AN ESTIMATE FOR THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS WITHOUT LARGE PRIME FACTORS

KOJI SUZUKI

ABSTRACT. $\Psi(x,y)$ denotes the number of positive integers $\leq x$ and free of prime factors > y. Hildebrand and Tenenbaum provided a good approximation of $\Psi(x,y)$. However, their method requires the solution $\alpha = \alpha(x,y)$ to the equation $\sum_{p \leq y} \log p/(p^{\alpha}-1) = \log x$, and therefore it needs a large amount of time for the numerical solution of the above equation for large y. Hildebrand also showed $1 - \xi_u/\log y$ approximates α for $1 \leq u \leq y/(2\log y)$, where $u = (\log x)/\log y$ and ξ_u is the unique solution to $e^{\xi_u} = 1 + u\xi_u$. Let E(i) be defined by $E(0) = \log u$; $E(i) = \log u + \log(E(i-1) + 1/u)$ for i > 0. We show E(m) approximates ξ_u , and $1 - E(m)/\log y$ also approximates α , where $m = \lceil (\log u + \log \log y)/\log \log u \rceil + 1$. Using these approximations, we give a simple method which approximates $\Psi(x,y)$ within a factor $1 + O(1/u + 1/\log y)$ in the range $(\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon} < \log y < (\log x)/e$, where ϵ is any positive constant.

1. Introduction

Let $\Psi(x,y)$ be the number of positive integers $\leq x$ and free of prime factors > y. Estimates for $\Psi(x,y)$ are very useful for many number-theoretic algorithms and modern cryptography. The behavior of $\Psi(x,y)$ has been investigated by many authors ([2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [10], [13]). We see good summaries for the investigations of $\Psi(x,y)$ in [9] and [11].

Dickman [5] showed that the probability that a random integer between 1 and x has no prime factors exceeding $x^{1/u}$ (0 < u) approaches the value $\rho(u)$ as $x \longrightarrow \infty$, where $u = (\log x)/\log y$ and $\rho(u)$ is the unique solution to the following equations:

$$u\rho'(u) + \rho(u-1) = 0$$
 $(1 < u);$
 $\rho(u) = 1$ $(0 \le u \le 1).$

Hildebrand [7] showed that for any fixed positive number ϵ , $3 \le x$, and $1 \le u \le \log x/(\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon}$,

$$\Psi(x,y) = x\rho(u)\left(1 + O_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right)\right)$$

holds. The estimate $\Psi(x,y) \approx x\rho(u)$ is, in practice, accurate only for small u. Hildebrand's result above shows this fact in theory, and Hunter and Sorenson [10] gave some experimental data to show this fact.

Received by the editor April 10, 2001 and, in revised form, September 12, 2002.

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ {\it Primary}\ 11N25;\ Secondary\ 11Y05.$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Computational number theory, analytic number theory, asymptotic estimates, factoring problem.

Hildebrand and Tenenbaum [8] gave an estimate of $\Psi(x, y)$ which is accurate for large u. They showed that uniformly for $2 \le y \le x$,

(1.1)
$$\Psi(x,y) = h(x,y,\alpha_u) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log y}{\log x}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log y}{y}\right) \right),$$

where

$$h(x, y, s) = \frac{x^s \prod_{p \le y} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}}{s \sqrt{2\pi \phi_2(s, y)}};$$

$$\phi_2(s, y) = \sum_{p \le y} \frac{p^s (\log p)^2}{(p^s - 1)^2},$$

and α_u is the unique solution to the equation

(1.2)
$$-\sum_{p < y} \frac{\log p}{p^{\alpha_u} - 1} + \log x = 0.$$

Hunter and Sorenson [10] provided the following algorithm to evaluate Hildebrand and Tenenbaum's approximation.

Algorithm HS [10].

- (1) Find all primes $\leq y$.
- (2) Find α'_u satisfying $|\alpha_u \alpha'_u| < \min\{0.0001, 1/(\bar{u}\log x)\}$, where α_u is the unique solution to (1.2) and $\bar{u} = \min\{u, y/\log y\}$.
- (3) Output $h(x, y, \alpha'_u)$.

Step (1) can be done by some sieve algorithms (for example, see [1] and [12]) using $O(y/\log\log y)$ operations. Step (2) requires bisection, and it can be performed using $O(y(\log\log x)/\log y)$ operations [10]. Hence, the complexity of Algorithm HS is given by

(1.3)
$$O\left(y\left(\frac{\log\log x}{\log y} + \frac{1}{\log\log y}\right)\right).$$

Hunter and Sorenson also showed that Newton's method can improve the complexity of step (2) in Algorithm HS. To prove quadratic convergence for Newton's method, one needs a preliminary search by bisection for obtaining a suitable starting point, and it costs $O(y(\log((\log x)/\bar{u})/\log y))$ operations. Then, if $\log x \leq y$, the total running time of this algorithm is dropped to $O(y/\log\log y)$, and if $\log x > y$, it corresponds to (1.3). Although Newton's method can reduce the running time of step (2), one can only prove quadratic convergence.

In this paper, we give an estimate of α_u ,

$$\hat{\alpha}_u = 1 - \frac{E(m)}{\log y}; \quad m = \left\lceil \frac{\log u + \log \log y}{\log \log u} \right\rceil + 1,$$

where

(1.4)
$$E(i) = \begin{cases} \log u & \text{for } i = 0; \\ \log u + \log (E(i-1) + 1/u) & \text{for } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

The above E(m) provides an approximation of ξ_u , where ξ_u is the unique solution to the equation

$$e^{\xi_u} = 1 + u\xi_u$$

and E(m) can be used in some other estimate formulas for $\Psi(x,y)$ (for example, see Section 2 of [9]). We prove that the error term of our estimate for α_u is $O(1/(u(\log y)^2))$ for $x \to \infty$, in the range

$$(1.5) \qquad (\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon} < \log y < (\log x)/e,$$

where ϵ is any fixed positive number. Furthermore, using this estimate, we show that in the above range

(1.6)
$$\Psi(x,y) = h(x,y,\hat{\alpha}_u) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{\log y}\right) \right),$$

when x tends to infinity. Using (1.6), we can compute the estimates of $\Psi(x,y)$ without the numerical solution of the equation (1.2). Our algorithm for computing the estimate for $\Psi(x,y)$ is described as follows.

Algorithm Modified HT.

- (1) Find all primes $\leq y$.
- (2) Set $E(0) = \log u$ and $m = \lceil (\log u + \log \log y) / \log \log u \rceil + 1$.
- (3) Compute E(m) using (1.4).
- (4) Set $\hat{\alpha}_u = 1 E(m) / \log y$.
- (5) Output $h(x, y, \hat{\alpha}_u)$.

Calculating $\hat{\alpha}_u$ takes time proportional to $m = O(\log y)$ in the range (1.5). Therefore the total running time is dominated by step (1), and the complexity of Algorithm Modified HT is given by

$$O\left(\frac{y}{\log\log y}\right)$$
.

In the range (1.5), the above complexity is the same as (1.3). However, since Algorithm Modified HT does not require Newton's method or bisection, it provides a practical improvement on Algorithm HS. Moreover, if all primes up to y are precomputed, the complexity of our new algorithm is

$$O\left(\frac{y}{\log y}\right)$$
.

Then, compared with the conventional algorithms, our new algorithm provides some advantages in rapidly computing multiple values of $\Psi(x, y')$ for different values of x and y' < y after precomputing all primes x < y.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we give our estimates for ξ_u and α_u , and using these estimates we provide our approximation to $\Psi(x,y)$. In Section 3 we give numerical results for showing that Algorithm Modified HT provides an accurate approximation to $\Psi(x,y)$ and is faster than Algorithm HS.

2. Estimate for
$$\Psi(x,y)$$

Hildebrand and Tenenbaum [8, formula (7.8)] showed that for sufficiently large x,

(2.1)
$$\alpha_u = 1 - \frac{\xi_u}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{1}{u(\log y)^2}\right) + O(\exp(-(\log y)^{3/5 - \epsilon_0})),$$

in the range $(\log x)^{1+\epsilon_0} < y \le x$, where ϵ_0 is any positive constant and ξ_u is the unique solution of the equation

$$e^{\xi_u} = 1 + u \xi_u$$

Then, we have

(2.2)
$$\xi_u = \log u + \log \left(\xi_u + \frac{1}{u} \right).$$

Using (2.1), in our range, we can obtain the following lemma, which provides a simpler formula to estimate α_u .

Lemma 2.1. For sufficiently large x, we have

(2.3)
$$\alpha_u = 1 - \frac{\xi_u}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{1}{u(\log y)^2}\right),$$

in the range $\log y > (\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon}$, where ϵ is any fixed positive number.

Proof. We first see that since $y > (\log x)^{(\log \log x)^{2/3+\epsilon}}$, we have $y \to \infty$ for $x \to \infty$. Let ϵ' be a number such that

$$0 < \epsilon' < \frac{3}{5}\epsilon,$$

and ϵ_0 be a number such that

$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{3}{5} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \epsilon'}{1 + 3\epsilon/5} \right) > 0.$$

Then, in the range $\log y > (\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon}$.

$$(\log y)^{3/5 - \epsilon_0} > (\log \log x)^{1 + \epsilon'},$$

for sufficiently large y. Since $\log \log y = o((\log y)^{3/5 - \epsilon_0})$, we have

$$(\log y)^{3/5 - \epsilon_0} - \log \log y > \left((\log y)^{3/5 - \epsilon_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 + \epsilon'}} > \log \log x,$$

for sufficiently large x. Hence,

$$(\log y)^{3/5 - \epsilon_0} > \log \log x + \log \log y = \log u + 2 \log \log y.$$

This implies that

$$\exp\left\{-(\log y)^{3/5-\epsilon_0}\right\} < \frac{1}{u(\log y)^2}.$$

From the above equation and (2.1), we obtain the proof of this lemma.

For u > e, $i \ge 0$, we define E(i) by (1.4). We also define v_u for u > e as follows:

$$v_u = \xi_u + \frac{1}{u}.$$

The following lemma shows that E(i) approximates ξ_u (see Remark 2.4 for a geometric interpretation for explaining E(i) converges to ξ_u). This estimate for ξ_u is utilized in the proof of Theorem 2.3, which is for providing our algorithm to approximate $\Psi(x,y)$.

Lemma 2.2. For $u > e, n \ge 1$,

(2.4)
$$0 < \xi_u - E(n) < \frac{1}{(\log u)^{n-1}}.$$

Proof. We define $z_u(n)$ for u > e, $n \ge 0$ as

$$z_u(n) = E(n) + \frac{1}{u}.$$

It is clear that for u > e, $n \ge 0$,

$$(2.5) z_u(n) > \log u > 1.$$

We also define $w_{s,u}(n)$ for $u > e, s \ge 1, n \ge 0$ as follows:

$$w_{s,u}(0) = s;$$

$$w_{s,u}(n) = 1 + \frac{1}{z_u(n-1)} \log w_{s,u}(n-1)$$
 for $n \ge 1$.

Note that $z_u(n) \neq 0$ from (2.5), and $w_{s,u}(n) \geq 1$ for $s \geq 1$. We define $r_{s,u}(n)$ for $u > e, s \geq 1, n \geq 0$ as follows:

$$r_{s,u}(n) = z_u(n) + \log w_{s,u}(n).$$

Then, for $n \geq 1$, we have

(2.6)
$$r_{s,u}(n) = \log u + \frac{1}{u} + \log r_{s,u}(n-1).$$

Hence, from (2.5), we have for $n \ge 1$,

$$r_{v_{u},u}(n) - r_{1,u}(n) = \log w_{v_{u},u}(n) = \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{z_{u}(n-1)} \log w_{v_{u},u}(n-1)\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{z_{u}(n-1)} \log w_{v_{u},u}(n-1)$$

$$< \frac{1}{\log u} \log w_{v_{u},u}(n-1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$1 \qquad \log \left(\mathcal{E}_{v} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$< \frac{1}{(\log u)^n} \log w_{v_u,u}(0) = \frac{\log \left(\xi_u + \frac{1}{u}\right)}{(\log u)^n}.$$

Since for u > e

$$e^{2\log u} > 2u\log u + 1,$$

we have $2 \log u > \xi_u$ for u > e. Therefore, for u > e and $n \ge 1$,

$$(2.7) r_{v_u,u}(n) - r_{1,u}(n) < \frac{\log\left(2\log u + \frac{1}{u}\right)}{(\log u)^n} < \frac{1}{(\log u)^{n-1}}.$$

Next, we show that, for $n \geq 0$,

$$(2.8) r_{v_u,u}(n) = v_u.$$

From (2.2), we have

$$r_{v_u,u}(0) = \log u + \frac{1}{u} + \log \left(\xi_u + \frac{1}{u}\right) = v_u.$$

Assuming $r_{v_u,u}(n) = v_u$, then, from (2.2) and (2.6), we have

$$r_{v_u,u}(n+1) = \log u + \frac{1}{u} + \log r_{v_u,u}(n) = \log u + \frac{1}{u} + \log \left(\xi_u + \frac{1}{u}\right) = v_u.$$

Therefore, the equation (2.8) holds for $n \geq 0$.

From the definitions of $r_{s,u}(n)$ and $z_u(n)$, it is clear that

$$r_{1,u}(n) = E(n) + \frac{1}{u}.$$

Hence, from (2.7), (2.8), and the above equation, we have for $n \ge 1$

$$\xi_u - E(n) = r_{v_u,u}(n) - r_{1,u}(n) < \frac{1}{(\log u)^{n-1}}.$$

On the other hand, since $w_{v_u,u} > 1$ for u > e,

$$\xi_u - E(n) = r_{v_u,u}(n) - r_{1,u}(n) = \log w_{v_u,u}(n) > 0$$

holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Finally, we give our main result. By the following theorem and (1.1), we can obtain a method which approximates $\Psi(x,y)$ within a factor $1 + O(1/u + 1/\log y)$.

Theorem 2.3. For sufficiently large x,

$$h(x, y, \hat{\alpha}_u) = h(x, y, \alpha_u) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right) \right),$$

in the range $(\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon} < \log y < (\log x)/e$, where ϵ is any fixed positive number and

$$\hat{\alpha}_u = 1 - \frac{E(m)}{\log y}; \qquad m = \left\lceil \frac{\log u + \log \log y}{\log \log u} \right\rceil + 1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, for $u = (\log x)/\log y > e$,

$$0 < \xi_u - E(m) < \frac{1}{u \log u}.$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.1, we have in the range $(\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon} < \log y < (\log x)/\epsilon$,

$$\hat{\alpha}_u = \alpha_u + O\left(\frac{1}{u(\log y)^2}\right),\,$$

for sufficiently large x. In the same manner as Lemma 5 of [10], we can obtain the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Remark 2.4. The referee pointed out that the following geometric interpretation allows us to easily understand why E(i) approaches ξ_u . The curves for e^X and 1+uX intersect at 0 and at ξ_u , and these two curves make a closed area. As i increases, $E(i) = \log(1+uE(i-1))$ progressively gets closer from $E(0) = \log u$ to ξ_u in this closed area. This illustrates that for sufficiently large i, E(i) approximates ξ_u .

3. Numerical results

In this section, we compare Algorithm Modified HT with Algorithm HS. We implemented both algorithms in C++ programs. To find all primes $\leq y$ in step (1) of both algorithms, we used Atkin and Bernstein's sieve method [1], which uses $O(y/\log\log y)$ operations and $y^{1/2+o(1)}$ bits of memory. In step (2) of Algorithm HS, we used Newton's method for finding an estimate of α_u . Instead of a value given by a preliminary search by bisection, we used $\alpha_0 := \log(1+y/(5\log x))/\log y$ as a starting point. Although by the use of this starting point we could not prove quadratic convergence in theory, Newton's method converged very well after only a few iterations in practice.

Table 1. Estimates of α_u and $\Psi(x,y)$ by h function for $x=2^{320}$

У	Algorithm	α_u	$\Psi(x,y)$	TIME(milliseconds)
2^{20}	HS with NM	0.694720	2.87E75	90
	Modified HT	0.694926	2.87E75	30
2^{21}	HS with NM	0.713551	7.10E76	170
	Modified HT	0.713768	7.11E76	40
2^{22}	HS with NM	0.730496	1.25E78	290
	Modified HT	0.730717	1.26E78	70
2^{23}	HS with NM	0.745816	1.67E79	590
	Modified HT	0.746038	1.68E79	140
2^{24}	HS with NM	0.759726	1.74E80	1101
	Modified HT	0.759944	1.75E80	270
2^{25}	HS with NM	0.772407	1.46E81	2133
	Modified HT	0.772618	1.47E81	520
2^{26}	HS with NM	0.784003	1.02E82	4075
	Modified HT	0.784209	1.02E82	991
2^{27}	HS with NM	0.794646	6.03E82	7801
	Modified HT	0.794846	6.04E82	1932
2^{28}	HS with NM	0.804443	3.07E83	15001
	Modified HT	0.804632	3.08E83	3725
2^{29}	HS with NM	0.813488	1.37E84	28901
	Modified HT	0.813671	1.37E84	7220
2^{30}	HS with NM	0.821861	5.48E84	62800
	Modified HT	0.822038	5.49E84	14070

Table 1 lists the estimates of α_u and $\Psi(x,y)$ with $x=2^{320}$ and y ranging from 2^{20} up to 2^{30} and the running times of both algorithms. This table shows that the estimate calculated by Algorithm Modified HT is accurate and this algorithm is faster than Algorithm HS with Newton's method. In the table, "TIME" denotes the total amount of CPU time (milliseconds), and "HS with NM" denotes Algorithm HS with Newton's method. All calculations were performed using a PC with Pentium IV 1.8GHz and 523Mbyte memory.

Remark 3.1. The reader may have the question of whether a larger value for m than in Theorem 2.3 can improve the accuracy of our estimate for $\Psi(x,y)$. The use of a larger value for m improves the accuracy slightly, not significantly. For the values of x and y used in calculating the data in Table 1, $m = \lceil (\log u + \log \log y) / \log \log u \rceil + 1$ is 7 or 8. Our calculation with m = 1000 showed that both the error of our estimate for α_u and that for $\Psi(x,y)$ decreased by only about 2%. This implies that if m is set as defined in Theorem 2.3, E(m) accurately approximates ξ_u and that the major contribution to the error of our estimate comes from the error term of (2.3).

Remark 3.2. Recently, J. P. Sorenson [14] proposed a new method to speed up Hildebrand and Tenenbaum's algorithm. His algorithm is based on the validity of

the Riemann Hypothesis and has a running time of

$$O\left(\sqrt{y}\left(\frac{\log\log x}{\log y} + \frac{1}{\log\log y}\right)\right)$$

operations. Sorenson's result is as follows: under the validity of the Riemann Hypothesis, for $\delta > 0$ such that $1 > s \ge 1/2 + \delta$, and sufficiently large z such that $2 \le z \le y$,

$$h_s(x, y, z, \alpha_{u,z}) = h(x, y, \alpha_u) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{\log y}\right) \right),$$

where

$$h_{s}(x, y, z, s) = \frac{x^{s} a(s, y, z)}{s \sqrt{2\pi c(s, y, z)}};$$

$$a(s, y, z) = \left(\prod_{p \le z} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \log y/s \rfloor} \frac{li(y^{1-ks}) - li(z^{1-ks})}{k}\right);$$

$$c(s, y, z) = \sum_{p \le z} \frac{p^s (\log p)^2}{(p^s - 1)^2} + \frac{z \log z}{s(z^s - 1)} - \frac{y \log y}{s(y^s - 1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \log y/s \rfloor} \frac{1}{s(1 - ks)^2} \left(\frac{(1 - ks) \log y - ks}{y^{ks - 1}} - \frac{(1 - ks) \log z - ks}{z^{ks - 1}} \right),$$

and $\alpha_{u,z}$ is the solution to the equation

(3.1)
$$\sum_{p \le z} \frac{\log p}{p^s - 1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \log y/s \rfloor} \frac{y^{1 - ks} - z^{1 - ks}}{1 - ks} = \log x.$$

Substituting $\hat{\alpha}_u$ for $\alpha_{u,z}$, we can speed up Sorenson's algorithm. Let ϵ be any fixed positive number. Using $\hat{\alpha}_u$ of Theorem 2.3, we can obtain

$$\Psi(x,y) = h_s(x,y,z,\hat{\alpha}_u) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{\log y}\right) \right)$$

in the range where both Sorenson's assumption and the assumption $(\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon} < \log y < (\log x)/e$ hold. Then, although the complexity of this new algorithm is $O(\sqrt{y}/\log\log y)$, in that range this complexity is the same as that of Sorenson's algorithm.

We implemented both algorithms in C++ programs. To obtain the numerical solution to the equation (3.1), required in Sorenson's original algorithm, we used Newton's method and a starting point $\alpha_0 := \log(1 + y/(5\log x))/\log y$. Following the setting of parameters in [14], we set z to $5\sqrt{y}$.

In Table 2, we give the estimates for α_u and $\Psi(x,y)$ with $x=2^{320}$ and y ranging from 2^{45} up to 2^{55} and the running times of both algorithms. In the table, "S with NW" denotes Sorenson's original algorithm with Newton's method, and "Modified S" denotes the modified Sorenson algorithm with $\hat{\alpha}_u$. This table shows that the estimates by our new algorithm agree well with those by Sorenson's original algorithm, and our algorithm is faster than Sorenson's.

Table 2. Estimates of α_u and $\Psi(x,y)$ by h_s function for $x=2^{320}$

У	Algorithm	α_u	$\Psi(x,y)$	TIME(milliseconds)
2^{45}	S with NM	0.898767	1.38E90	2203
	Modified S	0.898886	1.38E90	741
2^{46}	S with NM	0.901916	2.26E90	2944
	Modified S	0.902032	2.27E90	971
2^{47}	S with NW	0.904913	3.62E90	4186
	Modified S	0.905027	3.63E90	1371
2^{48}	S with NW	0.907769	5.67E90	5788
	Modified S	0.907881	5.68E90	1862
2^{49}	S with NW	0.910492	8.67E90	8001
	Modified S	0.910602	8.69E90	2623
2^{50}	S with NW	0.913091	1.30E91	10865
	Modified S	0.913199	1.30E91	3585
2^{51}	S with NW	0.915575	1.91E91	15482
	Modified S	0.915568	1.91E91	4987
2^{52}	S with NW	0.917949	2.76E91	21190
	Modified S	0.918053	2.76E91	6960
2^{53}	S with NW	0.920222	3.92E91	29672
	Modified S	0.920323	3.92E91	9603
2^{54}	S with NW	0.922398	5.48E91	40658
	Modified S	0.922498	5.49E91	13559
2^{55}	S with NW	0.924484	7.55E91	57332
	Modified S	0.924583	7.56E91	18546

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for his or her insightful remarks that improved this paper.

References

- [1] A. Atkin, and D. Bernstein, *Prime sieves using binary quadratic forms*, to appear in Mathematics of Computation.
- [2] D. Bernstein, Bounding smooth integers, ANTS-III proceedings, Lecture Notes in Compt. Sci. 1423, Springer, New York, 128-130, 1998.
- [3] E. R. Canfield, P. Erdös, and C. Pomerance, On a problem of Oppenheim concerning "factorisatio numerorum," J. Number Theory 17, 1-28, 1983. MR 85j:11012
- [4] N. G. de Bruijn, On the number of positive integers $\leq x$ and free of prime factors > y, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A54, 50-60, 1951. MR 13:724e
- [5] K. Dickman, On the frequency of numbers containing prime factors of a certain relative magnitude, Arkiv för Matematik, Astromi Fysik. Band 22 A, n:o 10, 1-14, 1930.
- [6] A. Hildebrand, On the number of positive integers $\leq x$ and free of prime factors > y, J. Number Theory 22, 289-307, 1986. MR 87f:11066
- [7] A. Hildebrand, On the local behavior of $\Psi(x,y)$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 297, 729-751, 1986. MR $\bf 87k$:11099
- [8] A. Hildebrand and G. Tenenbaum, On integers free of large prime factors, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296, 265-290, 1986. MR 87f:11066

- [9] A. Hildebrand and G. Tenenbaum, On integers without large prime factors, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 5, 411-484, 1993. MR 95d:11116
- [10] S. Hunter and J. Sorenson, Approximating the number of integers free of large prime factors, Math. Comp. 66, 1729-1741, 1997. MR 98c:11093
- [11] K. K. Norton, Numbers with small prime factors, and the least kth power nonresidue, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 106, 9-27, 1971. MR 44:3948
- [12] P. Pritchard, A sublinear additive sieve for finding prime numbers, Communications of the ACM 24(1), 18-23, 772, 1981. MR 82c:10011
- [13] R. A. Rankin, The difference between consecutive prime numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 13, 242-247, 1938. MR 28:3978
- [14] J. P. Sorenson, A fast algorithm for approximately counting smooth numbers, ANTS-IV proceedings, Lecture Notes in Compt. Sci. 1838, Springer, New York, 539-549, 2000. MR 2002e:11123

Information Media Laboratory, Fuji Xerox, 430, Sakai, Nakai-machi, Ashigarakamigun, Kanagawa 259-0157, Japan

E-mail address: kohji.suzuki@fujixerox.co.jp