# A Probabilistic Approach to a Differential-Difference Equation Arising in Analytic Number Theory 

By Jean-Marie-François Chamayou

Abstract. The differential-difference equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
t v^{\prime}(t)+v(t-1) & =0, & & t>1, \\
v(t) & =0, & & t<0, \\
v(t) & =\text { constant }, & & 0 \leqq t \leqq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

can be solved by the Monte-Carlo method, for the initial condition $v(t)=e^{-r}, 0 \leqq t \leqq 1$, where the $v(t)$ represent the probability density of a random variable:

$$
t=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{i} x_{j},
$$

where the $x_{i}$ are independent and uniformly distributed on $(0,1)$.
I. Introduction. The function $\psi(x, y)$ is equal to the number of integers less than or equal to $x$ and free of prime factors greater than $y$. Chowla and Vijayaraghavan, Ramaswami, Buchstab and de Bruijn have shown that [1]:

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi\left(y^{t}, y\right)}{y^{t}}=v(t),
$$

where $v(t)$ is a function satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
t v^{\prime}(t)+v(t-1) & =0, & & t>1, \\
v(t) & =0, & & t<0, \\
v(t) & =1, & & 0 \leqq t \leqq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Many authors have studied the limits and asymptotic behaviour of this equation [2]; Norton gives an exhaustive bibliography [3]. Highly accurate numerical results were obtained by Dickman, Bellman, Van de Lune ([4], [5], [6]).

The differential-difference equation solution by the Monte-Carlo method does not claim to be as accurate as these previous calculations but only shows a probabilistic aspect of this equation.
II. Stochastic Model. Let $u_{n}$ be the random variable: $u_{n}=x_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+$ $x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$, where $x_{i}$ are independent random variables uniformly distributed on ( 0,1 ).
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It may be deduced from the distribution of a product of $x_{i}$ variables that if $n \rightarrow \infty$, $u_{n}$ converges in probability to a limit.

Lemma. Assume that $v(t)$ is a function continuous on $0<t<\infty$ satisfying the following equation:
(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
t v^{\prime}(t)+v(t-1) & =0, & & t>1 \\
v(t) & =0, & & t<0, \\
v(t) & =C, & & 0 \leqq t \leqq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This function is identical to $f(t)$ : the probability density of a random variable:

$$
t=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{i} x_{i}
$$

where $x_{i}$ are independent random variables uniformly distributed on $(0,1)$ if the constant $C$ equals $e^{-\gamma}, \gamma$ being the Euler constant.

Proof.* Introduce

$$
t_{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{i} x_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad t_{b}=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \prod_{i=2}^{i} x_{i}
$$

$t_{a}$ and $t_{b}$ have the same probability distribution and $t_{a}=x_{1}\left(1+t_{b}\right), t_{b}$ and $x_{1}$ are independent.

Let $F(t)$ be the distribution function of $t_{a}$ :

$$
F(t)=\operatorname{Pr}\left[t_{a} \leqq t\right] ;
$$

of course, if $t<0$, then $F(t)=0$.
If $t>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t) & =\operatorname{Pr}\left[t_{a} \leqq t\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{1}\left(t_{b}+1\right) \leqq t\right] \\
& =\sum \operatorname{Pr}\left[t_{b}+1 \leqq t / x\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[x \leqq x_{1} \leqq x+d x\right] \\
& =\sum F\left(\frac{t}{x}-1\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left[x \leqq x_{1} \leqq x+d x\right]=\int_{0}^{1} F\left(\frac{t}{x}-1\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $(t / x)-1=s$, then

$$
F(t)=t \int_{t-1}^{\infty} \frac{F(s)}{(s+1)^{2}} d s
$$

If $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$, then

$$
F(t)=t \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{F(s) d s}{(s+1)^{2}}=C \cdot t
$$

where $C$ is a constant. Hence, $f(t)=F^{\prime}(t)=C$ for $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$.
If $t>1$, by differentiating once, we get

$$
f(t)=(F(t)-F(t-1)) / t \geqq 0 ;
$$

by differentiating again, we find $t f^{\prime}(t)=-f(t-1), t>1$.

* I am indebted to J. J. A. M. Brands for the correction of my initial proof.

Table I

| n | $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{n} \leqslant 1\right)$ <br> Explicit value | Monte-Carlo value $\left(10^{5}-\text { runs }\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.69315 | 0.69416 |
| 3 | 0.61428 | 0.61622 |
| 4 | 0.58498 | 0.58350 |
| 5 | 0.57246 | 0.57356 |
| 6 | 0.56674 | 0.57016 |
| 7 | 0.56404 | 0.56303 |
| 8 | 0.56273 | 0.56290 |
| 9 | 0.56209 | 0.56381 |
| 10 | 0.56177 | 0.56030 |
| $\infty$ | 0.56146 |  |

Let $h(s)$ be the Laplace transform of $f(t)[7]$ :

$$
h(s)=\left(C_{0} / s\right) \exp \left\{-E_{1}(s)\right\},
$$

where

$$
E_{1}(s)=\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z} d z}{z}
$$

Table II

| t | $v(t)$ <br> Explicit value | $\Delta t \stackrel{t}{=} 0.1$ | Monte-Carlo value (20 000 runs) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rough value | Smooth value using REINSCH's <br> (10) program |
| 0 | 1 | $0 \quad 00.1$ | 0.96801 |  |
|  |  | 0.1-0.2 | 0.99206 |  |
|  |  | 0.2-0.3 | 1.03391 |  |
|  |  | 0.3-0.4 | 0.96890 |  |
|  |  | 0.4-0.5 | 1.01788 |  |
|  |  | 0.5-0.6 | 1.01432 |  |
|  |  | 0.6-0.7 | 1.02233 |  |
|  |  | 0.7-0.8 | 0.99206 |  |
|  |  | 0.8-0.9 | 1.01343 |  |
| 1 |  | 0.9-1.0 | 0.95733 |  |
| 1.1 | 0.9046898202 | 1.0-1.1 | 0.95911 | 0.9624 |
| 1.2 | 0.8176784432 | 1.1-1.2 | 0.91547 | 0.8874 |
| 1.2 | 0.7376357355 | 1.2-1.3 | 0.81484 | 0.8132 |
| 1.4 | 0.6635277634 | 1.3-1.4 | 0.69016 | 0.7403 |
| 1.5 | 0.5945348919 | 1.4-1.5 | 0.58419 | 0.6693 |
| 1.6 | 0.5299963708 | 1.5-1.6 | 0.57974 | 0.6006 |
| 1.7 | 0.4693717489 | 1.6-1.7 | 0.50849 | 0.5346 |
| 1.8 | 0.4122133351 | 1.7-1.8 | 0.43992 | 0.4719 |
| 1.9 | 0.3581461138 | 1.8-1.9 | 0.37492 | 0.4128 |
|  |  | 1.9-2.0 | 0.31169 | 0.3578 |
| 2.0 | 0.3068528194 | 2.0-2.1 | 0.29031 | 0.3070 |
| 2.1 | 0.2604057802 | 2.1-2.2 | 0.23510 | 0.2608 |
| 2.2 | 0.2203571379 | 2.2-2.3 | 0.17810 | 0.2193 |
| 2.3 | 0.1857994616 | 2.3-2.4 | 0.17098 | 0.1826 |
| 2.4 | 0.1559912639 | 2.4-2.5 | 0.16208 | 0.1506 |
| 2.5 | 0.1303195618 | 2.5-2.6 | 0.11132 | 0.1231 |
| 2.6 | 0.1082724430 | 2.6-2.7 | 0.09172 | 0.0999 |
| 2.7 | 0.08941856572 | 2.7-2.8 | 0.07748 | 0.0808 |
| 2.8 | 0.07339158076 | 2.8-2.9 | 0.05699 | 0.0653 |
| 2.9 | 0.05987811599 | 2.9-3.0 | 0.05076 | 0.0528 |
| 3.0 | 0.04860838829 | 3.0-3.1 | 0.05165 | 0.0425 |
| 3.1 | 0.03932296954 | 3.1-3.2 | 0.04186 | 0.0333 |
| 3.2 | 0.03170344451 | 3.2-3.3 | 0.02583 | 0.0250 |
| 3.3 | 0.02546472387 | 3.3-3.4 | 0.01514 | 0.0186 |
| 3.4 | 0.02037177906 | 3.4-3.5 | 0.01603 | 0.0145 |
| 3.5 | 0.01622959324 | 3.5-3.6 | 0.00980 | 0.0125 |
| 3.6 | 0.01287543418 | 3.6-3.7 | 0.01069 | 0.0121 |
| 3.7 | 0.01017283782 | 3.7-3.8 | 0.01514 | 0.0120 |
| 3.8 | 0.008006872188 | 3.8-3.9 | 0.00801 | 0.0092 |
| 3.9 | 0.006280373062 | 3.9-4.0 | 0.00534 | 0.0053 |
| 4.0 | 0.004910925648 | 4.0-4.1 | 0.00178 | 0.0018 |

Assuming that $f(t)$ is a probability $h(0)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) d t=1$, the constant $C_{0}$ equals $e^{-\gamma}$, where $\gamma$ is the Euler constant.

Since $f(t)=C$ as $t=0$, we obtain the boundary condition: $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{sh}(s)=C=e^{-\gamma}$.
From $f(t)=C$ as $t=1$, inverting Laplace transform, it may be deduced again that $f(1)=e^{-r}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t) & =0, & & t<0, \\
f(t) & =e^{-\gamma}, & & 0 \leqq t \leqq 1, \\
f^{\prime}(t) & =-f(t-1) / t, & & t>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Table II

| t | $v(t)$ explicit value (*) |  | $\Delta t=0.1$ | Monte-Carlo va | (3.10 ${ }^{5}$ runs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | 0.38285853 | $10^{-2}$ | 4.1-4.2 | 0.39 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 4.2 | 0.29754751 | $10^{-2}$ | 4.2-4.3 | 0.35 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 4.3 | 0.23050507 | $10^{-2}$ | 4.3-4.4 | 0.27 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 4.7 | 0.17799428 | $10^{-2}$ | 4.4-4.5 | 0.165 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 4.5 | 0.13701182 | $10^{-2}$ | 4.5-4.6 | 0.135 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 4.6 | 0.10514453 | $10^{-2}$ | 4.6-4.7 | 0.13 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 4.7 | 0.80455901 | $10^{-3}$ | 4.7-4.8 | 0.095 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 4.8 | 0.61395778 | $10^{-3}$ | 4.8-4.9 | 0.065 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 4.9 | 0.46728046 | $10^{-3}$ | 4.9-5.0 | 0.085 | $10^{-2}$ |
| 5.0 | 0.35472534 | $10^{-3}$ | 5.0-5.1 |  | $10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.268580 $10^{-3}$ <br> 0.202822 $10^{-3}$ <br> 0.152768 $10^{-3}$ <br> 0.114775 $10^{-3}$ <br> 0.860192 $10^{-4}$ <br> 0.643153 $10^{-4}$ <br> 0.479771 $10^{-4}$ <br> 0.357089 $10^{-4}$ <br> 0.265188 $10^{-4}$ <br> 0.196503 $10^{-4}$ <br> ted by $4^{\text {th }}$ order TAYLOR's expansion ted by $5^{\text {th }}$ order TAYLOR's expansion |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DICKMAN result ${ }^{\text {Monte-Carlo value }}$$\frac{v(t)}{(1+t)^{2}} d t=0.62433=0.6238$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

III. Numerical Calculations. For $t \leqq 4$, the solution of Eq. (1) is obtained by explicit expression (see Appendix); for $t>4$, it is impossible to express the solution by means of known functions. This explicit expression can thus be used for the wellknown equation of the statistic theory of damage [8].

$$
\begin{aligned}
t u^{\prime}(t) & =u(t-1), & & t>1 \\
u(t) & =0, & & t<0 \\
u(t) & =1, & & 0 \leqq t \leqq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \leqq 4$, the function $v(t)$ can be calculated with an accuracy depending solely on the polylogarithms which are used in its expression [9]. The random variable $u_{n}$ is very easy to simulate by means of the pseudo-random numbers of Lehmer's method.

It can be seen in Section II that the $u_{n}$ distributions achieve rapid convergence as $n$ increases.

For the calculations, $n$ is chosen so that we cannot discriminate between the distributions of $u_{n}$ and $u_{n-1}$ because the statistical fluctuations of the pseudo-random numbers are greater than the discrepancy between them.
IV. Results. Table I gives an illustration of Section II; notice that we get the Euler constant simulated by $-\log \left|\operatorname{Pr}\left[u_{n} \leqq 1\right]\right|, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Table II represents the calculation of the function $v(t)$ explicitly and by simulation. Results are smoothed by the spline method [10]. Polylogarithms can be calculated by means of Chebyshev's polynomial expansion [11], [12]; Kölbig gives an excellent algorithm for the dilogarithm's calculation [13].
V. Conclusion. The main purpose of this paper is to test the ability of the Monte-Carlo method to resolve differential-difference equations, and, using a classical example, to justify further studies in the field of the statistical theory of damage and neutron transport problems [14] which involve the same mathematical data.
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Appendix.
$v(t)$ explicit behaviour,
$v(t)=1-\log t, \quad 1 \leqq t \leqq 2$,
$v(t)=1-\log t+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2} t+L_{2}(1 / t)+L_{2}(-1)\right], \quad 2 \leqq t \leqq 3$,
$v(t)=1-\log t+\left[\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2} t+L_{2}(1 / t)+L_{2}(-1)\right]$
$-\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left[L_{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)-L_{3}\left(\frac{1}{(t-1)^{2}}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{3}\left(\log ^{3}(t-1)-\log ^{3} 2\right)\right.$
$+\frac{1}{2}\left(\log ^{2}(t-1) \log t-\log ^{2} 2 \log 3\right)+L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t-1}\right) \log \frac{t}{t-1}$
$-L_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)-L_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{t-1}\right) \log (t-2)+L_{2}(-1) \log \frac{t}{3}$
$+\{[\underbrace{\left[\log \frac{1}{2}-\log \frac{t-2}{t-1}\right]+\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{t-1}\right]}_{V_{1}}]$
$-\frac{1}{2^{2}}[\underbrace{\left[V_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{(t-1)^{2}}\right)\right.}_{V_{2}}]$
$\left.+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{2}}\left[V_{p}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left(\frac{1}{2^{p+1}}-\frac{1}{(t-1)^{p+1}}\right)\right]+\cdots\right\}, \quad 3 \leqq t \leqq 4$.

By means of Newton's method, the explicit expression permits easy calculation of the roots $t_{k}$

$$
v\left(t_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{k}, \quad k=4,5, \cdots, 203 .
$$

For example, the roots

$$
t_{4}=2.1245966, \quad t_{5}=2.2571089
$$

are used by Davenport and Erdös [15].
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