# Conversion of Modular Numbers to their Mixed Radix Representation by a Matrix Formula 

By J. Schönheim

Introduction. Let $m_{i}>1,(i=1,2, \cdots, s)$, be integers relatively prime in pairs and denote $m=m_{1} m_{2} \cdots m_{s}$. If $x_{i}, 0 \leqq x_{i}<m_{i},(i=1,2, \cdots, s)$ are integers, the ordered set $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right)$ is called a modular number, with respect to the moduli $m_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, s)$ and it denotes a unique residue class mod $m$.

Modular arithmetic has been developed [1], [2], [5], and its use in computers has been suggested [1], [5]. It has also been applied in the solution of various problems [2], [6].

A central question is to determine the least nonnegative residue $\bmod m$ of a given residue class $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right)$. Denote it by $n$. In order to work entirely in the given modular system it was suggested [1], [3], [7] and [8] to obtain $n$ in its mixed radix representation with respect precisely to the radices $m_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, s)$, thus in the form

$$
n=b_{1}+b_{2} m_{1}+b_{3} m_{1} m_{2}+\cdots+b_{s} m_{1} m_{2} \cdots m_{s-1}
$$

where $0 \leqq b_{i}<m_{i},(i=1, \cdots, s)$. In these methods the modular number $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{s}\right)$ is obtained from the modular number $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ sequentially or iteratively.

We propose here (see Theorem) a matrix method which consists in precalculating ( $s-1$ ) matrices, $A_{i},(i=1,2, \cdots, s-1)$, which depend only on the moduli $m_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, s)$ and in obtaining $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{s}\right)$ by postmultiplication of ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}$ ) by $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{s-1}$ or more precisely, observing the nonassociativity of the used matrix product, computing:

$$
\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \cdots, b_{s}\right)=\left[\cdots\left[\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) A_{1}\right] A_{2}\right] \cdots A_{s-2}\right] A_{s-1} .
$$

This method is simpler than Mann's method [3] and concentrates the sequential Svoboda-Lindamood-Shapiro method [1], [4] in a single matricial formula.

Definition 1. Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ and $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ be matrices of $s$ columns with integer elements, whose rows may be regarded as modular numbers with respect to the moduli $m_{i}(i=1, \cdots, s)$. Define, provided $B$ has $s$ rows, $C=A B$ as $C=\left[c_{i j}\right]$, $c_{i j}=\sum a_{i v} b_{\nu j}\left(\bmod m_{j}\right), 0 \leqq c_{i j}<m_{j}$.

This matrix multiplication is not associative in general, but two exceptions are mentioned in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $E=E_{i v\left(c_{r}\right)}$ (fixed $\left.i, \nu=1,2, \cdots, h<s\right)$ be $s \times s$ matrices having units in the main diagonal, $c_{\nu}$ as $\nu$ th element in the $i$ th $(\nu \neq i)$ row and zeroes elsewhere. Let $D$ be a diagonal matrix of the same size. Then if $X$ is an arbitrary matrix with $s$ columns and $A$ an arbitrary $s \times s$ matrix, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
(X A) D & =X(A D)  \tag{1}\\
\left(\cdots\left(\left(X E_{1}\right) E_{2}\right) \cdots\right) E_{h} & =X\left(\left(\cdots\left(\left(E_{1} E_{2}\right) E_{3}\right) \cdots\right) E_{h}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Properties (1) and (2) are immediate consequences of the definitions.

Remark 1. The matrices $E_{\nu}(\nu=1, \cdots, h)$ are generalized elementary matrices.
Notation. Denote $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right)$ if $x$ is an arbitrary number of the residue class $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) \bmod m$ and denote $n \equiv\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right)$ if $n$ is the least nonnegative residue of the class.

Lemma 2. If $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots x_{s}\right)$ is a modular number with respect to the moduli $m_{i}(i=1, \cdots, s)$ and $n \equiv\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right)$ while

$$
\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{m_{1}}, \frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{m_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{x_{s}-x_{1}}{m_{1}}\right)
$$

means a modular number with respect to the moduli $m_{i}(i=2,3, \cdots, s)$ then

$$
\frac{n-x_{1}}{m_{1}} \equiv\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{m_{1}}, \frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{m_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{x_{s}-x_{1}}{m_{1}}\right)
$$

Proof. $n-x_{1}$ is divisible by $m_{1}$ and since $0 \leqq n<m$, it follows that

$$
0 \leqq \frac{n-x_{1}}{m_{1}}<\frac{m}{m_{1}}
$$

Definition 2. Let $m_{i}^{-1} \equiv m_{i j}\left(\bmod m_{j}\right), i<j \leqq s, 0<m_{i j}<m_{j}$ and put $n_{i j}=m_{j}-m_{i j}$. Let $I_{k}$ be the identity matrix of rank $k$. Define, for $1 \leqq k \leqq s-1$, $s \times s$ matrices,

$$
A_{k}=\left[\right] .
$$

Lemma 3. If $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{s}\right)$ is a modular number with respect to the moduli $m_{i}(i=1, \cdots, s)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{s}\right) A_{k}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{k}, \frac{y_{k+1}-y_{k}}{m_{k}}, \cdots, \frac{y_{s}-y_{k}}{m_{k}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The matrix $A_{k}$ is the product of the elementary matrices $E_{k, k+1}\left(n_{k, k+1}\right)$ $\cdots E_{k s}\left(n_{k s}\right)$ multiplied by the diagonal matrix

$$
D=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
I_{k} & & & \\
& m_{k, k+1} & & \\
& & \cdot & \\
& & \cdot & \\
& & & \\
& & & m_{k s}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

By Lemma 1 associativity holds and the effect of postmultiplication by $A_{k}$ is the
same as the effect of successive postmultiplications by $E_{k, k+1}, E_{k, k+2}, \cdots, E_{k s}$ and $D$, which is precisely the right side of (3).

Lemma 4. Let $n \equiv\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right)$ and let $q_{i}, r_{i}(i=1, \cdots, s)$ be the quotients and the remainders in the successive divisions

$$
\begin{align*}
n & =m_{1} q_{1}+r_{1}  \tag{4}\\
q_{i} & =m_{i+1} q_{i+1}+r_{i+1} \quad(i=1, \cdots, s-1)
\end{align*}
$$

then
$\left(\cdots\left(\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) A_{1}\right) A_{2}\right) \cdots\right) A_{k}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{k}, r_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, y_{k+3}, \cdots, y_{s}\right)$ and

$$
\left(r_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, \cdots, y_{s}\right) \equiv q_{k}
$$

Proof. Proceed by induction on $k$. Let $k=1$. Then by Lemma 3

$$
\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) A_{1}=\left(x_{1}, \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{m_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{m_{2}}\right)
$$

hence $r_{1}=x_{1}$ and by Lemma 2,

$$
\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{m_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{x_{s}-x_{1}}{n_{1}}\right) \equiv \frac{n-x_{1}}{m_{1}}=q_{1} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{m_{1}} \equiv r_{2} \quad\left(\bmod m_{2}\right) \quad 0 \leqq r_{2}<m_{2}
$$

Suppose the assertion is true for $1<k<h \leqq s-1$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\cdots\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) A_{1}\right) \cdots\right) A_{h-1}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{h}, y_{h+1}, y_{h+r}, \cdots, y_{s}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{h-1} \equiv\left(r_{h}, y_{h+1}, \cdots, y_{s}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the moduli $m_{i}(i=h, h+1, \cdots, s)$. Then by Lemma 3 and (5)

$$
\left(\left(\cdots\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) A_{1}\right) \cdots\right) A_{h-1}\right) A_{h}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{h} \frac{y_{h+1}-r_{h}}{m_{h}}, \cdots, \frac{y_{s}-r_{h}}{m_{h}}\right)
$$

and by (6) and Lemma 2

$$
\left(\frac{y_{h+1}-r_{h}}{m_{h}}, \cdots, \frac{y_{s}-r_{h}}{m_{h}}\right) \equiv \frac{q_{h-1}-r_{h}}{m_{h}}=q_{h}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{y_{h+1}-r_{h}}{m_{h}}=r_{h+1}, \quad 0 \leqq r_{h+1}<m_{h+1}
$$

Hence the result is true for $k=h$.
Theorem. If $m_{i}, m_{i}>1(i=1,2, \cdots, s)$ are integers, relatively prime in pairs
$m=m_{1} \cdots m_{s}$, and if $n$ is the least nonnegative residue of the class $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) \bmod m$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{s}$ are the digits of the mixed radix representation of $n$ with respect to the radices $m_{i}(i=1, \cdots, s)$ then with matrix multiplication and matrices $A_{i}(i=1, \cdots, s)$ as defined in Definitions 1 and 2

$$
\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{s}\right)=\left(\cdots\left(\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) A_{1}\right) A_{2}\right) \cdots\right) A_{s-1} .
$$

Proof. The digits $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{s}$ of the required representation are the remainders of the successive divisions (4) and the theorem is a corollary of Lemma 4 with $k=s-1$.

Remark 2. The above algorithm requires in general $s-1$ matrix multiplications, but if $k<s-1$ and
(7) $\quad\left(\cdots\left(\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) A_{1}\right) A_{2}\right) \cdots\right) A_{k}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{k+1}, 0,0, \cdots, 0\right)$
then the right side of (7) is the result, and no further multiplications are needed.
Example. Let 2, 3, 5, 7 be the moduli $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}$. Then the numbers $m_{i j}$, $i<j$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 3 & 4 \\
& 2 & 5 \\
& & 3
\end{array}
$$

and therefore the numbers $n_{i j}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
& 3 & 2 \\
& & 4
\end{array}
$$

The matrices $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ are

$$
A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right] ; \quad A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right] ; \quad A_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $(0200)$ be a residue class $\bmod 210$. Let $n$ be the least nonnegative residue of this class. Then $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$, the digits of the mixed radix representation of $n$, with respect to the radices $2,3,5,7$ are given by

$$
\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)=\left(\left(\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) A_{1}\right) A_{2}\right) A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

Indeed $0+1 \cdot 2+3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3+4 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5=140,140<210$ and $140 \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, $2(\bmod 3), 0(\bmod 5)$ and $0(\bmod 7)$.
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