EXCEPTIONAL GRAPHS WITH SMALLEST EIGENVALUE -2 AND RELATED PROBLEMS

F. C. BUSSEMAKER AND A. NEUMAIER

ABSTRACT. This paper summarizes the known results on graphs with smallest eigenvalue around -2 , and completes the theory by proving a number of new results, giving comprehensive tables of the finitely many exceptions, and posing some new problems. Then the theory is applied to characterize a class of distance-regular graphs of large diameter by their intersection array.

INTRODUCTION

This paper presents a theory of graphs with smallest eigenvalue around -2 (in §§1 and 2, with tables in the appendix of the microfiche section) and their application to a characterization problem for distance-regular graphs (§3).

Apart from the classical line graph theorem of Cameron, Goethals, Seidel, and Shult [10]—which is introduced here in a new way by means of root lattices—and consequences of it observed by Bussemaker, Cvetković, Doob, Kumar, Rao, Seidel, Simić, Singhi, and Vijayan [8, 16, 18, 20, 21, 30, 35, 44], we obtain a number of new results, namely

- (i) a classification of graphs Γ with smallest eigenvalue -2 such that Γ or its complement are edge-regular (Theorem 1.2),
- (ii) a complete list of minimal graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 (Theorem 1.7 and Table 3),
- (iii) a complete list of minimal forbidden subgraphs for the class of graphs with smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 (Table 4), and
- (iv) the computation of the eigenvalue gap at -2 (Theorem 2.4).

The importance of the eigenvalue gap is demonstrated by the characterization of a class of distance-regular graphs (folded cubes, folded half-cubes, and folded Johnson graphs of large diameters) by their intersection arrays, in the spirit of earlier work of Terwilliger [41] and Neumaier [34].

The proofs for (i)–(iv) are based on extensive computer calculations which enumerate the finitely many exceptions arising from the exceptional root lattices (or root systems) E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 . We challenge the reader at several places to provide conceptual proofs of some remarkable observations deduced here from lists of graphs generated by computer. We also point out a number of open questions.

Notation. If Γ is a graph and S a set of vertices of Γ , we denote by $\Gamma \setminus S$ the

Received by the editor October 4, 1988.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C35; Secondary 05E99.

© 1992 American Mathematical Society
0025-5718/92 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

FIGURE 1. The two minimal forbidden line graphs with five vertices.

graph obtained by deleting from Γ the vertices in S and all edges containing a vertex of S . A *subgraph* of Γ always refers to an induced subgraph, i.e., a graph of the form $\Gamma \setminus S$. For a vertex $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\Gamma(\gamma)$ denotes the subgraph induced on the set of neighbors of γ , and $\gamma^\perp$ denotes the subgraph induced on the set consisting of γ and its neighbors. The relation $\equiv$ defined by $\gamma \equiv \delta$ if and only if $\gamma^\perp = \delta^\perp$ is an equivalence relation on the set of vertices, and if we identify equivalent vertices, we obtain a *reduced graph* $\bar{\Gamma}$. Γ can be recovered from $\bar{\Gamma}$ as a *clique extension*, i.e., by replacing each vertex $\bar{\gamma}$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$ by a suitable clique $C_{\bar{\gamma}}$, and joining the vertices of $C_{\bar{\gamma}}$ with the vertices of $C_{\bar{\delta}}$ when $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\delta}$ are adjacent. We shall draw a clique extension of $\bar{\Gamma}$ by drawing vertices of $\bar{\Gamma}$ replaced by an i -clique as circles with label i if $i > 1$, and as black dots if $i = 1$ (cf. Figure 1). The *eigenvalues* of a graph Γ are the eigenvalues of its $(0, 1)$ -adjacency matrix; the *spectrum* of Γ is the collection of its eigenvalues (together with their multiplicities). For a general discussion of graph spectra, see the book by Cvetković, Doob, and Sachs [17]. We denote the largest eigenvalue of Γ by $\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)$ and the smallest eigenvalue of Γ by $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma)$. By interlacing (cf. [17]), we have for a subgraph Γ' of Γ the relations

$$\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \leq \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma'), \quad \lambda_{\max}(\Gamma') \leq \lambda_{\max}(\Gamma).$$

The minimal valency of a graph Γ is denoted by $k_{\min}(\Gamma)$. A graph Γ is called *regular* if every vertex has the same valency k , *edge-regular* (*coedge-regular*) if Γ is regular and any two adjacent (nonadjacent) vertices have the same number λ (μ) of common neighbors, *amply regular* if Γ is edge-regular and any two vertices at distance 2 have the same number of common neighbors, and *strongly regular* if it is edge-regular and coedge-regular.

Since isomorphic graphs have the same spectrum, we do not distinguish between different isomorphic graphs.

1. GRAPHS WITH SMALLEST EIGENVALUE ≥ -2

The well-known fact that all line graphs have smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 prompted a great deal of interest in the characterization of certain classes of graphs Γ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq \lambda^*$ for λ^* around -2 . The work done on this problem culminated in a beautiful theory of Cameron, Goethals, Seidel, and Shult [10] who related the question to root systems. Together with computer calculations by Bussemaker, Cvetković, and Seidel [8], this theory implies a complete

classification of all regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 , and, as noted by Doob and Cvetković [21], a classification of all graphs (whether regular or not) with smallest eigenvalue > -2 . In this section we summarize these results, and give some numerical information on the exceptional graphs.

A *root lattice* is an additive subgroup $\mathbb{L}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by a set X of vectors such that $(x, x) = 2$ and $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x, y \in X$; here, $(x, y) = \sum x_i y_i$ is the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The vectors in $\mathbb{L}$ of norm $(x, x) = 2$ are called the *roots* of $\mathbb{L}$. A root lattice is called *irreducible* if it is not a direct sum of proper sublattices. Every irreducible root lattice is isomorphic to one of the lattices

$$A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \mid \sum x_i = 0\} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

$$D_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum x_i \text{ even}\} \quad (n \geq 4),$$

$$E_8 = D_8 \cup (c + D_8), \quad \text{where } c = \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1),$$

$$E_7 = \{x \in E_8 \mid \sum x_i = 0\},$$

$$E_6 = \{x \in E_7 \mid x_7 + x_8 = 0\}$$

(cf. Witt [45], Cameron et al. [10], Neumaier [33]). In terms of basis vectors $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, the roots of A_n are the $n(n+1)$ vectors

$$e_i - e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n+1),$$

those of D_n are the $2n(n-1)$ vectors

$$\pm e_i \pm e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n),$$

and those of E_8 are the $240 = 112 + 128$ vectors

$$\pm e_i \pm e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 8)$$

and, with an even number of $+$ signs,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4 \pm e_5 \pm e_6 \pm e_7 \pm e_8).$$

From this, one finds that E_7 contains $126 = 56 + 70$ roots and E_6 contains $72 = 32 + 40$ roots.

If Γ is a connected graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) > -2$ and adjacency matrix A , then $G = A + 2I$ is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix. Thus, G is the Gram matrix of a set X of vectors of $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., there is a bijection $-: \Gamma \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \gamma = \delta, \\ 1 & \text{if } \gamma, \delta \text{ are adjacent,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Such a mapping is called a spherical $(2, 1, 0)$ -representation, and in this paper simply a *representation* of Γ . The additive subgroup $\mathbb{L}^+(\Gamma)$ generated by X is a root lattice (whose isomorphism type depends on Γ but not on X), and since Γ is connected, $\mathbb{L}^+(\Gamma)$ is irreducible. This implies the basic observation of Cameron et al. [10] that every connected graph Γ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq -2$ has a representation by roots of A_n ($n \geq 1$), D_n ($n \geq 4$), or E_n ($n = 6, 7, 8$). Conversely, if Γ is represented by roots of A_n , D_n , or E_n , then the Gram matrix $A + 2I$ of the image of Γ is positive semidefinite, so that $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq -2$.

The *line graph* of a graph Δ is the graph $L(\Delta)$ whose vertices are the edges of Δ , two edges being adjacent if they intersect. *Generalized line graphs* $L(\Delta, a_1, \dots, a_m)$, introduced by Hoffman [25], are obtained from the line graph $L(\Delta)$ of a graph Δ with vertex set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ by adding the vertices $(i, \pm l)$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$; $l = 1, \dots, a_i$) and joining (i, l) with all vertices i, j of $L(\Delta)$ and all (i, l') , $l' \neq \pm l$. We get a representation of a generalized line graph by representing the vertices ij of $L(\Delta)$ by $e_i + e_j$ and the adjoined vertices $(i, \pm l)$ by $e_i \pm e_{(i,l)}$, $l = 1, \dots, a_i$, where the $e_i, e_{(i,l)}$ form a set of orthonormal vectors. Moreover, if Δ is bipartite with bipartite parts A, B , then $L(\Delta)$ has also a representation by roots of A_{m-1} obtained by representing an edge ij with $i \in A, j \in B$ by $e_i - e_j$.

By analyzing the possible representations by roots of A_n and D_n , Cameron, Goethals, Seidel, and Shult [10] arrived at the following result.

1.1. Theorem. *Let Γ be a connected graph with smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) Γ is a generalized line graph.
- (ii) Γ has a representation by roots of E_8 . The number v of vertices, and the average valency k , are restricted by $v \leq \min(36, 2k + 8)$. Moreover, every vertex has valency at most 28.

An as yet unsolved problem is the characterization of the graphs under (ii). Since every subgraph of such a graph is again represented by roots of E_8 , it suffices to determine the (finitely many) maximal graphs under (ii) which are not generalized line graphs. It seems that most graphs under (ii) can be obtained by switching.

Switching a graph Γ with respect to a set S of vertices (or with respect to its complement S^c) is the operation of removing all edges of Γ between S and S^c and adding the new edges γ_1, γ_2 ($\gamma_1 \in S, \gamma_2 \in S^c, \gamma_1 \not\sim \gamma_2$) (cf. Seidel [36]). If Γ^1 is obtained from Γ by switching with respect to S_1 , and Γ^2 is obtained from Γ^1 by switching with respect to S_2 , then Γ^2 can be directly obtained from Γ by switching with respect to the symmetric difference $(S_1 \cap S_2) \cup (S_1^c \cap S_2^c)$. Therefore, switching defines an equivalence relation on the set of graphs with a given vertex set. If Γ is the line graph of a graph Δ with vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$, then the graph Γ' obtained from Γ by switching with respect to S can be represented in E_8 by the roots $e_i + e_j$ (if ij is an edge $\notin S$) and $c - e_i - e_j$ (if ij is an edge in S); here, $c = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + \dots + e_8)$. Therefore, Γ' has smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 . The maximal graphs obtainable from this construction are the graphs which are switching-equivalent to the triangular graph $T(8)$, the line graph of the complete graph on eight vertices.

A graph with 36 vertices, maximal valency 28, and smallest eigenvalue -2 which is not a generalized line graph can, e.g., be obtained by adding to $K_8 + L(K_8)$ edges joining $i \in K_8$ with $jk \in L(K_8)$ whenever $i \notin \{j, k\}$; a $(2, 1, 0)$ -representation in E_8 is given by the vectors $\frac{1}{2}(f_1 + \dots + f_8) - f_i$ ($i \leq 8$) and $f_i + f_j$ ($i < j \leq 8$), where $f_1, \dots, f_8$ are obtained from $e_1, \dots, e_8$ by reversing the sign of one e_i . Thus, examples satisfying equality in (ii) of the theorem exist.

If we restrict ourselves to regular graphs, sharper results are possible. Bussemaeker, Cvetković, and Seidel [8], supported by a computer, used this theorem to show that, up to isomorphism, there are precisely 187 regular graphs with

smallest eigenvalue -2 (and none with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) > -2$) which are not generalized line graphs, namely

- 4 graphs generating E_6 (nos. 5, 185–187 in [8]),
- 24 graphs generating E_7 (nos. 19, 69, 164–184 in [8]),
- 159 graphs generating E_8 (the remaining ones).

Reference [8] also contains explicit adjacency matrices and representations by roots of E_8 . To simplify the application of their results, we describe here the most important ones, and give (in Table 1) a list of relevant numerical invariants of these graphs. As shown in [8], all 187 graphs are subgraphs of the *Gosset graph* $E_7(1)$ with 56 vertices $e_i + e_j$, $c - e_i - e_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 8$), two vertices being adjacent if their inner product is 1. (Note that this defines not a representation in our sense, since $(e_i + e_j, c - e_i - e_j) = -1$; indeed, the smallest eigenvalue of $E_7(1)$ is -9 . However, all subgraphs not containing such an antipodal pair of vertices are switching-equivalent to a line graph and hence have smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 .) The Gosset graph is the skeleton of the Gosset polytope 3_{21} (cf. Coxeter [15]), and is related to the 28 bitangents of a quartic surface (cf. Dickson [32]). A modern description of the structure of $E_7(1)$ is given by Taylor [39] in terms of a regular two-graph with 28 points. We are interested in the graphs $E_n(1)$ ($n = 1, \dots, 6$), the subgraphs of $E_7(1)$ induced on the set of common neighbors of $e_i + e_8$ ($i = n + 1, \dots, 7$), and some other graphs.

(i) The Schläfli graph $E_6(1)$ (no. 184 in Table 1) has the 27 vertices $e_i + e_7$, $e_i + e_8$ ($i \leq 6$), $c - e_i - e_j$ ($i < j \leq 6$) and valency 16. The graph $E_6(1)$ is the complement of the point graph of the generalized quadrangle of order $(2, 4)$ with 27 points, and is related to the 27 lines on a cubic surface (see Baker [1]; one easily recognizes a double six in the description given).

(ii) The Clebsch graph $E_5(1)$ (no. 187 in Table 1) has the 16 vertices $e_6 + e_7$, $e_i + e_8$ ($i \leq 5$), $c - e_i - e_j$ ($1 < j \leq 5$) and valency 10. The complement is a triangle-free graph obtained by identifying antipodal points of the 5-dimensional cube. The graph $E_5(1)$ contains two regular proper subgraphs which are not line graphs (nos. 185, 186 in Table 1); namely a graph with the 12 vertices $e_i + e_8$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$), $c - e_i - e_j$ ($i < j \leq 5$, $(i, j) \neq (1, 5)$) and valency 7, and a graph with the eight vertices $e_6 + e_7$, $e_i + e_8$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$), $c - e_i - e_{i+1}$ ($i \leq 4$) and valency 4 (cf. Figure 2).

(iii) The graph $E_4(1)$ is isomorphic to the triangular graph $T(5)$ with ten vertices and valency 6.

(iv) The Petersen graph (no. 5 in Table 1) has ten vertices and valency 3. It is obtained from the triangular graph $T(5)$ with vertices $e_i + e_j$ ($i < j \leq 5$) by switching with respect to $\{e_i + e_j \mid i, j \leq 5, j \equiv i + 1 \pmod{5}\}$. This graph is strongly regular with parameters $(\nu, k, \lambda, \mu) = (10, 3, 0, 1)$.

FIGURE 2. A subgraph of the Clebsch graph.

(v) The Shrikhande graph $L'_2(4)$ (no. 69 in Table 1) has 16 vertices and valency 6. It is obtained from the (4×4) -grid $L_2(4)$ with vertices $e_i + e_j$ ($i \leq 4 < j$) by switching with respect to $\{e_i + e_{i+4} \mid i \leq 4\}$. This graph is strongly regular with the same parameters $(\nu, k, \lambda, \mu) = (16, 6, 2, 2)$ as $L_2(4)$ (cf. Shrikhande [37]). It is a quotient of the triangular lattice in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

(vi) The three Chang graphs $T'(8)$, $T''(8)$, $T'''(8)$ (nos. 161–163 in Table 1) have 28 vertices and valency 12. They are obtained from the triangular graph $T(8)$ with vertices $e_i + e_j$ ($i < j \leq 8$) by switching with respect to one of the sets

$$\begin{aligned} &\{e_i + e_{i+4} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \quad \text{for } T'(8), \\ &\{e_i + e_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq 8, j \equiv i + 1 \pmod{8}\} \quad \text{for } T''(8), \\ &\{e_1 + e_2, e_2 + e_3, e_3 + e_1, e_4 + e_5, e_5 + e_6, e_6 + e_7, e_7 + e_8, e_8 + e_4\} \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{for } T'''(8). \end{aligned}$$

These graphs are all strongly regular with the same parameters $(\nu, k, \lambda, \mu) = (28, 12, 6, 4)$ as $T(8)$ (cf. Chang [11, 12], and Seidel [36] for equivalence under switching).

The information collected by Bussemaker et al. [8] about the 187 regular exceptional graphs can be summarized together with the analysis of regular generalized line graphs in Cameron et al. [10] in the following theorem.

1.2. Theorem. *Let Γ be a connected regular graph with v points, valency k , and smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 . Then one of the following holds:*

(i) Γ is the line graph of a regular or a bipartite semiregular connected graph Δ .

(ii) $v = 2(k + 2) \leq 28$, and Γ is a subgraph of $E_7(1)$, switching-equivalent to the line graph of a graph Δ on eight vertices, where all valencies of Δ have the same parity (graphs nos. 1–163 in Table 1).

(iii) $v = \frac{3}{2}(k + 2) \leq 27$, and Γ is a subgraph of the Schläfli graph (graphs nos. 164–184 in Table 1).

(iv) $v = \frac{4}{3}(k + 2) \leq 16$, and Γ is a subgraph of the Clebsch graph (graphs nos. 185–187 in Table 1).

(v) $v = k + 2$, and $\Gamma \cong K_{m \times 2}$ for some $m \geq 3$.

Moreover, $\mathbb{L}^+(\Gamma) \cong A_n$ if and only if (i) holds with a bipartite graph Δ with $n + 1$ vertices, and $\mathbb{L}^+(\Gamma) \cong D_n$ if and only if either (i) holds with a graph Δ with n vertices with is not bipartite or (v) holds with $m = n - 1$.

New and computer-free proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are contained in Brouwer, Cohen and Neumaier [6].

A glance through Table 1, together with a straightforward analysis of line graphs, leads to the following application of the preceding result, which generalizes the characterization of strongly regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 by Seidel [36].

1.3. Theorem. *Let Γ be a connected regular graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 .*

(i) *If Γ is strongly regular, then Γ is a triangular graph $T(n)$, a square grid $n \times n$ (also called a lattice graph $L_2(n)$), a complete multipartite graph $K_{n \times 2}$, or one of the graphs of Petersen, Clebsch, Schläfli, Shrikhande, or Chang.*

(ii) If Γ is edge-regular, then Γ is strongly regular or the line graph of a regular triangle-free graph.

(iii) If Γ is amply regular, then Γ is strongly regular or the line graph of a regular graph of girth ≥ 5 .

(iv) If Γ is coedge-regular, then Γ is strongly regular, an $(m \times n)$ -grid, or one of the two regular subgraphs of the Clebsch graph with eight and 12 vertices, respectively.

The multiplicity of the eigenvalue -2 of a graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq -2$ can be found quite easily from the following results of Doob [19] (case (i)) and Cvetković, Doob, and Simić [18] (case (ii)).

1.4. Theorem. Let Γ be a connected graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq -2$.

(i) If Γ is the line graph of a graph Δ with n vertices and e edges, then -2 is an eigenvalue of Γ with multiplicity $e - n + 1$ if Δ is bipartite, and $e - n$ otherwise.

(ii) If $\Gamma = L(\Delta; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ($\sum a_i > 0$) is a generalized line graph of a graph Δ with n vertices and e edges, then -2 is an eigenvalue of multiplicity $e - n + \sum a_i$.

(iii) If $\mathbb{L}^+(\Gamma) \cong E_n$ ($n = 6, 7, 8$), then -2 is an eigenvalue of multiplicity $|\Gamma| - n$.

The case when the multiplicity of -2 is zero corresponds to the graphs Γ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) > -2$. Since $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) > -2$ implies that $A + 2I$ is positive definite, so that Γ is represented by a linearly independent set of roots, we get the following results of Doob and Cvetković [21].

1.5. Theorem. Let Γ be a connected graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) > -2$. Then Γ is one of the following cases:

(i) The line graph of a connected graph without cycles of even length and with at most one cycle of odd length.

(ii) The generalized line graph $L(\Delta; 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ obtained from the line graph of a tree Δ by adding two nonadjacent vertices ∞^+ , ∞^- which are adjacent with all edges of Δ containing a fixed vertex ∞ of Δ .

(iii) A graph represented by a set of $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ linearly independent roots generating E_n .

1.6. Corollary. A connected regular graph with smallest eigenvalue > -2 is a complete graph or a polygon with an odd number of vertices.

Calculations of the first author (quoted in [21]) imply that, up to isomorphism, there are precisely 573 graphs of the form (iii), namely

- 20 graphs with six vertices generating E_6 ,
- 110 graphs with seven vertices generating E_7 ,
- 443 graphs with eight vertices generating E_8 .

Their adjacency matrices and smallest eigenvalues are listed in Table 2. The 20 graphs with six vertices generating E_6 are drawn in Figure 3 (see next page). It is a useful fact that every graph with n vertices generating E_n ($n = 7, 8$) contains a subgraph with $n-1$ vertices generating E_{n-1} . It would be interesting to have a simple explanation of this fact.

FIGURE 3. The graphs with six vertices generating E_6 . (2.i is graph number i in Table 2; G_i is the notation of [18].) G_{12} – G_{17} are the minimal forbidden line graphs with six vertices.

As another consequence of Theorem 1.4 we determine the minimal graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 . The proof is straightforward and left to the reader.

1.7. Theorem. *Let Γ be a connected graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) = -2$ such that $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma') > -2$ for all proper subgraphs Γ' of Γ . If Γ is a generalized line*

FIGURE 4. The minimal generalized line graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 and associated eigenvectors (C_i is a cycle with $i \geq 3$ vertices, P_i a path with $i \geq 1$ vertices).

graph, then Γ is one of the graphs drawn in Figure 4. Otherwise, Γ contains $n + 1$ vertices ($n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$) and has a representation by roots of E_n .

There are precisely 777 minimal graphs Γ with smallest eigenvalue -2 which are not generalized line graphs, namely

- 12 graphs with seven vertices generating E_6 ,
- 79 graphs with eight vertices generating E_7 ,
- 686 graphs with nine vertices generating E_8 .

Their adjacency matrices are listed in Table 3 together with an eigenvector belonging to the eigenvalue -2 , normalized such that its absolutely smallest entries have the value ± 1 . It is a useful fact that the normalized eigenvectors (belonging to $\lambda = -2$) of all minimal graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 are integral, and it implies that one can delete a vertex whose normalized eigenvector coefficient is ± 1 without changing the lattice generated. It would be interesting to have a simple explanation of this fact.

A reader who wants to check the information given for the exceptional graphs in Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7 can use the fact that a quadrangle has smallest eigenvalue -2 ; thus it is sufficient to check all graphs Γ with $v \leq 9$ vertices and without quadrangles for their smallest eigenvalue, and if $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) = -2$ to determine the multiplicity of -2 (Γ is minimal if and only if -2 is a simple eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector contains no zero entry).

FIGURE 5. The minimal graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 and $v \leq 6$ vertices, and associated eigenvectors.

2. MINIMAL FORBIDDEN SUBGRAPHS

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a class of graphs such that if Γ is in $\mathcal{G}$ then every subgraph of Γ is also in $\mathcal{G}$. A *minimal forbidden subgraph* for $\mathcal{G}$ is a graph $\Gamma \notin \mathcal{G}$ all of whose proper subgraphs are in $\mathcal{G}$. A *complete list of minimal forbidden subgraphs* is a list $\mathcal{G}^\#$ of pairwise nonisomorphic minimal forbidden subgraphs for $\mathcal{G}$ such that every graph $\Gamma \notin \mathcal{G}$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to some graph of $\mathcal{G}^\#$. Thus, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if Γ contains no subgraph isomorphic to some graph of $\mathcal{G}^\#$; in particular, if $\mathcal{G}^\#$ is a known finite list, then we have an obvious finite algorithm for deciding whether a given graph is in $\mathcal{G}$ or not.

A complete list of minimal forbidden subgraphs for the class $\mathcal{L}$ of line graphs has been found by Beineke [3] (who also gives credit to unpublished work by N. Robertson). The list $\mathcal{L}^\#$ consists of nine graphs, the 3-claw $K_{1,3}$ (with four vertices), the two graphs drawn in Figure 1 (with five vertices), and the graphs G_{12} – G_{17} in Figure 3 (with six vertices).

A complete list of minimal forbidden subgraphs for the class $\mathcal{L}_0$ of generalized line graphs has been found independently by Rao, Singhi, and Vijayan [35] and Cvetković, Doob, and Simić [18]. The list $\mathcal{L}_0^\#$ consists of 31 graphs, namely the 20 graphs drawn in Figure 3 and the 11 graphs drawn in Figure 6. Their adjacency matrices and smallest eigenvalues are given as the first 20 entries of Table 2 and the first 11 entries of Table 4.

We discuss some properties of the list $\mathcal{L}_0^\#$.

1. The minimal forbidden subgraphs Γ for $\mathcal{L}_0$ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) > -2$ are precisely the graphs represented by a set of linearly independent generators for the lattice E_6 . Indeed, $\mathbb{L}^+(\Gamma) \not\cong A_n$ or D_n , since Γ is not a generalized line graph. Moreover, $\mathbb{L}^+(\Gamma) \not\cong E_7$ or E_8 , since (as observed above) any set of linearly independent generators for E_7 and E_8 contains a subset generating E_6 .

FIGURE 6. The minimal graphs with smallest eigenvalue < -2 and up to six vertices, and their smallest eigenvalue. A vertex is starred when its deletion leaves a graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 . ($4.i$ is graph number i in Table 4; G_i is the notation of [18].)

2. There is no minimal forbidden subgraph for $\mathcal{L}_0$ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) = -2$. Indeed, as observed above, the minimal graphs Γ with smallest eigenvalue -2 have a proper subgraph generating the same lattice as Γ . (Note that the argument given in Cvetković et al. [18, Corollary 4.2] to prove $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \neq -2$ for $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_0^\#$ is incorrect, since it does not cover the case where some $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ is disconnected or generates A_n ; however, their argument can be replaced by the simple fact that such a Γ would have at most nine vertices and thus is ruled out by McKay's computer search mentioned in Proposition 4.5 of [18].)

3. The set of minimal forbidden subgraphs for $\mathcal{L}_0$ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2$ coincides with the set of minimal graphs with smallest eigenvalue < -2 and at

most six vertices. Indeed, if Γ is a graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2$ and at most six vertices, then $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ generates a lattice of dimension ≤ 5 , hence A_n or D_n , so that each $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ is a generalized line graph and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_0^\#$. However, the remarkable fact that there are no graphs in $\mathcal{L}_0$ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2$ and more than six vertices has not yet found a simple explanation.

4. Every minimal forbidden subgraph for $\mathcal{L}_0$ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2$ contains one of the minimal graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 and ≤ 5 vertices (cf. Figure 5). Again, a simple explanation is missing.

Rao et al. [35] observed that the complete list of minimal forbidden subgraphs for the class $\mathcal{G}_{-2}$ of graphs with smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 is finite, since $\mathcal{L}_0^\#$ is finite and there are only finitely many graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2} \setminus \mathcal{L}_2$ (by Theorem 1.1). In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\# \setminus \mathcal{L}_0^\#$ consists of graphs with at most 37 vertices. Kumar, Rao, and Singhi [30] improved this estimate by showing that the maximal number of vertices of a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ is ten. (Note, however, that the graph with ten vertices they give is *not* in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$.) They also determine the graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ with at most seven vertices (see Figures 6 and 7), but incorrectly state that $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ contains more than 100 graphs with eight vertices. Their complicated arguments were simplified in Vijayakumar [44]. We shall give a new proof of the results in [35] and [30], together with a complete list $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$, based on the following variation of Lemma 4.3 of Cvetković, Doob, and Simić [18].

2.1. Proposition. *Let Γ be a minimal forbidden subgraph for the class $\mathcal{G}_{-m}$ of graphs with smallest eigenvalue $\geq -m$ ($m \geq 1$). Then for any two distinct vertices $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$, the graph $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma, \delta\}$ has smallest eigenvalue $> -m$.*

Proof. Let v be the number of vertices of Γ , and denote by $p(x)$ and $p_\alpha(x)$ ($\alpha \in \Gamma$) the characteristic polynomials of Γ and $\Gamma \setminus \{\alpha\}$, respectively. By Clarke [14], the derivative $p'(x)$ can be expressed as $p'(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_\alpha(x)$. Since $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{-m}^\#$, all proper subgraphs of Γ have smallest eigenvalue $\geq -m$; in particular, $(-1)^{v-1}p_\alpha(x)$ is positive for all $x < -m$. Hence, $(-1)^{v-1}p(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x < -m$, and since $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -m$, it follows that $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma)$ is a simple eigenvalue of Γ and all other eigenvalues are $> -m$.

Now suppose that $\Gamma' = \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma, \delta\}$ has smallest eigenvalue $\leq -m$ (and hence equal to $-m$). Let $z = (z_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma')$ be a corresponding eigenvector, and denote by $x_{c,d}$ the vector $x = (x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma)$ with $x_\gamma = c$, $x_\delta = d$, $x_\alpha = z_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma'$. Writing A for the adjacency matrix of Γ , we have $x_{0,0}^T(A + mI)x_{0,0} = 0$, and therefore

$$x_{c,0}^T(A + mI)x_{c,0} = c^2m + 2c \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma(\gamma) \\ \alpha \neq \delta}} x_\alpha.$$

Since $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ has smallest eigenvalue $\geq -m$, this expression must be nonnegative for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and this is possible only if $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\gamma), \alpha \neq \delta} x_\alpha = 0$. By the same reasoning we find that $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\delta), \alpha \neq \gamma} x_\alpha = 0$. Now, by construction of z , we find the relation $(A + mI)x_{0,0} = 0$, which is impossible, since $-m$ is not an eigenvalue of Γ . Therefore, the smallest eigenvalue of $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma, \delta\}$ is $> -m$. $\square$

In the special case $-m = -2$, this result can be combined with the results of §1 and yields the following restrictions on graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$.

FIGURE 7. The minimal graphs with smallest eigenvalue < -2 and seven vertices, and their smallest eigenvalue. A vertex is starred when its deletion leaves a graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 . (4.i is graph number i in Table 4.)

2.2. Theorem. Let Γ be a graph with v vertices, and suppose that Γ is a minimal forbidden subgraph for the class $\mathcal{G}_{-2}$ of graphs with smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 . Then every subgraph of Γ with smallest eigenvalue -2 has $v - 1$ vertices, and one of the following holds:

(i) $v \leq 10$, and there exists a vertex $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ is a minimal graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 .

(ii) $v \in \{7, 8, 9\}$, $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}) > -2$ for every vertex $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the graph $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ has a representation by $n = v - 1$ linearly independent roots generating E_n .

Proof. We showed already that subgraphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 have $v - 1$ vertices. To prove the remainder, we distinguish two cases.

Case 1. All proper subgraphs of Γ with $v - 1$ vertices are generalized line graphs. Then Γ is a minimal forbidden subgraph for $\mathcal{L}_0$ with smallest eigenvalue < -2 , and hence one of the graphs of Figure 6. This implies that Γ satisfies (i).

Case 2. The graph Γ contains a subgraph $\Gamma \setminus \{\delta\}$ which is not a generalized line graph. Then $\mathbb{L}^+(\Gamma \setminus \{\delta\}) \cong E_{v-1}$; in particular, $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma, \delta\}$, having smallest eigenvalue > -2 , is represented by $v - 2$ linearly independent roots of $E_n \subseteq E_8$, so that $v \leq 10$. If Γ contains a vertex $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}) = -2$, then $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ is a minimal graph with this property and (i) holds. Otherwise, $\Gamma \setminus \{\delta\}$ is represented by $v - 1$ linearly independent roots generating E_{v-1} , and Γ satisfies (ii). $\square$

In particular, a comparison with Figure 5 yields:

2.3. Corollary. Let Γ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ with v vertices. If $v \geq 5$, then Γ contains no quadrangle; if $v \geq 6$, then Γ contains no subgraph of the form M_i ($i \leq 3$); and if $v \geq 7$, then Γ contains no subgraph of the form M_i ($i \leq 7$).

Theorem 2.2 and the corollary now allow a reasonably fast determination of a complete list of forbidden subgraphs for $\mathcal{G}_{-2}$ by computer. We have already seen that a graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ with at most six vertices is a minimal forbidden subgraph and hence one of the 11 graphs in Figure 6. For graphs with more than six vertices, the fact that Γ contains no quadrangle drastically restricts the possibilities for extending subgraphs of Γ so that a systematic extension process together with checks on the smallest eigenvalues of Γ and the $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma\}$ yields a complete list in a reasonable time. (Several earlier trials to get a complete list turned out to be much too time consuming. The breakthrough was when Aart Blokhuis noticed that no minimal forbidden subgraph with six or seven vertices contained a quadrangle. After further experiments, this finally led to the corollary and then to the above theorem.)

The result of the computer search was that a complete list of minimal forbidden subgraphs for the class $\mathcal{G}_{-2}$ of graphs with smallest eigenvalue ≥ -2 consists of 1812 graphs; cf. the following statistics ($\#$ = number of graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ with v vertices).

v	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
$\#$	3	8	14	67	315	1405	1812

The adjacency matrices and smallest eigenvalues of the 1812 graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ are listed in Table 4. The graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ with up to seven vertices are drawn in Figures 6 and 7.

FIGURE 8. Minimal triangle-free graphs with smallest eigenvalue < -2 and their smallest eigenvalue. A vertex is starred when its deletion leaves a graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 . (4. i is graph number i in Table 4.)

An inspection of Table 4 shows that there are only 14 graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^{\#}$ without triangles; they are drawn in Figure 8. The completeness of the list of triangle-free graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^{\#}$ can be established easily by hand on the basis of Theorem 2.2 and its corollary.

2.4. Theorem (Doob [20]). *Let Γ be a graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2$. Then Γ contains a minimal graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 and at most nine vertices.*

FIGURE 9. The graphs with largest eigenvalue < 2 .

Proof. The graph Γ contains a subgraph isomorphic to a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^{\#}$. Inspection of the list of Table 4 shows that each such graph contains a proper subgraph with smallest eigenvalue -2 . $\square$

Note that Doob [20] proved the theorem in a slightly different way, relying on computer calculations of Brendan McKay. It would be very interesting to have a computer-free proof of this result. By Theorems 2.2 and 2.4, the graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^{\#}$ can be characterized as the graphs obtained by adding to a minimal graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 and ≤ 9 vertices a new vertex ∞ and edges containing ∞ in such a way that the eigenvector coefficients (with respect to the eigenvalue -2) of the neighbors of ∞ do *not* sum up to zero. This follows from a similar argument as in the second part of the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Let us digress for a moment and consider some related work on the *largest* eigenvalue of a graph. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_m$ ($m \geq 1$) the class of graphs Γ with largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) \leq m$. The graphs in $\mathcal{G}_2$, listed in Figures 9 and 10, have been determined by Smith [38] (cf. also Lemmens and Seidel [31]); they are precisely the spherical and affine Dynkin diagrams for so-called simply-laced root systems (cf. Hiller [24]).

A complete list of minimal forbidden subgraphs for $\mathcal{G}_2$ is easily established and can be deduced from the list of minimal hyperbolic Dynkin diagrams given in Chein [13] and Koszul [29]. The list $\mathcal{G}_2^{\#}$ consists of 18 graphs, namely the 13 bipartite graphs of Figure 8 (4.410 is not bipartite; $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) = -\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma)$ if Γ is bipartite) and five further graphs drawn in Figure 11 which are not bipartite. The maximal number of vertices of graphs in $\mathcal{G}_2^{\#}$ is ten. One can read off from Figures 8–11 that every graph not containing a graph with largest eigenvalue 2 is contained in such a graph (cf. Doob [20]).

For $\hat{m} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}} \doteq 2.058171$ ($= \tau^{3/2} = \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}$, where $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$), $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{m}}$ has been determined by Cvetković, Doob, and Gutman [16] and Brouwer and Neumaier [7]. $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{m}}$ consists of the paths, polygons, the trees Y_{ij1} , Y_{i22} , Y_{332} (where Y_{ijk} is the Y -shaped tree with a unique vertex of valency 3, the deletion of which leaves three disjoint paths with i , j , and k vertices), and the trees Π_{ijk} (π -shaped, consisting of a path with $i+j+k-1$ vertices and two further vertices of valency 1 adjacent to the i th and $(i+j)$ th vertex of the path), where $\tau^j \geq (\tau^i - 2)(\tau^k - 2)$ (i.e., $j \geq i+k - \varepsilon_{ik}$, where $\varepsilon_{23} = \varepsilon_{32} = 4$,

FIGURE 10. The graphs with largest eigenvalue 2, with a corresponding eigenvector.

FIGURE 11. The minimal graphs with largest eigenvalue > 2 which are not bipartite, and their largest eigenvalue. A vertex is starred when its deletion leaves a graph with largest eigenvalue -2 .

$\varepsilon_{2i} = \varepsilon_{i2} = 3$ for $i > 3$, $\varepsilon_{33} = \varepsilon_{34} = \varepsilon_{43} = 2$, $\varepsilon_{3i} = \varepsilon_{i3} = \varepsilon_{44} = \varepsilon_{45} = \varepsilon_{54} = 1$, and $\varepsilon_{ik} = 0$ otherwise). It is remarkable that $\hat{m} = \sup\{\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_m\}$ although no graph with $\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) = \hat{m}$ exists; in particular, this shows that the set of maximal eigenvalues of graphs is not closed. As observed by Hoffman [27], $\mathcal{G}_m^\#$ is infinite, since it contains all subgraphs obtained by adding a vertex of valency 1 to the vertices of a polygon (and $\hat{m}$ is maximal with this property). It would be interesting to know the set of numbers m , $-m$ such that $\mathcal{G}_m^\#$ or $\mathcal{G}_{-m}^\#$ are finite; however, these seem to be very difficult problems.

FIGURE 12. The extremal graph Y_{621} .

The fact that $\mathcal{G}_{+2}^\#$ and $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ are finite implies the existence of “eigenvalue gaps” at ± 2 in the following sense (cf. [23] for $\lambda_{\max}$).

2.5. Theorem. *Let $\rho \doteq 2.006594$ be the largest solution of the equation $(\rho^3 - \rho)^2(\rho^2 - 3)(\rho^2 - 4) = 1$. Then there is no graph Γ such that $-\rho < \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2$ or $2 < \lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) < \rho$. Moreover, every graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) = -\rho$ or $\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) = \rho$ is isomorphic to the graph Y_{621} .*

Proof. Inspection of Table 5 shows that the only graph in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq -\rho$ is Y_{621} , which has $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) = -\rho$. Now every graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2$ not in $\mathcal{G}_{-2}^\#$ contains a proper subgraph $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{G}_2^\#$; hence, $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \leq \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma') \leq -\rho$. If equality holds, then $\Gamma' = Y_{621}$, and all subgraphs of Γ strictly containing Γ' have $-\rho$ as a multiple eigenvalue. In particular, the subgraph obtained by adjoining to Γ' one further vertex of Γ and deleting one of the vertices of Γ' again has $-\rho$ as smallest eigenvalue, and hence must be isomorphic to Y_{621} . But no graph with 11 vertices has this property. Hence, $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) = -\rho$ implies $\Gamma \cong Y_{621}$. The statement about $\lambda_{\max}$ follows immediately, since Y_{621} is bipartite and the nonbipartite minimal graphs with largest eigenvalue $> \rho$ (Figure 11) have largest eigenvalue $> \rho$. $\square$

For graphs with large minimum valency, the eigenvalue gap at -2 is considerably larger. The following highly nontrivial result was proved by Hoffman [26] using Ramsey’s theorem.

2.6. Theorem. *Let $\hat{\lambda}_k = \sup\{\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \mid k_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq k, \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2\}$. Then $\hat{\lambda}_k$ is a monotonic decreasing sequence with limit $-1 - \sqrt{2} \doteq 2.414214$.*

Theorem 2.5 implies the value $\hat{\lambda}_1 = -\rho \doteq -2.006594$. Lower bounds for the values of $\hat{\lambda}_i$ can be obtained from particular graphs with minimal valency k . In particular, we get

$$\hat{\lambda}_2 \geq \tilde{\lambda}_2 = \frac{1 - 2\sqrt{13}}{3} \doteq -2.070368,$$

since the clique extension of an n -cycle, where a single vertex is replaced by a 2-clique, provides a sequence of graphs Γ_i with minimal valency 2 and $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma_i) \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_2$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$. The graph of Figure 13 gives the lower bound

$$\hat{\lambda}_k \geq \tilde{\lambda}_k \doteq -(1 + \sqrt{2})(1 - k^{-1} + O(k^{-2})) \quad \text{for } k \geq 3,$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_k$ is the smallest solution of the equation

$$(x + 1)^2(x + 2)(x + 3) + k(2x^3 + 9x^2 + 10x + 1) + k^2(x^2 + 2x - 1) = 0.$$

The reader is challenged to provide more extreme examples or to prove that the examples given are extremal. An explicit upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_k$ which tends to $-1 - \sqrt{2}$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$ would also be of considerable interest. In particular, for

FIGURE 13. A graph with minimal valency k .

FIGURE 14. A regular graph with valency k .

applications to distance-regular graphs (see §3), we would like to know whether

$$\hat{\lambda}_k < -2.4 \quad \text{if } k \geq 64;$$

note that $\hat{\lambda}_k < -2.4$ if $k > 29.4$.

If we require that Γ is regular of large valency, then $-1 - \sqrt{2}$ seems no longer to be the right limit. Among the regular graphs of valency k , the largest value of $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma)$ observed in a limited number of test cases occurred for the graph in Figure 14, where $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) = -1 - \alpha_k$ with the largest zero α_k of the equation $x^3 + 2x^2 + x - 3 - k(x^2 + 2x - 2) = 0$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (-1 - \alpha_k) = -1 - \sqrt{3}$.

The result corresponding to Theorem 2.5 for the largest eigenvalue is trivial for minimal valency $k > 2$, since (by Perron-Frobenius theory) the largest eigenvalue of a graph Γ with minimal valency k is at least k , with equality if and only if the graph is regular of valency k . The more relevant value

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \{ \lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) \mid k_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq k, \lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) > k \} \\ &= \inf \{ \lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \text{ not regular}, k_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq k \} \end{aligned}$$

is not known, not even for $k = 2$.

3. APPLICATIONS TO DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPHS

In this section we apply the preceding results to a characterization problem in the theory of distance-regular graphs. A connected graph Γ is called *distance-regular* if for any two vertices γ and δ at distance $i = d(\gamma, \delta)$, there are precisely c_i neighbors of δ at distance $i - 1$ from γ , and b_i neighbors of δ at distance $i + 1$ from γ (see Biggs [4], Bannai and Ito [2]). The sequence

$$(1) \quad \iota(\Gamma) = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_d\},$$

where d is the diameter of Γ , is called the *intersection array* of Γ . A fundamental problem in the theory of distance-regular graphs is the characterization of known graphs by their intersection array. Recently, Paul Terwilliger and the second author achieved a breakthrough in this direction by utilizing the classification of graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 to settle this problem for a large class of intersection arrays containing those for the Hamming graphs, the Johnson graphs, and the half-cubes (Terwilliger [41], Neumaier [34]). Here we show that knowledge of the eigenvalue gap in Theorem 2.4 allows the characterization of distance-regular graphs for another class of intersection arrays, at least for large diameter. We begin by summarizing the background needed.

The adjacency matrix A of a distance-regular graph Γ of diameter d has precisely $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$; the largest eigenvalue θ_0 is the valency $k = b_0$ of Γ and has multiplicity 1. To each eigenvalue θ of Γ there corresponds a unique idempotent matrix E in the algebra of polynomials in A satisfying the equation $AE = \theta E$, and the rank f of E agrees with the multiplicity of θ . The (γ, δ) -entries $E_{\gamma\delta}$ of E depend only on the distance of γ and δ ,

$$(2) \quad E_{\gamma\delta} = u_i \quad \text{if } d(\gamma, \delta) = i,$$

and the u_i satisfy the recurrence relations

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} u_0 &= 1, & u_1 &= \theta/k, \\ c_i u_{i-1} + a_i u_i + b_i u_{i+1} &= \theta u_i & (i = 1, \dots, d-1), \\ c_d u_{d-1} + a_d u_d &= \theta u_d, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i = k - b_i - c_i$. Conversely, if (3) holds, then θ is an eigenvalue of A and (2) defines the corresponding idempotents. These facts can be found, e.g., in [2, 4, 6]. Since an idempotent symmetric matrix is positive semidefinite, E can be considered as the Gram matrix of a set of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^f$; hence, there is a mapping $-: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^f$ such that the images $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta}$ of two vertices $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ have inner product

$$(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta}) = u_i \quad \text{if } d(\gamma, \delta) = i.$$

From this graph representation in $\mathbb{R}^f$ it is possible to deduce the following result concerning the smallest eigenvalues of the local subgraphs $\Gamma(\gamma)$:

3.1. Proposition (Terwilliger [43]). *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with intersection array (1), and suppose that θ is an eigenvalue of Γ with multiplicity f . If $-1 < \theta < k$, then*

$$(4) \quad \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma(\gamma)) \geq -b_1/(\theta + 1) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Moreover, if $f < k$, then (4) holds with equality, θ is the second-largest eigenvalue of Γ , and either $\theta + 1$ is an integer dividing b_1 , or $\theta + 1$ and $b_1/(\theta + 1)$ are irrational quadratic algebraic integers.

Proof. Inequality (4) is essentially Theorem 1(2) in [43]. The second assertion is part of Theorem 5 in [43], apart from the statement about equality in (4), which derives from the proof of that theorem. $\square$

The following result of Terwilliger is also relevant in the present context.

3.2. Proposition (Terwilliger [40]). *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with intersection array (1).*

(i) *If Γ contains a quadrangle, then*

$$(5) \quad c_i - b_i \geq c_{i-1} - b_{i-1} + a_1 + 2 \quad (i = 1, \dots, d).$$

(ii) *If $c_2 - b_2 = c_1 - b_1 + a_1 + 1$, then every 2-claw of Γ is in at most one quadrangle.*

Proof. (i) is in Terwilliger [40], and (ii) is a simple consequence of the simplified proof of (i) in Terwilliger [42]. $\square$

Terwilliger classified in [42] the distance-regular graphs satisfying (5) with equality for all i . Here we consider a class of intersection arrays which have equality in (5) for all $i \neq d$, namely the arrays (1) defined by

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} b_i &= \mu \binom{m-i}{2} - (m-i)(m-i-2) & (i = 0, \dots, d-1), \\ c_i &= \mu \binom{i}{2} - i(i-2) & (i = 1, \dots, d-1), \\ c_d &= \gamma \left(\mu \binom{d}{2} - d(d-2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(d, \gamma) \in \left\{ \left(\frac{m}{2}, 2 \right), \left(\frac{m-1}{2}, 1 \right) \right\}, \quad d > 1.$$

Note that $\mu = c_2$ and $m \in \{2d, 2d+1\}$ are positive integers, $m \geq 4$. There are three families of distance-regular graphs realizing these arrays:

(i) The *folded m -cube* with $v = \frac{1}{2}2^m$ vertices is the graph obtained by identifying antipodal vertices in the m -cube, and realizes (6) with $\mu = 2$. It can be described as the graph whose vertices are the partitions of an m -set into two sets, where two such partitions are adjacent whenever their common refinement contains two singletons.

(ii) The *folded Johnson graph* with $v = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2m}{m}$ vertices is the graph whose vertices are the partitions of a $2m$ -set into two m -sets, with adjacency defined as before. Its intersection array realizes (6) with $\mu = 4$.

(iii) The *folded half $2m$ -cube* with $v = \frac{1}{2}2^{2m-1}$ vertices is the graph whose vertices are the partitions of a $2m$ -set into two sets of even size, where two such partitions are adjacent whenever their common refinement contains two sets of size 2. Its intersection array realizes (6) with $\mu = 6$.

In view of these examples, we call a distance-regular graph with intersection array (6) a *pseudopartition graph*. We shall prove the following characterization theorem.

3.3. Theorem. *Let Γ be a pseudopartition graph with diameter d .*

(i) *If $\mu = 2$, then either Γ is a folded cube, or $d = 3$ and Γ is the incidence graph of a $(16, 6, 2)$ -biplane.*

(ii) *If $d \geq 3$, then $\mu \in \{2, 4, 6\}$.*

(iii) *If $d \geq 154$, then Γ is a folded cube, a folded Johnson graph, or a folded half-cube.*

Proof. We proceed in several steps.

Step 1. Γ has an eigenvalue $\theta = m - 4 + (\mu - 2) \binom{m-2}{2}$ with multiplicity

$$(7) \quad f = \frac{m(m-1)(2 + (\mu-2)(m-1))(4 + (\mu-2)(2m-5))}{(4 + (\mu-2)(m-2))(4 + (\mu-2)(m-3))}.$$

To show this, we note that the intersection array belongs to the family of Q -polynomial intersection arrays of type II discussed in Bannai and Ito [2], with

parameters (in the notation of [2])

$$r_1 = -\frac{m+1}{2}, \quad r_2 = -\frac{m+2}{2}, \quad r_3 = -m - \frac{2}{\mu-2},$$

$$h = 2\mu - 4, \quad s = -m - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{\mu-2}, \quad s^* = -m - 1.$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues of Γ are given by

$$\theta_i = k - 4i + (\mu - 2)i(2i + 1 - 2m) \quad (i = 0, \dots, d),$$

and their multiplicity is

$$(8) \quad f_i = \prod_{j=1}^i q_j,$$

where

$$q_j = \frac{b_{j-1}^*}{c_j^*} = \frac{(j+s)(2j+1+s)}{j(2j-1+s)} \cdot \frac{(j+r_1)(j+r_2)(j+r_3)}{(j+s-r_1)(j+s-r_2)(j+s-r_3)}.$$

In particular, since $k = b_0 = \mu \binom{m}{2} - m(m-2) = \frac{m}{2}(2 + (\mu-2)(m-1))$, we get for $i = 1$ by simplification the above values θ for θ_1 and f for f_1 .

Step 2. If $d \geq 3$, then $\mu \neq 1, 3, 5$.

$c_3 > 0$ excludes $\mu = 1$. For $\mu = 3$, (7) reduces to

$$f = \frac{m(m-1)(2m-1)}{(m+2)},$$

so that $m+2 \mid 30$. For $d \geq 3$ ($m \geq 6$) this leaves the cases $m = 8, 13, 28$, and (8) yields a nonintegral f_3, f_4, f_5 in the respective cases. And for $\mu = 5$, (7) becomes

$$f = \frac{m(m-1)(3m-1)(6m-11)}{(3m-2)(3m-5)},$$

which is nonintegral for all $m > 3$, hence for $d \geq 2$.

Step 3. If $d \geq 3$, then $\mu \in \{2, 4, 6\}$.

To get this, we apply Proposition 3.1; note that $\theta < k$ and $\theta + 1 = \frac{m-3}{m-1}b_1 > 0$. Since $m \geq 2d \geq 6$, $\theta + 1$ is no divisor of b_2 , and since θ is rational, we must have $f \geq k = \frac{m}{2}(2 + (\mu-2)(m-1))$. This implies

$$2(m-1)(4 + (\mu-2)(2m-5)) \leq (4 + (\mu-2)(m-2))(4 + (\mu-2)(m-3)),$$

which simplifies to $(\mu-6)(m-3)(2 + (\mu-2)(m-2)) \leq 0$. Therefore, $\mu \leq 6$ and thus $\mu \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ by Step 2.

Step 4. If $\mu = 2$, then the conclusion of (i) holds.

For $m \geq 7$ this follows from Egawa [22]. For $m = 6$, Γ has $v = 32$ vertices and intersection array $\{6, 5, 4; 1, 2, 6\}$; hence, Γ is bipartite of diameter 3 and must be the incidence graph of a $2 - (\frac{v}{2}, k, \mu)$ -design, i.e., of a $(16, 6, 2)$ -biplane. For $m = 4, 5$, the graph Γ is easily seen to be K_4 and $K_{4,4}$, respectively, and hence a folded m -cube.

Step 5. For any two nonadjacent vertices $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\gamma)$, the number $\mu(\alpha, \beta)$ of common neighbors of α and β in $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is $\mu - 1$ or $\mu - 2$.

For, if $\mu(\alpha, \beta) \leq \mu - 3$, then there are two distinct vertices $\delta, \delta' \in \Gamma_2(\gamma)$ adjacent with α and β so that the 2-claw $\alpha\gamma\beta$ is in two distinct quadrangles, contradicting Proposition 3.2(ii). Since $\mu(\alpha, \beta) \leq \mu - 1$, this forces $\mu(\alpha, \beta) \in \{\mu - 1, \mu - 2\}$.

Step 6. Each neighborhood $\Gamma(\gamma)$ has smallest eigenvalue $\geq -2 - \frac{2}{m-3}$.

This follows from Proposition 3.1 since

$$\frac{b_1}{\theta + 1} = \frac{m - 1}{m - 3} = 1 + \frac{2}{m - 3}.$$

Step 7. If $d \geq 154$, then each neighborhood $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is a line graph.

In this case, $m \geq 308$ so that $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma(\gamma)) \geq -2 - \frac{2}{305} > -2.00656 > -\rho$, and by Theorem 2.5, $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma(\gamma)) \geq -2$. Now $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is a regular graph with $k = \frac{m}{2}(2 + (\mu - 2)(m - 1)) \geq m^2 > 28$ (since we may assume $\mu \geq 4$ by Steps 3 and 4) vertices and valency $a_1 = k - 1 - b_1 < k - 2$, and by Theorem 1.2, each $\Gamma(\gamma)$ must be a line graph.

Step 8. If $\mu = 4$, then each neighborhood $\Gamma(\gamma)$ which is a line graph is in fact an $(m \times m)$ -grid.

By Step 5, the hypothesis of Proposition 5 of Neumaier [34] is satisfied with $c = 2$ for $\Gamma(\gamma) = L(\Delta)$, and part (iii) of that proposition, together with the fact that $\Gamma(\gamma)$ contains $k = m^2$ vertices and is regular of valency $a_1 = k - 1 - b_1 = 2(m - 1)$, only leaves the case $\Delta = K_{m,m}$. Therefore, $\Gamma(\gamma) = L(K_{m,m})$ is an $(m \times m)$ -grid.

Step 9. If $d \geq 154$ and $\mu = 4$, then Γ is a folded Johnson graph.

For Γ is locally an $(m \times m)$ -grid by Steps 7 and 8, and has the same intersection array, hence the same number $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2m}{m}$ of vertices as a folded Johnson graph. By Blokhuis and Brouwer [5], Γ is a quotient of a Johnson graph $J(2m, m)$, and distance regularity forces that antipodal vertices (corresponding to complementary m -sets) must be identified, so that Γ is a folded Johnson graph.

Step 10. If $\mu = 6$, then each neighborhood $\Gamma(\gamma)$ which is a line graph is in fact a triangular graph $T(2m)$.

By Step 5, the hypothesis of Proposition 5 of Neumaier [34] is satisfied with $c = 4$ for $\Gamma(\gamma) \cong L(\Delta)$, and part (i) of that proposition, together with the fact that $\Gamma(\gamma)$ contains $k = m(2m - 1)$ vertices, implies $\Delta = K_{2m}$ and $\Gamma(\gamma) \cong T(2m)$.

Step 11. If $d \geq 154$ and $\mu = 6$, then Γ is a folded half-cube.

For Γ is locally $T(2m)$ by Steps 7 and 10, and has the same intersection array, hence the same number $\frac{1}{2} 2^{2m-1}$ of vertices as the folded half m -cube. Since $d \geq 3$ and $m \geq 6$, the vertices and m -cliques of Γ form a semiplane, i.e., distinct vertices are in precisely zero or two blocks (m -cliques), and distinct blocks intersect in zero or two vertices. The incidence graph Γ^* of this semiplane is an amply regular graph with $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 2$. Application of Egawa [22] shows that Γ^* is a folded $2m$ -cube, so that Γ is a folded half-cube. $\square$

Together with the results of Terwilliger [41] (which inspired the first three steps of the preceding proof), this implies that for large diameters ($d \geq 154$), all Q -polynomial distance-regular graphs of type II are known.

The diameter bound seems much too pessimistic, and there should be no exceptions for $d \geq 4$. In order to obtain assertion 3.3(iii) for $d \geq 4$ in place of $d \geq 154$, the argument of Step 7 has to be improved; since $m \geq 8$ for $d \geq 4$, we would need a result like

$$\Gamma \text{ regular of valency } k \geq 64 \Rightarrow \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) < -2.4 \text{ or } \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq -2.$$

For $d \leq 3$, there are many exceptions: Husain [28] shows that there are precisely three $(16, 6, 2)$ -biplanes, and since each of them is self-dual, their incidence graphs give three nonisomorphic distance-regular graphs with intersection array $\{6, 5, 4; 1, 2, 6\}$, one of which is the folded 5-cube. Bussemaker et al. [9] show that there are at least 1853 strongly regular graphs with the same intersection array $\{16, 9; 1, 4\}$ as the folded Johnson graph with $v = \frac{1}{2}\binom{8}{4} = 35$ vertices. Any pair of orthogonal Latin squares of order 8 gives a Latin square graph $LS_4(8)$ with the same intersection array $\{28, 25; 1, 6\}$ as the folded half 8-cube. Any Latin square of order 16 gives a Latin square graph $LS_3(16)$ with the same intersection array $\{45, 28; 1, 6\}$ as the folded half 10-cube.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. H. F. Baker, *A locus with 25920 linear self-transformations*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. and Math. Physics, vol. 39, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1946.
2. E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic combinatorics. I: Association schemes*, Benjamin-Cummings Lecture Note Series, vol. 58, Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.
3. L. W. Beineke, *Derived graphs and digraphs*, Beiträge zur Graphentheorie (H. Sachs et al., eds.), Teubner, Leipzig, 1968, pp. 17–33.
4. N. L. Biggs, *Algebraic graph theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 67, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1974.
5. A. Blokhuis and A. E. Brouwer, *Classification of the locally 4-by-4 grid graphs*, Math. Centr. Report PM-R8401, Amsterdam, 1984.
6. A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance regular graphs*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
7. A. E. Brouwer and A. Neumaier, *The graphs with largest eigenvalue between 2 and $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **114/115** (1989), 273–276.
8. F. C. Bussemaker, D. H. Cvetković, and J. J. Seidel, *Graphs related to exceptional root systems*, Report TH Eindhoven 76-WSK-05, 1976.
9. F. C. Bussemaker, R. A. Mathon, and J. J. Seidel, *Tables of two-graphs*, Combinatorics and Graph Theory (S. B. Rao, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 885, Springer, 1981, pp. 70–112. (Full details in Report 79-WSK-05, Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven, 1979.)
10. P. J. Cameron, J. M. Goethals, J. J. Seidel, and E. E. Shult, *Line graphs, root systems and elliptic geometry*, J. Algebra **43** (1976), 305–327.
11. Lie-Chien Chang, *The uniqueness and non-uniqueness of the triangular association scheme*, Sci. Record Peking Math. (New Ser.) **3** (1959), 604–613.
12. L. C. Chang, *Association schemes of partially balanced block designs with parameters $n = 28$, $n_1 = 12$, $n_2 = 15$ and $p_{11}^2 = 4$* , Sci. Record Peking Math. (New Ser.) **4** (1960), 12–18.
13. M. Chein, *Recherche des graphes des matrices de Coxeter hyperboliques d'ordre ≤ 10* , Rev. Française Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle **3** (1969), 3–16.
14. F. H. Clarke, *A graph polynomial and its application*, Discrete Math. **3** (1972), 305–315.
15. H. S. M. Coxeter, *Extreme forms*, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1951), 391–441.
16. D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, and I. Gutman, *On graphs whose spectral radius does not exceed $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5}$* , Ars Combinatoria **14** (1982), 225–239.

17. D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, and H. Sachs, *Spectra of graphs*, V. E. B. Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1979. (Also, Academic Press, New York, 1980.)
18. D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, and S. Simić, *Generalized line graphs*, J. Graph Theory **5** (1981), 385–399.
19. M. Doob, *An interrelation between line graphs, eigenvalues, and matroids*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **15** (1973), 40–50.
20. —, *A surprising property of the least eigenvalue of a graph*, Linear Algebra Appl. **46** (1982), 1–7.
21. M. Doob and D. Cvetković, *On spectral characterizations and embeddings of graphs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **27** (1979), 17–26.
22. Y. Egawa, *Characterization of $H(n, q)$ by the parameters*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **31** (1981), 108–125.
23. F. Goodman, P. de la Harpe, and V. Jones, *Dynkin diagrams and towers of algebras*, Chapter 1: *Matrices over natural numbers*, Preprint, Genève, 1986.
24. H. Hiller, *Geometry of Coxeter groups*, Research Notes in Math., Pitman, New York, 1982.
25. A. J. Hoffman, *On eigenvalues and colourings of graphs*, Graph Theory and its Applications (B. Harris, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1970, pp. 79–91.
26. —, *On graphs whose least eigenvalue exceeds $-1 - \sqrt{2}$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **16** (1977), 153–166.
27. —, *On limit points of spectral radii of nonnegative symmetric integral matrices*, Graph Theory and Applications (Y. Alavi et al., eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 303, Springer, 1972, pp. 165–172.
28. Q. M. Husain, *On the totality of the solutions for the symmetrical incomplete block designs: $\lambda = 2$, $k = 5$ or 6*, Sankhyā **7** (1945), 204–208.
29. J. L. Koszul, *Lectures on hyperbolic Coxeter groups*, Dept. Math., Univ. Notre Dame, 1967.
30. Vijaya Kumar, S. B. Rao, and N. M. Singhi, *Graphs with eigenvalues at least -2* , Linear Algebra Appl. **46** (1982), 27–42.
31. P. W. H. Lemmens and J. J. Seidel, *Equiangular lines*, J. Algebra **24** (1973), 494–512.
32. G. A. Miller, H. F. Blichfeldt, and L. E. Dickson, *Theory and applications of finite groups*, Part III, reprint, Dover, New York, 1961.
33. A. Neumaier, *Lattices of simplex type*, SIAM J. Alg. Discrete Math. **4** (1983), 145–160.
34. —, *Characterization of a class of distance regular graphs*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **357** (1985), 182–192.
35. S. B. Rao, N. M. Singhi, and K. S. Vijayan, *The minimal forbidden subgraphs for generalized line graphs*, Combinatorics and Graph Theory (S. B. Rao, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 885, Springer, 1981, pp. 459–472.
36. J. J. Seidel, *Strongly regular graphs with $(-1, 1, 0)$ adjacency matrix having eigenvalue 3*, Linear Algebra Appl. **1** (1968), 281–298.
37. S. S. Shrikhande, *The uniqueness of the L_2 association scheme*, Ann. Math. Statist. **30** (1959), 781–798.
38. J. H. Smith, *Some properties of the spectrum of a graph*, Combinatorial Structures and Their Applications (R. Guy, ed.), Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970, pp. 403–406.
39. D. E. Taylor, *Regular 2-graphs*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **34** (1977), 257–274.
40. P. Terwilliger, *Distance-regular graphs with girth 3 or 4*, I, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **39** (1985), 265–281.
41. —, *A class of distance-regular graphs that are Q -polynomial*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **40** (1986), 213–223.
42. —, *Root systems and the Johnson and Hamming graphs*, European J. Combin. **8** (1987), 73–102.
43. —, *A new feasibility condition for distance regular graphs*, Discrete Math. **61** (1986), 311–315.

- 44. G. R. Vijayakumar, *A characterization of generalized line graphs and classification of graphs with eigenvalues at least -2* , J. Combin. Inform. System Sci. (to appear).
- 45. E. Witt, *Spiegelungsgruppen und Aufzählung halbeinfacher Liescher Ringe*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ. **14** (1941), 289–322.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, P. O. Box 513, NL 5600 MB EINDHOVEN, THE NETHERLANDS

INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, HERMANN-HERDER-STR. 10, D 7800 FREIBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: neum@ruf1.sun.uni-freiburg.de