains strongly regular semisimple elements, then w has no positive fixed points and at most one negative fixed point.

For part (2), let x be semisimple. Suppose that x fixes a nondegenerate $2k$ -space. Then either x is contained in a maximal torus T_w which fixes that $2k$ -space or $\det(x) = -1$ on the $2k$ -space (and so on the complement as well).

Note that this implies that x has eigenvalues ± 1 on the $2k$ -space and an eigenvalue -1 on the orthogonal complement. Choosing a different nondegenerate $2k$ -space that is x -invariant where $\det(x) = 1$ (by swapping the 1 -eigenvector and a -1 eigenvector) shows that x is conjugate to an element of T_w , where w has cycle sizes adding up to k , whence the result.

Suppose that a nondegenerate maximal torus T_w fixes a totally singular k -space. Then $\sum a_j = k$ for some subset of the a_j all of positive type (ignoring the positivity, we get an upper bound of the proportion of elements in S_n fixing a k -set). \square

We let D_n denote the group of signed permutations with the product of signs equal to 1; thus $|D_n| = 2^{n-1}n!$.

- Theorem 5.6.** (1) *Let q be odd. The proportion of elements of the group $\Omega^+(2n, q)$ which are strongly regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate positive (resp. negative) type $2k$ -space is at most the proportion of elements of D_n which fix a k -set using an even (resp. odd) number of negative cycles. If $q = 3$, the element of D_n has no positive fixed points and at most one negative fixed point.*
- (2) *Let q be even. The proportion of elements of $\Omega^+(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate positive (resp. negative) type $2k$ -space is at most the proportion of elements of D_n which fix a k -set using an even (resp. odd) number of negative cycles. If $q = 2$, the element of D_n has at most one positive fixed point, at most one negative fixed point, no positive 2-cycles, and at most one negative 2-cycle. If $q = 4$, the element of D_n has at most two positive fixed points.*
- (3) *The proportion of elements of $\Omega^+(2n, q)$ which are semisimple and fix a nondegenerate $2k$ -space is at most the proportion of elements of S_n which fix a k -set.*
- (4) *The proportion of elements of $\Omega^+(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a totally singular k -space is at most the proportion of elements of D_n which fix a k -set using only positive cycles.*

Proof. So the Weyl group has order $2^{n-1}n!$, i.e. signed permutations with the product of the signs 1. If w corresponds to $(a_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (a_r, \epsilon_r)$, then $V = V_1 \perp \dots \perp V_r$, $\dim V_i = 2a_i$, where T_w is irreducible on V_i if $\epsilon_i = -$ and preserves a pair of totally singular spaces if $\epsilon_i = +$.

So T_w preserves a nondegenerate $2k$ -space if and only if $\sum a_j = k$ for some subset, i.e. \bar{w} fixes a k -set.

Note that if g is strongly semisimple regular and it fixes a nondegenerate $2k$ -space, then the maximal torus T containing g will also fix that space. If $q = 3$ and T_w contains strongly regular semisimple elements, T_w has no positive fixed points and at most one negative fixed point. Now (1) follows.

Suppose that $q = 2$. Then T_w containing semisimple regular elements implies that w has at most one fixed point of each type, no positive 2-cycles and at most one negative 2-cycle (corresponding to eigenvalues of order 5). Similar observations yield the statement about $q = 4$ and so (2) follows.

If g is just semisimple and fixes a nondegenerate $2k$ -space, it is not hard to see that g fixes some nondegenerate $2k$ -space such that $\det g = 1$ on that $2k$ -space. Thus, g is contained in a maximal torus fixing the second $2k$ -space, whence g is a conjugate to an element of T_w where T_w fixes some nondegenerate $2k$ -space (but not necessarily of the same type). Thus, w will fix a k -subset and (3) follows.

T_w will preserve a totally singular k -space if and only if some subset of the positive cycles add up to k , proving (4). □

In the next result, we let D_n^- denote the nontrivial coset of D_n in B_n . Thus D_n^- is the set of signed permutations with the product of signs equal to -1 , and $|D_n^-| = 2^{n-1}n!$.

- Theorem 5.7.** (1) *Let q be odd. The proportion of elements of the group $\Omega^-(2n, q)$ which are strongly regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate positive (resp. negative) type $2k$ -space is at most the proportion of elements*

of D_n^- which fix a k -set using an even (resp. odd) number of negative cycles. If $q = 3$, the element of D_n^- has no positive fixed points and at most one negative fixed point.

- (2) Let q be even. The proportion of elements of $\Omega^-(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate positive (resp. negative) type $2k$ -space is at most the proportion of elements of D_n^- which fix a k -set using an even (resp. odd) number of negative cycles. If $q = 2$, the element of D_n^- has at most one positive fixed point, at most one negative fixed point, no positive 2-cycles, and at most one negative 2-cycle. If $q = 4$, the element of D_n^- has at most two positive fixed points.
- (3) The proportion of elements of $\Omega^-(2n, q)$ which are semisimple and fix a nondegenerate $2k$ -space is at most the proportion of elements of S_n which fix a k -set.
- (4) The proportion of elements of $\Omega^-(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a totally singular k -space is at most the proportion of elements of D_n^- which fix a k -set using only positive cycles.

Proof. The analysis is the same as in Theorem 5.6. □

6. LARGE FIELDS

We prove our main result in the case that q is large. We first note that by [FNP, GuLub] it follows that the proportion of regular semisimple elements in a classical group is at least $1 - O(1/q)$, where the implied constant is absolute. Indeed, the proof in [GuLub] actually shows the following.

Theorem 6.1. *Let S be a finite simple group of Lie type over a field of size q . Let g be an inner diagonal automorphism of S . The proportion of semisimple regular elements in the coset gS is at least $1 - O(1/q)$. In particular, the proportion of regular semisimple elements in gS goes to 1 as $q \rightarrow \infty$.*

Note that the same result applies if we replace S by a quasisimple group. The $O(1/q)$ error term is given quite explicitly in [GuLub]. One can give an alternate proof of this result by using generating functions. We next extend this to strongly semisimple regular elements in orthogonal groups.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $S = \Omega^\pm(d, q) = \Omega^\pm(V)$. Let g be an inner diagonal automorphism of S . The proportion of elements in the coset gS which are not strongly regular semisimple is at most $O(1/q)$.*

Proof. By the previous result, it suffices to consider semisimple regular elements which are not strongly regular semisimple. Let x be such an element. Then x is conjugate to an element of the subgroup $J := SO(W) \times SO(W^\perp)$ where x acts as ± 1 on the nondegenerate 2-space W . The union of the conjugates of all such elements for a fixed W has size at most $2|S|/(q - 1)$ (because this set is invariant under J). There are two different choices for W (either it has $+$ type or $-$ type). Thus, the proportion of elements in gS which are semisimple regular elements with a 2-dimensional eigenspace is at most $4/(q - 1)$. The result follows. □

We next deal with a special case.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $G = \Omega^\pm(2n, q) = \Omega^\pm(V)$. The probability that $g \in G$ fixes a nondegenerate space of odd dimension k is at most $O(1/q)$ (the implied constant is independent of n, k).*

Proof. Suppose that $x \in SO(V)$ is semisimple and fixes a nondegenerate k -space with k odd. Then x has an eigenvalue ± 1 with multiplicity at least 2 (and exactly 2 if x is semisimple regular). In particular, x is not strongly semisimple regular. Apply the previous result. \square

Theorem 6.4. *Let G be a classical group over a field of q -elements with natural module of dimension n . Fix a positive integer $k \leq n/2$.*

- (1) *The probability that a random element of G fixes a nondegenerate subspace of dimension k is at most $(2/3) + O(1/q)$.*
- (2) *The probability that a random element of G fixes a totally singular subspace of dimension k is at most $(1/2) + O(1/q)$.*
- (3) *Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $N > 0$ such that if $n \geq 2k > N$, the probability that a random element of G fixes a totally singular or nondegenerate subspace of dimension k is less than $\epsilon + O(1/q)$.*

Proof. By the remarks above, it suffices to compute the probability that a regular semisimple element fixes the corresponding type of subspace. By the previous section, this is bounded above by the proportion of elements in the Weyl group conjugate to a subgroup (or in the twisted cases a coset). Now apply the results of Sections 3, 4 and 5. \square

Note that the same proof implies the same result for elements in each coset of the corresponding quasisimple group. In particular, we see that as $q, k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of derangements goes to 1.

Note also that the $O(1/q)$ comes in only in estimating the proportion of elements which are not regular semisimple. In particular, it follows that:

Corollary 6.5. *Let G be a classical group over a field of q -elements with natural module of dimension n . Fix a positive integer $k \leq n/2$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $N > 0$ such that if $n \geq 2k > N$, the probability that a random element of G is both regular semisimple and fixes a totally singular or nondegenerate subspace of dimension k is less than ϵ .*

From the above discussion we can easily deduce the Boston–Shalev conjecture for subspace actions unless both q and the dimension of the subspace are fixed (and the dimension of the natural module is growing). Indeed, if q is large one applies Theorem 6.4. If q is fixed and k is growing, one uses Corollary 6.5 and the fact that the proportion of regular semisimple elements is bounded away from 0 (which follows by [FNP]).

7. REGULAR SEMISIMPLE ELEMENTS

This section discusses estimates on the proportions of regular semisimple elements in the simple classical groups. In view of the results of the previous section, the case of q fixed is the critical case. The main results of this section are exact formulas for the fixed q , $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in the groups GL, U, Sp, Ω^\pm (the case of GL is due independently to [F], [Wa] and the cases U, Sp are in [FNP]). The case of Ω requires new ideas.

We also discuss some closely related results about the asymptotic equidistribution of regular semisimple elements in cosets gH of a simple classical group in larger

groups G . This gives a different approach to some results of Britnell [B1], [B2] (derived using generating functions); our approach has the advantage of generalizing to Ω .

The proportion of regular semisimple elements has also been studied in [FLJ]; however their formulae do not seem easily suited to asymptotic analysis.

7.1. SL. Theorem 7.1 gives the fixed q , large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $GL(n, q)$. This result will be crucial in this paper.

Theorem 7.1 ([F], [Waj]). *The fixed q , $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $GL(n, q)$ is $1 - 1/q$.*

Using algebraic geometry Guralnick and Lübeck established the following result (which can be proved less conceptually using generating functions).

Theorem 7.2 ([GuLub]). *The proportion of regular semisimple elements in $PSL(2, q)$ is at least $1 - (2, q - 1)/(q - 1)$. For $n \geq 3$, the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $PSL(n, q)$ is at least $1 - 1/(q - 1) - 2/(q - 1)^2$. In particular, as $q \rightarrow \infty$, these proportions go to 1 uniformly in n .*

The same is true for any given coset of PSL in PGL (with the same proof). The following result was proved by Britnell using generating functions.

Theorem 7.3 ([B1]). *The fixed q , large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in any coset of $SL(n, q)$ in $GL(n, q)$ is equal to $1 - 1/q$, which is the corresponding limit for $GL(n, q)$. Furthermore the same holds for a $GL(n, q)$ coset of any subgroup H between $SL(n, q)$ and $GL(n, q)$.*

Although generating function methods lead to the most precise bounds, we use the relationship between maximal tori and the Weyl group (Section 5) to obtain a different proof of Theorem 7.3. This will also be useful in reducing the study of regular semisimple derangements from a coset of $SL(n, q)$ in $GL(n, q)$ to $GL(n, q)$ (see Theorem 9.1). Also, this approach will be useful in studying Ω in odd characteristic (where generating function methods seem difficult).

Theorem 7.4. *Let H be a subgroup between $SL(n, q)$ and $GL(n, q)$. The difference in the proportion of regular semisimple elements in any two cosets of H in $GL(n, q)$ is at most $\frac{c_q \log(n)^3}{n^{1/2}}$, where c_q is independent of n .*

Proof. One can suppose that $H = SL(n, q)$ since cosets of other subgroups are unions of cosets of $SL(n, q)$ and we are supposing q is fixed. Let T_w be a maximal torus of $GL(n, q)$. Suppose that w has r distinct cycle lengths a_1, \dots, a_r occurring with multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_r .

We claim that if $\gcd(a_1 m_1, \dots, a_r m_r, q - 1) = 1$, then the number of regular semisimple elements of T_w is the same for each coset. To see this, choose scalars c_1, \dots, c_r such that $\prod c_i^{a_i m_i} = \zeta$ where ζ is a generator of the multiplicative group of \mathbb{F}_q^* (the gcd condition guarantees that this is possible). Write $t \in T_w$ as (t_1, \dots, t_r) where the t_i correspond to the cycles of length a_i (and so there are m_i blocks). Then define a map $T_w \mapsto T_w$ by sending (t_1, \dots, t_r) to $(c_1 t_1, \dots, c_r t_r)$. This multiplies the determinant by ζ and is a bijection on regular semisimple elements. (All we need to verify is that if (t_1, \dots, t_r) is regular semisimple so is $(c_1 t_1, \dots, c_r t_r)$. Since the minimal polynomials of distinct t_i have all factors of degree a_i , it is clear that $c_i t_i$ and $c_j t_j$ have relatively prime minimal polynomials. Since the minimal polynomial

of t_i is the same as its characteristic polynomial, the same is true for $c_i t_i$, whence the claim.)

Call a conjugacy class C of S_n bad if permutations w in it do not satisfy the condition $\gcd(a_1 m_1, \dots, a_r m_r, q - 1) = 1$. We want to upper bound $|f - g|$ where f, g are the proportion of regular semisimple elements in two fixed cosets. Since each T_w intersects each coset in $\frac{|T_w|}{q-1}$ elements, and there are $|GL(n, q)|/|N(T_w)|$ maximal tori of type w , it follows by the method of Section 5 (see for instance the proof of Theorem 5.1) that

$$|f - g| \leq \frac{1}{|SL(n, q)|} \sum_{C \text{ bad}} \frac{|GL(n, q)||T_w|}{|N(T_w)|(q - 1)} \leq \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\substack{w \in C \\ C \text{ bad}}} 1.$$

The result now follows from Theorem 3.10. □

To conclude this subsection, we derive upper bounds (which will be used in Section 9) for the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $GL(n, 2)$ and $GL(n, 3)$.

Theorem 7.5. *For $n > 1$, the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $GL(n, 2)$ is at most $5/6$.*

Proof. The reasoning of Section 5 implies that the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $GL(n, 2)$ is at most the proportion of elements in S_n with at most one fixed point. From the cycle index of the symmetric groups, the proportion of permutations with at most one fixed point is the coefficient of u^n in $\frac{1+u}{e^u(1-u)}$. This is easily seen to be at most $5/6$. □

7.2. SU. This subsection considers proportions of regular semisimple elements in the unitary groups. Recall that $\tilde{N}(q; d)$ and $\tilde{M}(q; d)$ were defined in Section 2.

Theorem 7.6 ([FNP]). (1) *The fixed $q, n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $U(n, q)$ is $(1 + 1/q) \prod_{d \text{ odd}} (1 - \frac{2}{q^d(q^d+1)})^{\tilde{N}(q;d)}$.*
 (2) *The fixed $q, n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $U(n, q)$ is at least $1 - 1/q - 2/q^3 + 2/q^4$. For $q = 2$ it is at least .414, for $q = 3$ it is at least .628, and for $q \geq 4$ it is at least .72.*

Using algebraic geometry, Guralnick and Lübeck established the following result.

Theorem 7.7 ([GuLub]). *For $n > 2$, the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $PSU(n, q)$ is at least $1 - 1/(q - 1) - 4/(q - 1)^2$. In particular as $q \rightarrow \infty$ this goes to 1 uniformly in n .*

The following result is due to Britnell.

Theorem 7.8 ([B2]). *The fixed q , large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in any coset of $H = SU(n, q)$ in $U(n, q)$ is equal to the corresponding limit for $U(n, q)$. Furthermore the same holds for a coset of any subgroup H between $SU(n, q)$ and $U(n, q)$.*

Theorem 7.9 is an analog of Theorem 7.4 for the unitary groups.

Theorem 7.9. *The difference in the proportion of regular semisimple elements in any two cosets of $SU(n, q)$ in $U(n, q)$ is at most $\frac{c_q \log(n)^3}{n^{1/2}}$, where c_q is independent of n .*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 7.4. The group of possible determinants is the size $q + 1$ subgroup of the multiplicative group of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , so (using the notation of Theorem 7.4) the condition for a conjugacy class of S_n to be bad is that $\gcd(a_1 m_1, \dots, a_r m_r, q + 1) \neq 1$. \square

The next result gives upper bounds for the proportion of regular semisimple elements in unitary groups over small fields.

- Theorem 7.10.** (1) For $n \geq 2$, the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $U(n, 2)$ is at most .877.
 (2) For $n \geq 2$, the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $U(n, 3)$ is at most .94.

Proof. Using the cycle index of the unitary groups and Lemma 2.7, one sees that the proportion of elements in $U(n, q)$ in which the polynomial $(z - 1)$ occurs with multiplicity 2 is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{u^2}{q^4(1 + 1/q)(1 - 1/q^2)} + \frac{u^2}{q^2(1 + 1/q)} \right] \frac{1}{1 - u} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^i u}{q^i} \right) \\ &= \frac{u^2 q}{(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)} \frac{1}{1 - u} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^i u}{q^i} \right) \\ &= \frac{u^2 q}{(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)} \frac{1}{1 - u} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} u^n}{(q + 1)(q^2 - 1) \cdots (q^n - (-1)^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the coefficient of u^n is at least

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q}{(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)} \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} \frac{(-1)^{\binom{r+1}{2}}}{(q + 1)(q^2 - 1) \cdots (q^r - (-1)^r)} \\ & \geq \frac{q}{(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q + 1} - \frac{1}{(q + 1)(q^2 - 1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $q = 2$ and $q = 3$ proves the result. \square

7.3. Sp. This section considers the proportion of regular semisimple elements in the symplectic groups $Sp(2n, q)$.

Theorem 7.11 ([FNP]). Let $f = \gcd(q - 1, 2)$.

- (1) The fixed q , $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ is

$$(1 - 1/q)^f \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{2}{q^d(q^d + 1)} \right)^{N^*(q; 2d)}.$$

- (2) The proportion of part (1) is at least .283 for $q = 2$, at least .348 for $q = 3$, at least .453 for $q = 4$, at least .654 for $q = 5$, at least .745 for $q = 7$, at least .686 for $q = 8$, and at least .797 for $q \geq 9$.

As with the other groups, there is an important result due to Guralnick and Lübeck.

Theorem 7.12 ([GuLub]). For $n \geq 2$ the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ is at least $1 - 2/(q - 1) - 1/(q - 1)^2$. In particular, as $q \rightarrow \infty$, this goes to 1 uniformly in n .

Theorem 7.13. *For $n \geq 1$, the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ is at most .74 for $q = 4$, at most .80 for $q = 5$, at most .86 for $q = 7$, and at most .88 for $q = 8$.*

Proof. If an element of $Sp(2n, q)$ is regular semisimple, then its $z - 1$ component is trivial. From the cycle index of the symplectic groups, this occurs with probability equal to the coefficient of u^n in

$$\frac{\prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - u/q^{2i-1})}{1 - u}.$$

This in turn is equal to

$$\sum_{r=0}^n [u^r] \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - u/q^{2i-1}),$$

where $[u^r]f(u)$ denotes the coefficient of u^r in an expression $f(u)$. By part (1) of Lemma 2.7, this is at most

$$1 - \frac{q}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^2}{(q^4 - 1)(q^2 - 1)},$$

which yields the theorem. □

7.4. O. This subsection considers proportions of regular semisimple elements in the simple groups $\Omega^\pm(n, q)$. Since $\Omega(2n + 1, q)$ is isomorphic to $Sp(2n, q)$ when q is even, throughout this section we disregard this case.

We recall the characterization of regular semisimple elements in Ω (note that for the other classical groups, regular semisimple is equivalent to having minimal polynomial equal to the characteristic polynomial).

Lemma 7.14. *Let $g \in \Omega^\pm(n, q)$ and let $f(z)$ denote its characteristic polynomial. Write $f(z) = f_0(z)(z - 1)^a(z + 1)^b$ (with $b = 0$ if q is even) with $\gcd(f_0(z), z^2 - 1) = 1$. Note that b is even and $a \equiv 2 \pmod{n}$. Then g is regular semisimple if and only if f_0 is squarefree and $a, b \leq 2$ (and if a or b is 2, then g is a scalar on that eigenspace).*

We now focus on the case of q fixed. We begin with the case of q even. Recall that $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is defined as the index 2 subgroup of $O^\pm(2n, q)$ whose quasideterminant is equal to 1. (Letting $\dim(\text{fix})$ denote the dimension of the fixed space of a matrix, the quasideterminant of α is defined as $(-1)^{\dim(\text{fix}(\alpha))}$.)

The next result is clear.

Lemma 7.15. *The dimension of the fixed space of a matrix α is the number of parts in the partition corresponding to the polynomial $z - 1$ in the rational canonical form of α .*

From Lemma 7.15 and the cycle index for the orthogonal groups in [F], it is clear that the cycle index of Ω^\pm is obtained from the cycle index of O^\pm simply by imposing the additional restriction that the partition corresponding to the polynomial $z - 1$ has an even number of parts. We proceed to do this for the case of regular semisimple elements.

Let $rs_{\Omega^\pm}(2n, q)$ denote the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$. Let $RS_{\Omega^\pm}(u)$ be the generating function defined by

$$RS_{\Omega^\pm}(u) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u^n \cdot rs_{\Omega^\pm}(2n, q).$$

Theorem 7.16 gives expressions for RS_{Ω^\pm} . For its statement we need some definitions. Letting $rs_{Sp}(2n, q)$ denote the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$, define the generating function $RS_{Sp}(u)$ by

$$RS_{Sp}(u) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u^n \cdot rs_{Sp}(2n, q).$$

This second generating function was considered in [FNP]. Also define, as in [FNP],

$$X_O(u) = \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^d + 1}\right)^{N^*(q; 2d)} \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1}\right)^{M^*(q; d)}.$$

Theorem 7.16. *Suppose that q is even.*

(1)

$$RS_{\Omega^+}(u) + RS_{\Omega^-}(u) = 2 \left(1 + \frac{u}{2(q-1)} + \frac{u}{2(q+1)}\right) RS_{Sp}(u).$$

(2)

$$2 + RS_{\Omega^+}(u) - RS_{\Omega^-}(u) = 2 \left(1 + \frac{u}{2(q-1)} - \frac{u}{2(q+1)}\right) X_O(u).$$

Proof. The proof runs along the lines of results of [FNP] but two additional points should be emphasized. First, the factors of two on the right-hand side come from the fact that Ω^\pm is an index 2 subgroup of O^\pm when the characteristic is even. Second, Lemma 7.14 forces the partition coming from the $z - 1$ component of a regular semisimple element to be one of $(0), (1), (1, 1)$. The choice (1) is ruled out since this partition must have even size since $2n$ is even. Thus the partitions must be (0) or $(1, 1)$. In the second case one must take care to consider $+, -$ types. The term $\frac{u}{2(q-1)}$ arises from the $+$ type and the term $\frac{u}{2(q+1)}$ arises from the $-$ type. \square

Corollary 7.17 calculates the fixed q , large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ in terms of the corresponding proportions in $Sp(2n, q)$, which were discussed in the previous subsection.

Corollary 7.17. *Suppose that q is even. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rs_{\Omega^+}(2n, q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rs_{\Omega^-}(2n, q) = \left(1 + \frac{q}{q^2 - 1}\right) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rs_{Sp}(2n, q).$$

Proof. First we claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (rs_{\Omega^+}(2n, q) + rs_{\Omega^-}(2n, q)) = 2 \left(1 + \frac{q}{q^2 - 1}\right) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rs_{Sp}(2n, q).$$

This follows from Lemma 2.9 and from the facts that $(1 + \frac{u}{2(q-1)} + \frac{u}{2(q+1)})$ is analytic in a circle of radius greater than 1 and that $(1 - u)RS_{Sp}(u)$ is analytic in a circle of radius greater than 1 ([FNP]). Next we claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (rs_{\Omega^+}(2n, q) - rs_{\Omega^-}(2n, q)) = 0.$$

This follows from the result in [FNP] that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the coefficient of u^n in $X_0(u)$ is 0. \square

Next we consider the case of q odd. First, we derive the large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in SO^\pm . Then it is proved that these proportions are equal to the corresponding proportions for Ω^\pm .

Theorem 7.18. *Suppose that q is odd. Let $r(q)$ denote the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $SO(2n+1, q)$. Then $r(q) = (1 + \frac{q}{q^2-1})$ multiplied by the corresponding limiting proportion in $Sp(2n, q)$. In particular, $r(q)$ is at least .478 for $q = 3$ and at least .790 for $q \geq 5$.*

Proof. An element of $SO(2n+1, q)$ is regular semisimple if and only if all polynomials other than $z \pm 1$ occur with multiplicity at most 1, the polynomial $z - 1$ occurs with multiplicity exactly 1, and the polynomial $z + 1$ occurs with multiplicity 0 or 2 (and in the latter case the $z + 1$ piece of the underlying vector space decomposes as the direct sum of two invariant 1-dimensional subspaces). Letting $rs_{SO}(2n+1, q)$ denote the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $SO(2n+1, q)$, it follows from the reasoning of [FNP] and the fact that $SO^+(2n+1, q)$ is isomorphic to $SO^-(2n+1, q)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u^n \cdot rs_{SO}(2n+1, q) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{u^n}{2} (rs_{SO^+}(2n+1, q) + rs_{SO^-}(2n+1, q)) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{u}{2(q-1)} + \frac{u}{2(q+1)} \right) (1/2 + 1/2) \cdot RS_{Sp}(u). \end{aligned}$$

The term $(1 + \frac{u}{2(q-1)} + \frac{u}{2(q+1)})$ corresponds to the $z + 1$ piece of the characteristic polynomial of the element, the term $(1/2 + 1/2)$ corresponds to the $z - 1$ piece, and the term $RS_{Sp}(u)$ arises from the other factors of the characteristic polynomial. The theorem now follows from Lemma 2.9 since $(1 - u)RS_{Sp}(u)$ is analytic in a circle of radius greater than 1.

The last statement now follows by Theorem 7.11. \square

As in Section 5, we say that an element of an n -dimensional orthogonal group is strongly regular semisimple if it has n distinct eigenvalues. Arguing as in Theorem 7.18 proves the following result.

Theorem 7.19. *Suppose q is odd. Let $s(q)$ be the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in $SO(2n+1, q)$. Then $s(q)$ is equal to the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$. By Theorem 7.11, $s(q)$ is at least .348 for $q = 3$ and at least .654 for $q \geq 5$.*

For even-dimensional orthogonal groups, one has the following results.

Theorem 7.20. *Suppose that q is odd. Let $r(q)$ denote the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $SO^\pm(2n, q)$. Then $r(q) = (1 + \frac{q}{q^2-1})^2$ multiplied by the corresponding limiting proportion in $Sp(2n, q)$. By Theorem 7.11, it follows that $r(q)$ is at least .657 for $q = 3$ and at least .954 for $q \geq 5$.*

Proof. An element of $SO^\pm(2n, q)$ is regular semisimple if and only if all polynomials other than $z \pm 1$ occur with multiplicity at most 1, and the polynomials $z \pm 1$ occur with multiplicity 0 or 2 (in the multiplicity 2 case the piece of the vector space with characteristic polynomial $z \pm 1$ is a sum of 1-dimensional invariant spaces). Let $rs_{SO^\pm}(2n, q)$ denote the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $SO^\pm(2n, q)$. The reasoning of [FNP] implies that

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u^n \left(\frac{rs_{SO^+}(2n, q)}{2} + \frac{rs_{SO^-}(2n, q)}{2} \right) = \left(1 + \frac{u}{2(q-1)} + \frac{u}{2(q+1)} \right)^2 \cdot RS_{Sp}(u).$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.9 since $(1 - u)RS_{Sp}(u)$ is analytic in a circle of radius greater than 1, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rs_{SO^+}(2n, q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} rs_{SO^-}(2n, q)$$

by a generating function argument similar to that of Corollary 7.17. □

Theorem 7.21. *Suppose q is odd. Let $s(q)$ be the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in $SO^\pm(2n, q)$. Then $s(q)$ is equal to the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$. By Theorem 7.11, $s(q)$ is at least .348 for $q = 3$ and at least .654 for $q \geq 5$.*

Lemmas 7.22 and 7.23 will be useful in passing from SO^\pm to Ω^\pm in odd characteristic.

Lemma 7.22. *Let $e(n)$ be the proportion of elements in B_n that have the property that all even length negative cycles occur with even multiplicity. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e(n) = 0$.*

Proof. Recall the notation $f \ll g$ from Subsection 2.3. By the cycle index of the hyperoctahedral groups, the proportion of elements of B_n in which all even length negative cycles have even multiplicity is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i \text{ odd}} e^{\frac{u^i}{i}} \prod_{i \text{ even}} e^{\frac{u^i}{2i}} \left(\sum_{j \geq 0, \text{ even}} \frac{u^{ij}}{(2i)^j j!} \right) \\ \ll & \prod_{i \text{ odd}} e^{\frac{u^i}{i}} \prod_{i \text{ even}} e^{\frac{u^i}{2i}} \left(\sum_{j \geq 0, \text{ even}} \frac{u^{ij}}{(2i)^j 2^{j/2} (j/2)!} \right) \\ = & \prod_{i \text{ odd}} e^{\frac{u^i}{i}} \prod_{i \text{ even}} e^{\frac{u^i}{2i} + \frac{u^{2i}}{8i^2}} \\ = & \frac{1}{(1-u)} \prod_{i \text{ even}} e^{\frac{u^{2i}}{8i^2} - \frac{u^i}{2i}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.9 that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the coefficient of u^n in this expression goes to 0. □

Lemma 7.23. *Let q be odd. Then the spinor norm $\theta(t)$ of an involution t in $SO^\pm(n, q)$ depends only on its -1 eigenspace which has dimension $2d$ and is of type ϵ . More precisely, $\theta(t)$ is trivial if and only if $\epsilon = +$ and d is even or d is odd and ϵ is a square modulo q .*

Proof. Write $V = V_1 \perp V_2$ where V_1 is the fixed space of t . Clearly, $\theta(t) = \theta(t|_{V_2})$. Write V_2 as an orthogonal sum of d copies of two-dimensional subspaces. Note that if two of the summands are of the same type, then t restricted to the sum of those two summands has spinor norm 1 (since it will be a square). So we are reduced to considering the 2- and 4-dimensional cases (corresponding to d odd and d even). If d is odd, then the spinor norm is trivial if and only if $4|(q - \epsilon)$. While if d is even, the spinor norm will be trivial if and only if each of the two summands has the same type, whence the result. \square

Now we can prove the following result.

Theorem 7.24. *Let q be odd.*

- (1) *The large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega(2n + 1, q)$ is equal to the corresponding limiting proportion in $SO(2n + 1, q)$, and the difference between these proportions for a given n is bounded independently of q .*
- (2) *The large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is equal to the limiting proportion in $SO^\pm(2n, q)$, and the difference between these proportions for a given n is bounded independently of q .*
- (3) *The large n limiting proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\Omega(2n + 1, q)$ is equal to the corresponding limiting proportion in $SO(2n + 1, q)$, and the difference between these proportions for a given n is bounded independently of q .*
- (4) *The large n limiting proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is equal to the limiting proportion in $SO^\pm(2n, q)$, and the difference between these proportions for a given n is bounded independently of q .*

Proof. For the first part of the theorem, the group in question is $SO(2n + 1, q)$ and has Weyl group the hyperoctahedral group B_n ; each w corresponds to a product of signed cycles. Let T_w be a maximal torus in G and decompose the space according to w ; i.e. it is an orthogonal sum $V_0 \perp V_1 \perp \dots \perp V_r$ where V_0 is a 1-space where T_w is trivial and each V_i has even dimension $2d_i$ where T_w is irreducible on the $2d_i$ -space (which is therefore of $-$ type) or the space is of $+$ type and the space splits as a direct sum of two subspaces of dimension d_i and T_w acts dually on them.

For a given cycle length and type, let U be the direct sum of all the V_i with that given cycle length and type. We call U a homogeneous piece. So $\dim U = 2d$ where $d = d_i m$ for some $m \geq 1$.

We seek some homogeneous piece U and an element s in T_w trivial on U^\perp such that s has nontrivial spinor norm and if t is in T_w , then st is regular semisimple if and only if t is (for then this is a bijection between regular semisimple elements in T_w with trivial and nontrivial spinor norm).

Note that the characteristic polynomials of regular semisimple elements on different homogeneous pieces are relatively prime and thus it suffices to check that st is regular semisimple on U if and only if t is.

By Lemma 7.23, such an element exists provided that T_w corresponds to a conjugacy class of signed permutations w such that at least one of the following holds:

- (1) There is an even length negative cycle which occurs with odd multiplicity.
- (2) There is an odd cycle length > 1 with odd multiplicity and negative type and $q = 1 \pmod 4$.

- (3) There is an odd cycle length > 1 with odd multiplicity and positive type and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Call w good if it satisfies any of these properties. From Lemma 7.22, it follows that almost all elements in the Weyl group are good (and in particular satisfy the first property), so by the method of Theorem 7.4, the difference in the proportion of regular semisimple elements in the two cosets of $\Omega(2n + 1, q)$ is at most the proportion of elements w not satisfying the above properties, so this goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in q .

For the second part of the theorem one must work in D_n rather than B_n , but any of the three conditions in the first part still ensure that the conjugacy class is good, so the result follows by a minor modification of Lemma 7.22.

Parts (3) and (4) follow by precisely the same argument (indeed the estimate can only get better since we only need consider maximal tori which contain strongly regular semisimple elements and the construction above still takes strongly regular semisimple elements to strongly regular semisimple elements). \square

8. NEARLY REGULAR SEMISIMPLE ELEMENTS

This section proves that with high probability, an element of a finite classical group is regular semisimple on a space of small codimension. More precisely, the following result is established.

Theorem 8.1. *Let G be one of $GL(n, q)$, $U(n, q)$, $Sp(2n, q)$ or $O^\pm(n, q)$. Then there are universal constants c_1, c_2 such that for any $r > 0$, the probability that an element of G is regular semisimple on some subspace of codimension $\leq c_1 + r$ is at least $1 - c_2/r^2$.*

As the proof of Theorem 8.1 will show, values of the constants c_1, c_2 can be worked out though it is tedious and not necessary for the present paper. For example we prove that when $G = GL(n, q)$, one can take $c_1 = \frac{2}{q(1-1/q)^3(1-1/q^{1/2})}$, which is at most 28 since $q \geq 2$.

Proof. We give full details only for $GL(n, q)$, but indicate what changes are needed for the other groups in the statement of the theorem.

For $G = GL, U$ or Sp , let $D(\alpha)$ be the sum of the degrees (counting multiplicity) of the irreducible factors of the characteristic polynomial of $\alpha \in G$ which occur with multiplicity greater than one. For $G = O$, let $D(\alpha)$ be the sum of the degrees (counting multiplicity) of the irreducible factors of the characteristic polynomial of $\alpha \in G$ which occur with multiplicity greater than one and of the irreducible factors corresponding to $z \pm 1$; the only reason for the different definition in the orthogonal case is to simplify the generating function. Our strategy is to obtain upper bounds for the expected value and variance of $D(\alpha)$ and to then apply Chebyshev's inequality, which states that for any random variable X with mean μ and variance σ^2 , the probability that $|X - \mu| \geq a$ is at most $\frac{\sigma^2}{a^2}$.

Using the partition notation in Subsection 2.1, one sees that the generating function for the random variable D on $GL(n, q)$ in the variable t is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\lambda} \frac{(ut)^{d|\lambda|}}{q^{d \sum (\lambda_i)^2} \prod_i (1/q^d)_{m_i(\lambda)}} + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d - 1} \right)^{N(q; d)}.$$

By Lemma 2.6 this is equal to

$$F(u, t) := \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d - 1} \right)^{N(q; d)}.$$

To compute the expected value of D , one differentiates with respect to t and then sets $t = 1$. Doing this gives the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d \geq 1} N(q; d) \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-N(q; d)+1} \prod_{k \neq d} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^k}{q^{ik}}\right)^{-N(q; k)} \\ & \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d - 1} \right)_{t=1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d - 1} \right)_{t=1} \\ & = \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{jd}}\right)^{-1} \frac{du^d}{q^{jd}} \right) - \frac{du^d}{q^d - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the expected value of D is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d \geq 1} N(q; d) \prod_{k \geq 1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^k}{q^{ik}}\right)^{-N(q; k)} \\ & \cdot \left[\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{jd}}\right)^{-1} \frac{du^d}{q^{jd}} \right) - \frac{du^d}{q^d - 1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using part (1) of Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, this simplifies to the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-u} \sum_{d \geq 1} N(q; d) \left[\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{jd}}\right)^{-1} \frac{du^d}{q^{jd}} \right) - \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d}{q^{id}}\right) \cdot \frac{du^d}{q^d - 1} \right] \\ & = \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{dN(q; d)}{1-u} \left[\sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{u^{dr}}{q^{jdr}} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^r}{q^d - 1} \frac{u^{dr}}{(q^{d(r-1)} - 1) \cdots (q^d - 1)} \right] \\ & = \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{dN(q; d)}{1-u} \left[\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{u^{dr}}{q^{dr} - 1} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^r}{q^d - 1} \frac{u^{dr}}{(q^{d(r-1)} - 1) \cdots (q^d - 1)} \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{1-u} \sum_{d \geq 1} dN(q; d) \sum_{r \geq 2} \left(\frac{u^{dr}}{q^{dr} - 1} + \frac{(-1)^r}{q^d - 1} \frac{u^{dr}}{(q^{d(r-1)} - 1) \cdots (q^d - 1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the $r = 1$ term has canceled, which is crucial. Using the bound $dN(q; d) \leq q^d$, and the notation $f \ll g$ from Section 2.3, one sees that the mean of D is at

most the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-u} \sum_{d \geq 1} q^d \sum_{r \geq 2} \left(\frac{u^{dr}}{q^{dr}-1} + \frac{1}{(q^d-1)(q^{d(r-1)}-1) \cdots (q^d-1)} \right) \\ \ll & \frac{1}{1-u} \sum_{d \geq 1} q^d \sum_{r \geq 2} \frac{u^{dr}}{q^{dr}} \left(\frac{1}{1-1/q^{dr}} + \frac{1}{(1-1/q^d)(1-1/q^{d(r-1)})} \right) \\ \ll & 2 \left(\frac{1}{1-1/q} \right)^2 \frac{1}{1-u} \sum_{d \geq 1} q^d \sum_{r \geq 2} \frac{u^{dr}}{q^{dr}} \\ = & 2 \left(\frac{1}{1-1/q} \right)^2 \frac{1}{1-u} \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{u^m}{q^m} \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ r \geq 2}} q^{m/r} \\ \ll & 2 \left(\frac{1}{1-1/q} \right)^3 \frac{1}{1-u} \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{u^m}{q^{m/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is at most

$$\frac{2}{q(1-1/q)^3(1-1/q^{1/2})} \leq 28$$

for $q \geq 2$.

To finish the proof for $GL(n, q)$, we sketch an argument that σ (the variance of D) is finite, and bounded independently of n and q . It is convenient to define

$$S(u, t, d) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d - 1}.$$

Observe that the expected value of $D(D - 1)$ is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dt} F(u, t)_{t=1} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[F(u, t) \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{N(q; d)}{S(u, t, d)} \frac{d}{dt} S(u, t, d) \right]_{t=1}.$$

We know from Lemma 2.5 that $F(u, 1) = \frac{1}{1-u}$ and that the coefficient of u^n in $\frac{d}{dt} F(u, t)_{t=1}$ is bounded by a constant independent of n, q (this was the computation of the mean of D). Combining this with an analysis of the first and second derivatives of $S(u, t, d)$ at $t = 1$ (using part (2) of Lemma 2.7) proves the result. The essential point (as in the computation of the mean of D) is that the coefficient of t^d in $S(u, t, d)$ vanishes.

For the case of the unitary groups, one sees that the generating function for the random variable D on $U(n, q)$ in the variable t is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^i u^d t^d}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d + 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d + 1} \right)^{\tilde{N}(q; d)} \\ & \cdot \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^{2id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^{2d}}{q^{2d} - 1} - \frac{u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^{2d} - 1} \right)^{\tilde{M}(q; d)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case of the symplectic groups, one sees that the generating function for the random variable D on $Sp(2n, q)$ in the variable t is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^i u^d t^d}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d + 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d + 1} \right)^{N^*(q; 2d)} \cdot \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d - 1} \right)^{M^*(q; d)} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{ut}{q^{2r-1}} \right)^{-f},$$

where $f = \gcd(q - 1, 2)$.

To write the generating functions for the random variable D on the orthogonal groups, we first consider the case of even-dimensional orthogonal groups in even characteristic (note that odd-dimensional orthogonal groups in even characteristic are isomorphic to symplectic groups). The sum of the generating functions for the random variable D on $O^+(2n, q)$ and $O^-(2n, q)$ is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^i u^d t^d}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d + 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d + 1} \right)^{N^*(q; 2d)} \cdot \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d - 1} \right)^{M^*(q; d)} (1 + ut) \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{ut}{q^{2r-1}} \right)^{-1}.$$

The difference of the generating functions for the random variable D on $O^+(2n, q)$ and $O^-(2n, q)$ is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^i u^d t^d}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} - \frac{u^d}{q^d + 1} + \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d + 1} \right)^{N^*(q; 2d)} \cdot \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^d t^d}{q^d - 1} \right)^{M^*(q; d)} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{ut}{q^{2r}} \right)^{-1}.$$

Knowing the sum and difference of the generating functions of the random variable D on $O^+(2n, q)$ and $O^-(2n, q)$ allows one to solve for the generating functions of D on each of $O^+(2n, q)$ and $O^-(2n, q)$.

Next we treat the case of odd characteristic orthogonal groups. The sum of the generating functions for the random variable D on $O^+(n, q)$ and $O^-(n, q)$ is the

coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^i u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^{2d}}{q^d + 1} - \frac{u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^d + 1} \right)^{N^*(q; 2d)} \\ & \cdot \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^{2d}}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^d - 1} \right)^{M^*(q; d)} (1 + ut)^2 \\ & \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{u^2 t^2}{q^{2r-1}} \right)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

The difference of the generating functions for the random variable D on $O^+(n, q)$ and $O^-(n, q)$ is the coefficient of u^n in

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^i u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} - \frac{u^{2d}}{q^d + 1} + \frac{u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^d + 1} \right)^{N^*(q; 2d)} \\ & \cdot \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^{id}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{u^{2d}}{q^d - 1} - \frac{u^{2d} t^{2d}}{q^d - 1} \right)^{M^*(q; d)} \\ & \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{u^2 t^2}{q^{2r}} \right)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of even characteristic, knowing the sum and difference of the generating functions of D on $O^+(n, q)$ and $O^-(n, q)$ allows one to solve for the generating functions of D on each of $O^+(n, q)$ and $O^-(n, q)$.

What makes all of the above generating functions tractable is that they involve many products. To compute the mean of D it is feasible to use the product rule to differentiate it with respect to t and then set $t = 1$. To carry out the program as for GL , one uses Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7, and the expressions for $\tilde{N}(q; d)$, $\tilde{M}(q; d)$, $N^*(q; d)$, and $M^*(q; d)$ in Subsection 2.2. The computation of the variance of D runs along the same lines. \square

9. MAIN RESULTS: PROPORTION OF DERANGEMENTS IN SUBSPACE ACTIONS

This section proves the main results of this paper; these can be subdivided into two types of results. The first set establishes a strengthening of the Boston–Shalev conjecture in the case of subspace actions: we show that for a primitive subspace action of a simple classical group G with $|G|$ sufficiently large, the proportion of elements which are both semisimple regular and derangements is at least $\delta \geq .016$ (and often much better). The second set of results shows that when the dimension and codimension of the subspace grow to infinity, the proportion of derangements goes to 1. Moreover, in both cases we give some results for proportions of derangements in cosets of simple finite classical groups H in groups G with G/H cyclic. By the results of Section 6, it suffices to take q fixed and we do so for the rest of the section.

9.1. **SL.** Recall that we are dealing with asymptotic results: thus the order of the group goes to infinity. This subsection considers the action of $GL(n, q)$ and cosets of $SL(n, q)$ in $GL(n, q)$ on k -dimensional subspaces. Throughout we suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, as the actions of elements on k -spaces and on $(n - k)$ -spaces have the same number of fixed points, and so in particular the proportion of derangements is the same in both cases.

First, we show that for any fixed k ($1 \leq k \leq n/2$), the proportion of elements which are regular semisimple and derangements on k -spaces is uniformly bounded away from 0. Theorem 9.1 reduces to the case that $G = GL(n, q)$.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $gSL(n, q)$ be a coset of $SL(n, q)$ in $GL(n, q)$. For k fixed, q fixed, and $n \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements of $gSL(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on k -spaces is equal to the proportion of elements of $GL(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on k -spaces.*

Proof. We argue as in the proof of Theorem 7.4. The only difference is that instead of summing over “bad” conjugacy classes C , we sum over bad conjugacy classes with the additional property that $w \in C$ fixes a k -set. □

Lemma 9.2 calculates the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of elements of $GL(n, q)$ which are eigenvalue free and regular semisimple. We remark that the proportion of eigenvalue free elements was first calculated by Stong, and later studied in [NP].

Lemma 9.2. *The fixed q , $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the proportion of elements of $GL(n, q)$ which are eigenvalue free and regular semisimple is equal to*

$$\frac{1 - 1/q}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{q-1}\right)^{q-1}}.$$

This is easily seen to be at least 1/4.

Proof. The papers [F], [Wa] use generating functions to show that the fixed q , large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements of $GL(n, q)$ is $1 - 1/q$. A very minor modification of their arguments (removing the degree 1 term in the generating function of regular semisimple probabilities) proves the result. □

Theorem 9.3. *Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ is fixed. For $|SL(n, q)|$ sufficiently large, the proportion of elements in any coset $gSL(n, q)$ of $GL(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on k -spaces is at least 1/16.*

Proof. Recall that we are taking fixed q , as large q was handled in Section 6. By Theorem 9.1, it is sufficient to work with $GL(n, q)$. Theorems 5.1 and 3.2 imply that the proportion of elements of $GL(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a k -space is at most $2/3$. Hence Theorem 7.1 gives that for $q \geq 4$, the large n proportion of elements of $GL(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on k -spaces is at least $3/4 - 2/3 \geq .08$. For $q = 3, k = 1$ the result follows from Lemma 9.2. For $q = 3, k \geq 2$, Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.7 imply that for n sufficiently large the proportion of elements in $GL(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on k -spaces is at least $1/16$ since $1/16 < (2/3) - (3/5) = 1/15$.

Finally we consider the case $q = 2$. When $k = 1$, the result follows from Lemma 9.2. Suppose that $k \geq 2$. Let $H \subset GL(n, 2)$ be a stabilizer of a k -space. The proportion of regular semisimple elements in H is at most the product of the proportions of regular semisimple elements in $GL(k, 2)$ and $GL(n - k, 2)$. The former

proportion is at most $5/6$ by Theorem 7.5 and the latter proportion goes to $1/2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Theorem 7.1. It is easily seen that the proportion of elements of $GL(n, 2)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a k -space is at most the proportion of elements of H which are regular semisimple. (Indeed, the proportion of elements of $GL(n, 2)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a k -space is at most the number of conjugates of H multiplied by the number of regular semisimple elements of H , and then divided by $|GL(n, 2)|$. Since H is maximal in $GL(n, 2)$ and not normal, the number of conjugates of H is equal to $|GL(n, 2)|/|H|$, which proves the claim.) Since the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $GL(n, 2)$ is $1/2$, the theorem follows as $(1/2) - (1/2)(5/6) = 1/12$. \square

Next we treat the case that $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that for $q \rightarrow \infty$, we already have very good estimates on the proportion of derangements (see Theorem 6.4).

Theorem 9.4. *Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ with q fixed. If $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements of $GL(n, q)$ which are derangements on k -spaces $\rightarrow 1$. More precisely, there are universal constants A, B such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and k , the proportion of derangements of $GL(n, q)$ on k -spaces is at least*

$$1 - \epsilon - \frac{A}{\epsilon(k - B/\sqrt{\epsilon})^{.01}}.$$

Proof. Recall from Subsection 2.1 that the conjugacy classes of $GL(n, q)$ are parameterized by associating to each monic irreducible polynomial ϕ over \mathbb{F}_q (disregarding the polynomial $\phi = z$) a partition λ_ϕ such that $\sum \deg(\phi)|\lambda_\phi| = n$. Furthermore the size of a conjugacy class with this data is

$$\frac{|GL(n, q)|}{\prod_\phi c_{\phi, \lambda_\phi}},$$

where c_{ϕ, λ_ϕ} is an explicit function of λ_ϕ and the degree of ϕ .

As in Theorem 8.1, define $D(\alpha)$ to be the sum of the degrees (counted with multiplicity) of the irreducible factors which occur with multiplicity greater than one in the characteristic polynomial of α . Theorem 8.1 implies that if $a = c_1 + \sqrt{\frac{c_2}{\epsilon}}$, then the chance that $D(\alpha) \leq a$ is at least $1 - \epsilon$.

Thus it suffices to show that the proportion of elements α in $GL(n, q)$ with $D(\alpha) = b \leq a$ and which fix a k -space goes to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $c_g(z)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of g . Note that the proportion of elements of $GL(n, q)$ with $D(\alpha) = b$ and which fix a k -space is at most

$$\sum_{t=0}^b \sum_{\phi \in S_1(n-b)} \frac{1}{\prod_{\phi_i} (q^{\deg(\phi_i)} - 1)} \sum_{\psi \in S_2(\phi)} \frac{|g \in GL(b, q) : c_g(z) = \psi|}{|GL(b, q)|}.$$

(Here $S_1(n - b)$ is the set of squarefree monic polynomials of degree $n - b$ with nonzero constant term, with the property that some subset of its factors have degrees adding to $k - t$. The ϕ_i are the irreducible factors of ϕ . The set $S_2(\phi)$ is the set of monic polynomials ψ of degree b with nonzero constant term, with the property that ψ is relatively prime to ϕ and that all irreducible factors occur with multiplicity greater than one.) This formula follows from the fact that any polynomial factors into a squarefree part and a relatively prime part where all factors have multiplicity greater than one.

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=0}^b \sum_{\phi \in S_1(n-b)} \frac{1}{\prod_{\phi_i} (q^{\deg(\phi_i)} - 1)} \sum_{\psi \in S_2(\phi)} \frac{|g \in GL(b, q) : c_g(z) = \psi|}{|GL(b, q)|} \\ & \leq \sum_{t=0}^b \sum_{\phi \in S_1(n-b)} \frac{1}{\prod_{\phi_i} (q^{\deg(\phi_i)} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\sum_{\phi \in S_1(n-b)} \frac{1}{\prod_{\phi_i} (q^{\deg(\phi_i)} - 1)}$$

is precisely the proportion of elements in $GL(n - b, q)$ which are regular semisimple and which fix a $(k - t)$ -space. By Theorem 5.1, this is at most the proportion of elements in S_{n-b} which fix a $(k - t)$ -set. Summing over (t, b) with $0 \leq t \leq b \leq a$, it follows from Theorem 3.5 that the proportion of $\alpha \in GL(n, q)$ with $D(\alpha) \leq a$ and which fix a k -space is at most $\frac{a^2 C}{(k-a)^{0.01}}$ for a universal constant C . This yields the theorem since $a = c_1 + \sqrt{\frac{c_2}{\epsilon}}$. □

Remark. Taking $\epsilon = 1/k^{.005}$ in Theorem 9.4 shows that the probability of fixing a k -space is at most $A/k^{.005}$, for A a universal constant.

9.2. SU. The results in this subsection parallel those in Subsection 9.1. Note that in analyzing the action of the unitary groups on nondegenerate or totally singular k -spaces, one can suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$.

First we show that for fixed q, k , the large n proportion of elements which are regular semisimple and derangements in subspace actions is uniformly bounded away from 0.

Theorem 9.5. *Let $gSU(n, q)$ be a coset of $SU(n, q)$ in $U(n, q)$. For k fixed, q fixed, and $n \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements of $gSU(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate (resp. totally singular) k -spaces is equal to the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate (resp. totally singular) k -spaces.*

Proof. The argument is the same as that of Theorem 9.1, where the notion of bad conjugacy class is defined in the proof of Theorem 7.9. □

We next consider eigenvalue free elements in unitary groups.

Lemma 9.6. (1) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and eigenvalue free is equal to the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements of $U(n, q)$ divided by*

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{q + 1}\right)^{q+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^2 - 1}\right)^{(q^2 - q - 2)/2}.$$

- (2) *For $q = 2$ the proportion of part (1) is at least .174. For $q \geq 3$ the proportion of part (1) is at least .2.*
- (3) *The proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate 1-spaces is at least the proportion of part (1).*
- (4) *The proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular 1-spaces is at least the proportion of part (1).*

Proof. The paper [FNP] uses generating functions to compute the large n proportion of regular semisimple elements of $U(n, q)$. A very minor modification of their argument (removing terms corresponding to degree 1 polynomials) yields part (1). Part (2) follows from part (1) and Theorem 7.6.

Parts (3) and (4) follow since any eigenvalue free element of $U(n, q)$ is a derangement on both nondegenerate and totally singular 1-spaces. \square

It is helpful to treat the cases $q = 2, 3$ separately.

Theorem 9.7. (1) *For $k \geq 2$ fixed, the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of $U(n, 2)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least $1/20$.*

(2) *For $k \geq 2$ fixed, the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of $U(n, 3)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least $1/27$.*

Proof. The stabilizer of a nondegenerate k -space is $U(k, q) \times U(n - k, q)$. Hence by the logic of the $q = 2$ case of Theorem 9.3, the proportion of elements in $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least the difference of the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $U(n, q)$ and the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $U(k, q) \times U(n - k, q)$. Since k is fixed and $n \rightarrow \infty$, by Theorems 7.6 and 7.10, the result follows for $q = 2$ since $.414(1 - .877) > .05$ and for $q = 3$ since $.628(1 - .94) > 1/27$. \square

Theorem 9.8. *Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ is fixed. Then for all but finitely many (n, q) pairs, the proportion of elements in any coset $gSU(n, q)$ in $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least $1/27$.*

Proof. By the results of Section 6, the proportion of elements in the coset $gSU(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple goes to 1 as $q \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in n . Using this with Theorems 5.2 and 3.2, one concludes that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the proportion of elements in the coset $gSU(n, q)$ which are derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least $1/3 - \epsilon$ for q sufficiently large. This is easily at least $1/27$.

For q fixed, Theorem 9.5 shows that it suffices to prove that the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least $1/27$. By Theorem 7.6, for $q \geq 4$ the large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements of $U(n, q)$ is at least $.72$. Together with Theorems 5.2 and 3.2, this implies that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least $.72 - 2/3 > 1/27$. For $q = 2, 3$, the result follows from Theorem 9.7 and Lemma 9.6. \square

Theorem 9.9. *Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ is fixed. Then for all but finitely many (n, q) pairs, the proportion of elements in any coset $gSU(n, q)$ of $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular k -spaces is at least $1/26$.*

Proof. By the results of Section 6, it suffices to take q fixed. For q fixed, Theorem 9.5 shows that it suffices to prove that the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular k -spaces is at least $1/26$. Theorem 7.6 gives that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $U(n, q)$ is at least $.628$ for $q \geq 3$. By Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 4.3, the proportion

of elements in $U(n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a totally singular k -space is at most $1/2$. This proves the theorem for $q > 2$ since $.628 - 1/2 = .128 > 1/26$.

The final case to consider is $q = 2$. From Theorem 7.6, the large n limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $U(n, 2)$ is at least $.414$. By Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 4.3, the chance that an element of $U(n, 2)$ is regular semisimple and fixes a totally singular k -space is at most $3/8 < .414$ for $k \geq 2$. The result follows in this case since $.414 - 3/8 \geq 1/26$. Thus the only remaining case is $k = 1, q = 2$, and this follows from Lemma 9.6. \square

Next we treat the case that $k \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that for $q \rightarrow \infty$, we already have very good estimates on the proportion of derangements (see Theorem 6.4).

Theorem 9.10. *Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$.*

- (1) *For q fixed and $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces $\rightarrow 1$. More precisely, there are universal constants A, B such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and k , the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are derangements is at least*

$$1 - \epsilon - \frac{A}{\epsilon(k - B/\sqrt{\epsilon})^{.01}}.$$

- (2) *For q fixed and $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are derangements on totally singular k -spaces $\rightarrow 1$. More precisely, there are universal constants A, B such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and k , the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ which are derangements is at least*

$$1 - \epsilon - \frac{A}{\epsilon(k - B/\sqrt{\epsilon})^{.5}}.$$

Proof. For part (1) we argue as follows. As in the proof of Theorem 8.1, define $D(\alpha)$ to be the sum of the degrees (counted with multiplicity) of the irreducible factors which occur with multiplicity greater than one in the characteristic polynomial of α . Theorem 8.1 implies that if $a = c_1 + \sqrt{\frac{c_2}{\epsilon}}$, then the chance that $D(\alpha) \leq a$ is at least $1 - \epsilon$. Thus it suffices to show that the proportion of elements α in $U(n, q)$ with $D(\alpha) = b \leq a$ and which fix a nondegenerate k -space goes to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

So we study the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ with $D(\alpha) = b$ and which fix a nondegenerate k -space. For any vector space V , an element g of $U(V)$ has its characteristic polynomial expressible as $f(z) \cdot h(z)$, where f is multiplicity free, h is prime to f , and f is closed under the q -Frobenius. Then V is the direct sum of the kernels of $f(g)$ and $h(g)$. Applying this to any g -invariant subspace, it follows that the proportion of elements of $U(n, q)$ with $D(\alpha) = b$ and which fix a nondegenerate k -space is at most the sum as t goes from 0 to b of the proportion of elements of $U(n - b, q)$ which are regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate $(k - t)$ -space. Arguing as in the general linear case (Theorem 9.4), the result now follows from Theorems 5.2 and 3.5.

The proof of part (2) is nearly identical, except that one uses Theorems 5.2 and 4.3. \square

Remark. Taking $\epsilon = 1/k^{.005}$ in part (1) of Theorem 9.10 shows that the chance of fixing a nondegenerate k -space is at most $A/k^{.005}$ for a universal constant A . Taking $\epsilon = 1/k^{.25}$ in part (2) of Theorem 9.10 shows that the chance of fixing a totally singular k -space is at most $A/k^{.25}$ for a universal constant A .

9.3. Sp. This section considers the symplectic groups. For the action on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces, we suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$. Of course a totally singular space has dimension at most n . In even characteristic one must also consider the action on nondegenerate hyperplanes (viewing $Sp(2n, q)$ as $\Omega(2n + 1, q)$).

To begin we discuss the case of k fixed. First we treat nondegenerate subspaces.

Theorem 9.11. *Let $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ be fixed. The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces is at least .11 for $q = 2$, .05 for $q = 3$, .11 for $q = 4$, .13 for $q = 5$, .1 for $q = 7$, and .08 for $q = 8$.*

Proof. The stabilizer of a nondegenerate $2k$ -space in $Sp(2n, q)$ is $Sp(2k, q) \times Sp(2n - 2k, q)$. By Proposition 4.7 and part (3) of Theorem 5.3, it follows that the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, 2)$ or $Sp(2n, 3)$ is at most $7/12$ and $5/6$ respectively. Hence the reasoning of Theorem 9.3 for $q = 2$, together with Theorem 7.11, implies that for sufficiently large n the proportion of elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces is at least $.283[1 - 7/12] \geq .11$. Similarly one sees that for $q = 3$ the proportion of derangements on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces is at least $.348[1 - 5/6] \geq .05$. Recall that Theorem 7.13 gives that the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ is at most .74 for $q = 4$, .80 for $q = 5$, .86 for $q = 7$, and .88 for $q = 8$. Using the same reasoning as for $q = 2, 3$ one concludes that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of $Sp(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces is at least $.453[1 - .74] \geq .11$ for $q = 4$, at least $.654[1 - .80] \geq .13$ for $q = 5$, at least $.745[1 - .86] \geq .1$ for $q = 7$, and at least $.686[1 - .88] \geq .08$ for $q = 8$. \square

Theorem 9.12. *Suppose that $1 \leq k < n/2$ is fixed. Then for all but finitely many (n, q) pairs, the proportion of elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces is at least $1/20$.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.12, when $q \rightarrow \infty$ the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ goes to 1 uniformly in n . Hence for q sufficiently large it follows from Theorems 5.3 and 3.2 that the proportion of derangements on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces is at least $1/3 - \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. This is easily more than $1/20$.

Suppose that $q \geq 9$ is fixed. By Theorem 7.11, the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ is at least .797. By Theorems 5.3 and 3.2, the proportion of elements which are regular semisimple and fix a nondegenerate $2k$ -space is at most $2/3$. The theorem is proved for $q \geq 9$ since $.797 - 2/3 \geq .13$. The remaining cases follow from Theorem 9.11. \square

Note that if $g \in Sp(2n, q)$ is semisimple and fixes a totally singular k -space W with $k < 2n$, then g also fixes a nondegenerate $2k$ -space (it fixes a complement U to W in W^\perp ; since U is nondegenerate, the x -invariant space is U^\perp). Thus,

Corollary 9.13. *Suppose that $1 \leq k < n/2$ is fixed. Then for all but finitely many (n, q) pairs, the proportion of elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular k -spaces is at least $1/20$.*

To complete the discussion of actions on nondegenerate spaces, recall that in characteristic 2 there is the action of $Sp(2n, q)$ on nondegenerate hyperplanes (in the indecomposable orthogonal representation of dimension $2n + 1$). We recall that

semisimple elements of $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ are strongly regular if they do not have 1 as an eigenvalue (or equivalently (since q is even) are regular semisimple in $Sp(2n, q)$).

Lemma 9.14. *Let $G = Sp(2n, q)$ with q even and fixed. Let R^ϵ denote the set of regular semisimple elements of G contained in some conjugate of $\Omega^\epsilon(2n, q)$.*

- (1) $R^+ \cap R^-$ is the empty set.
- (2) $R^+ \cup R^-$ is the set of regular semisimple elements in G .
- (3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|R^+| - |R^-|}{|G|} = 0$.

Proof. Note that a regular semisimple element $x \in G$ fixes precisely one nondegenerate space in the orthogonal module V (namely $[x, V] = (x - 1)V$). Thus, the first two statements follow immediately.

Since each semisimple regular element of G lives in precisely one orthogonal group, it follows that the ratio $\frac{|R^\epsilon|}{|G|}$ is precisely $(1/2)$ the ratio of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\epsilon(2n, q)$.

If x is a regular semisimple element in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ and has eigenvalue 1, then x is trivial on a nondegenerate 2-space and strongly semisimple regular on the orthogonal complement of that 2-space. Using the fact that the limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ does not depend on the type (Corollary 7.17) and counting in terms of nondegenerate subspaces of codimension 2, (3) follows easily. □

Theorem 9.15. *Let q be even.*

- (1) *For $|Sp(2n, q)|$ sufficiently large, the proportion of elements which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate positive type hyperplanes is at least .14.*
- (2) *For $|Sp(2n, q)|$ sufficiently large, the proportion of elements which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate negative type hyperplanes is at least .14.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.12, for q sufficiently large the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ goes to 1 uniformly in n . Then the theorem follows by Theorem 5.4 (and the proportion of regular semisimple elements which are derangements approaches $1/2$).

By the previous result, we see that the limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements of $Sp(2n, q)$ which fix a nondegenerate hyperplane of given type is precisely $(1/2)$ the proportion of regular semisimple elements.

By Theorem 7.11, the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements of $Sp(2n, q)$ is at least .283, whence the result. □

Next we note that in the case of totally singular 1-spaces, using results of Neumann and Praeger, we get a better bound than Corollary 9.13.

Lemma 9.16 ([NP]). (1) *Suppose that q is even. Then the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ which fix no totally singular one-dimensional subspaces is*

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{2i-1}}\right) \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^i}\right)^{(q-2)/2} \geq .4.$$

(2) Suppose that q is odd. Then the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements in $Sp(2n, q)$ which fix no totally singular one-dimensional subspaces is

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{2i-1}}\right)^2 \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^i}\right)^{(q-3)/2} \geq .4.$$

Next we consider the case $k \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that for $q \rightarrow \infty$, we already have very good estimates on the proportion of derangements (see Theorem 6.4).

Theorem 9.17. (1) Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$. For q fixed and $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements of $Sp(2n, q)$ which are derangements on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces converges to 1. More precisely, there are universal constants A, B such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and k , the proportion of derangements is at least

$$1 - \epsilon - \frac{A}{\epsilon(k - B/\sqrt{\epsilon})^{.01}}.$$

(2) Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n$. For q fixed and $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements of $Sp(2n, q)$ which are derangements on totally singular k -spaces converges to 1. More precisely, there are universal constants A, B such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and k , the proportion of derangements is at least

$$1 - \epsilon - \frac{A}{\epsilon(k - B/\sqrt{\epsilon})^{.5}}.$$

Proof. Given an element g of $Sp(2n, q)$, one can split it into a regular semisimple part and a nonregular semisimple part (i.e. a part with a square-free characteristic polynomial $f(z)$ and a relatively prime polynomial $h(z)$ where all factors have multiplicity greater than 1). If g fixes a nondegenerate k -space, then for some t , the regular semisimple part fixes a nondegenerate $(k - t)$ -space, and the nonregular semisimple part fixes a nondegenerate t -space. This is true since if W is any nondegenerate invariant space for g , then W is the sum of $W \cap \ker(f(g))$ and $W \cap \ker(h(g))$. Then arguing as in the general linear and unitary cases (Theorems 9.4 and 9.10), using Theorems 5.3 and 3.5, one proves part (1).

For part (2), one can replace nondegenerate by totally singular in the previous paragraph, and then use Theorems 5.3 and 4.4. □

Remark. Taking $\epsilon = 1/k^{.005}$ in part (1) of Theorem 9.17 shows that the chance of fixing a nondegenerate k -space is at most $A/k^{.005}$ for a universal constant A . Taking $\epsilon = 1/k^{.25}$ in part (2) of Theorem 9.17 shows that the chance of fixing a totally singular k -space is at most $A/k^{.25}$ for a universal constant A .

9.4. O. This section studies the proportion of derangements in subspace actions of Ω . Note that when q is even, the case $\Omega(2n + 1, q)$ can be disregarded given that it is isomorphic with $Sp(2n, q)$.

First we treat the case of fixed k and even q , starting with $k = 1$.

Lemma 9.18. Let q be even. Then the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of eigenvalue free elements of $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{2i-1}}\right) \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^i}\right)^{(q-2)/2} \geq .4.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that all eigenvalue free elements of $O^\pm(2n, q)$ are in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$. The $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of eigenvalue free elements in $O^\pm(2n, q)$ was calculated in [NP] (using generating functions) to be

$$\frac{1}{2} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{2i-1}}\right) \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^i}\right)^{(q-2)/2}.$$

The inequality is from Lemma 9.16. □

Lemma 9.18 immediately handles the case of the action of $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ on 1-spaces where the quadratic form doesn't vanish, in even characteristic.

Corollary 9.19. *Let q be even. Then the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of elements of $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ which are derangements on the set of lines where the quadratic form does not vanish is at least .4.*

Next we treat more general nondegenerate spaces. Note that for q even, any odd-dimensional subspace has a radical (with respect to the corresponding alternating form) and so the only time the stabilizer of an odd-dimensional space is maximal is when it has dimension 1. We next consider strongly regular semisimple elements. When q is even, strongly regular semisimple elements are precisely regular semisimple elements that do not have 1 as eigenvalue. In particular, any strongly regular semisimple element is a derangement on nondegenerate 1-dimensional spaces.

Lemma 9.20. *Let q be even. Let $G := \Omega^\pm(2n, q)$. For all but finitely many n and q , the proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in G is greater than .28.*

Proof. If $q \rightarrow \infty$, we have seen that the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $Sp(2n, q) \rightarrow 1$, whence by Lemma 9.14 the same is true for G .

Now fix q . By the proof of Lemma 9.14, the limiting proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in G is the same as the limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements for $Sp(2n, q)$. By Theorem 7.11, this limit is greater than .28. □

Since strongly regular semisimple elements are precisely those regular semisimple elements which are derangements on nondegenerate 1-spaces, we see:

Corollary 9.21. *Let q be even. For all but finitely many (n, q) , the proportion of elements which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate 1-spaces in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is greater than .28.*

It remains to deal with even-dimensional nondegenerate spaces.

Theorem 9.22. *Suppose that $1 \leq k < n$ is fixed. Let q be even. For all but finitely many pairs (n, q) , the proportion of elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate $2k$ -spaces of positive (resp. negative) type is at least .056.*

Proof. We prove the result for the case of positive type spaces since the negative case can be handled by replacing the word positive by the word negative in all places.

If $q \rightarrow \infty$, by Theorem 6.1, the proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ goes to 1 for q sufficiently large uniformly in n . The result then follows from Theorems 5.6 and 4.6 since $1 - 1/2 > .056$.

Suppose that q is fixed. For $q \geq 4$, by Corollary 7.17 the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is $(1 + \frac{q}{q^2-1})$ multiplied by the corresponding limit for the symplectic groups (given by Theorem 7.11) and hence is at least .573. The result follows from Theorems 5.6 and 4.6 since $.573 - 1/2 \geq .056$. For $q = 2$, Corollary 7.17 and Theorem 7.11 imply that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, 2)$ is at least .47. The result follows from Theorems 5.6 and 4.9 since $.47 - .414 \geq .056$. \square

Next we analyze the case of totally singular k -spaces when q is even.

Theorem 9.23. *Suppose that $1 \leq k < n$ is fixed. Let q be even. For all but finitely many pairs (n, q) , the proportion of elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular k -spaces is at least .056.*

Proof. Note that if x is regular semisimple and fixes a totally singular k -dimensional space, then x fixes a nondegenerate $2k$ -space of $+$ type, whence the result follows by Theorem 9.22. \square

Next we consider the case of q odd. We begin with the case of 1-spaces; some related results are in [NP].

Theorem 9.24. *Let q be odd and fixed.*

- (1) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple eigenvalue free elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is equal to the corresponding limiting proportion for $SO^\pm(2n, q)$. This proportion is equal to $(1 + \frac{1}{q-1})^{-(q-3)/2}$ multiplied by the limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in the symplectic groups (given in Theorem 7.11). For $q \geq 3$ this product is at least .348.*
- (2) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of elements in $\Omega(2n + 1, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on positive (resp. negative) type 1-spaces is equal to the limiting proportion for $SO(2n + 1, q)$. For $q \geq 3$ this proportion is at least $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{q-1})^{-(q-3)/2}$ multiplied by the limiting proportion of regular semisimple elements in the symplectic groups (given in Theorem 7.11); hence this proportion is at least .174.*

Proof. For part (1) of the theorem, the argument of Theorem 7.24 implies that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of eigenvalue free regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is equal to the corresponding proportion in $SO^\pm(2n, q)$. Indeed, to bound the difference between the proportions, instead of summing over all bad Weyl group conjugacy classes, one sums only over bad Weyl group conjugacy classes without fixed points. Letting t_n^\pm denote the number of regular semisimple eigenvalue free elements of $SO^\pm(2n, q)$, using the methods of [FNP] one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u^n \left(\frac{t_n^+}{|O^+(2n, q)|} + \frac{t_n^-}{|O^-(2n, q)|} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{u^d}{q^d + 1} \right)^{N^*(q; 2d)} \prod_{d \geq 2} \left(1 + \frac{u^d}{q^d - 1} \right)^{M^*(q; d)},
 \end{aligned}$$

which one recognizes as $(1 + \frac{u}{q-1})^{-(q-3)/2}$ multiplied by the generating function for regular semisimple elements in the symplectic groups. From this and an analysis of the difference of the the generating functions for t_n^+ and t_n^- (showing its contribution to be negligible), part (1) of the theorem follows.

For part (2) of the theorem (as in part (1)), the equality of the large n limiting proportions follows by the technique of Theorem 7.24. Next, observe that a regular semisimple element α in $SO(2n+1, q)$ is a derangement on positive (resp. negative) 1-spaces if it is eigenvalue free except for the $z - 1$ factor, which has negative (resp. positive) type and occurs with multiplicity one. The result now follows from a generating function argument similar to that in the previous paragraph. \square

Next we consider the proportion of derangements in the action of $\Omega^\pm(n, q)$ on nondegenerate and totally singular subspaces. Theorem 9.25 shows that if one restricts to regular semisimple elements, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it is sufficient to work in $SO^\pm(n, q)$.

Theorem 9.25. *Let q be odd and fixed.*

- (1) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple derangements in $\Omega^\pm(n, q)$ on nondegenerate k -spaces of positive (resp. negative) type is equal to the corresponding limit for $SO^\pm(n, q)$.*
- (2) *The $n \rightarrow \infty$ limiting proportion of regular semisimple derangements in $\Omega^\pm(n, q)$ on totally singular k -spaces is equal to the corresponding limit for $SO^\pm(n, q)$.*

Proof. Both parts follow by the technique of Theorem 7.24, since instead of summing over all bad conjugacy classes in the Weyl group, one only sums over those bad conjugacy classes which could correspond (this correspondence was discussed in Section 5) to regular semisimple derangements. \square

Theorem 9.26. *Let q be odd and let $1 \leq k \leq n$ be fixed. For all but finitely many (n, q) pairs, the proportion of elements in $\Omega(2n+1, q)$ which are semisimple regular and are derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces of positive (resp. negative) type is at least .07.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, the proportion of elements in $\Omega(2n+1, q)$ which are regular semisimple goes to 1 as $q \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in n . Hence for q sufficiently large, it follows from Theorems 5.5 and 3.2 that the proportion of derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least $1/3$ which is bigger than .07.

For $q \geq 5$, Theorems 7.19 and 7.24 give that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\Omega(2n+1, q)$ is at least .654. From Theorem 5.5 and part (3) of Theorem 4.6, the proportion of elements which are strongly regular semisimple elements in $\Omega(2n+1, q)$ and fix a nondegenerate k -space is at most $1/2$. The result follows for $q \geq 5$ since $.654 - 1/2 \geq .07$. If $q = 3$, Theorems 7.19 and 7.24 give that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\Omega(2n+1, q)$ is at least .348. The result now follows from Theorems 5.5 and 4.10 since $.348 - .276 \geq .07$. \square

Theorem 9.27. *Let q be odd and let $1 \leq k \leq n$ be fixed. For all but finitely many (n, q) pairs, the proportion of elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces of positive (resp. negative) type is at least .07.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, the proportion of elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple goes to 1 as $q \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in n . Hence for q sufficiently large, it follows from Theorems 5.6, 5.7 and 3.2 that the proportion of derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces is at least $1/3$ which is bigger than .07.

Thus we can suppose that q is fixed. First assume that k is even. By Theorems 7.21 and 7.24, for $q \geq 5$, the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is at least .654. Thus Theorems 5.6, 5.7 and 4.6 imply the result since $.654 - 1/2 \geq .07$. If $q = 3$, Theorems 7.21 and 7.24 give that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is at least .348. The result follows from Theorems 5.6, 5.7 and 4.10 since $.348 - .276 \geq .07$.

Next suppose that k is odd. Then any semisimple element fixing a nondegenerate k -dimensional space has a two-dimensional eigenspace corresponding to an eigenvalue ± 1 . Now apply Theorem 9.24. □

Theorem 9.28. *Let q be odd and let $1 \leq k < n$ be fixed. For all but finitely many (n, q) pairs, the proportion of elements in $\Omega(2n + 1, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular k -spaces is at least .07.*

Proof. Note that if a semisimple element fixes a totally singular k -space, then it fixes a nondegenerate $2k$ -space (of $+$ type). Now apply Theorem 9.26, noting that its proof actually gave a lower bound for the proportion of regular semisimple derangements. □

Theorem 9.29. *Let q be odd and let $1 \leq k \leq n$ be fixed. For all but finitely many (n, q) pairs, the proportion of elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular k -spaces is at least .15.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, the proportion of elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ which are regular semisimple goes to 1 as $q \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in n . Hence for q sufficiently large and $k < n$, it follows from Theorems 5.6, 5.7 and 4.5 that the proportion of elements which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular k -spaces is at least $1/2$ which is bigger than .15. For q sufficiently large and $1 < k = n$, it follows from Theorems 5.6, 5.7 and part (2) of Theorem 4.5 that the proportion of elements which are regular semisimple and derangements on totally singular n -spaces is at least $1 - 2(3/8) > .15$. For $k = 1$, the result follows by Theorem 9.24.

Thus we can suppose that q is fixed. Theorems 7.20 and 7.24 give that for $q \geq 3$, the $n \rightarrow \infty$ proportion of regular semisimple elements in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ is at least .657. From Theorems 5.6, 5.7 and 4.5, the proportion of elements which are regular semisimple in $\Omega^\pm(2n, q)$ and fix a totally singular k -space is at most $1/2$. The result follows since $.657 - 1/2 > .15$. □

To conclude, we treat the case $k \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that for $q \rightarrow \infty$, we already have very good estimates on the proportion of derangements (see Theorem 6.4).

Theorem 9.30. *Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n/2$.*

- (1) *For q fixed and $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements in $\Omega^\pm(n, q)$ which are derangements on nondegenerate k -spaces converges to 1. More precisely, there are universal constants A, B such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and k , the proportion of derangements is at least*

$$1 - \epsilon - \frac{A}{\epsilon(k - B/\sqrt{\epsilon})^{.01}}.$$

- (2) *For q fixed and $k \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of elements in $\Omega^\pm(n, q)$ which are derangements on totally singular k -spaces converges to 1. More precisely,*

there are universal constants A, B such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and k , the proportion of derangements is at least

$$1 - \epsilon - \frac{A}{\epsilon(k - B/\sqrt{\epsilon})^{.5}}.$$

Proof. For q even, the proof is nearly identical to the symplectic case (Theorem 9.17), and we omit further details. For q odd, we work in SO instead of in Ω , so that generating functions can be used. Clearly the result for SO implies the results for Ω (with different universal constants). \square

Remark. Taking $\epsilon = 1/k^{.005}$ in part (1) of Theorem 9.30 shows that the chance of fixing a nondegenerate k -space is at most $A/k^{.005}$ for a universal constant A . Taking $\epsilon = 1/k^{.25}$ in part (2) of Theorem 9.30 shows that the chance of fixing a totally singular k -space is at most $A/k^{.25}$ for a universal constant A .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the referee for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [A1] George E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 2, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976. MR0557013 (58 #27738)
- [AT] Richard Arratia and Simon Tavaré, *The cycle structure of random permutations*, Ann. Probab. **20** (1992), no. 3, 1567–1591. MR1175278 (93g:60013)
- [BDF] Nigel Boston, Walter Dabrowski, Tuval Foguel, and et al., *The proportion of fixed-point-free elements of a transitive permutation group*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), no. 9, 3259–3275, DOI 10.1080/00927879308824728. MR1228762 (94e:20002)
- [B1] John R. Britnell, *Cyclic, separable and semisimple matrices in the special linear groups over a finite field*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **66** (2002), no. 3, 605–622, DOI 10.1112/S0024610702003678. MR1934295 (2003k:11039)
- [B2] John R. Britnell, *Cyclic, separable and semisimple transformations in the special unitary groups over a finite field*, J. Group Theory **9** (2006), no. 4, 547–569, DOI 10.1515/JGT.2006.037. MR2243246 (2007e:20101)
- [B3] John R. Britnell, *Cycle index methods for finite groups of orthogonal type in odd characteristic*, J. Group Theory **9** (2006), no. 6, 753–773, DOI 10.1515/JGT.2006.048. MR2272715 (2007i:20075)
- [B4] John R. Britnell, *Cyclic, separable and semisimple transformations in the finite conformal groups*, J. Group Theory **9** (2006), no. 5, 571–601, DOI 10.1515/JGT.2006.038. MR2253954 (2007h:20047)
- [DFG] Persi Diaconis, Jason Fulman, and Robert Guralnick, *On fixed points of permutations*, J. Algebraic Combin. **28** (2008), no. 1, 189–218, DOI 10.1007/s10801-008-0135-2. MR2420785 (2009g:20002)
- [DPi] Persi Diaconis and J. Pitman, *Permutations, record values, and random measures*, Unpublished lecture notes, Statistics Dept., University of California, Berkeley, 1986.
- [D] John D. Dixon, *Random sets which invariably generate the symmetric group*, Discrete Math. **105** (1992), no. 1-3, 25–39, DOI 10.1016/0012-365X(92)90129-4. MR1180190 (93e:20004)
- [Fe] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Volume 1, 2nd ed., Wiley and Sons, 1957.
- [FlJ] Peter Fleischmann and Ingo Janiszczak, *The number of regular semisimple elements for Chevalley groups of classical type*, J. Algebra **155** (1993), no. 2, 482–528, DOI 10.1006/jabr.1993.1055. MR1212240 (94f:20090)
- [F] Jason Fulman, *Cycle indices for the finite classical groups*, J. Group Theory **2** (1999), no. 3, 251–289, DOI 10.1515/jgth.1999.017. MR1696313 (2001d:20045)

- [FG1] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *Derangements in simple and primitive groups*, Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 2001), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, pp. 99–121, DOI 10.1142/9789812564481_0006. MR1994962 (2004e:20003)
- [FG2] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *Derangements in finite classical groups for actions related to extension field and imprimitive subgroups*, preprint.
- [FG3] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *Conjugacy class properties of the extension of $GL(n, q)$ generated by the inverse transpose involution*, J. Algebra **275** (2004), no. 1, 356–396, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2003.07.004. MR2047453 (2005f:20085)
- [FG4] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *The probability of generating an irreducible subgroup*, preprint.
- [FG5] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *Bounds on the number and sizes of conjugacy classes in finite Chevalley groups with applications to derangements*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 6, 3023–3070, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2012-05427-4. MR2888238
- [FNP] Jason Fulman, Peter M. Neumann, and Cheryl E. Praeger, *A generating function approach to the enumeration of matrices in classical groups over finite fields*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (2005), no. 830, vi+90 pp., DOI 10.1090/memo/0830. MR2145026 (2006b:05125)
- [GuLub] Robert M. Guralnick and Frank Lübeck, *On p -singular elements in Chevalley groups in characteristic p* , Groups and computation, III (Columbus, OH, 1999), Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 8, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001, pp. 169–182. MR1829478 (2002d:20074)
- [GW] Robert Guralnick and Daqing Wan, *Bounds for fixed point free elements in a transitive group and applications to curves over finite fields*, Israel J. Math. **101** (1997), 255–287, DOI 10.1007/BF02760932. MR1484879 (98j:12002)
- [H] I. N. Herstein, *Topics in algebra*, 2nd ed., Xerox College Publishing, Lexington, Mass.-Toronto, Ont., 1975. MR0356988 (50 #9456)
- [JK] Gordon James and Adalbert Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 16, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1981. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn; With an introduction by Gilbert de B. Robinson. MR644144 (83k:20003)
- [KLS] W. M. Kantor, A. Lubotzky, and A. Shalev, *Invariable generation and the Chebotarev invariant of a finite group*, J. Algebra **348** (2011), 302–314, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.09.022. MR2852243
- [K] Joseph P. S. Kung, *The cycle structure of a linear transformation over a finite field*, Linear Algebra Appl. **36** (1981), 141–155, DOI 10.1016/0024-3795(81)90227-5. MR604337 (82d:15012)
- [LiN] Rudolf Lidl and Harald Niederreiter, *Introduction to finite fields and their applications*, revision of the 1986 first edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR1294139 (95f:11098)
- [LucPy] Tomasz Łuczak and László Pyber, *On random generation of the symmetric group*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **2** (1993), no. 4, 505–512, DOI 10.1017/S0963548300000869. MR1264722 (95b:20004)
- [M] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky; Oxford Science Publications. MR1354144 (96h:05207)
- [NP] Peter M. Neumann and Cheryl E. Praeger, *Derangements and eigenvalue-free elements in finite classical groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **58** (1998), no. 3, 564–586, DOI 10.1112/S0024610798006772. MR1678151 (2000a:20153)
- [NP2] Peter M. Neumann and Cheryl E. Praeger, *Cyclic matrices over finite fields*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **52** (1995), no. 2, 263–284, DOI 10.1112/jlms/52.2.263. MR1356142 (96j:15017)
- [O] A. M. Odlyzko, *Asymptotic enumeration methods*, Handbook of combinatorics, Vol. 1, 2, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 1063–1229. MR1373678 (97b:05012)
- [Se] Jean-Pierre Serre, *On a theorem of Jordan*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **40** (2003), no. 4, 429–440 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0273-0979-03-00992-3. MR1997347 (2004f:11040)
- [Sh] Aner Shalev, *A theorem on random matrices and some applications*, J. Algebra **199** (1998), no. 1, 124–141, DOI 10.1006/jabr.1997.7167. MR1489358 (99a:20048)

- [ShLl] L. A. Shepp and S. P. Lloyd, *Ordered cycle lengths in a random permutation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 340–357. MR0195117 (33 #3320)
- [SS] T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes*, Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131, Springer, Berlin, 1970, pp. 167–266. MR0268192 (42 #3091)
- [St] Richard Stong, *Some asymptotic results on finite vector spaces*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **9** (1988), no. 2, 167–199, DOI 10.1016/0196-8858(88)90012-7. MR937520 (89c:05007)
- [Wa] G. E. Wall, *Counting cyclic and separable matrices over a finite field*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **60** (1999), no. 2, 253–284, DOI 10.1017/S000497270003639X. MR1711918 (2000k:11137)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90089-2532

E-mail address: fulman@usc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90089-2532

E-mail address: guralnic@math.usc.edu