## TABLE ERRATA

423.-Milton Abramowitz \& Irene A. Stegun, Editors, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, No. 55, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1964, and all known reprints.

In Table 9.11, on p. 429, the following terminal-digit errors were discovered as the result of calculations carried to 16 S on a UNIVAC 1108 and checked by means of the Wronskian relation, which was found to be satisfied to within $10^{-15}$ in every case.

|  | $K_{n}(2)$ |  | $K_{n}(5)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | for | read | $n$ | for | read |
| 0 | . . . 28 | . . 27 | 30 | . . . 63 | . 64 |
| 40 | . . . 86 | . . . 85 |  |  |  |
|  | $K_{n}(50)$ |  |  | $K_{n}(100$ |  |
| $n$ | for | read | $n$ | for | read |
| 0 | . . . 74 | . . . 75 | 1 | . . . 73 | . . 74 |
| 1 | . . 22 | . . 23 | 3 | . . . 74 | . . 75 |
| 2 | . . . 83 | . . . 84 | 9 | . . . 46 | . . . 47 |
| 4 | . . 24 | . . . 25 | 10 | . . . 97 | . . . 98 |
| 5 | . . 24 | . . . 25 | 17 | . . . 71 | . 72 |
| 6 | . . . 69 | . . 70 | 18 | . . . 31 | . 32 |
| 7 | . . 21 | .22 | 30 | . . 05 | . . . 06 |
| 8 | . . . 75 | . . 76 |  |  |  |
| 9 | . . 33 | . . . 35 |  |  |  |
| 10 | . . 19 | . . 21 |  |  |  |
| 12 | . . . 35 | . . . 36 |  |  |  |
| 15 | . . 17 | . . 18 |  |  |  |
| 16 | . . . 35 | . . . 36 |  |  |  |
| 17 | . . . 28 | . . . 29 |  |  |  |
| 18 | . . 398 | . . 400 |  |  |  |
| 19 | . . . 23 | . . . 24 |  |  |  |
| 50 | . . . 47 | . . . 48 |  |  |  |
| 100 | . . . 22 | . . . 53 |  |  |  |
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424.-A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger \& F. G. Tricomi, Tables of Integral Transforms, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1954.
The following corrections are required in these tables: Vol. I, p. 38: The argu-
ment of the gamma function in transform 1.10(4) should read $2 n+\nu+1$ instead of $2 n+\nu-1$. This error has been reproduced in Gradshteyn \& Ryzhik [1].

Lee C. Bradley
Lincoln Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Lexington, Massachusetts 02173
Vol. I, p. 118: The right member of transform 3.2(7) should read $\pi \alpha^{-1}(\beta+\alpha)^{-\nu}$ $e^{\alpha y}, y<0$.

Vol. II, p. 31: In transform 8.6(19) the factor $\left(y^{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)^{-\nu-3 / 4}$ in the right member should read $\left(y^{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)^{-\nu / 2-3 / 4}$.

H. R. Aggarwal<br>C. M. Ablow

Stanford Research Institute
Menlo Park, California 94025
Vol. I, pp. 97, 98, 178: In transforms 2.11(14), 2.11(16), and 4.12(19), the right member should be multiplied by -1 .

Vol. I, p. 149: In transform 4.6(16), for $-c i(a p) \cos (a p)$, read $+c i(a p) \cos (a p)$.
Van E. Wood
M. L. Glasser

Battelle Memorial Institute
Columbus, Ohio 43201

1. I. S. Gradshteyn \& I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, 4th ed., Academic Press, New York, 1965, p. 843, formula 7.393.2.
425.-Samuel M. Selby, Editor, Standard Mathematical Tables, 15th ed., The Chemical Rubber Company, Cleveland, Ohio, 1967.
On p. 5, the 50D approximations to the following constants should each be increased by a unit in the final decimal place, when correctly rounded: $\pi, \log \sqrt{ }(2 \pi)$, $e, M, \ln 2, \log 2, \ln 3$, and $\log 3$. Furthermore, an error appears in the fifteenth decimal place of the 20D value shown for Euler's constant, $\gamma:$ for 3, read 2.

These same errors appear in the fourteenth edition (p. 16).

## Wayne Williams

120 Williamsburg Lane
Garland, Texas 75040
Editorial note: The final figure should likewise be increased by a unit in the 50D approximations given for $1 / e, e^{2}, \sqrt{ } 2$, and $\sqrt[3]{2}$. Also, the final five digits of the 30 D approximation to $\log M$ should read 98645 , instead of 98565 .
426.-Z. Kopal, Numerical Analysis, 2nd ed., John Wiley \& Sons, New York, 1961.

