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Abstract. We give a simple and explicit description of the Bernstein-Szegö type measures associated with Jacobi matrices which differ from the Jacobi matrix of the Chebyshev measure in finitely many entries. We also introduce a class of measures $\mathcal{M}$ which parametrizes the Jacobi matrices with exponential decay and for each element in $\mathcal{M}$ we define a scattering function. Using Banach algebras associated with increasing Beurling weights, we prove that the exponential decay of the coefficients in a Jacobi matrix is completely determined by the decay of the negative Fourier coefficients of the scattering function. Combining this result with the Bernstein-Szegö type measures we provide different characterizations of the rate of decay of the entries of the Jacobi matrices for measures in $\mathcal{M}$.

1. Introduction

Recently there has been interest in measures supported on the unit circle and the real line that have analytic weights $[4,5,10,17,18,21,22]$. Given a positive Borel measure on a bounded subset of the real line an infinite Jacobi matrix can be uniquely associated with it, and the problem of interest is to study the consequences on the measure when it is assumed that the coefficients in the Jacobi matrix tend to their asymptotic values exponentially fast. Here it is assumed that the limit of the off diagonal elements is not zero. For the nonexponential case this problem was studied in $[7,9,11,14]$. The exponential case was considered by the first author in $[8]$, and, using the techniques of Banach algebras introduced by Baxter $[2]$, necessary and sufficient conditions were given connecting smoothness properties of the measure with the decay of the (recurrence) coefficients in the associated Jacobi matrix. Recent results using Riemann-Hilbert techniques, pioneered by Deift and Zhou to solve problems in the asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle $[5,17,18]$, the book by Simon $[22]$, and the works $[4,21]$ have brought renewed interest in this problem. In particular, responding to a question of Barry Simon to the first author, an extension of Baxter’s theory to Banach algebras for analytic weights was given in $[10]$. In this work the tight connection between the negative Fourier coefficients of the $S$ function and the recurrence coefficients was developed, and the special role of Bernstein-Szegö measures, i.e. $d\mu = \frac{d\theta}{q(\theta)}$, where $q$ is a positive trigonometric polynomial was noted. These types of measures have only a finite...
number of nonzero recurrence coefficients, and multiplication of weights on the unit circle with exponentially decaying recurrence coefficients by a Bernstein-Szegő weight does not change the rate of exponential decay. The techniques in [10] are for the most part algebraic.

In this paper we introduce a class of measures which parametrizes the Jacobi matrices with exponentially decaying coefficients. Each element in this class gives rise to a scattering function which completely determines the rate of decay of these coefficients. We begin in Section 2 with an explicit description of all Bernstein-Szegő type measures using just the residue theorem and simple properties of orthogonal polynomials. These measures have been studied by Szegő [23] in the absolutely continuous case and Geronimus [13], Geronimo and Case [9], and Damanik and Simon [4] in the general case. Bernstein-Szegő type measures have an absolutely continuous part analogous to that given above and perhaps a singular part consisting of a finite number of mass points with masses that have been coined canonical in [4]. Our results are slightly more detailed than those in the literature since we make explicit the connection between the degree of the trigonometric polynomial generating the absolutely continuous part of the measure and the minimal index beyond which all coefficients in the Jacobi matrix attain their asymptotic values. This section is of independent interest since a) all computations are simple and explicit, b) when the moment problem is determinate these measures weakly approximate the starting orthogonality measure, and c) the exponential decay of the recurrence coefficients of analytic absolutely continuous measures is unaffected by multiplication by Bernstein-Szegő type weights.

In Section 3 we study the four possible maps between polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle and those on the real line. The various relations between the recurrence coefficients of polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle and those orthogonal on the real line were developed by Killip and Nenciu [15]. We have included these formulas as well as the explicit relation between the polynomials in order to keep the presentation self-contained.

In Section 4, following Geronimo [8], we introduce the Banach algebras associated with increasing Beurling weights and relate the decay of the recurrence coefficients to the membership of a certain function (the Jost function) in an appropriate Banach algebra. Using the results of Damanik and Simon as a guide, a useful class $\mathcal{M}$ of analytic measures with positive canonical weights is introduced and the connection with Bernstein-Szegő measures is discussed. Then, employing the results and techniques developed in Geronimo and Martínez-Finkelshtein [10], we introduce a close relative of the scattering function $S$ of Case and Chiu [3]. As a first result we obtain the theorem of Damanik and Simon [4] relating the exponential decay of the recurrence coefficients to their asymptotic values and the annulus of meromorphicity for the absolutely continuous part of the orthogonality measure. The analog of this theorem for polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle can be found in the work of Nevai and Totik [20]. Next, simple necessary and sufficient conditions are given relating the rate of decay of the recurrence coefficients to their asymptotic values and the rate of decay of the negative Fourier coefficients of the scattering function for measures in $\mathcal{M}$. These results are then used to describe different properties of the measures in $\mathcal{M}$. It is here that the role of Bernstein-Szegő measures is decisive for simplifying the presentation by using algebraic arguments to bypass certain subtleties when the measure has masses.
2. Bernstein-Szegő weights

Consider the recurrence formula

\[ a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_np_n(x) + a_np_{n-1}(x) = xp_n(x), \]

with \( b_n \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( a_n > 0, \ n > 0 \). With initial values \( p_{-1}(x) = 0, \ p_0(x) = 1 \)
the Favard theorem says that the solution of the above equation gives a set of polynomials orthonormal with respect to some positive measure. Suppose that \( \lim a_n = a > 0, \ \lim b_n = b \) and write two recurrences [9]

\[
\begin{align*}
p_n(x) &= \frac{a}{a_n} \left[ (z - B_{n-1})p_{n-1}(x) + \frac{1}{z} \psi_{n-1}(z) \right], \\
\psi_n(z) &= \frac{a}{a_n} \left[ \frac{1}{z} \psi_{n-1}(z) + \left( 1 - \frac{a_n^2}{a^2} \right) z - B_{n-1} \right] p_{n-1}(x),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( B_{n-1} = \frac{b_n - b}{a} \), \( x = a(z + 1/z) + b \) and \( \psi_0(z) = 1 \). Here we let \( z = \frac{x-b}{2a} - \sqrt{(\frac{x-b}{2a})^2 - 1} \) where the branch of the square root chosen gives \( |z| \leq 1 \) for all \( x \). The difference between the above two equations yields

\[ \psi_n(z) = p_n(x) - \frac{a_n}{a} z p_{n-1}(x). \]

It will be convenient to write the above two equations as the system

\[ \Phi_n(z) = T(n, z) \Phi_{n-1}(z), \]

where \( \Phi_n(z) = \begin{pmatrix} p_n(x) \\ \psi_n(z) \end{pmatrix}, \ \Phi_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \) and

\[ T(n, z) = \frac{a}{a_n} \begin{pmatrix} z - B_{n-1} & \frac{1}{z} \\ (1 - \frac{a_n^2}{a^2})z - B_{n-1} & \frac{1}{z} \end{pmatrix}. \]

Suppose now that \( a_{n+1} = a \) and \( b_n = b \) for all \( n \geq n_0 \). The second equation in \[2.2\] shows that \( \hat{\psi}_n(z) = \hat{\psi}_n(z) \) for \( n \geq n_0 \), where

\[ \hat{\psi}_n(z) = z^n \psi_n(z). \]

Consider the first component of \[2.2\] with \( n \) replaced by \( n + 1 \). If we replace \( z \) by \( 1/z \) and then subtract the result from the original equation we obtain

\[ p_n(x) = \frac{z^{n+1} \hat{\psi}_n(1/z) - (1/z)^{n+1} \hat{\psi}_n(z)}{z - 1/z} \quad \text{for} \ n \geq n_0. \]

We begin by proving a more detailed version of Theorems 1.4 and 1.9 in [4].

Theorem 2.1. Let \( \rho \) be a positive Borel measure supported on the real line with recurrence coefficients \( \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \ a_n > 0, \ b_n \) real. Then \( a_{n+1} = a \) and \( b_n = b \) for all \( n \geq n_0 \) if and only if

\[ d\rho = \begin{cases} \sigma(\theta) \, dx, & x = 2a \cos \theta + b, \ 0 < \theta < \pi, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i \delta(x - x_i) \, dx, & x \notin [b - 2a, b + 2a], \end{cases} \]

with

\[ \sigma(\theta) = \frac{\sin \theta}{a \pi |\hat{\psi}_n(z)|^2}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}, \]
and
\begin{equation}
\rho_i = \frac{(z_i - 1/z_i)}{a \frac{d}{dx}(\psi_n(z)\psi_n(1/z))} \bigg|_{x=x_i}
\end{equation}
where \(x_i = a(z_i + 1/z_i) + b, z_i\) are the zeros of \(\hat{\psi}_n(z)\) in the unit disk \(|z| < 1\). If \(a_{n_0} = a\) and \(b_{n_0-1} \neq b\), then \(\hat{\psi}_{n_0}\) is a polynomial of degree \(2n_0 - 1\) in \(z\). If \(a_{n_0} \neq a\), then \(\hat{\psi}_{n_0}\) is a polynomial of degree \(2n_0\). In both cases \(\hat{\psi}_{n_0}(0) > 0\), and \(\hat{\psi}_{n_0}(z)\) has only real simple zeroes for \(|z| \leq 1\). Except possibly for \(z = \pm 1\), a zero of \(\hat{\psi}_{n_0}(z)\) does not occur at a zero of \(\psi_n(1/z)\). At a zero \(z_0\) of \(\hat{\psi}_{n_0}\),
\begin{equation}
p_n(x_0) = \frac{z_0^{n+1}\hat{\psi}_n(1/z_0)}{z_0 - 1/z_0},
\end{equation}
which shows that for \(|z_0| < 1\) the sequence \(\{p_n(z_0)\}_n\) decays exponentially to zero.

**Remark 2.2.** The polynomials are square summable at the zeros of \(\hat{\psi}_{n_0}\) for \(|z| < 1\) and give rise to the eigenvectors of the Jacobi matrix associated with equation (2.1) at its eigenvalues \(x_0 = a(z_0 + 1/z_0) + b\). The zeros of \(\hat{\psi}_{n_0}\) for \(|z| > 1\) are called resonances.

**Remark 2.3.** By abuse of notation, we will often write the function \(\sigma(\theta)\) in (2.9) as a function of \(z\) simply as \(\sigma(z)\). If we write
\begin{equation}
\sigma(z) = \frac{z - 1/z}{2a\pi i\hat{\psi}_n(z)\psi_n(1/z)},
\end{equation}
then equation (2.10) can be written as
\begin{equation}
\rho_i = \frac{2\pi i}{\frac{d}{dx}\sigma(z)^{-1}} \bigg|_{x=x_i}.
\end{equation}
Such masses are said to be canonical (see Definition 4.6 and equation (4.11)).

If \(\Psi_n\) and \(\Phi_n\) are any two solutions of equation (2.4) it is easy to see that
\begin{equation}
(\Psi_n)^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi_n
\end{equation}
is independent of \(n\). Thus, if we let \(\Psi_n = \Phi_n = (p_n^1, p_n^1)\) where \(p_n^1\) are the orthogonal polynomials of the second kind (i.e. \(p_0^1 = 0, p_1^1(x) = \frac{1}{a_1}\), so \(\psi_1^1(z) = \frac{1}{a_1}\)), we find that \(\psi_1^1(z)p_n(x) - \psi_n(z)p_1^1(z) = \frac{1}{a_1}(p_1(x) - \psi_1(z)) = \hat{\psi}_n(z)\) where equation (2.8) has been used to obtain the last equality. At a zero \(z_0\) of \(\psi_n\) we find \(\hat{\psi}_n(z_0) = z_0^{n+1}\psi_n^1(z_0) = \frac{z_0}{a \psi_n(1/z_0)}\), which gives another representation of \(\rho_i\),
\begin{equation}
\rho_i = \frac{\hat{\psi}_n^1(z_0)}{\frac{d}{dx}\psi_n(z_0)} \bigg|_{x=x_i}.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we can take \(a = 1/2\) and \(b = 0\). If \(a_{n+1} = 1/2\) and \(b_n = 0\), then the second component of (2.2) gives
\begin{equation}
\psi_n(z) = \frac{1}{2a_n} ((1 - 4a_n^2)z - 2b_{n-1})p_{n-1}(x) + \frac{1}{2a_n} \psi_{n-1}(z) \frac{z}{z}
\end{equation}
so that \( \hat{\psi}_n(z) = \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(z) \) for \( n \geq n_0 \). From the initial condition \( \psi_0 = 1 = p_0 \) and the fact that \( z^n p_{n-1}(x) \) is a polynomial in \( z \) of exact degree \( 2n - 1 \) it follows by induction that \( \hat{\psi}_n(z) \) is a polynomial of degree \( 2n - 1 \) if \( a_n = 1/2 \) and \( b_{n-1} \neq 0 \) and degree \( 2n \) if \( a_n \neq 1/2 \). The fact that \( \hat{\psi} \) has real coefficients shows that for \( |z| = 1 \), \( \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(1/z) = \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(z) \). Thus the interlacing properties of the zeros of orthogonal polynomials [23] and (2.7) show that \( \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(z) \) has no zeros for \( |z| = 1 \) except perhaps at \( z = 1 \) and/or \( z = -1 \) and if these zeros are present they must be simple. Also a zero of \( \hat{\psi}_{n_0} \) cannot be a zero of \( \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(1/z) \). At the zeros of \( \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(z) \), \( p_n \) has the form given by equation (2.11). To see that the zeros of \( \hat{\psi} \) for \( |z| < 1 \) are real and simple let \( p^1_n \) and \( p^2_n \) be any two solutions of the three term recurrence formula. Then standard manipulations yield

\[
(2.17) \quad a_n(p^1_n(x)p^2_{n-1}(y) - p^1_{n-1}(x)p^2_n(y)) = (x - y) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^1_i(x)p^2_i(y) + a_0(p^1_0(x)p^2_{-1}(y) - p^1_{-1}(x)p^2_0(y)).
\]

Setting \( p^1 = p^2 = p \) and \( y = \bar{x} \), then using (2.3) yields for \( n > n_0 \),

\[
\Im \left( \frac{1}{z} \psi_n(z)p_n(x) \right) = (\Im(z) + \Im(1/z)) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |p_i(x)|^2 + \Im(1/z)|p_n(x)|^2,
\]

which shows the reality of the zeros of \( \psi_n \) for \( |z| < 1 \). Writing \( x \) and \( y \) in terms of \( z \) and \( w \), then taking the limit \( w \to z \) in (2.17) and using (2.3) we find for real, \( z \),

\[
\left( \frac{1}{z} \psi_n(z) \right)' p_n(x) - \frac{1}{z} \psi_n(z) \frac{d}{dz} p_n(x) = (1 - \frac{1}{z^2}) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i(x)^2 - \frac{1}{z^2} p_n(x)^2,
\]

which shows that the zeros of \( \psi_n(z) \) for \( |z| < 1 \) are simple. Since \( \hat{\psi}_n(0) = \frac{1}{2a_n} \hat{\psi}_{n-1}(0) \) we find \( \hat{\psi}_n(0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2a_i} > 0 \). We now show that the polynomials \( p_n \) are orthonormal with respect to the measure given by (2.9) and (2.10). To this end consider the integral

\[
(2.18) \quad I = \int p_n(x)x^j d\rho(x)
\]

for \( j < n \), and substitute the expression above for \( \rho(x) \) to obtain

\[
(2.19) \quad I = \int_{-1}^{1} p_n(x)x^j \sigma(\theta)dx + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i p_n(x_i)x^j_i.
\]

The simplicity of the zeros of \( \hat{\psi}_{n_0} \) at \( z = 1 \) or \( -1 \), if they exist, shows the above integral is well defined. For \( n \geq n_0 \) the integral in the above expression can be rewritten using (2.7) as

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} p_n(x)x^j \sigma(\theta)dx = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} z^{n+1} \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(1/z)x^j \sigma(\theta) d\theta.
\]

Writing \( |\hat{\psi}_{n_0}(z)|^2 = \psi_{n_0}(z)\hat{\psi}_{n_0}(1/z) \) we see that the integrand is analytic except at the zeros of \( \psi_{n_0}(z) \), so the residue theorem shows that

\[
(2.20) \quad \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} z^{n+1} \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(1/z)x^j \sigma(\theta) d\theta = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i p_n(x_i)x^j_i,
\]
where we have used (2.11). Substituting (2.20) into (2.19) gives that (2.18) is equal to zero. That the integral of $p_2^2$ is equal to 1 follows from the above arguments after using equation (2.7) to eliminate $p_n$ and utilizing the fact that the residue at $z = 0$ is equal to one. For $n < n_0$ the result follows by induction with the aid of the three term recurrence formula.

To show the other direction assume that $\rho$ has the form indicated. We prove first that for $n \geq n_0$ the orthonormal polynomials $p_n(x)$ associated with $\rho$ are given by (2.7). Since the right-hand side of formula (2.7) is invariant under the transformation $z \to 1/z$ and since $\hat{\psi}_{n_0}(0) > 0$ we see indeed that equation (2.7) defines a polynomial in $x$ of degree $n$. By repeating the above arguments it follows that $p_n(x)$ are orthonormal with respect to $\rho$.

Equation (2.7) implies that the polynomials $p_n$ satisfy the recurrence formula

$$\frac{1}{2} p_{n+2}(x) + \frac{1}{2} p_n(x) = xp_{n+1}(x),$$

for $n \geq n_0$ so that $a_{n+1} = 1/2$ and $b_{n+1} = 0$ for $n \geq n_0$. Moreover, using (2.7) we see that

$$xp_{n_0}(x) - \frac{1}{2} p_{n_0+1}(x) = \frac{z^{n_0} \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(1/z) - (1/z)^{n_0} \hat{\psi}_{n_0}(z)}{2(z-1/z)},$$

and since the right-hand side is a polynomial in $x$ of degree at most $n_0 - 1$, we deduce that $b_{n_0} = 0$. \qed

The “only if” part of the above theorem was known to Geronimus [13] (see also [9], appendix A).

The above measures provide a sequence of approximating measures for any orthogonality measure $\rho(x)$. The result below is simple, but we include it for convenience. Let $\{a_n, b_{n-1}\}_{n \geq 1}$ be the coefficients of the orthonormal polynomials $p_n(x)$ corresponding to the measure $\rho(x)$ and let us consider the measure $\rho^{n_0}(x)$ associated with the coefficients $\{a_i^{n_0}, b_i^{n_0}\}_{i \geq 1}$ where

$$a_i^{n_0} = \begin{cases} a_i, & i < n_0, \\ a_{n_0}, & i \geq n_0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$b_i^{n_0} = \begin{cases} b_i, & i < n_0 - 1, \\ b_{n_0}, & i \geq n_0 - 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that the moment problem is determinate. Then $\rho^{n_0}(x)$ converges weakly to $\rho(x)$ as $n_0 \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $\{p_i^{n_0}\}$ be the orthonormal polynomials with respect to $\rho^{n_0}(x)$. Since $p_i^{n_0} = p_i$ for $n \leq n_0$ we see that the first $2n_0$ moments for $\rho^{n_0}$ and $\rho$ are the same. The result follows since the moment problem is determinate. \qed

3. Szegő maps

We now consider the relation between polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle and those orthogonal on the real line. If $\mu$ is a positive Borel measure with infinite support on the unit circle there is a unique sequence of polynomials $\{\phi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, where $\phi_n$ is of exact degree $n$ in $z = e^{i\theta}$ with positive leading coefficient $k_n$, such that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi_n(z) \overline{\phi_j(z)} d\mu = \delta_{n,j}.$$  

These polynomials satisfy the recurrence formula

$$\phi_n(z) = \frac{k_n}{k_{n-1}} (z \phi_{n-1}(z) - \alpha_n \overline{\phi_{n-1}(z)}),$$  

(3.1)
where \( \phi_n(z) = z^n \overline{\phi_n(1/z)} \) and

\[
\alpha_n = -\frac{\phi_n(0)}{k_n}.
\]

(3.2)

It follows from the orthonormality of the polynomials that

\[
1 = \frac{k_{n}^{2}}{k_{n-1}^{2}}(1 - |\alpha_n|^2).
\]

(3.3)

We shall call the \( \alpha_n \) recurrence coefficients.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that \( w(x) \) is a positive weight function on \((-1, 1)\) and let \( \{a_n, b_{n-1}\}_{n \geq 1} \) be recurrence coefficients for the corresponding orthonormal polynomials \( \{p_n(x)\} \). For \(-\pi < \theta < \pi\) let

\[
f_1(\theta) = \frac{w(\cos \theta)}{|\sin \theta|},
\]

\[
f_2(\theta) = |\sin \theta|w(\cos \theta),
\]

\[
f_3(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta}}w(\cos \theta),
\]

\[
f_4(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta}}w(\cos \theta),
\]

where we assume in each of the four cases above that \( w(x) \) is such that \( f_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \) is a well defined weight function on the unit circle. Let \( \{\phi_n(z)\} \) be the orthonormal polynomials on the unit circle associated with \( f_i \) and \( \alpha_n \) the associated recurrence coefficients. Then we have the following relations. For Case 1,

\[
p_n(x) = 2 \left( \frac{\phi_{2n+2}(0)}{k_{2n+2}} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{z^{-n} \phi_{2n+2}(z) - z^{n+1} \phi_{2n+2}(1/z)}{z - 1/z} \right),
\]

\[
a_n^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \alpha_{2n})(1 - \alpha_{2n+1})(1 - \alpha_{2n+3}),
\]

and

\[
b_n = \frac{1}{2}[(1 - \alpha_{2n+2})\alpha_{2n+1} - (1 + \alpha_{2n+2})\alpha_{2n+3}].
\]

For Case 2,

\[
p_n(x) = \left( \frac{\phi_{2n}(0)}{k_{2n}} \right)^{-1/2} \left( z^{-n} \phi_{2n}(z) + z^n \phi_{2n}(1/z) \right),
\]

\[
a_n^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \alpha_{2n-2})(1 - \alpha_{2n-1})(1 + \alpha_{2n}),
\]

and

\[
b_n = \frac{1}{2}[(1 - \alpha_{2n})\alpha_{2n+1} - (1 + \alpha_{2n})\alpha_{2n-1}].
\]

For Case 3,

\[
p_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 - \phi_{2n+1}(0)}} \left( \frac{z^{-n} \phi_{2n+1}(z)}{z - 1} + \frac{z^{n} \phi_{2n+1}(1/z)}{1/z - 1} \right),
\]

\[
a_n^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \alpha_{2n-1})(1 - \alpha_{2n})(1 - \alpha_{2n+1}),
\]

and

\[
b_n = \frac{1}{2}[(1 - \alpha_{2n+1})\alpha_{2n} - (1 + \alpha_{2n+1})\alpha_{2n+2}].
\]
For Case 4,
\begin{equation}
(3.7)
P_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + \frac{\phi_{2n+1}(0)}{k_{2n+1}}}} \left( \frac{z^{-n}\phi_{2n+1}(z)}{z + 1} + \frac{z^n\phi_{2n+1}(1/z)}{1/z + 1} \right),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation*}
a_n^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \alpha_{2n-1})(1 - \alpha_{2n}^2)(1 + \alpha_{2n+1}),
\end{equation*}
and
\begin{equation*}
b_n = \frac{1}{2}[(1 - \alpha_{2n+1})\alpha_{2n+2} - (1 + \alpha_{2n+1})\alpha_{2n}].
\end{equation*}

The connections between the recurrence coefficients for polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle and those orthogonal on the real line were studied by Killip and Nenciu \[15\]. We include a proof of these formulas and the explicit connection between the polynomials for the convenience of the reader.

**Proof.** We begin by noting that the right-hand sides of equations \((3.4)\)–\((3.7)\) are invariant under the transformation \(z \to 1/z\). The symmetry of \(f_i\) with respect to \(\theta\) implies that the coefficients in \(\phi_n\) are all real. Also the numerators in equations \((3.4)\) and \((3.6)\) vanish for \(z = 1\), while the numerators of \((3.4)\) and \((3.7)\) vanish for \(z = -1\), which shows that each of the above functions is a polynomial in \(x\). Writing
\begin{equation}
\phi_n(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \phi_{n,i} z^i \text{ and } \hat{\phi}_n(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \phi_{n,n-i} z^i,
\end{equation}
substituting these formulas into \((3.4)\)–\((3.7)\) and using the fact that \(\phi_{n,n} > |\phi_{n,0}|\) for all \(n\) we see that \(p_n(x)\) are polynomials in \(x\) of degree \(n\). We now show that
\begin{equation}
(3.8)\quad I = \int_{-1}^{1} p_n(x)x^j w(x)dx = 0, \quad 0 \leq j < n,
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
(3.9)\quad \int_{-1}^{1} p_n^2(x)w(x)dx = 1.
\end{equation}

Since the techniques are the same for each of \((3.4)\)–\((3.7)\) (and are those given in Szegö \[23\]) we shall show the above relations only for \((3.6)\). Substituting the right-hand side in equation \((3.6)\) into \((3.8)\) yields
\begin{equation*}
I = c_n \int_{0}^{\pi} z^{-n}\phi_{2n+1}(z) x^j (1 - \cos \theta) f_3(\theta) d\theta + c_n \int_{0}^{\pi} z^n\phi_{2n+1}(1/z) x^j (1 - \cos \theta) f_3(\theta) d\theta,
\end{equation*}
where \(c_n = \sqrt{2/(1 - \phi_{2n+1}(0)/k_{2n+1})}\). Letting \(\theta \to -\theta\) in the second integral on the right-hand side of the above equation yields
\begin{equation*}
I = c_n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} z^{-n}\phi_{2n+1}(z) x^j (1 - \cos \theta) f_3(\theta) d\theta = -\frac{c_n}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} z^{-n}\phi_{2n+1}(z) x^j (1 - 1/z) f_3(\theta) d\theta.
\end{equation*}
Since \( x = \frac{z^{1/z}}{2} \) we see using the orthogonality of \( \phi_{2n+1}(z) \) to \( z^{-k} \) for \( k = 0, \ldots, 2n \) that \( J = 0 \) when \( 0 \leq j < n \). For the orthonormality write

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} p_n(x)^2 w(x) dx = c_n^2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \left( \frac{z \phi_{2n+1}(z)}{(z-1)^2} + \frac{z^2 \phi_{2n+1}(1/z)^2}{(1/z-1)^2} \right) \left( 1 - \cos \theta \right) f_3(\theta) d\theta.
\]

This can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{c_n^2}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (-z^{-2n-1} \phi_{2n+1}(z)) \phi_{2n+1}(1/z) f_3(\theta) d\theta = \frac{c_n^2}{2} (1 - \phi_{2n+1}(0)/k_{2n+1}).
\]

This yields the orthonormality relations. In order to prove the relations among the recurrence coefficients we write

\[
p_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{n,i} x^i.
\]

Then from the three term recurrence formulas we find that

\[
a_n = \frac{p_{n-1,n-1}}{p_{n,n}} \quad \text{(3.10)}
\]

and

\[
b_n = \frac{p_{n,n-1}}{p_{n,n}} - \frac{p_{n+1,n}}{p_{n+1,n+1}} \quad \text{(3.11)}
\]

Equating the coefficients of \( z^{n-1} \) in the recurrence relation (3.11) we find that

\[
\frac{\phi_{n+1,n}}{\phi_{n,n}} = \frac{\phi_{n,n-1}}{\phi_{n,n}} + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1}, \quad \text{(3.12)}
\]

while the coefficient of \( z \) yields

\[
\frac{\phi_{n+1,1}}{\phi_{n,n+1}} = -\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1} \frac{\phi_{n,n-1}}{\phi_{n,n}}. \quad \text{(3.13)}
\]

Equating coefficients of \( z^n + z^{-n} \) in (3.6) yields

\[
p_{n,n} = 2^n \sqrt{2} \phi_{2n+1,2n+1}(1 + \alpha_{2n+1})^{1/2},
\]

where we have used equation (3.22). Computing \( \frac{p_{2n-1,n-1}^2}{p_{n,n}} \), then using (3.3) gives \( a_n^2 \) for Case 3. To compute \( b_n \), find the coefficient of \( z^{n-1} + z^{-n+1} \) in (3.6) and note that because of the symmetry \( z \to 1/z \) there is no contribution from the term multiplying \( x^n \). Thus

\[
p_{n,n-1} = 2^{n-1} \left( \frac{2}{1 + \alpha_{2n+1}} \right)^{1/2} (\phi_{2n+1,2n} - \phi_{2n+1,1} + \phi_{2n+1,2n+1} - \phi_{2n+1,0})
\]

so that

\[
\frac{p_{n,n-1}}{p_{n,n}} = \frac{1}{2(1 + \alpha_{2n+1})} \left( \frac{\phi_{2n+1,2n}}{\phi_{2n+1,2n+1}} - \frac{\phi_{2n+1,1}}{\phi_{2n+1,2n+1}} + 1 - \frac{\phi_{2n+1,0}}{\phi_{2n+1,2n+1}} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha_{2n} + \frac{\phi_{2n,2n-1}}{\phi_{2n,2n}} \right) + \frac{1}{2}.
\]

Incrementing \( n \) by one, then subtracting and using once again (3.12) yields the result for Case 3. The other cases follow in a similar manner. \( \square \)
4. Banach algebras

A Beurling weight \([22\), chapter 5\] is a two-sided sequence \(\nu = \{\nu(n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\) with the properties
\[
\begin{align*}
\nu(0) &= 1, \quad \nu(n) \geq 1, \\
\nu(n) &= \nu(-n), \\
\nu(n + m) &\leq \nu(n) \nu(m).
\end{align*}
\]
These properties imply the existence of the limit
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\nu(n)^{1/n}}{1/n} = \inf \nu(n)^{1/n} = R \geq 1.
\]
If \(R = 1\), then \(\nu\) is a strong Beurling weight, and if \(\nu(n) \leq \nu(n + 1)\) for \(n \geq 0\), then \(\nu\) is an increasing Beurling weight.

Each Beurling weight \(\nu\) has the associated Banach space \(\ell_\nu\) of two-sided sequences \(f = \{f_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\) with
\[
\|f\|_\nu \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu(n) |f_n| < \infty;
\]
this norm extends naturally to any one-sided sequence by completing the latter with zeros.

Banach algebras may be associated with the Beurling weights [2] in the following manner. Let \(a, b \in \ell_\nu\); then their convolution is given by
\[
(a * b)(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a(k) b(n - k),
\]
which is absolutely convergent by (4.1), and by (4.3),
\[
\|a * b\|_\nu \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu(n) \sum_{k} |a(k) b(n - k)| \leq \|a\|_\nu \|b\|_\nu.
\]
Thus if we consider the space of functions
\[
A_\nu \equiv \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k z^k : \|f\|_\nu = \sum_{k} \nu(k) |f_k| < \infty \right\},
\]
equation (4.5) shows that it is closed under multiplication and so forms an algebra. For \(\nu \equiv 1\) we obtain the Wiener algebra \(A_1\), containing \(A_\nu\) for any Beurling weight \(\nu\). On the set of all two-sided sequences \(\{d(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) we define the projectors \(P_-\) and \(P_+\):
\[
(P_- d)(n) = \begin{cases} 
    d(n), & \text{if } n \leq 0, \\
    0, & \text{if } n > 0, 
\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
(P_+ d)(n) = \begin{cases} 
    d(n), & \text{if } n \geq 0, \\
    0, & \text{if } n < 0. 
\end{cases}
\]
These projectors can be naturally extended to \(A_\nu\) and give rise to two subalgebras associated with \(A_\nu\): \(A_\nu^+ \equiv P_+(A_\nu)\) and \(A_\nu^- \equiv P_-(A_\nu)\). In other words, \(A_\nu^\pm\) are the sets of functions \(f \in A_\nu\) whose Fourier coefficients \(f_n\) vanish for \(n < 0\) or \(n > 0\), respectively. It is easy to see because of (4.2) that if \(f \in A_\nu\), then \(f(z)\) is continuous for \(1/R \leq |z| \leq R\) (which is the maximal ideal space associated to \(A_\nu\), also called the Gelfand spectrum) and analytic for \(1/R < |z| < R\). Likewise if \(f\) is in \(A_\nu^+\), then \(f\) is continuous for \(|z| \leq R\) and analytic for \(|z| < R\) (in which
case the series in (4.6) is its Maclaurin expansion convergent at least in this disk), and if \( f \) is in \( A^\nu_\infty \), then \( f \) is continuous for \( |z| \geq 1/R \) and analytic for \( |z| > 1/R \). If \( f \in A_\nu \) and \( f \neq 0 \) for \( 1/R \leq |z| \leq R \), then \( 1/f \) is also in the algebra \( \hat{A}_\nu \).

For an increasing Beurling weight \( \nu \) we define the increasing Beurling weight \( \tilde{\nu} \) as \( \tilde{\nu}(n) = (|n| + 1)\nu(n) \). We define \((a, b) \in \hat{I}_\nu\) if \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\nu(2n)(|1 - 4a_n^2| + |b_n - 1|) < \infty \).

We start with a simple lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** If \( g(z) \) is analytic on the unit circle and \( g'(z) \in A_\nu \), then \( g(z) \in A_\phi \).

**Proof.** If we write \( g(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g_m z^m \), then \( g'(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} mg_m z^{m-1} \in A_\nu \) implies that \( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |g_m||m|\nu(m-1) < \infty \). Since \( \nu(m) \leq \nu(m-1)\nu(1) \) and \(|m| + 1 \leq 2|m| \) for \( m \neq 0 \) we see that \( ||g||_{\tilde{\nu}} < \infty \), completing the proof. \( \square \)

In the sequel we will use make use of the following lemma of Krein [16] (see also Lemma 1 in [8]).

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that \( g \in A^\nu_+ \) where \( \nu \) is an increasing Beurling weight with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(n)^{1/n} = R \), \( g(z_0) = 0 \) and \( |z_0| < R \). Then \( \frac{g(z)}{z-z_0} \in A^\nu_+ \).

A useful lemma proved in [10] Lemma 2 is

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( h \in A_1 \), and let \( \nu \) be an increasing Beurling weight. Assume that \( \mathcal{P}_-(h) \in A_\nu^\nu \). Then for a function \( g \),

\[
g \in A^\nu_+ \quad \text{or} \quad g \in A^-_\nu \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}_-(gh) \in A^-_\nu.
\]

Using the above notation, we start with the following theorem proved in [8].

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( \nu \) be an increasing Beurling weight with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(n)^{1/n} = R \). If \( (a, b) \in \hat{I}_\nu \), then

\[
\hat{f}^\nu(z) = 2zf^\nu(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\psi}^\nu_n(z) \in A^\nu_+.
\]

Moreover, there are two solutions \( \Phi^\nu(z, n) = \begin{pmatrix} p^\nu(z, n) \\ \psi^\nu(z, n) \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \Phi^\nu(z, n) = \begin{pmatrix} p^\nu(z, n) \\ \psi^\nu(z, n) \end{pmatrix} \) of (2.4) that satisfy

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} |z^{-n}p^\nu(z, n) - 1| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} |z^{-n}\psi^\nu(z, n)| \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \leq 1,
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} |z^n p^\nu(z, n) - 1| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} |z^n\psi^\nu(z, n) - (1 - z^2)| \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \geq 1,
\]

with \( z^n \Phi^\nu(z, n) \in A^\nu_+ \) and \( z^n \Phi^\nu(z, n) \in A^-_\nu \). If \( f^\nu \) has zeros inside the unit circle they must be real, simple, and finite in number. If \( f^\nu \) has zeros on the unit circle they must be simple at \( z = 1 \) or \( z = -1 \) or both and \( \hat{f}^\nu/h(z) \in A^\nu_+ \) where

\[
h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - z} & \text{if } \hat{f}^\nu(1) = 0, \\
1 + z & \text{if } \hat{f}^\nu(-1) = 0, \\
1 - z^2 & \text{if } \hat{f}^\nu(1) = 0 = \hat{f}^\nu(-1).
\end{cases}
\]

Furthermore in this case

\[
(1 - z^2) \frac{d}{dz}(\hat{f}^\nu) \in A^\nu_+
\]

and

\[
\Phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{z - 1/z} \left[ z\hat{f}^\nu(1/z)\Phi^\nu(z, n) - \frac{1}{z}\hat{f}^\nu(z)\Phi^\nu(z, n) \right], \quad \frac{1}{R} \leq |z| \leq R.
\]
Remark 4.5. Most of the statements and formulas in [8] use the function \( f_+ \), while we will work mainly with the function \( \hat{f}_+ \) related to \( f_+ \) by (4.7).

Proof. Most of the above was given in [8] Theorem 1, and we will give a new simple proof of (4.11). From equation (2.16) and equation (4.7) it follows that

\[
\hat{f}_+(z) = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [(1 - 4a_{i+1}^2 z^2) - 2b_i z] \frac{z^i p_i(x)}{\beta_i},
\]

where \( \beta_0 = 1 \), \( \beta_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2a_i} \) and \( \beta = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2a_i} \). The polynomials \( p_i \) satisfy [9][19]

\[
\frac{z^n p_n(x)}{\beta_n} = 1 - z^{2n+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{(1 - 4a_{i+1}^2) z^2 \frac{1 - z^{2n-2i-2}}{1 - z^2} - 2b_i z \frac{1 - z^{2n-2i}}{1 - z^2}\right\} \frac{z^i p_i(x)}{\beta_i}.
\]

Since \( ||1 - z^{2n+2}||_\nu < (n + 1) \nu (2n + 2) \), the discrete Gronwall’s inequality gives

\[
\left\| \frac{z^n p_n(x)}{\beta_n} \right\|_\nu \leq (n + 1) \nu (2n + 2) \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \nu (2i) (|1 - 4a_i^2| + |b_{i-1}|) \right).
\]

If equation (4.14) is differentiated with respect to \( z \), then multiplied by \( 1 - z^2 \) we obtain with \( k_n(z) = (1 - z^2) \frac{d}{dz} \frac{z^n p_n(x)}{\beta_n} \),

\[
k_n(z) = - (2n + 2) z^{2n+1} + 2z \frac{1 - z^{2n+2}}{1 - z^2} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{(1 - 4a_{i+1}^2) z^2 \frac{1 - z^{2n-2i-2}}{1 - z^2} - 2b_i z \frac{1 - z^{2n-2i}}{1 - z^2}\right\}' \frac{z^i p_i(x)}{\beta_i}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{(1 - 4a_{i+1}^2) z^2 \frac{1 - z^{2n-2i-2}}{1 - z^2} - 2b_i z \frac{1 - z^{2n-2i}}{1 - z^2}\right\} k_i(z).
\]

With the above bound on \( \left\| \frac{z^n p_n(x)}{\beta_n} \right\|_\nu \) and using the fact that \( \nu \) is increasing we see that the sum of the first two terms in the above equation is bounded above by \( c(n + 1) \nu (2n + 2) \), so another application of the discrete Gronwall’s inequality gives \( \|k_n(z)||_\nu \leq c(n + 1) \nu (2n + 2) \). Differentiating equation (4.13) with respect to \( z \), then multiplying by \( 1 - z^2 \) yields

\[
\frac{1 - z^2}{\beta} \frac{d}{dz} \hat{f}_+ = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[2(1 - 4a_{i+1}^2) z - 2b_i z\right] (1 - z^2) \frac{z^i p_i(x)}{\beta_i}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[(1 - 4a_{i+1}^2) z^2 - 2b_i z\right] k_i(z).
\]

Taking the norm in \( \mathcal{A}_\nu \) and using the above bounds give the result. \( \square \)

It is not difficult to see from the recurrence formulas and boundary conditions that \( p_+(z, n) = p_+(\bar{z}, n) = p_+(1/z, n) \) for \(|z| = 1\) and these relations hold in regions of overlapping analyticity.
Definition 4.6. Let \( r > 1 \) and let \( f(z) \) be a real analytic function in \( \{ z : |z| < r \} \) which has only simple real zeros in the closed unit disk \( \{ z : |z| \leq 1 \} \) and \( f(0) > 0 \). If \( \{ z_j \}_{j=1}^M \) are the zeros of \( f \) in the open unit disk \( \{ z : |z| < 1 \} \), we define a positive Borel measure \( \rho = \rho_f \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
d\rho(x) = \sigma(x)\chi_{(-1,1)}(x)dx + \sum_{j=1}^M \rho_j \delta(x - x_j)dx,
\]

where \( x_j = \frac{1}{2}(z_j + \frac{1}{z_j}) \),

\[
\sigma(x) = \frac{2\sin \theta}{|f(z)|^2}, \quad \text{with } x = \cos \theta, \quad z = e^{i\theta},
\]

and \( \rho_j > 0 \) are arbitrary. We will denote by \( \mathcal{M} \) the class of all Borel measures defined as above for some \( f \) and \( \{ \rho_j \}_{j} \) and for each such \( f \) we define

\[
S(z) = \frac{f(1/z)}{f(z)}.
\]

It is easy to see that for every measure \( \rho \in \mathcal{M} \) as in (4.15a) we can find \( R > 1 \) such that the following conditions hold:

(i) \( f(z) \) is analytic for \( |z| < R \) and continuous for \( |z| \leq R \).

(ii) For every zero \( z_j \) of \( f \) with \( 1/R \leq |z_j| < 1 \) we have

\[
\rho_j = \frac{(z_j - 1/z_j)^2}{z_j f'(z_j)f(1/z_j)}.
\]

Indeed, if we take \( R > 1 \) sufficiently close to 1, all zeros of \( f \) in the unit disk will be inside the circle \( |z| < 1/R \) and (4.17) will be automatically satisfied. In practice, we will be interested in the largest possible \( R > 1 \) for which (i) and (ii) above hold. We denote by \( \mathcal{M}_R \) the subclass of measures in \( \mathcal{M} \) which satisfy the additional conditions (i)-(ii) and we call the masses in (ii) positive canonical weights [4,21]. Thus, \( \mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{R>1} \mathcal{M}_R \) and, clearly, \( \mathcal{M}_{R_1} \supset \mathcal{M}_{R_2} \) for \( R_1 < R_2 \).

Remark 4.7. For \( \rho \in \mathcal{M} \) we will write \( \rho = \rho_f \) to indicate that \( f \) is the function in Definition 4.6 (which determines the absolutely continuous part of \( \rho \) and the location of the mass points). Moreover, if \( \rho = \rho_f \in \mathcal{M}_R \), then the canonical weights will be given by (4.17).

Remark 4.8. From equation (4.15b) it follows that \( \frac{\sigma(x)}{\sin \theta} \) is an even function of \( \theta \).

Remark 4.9. Note that the Bernstein-Szegő measures discussed in Theorem 2.1 can be characterized as the measures \( \rho_f \in \mathcal{M} \) satisfying the following two conditions:

- \( f(z) \) is a polynomial;
- \( \rho_f \) has positive canonical weights at all zeros of \( f \) inside the unit circle (or, equivalently, \( \rho_f \in \mathcal{M}_R \) for all \( R > 1 \)).

Remark 4.10. It is perhaps useful to stress that if we start with an arbitrary entire function \( f(z) \) satisfying the conditions in Definition 4.6 and nonzero at \( 1/z_j \), then the \( \rho_j \)'s computed from (4.17) are not necessarily positive. Indeed, if we write \( f \) as

\[
f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^M (z - z_j)g(z),
\]
where \{z_j\} are all the zeros of \( f \) inside the unit circle, then the sign of \( \rho_j \) coincides with the sign of \( z_j^{M+1} \prod_{k \neq j} (z_j - z_k)g(z_j)g(1/z_j) \).

**Lemma 4.11.** Let \( f(z) \) be an entire function satisfying the conditions in Definition 4.9 such that \( f(1/z_j) \neq 0 \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, M \). Then there exists a polynomial \( \tilde{g}(z) \) with real roots of modulus greater than 1 such that the canonical weights \( \tilde{\rho}_j \) for the function \( f \tilde{g} \) are all positive. Moreover, \( \tilde{g} \) can be chosen of degree 2s or less, where s is the number of the negative \( \rho_j \)'s for \( f \).

**Proof.** If we write \( f \) as in (4.18) and use the notation in Remark 4.10 it is enough to show that, for every fixed \( j \), we can find a polynomial \( \tilde{g}_j(z) \) with real roots of modulus greater than 1 such that \( \tilde{g}_j(z_j)\tilde{g}_j(1/z_j) < 0 \) and \( \tilde{g}_j(z_k)\tilde{g}_j(1/z_k) > 0 \) for all \( k \neq j \). Indeed, if \( \rho_j < 0 \) (in (4.17) for the function \( f(z) \)), then multiplying by \( \tilde{g}_j(z) \) we will change the sign of \( \rho_j \) while preserving the signs of all other \( \rho_k \)'s, thus proving the statement by induction. It is easy to see now that we can take \( \tilde{g}_j(z) = (z - \gamma_1)(z - \gamma_2) \), where \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) are chosen sufficiently close to \( 1/z_j \) so that the interval \((1/\gamma_1, 1/\gamma_2)\) contains only \( z_j \) (i.e. \( z_k \not\in (1/\gamma_1, 1/\gamma_2) \) for \( k \neq j \)).

**Example 4.12.** As an illustration, consider \( f(z) = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \) where \( 0 < z_1 < z_2 < 1 \). For the canonical weights for \( f \) computed from formula (4.17) we have \( \rho_1 < 0 \) and \( \rho_2 > 0 \). From the construction in the proof of Lemma 4.11 we see that we can take \( \tilde{g}(z) = (z - \gamma_1)(z - \gamma_2) \), where \( 0 < 1/\gamma_1 < z_1 < 1/\gamma_2 < z_2 \). In this specific example we can also take \( \tilde{g}(z) = z - \gamma_2 \), where \( z_1 < 1/\gamma_2 < z_2 \).

A lemma that we will make use of later is the following.

**Lemma 4.13.** Suppose that \( \rho_f \in \mathcal{M}_R \). Then we can factor \( f \) as \( f(z) = \tilde{q}(z) \tilde{f}(z) \), where \( \tilde{q}(z) \) is a polynomial with real zeros having positive canonical weights at all of its zeros inside the unit circle, \( \tilde{q}(0) > 0 \) and \( \tilde{f}(z) \) is nonzero for \( z \in [-1, 1] \).

**Proof.** Let \( \frac{1}{R^+} = \inf\{z^+_1, \frac{1}{R} \leq z^+_1 \leq 1\} \) and \( \frac{1}{R^-} = \inf\{-z^-_1, -1 \leq z^-_1 \leq -\frac{1}{R} \} \) where \( z^\pm \) are the zeros of \( f \) for \( \frac{1}{R} \leq |z| \leq 1 \). Note that \( f \) has finitely many zeros for \( z \in [-R^-, R^+] \). Indeed, if \( R^+ < R \), then \( f \) is analytic on \([1, R^+]\) and so has only finitely many zeros. If \( R^+ = R \), then \( f(R) \neq 0 \) by the definition of canonical weight, so \( f \) can have only finitely many positive zeros. A similar argument can be used for the negative zeros. Let \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N \) be the real zeros of \( f \) for \( z \in [-R^-, R^+] \) repeated according to the corresponding multiplicities. From Remark 4.10 it follows that at all \( z_j \) with \( 1/R \leq |z_j| < 1 \), the polynomial \( q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^N (z - z_k) \) has positive canonical weights (because the sign of the canonical weight at \( z_j \) coincides with the sign of the canonical weight for \( f \)). Now we can use the construction in Lemma 4.11 to define a polynomial \( \tilde{q}(z) \) with real zeros of modulus greater than \( R \) such that \( \tilde{q}(z) = q(z)\tilde{q}(z) \) has positive canonical weights also at the points \( z_j \) where \( |z_j| < 1/R \).

**Remark 4.14.** If \((a, b) \in \hat{I}_\nu \) and with the notation in Theorem 4.4 one can show that the polynomials \( \{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty \) defined by equation (2.11) are orthonormal with respect to the measure \( \rho_{j^+} \in \mathcal{M}_R \), where \( R \) is given in (4.4) and the noncanonical weights \( \rho_j \) for the zeros \( z_j \) of \( \tilde{f}_+ \) with \( |z_j| < 1/R \) can be computed by

\[
\rho_j = \frac{2zp_+(z_j, 0)}{f'_+(x_j)}.
\]
Moreover in this case $S$ in (4.16) is closely related to the scattering function of Case and Chiu [3].

**Definition 4.15.** We define $\nu = \{a_n\}$ with coefficients $(a, b)$. Moreover in this case 

$$R > 1 \{i_n : \nu(n) = R^{\lceil n \rceil}\}.$$

In other words, $\nu$ is the set of all recurrence coefficients (or equivalently, Jacobi matrices) which decay exponentially.

We now connect the rate of decay of the coefficients for polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle and those on the real line.

**Lemma 4.16.** Suppose that $w(x)$ is a positive weight on $(-1, 1)$ with coefficients $(a, b)$ and one of the cases 1–4 of Theorem 3.1 gives a well-defined $f_i$ that is strictly positive on the unit circle. Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ be the recurrence coefficients associated with this weight and let $\nu$ be an increasing Beurling weight. Then

$$\alpha \in l_\nu \Rightarrow (a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu.$$

**Proof.** If we have Case 1, then from the equations for the relations between the recurrence coefficients we find that

$$(n + 1)\nu(2n)|1 - 4a_n^2| \leq 2\(\nu'(2n)|\alpha_{2n}| + \nu'(2n + 1)|\alpha_{2n + 1}| + \nu'(2n + 2)|\alpha_{2n + 2}|)$$

where we have used that $|\alpha_n| < 1$ for all $n$ and $\nu(n)$ is increasing. Also

$$(n + 1)\nu(2n)|b_{n-1}| \leq \nu'(2n)|\alpha_{2n-1}| + \nu'(2n + 1)|\alpha_{2n+1}|.$$

Since $\nu'(2n) < \nu'(2n - 1)\nu'(1)$ the result follows for Case 1. Cases 2, 3 and 4 follow in a similar manner.

The following result was proved by Damanik and Simon [4], and we give an alternate demonstration. Later in Theorems 4.17, 4.20 the theory of Beurling weights is used to give a more precise equivalence between the maximal rate of decay of $(a, b)$ and the summability of the Fourier coefficients of $S$ and $\sigma$ on the boundary of the region of meromorphicity.

**Theorem 4.17.** Let $R > 1$. For a Borel measure $\rho$ with recurrence coefficients $(a, b)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\limsup(|1 - 4a_n^2| + |b_{n-1}|)^{1/2n} \leq \frac{1}{R}$;
2. $\rho \in \bigcap_{r < R} M_r$.

**Proof.** If (i) holds, then $\forall r \in (1, R)$ we see that $(a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu$ where $\nu(n) = r^{\lceil n \rceil}$ so the result follows from Theorem 4.14 and Remark 4.14. For the opposite direction, pick $r \in (1, R)$ and let $\rho = \rho_f$. Let $d_1(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{M_1}(z - z_j)$ where $\{z_j\}$ are the zeros of $f$ for $\frac{1}{r} \leq |z| \leq 1$ and $d_2(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{M_2}(z - z'_j)$ where $\{z'_j\}$ are the zeros of $f$ for $1 < |z| \leq r$. Set $d(z) = d_1(z)d_2(z)$. Then $\frac{f(z)}{d(z)}$ is analytic for $|z| \leq r$ and therefore $\frac{f'(z)}{d'(z)} \in A_\sigma$ where $\nu(n) = r^{\lceil n \rceil}$. Moreover, since $\frac{f'(z)}{d'(z)}$ is nonzero for $\frac{1}{r} \leq |z| \leq r$, we see that $\frac{f}{d} \in A_\rho$. Thus $w_1(\theta) = \frac{\sigma(\theta)|d_1(e^{i\theta})|^2}{\sin \theta} \in A_\rho$, and $w_1(\theta)$ is nonzero for $\frac{1}{r} \leq |z| \leq r$.

By Corollary 2 in (10), the recurrence coefficients $\{\alpha^1\}$ associated with $w_1$ as a weight on the unit circle are in $l_\rho$. Note that $\frac{\sigma(\theta)|d_1(e^{i\theta})|^2}{\sin \theta} = \frac{w_1(\theta)}{|d_2(e^{i\theta})|^2}$, and therefore by Corollary 3 in (10) the recurrence coefficients $\{\alpha\}$ associated with $\frac{\sigma(\theta)|d_1(e^{i\theta})|^2}{\sin \theta}$...
as a weight on the unit circle are also in $l_\nu$. Lemma 4.16 now implies that the recurrence coefficients associated with $d_\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\sigma(\theta) |d_1(e^{i\theta})|^2}{|h(e^{i\theta})|^2} \, dx$ are in $\hat{l}_\nu$ where $h(z)$ is given by (4.10) with $\hat{f} = f$. Finally, Theorems 6 and 7 in [8] show that we can add the masses back and not change the decay rate of the coefficients so that $(a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu$, hence \( \limsup (|1 - 4a_n^2| + |b_{n-1}|)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{r} \). The result follows by taking the limit $r \to R$. □

An immediate corollary is

Corollary 4.18. For a Borel measure $\rho$ with recurrence coefficients $(a, b)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $(a, b) \in \hat{l}$;
(ii) $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$.

Remark 4.19. Based on the equivalence between $\hat{l}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ established in the above corollary, we can identify the function $\hat{f} = f$ in (4.7) (if we start with the recurrence coefficients) with the function $f$ in Definition 4.6 (when we start with $\rho = \rho_f$).

Remark 4.20. The hypothesis in Corollary 4.18 can be weakened somewhat using the results of Geronimo and Nevai [11] and also Guseinov [14]. However this would take us out of the class $\mathcal{M}$.

We are interested in studying how the rate of convergence of the recurrence coefficients is reflected in the rate of convergence of the Fourier coefficients of the measure. We begin with

Lemma 4.21. If $\nu$ is an increasing Beurling weight, $\rho = \rho_f \in \mathcal{M}$, then

\[
\log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sin \theta} \right) \in A_\nu \Rightarrow \text{ both } S \text{ and } S^{-1} \in A_\nu.
\]

Proof. The conditions show that $f \in A_\nu^+$ and is nonzero for $|z| \leq R$ where $R$ is given by (4.4). If we set

\[
c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ik\theta} \log \left( \frac{\pi \sigma(\theta)}{2\sin(\theta)} \right) d\theta,
\]

then from Remark 4.8 we see that $c_k$ are real numbers. Therefore

\[
S(z) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( c_k z^k - c_{-k} z^{-k} \right) \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( c_k z^k - c_{-k} z^{-k} \right) \right),
\]

from which the result follows. □

The use of Beurling weights allows us to give a complete characterization of the exponential decay of $(a, b)$ in terms of the Fourier coefficients of $S$.

Theorem 4.22. Let $(a, b)$ be the recurrence coefficients associated with a measure $\rho$, satisfying one of the equivalent conditions in Corollary 4.18. Suppose that $\nu$ is an increasing Beurling weight with $R > 1$ and let $z_1, \ldots, z_i$ be the zeros of $\hat{f}$ such that $1/R \leq |z_j| \leq 1$. Then $\mathcal{P} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{z-z_j}{1/z-z_j} S \right) \in A_\nu^-$ and $\rho$ has canonical weights for $\frac{1}{R} \leq |z| < 1$ if and only if $(a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu$. 


Proof. The hypotheses of the theorem show that \( \hat{f}_+(z) \in A^+_\rho \) where \( \nu_0(n) = |n|^{r_0} \) for some \( r_0 > 1 \). If \((a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu \), then from Theorem 4.4 and Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 we see that \( \hat{f}_+(z) \in A^+_\rho \). If we set \( d(z) = \prod_{j=1}^M (z - z_j') \), where \( \{z_j'\} \) are the zeros of \( \hat{f}_+ \) for \( |z| \leq 1 \), then Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 show that \( \hat{f}_+(z) = \frac{f_+(z)}{d(z)} \in A^+_\rho \) so \( \frac{1}{f_+} \in A^+_1 \). From Lemma 4.3 \( \mathcal{P}_-(\mathcal{S}) \in A^-_\rho \) where \( \mathcal{S} = \frac{\hat{f}_+(1/z)}{f_+(z)} \). Since \( |z_j'| < 1/\mathcal{P} \), \( \frac{1/z-z_j'}{z-z_j'} \in A^-_\rho \) and, for \( h \) defined by (4.10), we also have \( \frac{h(1/z)}{h(z)} \in A^-_\rho \), we find that \( \mathcal{P}_-(\prod_{j=1}^M \frac{z-z_j}{1/z-z_j}, \mathcal{S}) \in A^-_\rho \). Now we prove the converse. Let \( d_1(z) = \prod_{j=1}^M (z - z_j) \) where \( \{z_j\} \) are the zeros of \( \hat{f}_+ \) for \( 1/R \leq |z| \leq 1 \). Using Theorem 2 in [10] we see that the recurrence coefficients \( \{\alpha\} \) associated with \( \frac{|d_1(e^{i\theta})|^2}{|f_+(e^{i\theta})|^2} \) as a weight on the unit circle are in \( l_\rho \). Lemma 4.16 implies that the recurrence coefficients associated with the measure \( d\rho_1 = \frac{\sin \theta |d_1(e^{i\theta})|^2}{|f_+(e^{i\theta})|^2 h(z)} \, dx \) on \([-1, 1]\) are in \( \hat{l}_\nu \), where \( h(z) \) is given by (4.10). As above, Theorems 6 and 7 in [8] show that we can add the masses at the zeros of \( \hat{f}_+ \) for \( |z| < 1 \), preserving the decay rate of the coefficients and therefore \((a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu \). \( \square \)

This leads to

**Theorem 4.23.** Let \( \nu \) be an increasing Beurling weight with \( R > 1 \) and \( d\rho = \sigma(\theta) d\theta \) be positive absolutely continuous measure, where \( x = \cos \theta \), \( 0 < \theta < \pi \). Set

\[
\frac{\sigma(-\theta)}{\sin(-\theta)} = \frac{\sigma(\theta)}{\sin \theta}, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi.
\]

Then

\[(a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu \]

and \( \sigma(z) \) has an extension to \( 1/R \leq |z| \leq R \) such that \( |\frac{\sigma(z)}{z-1/z}| < \infty \) for all \( z \) in this region if and only if

\[
\log \left(\frac{\sigma(z)}{z-1/z}\right) \in A_\rho.
\]

**Proof.** If \((a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu \), then Theorem 4.4 shows that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\psi}_n(z) = \hat{f}_+(z) \in A^+_\rho \) and that \( \frac{\sigma(\theta)}{\sin \theta} = \frac{2}{\pi |f_+(z)|^2} = \pi f_+(z)f_+(1/z) \) since \( \hat{f}_+ \) has real coefficients. Since \( \rho \) is absolutely continuous, \( \hat{f}_+(z) \) is nonzero for \( |z| \leq 1 \) by Remark 4.14. Moreover, since \( |\frac{\sigma(z)}{z-1/z}| < \infty \) for \( 1/R \leq |z| \leq R \), it follows that \( \hat{f}_+(z) \) is nonzero for \( 1/R \leq |z| \leq R \). From Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.2 it follows that \( \frac{d}{dz} \hat{f}_+(z) \in A^+_\rho \). Applying Lemma 4.1 we see that \( \hat{f}_+(z) \in A^+_\rho \). By the Wiener-Levy theorem we deduce that \( \log(\hat{f}_+(z)) \in A^+_\rho \), from which equation (4.21) follows.

To show the other direction we see that if equation (4.21) holds, then \( \frac{\sigma(z)}{z-1/z} \in A_\rho \), so Lemma 4.21 and Theorem 4.22 imply that \((a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu \). \( \square \)

This illustrates the connection between the rate of decay of the recurrence coefficients and the Fourier coefficients of \( \frac{\sigma(z)}{z-1/z} \) in the case when \( \frac{\sigma(z)}{z-1/z} \) is finite for \( 1/R \leq |z| \leq R \). If this is not the case, then slight modifications are necessary. We begin with an analog of Theorem 3 in [10] modified to include measures with masses.
Theorem 4.24. Let $\nu$ be an increasing Beurling weight with $R > 1$. Let $\rho_{f_3} \in \mathcal{M}_R$ and suppose that $f_3(z) = f_1(z)f_2(z)$, where $f_1$ and $f_2$ are analytic for $|z| < R$, continuous for $|z| \leq R$ and $f_2$ is nonzero for $|z| \leq 1$. Let $(a^j, b^j)$ denote the recurrence coefficients of $\rho_{f_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. If $(a^3, b^3) \in \hat{l}_\nu$ for $j = 1, 2$, then $(a^3, b^3) \in \hat{l}_\nu$.

Proof. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $S_j$ denote the function associated with $f_j$ by formula (4.16). Set $\tilde{d}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^3(z - z_j)$ where $\{z_j\}^3_{j=1}$ are the zeros of $f_1(z)$ for $1/R \leq |z| \leq 1$. Then $\tilde{S}_1 = \frac{\tilde{d}(z)}{d(1/z)}S_1$ and $S_2$ are in $A_1$. Also

$$\tilde{S}_3 = \frac{\tilde{d}(z)}{d(1/z)}S_3 = \frac{\tilde{d}(z)}{d(1/z)}S_1S_2 = \tilde{S}_1S_2.$$

From Theorem 4.22 it follows that

$$(a^1, b^1) \in \hat{l}_\nu \Rightarrow \tilde{S}_1^- = \mathcal{P}_-(\tilde{S}_1) \in \mathcal{A}_\nu^-$$

and

$$(a^2, b^2) \in \hat{l}_\nu \Rightarrow \tilde{S}_2^- = \mathcal{P}_-(\tilde{S}_2) \in \mathcal{A}_\nu^-.$$.

With $\tilde{S}_1^+ = \tilde{S}_1 - \tilde{S}_1^-$ in $A_1^+$ and $S_2^+ = S_2 - S_2^-$ in $A_1^+$ we see that

$$\mathcal{P}_-(\tilde{S}_3) = \mathcal{P}_-(\tilde{S}_1S_2) = \mathcal{P}_-(\tilde{S}_1^-S_2^- + \tilde{S}_1^+S_2^- + \tilde{S}_1^-S_2^+) \in \mathcal{A}_\nu^-,$$

where Lemma 4.3 has been used to obtain the last inclusion. The result now follows from Theorem 4.22. \hfill $\square$

By Theorem 2.1 the coefficients $(a, b)$ for Bernstein-Szegő measures belong to $\hat{l}_\nu$ for every $\nu$. We will use this fact to show that multiplication of the original weight by a Bernstein-Szegő weight does not affect the rate of decay of the recurrence coefficients.

Theorem 4.25. Let $\nu$ be an increasing Beurling weight with $R > 1$. Suppose that $\rho_f \in \mathcal{M}_R$. We can write $f$ as $f(z) = q(z)\tilde{f}(z)$, with $q(z) = c\prod_{j=1}^3(z - z_j)$ where $\{z_j\}$ are the zeros of $f$ inside and on the unit circle and $c$ is chosen so that $q(0) > 0$. Let $(a, b)$ and $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$ denote the recurrence coefficients of $\rho_f$ and $\rho_{\tilde{f}}$, respectively. If $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) \in \hat{l}_\nu$, then $(a, b) \in \hat{l}_\nu$.

Proof. Using the notation in Lemma 4.13, we can write $f(z) = \tilde{q}(z)\tilde{f}(z)$ and $\tilde{f}(z) = \tilde{f}(z)\tilde{q}(z)$, where $\tilde{q}(z)$ is a polynomial having positive canonical weights at all zeros inside the unit circle (i.e. $\rho_{\tilde{q}}$ is a Bernstein-Szegő measure) and $\tilde{q}(z)$ is a polynomial with real zeros with absolute values in $(1, R) \cup (R, \infty)$. Moreover, the zeros of $\tilde{q}(z)$ for $1 < |z| < R$ are zeros of $\tilde{f}$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.22 we deduce that $\tilde{f} \in A_\nu^+$. Using $\tilde{f}(z) = \tilde{f}(z)\tilde{q}(z)$, the properties of the zeros of $\tilde{q}$, the fact that $\frac{1}{z - z_0} \in A_\nu^+$ for $|z_0| > R$ and Lemma 4.2 we see that $\tilde{f}(z) \in A_\nu^+$ and $\tilde{f}(z)$ is nonzero for $|z| \leq 1$. Thus $\tilde{f}(1/z) \in A_{\nu^*}$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{f}(z)} \in A_1^+$ and therefore $\mathcal{P}_-(S_f) \in A_\nu^-$ by Lemma 4.3. The proof now follows from Theorem 4.24. \hfill $\square$

Our final result is a simplification of Theorem 14 in [8].

Theorem 4.26. Let $\nu$ be an increasing Beurling weight with $R > 1$ and $\rho(x)$ be a positive measure with absolutely continuous part $\sigma(\theta)$, $x = \cos \theta$, $0 < \theta < \pi$ and recurrence coefficients $(a, b)$. Set $\frac{\sigma(-\theta)}{\sin(-\theta)} = \frac{\sigma(\theta)}{\sin \theta}$, $0 < \theta < \pi$. 


If \( \rho \in \mathcal{M}_R \) and there exists a polynomial \( d(z) \) with real coefficients so that

\[
\log \left( \frac{a(z)d(z)d(1/z)}{z-1/z} \right) \in \mathcal{A}_\rho,
\]

then \((a, b) \in \hat{I}_\nu \).

Conversely, if \((a, b) \in \hat{I}_\nu \) and \( \sigma(z) \) has a meromorphic extension to \( 1/R < |z| < R \) such that \( |\sigma(z)| < \infty \) for \( |z| = R \), then \( \rho \in \mathcal{M}_R \) and there exists a polynomial \( d(z) \) with real coefficients so that

\[
\log \left( \frac{a(z)d(z)d(1/z)}{z-1/z} \right) \in \mathcal{A}_\rho.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \rho = \rho_f \in \mathcal{M}_R \) and \( \log \left( \frac{a(z)d(z)d(1/z)}{z-1/z} \right) \in \mathcal{A}_\rho \). Since \( \log \left( \frac{d(z)d(1/z)}{f(z)f(1/z)} \right) \in \mathcal{A}_\rho \)

we see that \( d(z)d(1/z) \) must cancel all zeros of \( f(z) \) for \( \frac{1}{R} \leq |z| \leq R \). Moreover

\[
\log((z-z_0)(1/z-z_0)) \in \mathcal{A}_\rho \quad \text{for} \quad |z_0| < \frac{1}{R},
\]

and therefore without any restriction we can assume that \( d(z)d(1/z) \) cancels all zeros of \( f(z) \) for \( |z| \leq R \). If we set \( d_1(z) = \prod_{j=1}^M (z - z_j) \) where \( \{z_j\} \) are the zeros of \( f \) for \( |z| \leq 1 \), then we must have

\[
d(z)d(1/z) = d_1(z)d_1(1/z)d_2(z)d_2(1/z)
\]

for some polynomial \( d_2(z) \) with real coefficients, which has no zeros on the unit circle. From Theorem 4.23, the recurrence coefficients \( \hat{a}, \hat{b} \) for \( d_2(x) = \sigma(\theta)d(e^{i\theta})^2dx \) are in \( \hat{I}_\nu \). Applying Theorem 4.24 we see that the recurrence coefficients \( \hat{a}, \hat{b} \) for \( \sigma(\theta)d_1(e^{i\theta})^2dx \) are also in \( \hat{I}_\nu \). Theorem 4.25 now completes the proof in this direction.

Conversely, if \((a, b) \in \hat{I}_\nu \)

then Theorem 4.3 and Lemmas 4.1,4.2 show that \( \hat{f}_+(z) \in \mathcal{A}_\rho^+ \).

Moreover, if we set \( d(z) = \prod_{j=1}^M (z - z_j) \) where \( \{z_j\} \) are the zeros of \( \hat{f}_+(z) \) for \( |z| < R \), then the function \( \hat{f}_+(z)/d(z) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{A}_\rho^+ \) and is nonzero for \( |z| \leq R \). Therefore \( \log \left( \frac{d(z)}{\hat{f}_+(z)} \right) \in \mathcal{A}_\rho^+ \), from which the result follows.
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