

A NONCONVERGENT ITERATIVE PROCESS

J. H. ROBERTS

1. **Introduction.** In [1] Mann and Wolf considered the integral equation

$$(1) \quad y(t) = \int_0^t \frac{G[y(x)]}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx,$$

where

(2) $G(y)$ is continuous and strictly decreasing for positive y , and

$$G(1) = 0.$$

They defined a sequence of functions $y_0(t)$, $y_1(t)$, \dots inductively as follows:

$$(3) \quad y_0(t) = 0, \quad y_{n+1}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{G[y_n^*(x)]}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx,$$

where $y_n^*(x) = \min(y_n(x), 1)$. Under the additional assumption that $G(y)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition on $[0, 1]$ they proved that the sequence $y_0(t)$, $y_1(t)$, \dots converges to a bounded solution,¹ $y(t)$, of (1). Dr. Mann pointed out to me that it was not known whether or not the requirement of a Lipschitz condition was superfluous. The present paper resolves this uncertainty by giving an example of a function $G(y)$ satisfying (2) for which the corresponding sequence (3) does not converge. It also contains a positive result, to the effect that the sequence defined by (3) does converge to the solution $y(t)$ if, in addition to requirement (2), $G(y)$ is convex.

2. **The counter example.** The desired function $G(y)$ is defined as follows:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} G(y) &= 1 - y && \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq 1/2; \\ G(y) &= [1 - (2y - 1)^{1/2}]/2 && \text{for } 1/2 < y. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G_1(y) = 1 - y$ for $y \geq 0$, and let $z(t)$ be the bounded solution of

Presented to the Society, April 26, 1952; received by the editors September 30, 1952 and, in revised form, January 14, 1953.

¹ It was shown in [2] that even in the absence of the Lipschitz condition equation (1) has a unique bounded solution $y(t)$, provided only that G satisfies requirement (2). This solution $y(t)$ is strictly increasing and approaches the limit 1 as t increases indefinitely.

$$(5) \quad z(t) = \int_0^t \frac{G_1[z(x)]}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx.$$

Now, as was shown in [1, p. 168], $z(t)$ is continuous ($t \geq 0$) and dz/dt is positive and decreasing for $t > 0$. Thus a positive number α is uniquely determined by the requirement $z(\alpha) = 1/2$. Let k be dz/dt evaluated at 2α , and let β be the smaller of 2α and $\alpha + 4\alpha^3 k^2$. Then clearly

$$(6) \quad z(t) \geq 1/2 + k(t - \alpha) \quad (\alpha \leq t \leq \beta).$$

LEMMA 1. *If, for some n , $y_n(t) \geq z(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \beta$, then over the same interval $y_{n+1}(t) \leq \min(z(t), 1/2)$.*

LEMMA 2. *If, for some n , $y_n(t) \leq \min(z(t), 1/2)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \beta$, then over the same interval $y_{n+1}(t) \geq z(t)$.*

Assuming that these lemmas are true, then $y_{2r}(t) \leq \min(z(t), 1/2)$, and $y_{2r+1}(t) \geq z(t)$, on $0 \leq t \leq \beta$. Then clearly the sequence $y_0(t)$, $y_1(t)$, \dots does not converge for any t between α and β because $z(t) > 1/2$ over this range.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. Define $Y(t)$ as follows:

$$(7) \quad Y(t) = \int_0^t \frac{G[z(x)]}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx.$$

Since $y_n(x) \geq z(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \beta$ and G is a decreasing function, we have $y_{n+1}(t) \leq Y(t)$. Now $Y(t) = z(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$; we shall show that $Y(t) < 1/2$ for $\alpha < t \leq \beta$. Throughout the remainder of the proof t will be a fixed number $\alpha + \Delta t$, $0 < \Delta t \leq \beta - \alpha$. From (7) we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} Y(t) - Y(\alpha) &= \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha + \Delta t} \frac{G[z(x)]}{[\pi(\alpha + \Delta t - x)]^{1/2}} dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^{\alpha} G[z(x)] \left[\frac{1}{[\pi(\alpha - x)]^{1/2}} \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. - \frac{1}{[\pi(\alpha + \Delta t - x)]^{1/2}} \right] dx, \\ &= \text{Gain} - \text{Loss, say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now $G[z(x)] \geq 1/2$ over $0 \leq x \leq \alpha$, and integration gives

$$(9) \quad \text{Loss} \geq \pi^{-1/2}(\alpha^{1/2} - (\alpha + \Delta t)^{1/2} + (\Delta t)^{1/2}).$$

To get an upper bound on the gain in (8) we first use (6) and (4),

and find that $G[z(x)] \leq (1 - [2k(x - \alpha)]^{1/3})/2 = f(x)$, say. Next we replace $f(x)$ by the linear function $F(x)$ determined to equal $f(x)$ at $x = \alpha$ and at $x = \alpha + \Delta t$. Since $f(x)$ is convex we clearly have $f(x) \leq F(x)$ ($\alpha \leq x \leq \alpha + \Delta t$), and thus

$$(10) \quad G[z(x)] \leq F(x) = 1/2 - m(x - \alpha), \text{ where } m = (\Delta t)^{-2/3}(2k)^{1/3}/2.$$

Substituting for $G[z(x)]$ in the first integral of (8) and performing the integration gives

$$(11) \quad \text{Gain} \leq \pi^{-1/2}[(\Delta t)^{1/2} - (4m/3)(\Delta t)^{3/2}].$$

Then, from (11) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gain} - \text{Loss} &\leq \pi^{-1/2}((\alpha + \Delta t)^{1/2} - \alpha^{1/2} - (4m/3)(\Delta t)^{3/2}) \\ (12) \quad &\leq \pi^{-1/2}[\Delta t(\alpha^{-1/2}/2) - (4m/3)(\Delta t)^{3/2}] \\ &= (\pi^{-1/2}\Delta t)[(\alpha^{-1/2}/2) - (4m/3)(\Delta t)^{1/2}]. \end{aligned}$$

In the last member of (12) replace m by its value (see (10)), and replace Δt (in the second factor) by its upper bound, $4\alpha^3 k^2$. This gives

$$(13) \quad \text{Gain} - \text{Loss} \leq \pi^{-1/2}\Delta t[\alpha^{-1/2}/2 - 2\alpha^{-1/2}/3] < 0.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. Now $G(y) = G_1(y)$ for $0 \leq y \leq 1/2$, so under the hypothesis that $y_n(t) \leq \min(z(t), 1/2)$ we know that

$$G[y_n(x)] = G_1[y_n(x)] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \beta.$$

Then over this range

$$y_{n+1}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{G_1[y_n(x)]}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx \geq \int_0^t \frac{G_1[z(x)]}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx = z(t).$$

With this proof of Lemma 2 our discussion of the counterexample is complete.

3. The theorem. *If $G(y)$ satisfies (2) and in addition is convex for $0 \leq y \leq 1$, then the sequence $y_0(t), y_1(t), \dots$ given by (3) converges to the solution $y(t)$ of (1).*

PROOF. Now $y_0(t) = 0$ and $y_1(t) = 2G(0)(t/\pi)^{1/2}$. Define positive numbers d and c by the respective requirements

$$(14) \quad G(d) = 3G(0)/4, \quad y_1(c) = d.$$

We first prove the conclusion of the theorem for t restricted to the interval $[0, c]$.

From the convexity of $G(y)$ we see that for any r_1 and r_2 between 0 and 1 ($r_1 \neq r_2$) we have

$$(15) \quad \left| \frac{G(r_1) - G(r_2)}{r_1 - r_2} \right| \leq \frac{G(0) - G(r_1)}{r_1}.$$

From our choice of d and c and the fact that $y_n(t) \leq y_1(t)$ for all n we see from (2) that $G[y_n(t)] \geq 3G(0)/4$ for $0 \leq t \leq c$. Then

$$(16) \quad y_n(t) \geq (3/4)y_1(t) = (3/2)G(0)(t/\pi)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\Delta_n = \max |y_n(t) - y_{n-1}(t)|$ for $0 \leq t \leq c$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)| &= \int_0^t \frac{|G[y_n(x)] - G[y_{n-1}(x)]|}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx \\ &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(G(0) - G[y_n(x)]) \cdot |y_n(x) - y_{n-1}(x)|}{y_n(x) [\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx \\ &\leq \int_0^t \frac{[G(0)/4] \Delta_n}{(3/2)G(0)(x/\pi)^{1/2} [\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx \\ &= \frac{\Delta_n}{6} \int_0^t \frac{dx}{[x(t-x)]^{1/2}} = (\pi/6) \Delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

(In the above we first use (3), then (15), and then (14) and (16). The two final equalities are obvious.) Thus $|y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)| \leq \Delta_{n+1} \leq \Delta_n(\pi/6) \leq (\pi/6)^n$, for $0 \leq t \leq c$. This proves the convergence on the interval $[0, c]$.

Suppose the theorem is false and that on some interval $[0, T]$ the sequence $y_0(t), y_1(t), \dots$ does not converge. Now for every t , $y_0(t) \leq y_2(t) \leq \dots \leq y(t) \leq \dots \leq y_3(t) \leq y_1(t)$. Therefore the y 's of even subscript converge to a continuous limit function $Y_1(t)$ and the y 's of odd subscript converge to a continuous limit function $Y_2(t)$, and $Y_1(t) \leq y(t) \leq Y_2(t)$. It is furthermore clear that the substitution of $Y_1(x)$ [respectively $Y_2(x)$] for $y(x)$ under the integral sign in (1) gives $Y_2(t)$ [respectively $Y_1(t)$] in place of $y(t)$. The convergence of $y_0(t), y_1(t), \dots$ on $[0, c]$ implies that $Y_1(t) = Y_2(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq c$. Let e be the greatest number such that $Y_1(t) = Y_2(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq e$. Then $c \leq e < T$.

Since Y_1 has a positive minimum value on $[e, T]$ it follows from the hypotheses on G that there exists a positive k such that for any x on $[e, T]$, $G[Y_1(x)] - G[Y_2(x)] \leq k|Y_1(x) - Y_2(x)|$. Choose a fixed t ($e < t < T$) so that (a) $2k[(t-e)/\pi]^{1/2} < 1$ and (b) $|Y_1(x) - Y_2(x)| \leq |Y_1(t) - Y_2(t)|$ for $e \leq x \leq t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|Y_2(t) - Y_1(t)| &= \int_0^t \frac{G[Y_1(x)] - G[Y_2(x)]}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx \\
&\leq \int_0^t \frac{k |Y_1(x) - Y_2(x)|}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} dx \\
&\leq k |Y_1(t) - Y_2(t)| \int_0^t \frac{dx}{[\pi(t-x)]^{1/2}} \\
&= 2k[(t-e)/\pi]^{1/2} |Y_1(t) - Y_2(t)| \\
&< Y_2(t) - Y_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the assumption that the theorem is false has led to a contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. W. Robert Mann and František Wolf, *Heat transfer between solids and gasses under nonlinear boundary conditions*, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics vol. 9 (1951) pp. 163-184.
2. J. H. Roberts and W. R. Mann, *On a certain nonlinear integral equation of Volterra type*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics vol. 1 (1951) pp. 431-445.

DUKE UNIVERSITY