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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ABSTRACT. The three main methods used in diophantine analysis of } q \text {-series } \\
& \text { are combined to obtain new upper bounds for irrationality measures of the } \\
& \text { values of the } q \text {-logarithm function } \\
& \qquad \ln _{q}(1-z)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\nu} q^{\nu}}{1-q^{\nu}}, \quad|z| \leqslant 1, \\
& \text { when } p=1 / q \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0, \pm 1\} \text { and } z \in \mathbb{Q} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction

The main purpose of this article is to improve the earlier irrationality measures of the values of the $q$-logarithm function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln _{q}(1-z)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\nu} q^{\nu}}{1-q^{\nu}}, \quad|z| \leqslant 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to improve the earlier results we shall combine the following three major methods used in diophantine analysis of $q$-series:
(1) a general hypergeometric construction of rational approximations to the values of $q$-logarithms vs. the $q$-arithmetic approach ([Z1]);
(2) a continuous iteration procedure for additional optimization of analytic estimates ( $[\mathrm{Bo},[\mathrm{MV}]$ );
(3) introducing the cyclotomic polynomials for sharpening least common multiples of the constructed linear forms in the case when $z$ is a root of unity ( BV , As , MP ).
Also, some standard analytic tools (i.e., from Ha ) for deducing irrationality measures will be required. We underline that in the corresponding arithmetic study of the values of the ordinary logarithm (cf. Ru for $\log 2$ and Ha for other logarithms) only feature (1) is mainly applied, but in particular feature (3) has no ordinary analogues. Thus the present $q$-problems invoke new attractions in arithmetic questions.

We present the bounds for irrationality measures by means of certain estimates for irrationality exponents. Recall that the irrationality exponent of a real irrational

[^0]number $\gamma$ is defined by the relation
\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mu=\mu(\gamma)=\inf \left\{c \in \mathbb{R}: \text { the inequality }|\gamma-a / b| \leqslant|b|^{-c}\right. \text { has } \\
\text { only finitely many solutions in } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} .
\end{array}
$$
\]

Our main results include the case of general rational $z$ satisfying $|z| \leqslant 1$ as well as the case $z=-1$ of $\ln _{q}(2)$. Another special case, $z=1$ in (11), of the $q$-harmonic series, is considered in [Z2]. Our present methods do not allow us to sharpen the result in [Z2, where the arithmetic group structure approach (specific for $z=1$ ) is used.

Theorem 1. Let $z \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $0<|z| \leqslant 1$. Then the irrationality exponent of $\ln _{q}(1-z)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\mu\left(\ln _{q}(1-z)\right) \leqslant 3.76338419 \cdots,
$$

where $q=p^{-1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0, \pm 1\}$.
Theorem 2. The irrationality exponent of $\ln _{q}(2)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\mu\left(\ln _{q}(2)\right) \leqslant 2.93832530 \cdots,
$$

where $q=p^{-1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0, \pm 1\}$.
The estimate in Theorem 1 improves corresponding results of $\overline{B V}$, MV ; the estimate in Theorem 2 sharpens results in [As, Z1].

One important part in the proof of Theorem 2 is the precise knowledge of the least common multiple $D_{n}(x, z)$ of the polynomials $x-z, x^{2}-z, \ldots, x^{n}-z$ at $z=-1$. This is a special case of a general algebraic result on $D_{n}(x, \omega)$ with a root of unity $\omega$. The proof of this result, the following Theorem 3, seems to be an interesting application of cyclotomic polynomials.

Theorem 3. Let $\omega$ denote a primitive $r$-th root of unity for some $r \geqslant 2$. Then in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega][x]$ the following estimate is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}_{x} D_{n}(x, \omega)=\frac{3 n^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}-1} \sum_{l}^{*} \frac{1}{l^{2}}+O\left(n \log ^{2} n\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{l}^{*}$ stands for summation over integers $l$ in the interval $1 \leqslant l \leqslant r$ and coprime with $r$.

To the end of Section 3, the integer $p$ stands for $1 / q$. We recall some standard $q$-notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a ; q)_{n}:=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(1-a q^{\nu-1}\right) \\
{[n]_{q}!:=\frac{(q ; q)_{n}}{(1-q)^{n}}, \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\frac{[n]_{q}!}{[k]_{q}![n-k]_{q}!}=\frac{(q ; q)_{n}}{(q ; q)_{k} \cdot(q ; q)_{n-k}},}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $k=0,1, \ldots, n$ and $n=0,1,2, \ldots$.

