The Convergence of Galerkin Approximation Schemes for Second-Order Hyperbolic Equations With Dissipation

By Barbara Kok and Tunc Geveci

Abstract. In this paper we consider certain semidiscrete and fully discrete Galerkin approximations to the solution of an initial-boundary value problem for a second-order hyperbolic equation with a dissipative term. Estimates are obtained in the energy and negative norms associated with the problem, yielding in particular H^1 - and L^2 -error estimates. The approximation to the initial data is taken, in this case, as the projection with respect to the energy inner product, onto the approximating space. We also obtain estimates for higher-order time derivatives.

1. Introduction. We consider the approximation of the solution of the initial-boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} D_t^2 u(t, x) + Lu(t, x) + \alpha D_t u(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \Omega, \\ u(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad D_t u(0, x) = \dot{u}_0(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ is bounded with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. L is the second-order elliptic operator

$$Lu = - \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + a_0(x)u,$$

where

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \beta |\xi|^2 \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^N, \beta > 0.$$

We associate the bilinear form

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} + a_0 uv \right) dx$$

with L . The term $\alpha D_t u(t, x)$, $\alpha > 0$, represents a retarding or frictional force which causes dissipation of energy.

Our aim is to extend the convergence analysis for conservative hyperbolic equations by Geveci [5] and Baker and Bramble [1] to include a dissipative term of the above form.

Received August 22, 1983; revised March 23, 1984.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 65N15.

The problem (1.1) and its approximation is considered in the framework of certain subspaces $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)$ of the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\Omega)$, as in [1], [2], [5] and [7]:

$$\dot{H}^s(\Omega) = \{v \in H^s(\Omega) : L^j v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \text{ for } j < s/2\}, \quad s > 0.$$

$$\dot{H}^\infty(\Omega) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \dot{H}^s(\Omega).$$

The eigenvalues of the operator L with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions form a sequence $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of real, positive, nondecreasing numbers with corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, complete in $L^2(\Omega)$. $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)$ can then be defined equivalently as [4]

$$\dot{H}^s(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : \|v\|_s = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty |(v, \varphi_j)|^2 \lambda_j^s \right\}^{1/2} < \infty \right\}.$$

For $s > 0$, $\dot{H}^{-s}(\Omega)$ denotes the dual of $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)$ with respect to the L^2 -inner product, with norm

$$\|v\|_{-s} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty |(v, \varphi_j)|^2 \lambda_j^{-s} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

The solution operator $T: \dot{H}^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \dot{H}^1(\Omega)$ of the associated elliptic boundary value problem is defined by

$$a(Tf, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega),$$

and is selfadjoint and positive definite on $L^2(\Omega)$ so that

$$(v, w)_{-s} \equiv (T^s v, w)$$

defines an inner product on $L^2(\Omega)$ (Thomée [7]).

In the formulation and presentation of the convergence analysis, we shall mainly follow Geveci [5]. Let X denote the space $X \equiv \dot{H}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ with the 'energy' inner product

$$((U, V))_0 = a(u, v) + (\dot{u}, \dot{v}).$$

For $U \in \dot{H}^{q+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^q(\Omega)$, we have the norm $\|U\|_q^2 = \|u\|_{q+1}^2 + \|\dot{u}\|_q^2$. The initial-boundary value problem can now be written as an evolution equation for $U(t) = [u(t), \dot{u}(t)]^T \in X$:

$$(1.2) \quad D_t U(t) + \Lambda_\alpha U(t) = 0, \quad U(0) = U_0 = [u_0, \dot{u}_0]^T,$$

where

$$\Lambda_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ L & \alpha I \end{bmatrix} = \Lambda + \alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \Lambda + \alpha \mathcal{J},$$

where

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ L & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

as in [5], (1.5). We note that

$$\Lambda^q = \begin{cases} (-1)^{q/2} \begin{bmatrix} L^{q/2} & 0 \\ 0 & L^{q/2} \end{bmatrix}, & q \text{ even}, \\ (-1)^{(q-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -L^{(q-1)/2} \\ L^{(q+1)/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & q \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad |||U|||_q = |||\Lambda^q U|||_0 \quad ([5], (1.8)).$$

Dissipation of energy in the system (1.1) is demonstrated by the inequality

$$(1.4) \quad |||U(t)|||_0 \leq |||U(s)|||_0, \quad t \geq s \geq 0,$$

which is deduced from (1.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} |||U(t)|||_0^2 &= ((D_t U(t), U(t)))_0 + ((U(t), D_t U(t)))_0 \\ &= ((-\Lambda_\alpha U(t), U(t)))_0 + ((U(t), -\Lambda_\alpha U(t)))_0 \\ &= a(\dot{u}, u) - a(u, \dot{u}) - 2\alpha(\dot{u}, \dot{u}) + a(u, \dot{u}) - a(\dot{u}, u) \\ &= -2\alpha(\dot{u}, \dot{u}) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|||U(t)|||_0^2 \leq |||U(s)|||_0^2, \quad t \geq s \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, for $U(t) \in \dot{H}^{q+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^q(\Omega)$, we have the regularity result:

$$(1.5) \quad |||U(t)|||_q \leq |||U(s)|||_q, \quad t \geq s \geq 0.$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} |||U(t)|||_q^2 &= \frac{d}{dt} |||\Lambda^q U(t)|||_0^2 \\ &= ((\Lambda^q(-\Lambda - \alpha \mathcal{J})U, \Lambda^q U))_0 + ((\Lambda^q U, \Lambda^q(-\Lambda - \alpha \mathcal{J})U))_0 \\ &= ((-\Lambda^{q+1}U, \Lambda^q U))_0 + ((\Lambda^q U, -\Lambda^{q+1}U))_0 \\ &\quad - \alpha((\Lambda^q \mathcal{J}U, \Lambda^q U))_0 - \alpha((\Lambda^q U, \Lambda^q \mathcal{J}U))_0 \\ &= -\alpha((\Lambda^q[0, \dot{u}], \Lambda^q[u, \dot{u}]))_0 - \alpha((\Lambda^q[u, \dot{u}], \Lambda^q[0, \dot{u}]))_0 \\ &= -\alpha(-1)^q((\Lambda^{2q}[0, \dot{u}], [u, \dot{u}]))_0 - \alpha(-1)^q((\Lambda^{2q}[u, \dot{u}], [0, \dot{u}]))_0 \\ &= -\alpha(([0, L^q \dot{u}], [u, \dot{u}]))_0 - \alpha(([L^q u, L^q \dot{u}], [0, \dot{u}]))_0 \\ &= -2\alpha(L^q \dot{u}, \dot{u}) = -2\alpha \|\dot{u}\|_q^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The semidiscrete Galerkin approximation to (1.1) is derived from the formulation

$$(D_t^2 u(t), \varphi) + a(u(t), \varphi) + \alpha(D_t u(t), \varphi) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega), u(t) \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega),$$

i.e.,

$$a(TD_t^2 u(t), \varphi) + a(u(t), \varphi) + \alpha a(TD_t u(t), \varphi) = 0.$$

Then, with $\dot{u}(t) = D_t u(t)$, we have

$$TD_t \dot{u}(t) + u(t) + \alpha T\dot{u}(t) = 0,$$

and parallel to the treatment in [1] and [5], an evolution equation for $U(t) = [u(t), \dot{u}(t)]^T$:

$$(1.6) \quad JD_t U(t) + U(t) + \alpha \mathcal{T} U(t) = 0, \quad U(0) = U_0,$$

where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We note that

$$J: L^2(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \dot{H}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{T}: \dot{H}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \dot{H}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega).$$

As in [5], we have

$$(1.7) \quad |||J^p U(t)|||_0 = |||U(t)|||_{-p}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

and we note that J is skew-adjoint on X .

Semidiscrete Galerkin Scheme: Let $S_h^r(\Omega) \subset \dot{H}^1(\Omega)$ be a finite-dimensional subspace with the approximation property

$$\inf_{\varphi_h \in S_h^r(\Omega)} \{ \|u - \varphi_h\|_0 + h \|u - \varphi_h\|_1 \} \leq ch^q \|u\|_q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq r, r \geq 2,$$

in which $h \in (0, 1)$ is a parameter.

Let $T_h: \dot{H}^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow S_h^r(\Omega)$ be an operator approximating T and be defined by

$$a(T_h f, \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in S_h^r(\Omega), f \in \dot{H}^{-1}(\Omega).$$

The operator T_h has the properties (see [1] and [3]):

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &T_h \text{ is symmetric, positive semidefinite on } L^2(\Omega) \text{ and positive} \\ &\text{definite on } S_h^r(\Omega); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \|(T - T_h)f\|_{-p} \leq ch^{p+q+2} \|f\|_q, \quad -1 \leq p \leq r-2, -1 \leq q \leq r-2.$$

The semidiscrete approximation to the solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) is a function $u_h(t) \in S_h^r(\Omega)$ which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (D_t^2 u_h(t), \varphi_h) + a(u_h(t), \varphi_h) + \alpha(D_t u_h(t), \varphi_h) &= 0, \quad \varphi_h \in S_h^r(\Omega), t > 0, \\ u_h(0) = u_{0,h} \in S_h^r(\Omega), \quad \dot{u}_h(0) &\equiv D_t u_h(0) = \dot{u}_{0,h} \in S_h^r(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

We write this, in terms of $U_h(t) = [u_h(t), \dot{u}_h(t)]^T$, as

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} J_h D_t U_h(t) + U_h(t) + \alpha \mathcal{T}_h U_h(t) = 0, \\ U_h(0) = U_{0,h}, \end{cases} \quad t > 0,$$

where

$$J_h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T_h \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}: L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow S_h^r(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$$

is skew-adjoint in $S_h^r(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ (see [5]), and where

$$\mathcal{T}_h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T_h \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}: S_h^r(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow S_h^r(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega).$$

If we define

$$|||V|||_{-p,h} = \left\{ \|v\|_{-(p-1),h} + \|v\|_{-p,h} \right\}^{1/2}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

this being the seminorm induced by the bilinear form

$$((V, W))_{-p,h} \equiv (T_h^{p-1}v, w) + (T_h^p \dot{v}, \dot{w}),$$

we see that

$$(1.11) \quad |||J_h^p U_h|||_0 = |||U_h|||_{-p,h}, \quad p \geq 1, \text{ for } U_h \in S_h^r(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \quad [5].$$

Energy estimates are readily obtained from (1.10), parallel to the derivations of (1.4) and (1.5), in this case using the skew-adjointness of J_h and

$$J_h^{2p} = (-1)^p \begin{bmatrix} T_h^p & 0 \\ 0 & T_h^p \end{bmatrix}$$

(see [5]). Thus we have

$$(1.12) \quad |||U_h(t)|||_{-p,h} \leq |||U_h(0)|||_{-p,h}, \quad p \geq 0, t > 0.$$

We choose $U_{0,h} = [P_h^1 u_0, P_h^0 \dot{u}_0]^T \equiv \mathbf{P}_h U_0$, where $\mathbf{P}_h: \dot{H}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow S_h^r \times S_h^r$ denotes the projection with respect to $((\cdot, \cdot))_0$, i.e.,

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (P_h^0 v, \varphi_h) &= (v, \varphi_h), & \varphi_h \in S_h^r(\Omega), v \in L^2(\Omega), \text{ and} \\ a(P_h^1 v, \varphi_h) &= a(v, \varphi_h), & \varphi_h \in S_h^r(\Omega), v \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

This is in accordance with the choice of initial data in [5].

Approximation-Theoretic Results: The following approximation-theoretic results are well known ([5] and [7]) and will be used repeatedly:

$$(1.14) \quad \|v - P_h^1 v\|_{-p} \leq ch^{p+q} \|v\|_q, \quad -1 \leq p \leq r-2, 1 \leq q \leq r,$$

$$(1.15) \quad \|v - P_h^0 v\|_{-p} \leq ch^{p+q} \|v\|_q, \quad 0 \leq p \leq r, 0 \leq q \leq r,$$

and hence,

$$(1.16) \quad |||V - \mathbf{P}_h V|||_{-p} \leq ch^{p+q-1} |||V|||_{q-1}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq r-1, 1 \leq q \leq r.$$

We also have, from (1.9),

$$(1.17) \quad |||(J - J_h)V|||_{-p} \leq ch^{p+q-1} |||V|||_{q-2}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq r-1, 1 \leq q \leq r,$$

and

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} |||V|||_{-p,h} &\leq c \{ |||V|||_{-p} + h^p |||V|||_0 \}, & V \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega), \\ |||V|||_{-p} &\leq c \{ |||V|||_{-p,h} + h^p |||V|||_0 \}, & 0 \leq p \leq r-1. \end{aligned}$$

We now present the convergence analysis for the approximation of second-order hyperbolic equations with dissipation, following the ideas of Baker and Bramble [1], Geveci [5] and Thomée [7].

In Section 2 we obtain estimates in the energy and negative norms when the approximation to the initial data is the projection onto the approximating space $S_h^r(\Omega) \times S_h^r(\Omega)$, as defined by (1.13).

In Section 3 we discuss fully discrete approximations generated by a class of 'acceptable' rational functions, as defined by Hersh and Kato [6].

In Section 4 we give estimates for the convergence of higher-order time derivatives in the semidiscrete case, that is, for $|||D_t^s U(t) - D_t^s U_h(t)|||_{-p}, 0 \leq p \leq r-1$.

2. Convergence Estimates for Semidiscrete Approximations. The complete proofs of the results stated below appear in the supplements section at the end of this issue. The analysis therein follows that of Geveci [5, Section 2].

PROPOSITION 1. *If $U(t)$ satisfies (1.6) and $U_h(t)$ satisfies (1.10) with $U_h(0) = \mathbf{P}_h U_0$, $U_0 \in \dot{H}^{q+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^q(\Omega)$, then*

$$\|U(t) - U_h(t)\|_0 \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{q-1} \|U_0\|_q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq r, 0 \leq t \leq t^*.$$

PROPOSITION 2. *If $U(t)$ satisfies (1.6) and $U_h(t)$ satisfies (1.10) with $U_h(0) = \mathbf{P}_h U_0$ and $U_0 \in \dot{H}^{q+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^q(\Omega)$, then*

$$\|U(t) - U_h(t)\|_{-p,h} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|U_0\|_q, \quad 1 \leq p \leq r-1, 1 \leq q \leq r.$$

Propositions 1 and 2 then yield our main result.

THEOREM 1. *If $U(t)$ is the solution of (1.6) and $U_h(t)$ is the solution of (1.10) with $U_h(0) = \mathbf{P}_h U_0$, $U_0 \in \dot{H}^{q+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^q(\Omega)$, $1 \leq q \leq r$, then for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$,*

$$\|U(t) - U_h(t)\|_{-p} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|U_0\|_q, \quad 0 \leq p \leq r-1.$$

3. Convergence Estimates for Certain Fully Discrete Schemes. Let I_h denote the identity map on $S'_h(\Omega)$. The operator $T_h: \dot{H}^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow S'_h(\Omega)$ is positive definite on $S'_h(\Omega)$, so that we can define $L_h = (T_h|_{S'_h(\Omega)})^{-1}$. Then as in [5, Section 3], we have

$$\Lambda_h \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I_h \\ L_h & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \left(J_h|_{S'_h(\Omega) \times S'_h(\Omega)} \right)^{-1}.$$

We can rewrite (1.10) as

$$(3.1) \quad D_t U_h(t) + \Lambda_h U_h(t) + \alpha \mathcal{J}_h U_h(t) = 0, \quad U_h(0) = \mathbf{P}_h U_0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_h \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.2) \quad U_h(t) = e^{-(\Lambda_h + \alpha \mathcal{J}_h)t} \mathbf{P}_h U_0 \equiv e^{-\Lambda_{\alpha,h} t} \mathbf{P}_h U_0.$$

We now construct single-step fully discrete approximations to (1.2). Let r be a rational function such that [6]:

$$(3.3) \quad r(z) = e^z + O(|z|^{p+1}), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \\ |r(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \text{ with } \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0.$$

The fully discrete approximation $\{W^n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset S'_h(\Omega) \times S'_h(\Omega)$ to $U(t)$ is given by

$$W^{n+1} = r[-k \Lambda_{\alpha,h}] W^n, \quad W^0 = \mathbf{P}_h U_0;$$

i.e.,

$$(3.4) \quad W^n = r^n[-k \Lambda_{\alpha,h}] \mathbf{P}_h U_0,$$

where k is the time step.

The following lemmas will be applied in the derivation of the error estimate for $U(t) - W^n$, $t = nk$:

We first note that $-\Lambda_{\alpha,h}$ is a dissipative operator, since for all $U \in S'_h(\Omega) \times S'_h(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((U, -\Lambda_{\alpha,h}U))_0 &= (([u, \dot{u}], [\dot{u}, -L_h u - \alpha \dot{u}]))_0 \\ &= -\alpha(\dot{u}, \dot{u}) + a(u, \dot{u}) - \overline{(L_h u, \dot{u})} = -\alpha(\dot{u}, \dot{u}) + a(u, \dot{u}) - \overline{a(T_h L_h u, \dot{u})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L_h = (T_h|_{S'_h})^{-1}$ this reduced to $-\alpha(\dot{u}, \dot{u}) + 2i \operatorname{Im} a(u, \dot{u})$. Therefore $\operatorname{Re}((U, -\Lambda_{\alpha,h}U))_0 \leq 0$. The operator $-\Lambda_{\alpha,h}$ therefore generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup.

LEMMA 1. *Let r be a rational function satisfying (3.3). Then*

$$\|r^n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\|_{L(S'_h, S'_h)} \leq 1, \quad \text{where } S'_h = S'_h(\Omega) \times S'_h(\Omega).$$

Proof. This follows directly from Hersh and Kato [6, Theorem 6].

LEMMA 2. *For all $f \in D(-\Lambda_{\alpha,h}^{v+1})$ we have*

$$\|r^n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})f - e^{-nk\Lambda_{\alpha,h}}f\|_{-p,h} \leq c(t^*)k^v \| \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^{v+1} f \|_{-p,h}, \quad p \geq 0.$$

Proof. See Hersh and Kato [6, Theorem 7].

LEMMA 3. *For $2 \leq m \leq v+2$, $Z \in X$, we have*

$$\| \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^{m-1} J_h^m Z \|_{-p,h} \leq c(\alpha) \| J_h Z \|_{-p,h}, \quad p \geq 0.$$

Proof. By definition

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,h}^{m-1} = (\Lambda_h + \alpha \mathcal{J}_h)^{m-1}.$$

We note that $\Lambda_h \mathcal{J}_h + \mathcal{J}_h \Lambda_h = \Lambda_h$; $\Lambda_h^{2j} \mathcal{J}_h = \mathcal{J}_h \Lambda_h^{2j} \forall j \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{J}_h^m = \mathcal{J}_h \forall m \geq 1$. Then

$$(\Lambda_h + \alpha \mathcal{J}_h)^{m-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} c_{m,j} \alpha^j \Lambda_h^{m-1-j} + \alpha^{m-1} \mathcal{J}_h + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} d_{m,j} \alpha^{m-1-2j} \mathcal{J}_h \Lambda_h^{2j}$$

where

$$\mu = \begin{cases} (m-3)/2 & \text{for } m \text{ odd,} \\ (m-2)/2 & \text{for } m \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

$$c_{m,0} = c_{m,m-3} = c_{m,m-2} = 1;$$

while for $0 < j < m-3$, $c_{m,j}$ is determined by the triangle

$$\begin{array}{cccc} m=2 & 1 & & \\ m=3 & 1 & 1 & \\ & \cdot & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & \cdot & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ & \cdot & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ & \cdot & 1 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$\text{and } d_{m,j} = c_{m,m-2-2j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \mu.$$

On $S'_h(\Omega) \times S'_h(\Omega)$, we have

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,h}^{m-1} J_h^{m-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} c_{m,j} \alpha^j J_h^j + \alpha^{m-1} \mathcal{J}_h J_h^{m-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} d_{m,j} \alpha^{m-1-2j} \mathcal{J}_h J_h^{m-2j-1}$$

and for $Z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} |||\Lambda_{\alpha,h}^{m-1} J_h^{m-1}(J_h Z)|||_{-p,h} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} c_{m,j} \alpha^j |||J_h^j(J_h Z)|||_{-p,h} + \alpha^{m-1} |||\mathcal{J}_h J_h^{m-1}(J_h Z)|||_{-p,h} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} d_{m,j} \alpha^{m-1-2j} |||\mathcal{J}_h J_h^{m-1-2j}(J_h Z)|||_{-p,h}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $j \geq 0$

$$|||J_h^j(J_h Z)|||_{-p,h} = |||J_h^p(J_h Z)|||_{-j,h} \leq c |||J_h^p(J_h Z)|||_0 = c |||J_h Z|||_{-p,h};$$

while

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-2} c_{m,j} \alpha^j \leq c(\alpha).$$

Noting that $|||\mathcal{J}_h W|||_{-p,h} \leq |||W|||_{-p,h}$, $p \geq 0$, we have, as above,

$$\alpha^{m-1} |||\mathcal{J}_h J_h^{m-1}(J_h Z)|||_{-p,h} \leq c(\alpha) |||J_h^p(J_h Z)|||_0 = c(\alpha) |||J_h Z|||_{-p,h};$$

and, similarly,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} d_{m,j} \alpha^{m-1-2j} |||\mathcal{J}_h J_h^{m-2j} Z|||_{-p,h} \leq c(\alpha) |||J_h Z|||_{-p,h}.$$

The result follows.

As in [1] and [5], we define an auxiliary function $U_0^{(k)} = [u_0^{(k)}, \dot{u}_0^{(k)}]^T$, with $U_0^{(k)} \in \dot{H}^\infty(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$(3.5) \quad |||U_0^{(k)}|||_{q+m} \leq k^{-m} |||U_0|||_q,$$

$$(3.6) \quad |||U_0 - U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p} \leq k^{q+p} |||U_0|||_q, \quad m, p, q \geq 0.$$

THEOREM 2. Assume $U_0 \in (\dot{H}^{q+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^q(\Omega)) \cap (\dot{H}^{s+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^s(\Omega))$. Then, for $2 \leq q \leq r$, $2 \leq s \leq \nu + 1$, $nk = t \leq t^*$,

$$|||W^n - U(nk)|||_0 \leq c(t^*, \alpha) \{ h^{q-1} |||U_0|||_q + k^{s-1} |||U_0|||_s \}.$$

Proof. We first note that

$$|||W^n - U(nk)|||_0 \leq |||W^n - U_h(nk)|||_0 + |||U_h(nk) - U(nk)|||_0.$$

From Proposition 1, we have

$$(3.7) \quad |||U_h(nk) - U(nk)|||_0 \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{q-1} |||U_0|||_q,$$

so that it remains for us to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} W^n - U_h(nk) &= r^n (-k \Lambda_{\alpha,h}) \mathbf{P}_h U_0 - e^{-nk \Lambda_{\alpha,h}} \mathbf{P}_h U_0 \\ &= \{ r^n (-k \Lambda_{\alpha,h}) - e^{-nk \Lambda_{\alpha,h}} \} \mathbf{P}_h U_0^{(k)} \\ &\quad + \{ r^n (-k \Lambda_{\alpha,h}) - e^{-nk \Lambda_{\alpha,h}} \} \mathbf{P}_h (U_0 - U_0^{(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the second term:

$$\begin{aligned} &|||\{ r^n (-k \Lambda_{\alpha,h}) - e^{-nk \Lambda_{\alpha,h}} \} \mathbf{P}_h (U_0 - U_0^{(k)})|||_0 \\ (3.8) \quad &\leq \|r^n (-k \Lambda_{\alpha,h})\|_{L(S_h^r, S_h^r)} |||\mathbf{P}_h (U_0 - U_0^{(k)})|||_0 + |||\mathbf{P}_h (U_0 - U_0^{(k)})|||_0 \\ &\leq 2 |||\mathbf{P}_h (U_0 - U_0^{(k)})|||_0 \leq 2k^{s-1} |||U_0|||_{s-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1 and (3.6). Let $F_n(z) = r^n(z) - e^{nz}$. We now have to estimate

$$\|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h U_0^{(k)}\|_0.$$

As in [1] and [5], we write

$$U_0^{(k)} = \sum_{l=0}^s J_h^l (J - J_h) \Lambda^{l+1} U_0^{(k)} + J_h^{s+1} \Lambda^{s+1} U_0^{(k)}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_h U_0^{(k)} &= \mathbf{P}_h (J - J_h) \Lambda U_0^{(k)} + \mathbf{P}_h J_h (J - J_h) \Lambda^2 U_0^{(k)} + \sum_{l=2}^s J_h^l (J - J_h) \Lambda^{l+1} U_0^{(k)} \\ &\quad + J_h^{s+1} \Lambda^{s+1} U_0^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

However, $\mathbf{P}_h (J - J_h) Z = 0$, $Z \in X$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h U_0^{(k)}\|_0 &\leq \|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h J_h (J - J_h) \Lambda^2 U_0^{(k)}\|_0 \\ &\quad + \|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h}) J_h^{s+1} \Lambda^{s+1} U_0^{(k)}\|_0 \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=2}^s \|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h}) J_h^l (J - J_h) \Lambda^{l+1} U_0^{(k)}\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, (1.18), (1.17) and (3.5) the first term can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad &\|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h J_h (J - J_h) \Lambda^2 U_0^{(k)}\|_0 \\ &\leq 2 \|J_h (J - J_h) \Lambda^2 U_0^{(k)}\|_0 \leq ch^q \| \Lambda^2 U_0^{(k)} \|_{q-2} \\ &= ch^q \| U_0^{(k)} \|_q \leq ch^q \| U_0 \|_q. \end{aligned}$$

For $2 \leq l \leq s$, we have by Lemmas 2 and 3, (1.18) and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad &\|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h}) J_h^l (J - J_h) \Lambda^{l+1} U_0^{(k)}\|_0 \\ &\leq c(t^*) k^{l-2} \| \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^{l-1} J_h^l (J - J_h) \Lambda^{l+1} U_0^{(k)} \|_0 \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) k^{l-2} \| J_h (J - J_h) \Lambda^{l+1} U_0^{(k)} \|_0 \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) k^{l-2} h^{q-1} \| U_0^{(k)} \|_{q+l-2} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) k^{l-2} h^{q-1} k^{-(l-2)} \| U_0 \|_q = c(t^*, \alpha) h^{q-1} \| U_0 \|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Lemma 2 ($2 \leq s \leq \nu + 1$) and Lemma 3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h}) J_h^{s+1} \Lambda^{s+1} U_0^{(k)}\|_0 &\leq c(t^*) k^{s-1} \| \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^s J_h^{s+1} \Lambda^{s+1} U_0^{(k)} \|_0 \\ &\leq c(\alpha, t^*) k^{s-1} \| J_h \Lambda^{s+1} U_0^{(k)} \|_0. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply result (3.35) of [5], namely

$$\|J_h \Lambda^{s+1} U_0^{(k)}\|_0 \leq c(\|U_0\|_s + k^{-(s-1)} h^{q-1} \|U_0\|_q),$$

to obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h}) J_h^{s+1} \Lambda^{s+1} U_0^{(k)}\|_0 \leq c(\alpha, t^*) \{ k^{s-1} \|U_0\|_s + h^{q-1} \|U_0\|_q \}.$$

Combining (3.7)–(3.11) yields the result of the theorem. $\square$

We also establish negative norm estimates.

THEOREM 3. For $2 \leq q \leq r$, $2 \leq s \leq \nu + 1$, $1 \leq p \leq r - 1$, $nk = t \leq t^*$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \|W^n - U_h(nk)\|_{-p,h} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) \{ h^{p+q-1} \|U_0\|_q + k^{s-1} \|U_0\|_{s-1} \},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad &\|W^n - U_h(nk)\|_{-p} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) \{ h^{p+q-1} \|U_0\|_q + (k^{s-1} + k^{s-2} h^p) \|U_0\|_{s-1} \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.14) \quad |||W^n - U_h(nk)|||_{-p} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) \{ h^{p+q-1} |||U_0|||_q + k^{s-1} |||U_0|||_s \}.$$

Proof. The estimates (3.13) and (3.14) follow from (3.12), by using the energy estimate of Theorem 2. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} |||W^n - U_h(nk)|||_{-p} &\leq c \{ |||W^n - U_h(nk)|||_{-p,h} + h^p |||W^n - U_h(nk)|||_0 \} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) \{ h^{p+q-1} |||U_0|||_q + k^{s-1} |||U_0|||_{s-1} \} \\ &\quad + c(t^*, \alpha) h^p \{ h^{q-1} |||U_0|||_q + k^{s-2} |||U_0|||_{s-1} \} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) \{ h^{p+q-1} |||U_0|||_q + (k^{s-1} + k^{s-2} h^p) |||U_0|||_{s-1} \}; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |||W^n - U_h(nk)|||_{-p} &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) \{ h^{p+q-1} |||U_0|||_q + (k^{s-1} + k^{s-1} h^p) |||U_0|||_s \} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) \{ h^{p+q-1} |||U_0|||_q + k^{s-1} |||U_0|||_s \}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed to prove (3.12). As in the proof of Theorem 2, we write

$$(3.15) \quad W^n - U_h(nk) = F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h U_0^{(k)} + F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h(U_0 - U_0^{(k)})$$

and note that

$$(3.16) \quad |||F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h(U_0 - U_0^{(k)})|||_{-p,h} \leq 2|||\mathbf{P}_h(U_0 - U_0^{(k)})|||_0 \leq ck^{s-1} |||U_0|||_{s-1}$$

by (3.6). Estimating the first term of (3.15) is done as in Theorem 2 by

$$\begin{aligned} |||F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p,h} &\leq |||F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_h J_h(J - J_h)\Lambda^2 U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p,h} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=2}^s |||F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})J_h^l(J - J_h)\Lambda^{l+1}U^{(k)}|||_{-p,h} \\ &\quad + |||F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})J_h^{s+1}\Lambda^{s+1}U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (1.17) and (3.5) and noting that $J_h\mathbf{P}_hJ_h = J_h^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|||F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})\mathbf{P}_hJ_h(J - J_h)\Lambda U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p,h} \\ (3.17) \quad &\leq 2|||\mathbf{P}_hJ_h(J - J_h)\Lambda U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p,h} = 2|||J_h^{p-1}(J_h\mathbf{P}_hJ_h)(J - J_h)\Lambda^2 U_0^{(k)}|||_0 \\ &= 2|||(J - J_h)\Lambda^2 U_0^{(k)}|||_{-(p+1),h} \leq ch^{p+q-1} |||U_0|||_{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $l = 2, \dots, s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|||F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})J_h^l(J - J_h)\Lambda^{l+1}U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p,h} \\ (3.18) \quad &\leq c(t^*)k^{l-2} |||\Lambda_{\alpha,h}^{l-1}J_h^l(J - J_h)\Lambda^{l+1}U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p,h} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha)k^{l-2} |||J_h(J - J_h)\Lambda^{l+1}U_0^{(k)}|||_{-p,h} \\ &= c(t^*, \alpha)k^{l-2} |||(J - J_h)\Lambda^{l+1}U_0^{(k)}|||_{-(p+1),h} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha)k^{l-2} h^{p+q-1} |||\Lambda^{l+1}U_0^{(k)}|||_{q-3} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha)k^{l-2} h^{p+q-1} k^{-(l-2)} |||U_0|||_q. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})J_h^{s+1}\Lambda^{s+1}U_0^{(k)}\|_{-p,h} &\leq c(t^*)k^{s-1}\|\Lambda_{\alpha,h}^s J_h^{s+1}\Lambda^{s+1}U_0^{(k)}\|_{-p,h} \\ &\leq c(t^*, \alpha)k^{s-1}\|J_h\Lambda^{s+1}U_0^{(k)}\|_{-p,h} = c(t^*, \alpha)k^{s-1}\|J_h^{p+1}\Lambda^{s+1}U_0^{(k)}\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

This is precisely (3.44) of [5], and applying the result (3.47) of the analysis there, we obtain

$$\|F_n(-k\Lambda_{\alpha,h})J_h^{s+1}\Lambda^{s+1}U_0^{(k)}\|_{-p,h} \leq c(t^*, \alpha)\{k^{s-1}\|U_0\|_{s-1} + h^{p+q-1}\|U_0\|_q\}.$$

The theorem is established by (3.16)–(3.19). $\square$

4. Estimates for Higher-Order Time Derivatives of Semidiscrete Approximations.

To obtain energy and negative norm estimates for $D_t^s U(t) - D_t^s U_h(t)$, the following formulations of our evolution equations are used: $U(t)$ satisfies ((1.2))

$$D_t U(t) + \Lambda_\alpha U(t) = 0, \quad U(0) = U_0,$$

where $\Lambda_\alpha = \Lambda + \alpha\mathcal{J}$. We can rewrite (1.6) as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad (J + \alpha\mathcal{T}^*)D_t U(t) + U(t) = 0, \quad U(0) = U_0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}^* = \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $J_\alpha \equiv J + \alpha\mathcal{T}^*$. We note that $J_\alpha \Lambda_\alpha = I$.

The semidiscrete approximation $U_h(t)$ satisfies ((3.1))

$$D_t U_h(t) + \Lambda_{\alpha,h} U_h(t) = 0, \quad U_h(0) = U_{0,h},$$

where $\Lambda_{\alpha,h} = \Lambda_h + \alpha\mathcal{J}_h$. One can also rewrite (1.10) as

$$(4.2) \quad (J_h + \alpha\mathcal{T}_h^*)D_t U_h(t) + U_h(t) = 0, \quad U_h(0) = U_{0,h},$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_h^* = \begin{bmatrix} T_h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $J_{\alpha,h} \equiv J_h + \alpha\mathcal{T}_h^*$ and note that $J_{\alpha,h} \Lambda_{\alpha,h} = I$ on $S'_h(\Omega) \times S'_h(\Omega)$. The following result will be needed:

$$(4.3) \quad \|\Lambda_\alpha^m Z\|_p \leq c(\alpha)\|Z\|_{m+p}, \quad m \geq 1, p \geq 0.$$

In fact, as in Lemma 3,

$$\Lambda_\alpha^m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{m,j} \alpha^j \Lambda^{m-j} + \alpha^m \mathcal{J} + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} d_{m,j} \alpha^{m-2j} \mathcal{J} \Lambda^{2j},$$

where

$$\mu = \begin{cases} (m-1)/2 & \text{for } m \text{ odd,} \\ (m-2)/2 & \text{for } m \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

and the coefficients $c_{m,j}$ and $d_{m,j}$ are as defined in Lemma 3. For $Z \in \dot{H}^{p+m+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^{p+m}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\Lambda^p \Lambda_\alpha^m Z = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} c_{m,j} \alpha^j \Lambda^{m+p-j} Z + \alpha^m \Lambda^p \mathcal{J} Z + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} d_{m,j} \alpha^{m-2j} \Lambda^p \mathcal{J} \Lambda^{2j} Z,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |||\Lambda_\alpha^m Z|||_p &\equiv |||\Lambda^p \Lambda_\alpha^m Z|||_0 \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{m,j} \alpha^j |||\Lambda^{m+p-j} Z|||_0 + \alpha^m |||\Lambda^p \mathcal{J} Z|||_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} d_{m,j} \alpha^{m-2j} |||\Lambda^p \mathcal{J} \Lambda^{2j} Z|||_0 \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{m,j} \alpha^j \right) |||\Lambda^{m+p} Z|||_0 + \alpha^m |||\mathcal{J} Z|||_p + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} d_{m,j} \alpha^{m-2j} |||\mathcal{J} \Lambda^{2j} Z|||_p \\
 &\leq c(\alpha) \left\{ |||Z|||_{m+p} + |||Z|||_p + |||Z|||_{p+\{\frac{m-1}{2}\}} \right\} \leq c(\alpha) |||Z|||_{m+p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to [2] and [5], we shall choose $U_h(0) = J_{\alpha,h}^{s+1} \Lambda_\alpha^{s+1} U_0$, $s \geq 1$. Our main result is

THEOREM 4. Assume $U_0 \in \dot{H}^{s+q+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^{s+q}(\Omega)$, $s \geq 1$, $1 \leq q \leq r$. Then

$$|||D_t^s U(t) - D_t^s U_h(t)|||_{-p} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{p+q-1} |||U_0|||_{s+q}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq r-1.$$

The proof can be found in the supplements section at the end of this issue.

National Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
 Council for Scientific and Industrial Research
 P. O. Box 395
 Pretoria 0001, Republic of South Africa

1. G. A. BAKER & J. H. BRAMBLE, "Semidiscrete and single step fully discrete approximations for second order hyperbolic equations," *RAIRO Anal. Numér.*, v. 13, 1979, pp. 75–100.
2. G. A. BAKER & V. A. DOUGALIS, "On the L^∞ -convergence of Galerkin approximations for second-order hyperbolic equations," *Math. Comp.*, v. 34, 1980, pp. 401–424.
3. J. H. BRAMBLE, A. H. SCHATZ, V. THOMÉE & L. B. WAHLBIN, "Some convergence estimates for semidiscrete Galerkin type approximations for parabolic equations," *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, v. 14, 1977, pp. 218–241.
4. J. H. BRAMBLE & V. THOMÉE, "Discrete time Galerkin methods for a parabolic boundary value problem," *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl. (4)*, v. 101, 1974, pp. 115–152.
5. T. GEVECI, "On the convergence of Galerkin approximation schemes for second-order hyperbolic equations in energy and negative norms," *Math. Comp.*, v. 42, 1984, pp. 393–415.
6. R. HERSH & T. KATO, "High-accuracy stable difference schemes for well-posed initial-value problems," *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, v. 16, 1979, pp. 670–682.
7. V. THOMÉE, "Negative norm estimates and superconvergence in Galerkin methods for parabolic problems," *Math. Comp.*, v. 34, 1980, pp. 93–113.

Supplement to The Convergence of Galerkin Approximation Schemes for Second-Order Hyperbolic Equations With Dissipation

By Barbara Kok and Tunc Geveci

2. Convergence Estimates for Semi-discrete Approximations

PROPOSITION 1. If $U(t)$ satisfies (1.6) and $U_h(t)$ satisfies (1.10) with $U_h(0) = P_h U_0$, $U_0 \in H^{q+1}(\Omega) \times H^q(\Omega)$ then

$$\|U(t) - U_h(t)\|_0 \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{q-1} \|U_0\|_q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq r, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t^*.$$

Proof. Firstly for $q=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t) - U_h(t)\|_0 &\leq \|U(t)\|_0 + \|U_h(t)\|_0 \\ &\leq \|U_0\|_0 + \|P_h U_0\|_0 \\ &\leq 2\|U_0\|_0 \end{aligned}$$

by (1.4), (1.12) and since P_h is the projection with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$.

For $2 \leq q \leq r$, we write

$$U(t) - U_h(t) = (U(t) - P_h U(t)) + (P_h U(t) - U_h(t)).$$

By (1.16),

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t) - P_h U(t)\|_0 &\leq ch^{q-1} \|U(t)\|_{q-1} \\ &\leq ch^{q-1} \|U_0\|_{q-1} \\ &\leq ch^{q-1} \|U_0\|_q, \end{aligned}$$

we have to show that

$$\|P_h U(t) - U_h(t)\|_0 \leq ch^{q-1} \|U_0\|_q.$$

From the identity

$$j_h D_{\mathcal{L}} U(t) + U(t) + \alpha T U(t) = (j_h - j) D_{\mathcal{L}} U(t),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} j_h D_{\mathcal{L}} P_h U(t) + P_h U(t) + \alpha T P_h U(t) &= (j_h - j) D_{\mathcal{L}} U(t) + j_h (P_h - I) D_{\mathcal{L}} U(t) \\ &\quad + (P_h - I) U(t) + \alpha T (P_h - I) U(t) \\ &= (j - j_h) \lambda U(t) + j_h (I - P_h) \lambda U(t) + (P_h - I) U(t) \\ &\quad + \alpha ((j - j_h) \lambda U(t) + j_h (I - P_h) \lambda U(t) + T(P_h - I) U(t)) \\ &= \rho_h(t) + \alpha (jI - j_h P_h)^T + T(P_h - I) U(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_h(t)$ is as in [5], (2.3).

Simplification of the last factor yields

$$j_h D_{\mathcal{L}} P_h U(t) + P_h U(t) + \alpha T P_h U(t) = \rho_h(t) + \alpha (I - T_h)^T P_h^0 U_0^T;$$

$U_h(t)$ satisfies

$$j_h D_{\mathcal{L}} U_h(t) + U_h(t) + \alpha T_h U_h(t) = 0, \quad U_h(0) = P_h^0 U_0,$$

and setting $E_h^*(t) = P_h U(t) - U_h(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j_h D_{\mathcal{L}} E_h^*(t) + E_h^*(t) &= \rho_h(t) + \alpha (I - T_h)^T P_h^0 U_0^T - \alpha T P_h U(t) + \alpha T_h U_h(t) \\ &= \rho_h(t) + \alpha (T_h (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}) + \alpha T_h U_0^T) \\ E_h^*(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We form the energy inner product with $D_{\mathcal{L}} E_h^*(t)$, and use the skew-adjointness of j_h to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((E_h^*(t), D_{\mathcal{L}} E_h^*(t)))_0 &= ((\rho_h(t), D_{\mathcal{L}} E_h^*(t)))_0 + \alpha (((T_h (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}), \alpha T_h U_0^T), D_{\mathcal{L}} E_h^*(t)))_0 \\ \text{i.e. } \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|E_h^*(t)\|_0^2 &= ((\rho_h(t), D_{\mathcal{L}} E_h^*(t)))_0 + \alpha \alpha (T_h (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}), P_h^1 \dot{U} - \dot{U}_h). \end{aligned}$$

For the final term here we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \alpha (T_h (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}), P_h^1 \dot{U} - \dot{U}_h) &= \alpha (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}, P_h^1 \dot{U} - \dot{U}_h) \\ &= \alpha (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}, P_h^0 \dot{U} - \dot{U}_h) + \alpha (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}, P_h^1 \dot{U} - P_h^0 \dot{U}) \\ &\leq \alpha (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}, P_h^1 \dot{U} - P_h^0 \dot{U}), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|E_h^*(t)\|_0^2 \leq 2((\rho_h(t), D_{\mathcal{L}} E_h^*(t)))_0 + 2\alpha (\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}, P_h^1 \dot{U} - P_h^0 \dot{U}).$$

Exactly as in [5] Proposition 1, or [1] Theorem 2.1, we derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \|E_h^*(t)\|_0^2 &\leq 4 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} (\|r_h(t)\|_0^2 + (t^*)^2 \|D_{\mathcal{L}} \rho_h(t)\|_0^2) \\ &\quad + \alpha \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \{ \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{U}_h - P_h^0 \dot{U}\|_0^2 + 4\alpha (t^*)^2 \|P_h^1 \dot{U} - P_h^0 \dot{U}\|_0^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\|r_h(t)\|_0 \leq \text{ch}^{q-1} \|U_0\|_{q-1}$

from [5], Proposition 1.

By (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathcal{L}} \rho_h(t) &= ((j_h - j) \lambda^2 U(t) + j_h (P_h - I) \lambda^2 U(t) + (I - P_h) \lambda U(t)) \\ &\quad + \alpha ((j_h - j) \lambda U(t) + j_h (P_h - I) \lambda U(t) + (I - P_h) \lambda U(t)) \\ &= [D_{\mathcal{L}} \rho_h(t)]_I + \alpha [D_{\mathcal{L}} \rho_h(t)]_I. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is exactly as in [5] and therefore

$$\| \| D_{\mathbf{t}}^{\rho} \rho_h(t) \|_1 \|_0 \leq ch^{q-1} \| \| U_0 \|_q.$$

For the second term, using (1.16), (1.17) and (1.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| \| D_{\mathbf{t}}^{\rho} \rho_h(t) \|_1 \|_0 &\leq \| \| (\mathbf{J}_h - \mathbf{J}) \wedge U(t) \|_0 + \| \| (\mathbf{P}_h - \mathbf{I}) \wedge U(t) \|_{-1,h} \\ &\quad + \| \| (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_h) \wedge U(t) \|_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq ch^{q-1} \| \| U(t) \|_{q-1}$$

$$= ch^{q-1} \| \| (0, \dot{u}(t)) \|_{q-1}$$

$$\leq ch^{q-1} \| \| U(t) \|_{q-1}$$

$$\leq ch^{q-1} \| \| U_0 \|_{q-1}.$$

Combining the above inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| \| D_{\mathbf{t}}^{\rho} \rho_h(t) \|_1 \|_0 &\leq ch^{q-1} \| \| U_0 \|_q + \alpha ch^{q-1} \| \| U_0 \|_{q-1} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \| \| U_0 \|_q. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining terms of (2.1) we have the estimates

$$\| \| (\dot{\mathbf{u}}_h - P_h^0 \dot{\mathbf{u}})(t) \|_0 \|_0 \leq \| \| \mathbf{E}_h^*(t) \|_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad \| \| (P_h^1 - P_h^0) \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) \|_0 &\leq \| \| (P_h \dot{\mathbf{u}} - \dot{\mathbf{u}})(t) \|_0 + \| \| (\dot{\mathbf{u}} - P_h \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)) \|_0 \\ &\leq ch^{q-1} \| \| \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) \|_{q-1} \\ &\leq ch^{q-1} \| \| U(t) \|_{q-1} \\ &\leq ch^{q-1} \| \| U_0 \|_{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (2.1)

$$\sup_{t \leq t^*} \| \| \mathbf{E}_h^*(t) \|_0^2 \leq c(\alpha, t^*) h^{2(q-1)} \| \| U_0 \|_q^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{t \leq t^*} \| \| \mathbf{E}_h^*(t) \|_0^2$$

and for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$, we have

$$\| \| \mathbf{E}_h^*(t) \|_0 \leq c(\alpha, t^*) h^{q-1} \| \| U_0 \|_q,$$

and the proposition has been established.

PROPOSITION 2. If $U(t)$ satisfies (1.6) and $U_h(t)$ satisfies (1.10) with $U_h(0) = P_h U_0$ and $U_0 \in H^{q+1}(\Omega) \times H^q(\Omega)$, $1 \leq q \leq r$, then

$$\| \| U(t) - U_h(t) \|_{-p,h} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{p+q-1} \| \| U_0 \|_q, \quad 1 \leq p \leq r-1.$$

Proof. As in the previous proposition, we write

$$\mathbf{J}_h D_{\mathbf{t}} U(t) + U(t) + \alpha T U(t) = (\mathbf{J}_h - \mathbf{J}) D_{\mathbf{t}} U(t),$$

so that

$$\mathbf{J}_h^{p+1} D_{\mathbf{t}} U(t) + \mathbf{J}_h^p U(t) + \alpha \mathbf{J}_h^p T U(t) = \mathbf{J}_h^p (\mathbf{J}_h - \mathbf{J}) D_{\mathbf{t}} U(t).$$

We also have

$$\mathbf{J}_h^{p+1} D_{\mathbf{t}} U_h(t) + \mathbf{J}_h^p U_h(t) + \alpha \mathbf{J}_h^p T U_h(t) = 0.$$

so that with

$$E_h(t) = U(t) - U_h(t),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_h^{p+1} D_{\mathbf{t}} E_h(t) + \mathbf{J}_h^p E_h(t) &= \mathbf{J}_h^p (\mathbf{J}_h - \mathbf{J}) D_{\mathbf{t}} U(t) - \alpha \mathbf{J}_h^p T U(t) + \alpha \mathbf{J}_h^p T U_h(t) \\ &= \mathbf{J}_h^p (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{J}_h) \wedge U(t) + \alpha \mathbf{J}_h^p (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{J}_h)^T U(t) - \alpha \mathbf{J}_h^p T U(t) \\ &\quad + \alpha \mathbf{J}_h^p T U_h(t) \\ &= \mathbf{J}_h^p (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{J}_h) \wedge U(t) + \alpha \mathbf{J}_h^p [T_h(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_h - \dot{\mathbf{u}}), 0]^T. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_h^1(t) &= (\dot{u} - \dot{u}_h) \wedge U(t), \\ \sigma_h^2(t) &= [\Gamma_h(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), \rho]^T,\end{aligned}$$

and form the energy inner product with $\mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h(t)$.

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h(t)\|_0^2 = \langle \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h(t), \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h(t) \rangle_0 + \alpha \langle \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h(t), \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h(t) \rangle_0$$

The latter term is treated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h^2(t), \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h(t) \rangle_0 &= (-1)^P \langle \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \sigma_h^2(t), \mathcal{D} \varepsilon_h(t) \rangle_0 \\ &= \langle [\tau_h^{\text{PD}+1}(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), 0]^T, [\dot{u} - \dot{u}_h, \mathcal{D} \dot{u} - \mathcal{D} \dot{u}_h]^T \rangle_0 \\ &= a(\tau_h^{\text{PD}+1}(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), \dot{u} - \dot{u}_h) \\ &= a(\tau_h^{\text{PD}+1}(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), \rho_h^0 \dot{u} - \dot{u}_h) + a(\tau_h^{\text{PD}+1}(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), \dot{u} - \rho_h^0 \dot{u}) \\ &= (\tau_h^{\text{PD}}(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), \rho_h^0 \dot{u} - \dot{u}_h) + a(\tau_h^{\text{PD}+1}(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), \rho_h^1(\dot{u} - \rho_h^0 \dot{u})) \\ &= (\tau_h^{\text{PD}}(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), \dot{u} - \dot{u}_h) + (\tau_h^{\text{PD}}(\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}), \rho_h^1 \dot{u} - \rho_h^1 \rho_h^0 \dot{u}) \\ &\leq (\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}, \rho_h^1 \dot{u} - \rho_h^1 \rho_h^0 \dot{u})_{-p, h} \\ &= (\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}, \rho_h^1 \dot{u} - \rho_h^0 \dot{u})_{-p, h}\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

since $\rho_h^1 \rho_h^0 = \rho_h^0$.

$$(a(\rho_h^1 \rho_h^0 u, v) = a(\rho_h^1 \rho_h^0 u, \rho_h^1 v) = a(\rho_h^0 u, \rho_h^1 v) = a(\rho_h^0 u, v), \quad v \in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)).$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\varepsilon_h(t)\|_{-p, h}^2 \leq 2 \langle \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h^1(t), \mathcal{J}_h^{\text{PD}} \varepsilon_h(t) \rangle_0 + 2\alpha \langle \dot{u}_h - \dot{u}, (\rho_h^1 - \rho_h^0) \dot{u} \rangle_{-p, h},$$

and, as in the proof of Proposition 1,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \|\varepsilon_h(t)\|_{-p, h}^2 &\leq c \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \{ \|\sigma_h^1(t)\|_{-p, h}^2 + (t^*)^2 \|\mathcal{D} \sigma_h^1(t)\|_{-p, h}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\varepsilon_h(0)\|_{-p, h}^2 + \|\sigma_h^1(0)\|_{-p, h}^2 \} \\ &\quad + \alpha \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\alpha} \|\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}\|_{-p, h}^2 + 4\alpha (t^*)^2 \|\rho_h^1 - \rho_h^0\|_{-p, h}^2 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\|\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}\|_{-p, h} \leq \|\varepsilon_h(t)\|_{-p, h}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \|\varepsilon_h(t)\|_{-p, h} &\leq c(t^*) \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \|\sigma_h^1(t)\|_{-p, h} + \|\mathcal{D} \sigma_h^1(t)\|_{-p, h} \right. \\ (2.3) \quad &\quad \left. + \|\varepsilon_h(0)\|_{-p, h} + \alpha \|\rho_h^1 - \rho_h^0\|_{-p, h} \right),\end{aligned}$$

which may be compared with (2.11) of [5], and

$$\|\sigma_h^1(t)\|_{-p, h} \leq ch^{\text{PD}+1} \|\dot{u}\|_{\mathcal{Q}-1}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D} \sigma_h^1(t) &= (\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}) \wedge^2 U(t) + \alpha (\dot{u}_h - \dot{u}) \wedge U(t) \\ &= [\mathcal{D} \sigma_h^1(t)]_I + \alpha [\mathcal{D} \sigma_h^1(t)]_{II}.\end{aligned}$$

The first term here corresponds to $\mathcal{D} \sigma_h(t)$ of [5], Proposition 2, and so

$$\|\mathcal{D} \sigma_h^1(t)\|_{-p, h} \leq ch^{\text{PD}+1} \|\dot{u}\|_{\mathcal{Q}-1},$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha [\mathcal{D} \sigma_h^1(t)]_{II}\|_{-p, h} &\leq ch^{\text{PD}+1} \|\wedge U(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}-2} \\ &\leq ch^{\text{PD}+1} \|\wedge U(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}-2} \\ &\leq ch^{\text{PD}+1} \|\dot{u}\|_{\mathcal{Q}}.\end{aligned}$$

As in [5], we have the estimate

$$\|E_h(0)\|_{-p,h} \leq ch^{p+q-1} \|u_0\|_q.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_h^1 - P_h^0) \tilde{u}\|_{-p,h} &\leq \|P_h^1 \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}\|_{-p,h} + \|\tilde{u} - P_h^0 \tilde{u}\|_{-p,h} \\ &\leq c(\|P_h^1 \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}\|_{-p} + h^p \|P_h^1 \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}\|_0 \\ &\quad + \|P_h^0 \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}\|_{-p} + h^p \|P_h^0 \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}\|_0) \\ (2.4) \quad &\leq ch^{p+q-1} \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{q-1} \\ &\leq ch^{p+q-1} \|u(t)\|_{q-1} \\ &\leq ch^{p+q-1} \|u_0\|_q \end{aligned}$$

and the proposition has been established.

Remark. The optimal L^2 -estimate for $u_h(t) - u(t)$ follows from the case $p=1$:

$$\|u(t) - u_h(t)\|_0 \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^r \|u_0\|_r.$$

Propositions 1 and 2 and (1.18) yield our main result.

THEOREM 1. *If $U(t)$ is the solution of (1.6) and $U_h(t)$ is the solution of (1.10) with $U_h(0) = P_h U_0$, $U_0 \in H^{q+1}(\Omega) \times H^q(\Omega)$, $1 \leq q \leq r$, then for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t) - U_h(t)\|_{-p} &\leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|U_0\|_q, \\ 0 &\leq p < r-1. \end{aligned}$$

We refer the reader to the corresponding result for the non-dissipative case, Theorem 1 and Remarks 1 and 2 of [5].

4. Estimates for higher order time derivatives

THEOREM 4. *Assume $U_0 \in H^{s+q+1}(\Omega) \times H^{s+q}(\Omega)$, $s \geq 1$, $1 \leq q \leq r$.*

Then

$$\|D_t^s U(t) - D_t^s U_h(t)\|_{-p} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|U_0\|_{s+q}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq r-1.$$

Proof. The energy estimate is derived first. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^s U(t) - D_t^s U_h(t) &= (-1)^s (A_\alpha^s U(t) - A_{\alpha,h}^s U_h(t)) \\ &= (-1)^s (A_\alpha^s U(t) - \Lambda_{\alpha,h} J_{\alpha,h}^2 A_{\alpha,h}^{s+1} U(t)) \\ &\quad + (-1)^s (\Lambda_{\alpha,h} J_{\alpha,h}^2 A_{\alpha,h}^{s+1} U(t) - \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^s U_h(t)) \\ &= (-1)^s (E_h^{**}(t) + E_h^*(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_h^{**}(t)\|_0 &= \|A_\alpha^s U(t) - \Lambda_{\alpha,h} J_{\alpha,h}^2 A_{\alpha,h}^{s+1} U(t)\|_0 \\ (4.4) \quad &= \|(\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_{\alpha,h}) J_{\alpha,h}^2 A_{\alpha,h}^{s+1} U(t)\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

and one can show that

$$J_\alpha - \Lambda_{\alpha,h} J_{\alpha,h}^2 = J - \Lambda_h J_h^2 + \alpha \begin{bmatrix} I - I_h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|E_h^{**}(t)\|_0 \leq \|(\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_h) J_h^2 A_\alpha^{s+1} U(t)\|_0 + \alpha \|[(I - I_h)(A_\alpha^{s+1} U(t))^\top, 0]^\top\|_0.$$

Here $(Z)^\top$ denotes the first component of $Z = (Z)^1, (Z)^2$. From (4.5) of [5] and our estimate (4.3), we have immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_h) J_h^2 A_\alpha^{s+1} U(t)\|_0 &\leq ch^{q-1} \|A_\alpha^{s+1} U(t)\|_{q-2} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \|U(t)\|_{s+q-1} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \|U_0\|_{s+q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by (1.9) and (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(\Gamma(T - T_h)(\lambda_{\alpha}^{S+1} U(t))^{-1} \cdot 0)\|^2_{\mathcal{O}} &= \|(\Gamma(T - T_h)(\lambda_{\alpha}^{S+1} U(t))^{-1})\|_{\mathcal{Q}-2}^2 \\
 &\leq ch^{-1} \|(\lambda_{\alpha}^{S+1} U(t))\|_{\mathcal{Q}-2}^2 \\
 &\leq ch^{-1} \|(\lambda_{\alpha}^{S+1} U(t))\|_{\mathcal{Q}-3}^2 \\
 &\leq c(\alpha) h^{Q-1} \|U(t)\|_{S+Q-2}^2 \\
 &\leq c(\alpha) h^{Q-1} \|U_0\|_{S+Q-1}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(4.5) \quad \|E_h^{**}(t)\|_{\mathcal{O}} \leq c(\alpha) h^{Q-1} \|U_0\|_{S+Q-1}.$$

Using (4.1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\alpha,h} D_t E_h^*(t) + E_h^*(t) &= (J_{\alpha,h} - J_{\alpha}) D_t \lambda_{\alpha}^S U(t) + J_{\alpha,h} D_t (\lambda_{\alpha,h} J_{\alpha}^2 \lambda_{\alpha}^{S+1} U(t) \\
 &\quad - \lambda_{\alpha}^S U(t)) + (\lambda_{\alpha,h} J_{\alpha}^2 \lambda_{\alpha,h}^{S+1} U(t) - \lambda_{\alpha}^S U(t)) \\
 &\equiv \tilde{p}_h(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{and} \quad E_h^*(0) &= \lambda_{\alpha,h} J_{\alpha}^2 \lambda_{\alpha}^{S+1} U_0 - \lambda_{\alpha,h}^{S+1} \lambda_{\alpha}^S U_0 \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $J_{\alpha,h} = J_h + \alpha'_{\alpha,h}$, we have

$$J_h D_t E_h^*(t) + E_h^*(t) = \tilde{p}_h(t) - \alpha'_{\alpha,h} D_t E_h^*(t)$$

$$E_h^*(0) = 0.$$

Taking the energy inner product with $D_t E_h^*(t)$ and using the skew-adjointness of J_h yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|E_h^*(t)\|_{\mathcal{O}}^2 = \|(\tilde{p}_h(t), D_t E_h^*(t))\|_{\mathcal{O}} - \alpha \|(\Gamma_h^* D_t E_h^*(t), D_t E_h^*(t))\|_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Now, for any $Z \in S_h^T(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(\Gamma_h^* Z, Z)\|_{\mathcal{O}} &= \|(\Gamma_h^* \langle Z \rangle^I, 0), \langle Z \rangle^I, \langle Z \rangle^{II}\|_{\mathcal{O}} \\
 &= a(\Gamma_h \langle Z \rangle^I, \langle Z \rangle^I) \\
 &= \|(\langle Z \rangle^I, \langle Z \rangle^I)\|_{\mathcal{O}} \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The term

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_t E_h^*(t) &= \lambda_{\alpha,h}^{S+1} U_h(t) - \lambda_{\alpha,h} J_{\alpha}^2 \lambda_{\alpha}^{S+2} U(t) \\
 &= \lambda_{\alpha,h}^{S+1} U_h(t) - J_{\alpha,h} \lambda_{\alpha}^{S+2} U(t) \\
 &\in S_h^T(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega).
 \end{aligned}$$

In fact, for $U_0 \in \dot{H}^{S+Q+1}(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^{S+Q}(\Omega)$, $\lambda_{\alpha}^{S+2} U(t) \in L^2(\Omega) \times \dot{H}^{S-1}(\Omega)$ and therefore, $J_{\alpha,h} \lambda_{\alpha}^{S+2} U(t) \in S_h^T(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$. Also, $U_h(t) \in S_h^T(\Omega) \times S_h^T(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_{\alpha,h}^{S+1} U_h(t) \in S_h^T(\Omega) \times S_h^T(\Omega)$. Thus,

$$\|(\Gamma_h^* D_t E_h^*(t), D_t E_h^*(t))\|_{\mathcal{O}} \geq 0$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|E_h^*(t)\|_{\mathcal{O}}^2 \leq \|(\tilde{p}_h(t), D_t E_h^*(t))\|_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

As in the proofs of Propositions 1 and 2, this leads to

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \|E_h^*(t)\|_{\mathcal{O}} \leq c(t^*) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \{ \|(\tilde{p}_h(t), D_t E_h^*(t))\|_{\mathcal{O}} + \|D_t \tilde{p}_h(t)\|_{\mathcal{O}} \},$$

which may be compared with (4.8) of [5].

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_t \tilde{\rho}_h(t) &= (\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t) + \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} (\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t) - \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t)) \\ &\quad + (\Lambda_\alpha^{S+1} u(t) - \Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t)), \end{aligned}$$

and these terms are now estimated. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t)\|_0 &= \|(\mathcal{J}_h - \mathcal{J}) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t) + \alpha(\tau_h^* - \tau^*) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t)\|_0 \\ &\leq ch^{q-1} \| \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t) \|_{q-2} \\ &\quad + \alpha \|(\tau_h - \tau) (\Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t))\|_0 \| \mathcal{O}_1 \|_0 \\ &\leq c(\alpha) \{ h^{q-1} \| u(t) \|_{S+q} \\ &\quad + \|(\tau_h - \tau) (\Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t))\|_1 \} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) \{ h^{q-1} \| u_0 \|_{S+q} + h^{q-1} \| \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t) \|_{q-2} \} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \{ \| u_0 \|_{S+q} + \| \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t) \|_{q-3} \} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \| u_0 \|_{S+q}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

From (4.4) – (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Lambda_\alpha^{S+1} - \Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2}) u(t)\|_0 &= \|(\mathcal{J}_\alpha - \Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t)\|_0 \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \| u_0 \|_{S+q}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} (\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t) - \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t)) \|_0 \\ \leq \| \mathcal{J}_h (\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t) - \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t)) \|_0 \\ + \| \alpha \tau_h^* (\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t) - \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2} u(t)) \|_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c(\alpha) \|(\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} - \Lambda_\alpha^{S+2}) u(t)\|_{-1,h},$$

$$\text{since } \| \mathcal{I}_h^* Z \|_0 \leq \| Z \|_{-1,h}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{In fact, } \| \mathcal{I}_h^* Z \|_0^2 &= \| \mathcal{I}_h(Z) \|_1^2 \leq \| \mathcal{I}_h(Z) \|_1^2 + \| \mathcal{I}_h(Z) \|_0^2 \\ &= \| \mathcal{I}_h^2 Z \|_0^2 = \| Z \|_{-2,h}^2 \\ &\leq \| Z \|_{-1,h}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} (\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t) - \mathcal{J}_\alpha \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t)) \|_0 &\leq c(\alpha) \{ \|(\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t)\|_{-1} \\ &\quad + h \|(\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t)\|_0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proofs of (4.4) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t)\|_{-1} &\leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \| u_0 \|_{S+q} \quad \text{and} \\ \|(\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t)\|_0 &\leq c(\alpha) h^{q-2} \| u_0 \|_{S+q}; \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(4.8) \quad \| \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} (\Lambda_{\alpha,h} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}^2 \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t) - \mathcal{J}_\alpha \Lambda_\alpha^{S+3} u(t)) \|_0 \leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \| u_0 \|_{S+q}.$$

Then (4.6) – (4.8) yield

$$\| \mathcal{O}_t \tilde{\rho}_h(t) \|_0 \leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \| u_0 \|_{S+q}.$$

Similarly,

$$\| \tilde{\rho}_h(t) \|_0 \leq c(\alpha) h^{q-1} \| u_0 \|_{S+q-1}.$$

The latter two inequalities lead to the estimate

Set $E_h(t) = \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) - \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} j_h^{p+1} D_t E_h(t) + j_h^p E_h(t) &= j_h^p (\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) - \omega j_h^p T_h^* D_t E_h(t) \\ &\equiv j_h^p \tilde{\delta}_h(t) - \omega j_h^p T_h^* D_t E_h(t). \end{aligned}$$

Take the energy inner product with $j_h^p D_t E_h(t)$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|j_h^p E_h(t)\|_0^2 = \|(\mathcal{J}_h^p \tilde{\delta}_h(t), j_h^p D_t E_h(t))\|_0 - \alpha (\mathcal{J}_h^p T_h^* D_t E_h(t), j_h^p D_t E_h(t))_0.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\mathcal{J}_h^p T_h^* D_t E_h(t), j_h^p D_t E_h(t))_0 \\ &= (-1)^{p+1} (\mathcal{J}_h^{2p} T_h^* D_t E_h(t), D_t E_h(t))_0 \\ &= -(\llbracket \mathcal{J}_h^p (T_h^* D_t E_h(t)) \rrbracket^I, \mathcal{J}_h^p (T_h^* D_t E_h(t)) \rrbracket^I)_0 \\ &= -(\llbracket \mathcal{J}_h^{p+1} (D_t E_h(t)) \rrbracket^I, \mathcal{J}_h^p D_t E_h(t))_0 \\ &= -\alpha (T_h^{p+1} (D_t E_h(t)) \rrbracket^I, (D_t E_h(t)) \rrbracket^I) \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{J}_h^p D_t E_h(t)) \rrbracket^I, (P_h^I - P_h^0) (\Lambda_\alpha^{S+1} u(t)) \rrbracket^I)_{-p,h} \end{aligned}$$

parallel to the derivation of (2.2), Proposition 2.

As in (2.3) of that proposition, we obtain for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_h(t)\|_{-p,h} &\leq c(t^*) (\|\tilde{\delta}_h(t)\|_{-p,h} + \|D_t \tilde{\delta}_h(t)\|_{-p,h} + \|E_h(0)\|_{-p,h} \\ &\quad + \alpha \| (P_h^I - P_h^0) (\Lambda_\alpha^{S+1} u(t)) \rrbracket^I_{-p,h}). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_h(0)\|_{-p,h} &= \|(\mathcal{J}_\alpha - \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h}) \Lambda_\alpha^{S+1} u\|_{-p,h} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|u\|_{S+q-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.9) \quad \|\llbracket E_h^*(t) \rrbracket\|_0 \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{q-1} \|u\|_{S+q}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t^*,$$

which together with (4.5), gives

$$\|j_h^S u(t) - D_t^S u_h(t)\|_0 \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{q-1} \|u\|_{S+q},$$

$$0 \leq t \leq t^*, \quad 2 \leq q \leq r, \quad s \geq 1.$$

To establish negative norm estimates, we need only show that

$$\|j_h^S u(t) - D_t^S u_h(t)\|_{-p,h} \leq c(\alpha, t^*) h^{p+q-1} \|u\|_{S+q}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq r-1.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) + \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) = 0,$$

we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) + \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) = (\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t),$$

and

$$j_h^p \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) + j_h^p \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) = j_h^p (\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t).$$

This can be expressed as

$$j_h^p (\mathcal{J}_h^I + \alpha T_h^*) D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) + j_h^p \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) = j_h^p (\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} - \mathcal{J}_\alpha) D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t)$$

or

$$j_h^{p+1} D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) + j_h^p \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t) = j_h^p (\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} - \mathcal{J}_\alpha - \alpha T_h^*) D_t \Lambda_\alpha^S u(t).$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} D_t \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t) + \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t) = 0,$$

so that

$$j_h^p \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,h} D_t \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t) + j_h^p \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t) = 0,$$

which we write as

$$j_h^p (\mathcal{J}_h^I + \alpha T_h^*) D_t \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t) + j_h^p \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t) = 0$$

or

$$j_h^{p+1} D_t \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t) + j_h^p \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t) = -\alpha \omega j_h^p T_h^* D_t \Lambda_{\alpha,h}^S u_h(t).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_t \tilde{\delta}_h(t)\|_{-p,h} &= \|(\tilde{J}_{\alpha,h} - \tilde{J}_{\alpha})_{\alpha}^{s+2} u(t)\|_{-p,h} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|u\|_{s+q}, \end{aligned}$$

as in (4.6), and also

$$\|\tilde{\delta}_h(t)\|_{-p,h} \leq c(\alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|u\|_{s+q-1}.$$

By the analysis of (2.4), Proposition 2, and using (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_h^1 - P_h^0)(\Lambda_{\alpha}^{s+1} u(t))\|_{-p,h} &\leq ch^{p+q-1} \|\Lambda_{\alpha}^{s+1} u(t)\|_{q-1} \\ &\leq ch^{p+q-1} \|\Lambda_{\alpha}^{s+1} u(t)\|_{q-2} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|u(t)\|_{s+q-1} \\ &\leq c(\alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|u\|_{s+q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus obtained

$$\|E_h(t)\|_{-p,h} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|u_0\|_{s+q} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t^*, \quad 1 \leq p \leq r-1$$

$$\text{or} \quad \|D_t^S u(t) - D_t^S u_h(t)\|_{-p,h} \leq c(t^*, \alpha) h^{p+q-1} \|u_0\|_{s+q}. \quad \bullet$$