

Calculation of Gamma Functions to High Accuracy

By M. E. Sherry and S. Fulda*

The two constants, $\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})$ and $\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})$ have been calculated to 35 decimal places on the Cambridge Computer by means of an interpretive routine that treats floating-point numbers of 37 significant digits [1, 2].

Stirling's asymptotic expansion for $\ln \Gamma(x)$ can be written

$$(1) \quad \ln \Gamma(x) \sim (x - \frac{1}{2}) \ln x - x + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_r}{x^{2r-1}}.$$

With the remainder of the series made very small, the accuracy of $\ln \Gamma(x)$ depends primarily on the accuracy of $\ln 2\pi$, $\ln x$, and the C_r . Uhler [3, 4] has published the $\ln x$ for all primes through 101, as well as the $\ln \pi$, to more than 100 significant figures. Uhler has also calculated the C_r to over 100 significant figures [5].

The recursion formula for the Gamma function

$$(2) \quad \Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$$

can be extended to

$$(3) \quad \Gamma(x+n) = (x)_n \Gamma(x)$$

where $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer-Barnes symbol

$$(4) \quad (x)_n = (x+n-1)(x+n-2) \cdots (x+1)x.$$

If we take the logarithm of Eq. (3),

$$(5) \quad \ln \Gamma(x+n) = \ln \Gamma(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \ln(x+j).$$

Substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (5) gives, after solving for $\ln \Gamma(x)$,

$$(6) \quad \ln \Gamma(x) \sim \lambda(x) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_r}{(x+n)^{2r-1}},$$

where

$$(7) \quad \lambda(x) = (x+n-\frac{1}{2}) \ln(x+n) - (x+n) + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \ln(x+j).$$

The term $\lambda(x)$ is calculated quite easily by hand, and it is not difficult to calculate $\ln \Gamma(x)$ from Eq. (6) with a digital computer.

To calculate $\Gamma(x)$, let

$$(8) \quad \Gamma(x) = e^{\psi} e^{\ln \Gamma(x) - \psi}$$

Received December 31, 1958; revised May 4, 1959.

* S. Fulda, Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey, co-authored this paper while on temporary duty at the Air Force Cambridge Research Center.

where

$$(9) \quad \psi = \pm 0.1n$$

is the exponent of e nearest in value to $\ln \Gamma(x)$. Values of $e^{\pm 0.1n}$, n being any integer from 0 to 10, have been tabulated by Van Orstrand [6].

$$(10) \quad \text{Since} \quad |\ln \Gamma(x) - \psi| \leq 0.05$$

it will always be possible to calculate $e^{\ln \Gamma(x)}$ by means of the exponential power series expansion using very few terms.

These techniques led to the following values:

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 2.6789\ 38534\ 70774\ 76336\ 55692\ 94097\ 46776$$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = 1.3541\ 17939\ 42640\ 04169\ 45288\ 02815\ 45138$$

$$\ln \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = .98542\ 06469\ 27767\ 06918\ 71740\ 36977\ 96139$$

$$\ln \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = .30315\ 02751\ 47523\ 56867\ 58628\ 17372\ 01104.$$

It was possible to examine round-off and truncation errors at each step in the calculation. The final relative error was less than $\pm 3 \times 10^{-35}$ in each case.

As a final independent check, the values of $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$ were put into

$$(11) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

The error analysis was consistent with this identity.

Computer & Mathematical Sciences Laboratory,
Air Force Cambridge Research Center,
Bedford, Massachusetts

1. B. ZONDEK, "The values of $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$ and their logarithms accurate to 28 decimals," *MTAC*, v. 9, 1955, p. 24-25.

2. Air Force Cambridge Research Center Report, *Cambridge Computer Interpretive Routine for Quadruple Precision Numbers*, Series 3, TN-59-155, 1959.

3. H. S. UHLER, "Natural logarithms of small primary numbers," *Proc., Nat. Acad. Sci.*, v. 29, 1943, p. 319-325.

4. H. S. UHLER, "Log π and other basic constants," *Proc., Nat. Acad. Sci.*, v. 24, 1938, p. 23-30.

5. H. S. UHLER, "The coefficients of Stirling's series for $\log \Gamma(x)$," *Proc., Nat. Acad. Sci.*, v. 28, 1942, p. 59-62.

6. C. E. VAN ORSTRAND, "Tables of the exponential function and of the circular sine and cosine to radian argument," *Mem., Nat. Acad. Sci.*, v. 14: 5, 1921 (Tables IV and V).