

## INACCESSIBLE BOUNDARY POINTS

A. W. GOODMAN

**1. Introduction.** The Riemann mapping theorem gives immediately the existence of a function  $F(z)$ , analytic in  $E$ , the circle  $|z| < 1$ , and mapping that region onto a region having inaccessible boundary points [3, pp. 179–200].<sup>1</sup>

However, so far as the author is aware, there are no known formal expressions for an  $F(z)$  of this type, and it is the purpose of the present work to remedy this defect by giving a class of examples of such functions. As a particular case we shall see that the function

$$(1.1) \quad F(z) = \frac{z}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^2 \cos(\pi/2^{(n+1)/2}) + z^2)^{1/2^n}}$$

maps  $E$  onto a region  $B_s$  of the  $w$ -plane, for which all of the points  $w > 8$  are inaccessible boundary points.

**2. The slit regions  $B_s$ .** We shall consider regions formed by deleting from the entire complex plane an infinite number of semi-infinite radial slits, symmetrically placed with respect to the real axis. Because of this symmetry it is sufficient to consider only the slits in the closed upper half-plane. Let  $S_n$  denote the slit with end point at  $w = \rho_n e^{i\phi_n}$  and suppose the subscripts so chosen that

$$(2.1) \quad \pi = \phi_0 > \phi_1 > \phi_2 > \cdots > \phi_n > \cdots > \phi_\infty = 0.$$

**DEFINITION.** A region  $B_s$  is said to be of type  $S$  if it is formed as described above, and if in addition:

(a) There is a constant  $m > 0$  such that

$$m \leq \rho_n < \infty, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

(b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n = 0,$

(c)  $\rho_\infty = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n.$

It is obvious that if  $\rho_\infty < \infty$ , then all of the points  $w > \rho_\infty$  are inaccessible boundary points of  $B_s$ . Condition (a) assures the existence of an  $F(z)$ , such that  $F(0) = 0$ ,  $F'(0) > 0$ , and  $F(z)$  maps  $E$  conformally onto  $B_s$ . Theorem 1 gives somewhat more information about  $F(z)$ .

Presented to the Society, September 7, 1951; received by the editors July 19, 1951.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *For each region  $B_s$  of type  $S$  there are constants  $c > 0, \theta_n,$*

$$(2.2) \quad \pi > \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \dots > \theta_n > \dots > 0, \quad \theta_n \rightarrow \theta_\infty = 0,$$

*such that if*

$$(2.3) \quad F(z) = \frac{cz}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z2 \cos \theta_n + z^2)^{\gamma_n}},$$

*where*

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma_n \pi = \phi_{n-1} - \phi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

*then  $F(z)$  maps  $E$  conformally onto  $B_s$ , with  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F'(0) > 0$ . Conversely each function defined by (2.3) and (2.2) with*

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = 1, \quad \gamma_n > 0; n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

*maps  $E$  conformally onto a region of type  $S$  where the directions of the slits are determined by*

$$(2.6) \quad \phi_n = \pi \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \gamma_j.$$

**PROOF.** First note that each term of the product

$$(2.7) \quad P_n(z) \equiv (1 - z2 \cos \theta_n + z^2)^{\gamma_n} = (1 - ze^{i\theta_n})^{\gamma_n} (1 - ze^{-i\theta_n})^{\gamma_n}$$

is to be understood as that branch of the function for which  $P_n(0) = 1$ . Let  $E_n(\delta)$  denote the region obtained by deleting from  $E$  the portion common to the circle  $|z - e^{i\theta_n}| \leq \delta$ , and the portion common to the circle  $|z - e^{-i\theta_n}| \leq \delta, \delta > 0$ ; and let  $E(\delta)$  denote the intersection of the sets  $E_n(\delta), n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then it is clear from (2.7) that in  $E_n(\delta)$

$$(2.8) \quad \delta^{2\gamma_n} \leq |P_n(z)| \leq 4^{\gamma_n},$$

$$(2.9) \quad -\gamma_n \pi < \arg P_n(z) < \gamma_n \pi,$$

and hence for  $0 < \delta < 1,$

$$(2.10) \quad |\log P_n(z)| \leq \gamma_n \{ \log 4 - 2 \log \delta + \pi \}.$$

From (2.5) it follows that in  $E(\delta)$  the series

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log P_n(z)$$

converges uniformly and hence by the Weierstrass Theorem repre-

sents an analytic function in  $E(\delta)$ . Since  $\delta$  can be taken arbitrarily small, the series (2.11) converges in  $E$  and hence the product in (2.3) is also convergent in the same region. In fact, the product is uniformly convergent on any closed arc of  $|z|=1$  which is free of the points  $e^{\pm i\theta_n}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ .

Suppose now that we have a given fixed region  $B_s$  of type  $S$ . By the Riemann mapping theorem, there is a unique function  $F_s(z)$  mapping  $E$  conformally on  $B_s$ , with  $F_s(0)=0$  and  $F'_s(0)>0$ . From the symmetry of  $B_s$ ,  $F_s(z)$  is real on the real axis and further  $rF_s(r)>0$  for  $0<r^2<1$ . Denote by  $E_c$  the region obtained by cutting the open unit circle along the negative real axis,  $-1<r\leq 0$ . Then  $u_s = \arg F_s(z)$  is harmonic in  $E_c$ , and further, with a suitable determination,  $-\pi \leq u_s \leq \pi$ . A consideration of  $1/F_s(z)$  shows that each of the points on the inverted slits  $S_n^{-1}$  ( $w = \rho e^{-i\theta_n}$ ,  $0 \leq \rho \leq \rho_n^{-1}$ ,  $0 < \theta_n \leq \pi$ ) is an accessible boundary point and, therefore, the zero of  $1/F_s(z)$  corresponding to the vertex of the sector defined by  $S_n^{-1}$  and  $S_{n-1}^{-1}$  is the image of a well-determined point  $z = e^{i\theta_n}$  [3, pp. 189–192]. Even more, the Schwarz reflection principle shows that  $z = e^{i\theta_n}$  is a simple zero of  $(F_s(z))^{-1/\gamma_n}$ . Thus the function  $F_s(z)$  determines a set of arcs on the boundary of the upper half of the unit circle with end points  $e^{i\theta_n}$  satisfying (2.2), such that for  $\theta_{n+1} < \theta < \theta_n$

$$\arg F_s(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \pi = \phi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For  $\theta_1 < \theta < \pi$ ,  $\arg F_s(z) = \pi$ . Finally, if  $\theta_\infty > 0$ , then  $\arg F_s(z)$  can be extended by continuity so that  $\arg F_s(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  for  $-\theta_\infty < \theta < \theta_\infty$ . To see this last assertion, observe that any simple curve  $\Gamma_w$  in  $B_s$  joining  $\rho_n e^{i\phi_n}$  and  $\rho_n e^{-i\phi_n}$  is the image of some simple curve  $\Gamma_z$  in  $E$  joining  $e^{i\theta_n}$  and  $e^{-i\theta_n}$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , an appropriately chosen  $\Gamma_w$  with  $n$  sufficiently large determines a curve  $\Gamma_z$ , which, together with the arc  $z = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $-\theta_n \leq \theta \leq \theta_n$ , determines a region in which  $|\arg F_s(z)| \leq \phi_n < \epsilon$ .

Thus  $\arg F_s(z)$  is a harmonic function in  $E_c$ , continuous and bounded on the interior, constant on the boundary except for finite jumps of  $\pm \gamma_n \pi$  at  $\pm \theta_n$ , and a jump of  $2\pi$  at  $z=0$ .

Next, with the values of  $\theta_n$  just determined for  $F_s(z)$ , and with the associated values of  $\gamma_n$ , form the function  $F(z)$  as in (2.3). If  $u \equiv \arg F(z)$  for  $z$  in  $E_c$ , then  $u$  is also harmonic there and

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad u &= \arg z - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \arg(1 - z^2 \cos \theta_j + z^2) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \{ \theta - \arg(1 - z^2 \cos \theta_j + z^2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $z = e^{i\theta}$ ,

$$(2.13) \quad \arg(1 - z^2 \cos \theta_j + z^2) = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta < \theta_j, \\ \theta - \pi, & \text{if } \theta_j < \theta \leq \pi. \end{cases}$$

Thus for the upper half of the boundary of  $E_c$ ,  $u$  is a step function, such that for  $\theta_{n+1} < \theta < \theta_n$

$$(2.14) \quad u = \arg F(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \{ \theta - (\theta - \pi) \} = \phi_n.$$

Similar results hold for the lower half of the boundary of  $E_c$ . Finally when  $z = r$ ,  $-1 < r < 0$ ,  $u = \pm \pi$  according as  $z$  approaches the boundary from above or below.

Therefore  $U = u_* - u$  is a bounded harmonic function, continuous in  $E_c$  and zero on the boundary except for an infinite number of points which have at most two limit points. The conformality of  $F(z)$  and  $F_*(z)$  at the origin permit us to remove the slit, and make the same assertions about  $U$  in  $E$ . It is then easy to see from the Poisson integral formula that  $U \equiv 0$  [4, p. 321]. Thus  $F(z)$  and  $F_*(z)$  differ by at most a multiplicative positive constant. Thus if  $c$  is chosen properly in (2.3),  $F(z)$  maps  $E$  onto the region  $B_*$ . It is now easy to see that  $\theta_\infty = 0$ , for otherwise the arc

$$z = e^{i\theta}, \quad -\theta_\infty < \theta < \theta_\infty,$$

would go into a doubly covered slit on the real axis consisting of accessible boundary points. This is indeed a possibility, but we have excluded this possibility by condition (c) of the definition of the region  $B_*$ . If, in (2.3),  $\theta_\infty > 0$  then  $F(z)$  will map  $E$  onto a region with accessible boundary points on the positive real axis whether or not it has inaccessible boundary points. We have defined  $B_*$  in such a way as to exclude this occurrence in order to simplify the presentation.

The second part of Theorem 1 will be a trivial consequence of the preceding material as soon as we show that the accessible boundary points of  $B_*$  form a one-to-one image of the arc  $|z| = 1$ ,  $z \neq 1$ , under  $F(z)$ , i.e. as soon as we show that each slit  $S_j$  is doubly covered. Recalling (2.5) it is easy to see that

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_j(1 - z^2)}{1 - z^2 \cos \theta_j + z^2}.$$

Thus  $F'(-1) = 0$ , and if  $z = 1$  is a point of regularity of  $F(z)$ , i.e. if  $\theta_\infty \neq 0$ , then  $F'(1) = 0$ . For  $z = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta_{n+1} < \theta < \theta_n$ ,

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = -i \sin \theta \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\gamma_j}{\cos \theta - \cos \theta_j} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_j}{\cos \theta_j - \cos \theta} \right\}$$

$$= -i \sin \theta \{ I(\theta) - D(\theta) \}$$

where  $I(\theta)$  is an increasing function, tending to  $\infty$  as  $\theta \rightarrow \theta_n$ , and  $D(\theta)$  is a decreasing function, decreasing from  $\infty$  at  $\theta = \theta_{n+1}$ . Thus in each arc  $\theta_{n+1} < \theta < \theta_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $F'(z)$  has a simple zero which we denote by  $z = e^{i\alpha_n}$ , and  $F(e^{i\alpha_n}) = \rho_n e^{i\phi_n}$ , a slit end point.

In case  $\theta_\infty > 0$ ,  $z = 1$  furnishes the simple zero of  $F'(z)$  for the arc  $-\theta_\infty < \theta < \theta_\infty$ , while  $z = -1$  is always the simple zero for  $\theta_1 < \theta < 2\pi - \theta_1$ . The slits  $S_j$  are doubly covered, and thus Theorem 1 is proved.

We observe that if the region  $B_s$  is not assumed to be symmetrical, then (2.3) is replaced by

$$(2.17) \quad F(z) = \frac{cz}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - ze^{i\theta_n})^{\gamma_n}}$$

where now

$$(2.18) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = 2, \quad \gamma_n > 0.$$

If  $B_s$  has only  $m$  slits, then in (2.17) and (2.18) the product and sum have exactly  $m$  terms.<sup>2</sup> In the still more special case that the  $e^{i\theta_n}$  are taken as the  $m$ th roots of unity, and the  $\gamma_n$  all equal, we obtain the well known

$$f(z) = \frac{cz}{(1 - z^m)^{2/m}},$$

which maps the unit circle on the  $w$ -plane with radial slits whose end points are the vertices of a regular  $m$ -gon.

Perhaps a more intuitive approach to (2.17) and (2.3) would have been through Alexander's theorem [1; 5, pp. 11-15], which states that if

$$(2.19) \quad F(z) = zf'(z),$$

then  $F(z)$  starlike with respect to the origin implies  $f(z)$  convex, and conversely. Then (2.17) and (2.3) would be a simple consequence of

---

<sup>2</sup> After this paper was completed, the author learned from Professor Z. Nehari that formulae (2.17), (2.18), with a finite number of terms, were given earlier by C. Darwin [2].

the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation

$$(2.20) \quad f(z) = c \int_0^z \frac{dt}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - te^{i\theta_n})^{\gamma_n}}.$$

It is worth noting that even though  $F(z)$  given by (2.17) may map  $E$  onto a region with inaccessible boundary points, the associated  $f(z)$  given by (2.19) and (2.20) maps  $E$  onto a convex region which consequently has no inaccessible boundary points. Thus integration may destroy inaccessible boundary points.

**3. Some examples of functions for which  $B_+$  has inaccessible boundary points.** In general it may be very difficult to find the zeros of (2.16), but for the problem at hand this is not necessary, since if  $\theta_{n+1} < \eta < \theta_n$ , and if  $\alpha_n$  is the zero of (2.16) corresponding to this interval, then  $|F(e^{i\alpha_n})| \leq |F(e^{i\eta})|$ . This together with Theorem 1 gives the following results.

**THEOREM 2.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that  $F(z)$  given by (2.3) maps  $E$  onto a region with inaccessible boundary points is that there is a constant  $M$  and a decreasing sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 0,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad |F(e^{i\eta_n})| \leq M, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Under these conditions all the points  $\omega > M$  will be inaccessible boundary points.*

To determine such a sequence we proceed thus. If, in (2.3),  $c=1$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad \log |F(e^{i\eta})| = \log \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \log |\cos \eta - \cos \theta_j|.$$

Since  $\cos \eta - \cos \theta = -2 \sin (\eta + \theta)/2 \sin (\eta - \theta)/2$  and  $2|x|/\pi \leq |\sin x| \leq |x|$  for  $0 \leq |x| \leq \pi/2$ , it follows that if  $0 < \eta, \theta_j \leq \pi/2$ , then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(\eta) &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \log |\cos \eta - \cos \theta_j| \\ &\geq \log \frac{2}{\pi^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \log |\eta^2 - \theta_j^2|. \end{aligned}$$

Let us suppose that, given  $\{\gamma_n\}$  satisfying (2.5), it is possible to determine an increasing sequence of positive constants  $\{c_n\}$  such that the series

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-c_j} = \sigma,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j c_j = M_1$$

both converge. In  $F(z)$  take  $\theta_n > 0$  such that

$$(3.7) \quad \theta_n^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4\sigma} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} e^{-c_j}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and note that  $\pi/2 = \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \dots > \theta_{\infty} = 0$ . Next set

$$(3.8) \quad \eta_n^2 = \frac{\theta_n^2 + \theta_{n+1}^2}{2} = \frac{\pi^2}{8\sigma} \left( e^{-c_n} + 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} e^{-c_j} \right)$$

and observe that  $\theta_1 > \eta_1 > \theta_2 > \dots > \theta_n > \eta_n > \dots > 0$ . Then for all  $j, n = 1, 2, \dots,$

$$(3.9) \quad |\eta_n^2 - \theta_j^2| \geq \frac{\pi^2}{8\sigma} e^{-c_j}.$$

Using this in (3.4), and taking into account (3.6), gives

$$(3.10) \quad Q(\eta_n) > -M_1 - \log 4\sigma.$$

Consequently from (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$(3.11) \quad |F(e^{i\eta_n})| < 2\sigma e^{M_1} = M.$$

We summarize these results as follows:

LEMMA 1. *Given  $\{\gamma_n\}$  satisfying (2.5), if it is possible to find an increasing sequence of positive constants  $\{c_n\}$  such that (3.5) and (3.6) are convergent series, then  $F(z)$  defined by (2.3) with  $\theta_n$  defined by (3.7) maps the unit circle onto a region  $B_s$  for which all the points  $w > 2\sigma e^{M_1}$  are inaccessible boundary points.*

At first the author conjectured that for every convergent series (2.5) there is a sequence of positive constants  $\{c_n\}$  such that (3.5) and (3.6) both converge. Professor James A. Jenkins refuted this conjecture with the following counter example. Set  $\gamma_n = 1/n(\log n)^2, n \geq 2$ . If we could find  $c_n > 0$  such that (3.5) and (3.6) both converge, then the sum

$$\sum_2^\infty (e^{-c_n} + \gamma_n c_n)$$

would also converge. But this last is impossible, since if  $c_n \geq \log n$ , then  $\gamma_n c_n \geq 1/n \log n$ , and if  $c_n \leq \log n$ , then  $e^{-c_n} \geq 1/n$ , so that in any case  $e^{-c_n} + \gamma_n c_n > 1/n \log n$ .

A rather general case in which Lemma 1 can be applied occurs when  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is decreasing and  $\sum \gamma_n \log n$  converges, for then it suffices to take  $c_n = -\log \gamma_n$  so that (3.5) becomes (2.5). This is possible, for example, when  $\gamma_n = kn^{-p}$ ,  $k > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ .

A still simpler case occurs when  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a geometric sequence, i.e.  $\gamma_n = A^{n-1}(1-A)$ ,  $0 < A < 1$ . In this case, taking  $c_n = -\log A^{n-1}(1-A)$ , we have, from (3.5),  $\sigma = 1$ , and from (3.6)

$$(3.12) \quad M_1 = -\frac{A}{1-A} \log A - \log(1-A),$$

so that

$$(3.13) \quad M = \frac{2}{(1-A)A^{A/(1-A)}}.$$

Equation (3.7) gives  $\theta_n = \pi A^{(n-1)/2}/2$ . This proves the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $0 < A < 1$ , the function*

$$(3.14) \quad F(z) = \frac{z}{\prod_{n=0}^\infty (1 - z^2 \cos(\pi A^{n/2}/2) + z^2)^{A^n(1-A)}}$$

*maps  $E$  onto a region of type  $S$  for which all the points  $w > M$  are inaccessible boundary points, where  $M$  is given by (3.13).*

The case  $A = 1/2$  gives the function (1.1) mentioned in the introduction.

**4. Further examples.** By suitable combinations of  $F(z)$  with certain simple functions, other interesting examples can be obtained. Thus if  $f_c(z)$  maps  $E$  onto  $E_c$ , then  $\log F(f_c(z))$  maps  $E$  onto a strip  $|\Im(w)| < \pi$  cut by lines parallel to the real axis in such a way that the points  $w > \log M$  are inaccessible boundary points. The function  $(F^{1/2}(f_c(z)) - 1)/(F^{1/2}(f_c(z)) + 1)$  maps  $E$  onto the unit circle with circular arc slits so disposed that the points  $(M^{1/2} - 1)/(M^{1/2} + 1) < w \leq 1$  are inaccessible boundary points. It seems difficult however to give an expression for the function which maps  $E$  onto a circle



with radial slits and having inaccessible boundary points.

The inaccessible boundary points can be rotated by taking  $F_k(z) = e^{i\theta_k} F_1(z e^{-i\theta_k})$ , and it is then clear that

$$(4.1) \quad G_m(z) = \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m F_k(z) \right\}^{1/m}$$

maps  $E$  onto a region having  $m$  radial lines with inaccessible boundary points, providing only that the  $\theta_n^{(k)}$  for  $F_k(z)$  satisfy suitable conditions.

Finally if

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\pi}{2} > \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \cdots > \theta_\infty > \theta^* > 0,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \gamma^* + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = 1, \quad \gamma^*, \gamma_n > 0,$$

and if the  $\theta_n$  are suitably chosen, then

$$(4.4) \quad F(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - z2 \cos \theta^* + z^2)^{\gamma^*} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z2 \cos \theta_n + z^2)^{\gamma_n}}$$

maps  $E$  onto a region for which points on the two radial lines  $w = \rho e^{\pm i\gamma_n \pi}$ ,  $\rho > M$ , are accessible from one side but inaccessible from the other.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. W. Alexander, *Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions*, Ann. of Math. vol. 17 (1915) pp. 12-22.
2. C. Darwin, *On some transformations involving elliptic functions*, Philosophical Magazine (7) vol. 41 (1950) pp. 1-11.
3. L. R. Ford, *Automorphic functions*, McGraw-Hill, 1929.
4. A. Hurwitz and R. Courant, *Funktionentheorie*, Springer, 1929.
5. P. Montel, *Leçons sur les fonctions univalentes ou multivalentes*, Gauthier-Villars, 1933.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY