In a great many cases the methods used in the proofs of the above theorems can be used to determine whether a given continuum is a W_n set. In particular, they can be used to prove that no W_7 set, M, has a complementary domain whose boundary, J, contains three limit points of B(M)-J, no W_4 set has a complementary domain whose boundary contains five such points, and that there exists a W_6 set whose outer boundary contains three such points.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AND GOUCHER COLLEGE

ANOTHER REMARK ON "SOME PROBLEMS IN CONFORMAL MAPPING"

JAMES A. JENKINS

It was remarked in [2] and proved in [3] that for every triplyconnected domain D there are certain triply-connected subdomains D' having the same topological situation and admitting no conformal mapping into D preserving this topological situation other than the identity. This result implies at once several others. Indeed let D have contours K_1 , K_2 , K_3 and let the corresponding contours of D' be K'_1 , K'_2 , K'_3 . It is assumed no contour of D reduces to a point. If D' is obtained from D by producing slits from K_2 , K_3 out onto the same connected piece of the line of symmetry of D, it is clear that there is no conformal mapping of D' into D which can make K_2' go into K_2 or K_3 go into K_3 (in the natural sense of boundary correspondence). Thus for a domain D and subdomain D' there may exist no conformal mapping of the above type which carries either (a) a given boundary contour of D' into the corresponding boundary contour of D or (b) some two boundary contours of D' into the corresponding two boundary contours of D.

The question naturally arises whether given a triply-connected domain D and a triply-connected subdomain D' having the same topological situation there exists a conformal mapping of D' into D preserving the topological situation and carrying some one contour of D' into the corresponding contour of D. This question was raised to me by Professor A. Beurling some four or five years ago. The simple example above is not sufficient to provide an answer since in it

Received by the editors February 11, 1953.

D and D' have a common boundary. Nevertheless, the answer is in the negative as we shall now show.

First consider in D the following module problem. Let D lie in the z-plane, z=x+iy. Let Γ denote the class of open arcs lying in D, tending to a prime end on K_1 in either sense, and separating K_2 and K_3 on D. Let ρ be a non-negative real-valued function defined over D, of integrable square, and such that $\int_{\gamma} \rho |dz|$ exists, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (possibly having the value $+\infty$), with

$$\int_{\gamma} \rho \mid dz \mid \geq 1.$$

Let M denote the greatest lower bound of $\iint_{D} \rho^2 dx dy$ as ρ runs through the class of functions indicated above. This number is called the module of D for this particular problem. It is readily seen that the greatest lower bound above is actually a minimum. Indeed if H is one of the hexagons into which D is divided by its line of symmetry, H can be regarded as quadrangle with one pair of opposite sides given by the portion of the boundary of H on K_1 and the portion of the line of symmetry of D joining K_2 and K_3 . The module of the quadrangle for the class of curves joining these sides is just twice M [2, pp. 328, 329] and we get the extremal metric for our present problem from the extremal metric in the latter problem by a simple symmetry device [2, p. 348].

Let now D' be a subdomain of D as above and such that K_1' coincides with K_1 . Let Γ' and M' be the class of curves and module for D' corresponding to Γ and M for D. Since every element of Γ' is also in Γ , a standard argument [2, p. 329] shows that $M' \leq M$.

In an evident fashion we can define modules for D with K_1 being replaced by K_2 or K_3 . To answer the above question in the negative it is enough to manifest a domain D and subdomain D' such that the three modules for D' strictly exceed the three corresponding modules for D.

Using again the symmetry of a triply-connected domain it is enough to treat the corresponding problem for hexagons. Let E be a hexagon, i.e. a simply-connected domain with six distinguished boundary elements which we call its vertices and denote by 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. These mark off on the boundary the six sides of the hexagon. Let E' be a second hexagon contained in E with vertices 1', 2', 3', 4', 5', 6' such that 1'2', 3'4', 5'6' respectively lie along 12, 34, 56. The modules for E corresponding to those above for D are those for the three quadrangles which have the same interior as E and respectively pairs of opposite sides 12, 45; 23, 56; 34, 61. In each case the module is for

the class of curves joining this pair of sides. We wish to find a hexagon E' contained in some such E for which all three modules are larger.

For this we take E to be the unit circle, its vertices in order

$$e^{i\theta}$$
, $e^{i(2\pi/3-\theta)}$, $e^{i(2\pi/3+\theta)}$, $e^{i(-2\pi/3-\theta)}$, $e^{i(-2\pi/3+\theta)}$, $e^{-i\theta}$ $(0 < \theta < \pi/3)$.

We shall take E' to be also the unit circle, its vertices in order

$$e^{i(\theta+e)}$$
, $e^{i(2\pi/3-\theta-e)}$, $e^{i(2\pi/3+\theta+e)}$, $e^{i(-2\pi/3-\theta-e)}$, $e^{i(-2\pi/3+\theta+e)}$, $e^{-i(\theta+e)}$
 $(e>0, \theta+e<\pi/3)$.

We now recall the well known result [1] that for a quadrangle bounded by the unit circle with verticles of affix a, b, c, d on this circle in counterclockwise order, the module for the class of curves joining ab and cd is a monotone increasing function of the cross ratio of these four points taken in the form (a-c)(b-d)/(a-d)(b-c).

Owing to the symmetry of the above hexagons it is enough to calculate one of the cross ratios above and we shall do it for the four points in counterclockwise order; 6, 1, 3, 4. The cross ratio is $(e^{-i\theta}-e^{-i(2\pi/3+\theta)})(e^{i\theta}-e^{-i(2\pi/3+\theta)})/(e^{-i\theta}-e^{-i(2\pi/3+\theta)})$ [It is readily seen to have the value $\sin^2(\theta+\pi/3)/\sin^2(\pi/3)$. The corresponding value for E' is $\sin^2(\theta+e+\pi/3)/\sin^2\pi/3$. This exceeds the preceding for $0<\theta<\pi/6$ for e sufficiently small. Hence this provides the desired example.

This result has an interesting interpretation in terms of the domains \tilde{N} and \tilde{N}' associated with E and E' as in [2, p. 342]. Indeed we shall show that for a pair of domains E and E' such as those constructed above that the closure of \tilde{N}' is interior to \tilde{N} . Using the notation of [2] we consider the mapping of the point (a_1, a_2, a_3) of \tilde{N} (trilinear coordinates) into the point $(\beta_1 - \beta_1', \beta_2 - \beta_2', \beta_3 - \beta_3')$ in Euclidean 3-space.

It is clear that the image of \tilde{N} cannot pass through the origin for this would give rise to a mapping of E' into E such that 1'2', 3'4', or 5'6' would coincide with 12, 34, or 56 respectively, i.e. of the type excluded. Thus we can project the image surface continuously on a sphere centred at the origin. As in [2] let PQR be the triangle cut out on the surface of the sphere by the first octant.

It is proved in [2] that certain interior points of \tilde{N} fall interior to PQR; thus if here an interior point of \tilde{N} fell exterior to PQR some interior point of \tilde{N} would fall on the boundary of PQR and thus give rise to a mapping of E' into E of the type excluded. Thus all interior points of \tilde{N} fall interior to PQR.

On the other hand, no boundary point of \tilde{N} falls interior to PQR. By the preceding none falls exterior to PQR, thus the boundary

points of \tilde{N} fall on the boundary of PQR. It is readily seen that the points C, A, B fall on P, Q, R and the sides CA, AB, BC on PQ, QR, RP.

It follows from the above that a point interior to \tilde{N}' must lie interior to \tilde{N} and moreover if \tilde{N}' had a boundary point in common with \tilde{N} it would be mapped on a boundary point of PQR. Using throughout primes for entities corresponding to E' we see that only C', A', B' could coincide with C, A, B and only a point of C'A', A'B', B'C' could coincide with a point of CA, AB, BC. Since the latter fall on points of PQ, QR, RP, any of these possibilities would give rise to a mapping of E' into E of the type excluded. Thus the closure of \tilde{N}' is interior to \tilde{N} as stated.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. L. Ahlfors and A. Beurling, Invariants conformes et problèmes extrémaux, Dixième Congrès des Mathématiciens Scandinaves, 1946.
- 2. J. A. Jenkins, Some problems in conformal mapping, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 67 (1949) pp. 327-350.
- 3. ——, Remarks on "Some problems in conformal mapping," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 3 (1952) pp. 147-151.

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY