# ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF ZEROS OF THE HURWITZ ZETA-FUNCTION 

RAMŪNAS GARUNKŠTIS AND JÖRN STEUDING


#### Abstract

Assuming the Riemann hypothesis, we prove asymptotics for the sum of values of the Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ taken at the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)=\zeta(s, 1)$ when the parameter $\alpha$ either tends to $1 / 2$ and 1 , respectively, or is fixed; the case $\alpha=1 / 2$ is of special interest since $\zeta(s, 1 / 2)=\left(2^{s}-1\right) \zeta(s)$. If $\alpha$ is fixed, we improve an older result of Fujii. Besides, we present several computer plots which reflect the dependence of zeros of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ on the parameter $\alpha$. Inspired by these plots, we call a zero of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ stable if its trajectory starts and ends on the critical line as $\alpha$ varies from 1 to $1 / 2$, and we conjecture an asymptotic formula for these zeros.


## 1. Motivation

Let, as usual, $s=\sigma+i t$ denote a complex variable and define $\mathrm{e}(z)=\exp (2 \pi i z)$. For $\sigma>1$, the Hurwitz zeta-function is given by

$$
\zeta(s, \alpha)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+\alpha)^{s}}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a parameter from the interval $(0,1]$. The Hurwitz zeta-function can be continued analytically to the whole complex plane except for a simple pole at $s=1$ with residue 1 . For $\alpha=1$ the Hurwitz zeta-function becomes the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s):=\zeta(s, 1)$ which is of great importance in number theory. The as-yet unsolved Riemann hypothesis (RH) states that all nontrivial (nonreal) zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line $\sigma=1 / 2$, or equivalently, that the $\zeta(s)$ does not vanish in the half-plane $\sigma>1 / 2$.

As a matter of fact, we have further that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s, 1 / 2)=\left(2^{s}-1\right) \zeta(s) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second author showed that besides $\alpha=1 / 2,1$ there are no identities of this type; more precisely, in [10] it was proved that $\zeta(s, \alpha) / \zeta(s)$ is entire if and only if $\alpha=1 / 2$ or 1 .

The distribution of zeros of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ as a function of $s$ depends drastically on the parameter $\alpha$. For instance, the Hurwitz zeta-function given by (11) vanishes for $s=2 \pi i k / \log 2, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and all other nonreal zeros are expected to lie on the critical line $\sigma=1 / 2$ (by RH). However, this example is somehow special.

[^0]It is known that for any $1 / 2<\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}<1$ and any transcendental or rational $\alpha \neq 1 / 2,1$ the function $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ has more than $c T$ zeros in the rectangle $\sigma_{1} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{2}$, $|t| \leq T$, where $c$ is a positive constant depending on $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\alpha$ (see Karatsuba and Voronin [9] or Gonek [8]). This is also expected to hold for algebraic irrational $\alpha$ (see [5] and Corollary 3 of [7]). Thus the analogue of RH for $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ fails for generic $\alpha \neq 1 / 2,1$. In this note we are concerned with the change in the distribution of zeros of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ while $\alpha$ tends to $1 / 2$ and 1 , respectively.

By partial summation,

$$
\zeta(s, \alpha)=\frac{1}{\alpha^{s}}+\frac{1}{(1+\alpha)^{s}}+\frac{1}{s-1}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\alpha\right)^{1-s}+s \int_{3 / 2}^{\infty} \frac{1 / 2-\{u\}}{(u+\alpha)^{s+1}} \mathrm{~d} u
$$

valid for $\sigma>0$, where $\{u\}$ denotes the fractional part of a real number $u$ (see Karatsuba and Voronin [9]). It is easy to see that the last integral converges uniformly for $s$ from any compact subset of the half-plane $\sigma>0$ and arbitrary $\alpha$. It thus follows that $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ is a continuous function in $s \neq 1$ and $\alpha$. Now assume RH. Then, by (11), for any $T$ and any $\delta>0$, there exits a positive constant $c=c(T, \delta)$ such that all nontrivial zeros $\varrho_{\alpha}=\beta_{\alpha}+i \gamma_{\alpha}$ of all Hurwitz zeta-functions $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ with $|1 / 2-\alpha| \leq c$, which have imaginary part $\left|\gamma_{\alpha}\right| \leq T$, satisfy either $\left|\beta_{\alpha}-1 / 2\right| \leq \delta$ or $\left|\beta_{\alpha}-0\right| \leq \delta$. (This phenomenon is illustrated by Figure 3 in Section 3.) It is natural to ask how small $c$ must be such that the zeros $\varrho_{\alpha}$ with $\left|\gamma_{\alpha}\right| \leq T$ cluster around the lines $\sigma=1 / 2,1$. It seems rather difficult to study the continuity of the zeros of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ with respect to the parameter directly. Instead we consider the sum of values $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ taken at the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function when the parameter $\alpha$ tends to 1 and $1 / 2$, respectively. The obtained results are presented in the next section. The third section is devoted to empirical calculations of the Hurwitz zeta-function zeros.

## 2. Statement of Results

In [2] Fujii started to consider sums of values of Hurwitz zeta-functions taken at the nontrivial zeros $\varrho$ of $\zeta(s)$. Using an approximate functional equation, he obtained under assumption of RH that, for fixed $\alpha \neq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha)=-\left(\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+L(1,-\alpha)\right) \frac{T}{2 \pi}+O\left(T^{\frac{9}{10}} \log T\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Lambda(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\log p & \text { if } x=p^{k}, \text { where } p \in \mathbb{P}, k \in \mathbb{N} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

is the von Mangoldt $\Lambda$-function, $\mathbb{P}$ denotes the set of prime numbers, and

$$
L(s, \alpha):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}(\alpha n)}{n^{s}}
$$

note that this series is convergent for $\sigma>0$ if $\alpha$ is not an integer. In view of (11) the main term in (22) vanishes if $\alpha=1 / 2$; in fact, it is also not difficult to prove the converse which yields the claim on the entireness of $\zeta(s, \alpha) / \zeta(s)$ from the previous section. In [10] the second author showed that Fujii's formula (2) holds unconditionally with the error term $O\left(T^{1-c(\log T)^{-2 / 3}}\right)$, where $c$ is an absolute positive constant. Our first aim is to improve Fujii's error term under assumption of RH.

Theorem 1. Assuming RH, formula (2) is valid with the error term $O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{16}{237}+\varepsilon}\right)$ (note that $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{16}{237}=0.568 \ldots$ ). Assuming GRH (i.e., the analogue of RH for Dirichlet L-functions), this error term can be replaced by $O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)$ if $\alpha$ is rational.

Our main interest is the behaviour of Fujii's sum as the parameter $\alpha$ tends either to $1 / 2$ or to 1 .
Theorem 2. Assume RH. For $2 \alpha-1=o(1 / T)$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha)= & \frac{\log 2}{2 \pi i}\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha}+O\left(\frac{|2 \alpha-1|}{\log T}\right)\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1}-\frac{1}{2}+O(|2 \alpha-1|)\right) \\
& \times\left(\exp (-i T(2 \alpha-1))-1+O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{T}{4 \pi}(\log 2+\log (1-\cos 2 \pi \alpha)-i \pi(2 \alpha-1))+O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{16}{237}+\varepsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha)= & i \frac{\log 2}{4 \pi}(2 \alpha-1) T^{2}+\frac{\log 2}{12 \pi}(2 \alpha-1)^{2} T^{3} \\
& +O\left(|2 \alpha-1|^{3} T^{4}+T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{16}{237}+\varepsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The situation is slightly different if $\alpha$ tends to 1 . Similar to Theorem 2 one might expect Fujii's sum to be small. However, the series $L(s,-1)$ in (22) coincides with $\zeta(s)$, so it has a simple pole at $s=1$ and the sum should be big. The following theorems reflect these observations.
Theorem 3. Assume RH. Let $(1-\alpha)^{-1}=T(\log T)^{\beta}$, with $\beta \geq 0$. Then, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha)= & -\frac{i}{4 \pi} T(\log T)^{1-\beta}-\frac{1}{12 \pi} T(\log T)^{1-2 \beta} \\
& +O\left(T+T(\log T)^{1-3 \beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4. Assume RH. Let $0<\delta \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha) \ll_{\delta} \min \{1-\alpha,|2 \alpha-1|\} T^{2} \log T
$$

uniformly in $\alpha$.
The fourth section contains the proof of the first three theorems, and the fifth section gives the proof of Theorem 4.

## 3. ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1 shows the zeros and the absolute value of the Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ for $\alpha$ near to 1 and $1 / 2$, respectively. This particular example suggests that the same perturbation (namely 0.0008 ) for $\alpha \approx 1$ and $\alpha \approx 1 / 2$ produce larger perturbations for the zeros of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ with $\alpha$ near to $1 / 2$. On first sight this seems to be different from what Theorem 2 and 3 predict. Possibly this can be explained by Figure 2, which shows the dependence on $\alpha$ for the sums

$$
\sum_{0<\gamma<1010}|\zeta(\varrho, \alpha)| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\sum_{0<\gamma<1010} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha)\right|
$$



Figure 1. The left graphic corresponds to the case $\alpha=0.9992$, the right to $\alpha=0.5008$. In both pictures the graph is given by $|\zeta(1 / 2+i t, \alpha)|+1 / 2,1000 \leq t \leq 1010$. Squares indicate the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and dots those of the corresponding $\zeta(s, \alpha)$, lying above or below the straight line $\sigma=1 / 2$ according to having a real part less than or larger than $1 / 2$. In the right graphic we do not draw zeros of $\zeta(s, 0.5008)$ which are clustered around the line $\sigma=0$.


Figure 2. The left picture shows the graph of $\sum_{0<\gamma<1010}|\zeta(\varrho, \alpha)|$, the right one the graph of $\left|\sum_{0<\gamma<1010} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha)\right|, 0.5<\alpha<1$.
for $\alpha \in(1 / 2,1)$. The first sum is larger for $\alpha$ near to $1 / 2$ while the second sum is larger for $\alpha$ near 1. One of the motivations for this paper was Figure 3, where the trajectories of several zeros of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ are shown, as $\alpha$ varies in the range $0.5 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. For example, it shows that the trajectories which start at the 30th and the 33rd zeros of $\zeta(s)=\zeta(s, 1)$ end at zeros of $\zeta(s, 1 / 2)$ on the line $\sigma=1$. We believe that all trajectories which start at nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function end at zeros of $\zeta(s, 1 / 2)$ lying on either $\sigma=1$ or the critical line $\sigma=1 / 2$. We call a zero $\varrho$ of $\zeta(s)$ stable if its trajectory ends on the critical line as $\alpha \rightarrow 1 / 2$; otherwise the zero is called unstable. Denoting the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ with positive ordinate by $\varrho_{n}=\beta_{n}+i \gamma_{n}$ (in ascending order), we find among the first 500 zeros the following unstable zeros, indicated by their index $n$ :
$1,3,6,9,13,17,21,26,30,33,40,44,50,54,61,67,70,78,79$, $90,93,101,109,112,117,124,134,139,147,149,153,165,167$, $175,186,189,197,201,214,218,219,234,235,240,253,255,266$, $270,275,282,288,300,313,317,334,342,344,355,359,361,371$, $384,387,394,409,418,422,434,444,458,476,488,492,493,499$, 500.


Figure 3. Trajectories of several zeros of $\zeta(s, \alpha), 0.5 \leq \alpha \leq 1$; starting with the 30 th zero of $\zeta(s)=\zeta(s, 1)$ and ending with the 35th.

Figure 3 suggest that the zeros for $\alpha=1$ should migrate to zeros of a smaller imaginary part at $\alpha=1 / 2$. The number of nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta(s, 1 / 2)$ up to $T$ is asymptotically equal to

$$
\frac{T}{2 \pi} \log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}+O(\log T) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{T}{2 \pi} \log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}+\frac{T}{2 \pi} \log 2+O(\log T),
$$

respectively (see [6), and $\frac{T}{2 \pi} \log 2+O(1)$ many of these zeros of $\zeta(s, 1 / 2)$ lie on the line $\sigma=0$. Therefore, we may expect that the number of unstable zeros up to $T$ is asymptotically equal to

$$
T \frac{\log 2}{2 \pi}\left(1-\frac{\log 2}{\log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}}\right)
$$

on average, we conjecture that about

$$
\frac{1}{\log 2} \log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}
$$

stable zeros lie in between two consecutive unstable zeros with an imaginary part approximately equal to $T$. We do not expect that there are many pairs of consecutive unstable zeros. Among the first 500 zeros (with positive real part) we found only five pairs of such unstable twins:

78,$79 ; 218,219 ; 234,235 ; 492,493$ and 499, 500.

The computations in this section are based on numerical solutions of the differential equation

$$
\frac{\partial z_{0}(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha}=-\frac{\frac{\partial \zeta(z, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha}}{\frac{\partial \zeta(z, \alpha)}{\partial z}}
$$

where $z=z_{0}(\alpha), \zeta\left(z_{0}(\alpha), \alpha\right)=0$. For initial conditions the zeros of $\zeta(s, 1)$ were used.

## 4. The method of Conrey, Ghosh, and Gonek

The proofs of the first three theorems rely on the method of Conrey, Ghosh, and Gonek [1], namely the idea to interpret the sum in question as a sum of residues, resp. a contour integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0<\gamma<T} \zeta(s, \alpha)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{C}} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) \zeta(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be evaluated by Gonek's lemma. First of all, we have to choose an appropriate path of integration $\mathfrak{C}$ according to the condition of summation. Note that the first nontrivial zero with positive imaginary part is $1 / 2+i 14.13$ to two decimal places. By the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula for the number of nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(T):=\#\{\varrho=\beta+i \gamma: 0<\gamma \leq T\}=\frac{T}{2 \pi} \log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}+O(\log T) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that the zeros $\varrho$ cannot lie too dense: for any given $T_{0}>1$ there exists a $T \in\left(T_{0}, T_{0}+1\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\gamma}|T-\gamma| \gg \frac{1}{\log T} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $a=1+1 / \log T$ and define the contour $\mathfrak{C}$ to be the rectangle with vertices $a+i, a+i T, 1-a+i T, 1-a+i$. By the calculus of residues (3) holds and, say,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{C}} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) \zeta(s, \alpha) d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{a+i}^{a+i T}+\int_{a+i T}^{1-a+i T}+\int_{1-a+i T}^{1-a+i}+\int_{1-a-i}^{a+i}\right) \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) \zeta(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{6}\\
& =: \sum_{j=1}^{4} \mathfrak{I}_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $0<\delta<1 / 2$ be fixed. We shall evaluate the integrals $\mathfrak{I}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 4$, uniformly in $\alpha$ for the range $\delta \leq \alpha \leq 1$. The case of fixed $\alpha$ corresponds to Theorem 1 the case of $\alpha \rightarrow 1 / 2$ to Theorem [2, and, finally, $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ to Theorem 3,

In the half-plane of absolute convergence $\sigma>1$ we may rewrite the integrand in (6) as a Dirichlet series and interchange summation and integration. It is easily seen that

$$
\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s)=-\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m^{s}}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{I}_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{(m(n+\alpha))^{a}} \int_{1}^{T} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{(m(n+\alpha))^{i t}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Laurent expansions at $s=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) & =\frac{-1}{s-1}+\gamma+O(s-1) \\
\zeta(s, \alpha) & =\frac{1}{s-1}+\gamma(\alpha)+O(s-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

valid for $s \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{(m(n+\alpha))^{a}} & \ll \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{(m(n+\delta))^{a}} \\
& \ll \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(a) \zeta(a, \delta) \ll(\log T)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For fixed $\alpha$ the integral in (77) is bounded unless $n=0$ and $m \alpha=1$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{I}_{1}=-\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{T}{2 \pi}+O\left((\log T)^{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \rightarrow 1 / 2$ (but $\neq 1 / 2$ ) we have

$$
\int_{1}^{T} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{(2 \alpha)^{i t}}=\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{(2 \alpha)^{i t}}+O(1)=\frac{1-\exp (-i T \log (2 \alpha))}{i \log (2 \alpha)}+O(1)
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{I}_{1}=\frac{\exp (-i T \log (2 \alpha))-1}{2 \pi i(2 \alpha)^{a} \log (2 \alpha)} \log 2+O\left((\log T)^{2}\right)
$$

By

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 \alpha)^{-a}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}+O\left(-\frac{|2 \alpha-1|}{\log T}\right) \\
& \log (2 \alpha)=\log (1+2 \alpha-1)=2 \alpha-1+O\left((2 \alpha-1)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\log (2 \alpha)}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1}-\frac{1}{2}+O(|2 \alpha-1|)
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{I}_{1}= & \frac{\log 2}{2 \pi i}\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha}+O\left(\frac{|2 \alpha-1|}{\log T}\right)\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1}-\frac{1}{2}+O(|2 \alpha-1|)\right) \\
& \times\left(\exp (-i T(2 \alpha-1))-1+O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right)+O\left((\log T)^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding in powers of $T$ and $2 \alpha-1$, this expression is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\log 2\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} T-\frac{i}{4 \pi} T^{2}(2 \alpha-1)-\frac{1}{12 \pi} T^{3}(2 \alpha-1)^{2}\right. & \left.+\frac{i}{48 \pi} T^{4}(2 \alpha-1)^{3}\right) \\
& +O\left(T^{5}(2 \alpha-1)^{4}+(\log T)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, for $\alpha \rightarrow 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{I}_{1} \ll(\log T)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that the integrand is small on the horizontal paths. For the logarithmic derivative we have the partial fraction decomposition

$$
\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s)=\sum_{|t-\gamma| \leq 1} \frac{1}{s-\varrho}+O(\log |t+2|) \quad \text { for } \quad-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2,|t| \geq 1
$$

(for a proof see [9]). With regard to (4) and (5) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(\sigma+i T) \ll(\log T)^{2} \quad \text { for } \quad-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2, T \geq 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the Hurwitz zeta-function satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(1-s, \alpha)=\frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2 \pi)^{s}}\left(\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) L(s,-\alpha)+\mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) L(s, \alpha)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, an application of the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle yields the estimate

$$
\zeta(s, \alpha) \ll|t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \log |t+2| \quad \text { for } \quad-\frac{1}{\log T} \leq \sigma \leq 1+\frac{1}{\log T},|t| \geq 1
$$

uniformly in $\delta \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $|t| \ll T$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{I}_{2}, \mathfrak{I}_{4} \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{3} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to evaluate $\mathfrak{I}_{3}$. Substituting $s \mapsto 1-\bar{s}$, we find that

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_{3}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(1-s) \zeta(1-s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Now we shall use functional equations to transform the latter integral into a more suitable expression. Functional equations for $\zeta(s)$ can be written in the form

$$
\zeta(s)=\Delta(s) \zeta(1-s)
$$

where

$$
\Delta(s):=\frac{(2 \pi)^{s}}{2 \Gamma(s) \cos \frac{\pi s}{2}}
$$

By this and (12) we obtain, say,

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\mathfrak{I}_{3}}= & -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(s) \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2 \pi)^{s}} \mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) L(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(s) \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2 \pi)^{s}} \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) L(s,-\alpha) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2 \pi)^{s}} \mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) L(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{14}\\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2 \pi)^{s}} \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) L(s,-\alpha) \mathrm{d} s \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{4} \mathfrak{F}_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

We have $\Delta(s) \Delta(1-s)=1$. If $\delta= \pm 1$, then

$$
\frac{\mathrm{e}\left(\delta \frac{s}{4}\right)}{2 \cos \frac{\pi s}{2}}= \begin{cases}O(\exp (-\pi|t|)) & \text { if } \delta t \geq 0  \tag{15}\\ 1+O(\exp (-\pi|t|)) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, for $|t| \geq 1$,

$$
\frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(s)=-\log \frac{|t|}{2 \pi}+O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right)
$$

From these estimates it follows that

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(s) \Delta(1-s) L(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s+O(1)
$$

Furthermore, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(s) \Delta(1-s) L(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{16}\\
= & \int_{1}^{T}\left(-\log \frac{\tau}{2 \pi}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i \tau} \Delta(1-s) L(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{align*}
$$

To evaluate these integrals we shall use a variant of Gonek's lemma.
Lemma 5. Assume that

$$
\sum_{m \leq x}\left|a_{m}\right| \ll x \quad \text { and } \quad b_{n} \ll 1
$$

Let $1<c \leq 1+1 / \log \tau$ and $0<\delta<1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c+i}^{c+i \tau} \Delta(1-s) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m}}{m^{s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n}}{(n+\alpha)^{s}} d s \\
& =\sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0 \\
m(n+\alpha) \leq \frac{\tau}{2 \pi}}} a_{m} b_{n} \mathrm{e}(-m(n+\alpha))+O\left(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1)^{-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly in $\alpha \in[\delta, 1]$.
Proof. In [1] it was shown that, for any $r>0$ and any $c_{0} \in(0,2)$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c+i}^{c+i \tau} \Delta(1-s) r^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s= \begin{cases}\mathrm{e}(-r)+E(r, c) r^{-c} & \text { if } r \leq \frac{\tau}{2 \pi} \\ E(r, c) r^{-c} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

uniformly for $c \in\left[c_{0}, 2\right]$, where

$$
E(r, c) \ll \tau^{c-\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{\tau^{c+\frac{1}{2}}}{|\tau-2 \pi r|+\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

Applying this result, we obtain that the integral of the theorem is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0 \\
m(n+\alpha) \leq \frac{\tau}{2 \pi}}} a_{m} b_{n} \mathrm{e}(-m(n+\alpha)) \\
& +O\left(\sum_{m \geq 1, n \geq 0}\left(\frac{a_{m} b_{n} \tau^{c-\frac{1}{2}}}{(m(n+\alpha))^{c}}+\frac{a_{m} b_{n} \tau^{c+\frac{1}{2}}}{|\tau-2 \pi m(n+\alpha)|+\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(m(n+\alpha))^{c}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to evaluate the error term we divide the range of summation $m \geq 1, n \geq 0$ into the following three sets:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A: & |\tau-2 \pi m(n+\alpha)|>\frac{1}{2} \tau \\
B: & \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq|\tau-2 \pi m(n+\alpha)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tau \\
C: & |\tau-2 \pi m(n+\alpha)|<\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{A} & \ll \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m \geq 1, n \geq 0} \frac{a_{m}}{(m(n+\alpha))^{c}} \ll \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1)^{-2}, \\
\sum_{B} & \ll \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m \geq 1, n \geq 1} \frac{a_{m}}{(m n)^{c}} \\
& +\tau^{c+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_{m}}{m^{c}} \sum_{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq|\tau-2 \pi m(n+\alpha)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tau} \frac{1}{|\tau-2 \pi m(n+\alpha)|(m(n+\alpha))^{c}} \\
& \ll \\
& \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1)^{-2}+\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_{m}}{m^{c}} \ll \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1)^{-2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{C}< & \ll \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m \geq 1, n \geq 1} \frac{a_{m}}{(m n)^{c}} \\
& +\tau^{c} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_{m}}{m^{c}} \sum_{|\tau-2 \pi m(n+\alpha)|<\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(m(n+\alpha))^{c}} \\
& \ll \\
& \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1)^{-2}+\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1) \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_{m}}{m^{c}} \ll \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the lemma.
We continue with the proofs of the theorems. Using Lemma 5 we find for the integral in formula (16) that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i \tau} \Delta(1-s) L(s,-\alpha) \mathrm{d} s=\sum_{n \leq \frac{\tau}{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}(\alpha n)+O\left(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{2}\right)
$$

uniformly in $\alpha$ for $\delta \leq \alpha \leq 1$, as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. The sum on the right-hand side is small for fixed $0<\alpha<1$ and large for $\alpha=1$. Writing $[\alpha]:=\alpha-\{\alpha\}$ for the integral part of $\alpha$, we get

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{1}=\int_{1}^{T}\left(\log \frac{\tau}{2 \pi}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\right) \mathrm{d}\left([\alpha] \frac{\tau}{2 \pi}+O\left(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{2}\right)\right)
$$

Since $[\alpha]$ vanishes exactly for $\alpha \neq 1$, we get for fixed $\alpha$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F}_{1}=[\alpha] \frac{T}{2 \pi} \log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}+O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{3}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that, for $\alpha \rightarrow 1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F}_{1} \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{3} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we consider the case $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. First of all, for $|\alpha-1| \ll x^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} \mathrm{e}(\alpha n)= & \sum_{n \leq x} \mathrm{e}(n(\alpha-1)) \\
= & \sum_{n \leq x}\left(1+2 \pi i n(\alpha-1)-2(\pi n(\alpha-1))^{2}+O\left((n(\alpha-1))^{3}\right)\right) \\
= & {[x]+2 \pi i \frac{1+[x]}{2}[x](\alpha-1)-2(\pi(\alpha-1))^{2} \frac{2[x]^{3}+3[x]^{2}+[x]}{6} } \\
& +O\left([x]^{4}(\alpha-1)^{3}\right) \\
= & x+\pi i x^{2}(\alpha-1)-\frac{2}{3}(\pi(\alpha-1))^{2} x^{3}+O\left(x^{4}(\alpha-1)^{3}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i \tau} \Delta(1-s) L(s,-\alpha) \mathrm{d} s \\
= & \frac{\tau}{2 \pi}+\frac{i}{4 \pi} \tau^{2}(\alpha-1)-\frac{1}{12 \pi} \tau^{3}(\alpha-1)^{2}+O\left(\left((1-\alpha)^{3} \tau^{4}\right)+\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log \tau)^{2}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

After a short computation this leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{F}_{1}= & \frac{T}{2 \pi} \log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}+\frac{i(\alpha-1) T^{2}}{4 \pi} \log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}+\frac{i(\alpha-1) T^{2}}{8 \pi} \\
& -\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2} T^{3}}{12 \pi} \log \frac{T}{2 \pi e}-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2} T^{3}}{18 \pi} \\
& +O\left((1-\alpha)^{3} T^{4} \log T+T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $(1-\alpha)^{-1}=T(\log T)^{\beta}$, where $\beta \geq 0$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{F}_{1}= & \frac{T}{2 \pi} \log T+\frac{i}{4 \pi} T(\log T)^{1-\beta}-\frac{1}{12 \pi} T(\log T)^{1-2 \beta}  \tag{19}\\
& +O\left(T(\log T)^{1-3 \beta}+T\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By the same reasoning we get

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{3}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \Delta(1-s) \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) L(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s+O(1)
$$

Lemma 5 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i T} \Delta(1-s) \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) L(s, \alpha) \mathrm{d} s \\
= & -\sum_{m n \leq \frac{T}{2 \pi}} \Lambda(m) \mathrm{e}(\alpha n)+O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $U$ be a positive parameter such that $U$ is not the ordinate of any zero of $\zeta(s)$. By Perron's formula,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\sum_{m n \leq \frac{T}{2 \pi}} \Lambda(m) e(\alpha n)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a-i U}^{a+i U} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) L(s, \alpha)\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s} \\
& +O\left(\log T+\frac{T(\log T)^{2}}{U}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly in $\alpha$ for $\delta \leq \alpha \leq 1$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from the calculus of residues that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a-i U}^{a+i U} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) L(s, \alpha)\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\{\int_{a-i U}^{b-i U}+\int_{b-i U}^{b+i U}+\int_{b+i U}^{a+i U}\right\} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) L(s, \alpha)\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s} \\
& +\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) L(s, \alpha)\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{s} \frac{1}{s}, \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where we choose $b=1 / 2+1 / \log T$. The residue is easily seen to be equal to $-L(1, \alpha) T /(2 \pi)$. The integrals contribute to the error term. Here we calculate this error term under RH; the unconditional case is given in [10. In 4] the first author has proved (unconditionally) that, for any $\varepsilon>0,0<\alpha<1$, and $t \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \alpha\right)<_{\varepsilon} t^{\frac{32}{205}+\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}+\frac{e^{-\pi t}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. From [3] we have, under the same conditions,

$$
L(1+i t, \alpha,) \ll t^{\varepsilon}
$$

Then, by the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle (see $\S 5.6 .5$ of [11]) and $L(s, \alpha)=$ $\overline{L(\bar{s}, 1-\alpha)}$, we derive that for $1 / 2 \leq \sigma \leq 1+\varepsilon, 0<\alpha<1$, and $|t| \geq 1$,

$$
L(s, \alpha) \ll|t|^{\frac{64}{205}(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha}}
$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Chapter 4 of [6] that, for $0<\alpha<1$ and $|t| \leq 1$,

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\log T}+i t, \alpha\right) \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha}} .
$$

Using these bounds together with (11) we get

$$
\int_{a \pm i U}^{b \pm i U} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) L(s, \alpha)\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s} \ll T U^{-1+\varepsilon}+\frac{T}{U}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\int_{b-i U}^{b+i U} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s) L(s, \alpha)\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s} \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{2} T\left(U^{\frac{32}{205}+\varepsilon}+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha}}\right) \log T\right)
$$

First, let us assume that $\alpha \rightarrow 1 / 2$ or that $\alpha$ is fixed. Choosing $U=T^{\frac{205}{474}}$ we find that, for $\delta \leq \alpha<1-\delta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{3} & =-\sum_{m n \leq \frac{T}{2 \pi}} \Lambda(m) e((1-\alpha) n)+O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{2}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& =-L(1,1-\alpha) \frac{T}{2 \pi}+O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{16}{237}+\varepsilon}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(1,1-\alpha)=-\frac{1}{2}(\log 2+\log (1-\cos 2 \pi \alpha)+i \pi(1-2 \alpha)) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get, for $\alpha \rightarrow 1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{3}=\frac{T}{2 \pi} \log 2+i \pi(1-2 \alpha) \frac{T}{4 \pi}+O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{16}{237}}+T(1-2 \alpha)^{2}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. In this case we insert the prime number theorem under assumption of RH ,

$$
\sum_{m \leq x} \Lambda(m)=x+O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log x)^{2}\right)
$$

in (23). We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{3} & =-\sum_{n \leq \frac{T}{2 \pi}} e((1-\alpha) n) \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2 \pi n}} \Lambda(m)+O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^{2}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{n \leq \frac{T}{2 \pi}}(1+O(n(1-\alpha)))\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi n}+O\left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\log \frac{T}{n}\right)^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =-\frac{T}{2 \pi} \log T+O\left(T+T^{2}(1-\alpha)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Taking $(1-\alpha)^{-1}=T(\log T)^{\beta}, \beta \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{3}=-\frac{T}{2 \pi} \log T+O(T) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (15) we find for $\alpha \in[\delta, 1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F}_{2}, \mathfrak{F}_{4}=O_{\delta}(1) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the first part of Theorem 1 follows by (3), (6), (8), (13), (14), (17), (27), (23). The second part of Theorem 1 follows in the same way as the first part, only in the formula (20) we choose $U=T^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and instead of (22) we use the bound

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \alpha\right) \ll t^{\varepsilon}
$$

which is valid under GRH, for $\alpha$ is fixed rational number (for the proof see 4]). Theorem 2 follows from (3), (6), (9), (13), (14), (18), (23), (24), (25), and (27). Theorem 3 can be obtained from (3), (6), (10), (131), (14), (19), (26), and (27).

## 5. Proof of Theorem 4

If $0<\sigma_{0} \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $2 \pi \leq|t| \leq \pi x$, then

$$
\zeta(s, \alpha)=\sum_{0 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{(n+\alpha)^{s}}+\frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1}+O_{\sigma_{0}}\left(x^{-\sigma}\right)
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (see [9]. For $t \geq 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\left|\left(n+\alpha_{2}\right)^{s}-\left(n+\alpha_{1}\right)^{s}\right| \ll \frac{t\left|\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right|}{n^{1-\sigma}}
$$

Thus for $\sigma_{0} \leq \sigma \leq 1$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\left|\zeta\left(s, \alpha_{1}\right)-\zeta\left(s, \alpha_{2}\right)\right| \ll t\left|\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right| .
$$

Hence, under RH,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha) & =\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T}\left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma, \alpha\right)-\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \ll\left|\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right| \sum_{0<\gamma \leq T} \gamma \ll\left|\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right| T^{2} \log T, \\
\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T} \zeta(\varrho, \alpha) & =\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T}\left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma, \alpha\right)-\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma, 1\right)\right) \\
& \ll|\alpha-1| T^{2} \log T
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula for a number of nontrivial zeros. Theorem 4 is proved.
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