## 2. Hypergeometric construction

Let $n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}$, and $m$ be positive integers satisfying $n_{1} \geqslant n_{0}, n_{2} \geqslant n_{0}$. The additional condition $n_{2}-n_{0} \leqslant m \leqslant n_{2}$ will be required to further simplify the explanation (the choices $m<n_{2}-n_{0}$ and $m>n_{2}$ do not correspond to nice approximations to the $q$-logarithm). First, consider the rational function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}_{q}(T) & =\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{0}}\left(1-q^{k} T\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{0}}\left(1-q^{k}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{2}}\left(1-q^{k}\right)}{\prod_{k=0}^{n_{2}}\left(1-q^{k+n_{1}+1} T\right)} \cdot T^{n_{2}-n_{0}} \\
& =\frac{(q T ; q)_{n_{0}}}{(q ; q)_{n_{0}}} \cdot \frac{(q ; q)_{n_{2}}}{\left(q^{n_{1}+1} T ; q\right)_{n_{2}+1}} \cdot T^{n_{2}-n_{0}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $O\left(T^{-1}\right)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. This may be decomposed into the sum of partial fractions:

$$
\widetilde{R}_{q}(T)=\sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}} \frac{A_{k}(q)}{1-q^{k+n_{1}+1} T}
$$

where the standard procedure of determining coefficients gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k}(q)= & (-1)^{n_{0}} q^{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) / 2-n_{0}\left(k+n_{1}+1\right)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{1} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{q} \\
& \times(-1)^{k} q^{k(k+1) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} \cdot q^{-\left(n_{2}-n_{0}\right)\left(k+n_{1}+1\right)} \\
= & (-1)^{k+n_{0}} p^{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{1} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p} \cdot p^{-n_{2} k+k(k-1) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p} \cdot p^{\left(n_{2}-n_{0}\right)\left(k+n_{1}+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k=0,1, \ldots, n_{2}$. Setting $R_{q}(T)=\widetilde{R}_{q}(T) \cdot T^{m_{0}+1}$, where $m_{0}=m-n_{2}+n_{0}$, we introduce the quantity

$$
I_{q}(z)=\left.z^{n_{1}+1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} z^{t} R_{q}(T)\right|_{T=q^{t}}
$$

Since $R_{q}(T)$ has zeros at the points $T=q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \ldots, q^{-n_{0}}$, after reordering of the summation we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{q}(z) & =\sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}} A_{k}(q) q^{-\left(k+n_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{0}+1\right)} z^{-k} \sum_{t=-n_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{z^{t+k+n_{1}+1} q^{\left(t+k+n_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{0}+1\right)}}{1-q^{t+k+n_{1}+1}} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}} A_{k}(q) p^{\left(k+n_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{0}+1\right)} z^{-k} \sum_{l=k+n_{1}-n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{l} q^{l\left(m_{0}+1\right)}}{1-q^{l}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inner sum may be computed as follows:

$$
\sum_{l=k+n_{1}-n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{l} q^{l\left(m_{0}+1\right)}}{1-q^{l}}=\sum_{l=k+n_{1}-n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{l} q^{l}}{1-q^{l}}-\sum_{l=k+n_{1}-n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{l}\left(q^{l}-q^{l\left(m_{0}+1\right)}\right)}{1-q^{l}}
$$

writing the first sum on the right-hand side as

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{l} q^{l}}{1-q^{l}}-\sum_{l=1}^{k+n_{1}-n_{0}} \frac{z^{l} q^{l}}{1-q^{l}}=\ln _{q}(1-z)-\sum_{l=1}^{k+n_{1}-n_{0}} \frac{z^{l} q^{l}}{1-q^{l}}
$$

and the second sum as

$$
\sum_{l=k+n_{1}-n_{0}+1}^{\infty} z^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{0}} q^{j l}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{0}} \sum_{l=k+n_{1}-n_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left(q^{j} z\right)^{l}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{0}} \frac{\left(q^{j} z\right)^{k+n_{1}-n_{0}+1}}{1-q^{j} z}
$$

we finally obtain

$$
I_{q}(z)=A(p, z) \ln _{q}(1-z)+A^{\prime}(p, z)+A^{\prime \prime}(p, z)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(p, z)= & \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}} A_{k}(q) p^{\left(k+n_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{0}+1\right)} z^{-k} \\
= & (-1)^{n_{0}} p^{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) / 2+(m+1)\left(n_{1}+1\right)} \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}}(-1)^{k} p^{-n_{2} k+(m+1) k+k(k-1) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.k+n_{1}\right]_{p} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p} z^{-k}, \\
A^{\prime}(p, z)= & \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}} A_{k}(q) p^{\left(k+n_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{0}+1\right)} z^{-k} \sum_{l=1}^{k+n_{1}-n_{0}} \frac{z^{l}}{p^{l}-1} \\
= & (-1)^{n_{0}} p^{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) / 2+(m+1)\left(n_{1}+1\right)} \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}}(-1)^{k} p^{-n_{2} k+(m+1) k+k(k-1) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{1} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p} z^{-k} \sum_{l=1}^{k+n_{1}-n_{0}} \frac{z^{l}}{p^{l}-1}, \\
A^{\prime \prime}(p, z)= & \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}} A_{k}(q) p^{\left(k+n_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{0}+1\right)} z^{n_{1}-n_{0}+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{0}} \frac{p^{-j\left(k+n_{1}-n_{0}\right)}}{p^{j}-z} \\
= & (-1)^{n_{0}} z^{n_{1}-n_{0}+1} p^{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) / 2+\left(n_{0}+1\right)(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{0}} \frac{1}{p^{j}-z} \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}}(-1)^{k} p^{-n_{2} k+k(k-1) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{1} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left(p^{m+1-j}\right)^{n_{1}-n_{0}+k} \\
= & z^{n_{1}-n_{0}+1} p^{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) / 2+\left(n_{0}+1\right)(m+1)+\left(n_{2}+1\right)\left(n_{1}-n_{0}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{0}} \frac{1}{p^{j}-z} \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{n_{1}}(-1)^{k} p^{\left(n_{0}-k\right)\left(n_{0}-k+1\right) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{2} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p}^{\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{1} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left(p^{m-j} ; p^{-1}\right)_{n_{2}-n_{0}+k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(the last step uses Lemma 3 from [Z1).
Since $m \leqslant n_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} & =\frac{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right)}{2}+(m+1)\left(n_{1}+1\right)+\min _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n_{2}}\left\{-n_{2} k+(m+1) k+\frac{k(k-1)}{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right)}{2}+(m+1)\left(n_{1}+1\right)-\frac{\left(n_{2}-m\right)\left(n_{2}-m-1\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

set also

$$
M_{2}=\frac{n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right)}{2}+\left(n_{0}+1\right)(m+1)+\left(n_{2}+1\right)\left(n_{1}-n_{0}\right),
$$

and by $D_{n}(p, z)$ denote the least common multiple of the polynomials $p-z$, $p^{2}-z, \ldots, p^{n}-z$. Then the above formulae yield the inclusions

$$
\begin{gathered}
p^{-M_{1}} z^{n_{2}} \cdot A(p, z) \in \mathbb{Z}[p, z], \quad p^{-M_{1}} z^{n_{2}} D_{n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}}(p, 1) \cdot A^{\prime}(p, z) \in \mathbb{Z}[p, z] \\
p^{-M_{2}} D_{m_{0}}(p, z) \cdot A^{\prime \prime}(p, z) \in \mathbb{Z}[p, z]
\end{gathered}
$$

(by noticing that $\left(p^{m-j} ; p^{-1}\right)_{n_{2}-n_{0}+k}=0$ if $m-j-n_{2}+n_{0}-k \leqslant 0$ ); hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-M} \widehat{D}_{n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}, m_{0}}(p, z) \cdot I_{q}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[p, z] \ln _{q}(1-z)+\mathbb{Z}[p, z] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\min \left\{M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}=M_{1}$ and $\widehat{D}_{n, m}(p, z)$ denotes a common multiple of the polynomials $D_{n}(p)=D_{n}(p, 1)$ and $D_{m}(p, z)$. It is known Ge that the polynomial $D_{n}(p)$ is the product of the first $n$ cyclotomic polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{l}(p)=\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\(k, l)=1}}^{l}\left(p-e^{2 \pi i k / l}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[p], \quad l=1,2,3, \ldots, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the usual choice of $\widehat{D}_{n, m}(p, z)$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{D}_{n, m}(p, z)=D_{n}(p) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(p^{j}-z\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, if $z$ is a root of unity, there is a better choice instead; we discuss this type of question in Sections 3 and 4 below.

Finally, we would like to mention that the quantity $I_{q}(z)$ is in fact the value of the Heine series,

$$
I_{q}(z)=z^{n_{1}+1} \cdot \frac{(q ; q)_{n_{1}}(q ; q)_{n_{2}}}{(q ; q)_{n_{1}+n_{2}+1}} \cdot{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc}
q^{n_{0}+1} & q^{n_{1}+1} \\
& q^{n_{1}+n_{2}+2}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, q, q^{m+1} z\right)
$$

(see [GR]), and that the construction in MV] corresponds to the following choice of the parameters: $n_{0}=n_{2}=n, n_{1}=n+1$, and $m=K-1$.

## 3. Analytic and arithmetic valuation

Writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(p, z)=( & -1)^{n_{0}} p^{-n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) / 2+\left(n_{0}+m+1\right)\left(n_{1}+1\right)} \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2}}(-1)^{k} p^{\left(n_{0}+m+1\right) k-k(k+1) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{1} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} z^{-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and using

$$
\max _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n_{2}}\left\{\left(n_{0}+m+1\right) k-\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right\}=\left(n_{0}+m+1\right) n_{2}-\frac{n_{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right)}{2}
$$

(since $n_{0}+m+1>n_{2}$ ), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A(p, z)|=|p|^{-n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right) / 2-n_{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right) / 2+\left(n_{0}+m+1\right)\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+1\right)+O\left(n_{0}+n_{1}+n_{2}+m\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant in $O$ depends on $z$ only. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{q}(z)\right|=|p|^{O\left(n_{0}+n_{1}+n_{2}+m\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general asymmetry of our construction yields the existence of a common divisor $\Pi(p)=\Pi_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}}(p) \in \mathbb{Z}[p]$ of the polynomials

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{1} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n_{2}, \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{2} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{1} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n_{1}
$$

and hence of the coefficients $A(p, z), A^{\prime}(p, z), A^{\prime \prime}(p, z)$ after multiplication by $p^{-M} \cdot \widehat{D}_{n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}, m_{0}}(p, z)$ in (3). Namely, using representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{1} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}=} \frac{\left[n_{1}\right]_{p}!\left[n_{2}\right]_{p}!}{\left[n_{0}\right]_{p}!\left[n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}\right]_{p}!} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{1} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0} \\
n_{2}-k
\end{array}\right]_{p} \\
& \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n_{2} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{2} \\
n_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{1} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}=\frac{\left[n_{1}\right]_{p}!\left[n_{2}\right]_{p}!}{\left[n_{0}\right]_{p}!\left[n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}\right]_{p}!} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n_{2} \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0} \\
n_{1}-k
\end{array}\right]_{p} }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the knowledge that $p$-binomial coefficients are polynomials from $\mathbb{Z}[p]$ having only cyclotomic polynomials as irreducible factors, we may take

$$
\Pi(p)=\prod_{l=1}^{n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}} \Phi_{l}(p)^{\varpi(l)}
$$

where

$$
\varpi(l)=\max \left\{0,\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{l}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n_{2}}{l}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{n_{0}}{l}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}}{l}\right\rfloor\right\}
$$

and $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ denotes the integer part of a number (see [Z1] , the proof of Lemma 5). These arguments allow us to sharpen the inclusions (3) as follows:

$$
p^{-M} \widehat{D}_{n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}, m_{0}}(p, z) \cdot \Pi_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}}(p)^{-1} \cdot I_{q}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[p, z] \ln _{q}(1-z)+\mathbb{Z}[p, z]
$$

Finally, set

$$
n_{0}=\alpha_{0} n, \quad n_{1}=\alpha_{1} n, \quad n_{2}=\alpha_{2} n, \quad m=\lfloor\alpha n\rfloor
$$

where the parameter $n$ tends to $\infty$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |A(p, z)|}{n^{2} \log |p|}=C_{1}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left|I_{q}(z)\right|}{n^{2} \log |p|}=0
$$

by (6), (7), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left|p^{M} \widehat{D}_{n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{0}, m_{0}}(p, z)^{-1} \cdot \Pi_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}}(p)\right|}{n^{2} \log |p|}=C_{0} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the choice (5), where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}=C_{1}(\alpha)= & -\frac{\alpha_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}}{2}+\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)  \tag{9}\\
C_{0}=C_{0}(\alpha)= & \frac{\alpha_{0}^{2}}{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha-\frac{\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha\right)^{2}}{2} \\
& -\frac{3}{\pi^{2}}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{0}\right)^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} \varpi_{0}(x) \mathrm{d}\left(-\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)-\frac{\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{0}\right)^{2}}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\varpi_{0}(x)=\max \left\{0,\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} x\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\alpha_{2} x\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\alpha_{0} x\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{0}\right) x\right\rfloor\right\}
$$

Then $\mu\left(\ln _{q}(1-z)\right) \leqslant C_{1}(\alpha) / C_{0}(\alpha)$ provided that $\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{0} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha_{2}$ and $C_{0}(\alpha)>0$. It is important that the parameters $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ should be positive integers to ensure validity of the above formula for $C_{0}(\alpha)$ (namely, its integration part due to [Z1], Lemma 1). Thus after making a suitable choice for these three parameters we can minimize the quantity $C_{1}(\alpha) / C_{0}(\alpha)$ with respect to the remaining parameter $\alpha$, which may take any (even irrational) value in the interval $\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{0} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha_{2}$. This idea comes from MV, and, as in that work, there is no difficulty in mimimizing $C_{1}(\alpha) / C_{0}(\alpha)$ since $C_{1}(\alpha)$ depends linearly and $C_{0}(\alpha)$ quadratically on the parameter $\alpha$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Taking $\alpha_{0}=6, \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=7$, so that $\varpi_{0}(x)=1$ for $x \in[0,1)$ lying in the following set:

$$
\left[\frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{6}\right) \cup\left[\frac{2}{7}, \frac{1}{3}\right) \cup\left[\frac{3}{7}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left[\frac{4}{7}, \frac{5}{8}\right) \cup\left[\frac{5}{7}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \cup\left[\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}\right)
$$

and then $\alpha=5.63997199 \cdots$, we arrive at the estimate

$$
\mu\left(\ln _{q}(1-z)\right) \leqslant 3.76338419 \cdots
$$

of the theorem.

## 4. Cyclotomic background

We will agree from the beginning to deal with the cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_{l}(x)$ and least common multiples $D_{n}(x, z)$ and $\widehat{D}_{n, m}(x, z)$ as polynomials in the variable $x$, and to keep the substitution $x=p \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0, \pm 1\}$ for final arithmetic results. As follows from definition (4) , $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{l}(x)=\varphi(l)$, Euler's totient function. Therefore, the degree of the polynomial $D_{n}(x)=D_{n}(x, 1)=\prod_{l=1}^{n} \Phi_{l}(x)$ may be computed by application of Mertens' formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} D_{n}(x)=\sum_{1 \leqslant l \leqslant n} \varphi(l)=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} n^{2}+O(n \log n) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left|D_{n}(p)\right|}{n^{2} \log |p|}=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}}
$$

This is the formula used in computing the right-hand side of (8). We will also require the following summation formulae for Euler's totient function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \varphi(2 j)=\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} n^{2}+O(n \log n), \quad \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \varphi(2 j+1)=\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} n^{2}+O(n \log n) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (for $n$ real and not necessarily integral); see also the general formula (14) below.

Lemma 1. In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ the following estimate is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} D_{n}(x,-1)=\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} n^{2}+O(n \log n) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

First proof. Since $x^{k}-1=\prod_{l \mid k} \Phi_{l}(x)$, we have

$$
x^{k}+1=\frac{x^{2 k}-1}{x^{k}-1}=\frac{\prod_{l \mid 2 k} \Phi_{l}(x)}{\prod_{l \mid k} \Phi_{l}(x)}=\prod_{\substack{l \mid 2 k \\ l \nmid k}} \Phi_{l}(x)=\prod_{\substack{l \mid k \\ k / l \text { is odd }}} \Phi_{2 l}(x), \quad k=1, \ldots, n
$$

Therefore, $x^{k}+1$ divides $\prod_{l=1}^{n} \Phi_{2 l}(x)$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$ and, clearly, $\Phi_{2 l}(x)$ divides $x^{l}+1$ for $l=1, \ldots, n$. Thus $D_{n}(x,-1)=\prod_{l=1}^{n} \Phi_{2 l}(x)$ and application of the first formula in (11) leads to the desired result.

Second proof. This proof follows the ideas of proving Lemma 2 in MP; we indicate it to make clear the ideas of proving Theorem 3 below.

For each $n>0$ (not necessarily integral!), denote by $L_{n}(x)$ the least common multiple of the polynomials $x^{k}+1$, where $k$ runs over positive odd integers in the interval $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Since $x^{k}+1=-\left((-x)^{k}-1\right)=-\prod_{l \mid k} \Phi_{l}(-x)$ for $k$ odd, we obtain

$$
L_{n}(x)=\prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant l \leqslant n \\ l \text { is odd }}} \Phi_{l}(-x)=\prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \Phi_{2 j+1}(-x)
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} L_{n}(x)=\frac{2}{\pi^{2}} n^{2}+O(n \log n) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the second formula in (11). Clearly, $L_{n / 2}\left(x^{2}\right)$ gives the least common multiple of the polynomials $x^{k}+1$, where $k$ runs over positive even integers in the interval $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ not divisible by 4 ; then $L_{n / 4}\left(x^{4}\right)$ gives the least common multiple of the polynomials $x^{k}+1$, where $k \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ runs in the interval $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, and so on. If exponents of 2 in the prime decompositions of the numbers $k$ and $j$ are different, then polynomials $x^{k}+1$ and $x^{j}+1$ have no common complex roots; hence they are coprime over $\mathbb{C}[x]$ and as a consequence over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ as well. Therefore, we arrive at the formula

$$
D_{n}(x,-1)=L_{n}(x) L_{n / 2}\left(x^{2}\right) L_{n / 4}\left(x^{4}\right) L_{n / 8}\left(x^{8}\right) \cdots
$$

where the product on the right contains only a finite number $O(\log n)$ of factors, and the (almost desired) estimate for the degree of $D_{n}(x,-1)$,

$$
\operatorname{deg} D_{n}(x,-1)=\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} n^{2}+O\left(n \log ^{2} n\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

follows from an accurate substitution of formula (13).
Corollary. If $n / 2 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, then a common multiple $\widehat{D}_{n, m}(x,-1)$ (over $\left.\mathbb{Z}[x]\right)$ of the polynomials $D_{n}(x)$ and $D_{m}(x,-1)$ may be taken in such a way that

$$
\operatorname{deg} \widehat{D}_{n, m}(x,-1)=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\left(2 n^{2}+4 m^{2}\right)+O(n \log n) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof. The polynomials $x^{k}+1$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n / 2$ divide both $D_{n}(x)$ and $D_{m}(x,-1)$. Therefore we may take

$$
\widehat{D}_{n, m}(x,-1)=\frac{D_{n}(x) D_{m}(x,-1)}{D_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(x,-1)}
$$

and estimates (10), (12) give the desired result.
Remark. The above choice of $\widehat{D}_{n, m}(x,-1)$ sharpens the choice in [Z1], Lemma 8.

Proof of Theorem 2. Using the above corollary of Lemma 1 we may replace the constant $C_{0}$ in (9) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0}^{\prime}= & C_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\frac{\alpha_{0}^{2}}{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha-\frac{\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha\right)^{2}}{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\left(2\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{0}\right)^{2}+4\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{0}\right)^{2}-3 \int_{0}^{1} \varpi_{0}(x) \mathrm{d}\left(-\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with the result $\mu\left(\ln _{q}(2)\right) \leqslant C_{1} / C_{0}^{\prime} \leqslant 2.93832530 \cdots$ obtained by using the values $\alpha_{0}=4, \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=5, \alpha=4.09112737 \cdots$. In this case, $\varpi_{0}(x)=1$ for $x \in[0,1)$ belonging to the following set:

$$
\left[\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \cup\left[\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left[\frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{3}\right) \cup\left[\frac{4}{5}, \frac{5}{6}\right) .
$$

This proves Theorem 2.

## 5. Common multiples involving cyclotomic polynomials

The number $p$ will be used to denote a prime. We will require the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varphi(r j+b)=\frac{3 r}{\pi^{2}} n^{2} \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}-1}+O(n \log n) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leqslant b \leqslant r$ and $(b, r)=1$ (see $B \mathrm{Ba}$ and [MP]).
Proof of Theorem 3. For each $n>0$ (not necessarily integral!) and any integer $b$ satisfying $1 \leqslant b \leqslant r$ and $(b, r)=1$, denote by $L_{n, b}(x)$ the least common multiple of the polynomials $x^{k}-\omega$, where $k$ runs over integers in the interval $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ satisfying $k \equiv b(\bmod r)$. The polynomials $x^{k}-\omega$ and $x^{j}-\omega$, where $k$ and $j$ are integers coprime with $r$ and $k \not \equiv j(\bmod r)$, have no common roots; hence these polynomials are coprime over $\mathbb{C}[x]$. This, in particular, yields that the $\varphi(r)$ polynomials $L_{n, b}(x), 1 \leqslant b \leqslant r,(b, r)=1$, are pairwise coprime over $\mathbb{C}[x]$ and over $\mathbb{Z}[\omega][x] \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$ as well; hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}(x)=\prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant b \leqslant r \\(b, r)=1}} L_{n, b}(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the least common multiple of the polynomials $x^{k}-\omega$, where $k$ runs over integers satisfying $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ coprime with $r$. Having this common multiple and concluding as in the second proof of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(x, \omega)=\prod_{s_{1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \prod_{s_{m}=0}^{\infty} L_{n /\left(p_{1}^{s_{1}} \ldots p_{m}^{s_{m}}\right)}\left(x^{p_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots p_{m}^{s_{m}}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ are all distinct prime divisors of the number $r$. Note that, in spite of infinite products in (16), only a finite number $[O(\log n)]$ of the factors differ from 1.

In order to compute the polynomials $L_{n, b}(x)$, we start by noting the formula

$$
x^{r j+b}-\omega=\omega\left(\left(\omega^{a} x\right)^{r j+b}-1\right)=\omega \prod_{d \mid r j+b} \Phi_{d}\left(\omega^{a} x\right)
$$

where $a b \equiv-1(\bmod r)$. Therefore, assigning the numbers $b_{l}$ in the interval $1 \leqslant$ $b_{l} \leqslant r$ to each $l, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant r,(l, r)=1$, by the rule $l b_{l} \equiv b(\bmod r)($ as in MP] $)$ we obtain

$$
\prod_{\substack{1 \leq 1 \leq r \\(l, r)=1}} \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n /(r l)\rfloor-1} \Phi_{r j+b_{l}}\left(\omega^{a} x\right)\left|L_{n, b}(x)\right| \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant l \leqslant r \\(l, r)=1}} \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n /(r l)\rfloor} \Phi_{r j+b_{l}}\left(\omega^{a} x\right)
$$

(where "|" means "divides", as before); hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}_{x} L_{n, b} & =\sum_{l}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n /(r l)\rfloor} \varphi\left(r j+b_{l}\right)+O(n \log n)\right) \\
& =\sum_{l}^{*}\left(\frac{3 r}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{n}{r l}\right)^{2} \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}-1}+O(n \log n)\right) \\
& =\frac{3 n^{2}}{\pi^{2} r} \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}-1} \sum_{l}^{*} \frac{1}{l^{2}}+O(n \log n) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

by (14). Using (15) we obtain

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{x} L_{n}=\frac{3 n^{2} \varphi(r)}{\pi^{2} r} \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}-1} \sum_{l}^{*} \frac{1}{l^{2}}+O(n \log n) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Finally, computing the degree of the polynomial $D_{n}(x, \omega)$ in (16) with the help of the relation

$$
\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{s_{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots p_{m}^{s_{m}}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{1}}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{m}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{r}{\varphi(r)}
$$

gives the desired result (2). This proves Theorem 3.
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