ON CLOSURE PROBLEMS AND THE ZEROS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION¹

NORMAN LEVINSON

1. In the memoirs of Wiener [7] on Tauberian theorems it is pointed out that the closure of the translations in $L(-\infty, \infty)$ of

$$e^{(\sigma-1)x}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{e^x}{e^{\alpha x}-1}\right)$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ to have no zeros on the line Re $s = \sigma$, $0 < \sigma < 1$.

Salem [4] using

$$(1-2^{1-s})\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s}$$

in place of $\zeta(s)$ shows that another necessary and sufficient condition is that, if f(x) is a bounded measurable function on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{e^{ax}+1} f(x) dx = 0$$

for all a ($0 < a < \infty$) should imply that f is zero almost everywhere. Here somewhat different conditions will be considered.

THEOREM I. Let λ_n be a positive increasing sequence such that

$$(1.0) \qquad \qquad \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} = \infty.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition that $\zeta(s)$ have no zeros in the strip $\sigma_1 < \text{Re } s < \sigma_2$, where $1/2 \le \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 \le 1$, is that given any $\epsilon > 0$ and α and β such that $\sigma_1 < \alpha < \beta < \sigma_2$ there exists an integer N and $\{a_n\}$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, (depending on ϵ , α and β) such that

(1.1)
$$\int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{1}^N a_n \frac{e^{-\lambda_n x}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_n x}} - e^{-x} \right)^2 (x^{2\alpha - 1} + x^{2\beta - 1}) dx < \epsilon.$$

A particular case of the above is with $\lambda_n = n$.

REMARK. It is rather trivial to show that if $(x^{2\alpha-1}+x^{2\beta-1})$ in (1.1)

Received by the editors September 1, 1955 and, in revised form, October 24, 1955.
¹ Sponsored in part by the Office of Naval Research.

is replaced by x^{2c-1} for any c, $1/2 \le c \le 1$, then the left side of (1.1) can always be made less than ϵ regardless of the location of zeros of $\zeta(s)$. (See end of paper.)

A result equivalent to Theorem I is the following.

THEOREM II. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\zeta(s)$ have no zeros in the strip $\sigma_1 < \text{Rl } s < \sigma_2$ is that for any $f(x) \in L^2(0, \infty)$ and α and β such that $\sigma_1 < \alpha < \beta < \sigma_2$,

(1.2)
$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda_n x}}{1+e^{-\lambda_n x}} (x^{\alpha-1/2}+x^{\beta-1/2})f(x)dx = 0, \qquad n=1, 2, \cdots$$

implies that f(x) is zero almost everywhere on $(0, \infty)$. Here λ_n satisfies (1.0) and $1/2 \le \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 \le 1$.

An immediate consequence of Theorem I is that a sufficient condition for $\zeta(s)$ to have no zeros in the strip (σ_1, σ_2) is that (1.1) hold with $\alpha = \sigma_1$ and $\beta = \sigma_2$. Similarly an immediate consequence of Theorem II is that a sufficient condition for $\zeta(s)$ to have no zeros in the strip (σ_1, σ_2) is that (1.2), with $\alpha = \sigma_1$ and $\beta = \sigma_2$, should imply f(x) zero almost everywhere. In the case of Theorem II this follows from the fact that

$$\frac{x^{\alpha-1/2}+x^{\beta-1/2}}{x^{\sigma_1-1/2}+x^{\sigma_2-1/2}}$$

is bounded on $(0, \infty)$ and of Theorem I from the boundedness of

$$(x^{2\alpha-1} + x^{2\beta-1})/(x^{2\sigma_1-1} + x^{2\sigma_2-1}).$$

It has been pointed out to the author that these results can be derived with the aid of [1; 2; 3]. However it appears desirable to give a self-contained derivation.

2. The proof that (1.1) is a sufficient condition for $\zeta(s)$ to have no zeros in the strip (σ_1, σ_2) is simple. Indeed for Re s > 0

(2.0)
$$\zeta(s)(1-2^{1-s})\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}} x^{s-1} dx.$$

Let $\zeta(s_0) = \zeta(\sigma_0 + it_0) = 0$ where $\sigma_1 < \sigma_0 < \sigma_2$. Then by (2.0) setting $x = \lambda_n y$ there follows

(2.1)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{n}y}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{n}y}} y^{\sigma_0 + it_0 - 1} dy = 0.$$

Take c small enough so that $\sigma_1 < \sigma_0 - c < \sigma_0 + c < \sigma_2$ and take $\alpha = \sigma_0 - c$ and $\beta = \sigma_0 + c$. Then from (1.1)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{1}^{N} a_{n} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{n} y}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{n} y}} - e^{y} \right)^{2} (y^{2\sigma_{0} - 2c - 1} + y^{2\sigma_{0} + 2c - 1}) dy < \epsilon$$

which gives

(2.2)
$$\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n \frac{e^{-\lambda_n y}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_n y}} - e^y \right)^2 y^{2\sigma_0 - 2c - 1} dy < \epsilon,$$

$$(2.3) \qquad \int_{1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{1}^{N} a_{n} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{n} y}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{n} y}} - e^{y} \right)^{2} y^{2\sigma_{0} + 2c - 1} dy < \epsilon.$$

From (2.1)

$$(2.4) \quad -\Gamma(\sigma_0 + it_0) = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\sum_{1}^N a_n \frac{e^{-\lambda_n y}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_n y}} - e^{-y} \right) y^{\sigma_0 + it_0 - 1} dy.$$

Writing the integral above as an integral over (0, 1) plus one over $(1, \infty)$ and using the Schwartz inequality it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$|\Gamma(\sigma_0 + it_0)| \leq \epsilon^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 y^{2c-1} dy \right)^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty y^{-2c-1} dy \right)^{1/2}$$
$$= \left(\frac{2\epsilon}{c} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since ϵ can be taken arbitrarily small and $\Gamma(\sigma_0 + it_0) \neq 0$ this is impossible. Thus $\zeta(s)$ cannot vanish² in the strip $\sigma_1 < Rl \ s < \sigma_2$.

3. Here the necessity of the condition of Theorem II will be proved; that is, it will be shown that if $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros in (σ_1, σ_2) then (1.2) implies f(x) is zero.

First it will be shown that (1.2) implies that if

(3.0)
$$H(w) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-wx}}{1 + e^{-wx}} (x^{\alpha - 1/2} + x^{\beta - 1/2}) f(x) dx$$

then for Re w > 0,

$$(3.1) H(w) = 0.$$

Let w = u + iv. Let c > 0. For $u \ge c$ and 0 < x < 1/|v|

Re
$$(1 + e^{-wx}) = 1 + e^{-ux} \cos vx \ge 1 + e^{-ux} \cos 1 \ge 1$$
.

² The trivial character of all such sufficiency proofs seems to indicate that if the Riemann hypothesis is true the closure theorems do not seem to be a very promising direction to pursue.

For $x \ge 1/|v|$

Re
$$(1 + e^{-wx}) \ge 1 - e^{-ux} \ge 1 - e^{-u/|v|} \ge 1 - e^{-c/|v|}$$
.

Thus for all x>0, $u \ge c$,

$$|1 + e^{-wx}| \ge 1 - e^{-c/|v|}.$$

For $|v| \le c$, $1 - e^{-c/|v|} \ge 1 - e^{-1} > 1/2$ and for $|v| \ge c$, $1 - e^{-c/|v|} \ge c/2|v|$. Thus for small c it follows from (3.2) that

$$\frac{1}{\mid 1 + e^{-wx} \mid} \leq 2 \frac{1 + \mid v \mid}{c}.$$

Therefore the integrand for H(w) satisfies

(3.3)
$$\left| \frac{e^{-wx}}{1 + e^{-wx}} \left(x^{\alpha - 1/2} + x^{\beta - 1/2} \right) f(x) \right| \\ \leq \frac{4}{c} \left(1 + |v| \right) e^{-cx} |f(x)| \max(1, x^{\beta - 1/2}).$$

Using (3.3) in (3.0) and applying the Schwartz inequality it follows that the integral for H(w) is uniformly convergent for w in any bounded domain in $u \ge c$. Thus H(w) is analytic for u > c and since c is arbitrary it follows that H(w) is analytic for u > 0. Also by the Schwartz inequality and (3.3)

$$|H(w)| \leq \frac{4}{c} (1 + |w|) \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2cx} (1 + x^{2\beta - 1}) dx \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

In particular if c=1

$$|H(w)| \leq K |w|, \qquad u \geq 1,$$

where K is a constant. Applying an inequality of Carleman [6, p. 130] to H(w) in the half-plane $u \ge 1$ it follows that the sum of the reciprocals of the real zeros of H(w) for u > 2 must converge unless H is zero. But by (1.0) this proves (3.1).

LEMMA. For any fixed real p there exists a function R(u) continuous for u>0 and such that

$$(3.5) \qquad \int_0^\infty u^{-k} \left| R(u) \right| du < \infty$$

for all k, $\sigma_1 < k < \sigma_2$, and

(3.6)
$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux}}{1+e^{-ux}} R(u) du = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \log^2 x + ip \log x\right).$$

The proof of this lemma will be given in §4. Let

(3.7)
$$I = \int_0^\infty R(u)H(u)du$$
$$= \int_0^\infty R(u)du \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux}}{1 + e^{-ux}} \left(x^{\alpha - 1/2} + x^{\beta - 1/2}\right)f(x)dx.$$

Using the Schwartz inequality

$$J = \int_0^\infty |R(u)| du \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux}}{1 + e^{-ux}} x^{\alpha - 1/2} |f(x)| dx$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty |R(u)| du \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^{-ux}}{1 + e^{-ux}}\right)^2 x^{2\alpha - 1} dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{-ux}}{1 + e^{-ux}} \right)^{2} x^{2\alpha - 1} dx = u^{-2\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{-y}}{1 + e^{-y}} \right)^{2} y^{2\alpha - 1} dy,$$

$$J \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{-\alpha} |R(u)| du$$

where C_1 is a constant. By (3.5) with $k = \alpha$ it follows that J is bounded. The same proof holds with α replaced by β . Thus the repeated integral representing I is absolutely convergent and the order of integration can be inverted. Doing this and using (3.6)

$$I = \int_0^\infty (x^{\alpha - 1/2} + x^{\beta - 1/2}) f(x) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \log^2 x + i p \log x\right) dx.$$

Setting $x = e^y$

$$(3.8) I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(y)e^{ipy}dy$$

where

$$G(y) = (e^{\alpha y} + e^{\beta y}) f(e^{y}) e^{y/2} e^{-y^{2}/2}$$

Since $f(e^y)e^{y/2} \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ it follows from the Schwartz inequality that G(y) is absolutely integrable. On the other hand since H(u) = 0

it follows from (3.7) that I=0. Since this holds for all real p and since, by (3.8), I=I(p) is the Fourier transform of G(y) it follows that G(y) is zero almost everywhere and thus f(x) must be zero almost everywhere, which proves the necessity of the condition of Theorem II for $\zeta(s)$ to be free of zeros in (σ_1, σ_2) .

4. Here the lemma will be proved. Let

(4.0)
$$R(u) = \frac{1}{i(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-i\infty+c}^{i\infty+c} \frac{\exp((s+ip)^2/2)u^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)(1-2^{1-s})} ds$$

where c is a constant, $\sigma_1 < c < \sigma_2$. It will be shown that R(u) does not depend on c. Indeed let $\delta > 0$ and let $\sigma_1 + \delta \le c \le \sigma_2 - \delta$. It follows easily from familiar properties of $\zeta(s)$ [5, Theorem 9.6B] that if $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros in the strip $\sigma_1 < \text{Rl } s < \sigma_2$ then there is a constant A, which depends on δ , such that if $s = \sigma + it$ then

$$(4.1) |\zeta(s)| > (2+|t|)^{-A}, \quad \sigma_1 + \delta \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2 - \delta.$$

Also since $1/2 \le \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 \le 1$ it follows that

$$\left|\frac{\exp\left((s+ip)^2/2\right)}{\Gamma(s)(1-2^{1-s})}\right| < Ke^{-|t|}, \qquad \sigma_1 + \delta \le \sigma \le \sigma_2 - \delta$$

for some K which depends on δ and p. Thus from (4.0)

$$|R(u)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Ke^{-|t|} (2+|t|)^{\Delta} u^{c-1} dt.$$

Or, there is a B depending on δ and p such that

$$(4.3) | R(u) | \leq Bu^{c-1}.$$

That R(u) does not depend on c for $\sigma_1 + \delta \le c \le \sigma_2 - \delta$ follows at once from the Cauchy integral theorem. Since δ is arbitrary R(u) does not depend on c for $\sigma_1 < c < \sigma_2$.

Given k in (3.5) it follows from (4.3) with $c = k + \delta_1$ and $c = k - \delta_1$, for some sufficiently small $\delta_1 > 0$, that (3.5) holds.

To prove (3.6) let

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty R(u) \frac{e^{-ux}}{1 + e^{-ux}} du$$

$$= \frac{1}{i(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux}}{1 + e^{-ux}} du \int_{-i\infty+c}^{i\infty+c} \frac{\exp((s+ip)^2/2)u^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)(1-2^{1-s})} ds.$$

Since the repeated integral is absolutely convergent the order may be inverted to give

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{i(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-i\infty+e}^{i\infty+e} \frac{\exp((s+ip)^2/2)}{\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)(1-2^{1-e})} ds \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ux}}{1+e^{-ux}} u^{s-1} du.$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux}}{1+e^{-ux}} u^{s-1} du = x^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) (1-2^{1-s})$$

it follows that

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{i(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-i\omega + c}^{i\omega + c} (\exp((s + ip)^2/2)x^{-s} ds.$$

Setting s+ip=iw and using Cauchy's integral theorem

$$F(x) = \frac{x^{ip}}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-w^2/2} x^{-iw} dw$$

$$= \frac{x^{ip}}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-w^2/2} \exp(-iw \log x) dw = x^{ip} \exp(-\log^2 x/2)$$

which proves (3.6).

5. If (1.2) implies that f(x) is zero then (1.1) is valid. Indeed (1.2) implies that any $g(x) \in L^2(0, \infty)$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in $L^2(0, \infty)$ by the functions

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda_n x}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_n x}} (x^{\alpha - 1/2} + x^{\beta - 1/2}).$$

Thus given any ϵ there exist N and a_n , $1 \le n \le N$, such that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left| g(x) - \sum_{1}^{N} a_{n} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{n}x}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{n}x}} (x^{\alpha - 1/2} + x^{\beta - 1/2}) \right|^{2} dx < \epsilon.$$

Let

$$g(x) = e^{-x}(x^{\alpha-1/2} + x^{\beta-1/2}).$$

Then

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(e^{-x} - \sum_1^N a_n \frac{e^{-\lambda_n x}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_n x}}\right)^2 (x^{2\alpha - 1} + x^{2\beta - 1}) \frac{(x^{\alpha - 1/2} + x^{\beta - 1/2})^2}{x^{2\alpha - 1} + x^{2\beta - 1}} < \epsilon.$$

Since the numerator of the last term exceeds the denominator (1.1) follows.

Thus it is seen that if (1.2) implies f(x) is zero then (1.1) holds. This in turn implies $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros in the strip (σ_1, σ_2) which proves

the sufficiency of the condition of Theorem II and completes the proof of Theorem II.

If $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros in the strip (σ_1, σ_2) then (1.2) implies f(x) is zero which in turn implies that (1.1) holds. Thus (1.1) is a necessary condition and this completes the proof of Theorem I.

To prove the remark at the end of Theorem I note that the closure property of the translations in $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ of Wiener [7] shows that the functions

$$x^{c-1/2} \frac{e^{-ax}}{1 + e^{-ax}} \qquad (0 < a < \infty)$$

where c is fixed, $1/2 \le c \le 1$, are closed in $L^2(0, \infty)$. Using the result of §3 based on Carleman's theorem it follows easily that

$$x^{c-1/2} \frac{e^{-\lambda_n x}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_n x}} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

are closed in $L^2(0, \infty)$. Thus if $g(x) \in L^2(0, \infty)$, then given any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists N and $\{a_n\}$ such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\sum_{1}^N a_n x^{\epsilon-1/2} \frac{e^{-\lambda_n x}}{1 + e^{-\lambda_n x}} - g(x) \right)^2 dx < \epsilon.$$

Letting $g(x) = x^{c-1/2}e^{-x}$ the remark is proved.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Beurling, On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, Acta Math. vol. 81 (1949) pp. 239-253.
- 2. —, A theorem on functions defined on a semi-group, Math. Scandinavica vol. 1 (1953) pp. 127-130.
- 3. B. Nyman, On some groups and semi-groups of translations, Thesis, Uppsala, 1950.
- 4. R. Salem, Sur une proposition equivalente à l'hypothèse de Riemann, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 236 (1953) pp. 1127-1128.
 - 5. E. C. Titchmarsh, The theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Oxford, 1951.
 - 6. —, The theory of functions, Oxford, 1932.
 - 7. N. Wiener, Tauberian theorems, Ann. of Math. vol. 33 (1932) pp. 1-100.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY