

ON LACUNARY DIRICHLET SERIES

I. I. HIRSCHMAN, JR., AND J. A. JENKINS

The following theorem is suggested by a result of S. Mandelbrojt [2, p. 101]¹ concerning lacunary Fourier series.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-\lambda_k s}$, where $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \dots$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = \infty$. We denote by γ_c the abscissa of convergence of this series and by γ_a the abscissa of analyticity. It is assumed that $\gamma_c < \infty$, $\gamma_a > -\infty$. Let $\nu < 1$ be the exponent of convergence of the λ_k 's and suppose that*

$$|f(s_a + \sigma)| = O[e^{-(1/\sigma)^\mu}] \quad (\sigma \rightarrow 0^+)$$

where $s_a = \gamma_a + i\tau_a$. Then if $\mu > \nu/(1-\nu)$, $f(s) \equiv 0$.

We shall in what follows prove a more general theorem including Theorem 1 as a special case. The methods of the present paper are closely related to and in part derived from the work of L. Schwartz [4]. However no appeal is made to other than standard theorems of analysis.

Let $m(0) = 0$ and let $m(\sigma)$ be an increasing function defined for $0 \leq \sigma \leq a$, $a > 0$. A function $f(s)$ which we may suppose analytic in the half-plane $\sigma > \gamma_1$ is said to have a zero of modular order $m(\sigma)$ at $s_1 = \gamma_1 + i\tau_1$ if

$$|f(s_1 + \sigma)| \leq m(\sigma) \quad (0 < \sigma \leq b)$$

for some $b > 0$. Let us define σ as a function of ρ , $\sigma = \eta(\rho)$, by the equation $e^{-\rho\sigma} = m(\sigma)$. Since $m(\sigma)$ decreases as σ decreases to 0 this definition is effective. It is $\eta(\rho)$ which we shall use as the measure of the zero of $f(s)$. As an example, if $m(\sigma) = \exp[-(\sigma^{-\mu})]$ then $\eta(\rho) = \rho^{-1/(\mu+1)}$.

As the measure of the degree of lacunarity of our Dirichlet series we introduce

$$\zeta(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left(1 + \frac{2\rho}{\lambda_k} \right).$$

If the sequence $\{\lambda_k\}_1^{\infty}$ has exponent of convergence ν , then by a standard theorem on integral functions [5, p. 251],

$$\zeta(\rho) = O(\rho^{\nu+\epsilon}) \quad (\rho \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Presented to the Society, September 2, 1949; received by the editors April 11, 1949 and, in revised form, May 20, 1949.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

We may now state our principal theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$, $\sum_1^\infty \lambda_k^{-1} < \infty$, and let $\zeta(\rho)$ be defined as above. Let*

$$f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k e^{-\lambda_k s}$$

have abscissa of convergence $\gamma_c < \infty$ and abscissa of analyticity $\gamma_a > -\infty$, and let $\gamma_a + i\tau_a$ be a zero of $f(s)$ of modular order $m(\sigma)$. Then if $\eta(\rho)$ is defined as above and if

$$(1) \quad \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(\rho) - \rho \eta(\rho) = -\infty,$$

we have $f(s) \equiv 0$.

In the case considered in Theorem 1 we have, as we have seen, $\zeta(\rho) = O(\rho^{\nu+\epsilon})$, $\eta(\rho) = \rho^{-1/(\mu+1)}$. The assumption $\mu > \nu/(1-\nu)$ shows that condition (1) is satisfied. Thus Theorem 2 does include Theorem 1.

It is clearly sufficient to prove our theorem for $\gamma_a = \tau_a = 0$.

It is immediately verifiable that the function

$$\frac{1}{(1+z)} \prod_{k=1, k \neq n}^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda_k + \rho - z}{\lambda_k + \rho + z} \right) = k_n(z, \rho) \quad (\rho > 0)$$

is analytic for $x \geq 0$, and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |k_n(x + iy, \rho)|^2 dy \leq \pi \quad (x \geq 0).$$

By a simple extension of Plancherel's theorem, see [3, p. 8], we see that if

$$\phi(n, \rho, \sigma) = \text{l.i.m.}_{T \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-iT}^{iT} \frac{k_n(z, \rho)}{k_n(\lambda_n + \rho, \rho)} e^{\sigma z} dz \quad (0 \leq \sigma < \infty),$$

then

$$(2) \quad \int_0^\infty \phi(n, \rho, \sigma) e^{-\sigma x} d\sigma = \frac{k_n(x, \rho)}{k_n(\lambda_n + \rho, \rho)} \quad (x > 0).$$

Further

$$\|\phi(n, \rho, \sigma)\|_2 \equiv \left[\int_0^\infty |\phi(n, \rho, \sigma)|^2 d\sigma \right]^{1/2} \leq [2^{1/2} k_n(\lambda_n + \rho, \rho)]^{-1}.$$

We assert that

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi(n, \rho, \sigma)\|_{2e^{-\rho}} < \infty.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi(n, \rho, \sigma)\|_{2e^{-\rho}} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \prod_{k=1, k \neq n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_n + \lambda_k + 2\rho}{\lambda_k - \lambda_n} \right) \right] [1 + \lambda_n + \rho] \left[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k + 2\rho} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \left[\prod_{k=1, k \neq n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_k + 2\rho} \right) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \lambda_n + \rho}{\lambda_n + 2\rho} \lambda_n \right] \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\prod_{k=1, k \neq n}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_k} \right) \right]^{-1} \\ & \sim \frac{\lambda_n}{2^{3/2}} \left[\prod_{k=1, k \neq n}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_k} \right) \right]^{-1} \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion.

We define

$$F(\rho, s) = e^{-\rho s} f(s).$$

We assert that if

$$\|F(\rho, \sigma)\|_2 \equiv \left[\int_0^{\infty} |F(\rho, \sigma)|^2 d\sigma \right]^{1/2},$$

then

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \|F(\rho, \sigma)\|_{2e^{\rho\eta(\rho)}} < \infty.$$

Let M be a bound for $f(\sigma)$ for $0 < \sigma < \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(\rho, \sigma)\|_2 &\leq \left[\int_0^{\eta(\rho)} e^{-2\rho\sigma} |f(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma \right]^{1/2} + \left[\int_{\eta(\rho)}^{\infty} e^{-2\rho\sigma} |f(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq m(\eta(\rho)) + M e^{-\rho\eta(\rho)} \\ &\leq (M + 1) e^{-\rho\eta(\rho)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves relation (4).

It follows from a well known theorem concerning restricted over-convergence of Dirichlet series, see [1, p. 141], that there exists an increasing sequence of integers l_k for which

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{l_k} a_j e^{-\lambda_j \sigma} = f(\sigma)$$

uniformly for $\epsilon \leq \sigma < \infty$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Thus if $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(n, \rho, \sigma) F(\sigma + \epsilon, \rho) d\sigma = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \phi(n, \rho, \sigma) e^{-\rho(\sigma+\epsilon)} \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} a_j e^{-\lambda_j(\sigma+\epsilon)} d\sigma.$$

By equation (2)

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(n, \rho, \sigma) e^{-\rho(\sigma+\epsilon)} a_j e^{-\lambda_j(\sigma+\epsilon)} d\sigma = \begin{cases} 0, & j \neq n, \\ e^{-\epsilon\rho - \epsilon\lambda_n} a_n, & j = n. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(n, \rho, \sigma) F(\rho, \sigma + \epsilon) d\sigma = e^{-\epsilon\rho - \epsilon\lambda_n} a_n.$$

By Schwarz's inequality

$$|a_n| \leq e^{\epsilon\rho + \epsilon\lambda_n} \|\phi(n, \rho, \sigma)\|_2 \|F(\sigma + \epsilon, \rho)\|_2.$$

If ϵ is allowed to approach zero through positive values, we obtain

$$|a_n| \leq \|\phi(n, \rho, \sigma)\|_2 \|F(\sigma, \rho)\|_2.$$

Using equations (3) and (4) we see that

$$\log |a_n| \leq \zeta(\rho) - \rho\eta(\rho) + C$$

where C is a constant which depends on n but not upon ρ . If we allow ρ to increase without limit, assumption (1) of Theorem 2 implies that

$$\log |a_n| = -\infty, \quad \text{that is, } a_n = 0.$$

Since this holds for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $f(s) \equiv 0$ and our theorem is proved.

We shall now prove that if assumption (1) is replaced by the weaker assumption

$$\liminf_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} c\zeta^*(\rho) - \rho\eta(\rho) = -\infty$$

where $c < 2^{-3/2}$, then Theorem 2 is false.

Let us take for the constants $\lambda_k, 0 < a < b < 1 < 4 < 9 < \dots$. As in Titchmarsh [5, p. 271] we find that

$$(5) \quad \log \left[\prod_1^\infty \left(1 + \frac{x}{k^2} \right) \right] \sim \pi x^{1/2} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

It follows that

$$(6) \quad \zeta(\rho) = \log \left(1 + \frac{2\rho}{a} \right) + \log \left(1 + \frac{2\rho}{b} \right) \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left(1 + \frac{2\rho}{k^2} \right) \sim \pi(2\rho)^{1/2} \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty).$$

We define

$$(7) \quad f(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{e^{sz}}{\left(1 + \frac{z}{a}\right) \left(1 + \frac{z}{b}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{k^2}\right)} dz.$$

Deforming the line of integration of this integral to $\text{Rl } z = -(n+1/2)^2$ and allowing n to approach infinity we obtain for s real and positive an expansion of $f(s)$ into a Dirichlet series with exponents $a, b, 1, 4, 9, \dots$ which then converges in the half-plane $\text{Rl } s > 0$. The details of this are left to the reader. Again deforming the line of integration, this time to $\text{Rl } z = (\pi/2\sigma)^2$, and using equation (5), we see that if $\epsilon > 0$ is fixed, then for $\sigma > 0$ sufficiently small we have

$$|f(\sigma)| \leq \exp \left[-\frac{1-2\epsilon}{4} \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma} \right] M(\sigma)$$

where

$$M(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\text{Rl } z = (\pi/2\sigma)^2} \left| \frac{dz}{\left(1 + \frac{z}{a}\right) \left(1 + \frac{z}{b}\right)} \right| \\ = o(1) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow 0+).$$

Thus we may associate with $f(s)$ and the origin the modular order $m(\sigma) = \exp [-((1-2\epsilon)/4)(\pi^2/\sigma)]$. We immediately find that

$$(8) \quad \eta(\rho) = \frac{\pi}{2} (1-2\epsilon)^{1/2} \rho^{-1/2}.$$

Comparing equations (6) and (8), we deduce that Theorem 2 is false if $c < 2^{-3/2}$, which is what we wished to show.

REFERENCES

1. V. Bernstein, *Leçons sur les progrès récents de la théorie des séries de Dirichlet*, Paris, 1933.
2. S. Mandelbrojt, *Analytic functions and classes of infinitely differentiable func-*

tions, The Rice Institute Pamphlet, vol. 29, 1942.

3. R. Paley and N. Wiener, *Fourier transforms in the complex domain*, New York, 1934.

4. L. Schwartz, *Études des sommes d'exponentielles réelles*, Paris, 1943.

5. E. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, 2d ed., Oxford, 1939.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

ON THE ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF TRIGONOMETRICAL SERIES

TAMOTSU TSUCHIKURA AND SHIGEKI YANO

1. THEOREM 1. *Suppose that a trigonometrical series*

$$\sum \rho_n \cos (nx - \alpha_n) \quad (\rho_n \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and its conjugate series

$$\sum \rho_n \sin (nx - \alpha_n)$$

are convergent absolutely at $x = x_0$ and $x = x_1$, respectively. If

$$x_1 - x_0 = p\pi/q \quad (p/q \text{ irreducible})$$

where p is an integer positive, negative, or zero, and q is an odd integer, then

$$\sum \rho_n < \infty.$$

We shall see in Theorem 2 that the above theorem is no longer true if p/q is replaced by p'/q' with an even q' and $p' \neq 0$, or by an irrational number.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. If we put $x_1 - x_0 = p\pi/q \equiv h$, then by Fatou's theorem¹

$$(1) \quad \sum \rho_n \left| \cos (n(x_1 - sh) - \alpha_n) \right| < \infty$$

for every odd s . Hence from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sin (nx_1 - \alpha_n) &= \cos nsh \sin (n(x_1 - sh) - \alpha_n) \\ &\quad + \sin nsh \cos (n(x_1 - sh) - \alpha_n) \end{aligned}$$

we deduce immediately that

$$(2) \quad \sum \rho_n \left| \cos nsh \sin (n(x_1 - sh) - \alpha_n) \right| < \infty.$$

Received by the editors October 18, 1948 and, in revised form, May 14, 1949.

¹ See, for example, A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, p. 134.