Superconvergence for Multistep Collocation

By Ivar Lie and Syvert P. Nørsett

Abstract. One-step collocation methods are known to be a subclass of implicit Runge-Kutta methods. Further, one-leg methods are special multistep one-point collocation methods. In this paper we extend both of these collocation ideas to multistep collocation methods with k previous meshpoints and m collocation points. By construction, the order is at least $m + k - 1$. However, by choosing the collocation points in the right way, order $2m + k - 1$ is obtained as the maximum. There are $\binom{m+k-1}{k-1}$ sets of such "multistep Gaussian" collocation points.

1. Introduction. We study the numerical solution of the initial value problem

$$(1.1) \quad y'(x) = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0.$$

One-step collocation methods for initial value problems have been investigated thoroughly; see, e.g., [13], [16], [14] and [6]. These methods are a subclass of implicit Runge-Kutta methods

$$(1.2) \quad Y_i = y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} Y_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$(1.3) \quad y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^m b_j f(Y_j),$$

where h is the stepsize.

The order is at most $2m$ and is attained for Gaussian collocation points. In that case, the implementation is rather costly, which is related to the fact that the Runge-Kutta matrix $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^m$ has at least $m - 1$ complex eigenvalues. The optimal case is a one-point spectrum matrix A . Methods of that type are given in [11], where the collocation points are related to zeros of Laguerre polynomials. However, the order of such singly implicit methods is restricted to $m + 1$.

The idea behind multistep collocation is to let the collocation polynomial use information from previous points in the integration. This is illustrated in the figure below for 3 previous points and 2 collocation points.

In this paper we study methods with Lagrange type interpolation conditions (see also [9]). Methods with Hermite type conditions are discussed in [8].

Multistep collocation methods are a subclass of the General Linear Methods of Butcher, and we will prove that this subclass is actually a subclass of multistep Runge-Kutta methods. Important special cases of multistep collocation are the one-leg methods of Dahlquist [4], [5] and the BDF-methods. They are obtained using one collocation point and k interpolation points.

Received October 20, 1986; revised October 7, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 65L05.

©1989 American Mathematical Society
0025-5718/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

FIGURE 1

Multistep collocation polynomial.

As to the order, we prove that the maximum attainable order is $2m+k-1$. The proof will use the Alekseev-Gröbner theorem and a specific collocation style technique. This family of superconvergent methods is related to Gaussian quadrature, and we list some of these “multistep Gauss points”.

In a later paper we will return to other aspects of multistep collocation: C -polynomial theory, the question of singly-implicitness, analysis for variable stepsize, error estimation and stability properties.

2. Multistep Collocation. In this section we consider the construction of multistep collocation methods for constant stepsize h and give expressions for the coefficients for arbitrary values of the number of steps and the number of collocation points. These expressions will be seen to be natural generalizations of the corresponding coefficients in the one-step case. Let u_{n+i} be approximations to $y_{n+i} = y(x_{n+i})$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, and $c_1, \dots, c_m$ distinct real numbers. Then a k -step multistep collocation method with m collocation points is constructed as follows:

Find $u \in \Pi_{m+k-1}$, $k > 0$, $m > 0$, such that

$$(2.1) \quad u(x_{n+i}) = u_{n+i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1;$$

$$(2.2) \quad u'(x_{n+k-1} + c_j h) = f(x_{n+k-1} + c_j h, u(x_{n+k-1} + c_j h)), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then as an approximation to y_{n+k} we take

$$(2.3) \quad u_{n+k} = u(x_{n+k}).$$

In order to characterize multistep collocation as multistep Runge-Kutta methods, we state the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *The multistep collocation method (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) can be written as a multistep Runge-Kutta method,*

$$(2.4) \quad Y_j = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi_i(t_{n+k-1} + c_j h) y_{n+k-1-i} + h \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(t_{n+k-1} + c_j h) f(t_{n+k-1} + c_i h, Y_i), \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$(2.5) \quad Y_{n+k} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi_i(t_{n+k}) y_{n+k-1-i} + h \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(t_{n+k}) f(t_{n+k-1} + c_i h, Y_i),$$

where $\phi_i(s), \psi_i(s) \in \Pi_{k+m-1}$ are uniquely given by

$$(2.6) \quad \psi_i(s) = \frac{\tilde{D}_{k,i}}{D_{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 1, D_0 = 1, 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

with

$$(2.7) \quad D_{k-1} = \det \left\{ \int_{-i}^0 \tau^{j-1} M(\tau) d\tau \right\}_{i,j=1}^{k-1}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{D}_{k,i} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^s l_i(s) ds & \int_0^s M(s) ds & \cdots & \int_0^s s^{k-2} M(s) ds \\ \int_{-1}^0 l_i(s) ds & \int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds & \cdots & \int_{-1}^0 s^{k-2} M(s) ds \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \int_{-(k-1)}^0 l_i(s) ds & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 M(s) ds & \cdots & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 s^{k-2} M(s) ds \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$M(t) = \prod_{i=1}^m (t - c_i), \quad l_i(t) = \frac{M(t)}{(t - c_i)M'(c_i)}.$$

Further,

$$(2.9) \quad \phi_0(s) = \frac{D_{k,e}}{D_{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 1, D_0 = 1;$$

$$(2.10) \quad \phi_i(s) = \frac{D_k^i}{D_{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 1, D_0 = 1, 1 \leq i \leq k-1,$$

with

$$(2.11) \quad D_{k,e} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \int_0^s M(s) ds & \int_0^s sM(s) ds & \cdots & \int_0^s s^{k-2} M(s) ds \\ -1 & \int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds & \int_{-1}^0 sM(s) ds & \cdots & \int_{-1}^0 s^{k-2} M(s) ds \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 M(s) ds & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 sM(s) ds & \cdots & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 s^{k-2} M(s) ds \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad D_k^i = \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \int_0^s M(s) ds & \cdots & \int_0^s s^{k-2} M(s) ds \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds & \cdots & \int_{-1}^0 s^{k-2} M(s) ds \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 M(s) ds & \cdots & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 s^{k-2} M(s) ds \end{bmatrix},$$

where the one in the first column is in position $i+1$.

Proof. To see that multistep collocation are multistep Runge-Kutta methods, put $Y_j = u(t_{n+k-1} + c_j h)$, $k_j = u'(t_{n+k-1} + c_j h) = f(t_{n+k-1} + c_j h, Y_j)$. Consider

the interpolation problem (2.1), (2.2) for u . The interpolation data are u_{n+i} , $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, and $f(t_{n+k-1} + c_j h, Y_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. The interpolation polynomial can be written in the following form:

$$(2.13) \quad u(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi_i(t) u_{n+k-1-i} + h \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(t) f(t_{n+k-1} + c_i h, Y_i).$$

(2.4) and (2.5) then follow from (2.13) and (2.3).

We now exhibit the form of the coefficients. We will use the scaled time variable $s = (t - t_{n+k-1})/h$. This implies, e.g., that (2.4) can be written as

$$Y_j = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi_i(c_j) y_{n+k-1-i} + h \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(c_j) f(c_i, Y_i), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Consider $\psi_i(s)$ first.

From the interpolation conditions (2.1), (2.2) and the expression for $u(t)$ in (2.13) we see that the following conditions are imposed on ψ_i :

$$(2.14) \quad \psi_i(-r) = 0, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, k-1;$$

$$(2.15) \quad \psi'_i(c_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

The latter condition can be satisfied with a polynomial of the following form:

$$(2.16) \quad \psi'_i(s) = l_i(s) \left[1 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \alpha_j (s - c_i) s^j \right] = l_i(s) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{\alpha_j}{a_i} M(s) s^j,$$

where a_i is the denominator of $l_i(s)$. Setting $\bar{\alpha}_j = \alpha_j/a_i$ and integrating, we find

$$\psi_i(s) = \int_0^s l_i(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \bar{\alpha}_j \int_0^s \tau^j M(\tau) d\tau,$$

and we have a linear system for the $\bar{\alpha}_j$'s from (2.14) (excluding the trivial $\psi_i(0) = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(-1) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ \psi_i(-(k-1)) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \bar{\alpha}_j \int_{-\tau}^0 s^j M(s) ds = - \int_{-\tau}^0 l_i(s) ds, \quad \tau = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

The determinant of the coefficient matrix of this system is D_{k-1} . By Cramer's rule we obtain

$$\bar{\alpha}_j = D_{k-1,j}/D_{k-1},$$

where $D_{k-1,j}$ is the determinant obtained by replacing the j th column with the right-hand side of (2.17).

For $\psi_i(s)$ we have

$$(2.18) \quad \psi_i(s) = \frac{1}{D_{k-1}} \left(\int_0^s l_i(s) ds D_{k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} D_{k-1,j} \int_0^s s^j M(s) ds \right).$$

But the parenthesis above is just an expansion of $\tilde{D}_{k,i}$ along the first row. Thus, (2.6) is established.

Now consider $\phi_i(s)$. The conditions imposed on ϕ_i are

$$(2.19) \quad \phi'_i(c_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad i = 0, \dots, k-1;$$

$$(2.20) \quad \phi_i(-j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1.$$

The first part of the conditions can be fulfilled with

$$(2.21) \quad \phi_i(s) = \alpha_0^{(i)} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} a_{j+1}^{(i)} \int_0^s s^j M(s) ds.$$

Consider ϕ_0 first. From $\phi_0(0) = 1$ there follows that $\alpha_0^{(0)} = 1$, and (2.20) gives

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} a_{j+1}^{(0)} \int_{-r}^0 s^j M(s) ds = 1, \quad r = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

This is identical to (2.17) except for the right-hand side. Thus we will have the same expression as (2.17) except for the first column of $\tilde{D}_{k-i}$ which will be substituted by all ones.

Now consider ϕ_i , $i > 0$. In this case, $\alpha_0^{(i)} = 0$, and (2.20) gives

$$(2.23) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} a_{j+1}^{(i)} \int_{-r}^0 s^j M(s) ds = -\delta_{ri}, \quad r = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Thus we get the same expression as for $\phi_0(s)$ except for the first column of $D_{k,e}$ which is substituted by zero and e_i (the i th basis vector of $\mathbf{R}^{k-1}$).

Thus (2.10) is established. $\square$

Remark. We see that multistep collocation in the form (2.4), (2.5) can be put in the partitioned multivalued formalism of Burrage and Moss [3], which is given by

$$(2.24) \quad Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij}^{(1)} y_j^{(n-1)} + h \sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij}^{(1)} f(t_{n+k-1} + c_j h, Y_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$(2.25) \quad y_i^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij}^{(2)} y_j^{(n-1)} + h \sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij}^{(2)} f(t_{n+k-1} + c_j h, Y_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Here, Y_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, is a vector of interior ordinates and $y_i^{(n)}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, is a vector of exterior ordinates in "generation" n . By setting

$$A_1 = \{a_{ij}^{(1)}\} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times k}, \quad B_1 = \{b_{ij}^{(1)}\} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m};$$

$$A_2 = \{a_{ij}^{(2)}\} \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times k}, \quad B_2 = \{b_{ij}^{(2)}\} \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times m};$$

$$Y = [Y_1, \dots, Y_m]^T \quad \text{and} \quad y^{(n)} = [y_1^{(n)}, \dots, y_k^{(n)}],$$

one can write more compactly

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ y^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ A_2 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_n \right) \begin{bmatrix} y^{(n-1)} \\ hf(Y) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 1. For $k = 2$ the coefficients become

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_i(s) &= \frac{1}{\int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds} \begin{vmatrix} \int_0^s l_i(s) ds & \int_0^s M(s) ds \\ \int_{-1}^0 l_i(s) ds & \int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \int_0^s l_i(s) - \frac{\int_{-1}^0 l_i(s) ds}{\int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds} \int_0^s M(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_0(s) &= \frac{1}{\int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \int_0^s M(s) ds \\ -1 & \int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds \end{vmatrix} = 1 + \frac{\int_0^s M(s) ds}{\int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds}, \\ \phi_1(s) &= \frac{1}{\int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \int_0^s M(s) ds \\ +1 & \int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds \end{vmatrix} = -\frac{\int_0^s M(s) ds}{\int_{-1}^0 M(s) ds} = 1 - \phi_0(s). \end{aligned}$$

Two-step collocation is also studied in [12], but the general expressions for the coefficients are not given.

Example 2. We now give for $k = 2$, $m = 2$, a specific method with $c_1 = 1/4$, $c_2 = 1.0$. We get the following method:

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \frac{475}{464}y_n - \frac{11}{464}y_{n-1} + h \left(\frac{85}{348}f(Y_1) - \frac{25}{132}f(Y_2) \right), \\ Y_2 &= \frac{28}{29}y_n - \frac{1}{29}y_{n-1} + h \left(\frac{64}{87}f(Y_1) + \frac{26}{87}f(Y_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.30) \quad y_{n+1} = Y_2.$$

This method has order 3 and is almost $A(\alpha)$ stable with α close to $\pi/2$.

3. Order Results. In this section we give order conditions for the multistep collocation method in two different forms, both of which are simpler than the corresponding conditions in “standard Runge-Kutta form” given by Burrage in [2]. This is possible because of the way multistep collocation methods are constructed. The order of multistep collocation is $m + k - 1$ by construction, so assuming $m + k - 1 > 1$, the preconsistency and consistency conditions (see [6]) are automatically fulfilled.

3.1. Order Conditions of RK-Type. Burrage [2] considers the order of multistep RK-methods, and by referring to the matrices in the PMVM-formulation (Partitioned Multivalued Method, Burrage and Moss [3]), these conditions are as follows:

Let c be the vector $[c_1, \dots, c_m]^T$ and $q(t)$ be the term for the tree t in the Butcher series for the starting procedure. The following short notation is introduced:

$$(3.1) \quad A(w): q(t) = q(\tau^p) \quad \text{for all rooted trees } \neq \tau^p \text{ of order } p,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{A}(w): A(w-1) \wedge (A_2 - I_k)[q(\tau^w) - q(t)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \neq \tau^w \text{ of order } w,$$

$$(3.3) \quad B(w): pB_2c^{p-1} + (A_2 - I_k)q(\tau^p) - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j} q(\tau^j) = 0, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, w,$$

$$(3.4) \quad C(w): A_1q(\tau^p) = c^p - pB_1c^{p-1}, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, w.$$

Then we have

$$(3.5) \quad \overline{A}(w) \wedge B(w) \wedge C(w-1) \quad \text{implies} \quad \text{order } w.$$

Burrage gives conditions for order up to $2m+k-1$, but does not prove that solutions to the conditions really exist, notwithstanding a statement in [2] to this effect.

Instead of following Burrage's approach, we will in the following two paragraphs develop specific conditions for multistep collocation methods which are simpler than the ones above.

3.2. Superconvergence, Alekseev-Gröbner Approach. Consider now a multistep collocation with k steps and m stages as specified in (2.4) and (2.5). The corresponding collocation polynomial is given by

$$M(s) = \prod_{i=1}^m (s - c_j).$$

In the one-step case, Nørsett and Wanner [13] showed that the Alekseev-Gröbner theorem gives a very short proof of order results. The same should be possible in the multistep case, and this is in fact what we use in the proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let*

$$(3.6) \quad G_i = \det \begin{bmatrix} \int_{-1}^0 M(s) s^i ds & \cdots & \int_{-1}^0 M(s) s^{i+k-1} ds \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \int_{-(k-1)}^0 M(s) s^i ds & \cdots & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 M(s) s^{i+k-1} ds \\ \int_0^1 M(s) s^i dx & \cdots & \int_0^1 M(s) s^{i+k-1} ds \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the multistep collocation method (2.4), (2.5) has order $m+k-1+\nu$ if and only if $G_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \nu-1$.

Proof. (We are grateful to G. Wanner for providing ideas to this proof.) We study the error in the method (2.1), (2.2) under the assumption that $u_{n+i} = y_{n+i} = y(t_{n+i})$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, and use the Alekseev-Gröbner theorem (see, e.g., [12]):

$$(3.7) \quad u(t) - y(t) = \int_{t_n}^t \Phi(t, \tau, u(\tau)) \cdot (u'(\tau) - f(\tau, u(\tau))) d\tau,$$

where Φ is a variational matrix. From the conditions (2.1) we get, using now the s -variable and assuming $h = 1$ and $t_n = 0$,

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{-\tau}^0 \Phi(u'(\tau) - f(\tau, u(\tau))) d\tau = 0, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, k-1.$$

With $p(\tau) = \Phi \cdot (u'(\tau) - f(\tau, u(\tau)))$, the error is

$$(3.9) \quad u(1) - y(1) = \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau.$$

We construct a quadrature formula similar to that in [13] for functions in the linear subspace

$$V = \left\{ p \mid \int_{-1}^0 p(\tau) d\tau = 0, \dots, \int_{-(k-1)}^0 p(\tau) d\tau = 0 \right\}.$$

Any $p \in V$ is approximated by an interpolation polynomial $\rho \in V$ such that $p(c_i) = \rho(c_i)$ and hence

$$(3.10) \quad p(s) - \rho(s) = M(s) \cdot r(s), \quad M(s) \cdot r(s) \in V.$$

The error for the quadrature formula is then

$$\int_0^1 M(s)r(s) ds.$$

We want this error to be zero for r a polynomial of as high a degree as possible. Let

$$r_i(s) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \alpha_l s^{i+l}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

Then the condition $M(s)r_i(s) \in V$ becomes

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \int_{-1}^0 M(s)s^i ds & \cdots & \int_{-1}^0 M(s)s^{i+k-1} ds \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \int_{-(k-1)}^0 M(s)s^i ds & \cdots & \int_{-(k-1)}^0 M(s)s^{i+k-1} ds \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

and the order condition $\int_0^1 M(s)r_i(s) ds = 0$ is

$$(3.12) \quad \left[\int_0^1 M(s)s^i ds, \dots, \int_0^1 M(s)s^{i+k-1} ds \right] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

(3.11) and (3.12) possess a nontrivial solution if and only if $G_i = 0$. By applying the conditions (3.11) and (3.12) for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1$, the theorem is established. $\square$

As a consequence of this theorem, we have the following result on maximum order.

THEOREM 2. *The maximum order of multistep collocation methods given by (2.4) and (2.5) is $2m + k - 1$.*

Proof. The condition $G_i = 0$ is a nonlinear relation between $c_1, \dots, c_m$. r of these conditions form a set of nonlinear equations $\Gamma(\zeta) = 0$ where $\Gamma: \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$. A unique solution to $\Gamma(\zeta) = 0$ exists if and only if $r = m$ (see, e.g., [15, Theorem 4.2.2]). $\square$

The following theorem states that multistep collocation methods will attain maximum order only if they are in ordinate form. The argument can be found in [2, Example 12] or can be inferred from the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. *The maximum attainable order, $2m + k - 1$, for a multistep Runge-Kutta method is achieved only in ordinate form. The maximum attainable order is reduced by one for each $y^{(n)}$ representing approximations to derivatives.*

3.3. Superconvergence, Collocation Approach. We now derive order conditions in a different form, without the use of the Alekseev-Gröbner theorem.

As a starting point, we use an alternative expression to (3.7):

$$(3.13) \quad u(t_{n+k}) - y(t_{n+k}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+k}} (u'(\tau) - f(\tau, y(\tau))) d\tau.$$

Switching to s -variables, $s = (t - t_{n+k-1})/h$, and letting $t_{n+k-1} = 0$, $h = 1$, we set

$$\phi(s) = u'(s) - f(s, y(s))$$

and so

$$\psi(s) \equiv u(s) - y(s) = \int_0^s \phi(\tau) d\tau.$$

Order p then corresponds to $\psi(1) = 0$ for polynomials $\psi(s)$ up to degree p , or the error of interpolating $y(s)$ by $u(s)$ is zero for polynomials up to degree p .

From the construction of multistep collocation methods we have the following interpolation conditions:

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} u(-r) &= y(-r), & r &= 0, \dots, k-1; \\ u'(c_j) &= y'(c_j), & j &= 1, \dots, m; \end{aligned}$$

hence, for the analysis, we can choose

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(s) &= \pi(s) \cdot R(s), \\ \pi(s) &= \prod_{i=-1}^{k-1} (s+i), & R(s) &\text{ a polynomial.} \end{aligned}$$

The collocation condition then gives the order condition

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{d}{ds} [\pi(s)R(s)]|_{s=c_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Therefore, we have

THEOREM 4. *The multistep collocation method has order $m+k-1+\nu$ if (3.16) is satisfied for polynomials $R(s)$ of degree $0, \dots, \nu-1$.*

From now on we will concentrate on finding algebraic conditions on the collocation points such that the multistep collocation method has maximum attainable order $2m+k-1$. This family of methods is related to multistep Gaussian quadrature discussed, e.g., in Krylov [7, Chapter 16]. The values of the collocation points for these $(2m+k-1)$ -order methods are therefore called Gaussian points for multistep collocation.

In order to study them, we use quadrature theory and construct the interpolation formula

$$(3.17) \quad u(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j y(-j) + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y'(c_j),$$

which follows naturally from (3.14); the α_j and β_j are unknown. Order $2m+k-1$ is equivalent to the conditions

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j (-j)^k + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j k c_j^{k-1} = s^k, \quad k = 0, \dots, 2m+k-1.$$

This is an overdetermined set of equations for α_j and β_j , and the problem can be studied by comparing it to a related interpolation problem as done in Krylov [7, Chapter 16].

We extend the interpolation in (3.17) to include y -values also at c_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$, and we obtain the formula

$$(3.19) \quad u(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha'_j y(-j) + \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j y(c_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta'_j y'(c_j).$$

Order $2m + k - 1$ now gives

$$(3.20) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha'_j (-j)^k + \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j c_j^k + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta'_j k c_j^{k-1} = s^k, \quad k = 0, \dots, 2m + k - 1.$$

These are $2m + k$ equations for $2m + k$ parameters. The problem can be uniquely solved provided

$$c_j \neq -r, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad r = 0, \dots, k - 1.$$

The relationship between (3.18) and (3.20) is given by the following two propositions.

PROPOSITION 5. *Suppose (3.18) has a solution. Then this solution is unique, and the unknowns in (3.20) satisfy the following relations:*

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha'_j &= \alpha_j, & j &= 0, \dots, k - 1; \\ \gamma_j &= 0, & j &= 1, \dots, m; \\ \beta'_j &= \beta_j, & j &= 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Obvious. $\square$

PROPOSITION 6. *If the numbers α'_j, γ_j and β'_j are a solution of (3.20) and if $\gamma_j = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then the system (3.18) has a solution, and*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j &= \alpha'_j, & j &= 0, \dots, k - 1; \\ \beta_j &= \beta'_j, & j &= 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Obvious. $\square$

By Hermite's interpolation formula, we can write (3.19) as

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} u(s) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{A(s)}{(s+j)A'(-j)} y(-j) + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{A_j(s)}{A_j(c_j)} \left[1 - (s-c_j) \frac{A'_j(c_j)}{A_j(c_j)} \right] y(c_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{A_j(s)}{A_j(c_j)} (s-c_j) y'(c_j), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(s) = \tilde{\pi}(s)M(s), \quad \tilde{\pi}(s) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (s+j) \quad A_j(s) = \frac{A(s)}{(s-c_j)^2}.$$

Now (3.18) is solvable if $\gamma_j = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, or

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{A_j(s)}{A_j(c_j)} \left[1 - (s-c_j) \frac{A'_j(c_j)}{A_j(c_j)} \right] = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Since $A_j(s)/A_j(c_j) \neq 0$, the expression in brackets must be zero. Dividing by $(s - c_j)$ and setting $s = -1$, we get

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{c_i + j} + 2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^m \frac{1}{c_i - c_j} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 7. *The multistep collocation method has maximum attainable order $2m + k - 1$ if the conditions (3.24) are satisfied.*

COROLLARY 8. *The conditions (3.24) can be formulated in the following way:*

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{d}{ds} [\pi(s)M'(s)]|_{s=c_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{d}{ds} [\pi(s)M'(s)] - K(s)M(s) = 0, \quad K(s) \in \Pi_{k-1}.$$

Proof. From (3.23) we have

$$A_j(c_j) + (c_j - s)A'_j(c_j) = \frac{d}{dz} [(z - s)A_j(z)]|_{z=c_j} = 0$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{(z - s)A(z)}{(z - c_j)^2} \right]_{z=c_j} = 0.$$

Now $(z - s)A(z)|_{s=1} = \pi(z)M^2(z)$, so we have

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{\pi(z)M^2(z)}{(z - c_j)^2} \right]_{z=c_j} = 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$M'(c_j) \left\{ \frac{d}{dz} [\pi(z)M'(z)] \right\}_{z=c_j} = 0.$$

Since $M'(c_j) \neq 0$, we have (3.25), and (3.26) follows immediately. $\square$

Example 9. The case $m = 2$. We can obtain the result directly from Theorem 4 and by setting

$$\begin{aligned} R_l(s) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i r_i(s) A_l(s), \\ r_1(s) &= 1, \quad r_2(s) = (s - c_1), \\ A_0(s) &= 1, \quad A_1(s) = (s - c_1), \quad \Delta_{12} = c_1 - c_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.16) gives the conditions for $\alpha_i \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{bmatrix} f_2 & 1 \\ f_2 & 1 - \Delta_{12}f_2 \end{bmatrix} &= 0, \\ \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 - f_2\Delta_{12} & 2\Delta_{12} - f_2\Delta_{12}^2 \end{bmatrix} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_i = \pi'(c_i)/\pi(c_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. The last determinant gives $f_2 = 2/\Delta_{12}$, and inserting it in the first determinant yields $f_2 = -2/\Delta_{12}$. Thus, the conditions for order $2m + k - 1 = k + 3$ are

$$(3.27) \quad c_1 = c_2 + 2 \frac{\pi(c_2)}{\pi'(c_2)}, \quad c_2 = c_1 + 2 \frac{\pi(c_1)}{\pi'(c_1)}.$$

In order to show that a $k(k+1)/2$ solution set exists, we set

$$f(x) = x + 2 \frac{\pi(x)}{\pi'(x)}.$$

Then

$$f(x) = x + 2 \left/ \sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{x+j} \right/,$$

and therefore

$$f'(x) = 1 + \left(2 \sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(x+j)^2} \right) / \left(\sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{x+j} \right)^2,$$

so that $f'(x) > 1$.

$f(x)$ has vertical asymptotes at k different points ξ_j , $j-1 < \xi_j < j$, $j = -(k-1), \dots, 0, 1$. But

$$(3.28) \quad c_1 = f(c_2), \quad c_2 = f(c_1),$$

and $c_1 = c_2 = j$, $j = -(k-1), \dots, 0, 1$, are solutions to (3.28). Hence, by looking at the case $k = 3$ in Figure 2 below, Theorem 7 is established for $m = 2$ and general k .

FIGURE 2

The roots of Eqs. (3.26) for $k = 3$.

The general case, for $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$, is more complicated, but very interesting. Krylov [7] has indicated one way of solving (3.18) and gives both the number and location of these new multistep Gaussian collocation points. Further, Munthe-Kaas

[10] has given a different proof for the location. The result is:

THEOREM 10. *There exist $\binom{m+k-1}{k-1}$ different sets of multistep Gaussian collocation points. For each solution, all points are in $(0, k) \times \cdots \times (0, k)$, m times, and cover the number of possibilities for placing m points in k boxes. Each box is a unit m -cube.*

Example 11, $k = 2$. As already mentioned, $k = 1$ is the one-leg method case (Dahlquist [5]). In Example 7 we discussed $m = 2$ in detail. Now we take a closer look at the $k = 2$ case. For reasons of symmetry we transform $[0, 2]$ to $[-1, 1]$. With $N(x) = M(x + 1)$ and $\pi(x) = x^3 - x$, Corollary 8 shows that N is given as the solution to

$$(3.29) \quad (\pi(x)N'(x))' = (\alpha x + \beta)N(x), \quad \alpha = m(m + 2),$$

where $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ has to be such that $N \in \Pi_m$ is a solution. If we write $N(x)$ as

$$N(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$$

and let $a = [a_0, \dots, a_m]^T$, (3.29) can be formulated as an eigenvalue problem,

$$(\alpha + 1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} a + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ -1 & & \ddots & m^2 \\ 0 & -m^2 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} a = \beta a.$$

B_0
 B_1

A simple similarity transformation shows that $A = (\alpha + 1)B_0 + B_1$ has $m + 1$ distinct real eigenvalues. Further, by Theorem 10, $N(t)$ for each β , has its zeros in $[-1, 1]$.

Example 12. A list of some Gauss points for multistep collocation.

A.1. The case $m = 2$. The values for c_1 and c_2 given below are solutions of the equations (3.27) now with $\pi(x) = \prod_{i=0}^k (x - i)$ for $k = 1, 2$ and 3.

TABLE 1

Superconvergence points for $m = 2$.

k	c_2	c_1
1	0.7886753297	0.2113246703
2	1.811992988	1.246307547
	1.707106495	0.2928930738
	0.7536913702	0.1880068962
3	2.824784952	2.268118793
	2.733496435	1.350620934
	2.691981695	0.308018285
	1.781388803	1.218611204
	1.649379062	0.2665035178
	0.7318816461	0.1752150475

A.2. The case $m = 3$. The values for c_1, c_2 and c_3 in the table below are solutions of the equations (3.25) with the same definition of $\pi(x)$ as in the above case, and for $k = 1, 2$ and 3.

TABLE 2
Superconvergence points for $m = 3$.

k	c_1	c_2	c_3
1	0.5	0.1127	0.8872985
2	0.472138769	0.103442225	0.872652779
	0.657746108	0.146272877	1.7916885
	0.208310888	1.34225096	1.85372677
	1.52789351	1.12734965	1.89658989
3	0.472138769	0.10344225	0.872652779
	0.630448352	0.136471747	1.75608279
	0.678545252	0.150853918	2.75017481
	0.193452664	1.30561654	1.83110796
	0.219926084	1.50	2.7800748
	0.249822439	2.32146216	2.84915055
	1.50	1.11644204	1.88356046
	1.69438365	1.16889076	2.80654825
	1.24391845	2.36954280	2.86352430
	2.54410830	2.13643923	2.90180432

4. Conclusion. We have derived conditions for superconvergence for multistep collocation methods. These conditions are given in forms which are easily computable for practical values of m and k . The analysis in this paper is done for the idea of multistep collocation. Analysis of variable stepsizes will be carried out in forthcoming papers. Another aspect to be considered is the properties of a variable coefficient version of the methods.

In [9], an error estimation technique for multistep collocation based on multistep perturbed collocation is described, and this technique has been used as a stepchanging mechanism for an experimental code described in [9]. This error estimation technique, as well as stability properties for variable stepsize, will be investigated in forthcoming papers.

Other aspects to be analyzed for multistep collocation are C -polynomials, singly-implicitness and various implementation details.

Division of Mathematical Sciences
 University of Trondheim
 N-7034 Trondheim, Norway

1. K. BURRAGE, *The Order Properties of Implicit Multivalued Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Report 176/84, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.
2. K. BURRAGE, "High order algebraically stable multistep Runge-Kutta methods." Manuscript, 1985.
3. K. BURRAGE & P. MOSS, "Simplifying assumptions for the order of partitioned multivalued methods," *BIT*, v. 20, 1980, pp. 452-465.
4. G. DAHLQUIST, *Some Properties of Linear Multistep Methods and One-Leg Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Report TRITA-NA-7904, KTH, Stockholm, 1979.
5. G. DAHLQUIST, "On one-leg multistep methods," *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, v. 20, 1983, pp. 1130-1138.

6. A. GUILLON & F. L. SOULÉ, "La résolution numérique des problèmes différentiels aux conditions initiales par des méthodes de collocation," *RAIRO Anal. Numér. Ser. Rouge*, v. R-3 1969, pp. 17-44.
7. V. I. KRYLOV, *Approximate Calculation of Integrals*, Macmillan, New York, 1962.
8. I. LIE, *k-Step Collocations with One Collocation Point and Derivative Data*, FFI/NOTAT-83/7109, NDRE, Kjeller, Norway, 1983.
9. I. LIE, *Multistep Collocation for Stiff Systems*, Ph.D. thesis, Norwegian Institute of Technology, Dept. of Numerical Mathematics, Trondheim, 1985.
10. H. MUNTHE-KAAS, *On the Number of Gaussian Points for Multistep Collocation*, Technical report, University of Trondheim, Dept. of Numerical Mathematics, 1986.
11. S. P. NØRSETT, "Runge Kutta methods with a multiple eigenvalue only," *BIT*, v. 16, 1976, pp. 388-393.
12. S. P. NØRSETT, *Collocation and Perturbed Collocation Methods*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 773 (G. A. Watson, ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1980.
13. S. P. NØRSETT & G. WANNER, "The real-pole sandwich for rational approximations and oscillation equations," *BIT*, v. 19, 1979, pp. 79-94.
14. S. P. NØRSETT & G. WANNER, "Perturbed collocation and Runge-Kutta methods," *Numer. Math.*, v. 38, 1981, pp. 193-208.
15. J. M. ORTEGA & W. C. RHEINBOLDT, *Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
16. M. ZENNARO, "One-step collocation: Uniform superconvergence, predictor-corrector methods, local error estimates," *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, v. 22, 1985, pp. 1135-1152.