

$$(c[g'(z)]^* + zg'(z)) - \lambda zg'(z) = mg(z) - \lambda zg'(z).$$

Then the lemma gives  $p \leq p_1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Ancochea, *Sur les polynomes dont les zéros son symétriques par rapport à une circonférence*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 221 (1945) pp. 13-15.
2. F. F. Bonsall and M. Marden, *Zeros of self-inversive polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 3 (1952) pp. 471-475.
3. A. Cohn, *Über die Anzahl der Wurzeln einer algebraischen Gleichung in einer Kreise*, Math. Zeit. vol. 14 (1922) pp. 110-148.

UNIVERSITY OF MADRID

### MEASURE EXTENSIONS AND THE MARTINGALE CONVERGENCE THEOREM<sup>1</sup>

SHU-TEH CHEN MOY

1. **Introduction.** In 1940 J. L. Doob proved the following martingale convergence theorem [3].<sup>2</sup>

Let  $\{x_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a martingale<sup>3</sup> with

$$\sup \{E[|x_n|] : n \geq 1\} < \infty.$$

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges with probability 1 to a random variable  $x_\infty$  of finite expectation.

In 1946 E. S. Andersen and B. Jessen proved some limit theorems on derivatives of set functions [1]. One of the theorems is closely related to the martingale convergence theorem and is stated below.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \dots$  be a nondecreasing sequence of Borel fields of subsets of a nonempty set  $\Omega$ . Let  $P$  be a probability measure defined on the smallest Borel field  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  containing all the  $\mathcal{F}_n$ 's. Let  $\phi$  be a bounded, countably additive set function defined on  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ . Let  $P_n, \phi_n$  be the contractions of  $P, \phi$  to  $\mathcal{F}_n$  respectively and suppose that each  $\phi_n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $P_n$ . Let  $x_n$  be the derivative of  $\phi_n$  relative to  $P_n$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges, except on a set of  $P$  measure 0, to

Presented to the Society, April 25, 1953; received by the editors April 15, 1953.

<sup>1</sup> This work was done while the author was Emmy Noether Fellow of Bryn Mawr College. It is based on a portion of the doctoral thesis submitted to the University of Michigan. The thesis was written under the supervision of Professor J. L. Doob of the University of Illinois.

<sup>2</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography.

<sup>3</sup> For the definition and properties of a martingale see [2, Chap 7].

*the derivative of the  $P$ -continuous part of  $\phi$  relative to  $P$ .*

The above theorem will be designated as the A-J theorem throughout this note.

Doob has pointed out that the  $x_n$ 's and  $\mathcal{F}_n$ 's in the A-J Theorem form a martingale. In his discussion of the relation between his martingale convergence theorem and the A-J theorem [2, appendix, pp. 630-632] the following three conditions concerning a martingale  $\{x_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  are studied.

1.  $x_n$ 's are uniformly integrable.

2. There is a countably additive bounded set function  $\phi$ , defined on the smallest Borel field  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  containing all the  $\mathcal{F}_n$ 's, of which the contraction  $\phi_n$  to  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the contraction  $P_n$  of  $P$  to  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and for which  $x_n$  is the derivative of  $\phi_n$  relative to  $P_n$  for every  $n$ .

3.  $\sup \{E[|x_n|] : n \geq 1\} < \infty$ .

He showed that 1 implies 2 and 2 implies 3; and the condition 2 together with the condition that  $\phi$  be absolutely continuous with respect to  $P$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  is equivalent to 1. He then demonstrated that 3 is actually weaker than 2 by exhibiting an example of a martingale which satisfies 3 but not 2. Thus he indicated that his martingale convergence theorem is more general than the A-J theorem as far as the convergence part is concerned. In this note I shall prove that if the basic space  $\Omega$  on which the random variables  $x_n$  are defined is the space of real sequences  $\xi = \{\xi_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is the smallest Borel field containing the sets of the form  $\{\{\xi_n\} : \xi_1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \xi_n \leq \alpha_n\}$  with  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  being any  $n$  real numbers, then 2 and 3 are equivalent. This special case is of interest because by the representation theory [2, pp. 12-15], for any martingale there is one of this type which shares most of the relevant properties of the original martingale including the convergence property. More precisely, for any martingale  $\{x_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  where  $x_n$ 's are defined on  $\Omega$  with elements  $\omega$  and probability measure  $P$ , there is a mapping  $T$  on  $\Omega$  into the space of sequences:

$$T(\omega) = \{x_1(\omega), x_2(\omega), \dots, x_n(\omega), \dots\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}'_n$  be the Borel field of sets in the sequence space generated by the collection of sets of the form

$$\{\xi : \xi_1 \leq \alpha_1, \xi_2 \leq \alpha_2, \dots, \xi_n \leq \alpha_n\}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  are  $n$  real numbers. Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be the smallest Borel field containing every  $\mathcal{F}'_n$  and  $P'$  be the probability measure defined on  $\mathcal{F}'$  by

$$P'(\Lambda') = P(T^{-1}(\Lambda')).$$

If  $x_n'$  is the  $n$ th coordinate variable on  $\Omega'$ , i.e.,  $x_n'$  is defined by

$$x_n'(\xi) = \xi_n,$$

then  $\{x_n', \mathcal{F}_n', n \geq 1\}$  is a martingale under the probability measure  $P'$  and  $\{x_n'\}$  converges with probability 1 if and only if  $\{x_n\}$  converges with probability 1. Hence the martingale convergence theorem can be deduced from the A-J theorem by applying the theorem which is to be proved.

**2. The measure extension theorem.** We shall consider a more general case of a martingale with the index set to be any subset of the real line. Let  $T$  be a set of real numbers. Let  $\Omega$  be the totality of real-valued functions  $\xi = \xi(t)$  defined on  $T$ .

$\mathcal{F}_t$  is the Borel field generated by the collection of sets of the form

$$[\xi: \xi(s) \leq \alpha]$$

with  $s \leq t$  and  $\alpha$  an arbitrary real number.  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  is the smallest Borel field containing all  $\mathcal{F}_t$ 's.  $P$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ . Let  $\{x_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \in T\}$  be a martingale under this probability measure and  $\phi_t$  be a set function defined on  $\mathcal{F}_t$  by

$$(1) \quad \phi_t(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda} x_t dP.$$

Then  $\phi_t$  is bounded, countably additive, and absolutely continuous with respect to  $P_t$ , the contraction of  $P$  to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . The derivative of  $\phi_t$  relative to  $P_t$  is then  $x_t$ . Furthermore, each  $\phi_t$  is an extension of  $\phi_s$  if  $s \leq t$ . Let  $\phi$  be defined on  $\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{F}_t$  by

$$(2) \quad \phi(\Lambda) = \phi_t(\Lambda) \quad \text{if } \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Notice that  $\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{F}_t$  is a field of subsets of  $\Omega$  and  $\phi$  is a finite, real-valued, finitely additive set function on it.

**THEOREM.**  $\phi$  can be extended to be a countably additive set function on  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  if and only if  $\sup\{E[|x_t|]: t \in T\} < \infty$ . The extension is then bounded.

Before proving the above theorem we shall discuss some measure preliminaries.

For a finite real-valued finitely additive set function  $\phi$  defined on a field  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  the positive part  $\phi^+$  and the negative part  $\phi^-$  of  $\phi$  are defined by the following.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^+(A) &= \sup [\phi(B): B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{A}], \\ \phi^-(A) &= - \inf [\phi(B): B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{A}].\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\phi^+$ ,  $\phi^-$  are non-negative, finitely additive set functions on  $\mathcal{A}$  and

$$\phi(A) = \phi^+(A) - \phi^-(A)$$

if either  $\phi^+(A)$  or  $\phi^-(A)$  is finite. Furthermore, if  $\phi$  is countably additive, then  $\phi^+$  and  $\phi^-$  are also [4, pp. 21–22]. The following lemma concerns a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a countably additive extension of  $\phi$  to the smallest Borel field  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .

LEMMA 1. *In order that there exists a countably additive extension of  $\phi$  to the smallest Borel field  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the following two conditions be satisfied.*

- a.  $\phi$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- b.  $\phi$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., there is a non-negative number  $K$  for which  $|\phi(A)| \leq K$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

PROOF. 1. *Necessity.* a is obvious. For b, let  $\bar{\phi}$  be a countably additive extension of  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\bar{\phi}$  cannot take on both values  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$ . Let  $\bar{\phi}^+$  and  $\bar{\phi}^-$  be the positive part and the negative part of  $\bar{\phi}$  respectively, then  $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}^+ - \bar{\phi}^-$  and there are two disjoint sets  $C$  and  $D$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $C \cup D = \Omega$  such that

$$\bar{\phi}^+(A) = \bar{\phi}(A \cap C), \quad \bar{\phi}^-(A) = -\bar{\phi}(A \cap D)$$

for every set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  [5, pp. 121–123]. If  $\bar{\phi}$  does not take the value  $-\infty$  then

$$\bar{\phi}^-(\Omega) = -\bar{\phi}(D) < \infty.$$

Hence for every set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\bar{\phi}^-(A) \leq \bar{\phi}^-(\Omega) = -\bar{\phi}(D) < \infty,$$

and

$$\bar{\phi}^+(A) \leq \bar{\phi}^+(\Omega) = \bar{\phi}(C) = \bar{\phi}(\Omega) - \bar{\phi}(D) < \infty$$

as  $\bar{\phi}(\Omega) = \phi(\Omega) \neq \pm \infty$ . Therefore both  $\bar{\phi}^+$  and  $\bar{\phi}^-$  are bounded. The same conclusion would be reached if  $\bar{\phi}$  does not take the value  $+\infty$ . The boundedness of  $\phi^+$  and  $\phi^-$  follows from the inequalities:

$$\phi^+(A) \leq \bar{\phi}^+(A), \quad \phi^-(A) \leq \bar{\phi}^-(A).$$

Since  $\phi(A) = \phi^+(A) - \phi^-(A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\phi$  is bounded.

2. *Sufficiency.* If  $\phi$  satisfies both a and b, then  $\phi^+$  and  $\phi^-$  are finite and countably additive. Since  $\phi^+$  and  $\phi^-$  are non-negative they can be extended to be finite-valued countably additive measures  $\overline{\phi}^+, \overline{\phi}^-$  on  $\mathcal{J}$ . For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\overline{\phi}^+(A) - \overline{\phi}^-(A) = \phi^+(A) - \phi^-(A) = \phi(A).$$

Therefore  $\overline{\phi}^+ - \overline{\phi}^-$  is a countably additive extension of  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{J}$ .

Using the preceding lemma we can now prove the theorem.

The  $\phi$  defined by (1) and (2) is a finite-valued finitely additive set function. The domain of definition of  $\phi$  is a field of sets. Suppose  $\phi$  can be extended to be a countably additive set function on  $\mathcal{J}_\infty$ ; by the preceding lemma there is a number  $K$  for which  $|\phi(\Lambda)| \leq K$  for all  $\Lambda \in \bigcup_{i \in \tau} \mathcal{J}_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[|x_t|] &= \int_{\{x_t \geq 0\}} x_t dP' - \int_{\{x_t < 0\}} x_t dP \\ &= \phi(\{x_t \geq 0\}) - \phi(\{x_t < 0\}) \leq 2K. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose  $\sup\{E[|x_t|] : t \in T\} = L < \infty$ ; then  $\phi$  is bounded for

$$|\phi(\Lambda)| = \left| \int_{\Lambda} x_t dP \right| \leq E[|x_t|] \leq L$$

if  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_t$ . To show that  $\phi$  is countably additive we shall do the following.

For each  $t \in T$  define a non-negative, countably additive measure  $\mu_t$  on  $\mathcal{J}_t$  by the equation

$$\mu_t(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda_t} |x_t| dP.$$

If  $t \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ ;  $t, t_1, t_2 \in T$ ;  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_t$ , then  $\mu_{t_1}(\Lambda) \leq \mu_{t_2}(\Lambda) \leq L$  for

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Lambda} |x_{t_1}| dP &= \int_{\Lambda} |E[x_{t_2} | \mathcal{J}_{t_1}]| dP \leq \int_{\Lambda} E[|x_{t_2}| | \mathcal{J}_{t_1}] dP \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} |x_{t_2}| dP \leq L. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $b$  be the maximum value of the closure of  $T$  ( $b$  may be infinity). Let  $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq \dots$  be a sequence of elements of  $T$  with  $t \leq t_n$  for every  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = b$ . A set function  $\mu_i^*$  is defined on  $\mathcal{J}_i$  by

$$\mu_t^*(\Lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{t_n}(\Lambda).$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu_t^*$  is independent of the particular sequence  $\{t_n\}$  chosen and is additive and finite-valued. It is also countably additive and absolutely continuous with respect to  $P_t$ , because it is the finite limit of a nondecreasing sequence of countably additive measures [5]. Furthermore, if  $t < t'$ ;  $t, t' \in T$ ;  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , then

$$\mu_t^*(\Lambda) = \mu_{t'}^*(\Lambda).$$

Hence a set function  $\mu^*$  can be defined on  $\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{F}_t$  by

$$\mu^*(\Lambda) = \mu_t^*(\Lambda)$$

if  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t$ . Clearly,  $\mu^*$  is a non-negative finite-valued additive set function on  $\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{F}_t$  and is countably additive on every  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Kolmogorov has proved that such a set function is also countably additive on  $\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{F}_t$  [6].

For each  $\Lambda \in \bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{F}_t$ ,

$$|\phi(\Lambda)| \leq \mu^*(\Lambda).$$

Hence the countable additivity of  $\mu^*$  implies the countable additivity of  $\phi$ . For, let  $\Lambda_1 \supset \Lambda_2 \supset \cdots \supset \Lambda_n \supset \cdots$  be any decreasing sequence of sets in  $\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{F}_t$  for which  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n = \text{null set}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(\Lambda_n) = 0$ . Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\Lambda_n) = 0$  and therefore  $\phi$  is countably additive. Q.E.D.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. E. S. Andersen and B. Jessen, *Some limit theorems on integrals in an abstract set*, Det Kgl. Videnskabernes Selskab, Matematisk-Fysiske Meddelelser vol. 22, No. 14, 1946.
2. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, New York, Wiley.
3. ———, *Regularity properties of certain families of chance variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 47 (1940) pp. 485–486.
4. H. Hahn and A. Rosenthal, *Set functions*, University of New Mexico Press, 1948.
5. P. Halmos, *Measure theory*, Von Nostrand, 1950.
6. A. N. Kolmogorov, *Foundations of the theory of probability*, New York, Chelsea, 1950.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN AND  
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS