# ON THE CONFORMAL MAPPING OF NEARLYCIRCULAR DOMAINS ${ }^{1}$ 

## ZEEV NEHARI AND VIKRAMADITYA SINGH

Let $D$ be a simply-connected domain in the complex $z$-plane bounded by a smooth Jordan curve $C$, and denote by $F(z)=F(z, \xi)$ the analytic function which maps $D$ conformally onto the unit disk and satisfies the additional conditions $F(\xi)=0, F^{\prime}(\xi)>0$. It is well known $[1 ; 4]$ that $F(z)$ and the Szegö kernel function $K(z, \xi)$ of $D$ are connected by the relation

$$
4 \pi^{2} K^{2}(z, \xi)=F^{\prime}(z) F^{\prime}(\xi)
$$

Accordingly, the conformal mapping of $D$ onto the unit disk can be carried out if the function $K(z, \xi)$ is known.

The only property of $K(z, \xi)$ which we shall use is the identity [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\xi)=\int_{C}[K(z, \xi)]^{*} f(z) d s,^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for all functions $f(z)$ of $L^{2}(D)$, that is, functions which are regular in $D$ and for which $\int_{c}|f(z)|^{2} d s<\infty . K(z, \xi)$ is itself in $L^{2}(D)$ and it is, moreover, the only function of $L^{2}(D)$ with the reproducing property (1). The dependence of $K(z, \xi)$ on $\xi$ is shown by the identity $K(\xi, z)=[K(z, \xi)]^{*}$ which is easily derived from (1).

The object of this paper is the derivation of an approximation formula for $K(z, \xi)$ in the case in which $D$ is a nearly circular domain whose boundary has the equation $r=1+\epsilon p(\theta)$ in polar coordinates, where $\epsilon$ is a small positive quantity. While it is not difficult to obtain such a formula with the help of devices of the type used in the derivation of Hadamard's formula for the variation of the Green's function [ $1 ; 2$ ], the formula to be derived in this paper has the advantage of permitting the estimation of the maximum error committed in replacing $K(z, \xi)$ by its approximation.

The problem of estimating the error in approximation formulas for the conformal mapping of nearly-circular regions has been treated

[^0]by a number of writers, notably Warschawski [5, and literature quoted there], whose work is based on the consideration of certain integral equations. The methods of the present paper, which utilize the properties of the Szegö kernel function, represent a different approach to this problem.

Our main result is
Theorem I. Let $D$ be a domain bounded by a Jordan curve $C$ with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=1+\epsilon p(\theta), \quad 0 \leqq \theta \leqq 2 \pi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(\theta)$ and its derivative $p^{\prime}(\theta)$ are continuous, $p(\theta)>0, p(2 \pi)=p(0)$, $|p(\theta)| \leqq M,\left|p^{\prime}(\theta)\right| \leqq M^{\prime}$, and $\epsilon$ is a small positive quantity. If $K(z, \xi)$ denotes the Szegö kernel of $D$ and $|z| \leqq \eta,|\xi| \leqq \eta$, where $\eta$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \leqq 1-(2 \epsilon)^{1 / 2}\left(M\left(M+M^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(z, \xi)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{C} \frac{d s}{(z-t)\left(\xi^{*}-t^{*}\right)}+\epsilon^{2} R(z, \xi) \quad(d s=|d t|) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
|R(z, \xi)|^{2} \leqq \rho(|z|) \rho(|\xi|), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho(\eta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{\left(2 M+M^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{4}-4 \epsilon^{2} M\left(M+M^{\prime}\right)}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{4 M^{2}}{[1-\eta(1+\epsilon M)]^{2}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $z=\xi=0$, we have the particularly simple estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(0,0) \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that the assumption $p(\theta)>0$ is no restriction, since any curve which is near the unit circumference can be trivially transformed into one for which this assumption holds.

For the proof of Theorem I we shall need the following two lemmas:
Lemma I. If $\Gamma(z, \xi)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z, \xi)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{C} \frac{d s}{(z-t)\left(\xi^{*}-t^{*}\right)}, \quad z, \xi \in D \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\xi, \xi) \geqq K(\xi, \xi) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(9) $|\Gamma(z, \xi)-K(z, \xi)|^{2} \leqq[\Gamma(z, z)-K(z, z)][\Gamma(\xi, \xi)-K(\xi, \xi)]$.

Proof. By the residue theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
|\alpha|^{2} K(z, z) & +2 \operatorname{Re}\{\alpha K(z, \xi)\}+K(\xi, \xi) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c}\left[\alpha^{*} K(t, z)+K(t, \xi)\right]\left[\frac{\alpha}{t-z}+\frac{1}{t-\xi}\right] d t, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is an arbitrary constant. In view of (1), the left-hand side of (10) is equal to

$$
\int_{C}\left|\alpha^{*} K(t, z)+K(t, \xi)\right|^{2} d s
$$

Applying (7) and the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\alpha|^{2} K(z, z)+2 \operatorname{Re}\{\alpha K(z, \xi)\}+K(\xi, \xi) \\
& \leqq|\alpha|^{2} \Gamma(z, z)+2 \operatorname{Re}\{\alpha \Gamma(z, \xi)\}+\Gamma(\xi, \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence (8) and the discriminant inequality (9).
Lemma II. If $C, r$, and $p(\theta)$ have the same meaning as in Theorem I, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{C} \frac{d s}{r^{2}}- & {\left[\int_{C} d s\right]^{-1} } \\
& \leqq \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} p^{2}(\theta) \frac{d \theta}{r}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} p^{\prime 2}(\theta)\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{3}}\right) d \theta\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{C} \frac{d s}{r^{2}} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{r}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon^{2} p^{\prime 2}(\theta)}{r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} d \theta \leqq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{r}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon^{2} p^{\prime 2}(\theta)}{2 r^{2}}\right)^{d \theta} \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{1+\epsilon p(\theta)}+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{p^{\prime 2}(\theta)}{r^{3}} d \theta \\
& =2 \pi-\epsilon \int_{0}^{2 \pi} p(\theta) d \theta+\epsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{p^{2}(\theta)}{r} d \theta+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{p^{\prime 2}(\theta)}{r^{3}} d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left[\int_{C} d s\right]^{-1} & =-\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} r\left(1+\frac{\epsilon^{2} p^{\prime 2}(\theta)}{r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} d \theta\right]^{-1} \\
& \leqq-\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(1+\epsilon p(\theta)+\frac{\epsilon^{2} p^{\prime 2}(\theta)}{2 r}\right) d \theta\right]^{-1} \\
& \leqq-\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{\epsilon}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} p(\theta) d \theta+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{p^{\prime 2}(\theta)}{r} d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these two inequalities, we obtain (11).
We now enter upon the proof of Theorem I. If $|\xi| \leqq \eta$, where $\eta$ satisfies the inequality (3), then it is easily confirmed that $|\xi|(1+\epsilon M)$ $<1$. The point $\left(\xi^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is therefore outside $D$, and the function $\left(1-\xi^{*} z\right)^{-1}$ belongs to $L^{2}(D)$. We may thus apply the identity (1). This yields

$$
\frac{1}{1-|\xi|^{2}}=\int_{C}[K(z, \xi)]^{*} \frac{d s_{z}}{1-\xi^{*} z}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)^{2}} & \leqq \int_{C}|K(z, \xi)|^{2} d s_{z} \int_{C} \frac{d s_{z}}{\left|1-\xi^{*} z\right|^{2}} \\
& =K(\xi, \xi) \int_{C} \frac{d s_{z}}{\left|1-\xi^{*} z\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Gamma(z, \xi)$ is the function defined in (7), it thus follows from (8) that

$$
0 \leqq \Gamma(\xi, \xi)-K(\xi, \xi)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqq \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{C} \frac{d s}{|z-\xi|^{2}}-\left[\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)^{2} \int_{C} \frac{d s}{\left|1-\xi^{*} z\right|^{2}}\right]^{-1} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $\xi=0$, the right-hand side of (12) reduces to the quantity estimated in Lemma II. In view of $r \geqq 1,|p(\theta)| \leqq M,\left|p^{\prime}(\theta)\right| \leqq M^{\prime}$, we may therefore conclude from (11) and (12) that

$$
0 \leqq \Gamma(0,0)-K(0,0) \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right]
$$

Because of the definition (7), this proves (6).
To prove Theorem I in the general case, we have to find a similar estimate for the right-hand side of (12) if $\xi \neq 0$. To this end, we introduce the linear transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=(z-\xi) /\left(1-\xi^{*} z\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(1-\xi^{*} z\right)^{2} d w=\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right) d z$, we have

$$
\int_{C} \frac{d s_{z}}{\left|1-\xi^{*} z\right|^{2}}=\frac{1}{1-|\xi|^{2}} \int_{C^{\prime}} d s_{w}
$$

and

$$
\int_{C} \frac{d s_{z}}{|z-\xi|^{2}}=\int_{C}\left|\frac{1-\xi^{*} z}{z-\xi}\right|^{2} \frac{d s_{z}}{\left|1-\xi^{*} z\right|^{2}}=\frac{1}{1-|\xi|^{2}} \int_{C^{\prime}} \frac{d s_{w}}{|w|^{2}}
$$

where $C^{\prime}$ is the curve into which $C$ is transformed by (13). (12) is therefore equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq \Gamma(\xi, \xi)-K(\xi, \xi) \leqq \frac{1}{1-|\xi|^{2}}\left\{\int_{C^{\prime}} \frac{d s_{w}}{|w|^{2}}-\left[\int_{C^{\prime}} d s_{w}\right]^{-1}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression on the right-hand side of (14) is-except for the factor $\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)^{-1}$-identical with the quantity estimated in Lemma II, with $C$ replaced by $C^{\prime}$. If $r=1+\epsilon q(\theta)$ is the polar equation of $C_{w}$, it thus follows from (14) and (11) that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leqq \Gamma(\xi, \xi)-K(\xi, \xi) \\
& \leqq \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)}\left[\int_{C^{\prime}} q_{2}(\theta) \frac{d \theta}{r}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{C^{\prime}} q^{\prime 2}(\theta)\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{3}}\right) d \theta\right] . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of $|\xi|(1+\epsilon M)<1$, the curve $C^{\prime}$ surrounds a finite region in the $w$-plane in the positive sense. Since $C$ is in the annulus $1<z \leqq 1$ $+\epsilon M, C^{\prime}$ will be in $1 \leqq w \leqq 1+\epsilon M(1+|\xi|)[1-|\xi|(1+\epsilon M)]^{-1}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq q(\theta) \leqq \frac{M(1+|\xi|)}{1-|\xi|(1+\epsilon M)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next have to obtain an estimate for $q^{\prime}(\theta)$. Elementary geometric considerations show that $\epsilon q^{\prime}(\theta)=r \tan \alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the angle between the radius-vector from the origin to the point $w=e^{i \theta}[1+\epsilon q(\theta)]$, and the normal to $C^{\prime}$ at the point $w$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2} q^{\prime 2}(\theta)=r^{2} \tan ^{2} \alpha \leqq r^{2} \tan ^{2} \alpha_{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}=\max |\alpha|$ for $0 \leqq \theta<2 \pi$. To obtain an upper bound for $\alpha$, we have to express this angle in terms of geometric quantities related to the $z$-plane. In view of (13), a straight line through $w=0$ corresponds to a circle through $z=\xi$ which is orthogonal to $|z|=1$. Since the map (13) is conformal, $\alpha$ will thus be the angle between the normal to $C$-at a point $z_{0}$, say-and the orthogonal circle to $|z|=1$ which passes through $\xi$ and $z_{0}$. Such a circle has an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{\xi+\kappa t}{1+\xi^{*} \kappa t} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is a constant such that $|\kappa|=1$, and $t$ is a real parameter. If $z$ moves along this circle from $\xi$ to $z_{0}, t$ varies from 0 to a value $t_{0}>1$; evidently, the circle intersects $|z|=1$ for $t=1$. If we denote by $\beta$ the angle between the normal to $C$ at $z_{0}$ and the radius-vector from the origin to $z=z_{0}$, and by $\delta$ the angle between this radius-vector and the orthogonal circle $C_{0}$, then $\alpha$ will be the algebraic sum of $\beta$ and $\delta$.

To compute $\delta$, we observe that

$$
\delta=\left[\arg \frac{d z}{z}\right]_{z=z_{0}}, \quad z \in C_{0}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\delta & =\arg \frac{\left(1+\xi^{*} \kappa t\right)(\xi+\kappa t)}{\kappa t} \\
& =\arg \left\{\left(\kappa^{*}+\xi^{*} t\right)(\xi+\kappa t)\right\} \\
& =\arg \left\{t\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)+\left(1+t^{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{\kappa^{*} \xi\right\}-i\left(t^{2}-1\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\kappa^{*} \xi\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \delta=\frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right) \operatorname{Im}\left\{\kappa^{*} \xi\right\}}{t\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)+\left(1+t^{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{\kappa^{*} \xi\right\}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi| t<1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and maximizing the right-hand side of (19) for all possible values of $\arg \{\kappa\}$, we find that

$$
|\tan \delta| \leqq \frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right)|\xi|}{\left(t^{2}\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)^{2}-|\xi|^{2}\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

Setting, in accordance with (18),

$$
t=\left|\frac{z-\xi}{1-\xi^{*} z}\right|
$$

we obtain

$$
|\tan \delta| \leqq \frac{|\xi|\left(|z|^{2}-1\right)}{\left(|z-\xi|^{2}\left|1-\xi^{*} z\right|^{2}-|\xi|^{2}\left(|z|^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

or, in view of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid(z-\xi)(1- & \left.\xi^{*} z\right)\left.\right|^{2} \\
& \geqq(|z|-|\xi|)^{2}(1-|\xi||z|)^{2} \\
= & |z|^{2}(1-|\xi|)^{4}-2|\xi|\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)|z|(|z|-1)^{2} \\
& +|\xi|^{2}\left(|z|^{2}-1\right)^{2}, \\
|\tan \delta| \leqq & \frac{|\xi|\left(|z|^{2}-1\right)}{\left(|z|^{2}(1-|\xi|)^{4}-2|\xi||z|\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)(|z|-1)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, by (2), $r=|z| \leqq 1+\epsilon M$, this leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tan \delta| \leqq \frac{\epsilon M(1+r)}{\left(r^{2}(1-\eta)^{4}-2 \epsilon^{2} M^{2} r \eta\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta \geqq|\xi|$. This estimate is valid if the expression under the radical is positive, which is certainly the case if (3) is satisfied. If (3) holds, the condition (20) will hold a fortiori.

The angle $\alpha$-whose maximum appears in the inequality (17)-is the algebraic sum of $\delta$ and the angle $\beta=\operatorname{arc} \tan \left\{\epsilon p^{\prime}(\theta) / r\right\}$, where $r=|z|=1+\epsilon p(\theta)$. In view of (21), it follows therefore that

$$
|\tan \alpha| \leqq \frac{\sigma+\tau /\left(A^{2}-B^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{1-\sigma \tau /\left(A^{2}-B^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

where the abbreviations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\epsilon M^{\prime} / r, & \tau & =\epsilon M(1+r), \\
A^{2} & =r^{2}(1-\eta)^{4}, & B^{2} & =2 \epsilon^{2} M^{2} r \eta\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

have been employed. Squaring, and using the inequality $A\left(A^{2}-B^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ $\geqq A^{2}-B^{2}(A>B, A>0)$, we obtain after some simplifications

$$
\tan ^{2} \alpha \leqq \frac{(\sigma A+\tau)^{2}-\sigma^{2} B^{2}}{(A-\sigma \tau)^{2}-B^{2}} \leqq \frac{(\sigma A+\tau)^{2}}{A^{2}-B^{2}-2 A \sigma \tau}
$$

Because of $0 \leqq \eta<1, r \eta<1$, we have $(1-\eta)^{2}<1$ and $\eta(1+r)=\eta+\eta r$ <2. Hence,

$$
\sigma A+\tau=\epsilon\left[M^{\prime}(1-\eta)^{2}+M \eta(1+r)\right] \leqq \epsilon\left(M^{\prime}+2 M\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{2}-B^{2} & -2 A \sigma \tau \\
& =r\left[r(1-\eta)^{4}-2 \epsilon^{2} \eta M\left\{M\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)+M^{\prime}(1-\eta)^{2}\left(1+r^{-1}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& \geqq r\left[(1-\eta)^{4}-4 \epsilon^{2} M\left(M+M^{\prime}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may thus conclude from (17) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{3}}\right) q^{\prime 2}(\theta) & \leqq \frac{\epsilon^{2} q^{\prime 2}(\theta)}{r} \leqq r \tan ^{2} \alpha \\
& \leqq \frac{\epsilon^{2}\left(2 M+M^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{4}-4 \epsilon^{2} M\left(M+M^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (15) and (16), we finally arrive at the inequality

$$
0 \leqq \Gamma(\xi, \xi)-K(\xi, \xi)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqq \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2 \pi\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\left(2 M+M^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{4}-4 \epsilon^{2} M\left(M+M^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{4 M^{2}}{[1-\eta(1+\epsilon M)]^{2}}\right] . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of (22) is identical with that of ( $5^{\prime}$ ), except for the factor $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{2}$. Since, in view of (4) and (7), $\epsilon^{2} R(z, \xi)=K(z, \xi)$ $-\Gamma(z, \xi)$, the proof of Theorem I now follows from (5) and Lemma I.

We finally remark that Lemma II will also yield the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq \frac{1}{d}-\frac{2 \pi}{L} \leqq \epsilon^{2}\left(M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the outer conformal radius $d$ of the domain $D$ [3], where $L$ denotes the length of the curve $C$. The outer conformal radius is defined by the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=F(z)=\frac{z}{d}+a_{0}+\frac{a_{1}}{z}+\cdots \tag{d>0}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the function mapping the complement of $D$ onto $w>1$. Since $D$ does not contain the point $z=0$, it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{d}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} F(z) \frac{d z}{z^{2}},
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d} \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{C} \frac{d s}{r^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
d=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{d z}{F(z)},
$$

and therefore [3]

$$
d \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{C} d s
$$

Combining this with (24), we obtain

$$
0 \leqq \frac{1}{d}-\frac{2 \pi}{L} \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{C} \frac{d s}{r^{2}}-2 \pi / \int_{C} d s
$$

Since $r \geqq 1$, it follows from Lemma II that the right-hand side is bounded by $\epsilon^{2}\left(M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right)$. This proves (23).
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