## ON THE SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## CHOY-TAK TAAM

1. Let P(x) and Q(x) be complex-valued Lebesgue-measurable functions defined for all non-negative x, the functions 1/P(x) and Q(x) being of the class L(0, R) for every positive R. A solution of the differential equation

$$(1.1) (P(x)W')' + Q(x)W = 0$$

is an absolutely continuous function W(x) such that P(x)W'(x) is equal almost everywhere to an absolutely continuous function  $W_1(x)$ , say, and that

$$(1.2) W_1'(x) + Q(x)W = 0$$

is satisfied for almost all x. In the sequel only those solutions which are distinct from the trivial solution ( $\equiv 0$ ) shall be considered.

On the positive x-axis let I be an interval which need not be closed or bounded. The equation (1.1) will be called disconjugate on I if and only if no solution of (1.1) possesses more than one zero on I.

It is the purpose of this note to derive a general criterion (Theorem 1) for the differential equation (1.1) disconjugate on an interval and from which to prove a comparison theorem (Theorem 2). These results generalize those obtained previously by the author for the case P(x) = 1 [2, Theorems 1 and 9]. When P(x) = 1 and Q(x) is real, an interesting discussion of disconjugate differential equations was given by A. Wintner [4].

The method of proof of Theorem 1 is a modification of that employed in [2, Theorem 1].

## 2. Write

$$(2.1) P(x) = p_1(x) + ip_2(x), Q(x) = q_1(x) + iq_2(x),$$

where  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are real. We first prove the following general criterion.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) m = m(x) is a real-valued function absolutely continuous on every closed subinterval of I,
- (2) for some real constants j and k,  $jp_1+kp_2$  is positive on I and  $1/(jp_1+kp_2)$  belongs to the class L on every closed subinterval of I,

Presented to the Society, April 25, 1953; received by the editors March 16, 1953.

(3) m(x) satisfies the inequality

$$(2.2) m' + m^2/(jp_1 + kp_2) \leq -(jq_1 + kq_2)$$

almost everywhere on I.

Then (1.1) is disconjugate on I. Furthermore, if I is closed at least at one end, there is a solution of (1.1) which does not vanish on I.

PROOF. Suppose that the theorem is not true. Then there is a solution W(x) which has at least two zeros a and b, a < b, in I. We shall show that this leads to contradiction.

Let  $W_1$  be the absolutely continuous function which is equal to PW' almost everywhere on I. Write

$$(2.3) W = u + iv, W_1 = u_1 + iv_1,$$

where u, v,  $u_1$ , and  $v_1$  are real. It is clear that

$$(2.4) u_1 = p_1 u' - p_2 v', v_1 = p_2 u' + p_1 v'.$$

Separating the real and imaginary parts of (1.2), we get

$$(2.5) u_1' = -q_1 u + q_2 v, v_1' = -q_2 u - q_1 v.$$

The equalities in (2.4) and (2.5) hold almost everywhere on I. Let

$$(2.6) L = j(uu_1 + vv_1) + k(uv_1 - u_1v) - m(u^2 + v^2).$$

Differentiating (2.6) and simplifying the result with (2.4) and (2.5), we have

(2.7) 
$$L' = (jp_1 + kp_2)(u'^2 + v'^2) - 2m(uu' + vv') - (m' + jq_1 + kq_2)(u^2 + v^2)$$

almost everywhere on I. Completing the squares, (2.7) yields

(2.8) 
$$L' = (jp_1 + kp_2)[(u' - mu/(jp_1 + kp_2))^2 + (v' - mv/(jp_1 + kp_2))^2] - [m' + m^2/(jp_1 + kp_2) + jq_1 + kq_2](u^2 + v^2).$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (2.8) is positive almost everywhere on [a, b], otherwise u and v would be solutions of the differential equation

$$(2.9) y' = my/(jp_1 + kp_2)$$

on [a, b], and, since u and v vanish at a, u and v must vanish identically on [a, b], but this is impossible owing to the fact that  $W \not\equiv 0$ . Integrating both sides of (2.8) from a to b and using (2.2), we have clearly

$$(2.10) L(b) - L(a) > 0.$$

Since L vanishes at a and b, we have contradiction. This proves that W cannot possess two zeros on I and hence (1.1) is disconjugate on I.

If I is closed at the left end with end point a, then the argument above shows that  $L(x) \ge L(a)$  for all x on I. Since  $jp_1 + kp_2$  is positive, j and k cannot both be zero. Suppose that j is not zero. Let W be a solution with

$$W(a) = 1,$$
  $W_1(a) = [m(a) + 1]/j.$ 

For this solution it is easy to verify that L(a) = 1. Hence  $L(x) \ge 1$  for all x on I. Consequently, from (2.6), this solution does not vanish on I. The cases that j=0,  $k\ne 0$ , and I is closed at the right end can be proved similarly. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. In this section, we shall prove a comparison theorem. Consider another differential equation

$$(3.1) (r(x)y')' + f(x)y = 0,$$

where r and f are real-valued functions defined for all non-negative x, r being positive, and 1/r and f belonging to L(0, R) for every positive R. On the positive x-axis, let  $I_0$  be an interval which is either closed or open, and if open need not be bounded.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) (3.1) is disconjugate on  $I_0$ ,
- (2) for some real constants j and k, the inequalities  $jp_1+kp_2 \ge r$ ,  $jq_1+kq_2 \le f$  hold almost everywhere on  $I_0$ .

Then (1.1) is disconjugate on  $I_0$ . Furthermore, if  $I_0$  is closed, there is a solution of (1.1) which does not vanish on  $I_0$ .

PROOF. It is known that if (3.1) is disconjugate on  $I_0$ , there exists a real-valued function m(x) which is absolutely continuous on every closed subinterval of  $I_0$  and satisfying the inequality

$$(3.2) m' + m^2/r \leq -f$$

almost everywhere on  $I_0$  [3, Theorem 1]. From (3.2) and condition (2) of the theorem, it is clear that

$$(3.3) m' + m^2/(jp_1 + kp_2) \leq -(jq_1 + kq_2)$$

holds amost everywhere on  $I_0$ . The theorem then follows from Theorem 1.

4. In the following theorem, we consider the differential equation

1953]

$$(4.1) \qquad ((jp_1 + kp_2)y')' + (jp_1 + kp_2)^{-1}G^2y = 0,$$

where

(4.2) 
$$G(x) = 2 \int_a^x (jq_1 + kq_2 + g) dx + A.$$

THEOREM 3. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) j, k and A are real constants,
- (2) g = g(x) is real-valued, non-negative on [a, b] and belongs to L(a, b),
  - (3)  $jp_1+kp_2$  is positive on [a, b] and  $(jp_1+kp_2)^{-1}$  belongs to L(a, b),
  - (4) (4.1) is disconjugate on [a, b].

Then (1.1) is disconjugate on [a, b].

PROOF. Since (4.1) is disconjugate on [a, b], according to [3, b], there exists a real-valued function n(x) absolutely continuous on [a, b] and satisfying

$$(4.3) n' + n^2/(jp_1 + kp_2) \leq -G^2/(jp_1 + kp_2)$$

almost everywhere on [a, b]. Let m = (n-G)/2. Using (4.2) and (4.3), it is easy to verify that m satisfies (2.2) almost everywhere on [a, b]. The theorem then follows from Theorem 1.

Theorem 3 can be easily modified to apply to an open interval, bounded or unbounded.

Theorem 3 is a generalization of a theorem due to P. Hartman[1].

## REFERENCES

- 1. P. Hartman, On linear second order differential equations with small coefficients, Amer. J. Math. vol. 73 (1951) pp. 955-962.
- 2. C. T. Taam, Non-oscillation and comparison theorems of linear differential equations with complex-valued coefficients, Portugaliae Mathematica vol. 12 (1953) pp. 57-72.
- 3. —, Non-oscillatory differential equations, Duke Math. J. vol. 19 (1952) pp. 493-497.
- 4. A. Wintner, On the non-existence of conjugate points, Amer. J. Math. vol. 73 (1951) pp. 368-380.

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA