CONTINUITY OF AREA FOR HARMONIC SURFACES WITH BOUNDARIES OF UNIFORMLY BOUNDED LENGTH

K. H. CARLSON AND L. C. YOUNG

The object of this note is to supplement a paper by Young [1],¹ *On the isoperimetric ratio for a harmonic surface*, by answering in the affirmative a question raised by Morse and Tompkins [2]. Continuity of area as the harmonic surface S^* shrinks to a point is dealt with by Young by means of his main inequality. Here the same machinery deals with the more general case in which S^* approximates another surface S .

In what follows $r = |u + iv|$, D is the disc $r \leq 1$, R its rim, and, in correspondence with a real number t where $0 < t < 1$, D^- is the concentric disc $r \leq 1 - t$ and R^+ is the annulus $1 - t \leq r \leq 1$. We shall divide R^+ into n parts σ by the n segments λ in which it is met by the lines $\text{amp}(u + iv) = 2\pi k/n$, where $-n/2 < k \leq n/2$. The suffixes t , or k , n , t in D^- and R^+ , or in σ and λ , are understood to have been dropped.

S and S^* denote continuous vector functions $x(u, v)$ and $x^*(u, v)$ on D and it is assumed that $x^*(u, v)$ is the harmonic extension to D of a continuous vector function on R . We shall assume further that $(1 - r)|x_u + ix_v|$ is bounded in D , and we denote by b its supremum; b^* is similarly defined in terms of S^* [and is finite since $x^*(u, v)$ is harmonic].

We write $d(S, S^*)$ and $\rho(S, S^*)$ respectively for the suprema in D of the expressions $|x(u, v) - x^*(u, v)|$ and $(1 - r)|(x_u - x_u^*) + i(x_v - x_v^*)|$. Moreover, given any subset E of D whose boundary is a simple closed curve C , we write $\delta(E)$ for the diameter of the set of values $x(E)$ taken by $x(u, v)$ in E , and $A(E)$, $L(E)$ respectively for the area and boundary-length defined by the expressions

$$\iint_E \{x_u^2 x_v^2 - (x_u x_v)^2\}^{1/2} du dv, \quad \int_C |dx|;$$

$\delta^*(E)$, $A^*(E)$, $L^*(E)$ denote the similar quantities for S^* and the argument E is omitted when $E = D$.

LEMMA 1. *For the sum of the angles α subtended at $\cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma$ by the n segments λ , we have the estimate $\sum \alpha < \pi$ provided that $t \leq \eta(n)$ where $\eta(n) = [n(1 + \log n)]^{-1}$.*

Received by the editors January 22, 1951.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

PROOF. We first estimate the angle α , subtended by the single segment $\lambda = AB$ on which $\text{amp}(u + iv) = \theta$, in terms of $\beta = |\theta - \gamma|/2$ where $\theta - \gamma$ is reduced mod 2π so that $2\beta \leq \pi$. The diameter AC containing AB subtends a right angle at $M = \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma$ and we have two expressions for the sine of the angle ϕ subtended by MC at B :

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sin \beta \sin \alpha/t &\equiv \overline{AM} \sin \alpha/\overline{AB} = \sin \phi \\ &= \overline{MC} \cos \alpha/\overline{BC} \equiv 2 \cos \beta \cos \alpha/(2-t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\beta \neq 0$ we find that $\alpha < \tan \alpha = t \cot \beta/(2-t) < t \cot \beta < t/\beta$; moreover, in any case, $\alpha \leq \pi/2$.

To establish our lemma, in which we may suppose by circular symmetry that $0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi/n$, we have, on denoting by $\sum'$ and $\sum''$ sums for $-n/2 < k < 0$ and $0 < k \leq n/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha &< \frac{\pi}{2} + (\sum' + \sum'') \frac{t}{\beta} = \frac{\pi}{2} + t \sum' \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{k\pi}{n} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + t \sum'' \left(\frac{k\pi}{n} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} + t \sum' \left(-\frac{k\pi}{n} \right)^{-1} + t \sum'' \left[\left(k - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\pi}{n} \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2nt}{\pi} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n-1} \right\} \\ &< \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2t}{\pi} n(1 + \log n) < \frac{\pi}{2} [1 + tn(1 + \log n)] \leq \pi. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. For every S^* the inequality $t \leq \eta(n)$ implies $\sum L^*(\sigma) \leq 5L^*$.

PROOF. Let $\Lambda = \sum f_\lambda |dx^*|$. By Young's variant [1, p. 400, (3.2)] of F. Carlson's inequality [3], we have, since x^* is harmonic,

$$\Lambda \leq \pi^{-1} \int_R (\sum \alpha) |dx^*| < \int_R |dx^*| = L^*,$$

provided that $t \leq \eta(n)$. Our assertion now follows from the relation $\sum L^*(\sigma) = 2\Lambda + L^* + L^*(D^-)$ where the last term on the right cannot exceed $2L^*$ (by [1, p. 405 middle of page]; actually $L^*(D^-) \leq L^*$).

LEMMA 3. There is an absolute constant K and, given S and $\epsilon > 0$, a number $t = t_0(\epsilon, S) < \epsilon$, such that the inequality $d(S, S^*) < \epsilon$ implies $A^*(R^+) \leq \epsilon K L^*$.

PROOF. By uniform continuity of $x(u, v)$, there exist $n = n(\epsilon, S)$ and $t = t_0(\epsilon, S) \leq \eta(n)$, so that $\delta(\sigma) < \epsilon$. This evidently insures $\delta^*(\sigma)$

$< 3\epsilon$, while by Lemma 2, $\sum L^*(\sigma) \leq 5L^*$. Consequently (applying Young's isoperimetric inequality $A \leq K L d$ of [1] to the harmonic surface $x^*(u, v)$ on σ and summing),

$$A^*(R^+) = \sum A^*(\sigma) \leq K \sum L^*(\sigma) \delta^*(\sigma) \leq 15\epsilon K L^*,$$

which is of the asserted form, with $15K$ for K .

LEMMA 4. *There is an absolute constant K so that the inequality $\rho(S, S^*) < t^4$ implies $|A(D^-) - A^*(D^-)| \leq K t(b + b^*)^{3/2}$.*

PROOF. Since the quantities $|x_u + ix_v|/b$, $|x_u^* + ix_v^*|/b^*$, and $|(x_u - x_u^*) + i(x_v - x_v^*)|/\rho(S, S^*)$ do not exceed $(1-r)^{-1}$, the expression $U = x_u^2 x_v^2 - (x_u x_v)^2$ differs² from the corresponding expression U^* by at most $K^2(1-r)^{-4}\rho(S, S^*)(b + b^*)^3$ where K is an absolute constant. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |U^{1/2} - U^{*1/2}| &\leq \{ |U^{1/2} - U^{*1/2}| (U^{1/2} + U^{*1/2}) \}^{1/2} \\ &= |U - U^*|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |A(D^-) - A^*(D^-)| &\leq \iint_{D^-} |U - U^*|^{1/2} du dv \\ &= 2\pi K t^2 (b + b^*)^{3/2} \int_0^{1-t} (1-r)^{-2} r dr \end{aligned}$$

which implies our assertion, because

$$\int (1-r)^{-2} r dr \leq \int (1-r)^{-2} dr = t^{-1} - 1 < t^{-1}.$$

LEMMA 5. *Given S and the constant N and given $\epsilon' > 0$, there exists $\epsilon'' = \epsilon''(S, N, \epsilon') > 0$ such that the relations $\rho(S, S^*) + d(S, S^*) < \epsilon''$, $L^* \leq N$, $A < \infty$ together imply $|A - A^*| < \epsilon'$ for every harmonic S^* .*

PROOF. Clearly $\delta^* \leq L^*$ and by an inequality of Schwarz [1, p. 398, (2.3)] $b^* \leq 2\delta^*$. Moreover $b \leq b^* + \rho(S, S^*)$, so that $b < \infty$. We may therefore suppose $N \geq b$ without loss of generality (since b depends only on S) and we then have $b + b^* \leq 3N$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, we now determine $t = t(\epsilon, S) < t_0(\epsilon, S)$, where $t_0(\epsilon, S)$ is defined as in Lemma 3, so that

$$A(R^+) < \epsilon.$$

² $|x_u^2 x_v^2 - x_u^{*2} x_v^{*2}| = |x_u^2(x_u + x_u^*)(x_u - x_u^*) + x_u^{*2}(x_v + x_v^*)(x_v - x_v^*)| \leq 2[(b + b^*)/(1-r)]^2 \cdot \rho(S, S^*)/(1-r)$. Similarly this expression majorizes $|(x_u^* x_v^*)^2 - (x_u x_v)^2|$.

This is possible since A is finite. Then the inequality $\rho(S, S^*) + d(S, S^*) < t^4$ implies on one hand by Lemma 3 (since $t^4 < t < \epsilon$)

$$A^*(R^+) \leq KN\epsilon,$$

and on the other hand by Lemma 4

$$|A(D^-) - A^*(D^-)| < K\epsilon(3N)^{3/2}.$$

Hence by addition the quantity

$$|A - A^*| = |A(R^+) - A^*(R^+) + \{A(D^-) - A^*(D^-)\}|$$

is less than $\epsilon + KN\epsilon + K\epsilon(3N)^{3/2} = \epsilon'$ provided our hypotheses are satisfied and $\epsilon'' = t^4$ where $t = t(\epsilon, S)$. This completes the proof.

CONTINUITY THEOREM. *If S is harmonic and N fixed, then for harmonic S^* the relations $d(S, S^*) \rightarrow 0$ and $L^* \leq N$ imply $A^* \rightarrow A$.*

PROOF. By semi-continuity of area we may suppose $A < \infty$. It is now sufficient to apply Lemma 5 with $3\epsilon''$ in place of ϵ'' since $\rho(S, S^*) \leq 2d(S, S^*)$ by the inequality of Schwarz already referred to.

The theorem just proved answers the question raised by Morse and Tompkins [2, p. 828]. We do not know, however, whether the result remains true if $d(S, S^*)$ is replaced by the Fréchet distance of the harmonic surfaces S and S^* .

REFERENCES

1. L. C. Young, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 49 (1947) pp. 396-408.
2. Marston Morse and C. Tompkins, Amer. J. Math. vol. 63 (1941) pp. 825-838.
3. F. Carlson, Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik vol. 29B (1943) no. 11.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN