GEODESIC RAYS AND KÄHLER–RICCI TRAJECTORIES
ON FANO MANIFOLDS
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Abstract. Suppose $(X, J, \omega)$ is a Fano manifold and $t \to r_t$ is a diverging Kähler-Ricci trajectory. We construct a bounded geodesic ray $t \to u_t$ weakly asymptotic to $t \to r_t$, along which Ding’s $F$–functional decreases, partially confirming a folklore conjecture. In the absence of non-trivial holomorphic vector fields this proves the equivalence between geodesic stability of the $F$–functional and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics. We also explore applications of our construction to Tian’s $\alpha$–invariant.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $(X, J, \omega)$ be a compact connected Fano Kähler manifold normalized by $\left[ \omega \right]_{dR} = c_1(-K_X)$. If $\omega'$ is another Kähler metric on $X$ satisfying $\left[ \omega' \right]_{dR} = \left[ \omega \right]_{dR}$, by the $\partial \bar{\partial}$–lemma of Hodge theory there exists a potential $\varphi \in C^\infty(X)$ such that

$$\omega' = \omega + i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi,$$

and up to a constant $\omega'$ uniquely determines $\varphi$. Hence, one can study Kähler metrics in the cohomology class of $\omega$ by studying certain smooth functions. This motivates the introduction of the space of smooth Kähler potentials:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{u \in C^\infty(X) | \omega_u := \omega + i\partial \bar{\partial} u > 0\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{H}$ is a Fréchet manifold as an open subset of $C^\infty(X)$, so for $v \in \mathcal{H}$ one can identify $T_v \mathcal{H}$ with $C^\infty(X)$. Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, we introduce $L^p$ type Finsler-metrics on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\|\xi\|_{p,v} = \left( \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X)} \int_X |\xi|^p \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \xi \in T_v \mathcal{H},$$

where $\text{Vol}(X) = \int_X \omega^n$ is an invariant of the class $\mathcal{H}$. When $p = 2$ we obtain the much studied Mabuchi Riemannian structure initially investigated in [Ma, Se, Do] in connection with special Kähler metrics. As pointed out in [Da3], in the case $p = 1$ one recovers the strong topology/geometry of $\mathcal{H}$, as introduced in [BBEGZ], which proved to be extremely useful in the study of weak solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations. In considering the general case $p \geq 1$, we hope to unify the treatment of these two motivating examples.

Even more general Orlicz-Finsler structures were studied in [Da4], and we recall some of the notation and results of this paper before we state our main theorems. A curve $[0,1] \ni t \to \alpha_t \in \mathcal{H}$ is smooth if the function $\alpha(t, x) = \alpha_t(x) \in C^\infty([0,1] \times X)$. 
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As usual, the length of a smooth curve \( t \to \alpha_t \) is computed by the formula
\[
(2) \quad l_p(\alpha) = \int_0^1 \| \dot{\alpha}_t \|_{p, \alpha_t} dt.
\]

The path length distance \( d_p(u_0, u_1) \) between \( u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{H} \) is the infimum of the length of smooth curves joining \( u_0, u_1 \). In [Da4] it is proved that \( d_p(u_0, u_1) = 0 \) if and only if \( u_0 = u_1 \); thus \( (\mathcal{H}, d_p) \) is a metric space, which is a generalization of a result of X.X. Chen in the case \( \omega \) is called the weak geodesic joining \( u_0, u_1 \). In fact,
\[
(4) \quad u(t + ir, x) = u(t, x) \ \forall x \in X, t \in (0, 1), r \in \mathbb{R},
\]
\[
u(0, x) = u_0(x), u(1, x) = u_1(x), \ x \in X.
\]

Unfortunately, the above problem does not have smooth solutions (see [LV, Da1]), but a unique solution in the sense of Bedford-Taylor does exist such that \( i\partial \bar{\partial} u \) has bounded coefficients (see [C] with complements in [Bl1]). The most general result about regularity was proved in [BD, Brm2] (see [H1] for a different approach), but regularity higher than \( C^{1, 1} \) is not possible by examples provided in [DL]. The resulting curve
\[
[0, 1] \ni t \to u_t \in \mathcal{H}_\Delta = \{ \Delta u \in L^\infty, \omega + i\partial \bar{\partial} u \geq 0 \}
\]
is called the weak geodesic joining \( u_0, u_1 \). As we just explained, this curve leaves the space \( \mathcal{H} \); hence it cannot be a Riemannian geodesic. But as argued in [Da4], it interacts well with all the path length metrics \( d_p \), i.e.
\[
(5) \quad d_p(u_0, u_1) = \| \dot{u}_t \|_{p, u_t}, \ t \in [0, 1], p \geq 1.
\]

In fact, \( t \to u_t \) is an actual \( d_p \)-metric geodesic joining \( u_0, u_1 \) in the metric completion \( (\mathcal{H}, d_p) = (\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega), d_p) \) as we recall now.

The set of \( \omega \)-plurisubharmonic functions is the following class:
\[
\text{PSH}(X, \omega) = \{ u \in L^1(X), u \text{ is u.s.c. and } \omega + i\partial \bar{\partial} u \geq 0 \}.
\]

If \( u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \), as explained in [GZ1], one can define the non-pluripolar measure \( \omega^n u \) that coincides with the usual Bedford-Taylor volume when \( u \) is bounded. We say that \( \omega^n_u \) has full volume if \( u \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega) \) if \( \int_X \omega^n_u = \int_X \omega^n \). Given \( v \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega) \), we say that \( v \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega) \) if
\[
\int_X |v|^p \omega^n < \infty.
\]

The following trivial inclusion will be essential to us later:
\[
(6) \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X) \subset \bigcap_{p \geq 1} \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega).
\]
For a quick review of finite energy classes $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$ we refer to [Da3, Section 2.3]. Next we recall the induced geodesic metric space structure on $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$. Suppose $u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$. Let $\{u^k_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u^k_1\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be sequences decreasing pointwise to $u_0$ and $u_1$ respectively. By [BK, De] it is always possible to find such approximating sequences. We define the metric $d_p(u_0, u_1)$ as follows:

$$d_p(u_0, u_1) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d_p(u^k_0, u^k_1).$$

As justified in [Da4, Theorem 2] the above limit exists and defines a metric on $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$.

Let us also define geodesics in this space. Recall that by a $\rho$-geodesic in a metric space $(M, \rho)$ we understand a curve $[a, b] \ni t \to g_t \in M$ for which there exists $C > 0$ satisfying

$$\rho(g_t, g_{t'}) = C|t_1 - t_2|, \ t_1, t_2 \in [a, b].$$

The constant $C$ is just the speed of the geodesic $t \to g_t$. Let $u^k_t : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}_\Delta$ be the weak geodesic joining $u^k_0, u^k_1$. We define $t \to u_t$ as the decreasing limit:

$$u_t = \lim_{k \to +\infty} u^k_t, \ t \in (0, 1).$$

The curve $t \to u_t$ is well defined and $u_t \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega), \ t \in (0, 1)$, as follows from the results of [Da3]. By [Da4, Theorem 2] this curve is a $d_p$-geodesic joining $u_0, u_1$, and we have

$$(\mathcal{H}, d_p) = (\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega), d_p), \ p \geq 1.$$

Functionals play an important role in the investigation of special Kähler metrics. Recall that the Aubin-Yau (also Aubin-Mabuchi) functional and Ding’s $\mathcal{F}$–functional are defined as follows:

$$AM(v) = \frac{1}{(n + 1)\text{Vol}(X)} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_X v\omega^j \wedge (\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}v)^{n-j},$$

$$\mathcal{F}(v) = -AM(v) - \log \int_X e^{-v+f_\omega} \omega^n,$$

where $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f_\omega \in C^\infty(X)$ is the Ricci potential of $\omega$, i.e. $\text{Ric} \omega = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}f_\omega$ normalized by $\int_X e^{f_\omega} \omega^n = \text{Vol}(X)$. It was argued in [Da4] that both of these functionals are continuous with respect to all metrics $d_p$, hence extend to $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$ continuously. Also, $AM$ is linear along the geodesics defined in (8), whereas $\mathcal{F}$ is convex. As the map $u \to \omega_u$ is translation invariant, one may want to normalize Kähler potentials to obtain an equivalence between metrics and potentials. This can be done by only considering potentials from the “totally geodesic” hypersurfaces

$${\mathcal{H}}_{AM} = \mathcal{H} \cap \{AM(\cdot) = 0\},$$

$${\mathcal{H}}_{0, AM} = L^\infty(X) \cap \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap \{AM(\cdot) = 0\},$$

$${\mathcal{E}}_p^\mathcal{F}_{AM}(X, \omega) = \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega) \cap \{AM(\cdot) = 0\}.$$

A smooth metric $\omega_{u_{KE}}$ is Kähler-Einstein if $\omega_{u_{KE}} = \text{Ric} \omega_{u_{KE}}$. One can study such metrics by looking at the long time asymptotics of Hamilton’s Kähler-Ricci flow:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{d\omega_t}{dt} = -\text{Ric} \omega_t + \omega_{t}, \\
r_0 = v.
\end{cases}$$
As proved in [Cao], for any \( v \in H_{AM} \), this problem has a smooth solution, 
\[
[0, 1) \ni t \rightarrow r_t \in H_{AM}.
\]

It follows from a theorem of Perelman and work of Chen-Tian, Tian-Zhu and Phong-Song-Sturm-Weinkove that whenever a Kähler-Einstein metric cohomologous to \( \omega \) exists, then \( \omega_{r_t} \) converges exponentially fast to one such metric (see [CT1], [TZ], [PSSW]).

We remark that our choice of normalization is different from the alternatives used in the literature (see [BEG, Chapter 6]). We choose to work with the normalization \( AM(\cdot) = 0 \), as this seems to be the most natural one from the point of view of Mabuchi geometry. Indeed, the Aubin-Yau functional is continuous with respect to all metrics \( d_p \) and is linear along the geodesic segments defined in [8]. It will require some careful analysis, but as we shall see, from the point of view of long time asymptotics, this normalization is equivalent to other alternatives.

Suppose \((M, \rho)\) is a geodesic metric space and \([0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow c_t \in M\) is a continuous curve. We say that the unit speed \( \rho \)-geodesic ray \([0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow g_t \in M\) is weakly asymptotic to the curve \( t \rightarrow c_t \) if there exists \( t_j \rightarrow \infty \) and unit speed \( \rho \)-geodesic segments \([0, \rho(c_0, c_{t_j})] \ni t \rightarrow g^j_t \in M\) connecting \( c_0 \) and \( c_{t_j} \) such that
\[
\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho(g^j_t, g_t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, \infty).
\]

We clearly need \( \lim_j \rho(c_0, c_{t_j}) = \infty \) in this last definition. Hence to construct \( d_p \)-geodesic rays weakly asymptotic to diverging Kähler-Ricci trajectories, we first need to prove the following result, which improves on the main result of [Mc] and partly generalizes [Da4, Theorem 6]. For a similar result about the Calabi metric we refer to [CR].

**Theorem 1 (Theorem 3.1).** Suppose \((X, J, \omega)\) is a Fano manifold and \( p \geq 1 \). There exists a Kähler-Einstein metric in \( H \) if and only if every Kähler-Ricci flow trajectory \([0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow r_t \in H_{AM}\) is \( d_p \)-bounded. More precisely, the \( C^0 \) bound along the flow is equivalent to the \( d_p \) bound:
\[
\frac{1}{C} d_p(r_0, r_t) - C \leq \sup_X |r_t| \leq C d_p(r_0, r_t) + C,
\]
for some \( C(p, r) > 1 \).

Using this theorem, the recently established convexity of the K-energy functional from [BB] (for a different approach see [CLP]), the compactness theorem of [BBEGZ], and the divergence analysis of Kähler-Ricci trajectories from [R1], we establish our main result:

**Theorem 2 (Theorem 3.3).** Suppose \((X, J, \omega)\) is a Fano manifold without a Kähler-Einstein metric in \( H \) and \([0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow r_t \in H_{AM}\) is a Kähler-Ricci trajectory. Then there exists a curve \([0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow u_t \in H_{0,AM}\) which is a \( d_p \)-geodesic ray weakly asymptotic to \( t \rightarrow r_t \) for all \( p \geq 1 \). In addition to this, \( t \rightarrow u_t \) satisfies the following:

(i) \( t \rightarrow F(u_t) \) is decreasing,

(ii) the “sup-normalized” potentials \( u_t - \sup_X (u_t - u_0) \in H_0 \) decrease pointwise to \( u_\infty \in PSH(X, \omega) \) for which \( \int_X e^{-n \frac{1}{n+1} u_\infty} \omega^n = \infty. \)

If additionally \((X, J)\) does not admit non-trivial holomorphic vector fields, then \( t \rightarrow F(u_t) \) is strictly decreasing.
We note that the normalizing condition $AM(u_t) = 0$ in the above result assures that geodesic ray $t \to u_t$ is non-trivial, i.e. $u_t \neq u_0 + ct$.

This theorem provides a partial answer to a folklore conjecture, perhaps first suggested by [LNT], which says that one should be able to construct "destabilizing" geodesic rays asymptotic to diverging Kähler-Ricci trajectories. For a precise statement and connections with other results we refer to [R1 Conjecture 4.10].

Given their connection with special Kähler metrics, constructing geodesic rays in the space of Kähler potentials from geometric data has drawn a lot of interest. We mention [PH1,PH2], where the authors constructed rays out of algebraic test configurations. The work [RWN] builds on this and constructs rays out of more general analytic test configurations via their Legendre transform. For related results we also mention [AT,CT2,SZ,RZ] in a fast expanding literature. Perhaps one of the advantages of our method is that the ray we construct instantly gives geometric information about special Kähler metrics without further results, as will be evidenced in Theorem 3 below.

We hope that the methods developed here will be the building blocks of future results constructing geodesic rays asymptotic to different (geometric) flow trajectories. Motivated by this we prove a very general result in Theorem 3.2 from which Theorem 2 will follow.

On Fano manifolds not admitting Kähler-Einstein metrics, part (ii) of Theorem 2 ensures the bound $\alpha(X) \leq n/(n+1)$ for Tian’s alpha invariant:

$$\alpha(X) = \sup \left\{ \alpha, \int_X e^{-\alpha \sup_X u} \omega^n \leq C \alpha < +\infty, \ u \in \text{PSH}(X,\omega) \right\}.$$  

This is a well known result of Tian [T]. The fact that the geodesic ray $t \to u_t$ is able to detect a potential $u_\infty$ satisfying $\int_X e^{-n/(n+1)u} \omega^n = \infty$ is analogous to the main result of [R1], where it is shown that one can find such potential using a subsequence of metrics along a diverging Kähler-Ricci trajectory. We refer to this paper for relations with Nadel sheaves.

It would be interesting to see if a geodesic ray produced by the above theorem is in fact unique. We prove that this ray is bounded, but it is not clear if this curve has more regularity. Finally, we believe that $t \to \mathcal{F}(u_t)$ is strictly decreasing regardless of whether $(X, J)$ admits non-trivial holomorphic vector fields or not and prove this in the case when the Futaki invariant is non-zero (Proposition 3.4).

Lastly, we note the following theorem, which is a consequence of the previous result, and in the case $p = 2$ gives the Kähler-Einstein analog of Donaldson’s conjectures on existence of constant scalar curvature metrics [Do,H2].

**Theorem 3** (Theorem 3.5). Suppose $p \in \{1, 2\}$ and $(X, J, \omega)$ is a Fano manifold without non-trivial holomorphic vector-fields and $u \in \mathcal{H}$. There exists no Kähler-Einstein metric in $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if there exists a $d_p$-geodesic ray $[0, \infty) \ni t \to u_t \in \mathcal{H}_{0,AM}$ with $u_0 = u$ such that the function $t \to \mathcal{F}(u_t)$ is strictly decreasing.

Additionally, as a consequence of Theorem 3.2 below, the $d_p$-geodesic rays produced by the last two theorems will also solve the complex Monge-Ampère equation (3).

Fixing a potential $u \in \mathcal{H}$, by this last theorem, on Fano manifolds with discrete automorphism group, a Kähler-Einstein metric does not exist if and only if there exists an “unstable” geodesic ray emanating from $u$. As pointed out to us by R. Berman, in the case of general Fano manifolds, he was able to prove a very closely
related result. Using the recently established equivalence between $K$-stability and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics, it is shown in \cite{Brm1}, Theorem 4.1 that a Kähler-Einstein metric does not exist if and only if for all potentials $u \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists an unstable geodesic ray emanating from $u$. It would be interesting to see if a general result holds that unifies these theorems.

Although we do not pursue such generality, we remark that Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 also hold for the Orlicz-Finsler metric structures $(\mathcal{H}, d_X)$ studied in \cite{Da4}.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. The metric spaces $(\mathcal{H}, d_p)$. In this short paragraph we further elaborate on the metric spaces $(\mathcal{H}, d_p)$. By the definition, we have the inclusion $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega) \subset \mathcal{E}^{p'}(X, \omega)$, for $p' \leq p$, and also the metric $d_p$ dominates $d_{p'}$. What is more, it follows that for $u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$, the curve defined in (\textcolor{red}{S}) is a geodesic with respect to both $d_p$ and $d_{p'}$ (perhaps of different length). Using this and (\textcolor{red}{S}) we can conclude the following:

**Proposition 2.1.** For $u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{H}_0$, the curve $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto u_t \in \mathcal{H}_0$ from (\textcolor{red}{S}) will be a $d_p$-geodesic joining $u_0, u_1$ for all $p \geq 1$.

We note that for $p \neq 2$, the $d_p$-geodesic connecting $u_0, u_1$ may not be unique. See \cite{Da4} for examples of $d_1$-geodesic segments that are different from the ones defined in (\textcolor{red}{S}).

In hopes of characterizing convergence in the metric completion $(\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega), d_p)$ more explicitly, for $u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$ one can introduce the following functional (see \cite{Da4, G}):

$$I_p(u_0, u_1) = \left( \int_X |u_0 - u_1|^p \omega^n_{u_0} \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int_X |u_0 - u_1|^p \omega^n_{u_1} \right)^{1/p}.$$

In \cite[Theorem 3]{Da4} it is proved that there exists $C(p) > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} I_p(u_0, u_1) \leq d_p(u_0, u_1) \leq CI_p(u_0, u_1).$$

This double estimate implies that there exists $C(p) > 1$ such that

$$\sup_X u \leq C d_p(u, 0) + C.$$

Also, if $d_p(u_k, u) \to 0$, then $u_k \to u$ a.e. and also $\omega^n_{u_k} \to \omega^n_u$ weakly. For more details we refer to \cite[Theorems 3-6]{Da4}. Our first observation says that in the presence of uniform $C^0$-estimates all the $d_p$ geometries are equivalent.

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}_0 = \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty$ and $\|u_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq D$ for some $D > 0$. Then $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $d_p$-Cauchy if and only if it is $d_1$-Cauchy. If this condition holds, then in addition the limit $u = \lim_k u_k$ also satisfies $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq D$.

**Proof.** The equivalence follows from (\textcolor{red}{13}) and basic facts about $L^p$ norms. The estimate $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq D$ also follows, as from \cite[Theorem 5(i)]{Da4} we have $u_k \to u$ in capacity, hence $u_k \to u$ pointwise a.e. \hfill \square

We recall the compactness theorem \cite[Theorem 2.17]{BBEGZ}. Before we write the statement, let us first recall the notion of strong convergence and entropy. As introduced in \cite{BBEGZ}, we say that a sequence $u_k \in \mathcal{H}$ converges strongly to $u \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ if $u_k \to_{L^1} u$ and $AM(u_k) \to AM(u)$. As argued in \cite[Proposition 5.9]{Da4},
one has $u_k \to u$ strongly if and only if $d_1(u_k, u) \to 0$, which in turn is equivalent to $d_1(u_k, u) \to 0$ according to [13]. The Mabuchi K-energy functional $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$, which will be used by us later, is given by the following formula:

$$\mathcal{M}(u) = nA(u) - L(u) + H_\omega(\omega_u),$$

where $H_\omega(\omega_u) = \int_X \log(\omega_u^n/\omega^n)\omega_u^n$ is the entropy of $\omega_u^n$ with respect to $\omega^n$ and $L(u)$ is the following operator:

$$L(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_X u \text{Ric} (\omega_u^{j} \wedge \omega_u^{n-j}).$$

In the presence of bounded entropy the following compactness result holds:

**Proposition 2.3** ([BBEGZ Proposition 2.6, Theorem 2.17]). Suppose $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is such that $|\sup_X u_k|, \int_X \omega_u^n \leq D$ for some $D \geq 0$. Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ and $k_l \to \infty$ such that $\lim_{l \to \infty} d_1(u_{k_l}, u) = 0$.

Putting together the last two results we can write:

**Theorem 2.4.** Suppose $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is such that $H_\omega(\omega_u), \int_X \omega_u^n \leq D$ for some $D \geq 0$. Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq D$ and $k_l \to \infty$ such that $d_p(u_{k_l}, u) \to 0$ for all $p \geq 1$.

In our computations we will need the following bound for the $L$ functional in the expression of the Mabuchi K-energy:

**Proposition 2.5.** For any $p \geq 1$ there exists $C(p) > 1$ such that

$$|L(u)| \leq Cd_p(0, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{H}.$$  

**Proof.** There exists $C > 0$ such that $-C\omega \leq \text{Ric} \omega \leq C\omega$. We can start writing:

$$|L(u)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_X |u|\omega^j \wedge \omega_u^{n-j} \leq C \int_X |u|\omega_u^n \leq C \left( \int_X \frac{u^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} \leq Cd_p \left( 0, \frac{u}{2} \right) \leq Cd_p(0, u),$$

where in the penultimate inequality we have used [13] and in the last inequality we have used [Da4 Lemma 5.3].

Finally, we recall a result about bounded geodesics which will be very useful to us later:

**Theorem 2.6** ([Da2 Theorem 1]). Given a bounded weak geodesic $[0, 1] \ni t \to u_t \in \mathcal{H}_0$ connecting $u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{H}_0$, i.e. a bounded solution to the system (4), there exists $M, m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $a, b \in [0, 1]$ we have

(i) $\inf_X u_a - u_b = m$,

(ii) $\sup_X u_a - u_b = M$. 

This result tells us that for a bounded weak geodesic $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto u_t \in \mathcal{H}_0$, which is also a $d_p$-geodesic for all $p \geq 1$, the function $t \mapsto \sup_X (u_t - u_0)$ is linear. As explained in the introduction of [Da2], this implies that

$$t \mapsto \tilde{u}_t = u_t - \sup_X (u_t - u_0) \in \mathcal{H}$$

is a geodesic that is decreasing in $t$ (one can see that $\dot{\tilde{u}}_t \leq 0$). Since $\sup_X \tilde{u}_t$ is bounded, the pointwise limit $u_\infty = \lim_{t \to \infty} \tilde{u}_t$ is different from $-\infty$. As we shall see by the end of this paper, for certain geodesic rays one can draw geometric conclusions about the manifolds $X$ by studying the singularity type of $u_\infty$.

2.2. Diverging Kähler-Ricci trajectories. In this short paragraph we recall estimates along diverging Kähler-Ricci trajectories that will allow us to apply Theorem [2.4] along such curves. Unfortunately most of the literature on the Kähler-Ricci flow uses a normalization different from ours (see below). We will argue that the most important estimates have analogs for our $AM$–normalized trajectories as well.

It is well known that the flow equation (12) can be rewritten as the scalar equation

$$\omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} = e^{f - \rho_{\tilde{t}} + \beta(t)} \omega^n,$$

where $\beta : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function chosen depending on the desired normalization condition on $r_t$. In our investigations we will use the normalizing condition $AM(r_t) = 0$. However most of the literature on the Kähler-Ricci flow uses the normalization $t \mapsto \tilde{r}_t$ for which $\beta(t) = 0$ and $\tilde{r}_0 = v + c$, with $c$ carefully chosen (see [PSS] (2.10)). Evidently, in this latter case the scalar equation becomes

$$(15) \quad \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} = e^{-\rho_{\tilde{t}} + \tilde{r}_t + f - \rho \omega^n},$$

and the conversion from this normalization to the one employed by us is given by the formula

$$r_t = \tilde{r}_t - AM(\tilde{r}_t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

The following result brings together estimates for the trajectory $t \mapsto \tilde{r}_t$ that we will need. Most of these are classical and well known; for the others we sketch the proof. We note that for the Ricci potential $f_{\tilde{r}_t}$ satisfying $\text{Ric} \omega_{\tilde{r}_t} = \omega_{\tilde{r}_t} + i\partial \bar{\partial} f_{\tilde{r}_t}$ we will always assume the normalization $\int_X e^{f_{\tilde{r}_t} - \rho_{\tilde{r}_t}} \omega^n = \text{Vol}(X)$.

**Proposition 2.7.** Suppose $t \mapsto \tilde{r}_t$ is a Kähler-Ricci trajectory normalized according to (15). For any $t \geq 0$ we have:

(i) $\|\tilde{r}_t\|_{L^\infty}, \|f_{\tilde{r}_t}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ for some $C > 1$.

(ii) $-C \leq AM(\tilde{r}_t)$, in particular $-\int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^n \leq \int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^n + C$ for some $C > 1$.

(iii) $\int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^n \leq C$, hence also $-C \leq \sup_X \tilde{r}_t$ for some $C > 1$.

(iv) $-\inf_X \tilde{r}_t \leq C \sup_X \tilde{r}_t + D$ for some $C, D > 0$.

(v) If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $-\log \left( \int_X e^{-\alpha \tilde{r}_t - \sup_X \tilde{r}_t} \omega^n \right) \leq ((1-\alpha)n - \alpha) \sup_X \tilde{r}_t + C$ for some $C > 1$.

(vi) $\sup_X \tilde{r}_t - AM(\tilde{r}_t) \geq \sup_X \tilde{r}_t / C - C \geq (AM(\tilde{r}_t) - \inf_X \tilde{r}_t) / D - D$ for some $C, D > 1$.

**Proof.** The estimates in (i) are essentially due to Perelman [ST][TZ]. The estimates from (ii) are also well known. In fact, one can prove that $t \mapsto AM(u_t)$ is increasing [CT][L]. We recall the argument from [RT]. First we notice that

$$-\log \int_X e^{-\tilde{r}_t + f - \rho \omega^n} = -\log \int_X e^{-\tilde{r}_t \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t}};$$
hence this quantity is uniformly bounded by (i). It is well known that \( t \to \mathcal{F}(\tilde{r}_t) \) is decreasing, and now looking at the expression of \( \mathcal{F}(\tilde{r}_t) \) from (11), we conclude that there exists \( C > 1 \) such that \( AM(\tilde{r}_t) \geq -C \). The second estimate of (ii) now follows from the next well known inequality:

\[
AM(\tilde{r}_t) = \frac{1}{(n+1)Vol(X)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^j \wedge \omega^{n-j}_{\tilde{r}_t} \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)Vol(X)} \left( \int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} + n \int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^n \right).
\]

We now prove the estimate of (iii). From (11) we have \( \int_X e^{\tilde{r}_t} \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} = \int_X e^{\tilde{r}_t + f} \omega^n \). Hence the estimates of (i) yield that \( \int_X e^{\tilde{r}_t} \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} \) is uniformly bounded. The first estimate now follows from Jensen’s inequality:

\[
\frac{1}{Vol(X)} \int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} \leq \log \left( \frac{1}{Vol(X)} \int_X e^{\tilde{r}_t} \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} \right).
\]

The second and third estimates of (iii) follow now from (ii). Estimate (iv) is just the Harnack estimate for the Kähler-Ricci flow. For a summary of the proof we refer to steps (i) and (iii) in the proof of [R1, Theorem 1.3], [Na], which in turn follow the arguments in [T,S].

We justify the estimate of (v), and the roots of our argument are again from [R1]. To start, we notice that using equation (15) we can write

\[
-\log \left( \int_X e^{-\alpha \tilde{r}_t} \omega^n \right) = -\log \left( \int_X e^{-\alpha \tilde{r}_t + \tilde{r}_t - f} \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} \right) \leq \frac{1}{Vol(X)} \int_X \left( \alpha - 1 \right) \tilde{r}_t \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} + C \leq \frac{n(1 - \alpha)}{Vol(X)} \int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^n + C,
\]

where in the second line we have used the estimates of (i) and (ii). It is well known that there exist \( D(\omega) > 0 \) such that

\[
D \geq \sup_X u - \int_X u \omega^n \geq 0, \ u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega).
\]

Putting together the last two estimates finishes the proof of (v).

Now we turn to the proof of the double estimate in (vi). From the definition of \( AM \) and (iii) it follows that

\[
\sup_X \tilde{r}_t - AM(\tilde{r}_t) \geq \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \sup_X \tilde{r}_t - \frac{1}{Vol(X)} \int_X \tilde{r}_t \omega^n_{\tilde{r}_t} \right) \geq \frac{1}{n+1} \sup_X \tilde{r}_t - C,
\]

and this establishes the first estimate. The second estimate follows from (iv) and the simple fact that \( \sup_X \tilde{r}_t \geq AM(\tilde{r}_t) \).

Finally, we are ready to write the main result of this paragraph, which phrases some of the estimates from the previous proposition for \( AM \)-normalized Kähler-Ricci trajectories.
Proposition 2.8. Suppose \( t \to r_t \) is an \( AM \)-normalized Kähler-Ricci trajectory. Let \( t \to \tilde{r}_t \) be the corresponding Kähler-Ricci trajectory normalized according to (15), i.e. \( r_t = \tilde{r}_t - AM(\tilde{r}_t) \). For \( t \geq 0 \) the following hold:

(i) \(-\inf_X r_t \leq C \sup_X r_t + C\), for some \( C > 1 \).
(ii) \( \sup_X \tilde{r}_t \leq C \sup_X r_t + C \leq D \sup_X \tilde{r}_t + E\), for some \( C, D, E > 1 \).
(iii) For any \( p \geq 1 \) we have \( \sup_X r_t/C - C \leq d_p(r_0, r_t) \leq \sup_X r_t + C \) for some \( C > 1 \).
(iv) If \( \alpha \in (n/(n+1), 1) \) and \( p \geq 1 \), then \(-\log \left( \int_X e^{-\alpha (d(r_t, r_0)) + f \omega^n} \right) \leq -\varepsilon d_p(r_0, r_t) + C\) for some \( C > 1 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

Proof. The estimate in (i) follows from part (vi) of the previous proposition. This last estimate also gives the first estimate of (ii). Estimate (ii) in the previous result immediately gives the second part of (ii).

The first estimate of (iii) is just [Da4, Corollary 4]. By (13) we have that \( d_p(r_0, r_t) \leq \alpha \sup_X (r_t - r_0) \). Part (i) now implies the second estimate of (iii).

Notice that \( \alpha > n/(n+1) \) is equivalent to \((1 - \alpha)n - \alpha < 0\) and that the left hand side of (v) is invariant under different normalizations. The estimate of (iv) now follows after we put together parts (v) of the previous proposition with what we proved so far in this proposition.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

First we give a proof for Theorem [1]. As it turns out, the argument is about putting together the pieces developed in the preceding section.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose \((X, J, \omega)\) is a Fano manifold and \( p \geq 1 \). There exists a Kähler-Einstein metric in \( H \) if and only if every Kähler-Ricci trajectory \([0, \infty) \ni t \to r_t \in H_{AM}\) is \( d_p \)-bounded. More precisely, the \( C^0 \)-bound along the flow is equivalent to the \( d_p \)-bound

\[
\frac{1}{C} d_p(r_0, r_t) - C \leq \sup_X |r_t| \leq C d_p(r_0, r_t) + C,
\]

for some \( C(p) > 1 \).

Proof. If there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric in the cohomology class of \( \omega \), then by [Da4, Theorem 6] we have that any Kähler-Ricci trajectory \( d_p \)-converges to one such metric, hence stays \( d_p \)-bounded.

For the other direction, suppose \( d_p(0, r_t) \) is bounded. By Proposition 2.8(ii)(iii), \( d_p(0, r_t) \) controls both \( \sup_X \tilde{r}_t \) and \( \sup_X r_t \), which in turn control \( \|\tilde{r}_t\|_{L^\infty} \) and \( \|r_t\|_{L^\infty} \), by Proposition 2.8(i) and Proposition 2.7(iv) respectively. The regularity theory for the Kähler-Ricci flow implies now that \( t \to \tilde{r}_t \) converges exponentially fast in any \( C^k \) norm to a Kähler-Einstein metric, hence so does \( t \to r_t \).

It is well known that the Mabuchi K-energy decreases along Kähler-Ricci trajectories. The estimates of the previous section imply that in case \((X, J, \omega)\) does not admit a Kähler-Einstein metric, any \( AM \)-normalized Kähler-Ricci trajectory \( t \to r_t \) satisfies the assumptions of the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. Suppose \([0, \infty) \ni t \to c_t \in H_{AM}\) is a curve for which there exists \( t_1 \to \infty \) satisfying the following properties:

(i) (Harnack estimate) \(-\inf_X c_t \leq C \sup_X c_t + C\) for some \( C > 0 \).
(ii) (\( C^0 \) blow-up) \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_X c_t = +\infty \).
(iii) (bounded K-energy ‘slope’) 
\[ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}(c_t) - \mathcal{M}(c_0)}{\sup_X c_t} < +\infty. \]

Then there exists a curve \( [0, \infty) \ni t \to u_t \in \mathcal{H}_{0,\text{AM}} \) which is a non-trivial \( d_p \)-geodesic ray weakly asymptotic to \( t \to c_t \) for all \( p \geq 1 \). Additionally, \( t \to u_t \) solves the complex Monge-Ampère equation \( [3] \).

Proof. The idea of the proof is to construct a \( d_2 \)-geodesic ray satisfying all the necessary properties. At the end we will conclude that this same curve is also a \( d_p \)-geodesic ray for any \( p \geq 1 \).

By setting \( \omega := \omega + \iota \partial \overline{\partial} c_0 \) and \( c_t := c_t - c_0 \), we can assume without loss of generality that \( c_0 = 0 \). As \( \inf_X c_t \leq C \sup_X c_t + C \), the same argument as in the previous theorem gives:

\[ \frac{1}{C} d_p(0, c_{t_2}) - C \leq \sup_X |c_{t_1}| \leq C d_p(0, c_{t_1}) + C, \]

for any \( p \geq 1 \). The fact that \( \lim_t \sup_X c_{t_2} = +\infty \) implies now that \( f_t = d_2(0, c_{t_2}) \to \infty \). Let

\[ [0, f_t] \ni t \to u_t^l \in \mathcal{H}_\Delta \]

be the unit speed (rescaled) weak geodesic curve (solving the system \([4]\)), joining \( c_0 = 0 \) with \( c_{t_1} \). By our choice of normalization it follows that

\[ \text{AM}(u_t^l) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_2(u_t^l, 0) = t, \ t \in [0, f_t]. \]

By our assumptions and \([17]\) there exists \( C, D > 1 \) such that

\[ -C d_2(0, c_{t_2}) - C \leq -D \sup_X c_{t_2} - D \leq \inf_X c_{t_1} \leq \sup_X c_{t_1} \leq C d_2(0, c_{t_1}) + C. \]

Rewriting this as \( u_t^l = c_{t_2} \) and \( \sup_X c_{t_1} \to \infty \), for \( l \) big enough we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{C} d_p(0, c_{t_2}) - C \leq \sup_X |c_{t_1}| \leq C d_p(0, c_{t_1}) + C, \]

As \( u_t^l = c_{t_2} \) and \( \sup_X c_{t_1} \to \infty \), for \( l \) big enough we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{C} d_p(0, c_{t_2}) - C \leq \sup_X |c_{t_1}| \leq C d_p(0, c_{t_1}) + C. \]

As \( u_t^l = c_{t_2} \) and \( \sup_X c_{t_1} \to \infty \), for \( l \) big enough we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{C} d_p(0, c_{t_2}) - C \leq \sup_X |c_{t_1}| \leq C d_p(0, c_{t_1}) + C. \]

By the main result of \([8]\) (for a different approach see \([19]\)) it follows that the map \( t \to \mathcal{M}(u_t^l) \) is convex and non-positive. In particular, for \( t \geq 0 \) we have

\[ \frac{H_\omega(u_{t_2}^l) - L(u_{t_2}^l)}{t} \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}(u_t^l) - \mathcal{M}(u_0^l)}{t} \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}(c_{t_2}) - \mathcal{M}(c_0)}{f_t} \leq C < \infty, \]

where in the last estimate we have used condition (ii) in the statement of the theorem along with \([17]\). Proposition \([25]\) now implies that there exists \( C > 1 \) such that

\[ 0 \leq H_\omega(u_{t_2}^l) \leq L(u_{t_2}^l) + Et \leq C d_2(0, u_{t_2}^l) + Dt = (C + D)t. \]

Now fix \( s \geq 0 \). From \([20]\) and \([21]\) it follows using Theorem \([24]\) that there exist \( u_{t_2}^l \to \infty \) and \( u_s \in \mathcal{H}_0 \) such that \( d_2(u_{t_2}^l, u_s) \to 0 \). As \( \text{AM} \) is continuous with respect to \( d_2 \), by \([15]\) we also have \( \text{AM}(u_s) = 0 \) and \( d_2(0, u_s) = s \).
Building on this last observation, using a Cantor type diagonal argument, we can find sequence $l_k \to \infty$ such that for each $h \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ there exists $u_h \in \mathcal{H}_0$ satisfying $d_p(u^{l_k}_h, u_h) \to 0$, $AM(u_h) = 0$ and $d_2(0, u_h) = h$.

As $t \to u^t$ are unit speed $d_2$-geodesic segments, for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ satisfying $a < b < c$ we have

$$d_2(u^{l_k}_a, u^{l_k}_b) + d_2(u^{l_k}_b, u^{l_k}_c) = c - a = d_2(u^{l_k}_a, u^{l_k}_c).$$

Taking the limit $l_k \to \infty$ we will also have

$$d_2(u_a, u_b) + d_2(u_b, u_c) = c - a = d_2(u_a, u_c).$$

Hence, by density we can extend $h \to u_h$ to a unit speed $d_2$-geodesic $[0, \infty) \ni t \to u_t \in \mathcal{H}_{0, AM}$ weakly asymptotic to $t \to c$. This $d_2$-geodesic is non-trivial, i.e. not of the form $u_t = u_0 + ct$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, this would contradict the fact that $AM(u_t) = 0$ and $t \to u_t$ is unit speed with respect to $d_2$. As $d_2$-geodesic rays connecting two points are unique, it follows that $t \to u_t$ additionally solves the complex Monge-Ampère equation [3].

Finally, as $t \to u_t$ is a $d_2$-geodesic ray, by Proposition 2.1 $t \to u_t$ is a $d_p$-geodesic ray as well for any $p \geq 1$.  

When the curve $t \to c_t$ in the previous theorem is a diverging Kähler-Ricci trajectory, the weakly asymptotic ray produced by the previous theorem has additional properties:

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose $(X, J, \omega)$ is a Fano manifold without a Kähler-Einstein metric in $\mathcal{H}$ and $[0, \infty) \ni t \to r_t \in \mathcal{H}_{AM}$ is a Kähler-Ricci trajectory. Let $t \to u_t$ be the geodesic ray produced by the previous theorem. The following holds

(i) The map $t \to \mathcal{F}(u_t)$ is decreasing. If additionally $(X, J)$ does not admit non-trivial holomorphic vector fields, then $t \to \mathcal{F}(u_t)$ is strictly decreasing.

(ii) The “sup-normalized” potentials $u_t - \sup_X (u_t - u_0) \in \mathcal{H}_0$ decrease pointwise to $u_\infty \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ for which $\int_X e^{-\frac{n+1}{2}u_\infty} \omega^n = \infty$.

**Proof.** We work with the notation of the previous theorem. To show $t \to \mathcal{F}(u_t)$ is decreasing, we claim first that for any $t > 0$, $\mathcal{F}(u_0) \geq \mathcal{F}(u_t)$. It is well known that $t \to \mathcal{F}(r_t)$ is decreasing, hence $\mathcal{F}(u_t) \geq \mathcal{F}(u^t_{r_t})$. By Berndtsson’s theorem [Brn1], the maps $t \to \mathcal{F}(u^t_{r_t})$ are convex; hence we also have

$$\mathcal{F}(u_0) \geq \mathcal{F}(u^t_{r_t}), \ t \in [0, f].$$

As noted earlier, the maps $\mathcal{F}$ are continuous with respect to $d_2$. By passing to the limit, the claim is proved. As $t \to \mathcal{F}(u_t)$ is convex and $\mathcal{F}(u_0) \geq \mathcal{F}(u_t)$ for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{F}$ has to be decreasing.

If additionally $(X, J)$ does not admit non-trivial holomorphic vector fields, then $t \to \mathcal{F}(u_t)$ is strictly decreasing. Indeed, if this were not the case, then there would exist $t_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{F}(u_t) = 0, \ t \geq t_0.$$ 

By the second part of Berndtsson’s convexity theorem [Brn1], this implies that $(X, J)$ admits a non-trivial holomorphic vector field, which is a contradiction.

We turn to part (ii). For $n/(n+1) < \alpha < 1$ each curve $t \to \alpha u^t_t$ is a subgeodesic; hence it follows from [Brn1] that each map

$$t \to -\log \left( \int_X e^{-\alpha u^t_t} + f \omega^n \right)$$ 

is decreasing. This completes the proof.  

[QED]
is convex. As \( u_0 \equiv 0 \), by Theorem 2.6 the map \( t \to \sup_X u_t^l \) is linear; hence the function

\[
t \to G_\alpha(u^l_t) = -\log \left( \int_X e^{-\alpha(u^l_t - \sup_X u^l_t) + f_\omega^n} \right)
\]

is also convex. By Proposition 2.8(iv) this implies that \( G_\alpha(u^l_t) \leq -\varepsilon d_2(0, u^l_t) + C = -\varepsilon t + C \). Similarly to \( F(\cdot) \), the functional \( G_\alpha(\cdot) \) is also continuous with respect to \( d_2 \); hence by taking the limit \( l_k \to \infty \) in this last estimate we obtain

(22) \[ G_\alpha(u_t) \leq -\varepsilon t + C. \]

As discussed after Theorem 2.6, the decreasing limit \( u_\infty = \lim_{t \to \infty} (u_t - \sup_X u_t) \) is well defined and not identically equal to \(-\infty\). Letting \( t \to \infty \) in (22) by the monotone convergence theorem we obtain that \( \int_X e^{-\alpha u_\infty} \omega^n = \infty \). As \( n/(n+1) < \alpha < 1 \) is arbitrary, the recent resolution of the openness conjecture (see [Brn2, GZh]) implies part (ii). □

We believe \( t \to F(u_t) \) should be strictly decreasing even if \( X \) has holomorphic vector fields. We can show this when the Futaki invariant is non-zero as we elaborate below. Note that along the Kähler-Ricci trajectory \( t \to r_t \), the \( F \)-functional is strictly decreasing unless the initial metric is Kähler-Einstein. Using the identity

\[
e^{-r_t + f_\omega} \int_X e^{-r_t + f_\omega(n)} \omega^n = e^{f_{r_t}} \omega_{r_t}^n
\]

we can write

\[
\frac{\partial F(r_t)}{\partial t} = -\int_X f_{\omega_{r_t}}(e^{f_{\omega_{r_t}}} - 1) \omega_{r_t}^n.
\]

It is natural to introduce the following quantity:

\[
\epsilon(\omega) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_X f_{\omega_u}(e^{f_{\omega_u}} - 1) \omega_u^n \geq 0.
\]

This quantity is clearly an invariant of \((X, J, [\omega])\). If \( \epsilon(\omega) > 0 \), then there exists no Kähler-Einstein metric in \( \mathcal{H} \). By Jensen’s inequality, for any \( u \in \mathcal{H} \) we have \( \int_M f_{\omega_u} \omega_u^n \leq 0 \); hence we can write

\[
\int_M f_{\omega_u}(e^{f_{\omega_u}} - 1) \omega_u^n \geq \int_M f_{\omega} e^{f_{\omega_u}} \omega_u^n.
\]

By [P12], the right hand side above (defined as the \( H \)-functional) is non-negative and is uniformly bounded away from zero if the Futaki invariant is non-zero, implying in this last case the bound \( \epsilon(\omega) > 0 \). Finally, we note the following result:

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose \( t \to r_t \) and \( t \to u_t \) are as in the previous theorem. If \( \epsilon(\omega) > 0 \), then the map \( t \to F(u_t) \) is strictly decreasing. More precisely, there exists \( C > 0 \) such that \( F(u_t) \leq F(u_0) - Ct \), \( t \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** By the discussion above, we have the estimate

\[
F(r_{t_l}) - F(r_0) \leq -\epsilon(\omega)t_l.
\]
Using the notation of the previous theorem’s proof, by the estimates of paragraph 2.2, there exists $C, C' > 0$ such that for $l$ big enough:

$$f_l = d_2(0, r_{t_l}) \leq C' \sup_X r_{t_l} \leq Ct_l.$$

From our observations it follows that

$$\frac{F(u^l_t) - F(u_0)}{f_l} = \frac{F(r_{t_l}) - F(r_0)}{f_l} \leq -\frac{\epsilon(\omega)}{C}. $$

By the convexity of $F$ we can conclude that

$$\frac{F(u^l_t) - F(u_0)}{t} \leq -\frac{\epsilon(\omega)}{C}, \ t \in (0, f_l].$$

Letting $l \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\frac{F(u_t) - F(u_0)}{t} \leq -\frac{\epsilon(\omega)}{C}, \ t \in (0, \infty).$$

Finally we prove the equivalence of geodesic stability and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics:

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose $p \in \{1, 2\}$ and $(X, J, \omega)$ is a Fano manifold without non-trivial holomorphic vector-fields and $u \in \mathcal{H}$. There exists no Kähler-Einstein metric in $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if there exists a $d_p$-geodesic ray $[0, \infty) \ni t \to u_t \in \mathcal{H}_{0, AM}$ with $u_0 = u$ such that the function $t \to F(u_t)$ is strictly decreasing.

**Proof.** The “only if” direction is a consequence of the previous theorem. We argue the “if” direction. Suppose there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric in $\mathcal{H}$.

In case $p = 1$ it is enough to invoke [Da4, Theorem 6]. Indeed, this result says that on a Fano manifold without non-trivial holomorphic vector-fields existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric in $\mathcal{H}$ is equivalent to the $d_1$-properness of $F$ (sublevel sets of $F$ are $d_1$–bounded). Hence the map $t \to F(u_t)$ cannot be bounded for any $d_1$-geodesic ray $t \to u_t$.

For the case $p = 2$ we first claim that $d_2$-geodesic rays are also $d_1$-geodesic rays. Indeed, this follows from the $CAT(0)$ property of $(\mathcal{H}, d_2) = (\mathcal{E}^2(X, \omega), d_2)$ [Da3, CC], as we argue now. Because of this property, $d_2$-geodesic segments connecting different points of $\mathcal{H}_0$ are unique; hence they are always of the type described in [S], which are also $d_1$-geodesics (Proposition 2.1). Clearly, the same statement holds for geodesic rays as well, not just segments, proving the claim. Now we can use [Da4, Theorem 6] again to conclude the argument.

Using the convexity of $F$ along $d_2$-geodesics, the above proof additionally shows that there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric on $(X, J, \omega)$ if and only if $t \to F(u_t)$ is eventually strictly increasing for all $d_2$-geodesic rays $t \to u_t$.
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