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1. Introduction. A polynomial is said to be self-inversive if its zeros are symmetric in the unit circle $C:|z|=1$. Let $E$ be an arbitrary subset of the finite complex plane $Z$, and let $\mathfrak{\Re}(f, E)$ and $\mathfrak{P}(f, E)$ denote respectively the total multiplicity of the zeros and poles in $E$ of a function $f$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}(f, E)$ denote the number of distinct poles of $f$ in $E$, and let $f^{\prime}$ denote the derivative of $f$. In this notation, Cohn's Theorem ${ }^{1}$ states that, if $f$ is a self-inversive polynomial, then

$$
\mathfrak{N}\left(f^{\prime},|z|>1\right)=\mathfrak{N}(f,|z|>1) .
$$

The theorem of Lucas [3, p. 14] states that if $g$ is any polynomial for which $\mathfrak{N}(g,|z|>1)=0$, then $\mathfrak{R}\left(g^{\prime},|z|>1\right)=0$. A result (Bôcher's Theorem $)^{2}$ due to Walsh states, in effect, that if $\phi$ is a rational function for which $\mathfrak{\Re}(\phi,|z|>1)=\mathfrak{P}(\phi,|z| \leqq 1)=0$, then

$$
\mathfrak{N}\left(\phi^{\prime},|z| \leqq 1\right)=\Re(\phi,|z| \leqq 1)-1,
$$

provided $\phi=k / K$ with degree $K \leqq$ degree $k$.
These three theorems are special cases of the following, which is our principal result.

Theorem 1. Let $\phi=k / K$ be a rational function in which the degree of the polynomial $k$ is greater than that of the polynomial $K$. Let $k=f g$ and $K=F G$ where $f, g, F, G$ are polynomials, $f$ and $F$ are self-inversive, and $\mathfrak{R}(g,|z|>1)=\mathfrak{R}(G,|z|<1)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{N}\left(\phi^{\prime},|z|>1\right)=\mathfrak{R}(\phi,|z|>1)+\mathfrak{Q}(\phi,|z| \geqq 1) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 1.

$$
\mathfrak{N}\left(\phi^{\prime},|z| \leqq 1\right)=\mathfrak{N}(\phi,|z| \leqq 1)+\mathfrak{Q}(\phi,|z|<1)-1 .
$$

The proof of Theorem 1, given in §3, is simple in principle though slightly complicated in detail owing to the possible presence of poles on $C$. Lemma 1 shows that the only zeros of $\phi^{\prime}$ on $C$ are the multiple zeros there of $\phi$. We may therefore vary $\phi$ continuously without

[^0]changing $\mathfrak{R}\left(\phi^{\prime},|z|<1\right)-\mathfrak{P}\left(\phi^{\prime},|z|<1\right)$ until we arrive at a rational function for which this number may be counted. If applied to the case when $K \equiv g \equiv 1$, this method would yield a new and simpler proof of Cohn's theorem.

## 2. Three lemmas.

Lemma 1. Under the hypotheses of Theorem $1, \phi^{\prime}(t)=0$ for $t$ on $C$ if and only if $t$ is a multiple zero of $\phi(z)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $t=1$. If $\phi(1) \neq 0$, then $\phi^{\prime}(1)=0$ would imply

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\phi^{\prime}(1) / \phi(1) & =\left[f^{\prime}(1) / f(1)\right]+\left[g^{\prime}(1) / g(1)\right] \\
& -\left[F^{\prime}(1) / F(1)\right]-\left[G^{\prime}(1) / G(1)\right] . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Let the zeros of $f, g, F, G$, be denoted by $a_{j}, b_{j}, A_{j}, B_{j}$, respectively, and for any complex number $z$ let $z^{*}$ denote $(1-z)^{-1}$. Then $u, v, U, V$, defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m u=f^{\prime}(1) / f(1)=\sum_{1}^{m} a_{j}^{*}, & M U=F^{\prime}(1) / F(1)=\sum_{1}^{M} A_{j}^{*}, \\
n v=g^{\prime}(1) / g(1)=\sum_{1}^{n} b_{j}^{*}, & N V=G^{\prime}(1) / G(1)=\sum_{1}^{N} B_{j^{*}}^{*},
\end{array}
$$

where $m, n, M, N$ denote the degrees of $f, g, F, G$, are the centroids of the $a_{j}^{*}, b_{j}^{*}, A_{j}^{*}$, and $B_{j}^{*}$ respectively. Since $w=(1-z)^{-1}$ maps the closed interior of $C$ upon the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(w) \geqq 1 / 2$ and preserves symmetry in $C$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}(u)=\operatorname{Re}(U)=1 / 2, \quad \operatorname{Re}(v) \geqq 1 / 2, \quad \operatorname{Re}(V) \leqq 1 / 2 ; \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left[\phi^{\prime}(1) / \phi(1)\right]=\operatorname{Re}[m u+n v-M U-N V] \\
& \geqq(m+n-M-N) / 2>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As this contradicts (2.1), $\phi^{\prime}(1)=0$ if and only if also $\phi(1)=0$.
The following generalization of Rouché's Theorem may be proved by a method similar to that given in [5, p. 191-192] for the usual Rouché Theorem. It will be convenient to write $(\mathfrak{R}-\mathfrak{P})(f, E)$ in place of $\mathfrak{R}(f, E)-\mathfrak{P}(f, E)$.

Lemma 2. Let $D$ be the interior domain of a simple closed rectifiable curve к. Let $f$ and $g$ be regular in a domain containing the closure of $D$ except perhaps for poles in D. If $|g(z)|<|f(z)|$ on $\kappa$, then

$$
(\mathfrak{R}-\mathfrak{B})(f+g, D)=(\mathfrak{N}-\mathfrak{P})(f, D) .
$$

Proof. Let $I(\lambda)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{\kappa}\left[f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda g^{\prime}(z)\right][f(z)+\lambda g(z)]^{-1} d z$. Then $I(\lambda)$ is a continuous function of $\lambda$ for $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$ and, since it takes only integer values, we conclude that $I(1)=I(0)$.

Lemma 3. With $D$, $\kappa$ as in Lemma 2, let $\psi_{\alpha}(z)$ be a meromorphic function of $z$ in $D$ with no zeros on $\kappa$ for each $\alpha$ in $A: 0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$. If $\psi_{\alpha}(z)$ is a continuous function of ( $\alpha, z$ ) for $z \in \kappa, \alpha \in A$, then

$$
(\mathfrak{R}-\mathfrak{P})\left(\psi_{1}, D\right)=(\mathfrak{R}-\mathfrak{P})\left(\psi_{0}, D\right) .
$$

Proof. Use Lemma 2 and a simple covering argument with respect to $A$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1. If $\phi$ has no zeros and no poles on $C$, set

$$
\phi(z)=\sigma \Pi\left(z-\alpha_{i}\right) \Pi\left(z-\beta_{i}\right) \Pi\left(z-\gamma_{i}\right)^{-1} \Pi\left(z-\delta_{i}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|<1,\left|\beta_{i}\right|>1,\left|\gamma_{i}\right|<1,\left|\delta_{i}\right|>1, \sigma=$ const. Let

$$
\phi_{\rho}(z)=\sigma \Pi\left(z-\rho \alpha_{i}\right) \Pi\left(\rho z-\beta_{i}\right) \Pi\left(z-\rho \gamma_{i}\right)^{-1} \Pi\left(\rho z-\delta_{i}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Lemma 1 shows that $\phi_{\rho}^{\prime}$ has no zeros on $C$ for $0 \leqq \rho \leqq 1$. Since, evidently, $\phi_{\rho}^{\prime}$ is a continuous function of $(z, \rho)$ for $|z|=1,0 \leqq \rho \leqq 1$, we may apply Lemma 3 and conclude that

$$
(\mathfrak{R}-\mathfrak{P})\left(\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime},|z|<1\right)=(\mathfrak{R}-\mathfrak{P})\left(\phi_{0}{ }^{\prime},|z|<1\right) .
$$

However, $\phi_{0}(z)=\sigma z^{t}$, where $t=(\mathfrak{M}-\mathfrak{P})(\phi,|z|<1)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathfrak{R}-\mathfrak{P})\left(\phi^{\prime},|z|<1\right)=(\mathfrak{N}-\mathfrak{P})(\phi,|z|<1)-1, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields (1.1) due to the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{P}\left(\phi^{\prime}, E\right)=\mathfrak{P}(\phi, E)+\mathfrak{Q}(\phi, E), \\
Z:|z|<\infty, \mathfrak{R}\left(\phi^{\prime}, Z\right)=\mathfrak{R}(\phi, Z)+\mathfrak{Q}(\phi, Z)-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\phi=0$ or $\infty$ on $C$, we may write $k=h_{1} h_{2}, K=H_{1} H_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{M}\left(h_{1},|z| \neq 1\right) & =\mathfrak{N}\left(H_{1},|z| \neq 1\right)=\mathfrak{N}\left(h_{2},|z|=1\right) \\
& =\mathfrak{N}\left(H_{2},|z|=1\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and apply (3.1) and Lemma 3 to

$$
\Phi(\rho)=h_{1}(\rho z) h_{2}(z) / H_{1}(z / \rho) H_{2}(z), \quad \quad \rho>1
$$

We may complete the proof by choosing $\rho$ sufficiently near 1 .
Corollary 2. With $\phi$ defined as in Theorem 1 , let $\psi=1 / \phi$. Then, $\mathfrak{N}\left(\psi^{\prime},|z|>1\right)=\mathfrak{N}(\psi,|z|>1)+\mathfrak{Q}(1 / \psi,|z|=1)+\mathfrak{Q}(\psi,|z|>1)$.

Corollary 3. $\mathfrak{N}\left(\phi^{\prime},|z|>1\right)$ and $\mathfrak{N}\left(\psi^{\prime},|z|>1\right)$ are left unaltered if $\phi$ is multiplied by a polynomial all of whose zeros are within $C$.
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