

(a) $((a+1)/z)a + (z-3)a$ when $a \equiv z-1 \pmod{z}$ and $a \geq z^2 - 5z + 3$,

(b) $[(a+1)/z](a+z) + (z-3)a$

when $a \not\equiv z-1 \pmod{z}$ and $a \geq z^2 - 4z + 2$.

We omit the proof of this result as it is rather long.

It is not hard to find the desired N for specific triples of numbers. For instance when $a_0, a_1 = a_0 + 2, a_2 = a_0 + 3$ we find the value of N to be $[x/3] \cdot x + 2 + x$. If the largest of a_0, a_1, a_2 is sufficiently larger than the other two and those two are relatively prime then the N is easily determined also. In fact if $a_0 < a_1 < a_2$ and $(a_0, a_1) = 1$ and $a_2 > (a_0 - 1)(a_1 - 1) - a_0$ then $N = (a_0 - 1)(a_1 - 1)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Brauer, *On a problem of partitions*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 64 (1942) pp. 299-312.
2. J. B. Roberts, *Interaction of cycles*, Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics vol. 10 (1948) pp. 123-129.

REED COLLEGE

ON THE INFINITUDE OF PRIMITIVE k -NONDEFICIENTS

HERBERT A. BERNHARD

H. N. Shapiro has defined [1] a k -nondeficient as an integer n which satisfies

$$(1) \quad \sigma(n)/n \geq k \quad (k \text{ real})$$

where $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of the divisors of n . Integers n which do not satisfy (1) are called k -deficient. A primitive k -nondeficient is defined as a k -nondeficient, all of whose proper divisors are k -deficient. In the same paper, Shapiro shows that, in order for an infinite number of primitive k -nondeficients to exist, it is necessary that k be of the form

$$(2) \quad \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^{\alpha_i+1} - 1}{(p_i - 1)p_i^{\alpha_i}} \prod_{i=m+1}^n \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}$$

or, written another way,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\sigma(p_i^{\alpha_i})}{p_i^{\alpha_i}} \prod_{i=m+1}^n \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}$$

where $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n$ are distinct primes and $0 \leq m \leq n$. In this note we show that, for every k of the form (2), an infinite number of

Received by the editors May 26, 1955.

primitive k -nondeficients of $n+1$ distinct prime factors do exist.

Given a k of the form (2) with $n \neq m$, consider any number

$$N_x = q_x \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=m+1}^n p_i^{\beta_i},$$

where

(a) the p_i ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) and the α_i ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots, m$) are derived from k ,

(b) the β_i are chosen sufficiently large so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(N_x/q_x \cdot p_i)}{N_x/q_x \cdot p_i} & (i=1, 2, 3, \dots, m) \\ & < \frac{\sigma(N_x/q_x \cdot p_i)}{N_x/q_x \cdot p_i} \quad (i=m+1, m+2, m+3, \dots, n) \end{aligned}$$

and

(c) q_x is a prime distinct from the p_i and chosen sufficiently large so that $\sigma(N_x)/N_x < k$. We shall show that N_x will become primitive k -nondeficient when multiplied by some factor of the form $\prod_{i=m+1}^n p_i^{\gamma_i}$, not all $\gamma_i=0$. And, since the choice of numbers q_x is infinite, the process gives rise to the infinite set of primitive k -nondeficients we seek.

Consider the number $N_x(s)$ formed from N_x by increasing each β_i by some arbitrary number s . If s is sufficiently large, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma[N_x(s)]}{N_x(s)} &= \frac{q_x + 1}{q_x} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^{\alpha_i+1} - 1}{(p_i - 1)p_i^{\alpha_i}} \prod_{i=m+1}^n \frac{p_i^{\beta_i+s+1} - 1}{(p_i - 1)p_i^{\beta_i+s}} \\ &> \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^{\alpha_i+1} - 1}{(p_i - 1)p_i^{\alpha_i}} \prod_{i=m+1}^n \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \equiv k, \end{aligned}$$

and $N_x(s)$ is k -nondeficient.

It is readily shown that $N_x(s)$ has at least one primitive k -nondeficient divisor. And, by condition (b) on our choice of N_x and the fact that $\sigma(p^\delta)/p^\delta$ is less than $p/(p-1)$ for any p, δ , one such divisor is of necessity a multiple of $q_x \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Thus it can be found by the process of first testing for deficiency the numbers $N_x(s)/p_{m+1}$, $N_x(s)/p_{m+1}^2$, $N_x(s)/p_{m+1}^3, \dots, N_x(s)/p_{m+1}^j, \dots, (j \leq \beta_{m+1} + s)$ until a deficient one is found, say $N_x(s)/p_{m+1}^{\eta_1}$. (η_1 will mean $\beta_{m+1} + s + 1$ if no deficient number turns up.) The same test is then applied in turn to

$$N_x(s)/p_{m+1}^{\eta_1-1}$$

with powers of p_{m+2} , to

$$N_x(s)/(p_{m+1}^{\eta_1-1} \cdot p_{m+2}^{\eta_2-1})$$

with powers of p_{m+i} , \dots , and finally to $N_x(s)/\prod_{i=1}^{n-m-1} p_{m+i}^{\eta_i-1}$ with powers of p_n . The number we seek is $N_x(s)/\prod_{i=1}^{n-m} p_{m+i}^{\eta_i-1}$.

For the case of a k of the form (2) with $n=m$, an infinite set of primitive k -nondeficients is easy to find—for example, the set of all numbers $N_x = q_x \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{\alpha_i}$ where the q_x are sufficiently large to insure primitiveness and the p_i, α_i stem from k .

REFERENCE

1. Harold N. Shapiro, *Note on a theorem of Dickson*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 55 (1949) pp. 450-452.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

A CLASS OF SIMPLE MOUFANG LOOPS

LOWELL J. PAIGE

1. Introduction. A Moufang loop is a loop that satisfies the associative identities

$$(M) \quad xy \cdot zx = x(yz \cdot x); \quad x(y \cdot xz) = (xy \cdot x)z; \quad (zx \cdot y)x = z(x \cdot yx).$$

The only known examples of simple Moufang loops are the simple groups. In the present paper we will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let R be a simple alternative, not-associative, ring possessing an idempotent not its unit element. Let L be the loop of all regular elements of R and let Z be the center of L . Then either L/Z is a simple, not-associative, Moufang loop or L/Z contains a simple, not-associative, Moufang subloop M which is a normal subloop of index 2.*

As we shall see in the course of our proof, the present theorem is a nonassociative analogue of the well known results on the special projective group $PSL(n, K)$ (see [4, p. 44]).

In §5, we shall prove that the Cayley-Dickson numbers of norm 1 over the real field R^* (modulo their center) are simple and indicate how this is the best possible result.

Our results will yield finite, not-associative, simple Moufang loops whose possible orders are $(2^{7n} - 2^{3n})$ and $2^{-1}(p^{7n} - p^{3n})$ if p is an odd prime. Thus we obtain a simple, not-associative, Moufang loop of order 120.

Although we have tried to make this paper reasonably self-contained, some of the results by Bruck (2) on Moufang loops will be used without reference.

Presented to the Society, September 2, 1955; received by the editors May 30, 1955.