

REMARKS ON PRIMITIVE IDEMPOTENTS IN COMPACT SEMIGROUPS WITH ZERO¹

R. J. KOCH

We recall that a mob is a Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication. A nonempty subset A of a mob X is a submob if $AA \subset A$. This note consists of an amplification of results of Numakura dealing with primitive idempotents in a compact mob X with zero (see definitions below). We discuss the properties of certain "fundamental" sets determined by primitive idempotents, namely the sets XeX , Xe , eX , and eXe , where e is a primitive idempotent. These are, respectively, the smallest (two-sided, left, right, bi-) ideal containing e . Included in Theorem 1 is a characterization of a primitive idempotent in terms of its "fundamental" sets. There then follow some remarks on the structure of the smallest ideal containing the set of all primitive idempotents.

Finally, if e is a nonzero primitive idempotent of the compact connected mob X with zero, then the set of nilpotent elements of X is dense in each of the "fundamental" sets determined by e .

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the advice and helpful criticism of W. M. Faucett and A. D. Wallace.

We shall assume throughout most of this note that X is a compact mob with zero (0). For $a \in X$ we denote by $\Gamma(a)$ the closure of the set of positive powers of a , and by $K(a)$ the minimal (closed) ideal of $\Gamma(a)$. $K(a)$ is known to be a (topological) group and consists of the cluster points of the set of powers of a ([3; 5]; these results depend only on the compactness of $\Gamma(a)$). Also $\Gamma(a)$ contains exactly one idempotent, e , and if $e=0$ then the powers of a converge to 0. An element a is termed nilpotent if its powers converge to 0, and we denote by N the set of all nilpotent elements of X . A subset A of X is termed *nil* if $A \subset N$. An idempotent e of X is *primitive* if $g = g^2 \in eXe$ implies $g=0$ or $g=e$. Recall that a subset A of X is a *bi-ideal* if (1) $AA \subset A$ and (2) $AXA \subset A$ [2; 3].

LEMMA 1. *Let e be an idempotent of the compact mob X and denote by $\mathcal{M}(e)$ the collection of sets Xf_α , where f_α is an idempotent of XeX . Let $\mathcal{M}(e)$ be partially ordered by inclusion; then Xe is a maximal member of $\mathcal{M}(e)$.*

Presented to the Society, November 28, 1953; received by the editors February 18, 1954.

¹ A portion of this work was done under Contract N7-onr-434, Task Order III, Navy department, Office of Naval Research.

PROOF. Suppose f is an idempotent in XeX and $Xe \subset Xf$. Then there are elements a, b of X so that $f = aeb$ and we may assume $ae = a, eb = b$. Now $Xe \subset Xf$ implies $e \in Xf, ef = e$; hence for each positive integer $n, a^n e b^n = a^{n-1} e a e b b^{n-1} = a^{n-1} e f b^{n-1} = a^{n-1} e b^{n-1} = \dots = aeb = f$. Hence there is an idempotent $g \in \Gamma(a)$ and an element $h \in \Gamma(b)$ so that $f = geh$ [5]. We note $ge = g$, hence $f = gf = (ge)f = g(ef) = ge = g$ and $f = g = ge = fe$. Hence $f \in Xe$ and $Xf \subset Xe$, completing the proof.

We remark that the arguments used in the proof of the lemma are due to Rees [7] and Numakura [5].

COROLLARY. *Let e be an idempotent of the compact mob X ; then eXe is maximal among the sets fXf where $f^2 = f \in XeX$.*

PROOF. Suppose $f \in XeX$ and $eXe \subset fXf$. Then $ef = e = fe$ and $e \in Xf$; hence $Xe \subset Xf$ so $Xe = Xf$ by the theorem. Hence $f = fe = e$ and $eXe = fXf$.

LEMMA 2. *Let M be a left (right, two-sided, bi-) ideal of a mob X and suppose $a \in M$ with $\Gamma(a)$ compact; then $\Gamma(a) \subset M$.*

PROOF. We give the proof for a bi-ideal M ; the other proofs are similar. Since M is a mob, the set of powers of a is contained in M . Now $aK(a)a \subset MXM \subset M$ and $aK(a)a \subset K(a)$ since $K(a)$ is an ideal in $\Gamma(a)$. Hence $K(a) \cap M \neq \emptyset$, so that $K(a) \subset M$ since no group can properly contain a bi-ideal. It follows that $\Gamma(a) \subset M$.

LEMMA 3. *Let X be a mob with zero and suppose $a \in X$ with $\Gamma(a)$ locally compact; then $a \notin N$ implies $\Gamma(a) \cap N = \emptyset$.*

PROOF. Suppose $x \in \Gamma(a) \cap N$; then $\{x^n\}$ converges to 0, so $0 \in \Gamma(x) \subset \Gamma(a)$. Hence $\Gamma(a)$ has a minimal ideal and we have from [3] that $\Gamma(a)$ is compact. Since $\Gamma(a) \cap N \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $K(a) \cap N \neq \emptyset$, hence $K(a) = 0$ and $a \in N$, a contradiction.

THEOREM 1. *Let e be a nonzero idempotent of the compact mob X with zero; then these are equivalent:*

- (1) e is primitive.
- (2) $(eXe) \setminus N$ is a group.
- (3) eXe is a minimal non-nil bi-ideal.
- (4) Xe is a minimal non-nil left ideal.
- (5) XeX is a minimal non-nil ideal.
- (6) each idempotent of XeX is primitive.

PROOF. (1) implies (2). We first show $(eXe) \setminus N$ is a mob. Since e is assumed primitive, $(eXe) \setminus N$ has a unit e and no other idempotents. Suppose $a, b \in (eXe) \setminus N$ and $ab \in N$; we claim $Xa = Xb = Xe$. Accord-

ing to [3] there is an idempotent $f \in \Gamma(a)$ such that $\bigcap_n Xa^n = Xf$. Now $a \notin N$ implies (Lemma 3) $\Gamma(a) \cap N = \emptyset$, hence $e \in \Gamma(a)$ and $e = f$. Therefore $\bigcap_n Xa^n = Xe$, so $Xa \supset Xe$. Since $a \in eXe \subset Xe$, $Xa \subset Xe$ and the claim is established for a . Similar arguments establish the claim for b . Now using the claim and the fact that e is a unit for a and b one may verify that $X(ab)^n = Xe$ for each positive integer n . Hence $Xe = \bigcap_n X(ab)^n = Xf$ for some idempotent f in $\Gamma(ab)$ (see [3]); but $ab \in N$ implies $f = 0$, $Xe = 0$, and $e = 0$, a contradiction. This shows $(eXe) \setminus N$ is a mob. For $y \in (eXe) \setminus N$ we conclude as above that $e \in \Gamma(y)$; since e is a unit for y it follows that $K(y) = \Gamma(y)$ is a group [3] contained in $(eXe) \setminus N$ by Lemmas 3 and 2. Hence y has an inverse in $(eXe) \setminus N$, completing the proof of (2).

(2) implies (3). Let M be a non-nil bi-ideal of X contained in eXe and choose $a \in M \setminus N$; then $aXa \subset M \subset eXe$. Let f be a nonzero idempotent in aXa ; then since $(eXe) \setminus N$ is a group and $f \notin N$, $f = e \in M$. Hence $eXe \subset MXM \subset M$.

(3) implies (4). Let P be a non-nil left ideal of X contained in Xe and choose $a \in P \setminus N$. Then there is a nonzero idempotent $f \in \Gamma(a)$, and $Xf \subset P$. Hence $eXf \subset eP = ePe \subset eXe$. Now since $f \in Xe$, $f = fe$ and $(ef)(ef) = e(fe)f = eff = ef$ so that ef is idempotent. Note that $ef \notin N$, for otherwise $ef = 0$ and $f = (fe)f = f(ef) = 0$. Therefore eXf is a non-nil bi-ideal and hence coincides with eXe . Since $f \in P$, $eXe = eXf \subset P$ and we conclude $e \in P$, $Xe \subset P$.

(4) implies (5). Let M be a non-nil ideal of X contained in XeX , and let f be a nonzero idempotent in M . Then there are elements a, b , of X so that $f = aeb$. Let $g = bae$; then $g^2 = baebae = bfae$ and $g^3 = g^2$. Note that $bf \neq 0$, since otherwise $f = aeb = aebf = 0$. Also $g^2bf = bfaebf = bf$; hence $g^2 \neq 0$, otherwise $bf = 0$. Now $g^2 \in XfX$ and $g^2 \in Xe$, so by (4), $Xe = Xg^2 \subset XfX$ and we conclude $e \in XfX$, $XeX \subset M$.

(5) implies (6). Let f be a nonzero idempotent of XeX and suppose g is a nonzero idempotent with $g \in fXf$ (hence $gXg \subset fXf$). Since $f, g \in XeX$ we have $XgX = XfX = XeX$ and $f \in XgX$. It follows from the corollary to Lemma 1, then, that $gXg = fXf$, hence $g = f$ and f is primitive.

(6) clearly implies (1), completing the proof of the theorem.

Several of the above implications have been demonstrated by Numakura [6].

COROLLARY 1. *Let e be a primitive idempotent of the compact mob X with zero. Then $(Xe) \setminus N$ and $(Xe) \cap N$ are submobs and $(Xe) \setminus N$ is the disjoint union of the maximal (closed) groups $(e_\alpha X e_\alpha) \setminus N$ where e_α runs over the nonzero idempotents of Xe .*

PROOF. Suppose $a, b \in (Xe) \setminus N$ and $ab \in N$. Since Xe is a minimal non-nil left ideal, we know that $Xa = Xe = Xb$. Then as in the proof of (1) implies (2) we conclude $Xe = 0$, a contradiction.

Suppose $a, b \in (Xe) \cap N$ and $ab \notin N$. Then $(ab)^2 \in Xab$ and $(ab)^2 \notin N$, otherwise $ab \in N$ [5, Lemma 3]. Hence $Xab = Xe$ by the theorem; since $a \in Xe$, $Xa \subset Xe$. We have a right translate of Xa filling all of Xe , so according to [3, Corollary 2.2.1] there is an idempotent f in $\Gamma(b)$ which is a right unit for Xe . However $b \in N$ implies $f = 0$ so that $Xe = 0$, a contradiction.

Finally, pick $a \in (Xe) \setminus N$; by the theorem we have $Xa = Xe$ and by Lemma 3 we have $\Gamma(a) \cap N = \emptyset$. Choose an idempotent f in $\Gamma(a)$; then $Xe = Xf$ so that f is a right unit for Xe . Hence $\Gamma(a)$ is a group, showing that $Xe \setminus N$ is the union of groups. For any nonzero idempotent $e_\alpha \in Xe$, $Xe_\alpha = Xe$ so that e_α is primitive and $(e_\alpha Xe_\alpha) \setminus N$ is a group. Now the maximal group [9] containing e_α is contained in $e_\alpha Xe_\alpha$; moreover, since any group which meets N must be zero, we conclude that $(e_\alpha Xe_\alpha) \setminus N$ is a maximal group. This is closed by the compactness of X , completing the proof.

In [6] Numakura shows that if M is a minimal non-nil ideal, and if J is the largest ideal of X contained in N , then $M - (J \cap M)$, the difference semigroup in the sense of Rees [7], is completely simple (i.e. simple with each idempotent primitive). It follows that $M \setminus N$ is the disjoint union of isomorphic groups, and $M \setminus J = \cup [(Xe_\alpha) \setminus J]$ where e_α runs over the nonzero idempotents in M . It would be of interest to know more of the multiplication in $M \setminus J$. Corollary 1 aims in this direction. If \tilde{E} represents the set of primitive idempotents of the compact mob X with zero, then $(X\tilde{E}X) \setminus J = (X\tilde{E}) \setminus J$ and $(X\tilde{E}X) \setminus N$ is the disjoint union of groups. (In this connection, see also [1].) At this writing it is not known whether or not \tilde{E} must be a closed set.

As shown in [6], if N is open then there exists a nonzero primitive idempotent. According to Corollary 1, the condition that N be open may be weakened as follows:

COROLLARY 2. *Let X be a compact mob with zero; then X contains a nonzero primitive idempotent if and only if there is a nonzero idempotent e with $(eXe) \setminus N$ closed.*

PROOF. If f is a nonzero primitive idempotent of X , then $(fXf) \setminus N$ is a maximal group and hence is closed. On the other hand, if $(eXe) \setminus N$ is closed and $e \neq 0$, then since the set of nilpotent elements of eXe is $(eXe) \cap N$, we conclude from [6] that eXe contains a nonzero primitive idempotent. Hence so does X , completing the proof.

A five element example due to R. P. Rich [8] serves to illustrate these results; J. G. Wendel has given the following matrix representation of Rich's example:

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This can be modified to furnish a compact connected example, as follows. Let

$$X = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \delta & \omega \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where the entries are real numbers between -1 and 1 inclusive. Here N is the totality of those matrices with main diagonal entries in the open interval $(-1, 1)$; J , the largest ideal of X contained in N , is the totality of those matrices with every entry lying in the open interval $(-1, 1)$. It can be shown that $X - J$ is completely simple. If e is one of the four idempotents

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $(Xe) \setminus J$ consists of two disjoint two-element groups. If e is any other nonzero idempotent, then $(Xe) \setminus J$ consists of one two-element group and one two-element subset whose square lies in J .

THEOREM 2. *Let e be a primitive idempotent of the compact connected mob X with zero; then $(eXe) \cap N$ is dense in eXe (hence $(Xe) \cap N$ is dense in Xe and $(X\tilde{E}) \cap N$ is dense in $X\tilde{E}$).*

PROOF. We denote the compact mob eXe by Y , let $N_1 = Y \cap N$, and note that N_1 is open in Y in view of Corollary 1 of Theorem 1. Denote by L the largest left ideal of Y contained in N_1 ; since N_1 is open in Y , so is L [4]. Since L^* (stars denote closure) is a left ideal of Y it follows from the connectedness of Y that $L^* \cap (Y \setminus N) \neq \emptyset$. Hence there is a nonzero idempotent in $L^* \cap (Y \setminus N)$, and this must be e . Therefore $(eXe)e = eXe \subset L^* \subset N_1^*$ so that $(eXe) \cap N$ is dense in eXe . The remainder of the theorem follows from Corollary 1 and the remarks which follow it.

In conclusion we remark that the results of this note can be extended as follows. Let M be an ideal of the mob X . We define an idem-

potent e to be M -primitive if the only idempotents in eXe either coincide with e or else belong to M . Then by replacing N by $N_M \equiv \{a: \Gamma(a) \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$, the results obtained here for primitive idempotents hold for M -primitive idempotents with obvious modifications in statements and proofs; here we need not assume the existence of a zero.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford, *Semigroups without nilpotent ideals*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 71 (1949) pp. 834-844.
2. R. A. Good and D. R. Hughes, *Associated groups for a semigroup*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Abstract 58-6-575.
3. R. J. Koch, *On topological semigroups*, Tulane University Dissertation, 1953.
4. R. J. Koch and A. D. Wallace, *Maximal ideals in topological semigroups* (to appear in Duke Math J.)
5. K. Numakura, *On bicomact semigroups*, Mathematical Journal of Okayama University vol. 1 (1952) pp. 99-108.
6. ———, *On bicomact semigroups with zero*, Bulletin of the Yamagata University no. 4 (1951) pp. 405-411.
7. D. Rees, *On semigroups*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. vol. 36 (1940) pp. 387-400.
8. R. P. Rich, *Completely simple ideals of a semigroup*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 71 (1949) pp. 883-885.
9. A. D. Wallace, *A note on mobs II*, Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias vol. 25 (1953) pp. 335-336.

THE TULANE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AND
LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY