## THE CARMICHAEL NUMBERS TO 10<sup>12</sup>

#### GERHARD JAESCHKE

ABSTRACT. An algorithm is presented which determines all Carmichael numbers up to a given limit having a prescribed number of factors. An overview over all Carmichael numbers less than  $10^{12}$  is given.

## Introduction

In [3] all composite numbers  $n < 25 \cdot 10^9$  were tested for their pseudoprimality character, and 2163 numbers n turned out to be Carmichael numbers. (These are composite numbers n with  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \mod n$  for all a relatively prime to n.) While the underlying method of finding the pseudoprimes in that note had an analytic character, we tried a synthetic approach of building up the Carmichael numbers from their factors. So it was possible to determine the Carmichael numbers up to  $10^{12}$  by a reasonable amount of computer time.

After describing the general method for determining all Carmichaels with a fixed number r of prime factors, we present a special approach for r=3, 4 which in some cases is faster than the general procedure. Finally, we give an overview of the Carmichael numbers  $< 10^{12}$  in the form of some special tables containing the cardinalities of some sets of Carmichael numbers. The 6075 Carmichael numbers between  $25 \cdot 10^9$  and  $10^{12}$  cannot be tabulated here and will be deposited in the UMT-file.

It should be mentioned that already in 1975 the Carmichael numbers below 10<sup>9</sup> have been computed by J. D. Swift and deposited in the UMT-file (see [4]).

### 1. GENERAL METHOD

Our algorithm for determining Carmichaels is based upon the following three well-known facts (see, e.g., [1]).

- Fact 1. All Carmichael numbers are square-free.
- Fact 2. All Carmichael numbers have at least three prime factors.
- Fact 3. The product of r different primes  $p_1, \ldots, p_r$  is a Carmichael number if and only if

$$n \equiv 1 \mod p_i - 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Received November 4, 1988; revised February 20, 1989. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 11A15. Before we discuss the algorithm, we describe its underlying ideas. Let r be an integer  $\geq 3$ , and let  $p_1, \ldots, p_{r-1}$  be primes which satisfy the conditions

(1) 
$$p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_{r-1}$$
,

(2) 
$$p_i \not\equiv 1 \mod p_k \quad \text{for } 1 \le k < i \le r - 1.$$

Put further

$$(3) R = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{r-1}$$

and

(4) 
$$K = \operatorname{lcm}(p_1 - 1, \dots, p_{r-1} - 1),$$

where lcm denotes the 'least common multiple'. In view of (1) and (2), gcd(R, K) = 1, where gcd denotes the 'greatest common divisor'. Thus, the multiplicative inverse of  $R \mod K$  exists, and we put

$$(5) a = R^{-1} \operatorname{mod} K.$$

Finally, let

(6) 
$$g = \gcd(a-1, K, R-1).$$

Under these assumptions the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.**  $n = p_1 \cdots p_r$  is a Carmichael number with r prime factors if and only if the following two statements are valid:

(7) 
$$p_r \text{ is a prime with } p_r \equiv a \mod K,$$

$$\frac{R-1}{g} \equiv 0 \mod \frac{p_r - 1}{g}.$$

*Proof.* (a) Assume that (7) and (8) hold. Then, in view of (3), (7), (5), we obtain

$$n = Rp_r \equiv Ra \equiv 1 \mod K$$
,

hence, by (4),

$$n-1 \equiv 0 \mod p_i - 1$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., r-1$ .

- (8) yields  $R-1 \equiv 0 \mod p_r 1$ , hence,  $n-1 \equiv 0 \mod p_r 1$ , and n is a Carmichael number.
- (b) Let n be a Carmichael number  $n=p_1\cdots p_r$  with r prime factors. Then  $p_r$  is prime, and since  $n\equiv 1 \mod p_i-1$  for  $i=1,\ldots,r-1$ , we have by definition of K,  $n\equiv 1 \mod K$ , i.e.,  $Rp_r\equiv 1 \mod K$  and  $p_r\equiv a \mod K$  by (5). Therefore (7) is satisfied. From  $n\equiv 1 \mod p_r-1$  we obtain  $R-1\equiv 0 \mod p_r-1$ , and in view of (6),

$$\frac{R-1}{g} \equiv 0 \mod \frac{p_r - 1}{g}.$$

This proves the theorem.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 1 suggests the following procedure for determining all Carmichael numbers having r prime factors and being less than a given limit u.

## Algorithm.

Input: u, r.

Step 1. Determine r-1 primes  $p_1, \ldots, p_{r-1}$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} & p_1 < u^{1/r} \\ & p_2 < \left(\frac{u}{p_1}\right)^{1/(r-1)} \land p_2 > p_1 \land p_2 \not\equiv 1 \mod p_1 \\ & p_k < \left(\frac{u}{p_1 \cdots p_{k-1}}\right)^{1/(r-k+1)} \land p_k > p_{k-1} \land p_k \not\equiv 1 \mod p_i \quad \text{for } i = 1 \,, \, \dots \,, \, k-1. \end{split}$$

Step 2. Calculate R, K, a, g according to (3), (4), (5), (6) and put

$$h = \min\left\{\frac{R-1}{2}, \left[\frac{u}{R}\right]\right\}.$$

Step 3. For all  $\lambda=0$ , 1, ...,  $\max\{0$ ,  $[(h-a)/K]\}$  test whether (8) is satisfied for  $p_r=\lambda K+a$  and  $p_r>p_{r-1}$  is a prime. In each such case,  $n=R\cdot p_r$  is a Carmichael number.

Continue with Step 1 and determine another (r-1)-tuple of primes  $p_1, \ldots, p_{r-1}$ .

**Example.** Let  $u = 10^{12}$  and r = 4 and, in addition,  $p_1 = 17$ ,  $p_2 = 241$ ,  $p_3 = 401$ . Then we find R = 1642897, K = 1200, a = 433, g = 48, and h = 608680. For  $\lambda = 0, 1, ..., 506$  we test whether  $25\lambda + 9$  is a divisor of 34227 and find that this is the case only for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = 456$ . Since  $547633 = 433 + 1200 \cdot 456$  is not prime, the only Carmichael number with the factors 17, 241, 401 that is composed of four factors and is less than  $10^{12}$  is  $n = 17 \cdot 241 \cdot 401 \cdot 433 = 711374401$ .

# 2. SPECIAL METHOD FOR CARMICHAEL NUMBERS WITH FOUR PRIME FACTORS

In this section we present an algorithm for determining Carmichael numbers with four prime factors  $p_1, \ldots, p_4$  that is much faster than the method of §1 in those cases where  $p_1p_2$  is relatively small. The algorithm is based on the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ ,  $p_4$  be primes with  $p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < p_4$ . If  $p_1p_2p_3p_4$  is a Carmichael number, and if we put  $q = p_1p_2$  and  $m = (qp_3 - 1)/(p_4 - 1)$ , then the following statements are valid:

$$(9) m \in \{2, 3, \dots, q-1\},$$

$$qp_3 \equiv 1 \mod m,$$

(11) 
$$p_2 p_3 (m + q p_3 - 1) \equiv m \mod m (p_1 - 1),$$

(12) 
$$p_1 p_3 (m + q p_3 - 1) \equiv m \mod m (p_2 - 1),$$

(13) 
$$q(m + qp_3 - 1) \equiv m \mod m(p_3 - 1).$$

Conversely, if  $m \in \{2, \ldots, q-1\}$  and if  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  are primes and  $p_3$  satisfies (10)–(13) for given m,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ , and if  $p_4 = 1 + (qp_3 - 1)/m$  is a prime, then the product  $qp_3p_4$  is a Carmichael number.

*Proof.* I. Let  $n = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$  be a Carmichael number with four prime factors and let q, m be defined as above. Then, by Fact 3 (cf. §1),  $qp_3 \equiv 1 \mod p_4 - 1$ , hence m is an integer. By definition of m we have m < q and  $m \ne 1$ , i.e.,  $m \in \{2, \ldots, q-1\}$ . Further, we have

$$mp_{\Delta} = m + qp_3 - 1,$$

which implies (10). Again by Fact 3, we obtain the system

(15) 
$$\begin{aligned} p_2 p_3 p_4 &\equiv 1 \mod p_1 - 1, \\ p_1 p_3 p_4 &\equiv 1 \mod p_2 - 1, \\ p_1 p_2 p_4 &\equiv 1 \mod p_3 - 1, \end{aligned}$$

hence

(16) 
$$p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}m \equiv m \mod m(p_{1}-1),$$

$$p_{1}p_{3}p_{4}m \equiv m \mod m(p_{2}-1),$$

$$p_{1}p_{2}p_{4}m \equiv m \mod m(p_{3}-1),$$

from which by (14) we immediately obtain (11), (12), and (13).

II. Now, let  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  be primes with  $p_1 < p_2 < p_3$ ,  $m \in \{2, \ldots, q-1\}$ , let  $p_3$  satisfy (10)-(13), and let  $p_4 = 1 + (qp_3 - 1)/m$  be prime. In view of (14), the system (11), (12), (13) is equivalent to (16), hence equivalent to (15), and we obtain

$$n = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 \equiv 1 \mod p_i - 1, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Finally,  $qp_3 = 1 + m(p_4 - 1)$  implies  $n \equiv 1 \mod p_4 - 1$ , hence n is a Carmichael number.  $\square$ 

Remark. (13) implies  $q(m+q-1) \equiv m \mod p_3 - 1$  or, equivalently,

(17) 
$$(q-1)(m+q) \equiv 0 \mod p_3 - 1.$$

This simplification is important in the algorithm below, since  $p_3$  does not occur in the left-hand expression of (17).

**Algorithm.** Choose  $q=p_1p_2$  for fixed primes  $p_1 < p_2$ . For each  $m \in \{2, \ldots, q-1\}$  those primes  $p_3 > p_2$  are determined which satisfy (17). For each such prime  $p_3$  we test whether (10)-(13) are fulfilled. If not, we proceed to the next m, where we can restrict ourselves to those m with  $\gcd(q, m) = 1$ . If the above conditions hold for a pair m,  $p_3$ , then  $p_4 = 1 + (qp_3 - 1)/m$  is tested for primality.

In the case of success,  $qp_3p_4$  is a Carmichael number. When only Carmichael numbers  $\leq u$  are wanted, only those  $p_3$  have to be taken into account for which

$$p_3 \le \min\{2q^2 - 3q + 2, \sqrt{u/q}\}\$$

holds.

**Example.** Let  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $p_2 = 5$ . Here we have q = 15 and m runs through the values 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14. When m = 4, there is no prime  $p_3 > 5$  for which (17) holds. For m = 11, 13, 14 there exists no prime satisfying (10) and (17). For m = 2, 7 there are primes satisfying (10), (11), (12), and (17) but not (13). Finally, for m = 8 we find  $p_3 = 47$  and  $p_4 = 89$ , so that  $n = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 47 \cdot 89 = 62745$  is the only Carmichael number that has four factors and is divisible by 15.

# 3. SPECIAL METHOD FOR CARMICHAEL NUMBERS WITH THREE PRIME FACTORS

Analogously to the algorithm in §2 for Carmichaels with four factors, we proceed in the case of Carmichaels with three factors. If  $p_1$  is a given prime, then for all  $m = 2, ..., p_1 - 1$  we perform the following steps.

Step 1. Determine the primes  $p_2 > p_1$  for which

$$m(p_2 - 1)$$
 divides  $p_1^2 p_2 + p_1(m - 1) - m$ .

Step 2. For each prime  $p_2$  found in Step 1 we test whether

$$p_1 p_2^2 + p_2 \cdot (m-1) \equiv m \mod m \cdot (p_1 - 1).$$

Step 3. Proceed with the next m if the conditions in Step 2 are not satisfied. Otherwise calculate  $p_3 = 1 + (p_1p_2 - 1)/m$  and check for primality.

Step 4. When  $p_3$  is not prime proceed with the next m. Otherwise  $p_1p_2p_3$  is a Carmichael number.

Since for each  $p_1$ , m runs only through  $p_1 - 2$  values, the algorithm is very fast for relatively small  $p_1$ .

#### 4. RESULTS

Denote by C(r, u) the number of Carmichael numbers which are less than u and have exactly r prime factors. Then the third column of Table 1 represents the new results.

Table 1

r	$C(r, 25 \cdot 10^9)$	$C(r, 10^{12})$
3	412	1000
4	795	2102
5	756	3156
6	192	1713
7	8	260
8	0	7
9	0	0

The sum

$$C(10^{12}) = \sum_{r=1}^{8} C(r, 10^{12})$$

yields the total number of Carmichaels below  $10^{12}$ , namely  $C(10^{12}) = 8238$ .

Remark. It is easy to show that no Carmichael number exists below  $10^{12}$  with more than eight prime factors.

With Q(r) denoting the number of (r-1)-tuples  $(p_1, \ldots, p_{r-1})$  which have to be tested in order to find all Carmichaels smaller than  $10^{12}$ , we obtain Table 2.

TABLE 2

r	Q(r)			
3	2260848			
4	8372508			
5	8613292			
6	2924698			
7	304934			
8	6904			

The values of Table 2 shed some light on the requirements of computer time for finding the Carmichaels less than  $10^{12}$ . It took several hundred hours on an IBM 3083 at the Scientific Center in Heidelberg.

*Remark.* The values Q(3) and Q(4) are included in Table 2, since we did not compute the total requirements for the special algorithms in §§2 and 3.

Let C(x) denote the number of Carmichael numbers less than x, and let  $\log_k x$  denote the k-fold iteration of the natural logarithm.

Put, according to [3],

$$F(x) = x \cdot \exp\left(-\log x \cdot \frac{(1 + \log_3 x)}{\log_2 x}\right),\,$$

and let

$$\begin{split} J(x) &= x \cdot \exp\bigg( -\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} \bigg( \log_3 x + \log_4 x + \frac{\log_4 x - 1}{\log_3 x} \\ &\quad + \frac{7.1287 \log_4^2 x - 0.2289 \log_4 x + 2.0161}{\log_2^2 x} \bigg) \bigg). \end{split}$$

Then we obtain Table 3 (the values in columns 3, 4 are rounded to integers).

It turns out that C(x)/F(x) decreases relatively fast in accordance with the result C(x) = o(F(x)) in [2]. The approximation J(x) relies on the heuristics of [2] and gives rise to a relative error of at most 1.4 percent for all integers in the range  $10^{10} \le x \le 10^{12}$ , and at most 1 percent in the range  $25 \cdot 10^9 \le x \le 10^{12}$ .

X	C(x)	F(x)	J(x)	C(x)/F(x)	C(x)/J(x)
10 <sup>9</sup>	646	547	640	1.18	1.0089
10 <sup>10</sup>	1547	1470	1547	1.05	0.9999
$25 \cdot 10^9$	2163	2189	2173	0.9882	0.9952
1011	3605	4016	3605	0.8977	0.9999
10 <sup>12</sup>	8238	11141	8238	0.7394	0.9999

TABLE 3

This is obtained by computing the values of J(x) for all x and x-1, where x is a Carmichael number, and the fact that J increases in the range under consideration.

In [3], Table 4 shows the distribution of Carmichaels less than  $25 \cdot 10^9$  in different residue classes. Since the distribution of Carmichaels in the range  $< 10^{12}$  is a similar one, we give here only the values for the odd residue classes mod 12.

Carms < 25000000000 Class mod 12 Carms < 1000000000000 2071 7966 1 3 0 5 20 64 47 147 9 25 60 11 0

TABLE 4

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to thank the referee for his valuable criticisms and the suggested improvement of the C(x)-approximation formula in §4.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. W. Knödel, Carmichaelsche Zahlen, Math. Nachr. 9 (1953), 343-350.
- 2. C. Pomerance, On the distribution of pseudoprimes, Math. Comp. 37 (1981), 587-593.
- 3. C. Pomerance, J. L. Selfridge, and S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., *The pseudoprimes to* 25 · 10<sup>9</sup>, Math. Comp. 35 (1980), 1003–1026.
- 4. J. D. Swift, Review 13, Math. Comp. 29 (1975), 338-339.

IBM SCIENTIFIC CENTER HEIDELBERG, TIERGARTENSTRASSE 15, 6900 HEIDELBERG, WEST GERMANY