

INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF CYCLIC GROUPS OF PRIME ORDER

IRVING REINER¹

1. Elementary facts. In this paper we shall extend a result due to Diederichsen [2] on integral representations of cyclic groups of prime order, and shall simplify the proof thereof. Let Z denote the ring of rational integers, Q the rational field. If R is a ring, by a *regular* R -module we shall mean a finitely-generated torsion-free R -module.

LEMMA 1 (Zassenhaus [9]). *Let R be a regular Z -module contained in a field K , and suppose R contains a Q -basis of K . Then every irreducible regular R -module is R -isomorphic to an ideal in R . Two ideals in R are R -isomorphic (as R -modules) if and only if they lie in the same ideal class.*

REMARK. In terms of matrix representations, this lemma implies that there is a one-to-one correspondence between classes (under unimodular equivalence) of irreducible Z -representations of R and ideal classes of R . A full set of inequivalent irreducible matrix representations is obtained by restricting the regular representation of R to a full set of inequivalent ideals in R . In particular, let $f(x) \in Z[x]$ be irreducible, and set $R = Z[\theta]$ where θ is a zero of $f(x)$. Since every irreducible representation of R is described by $\theta \rightarrow X$, where X is an integral nonderogatory solution of $f(X) = 0$, the number of unimodular classes of such matrix solutions coincides with the class number of $Z[\theta]$. (See [5; 8].)

Now let \mathfrak{o} be a Dedekind ring (see [4]) which is assumed to be a regular Z -module. By Lemma 1, every irreducible regular \mathfrak{o} -module is \mathfrak{o} -isomorphic to an ideal in \mathfrak{o} .

LEMMA 2 (Steinitz [7], Chevalley [1]). This result can also be deduced from [6]. *Every regular \mathfrak{o} -module is \mathfrak{o} -isomorphic to a direct sum $\mathfrak{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{A}_n$ of ideals in \mathfrak{o} . The \mathfrak{o} -rank n and the ideal class of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{A}_n$ are the only invariants, and determine the module up to \mathfrak{o} -isomorphism.*

REMARK. Let $f(x) \in Z[x]$ be a monic irreducible polynomial, and let $f(\theta) = 0$. Assume that $Z[\theta]$ coincides with the ring of all algebraic

Presented to the Society, September 2, 1955; received by the editors February 28, 1956.

¹ Part of this work was supported by a research contract with the National Science Foundation. The author wishes to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

integers in $Q(\theta)$. Then $Z[\theta]$ is a Dedekind ring, and the lemma implies that every integral matrix X for which $f(X) = 0$, is integrally decomposable into a direct sum of irreducible matrices satisfying $f(X) = 0$.

LEMMA 3. *Let \mathfrak{e} and \mathfrak{B} be ideals in \mathfrak{o} . Then there exists an \mathfrak{o} -automorphism of $\mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$ which maps $\mathfrak{e} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$ isomorphically onto $\mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{B}$.*

PROOF. Since only ideal classes are involved, we may assume $\mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{o}$. Choose $e_0 \in \mathfrak{e}$, $b_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $e_0 - b_0 = 1$. Then define an \mathfrak{o} -linear map $\phi: \mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$ by means of

$$\phi(a, b) = (a + b, ab_0 + e_0b), \quad a \in \mathfrak{o}, b \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

It is easily verified that ϕ is the desired \mathfrak{o} -automorphism of $\mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$.

2. Cyclic groups. Let $G = \{g\}$ be a cyclic group of prime order p , and let $Z[g]$ be its group ring over the integers. We shall use the results of the previous section to classify all Z -regular $Z[g]$ -modules. Define $s = 1 + g + \cdots + g^{p-1} \in Z[g]$. Let M be a Z -regular $Z[g]$ -module, and define

$$(1) \quad M_s = \{m \in M : sm = 0\}.$$

We may then view M_s as a $Z[g]/(s)$ -module, where (s) is the principal ideal generated by s . However, $Z[g]/(s) \cong Z[\theta]$, where θ is a primitive p th root of 1. Further, $Z[\theta]$ is a Dedekind ring, hereafter denoted by \mathfrak{o} .

Now we observe that

$$(2) \quad M_s \supset (g - 1)M \supset (\theta - 1)M_s,$$

all considered as \mathfrak{o} -modules. By Lemma 2, we may write

$$(3) \quad M_s = \mathfrak{o} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{A},$$

where n (the number of summands) and the ideal class of the ideal \mathfrak{A} in \mathfrak{o} are uniquely determined. Using (2), we find that as \mathfrak{o} -module,

$$(4) \quad (g - 1)M = \mathfrak{e}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{e}_{n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{e}_n \mathfrak{A},$$

with the \mathfrak{e}_i ideals in \mathfrak{o} . From the second inclusion in (2), we see that each \mathfrak{e}_i is either \mathfrak{o} or the principal prime ideal $(\theta - 1)$. By permuting the summands, and using Lemma 3 if necessary, we may then assume that

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{e}_1 = \cdots = \mathfrak{e}_r = 0, \quad \mathfrak{e}_{r+1} = \cdots = \mathfrak{e}_n = (\theta - 1).$$

In that case, the quotient module

$$B = (g - 1)M / (\theta - 1)M_s \cong \mathfrak{o} / (\theta - 1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{o} / (\theta - 1),$$

where r summands occur. Since $(\theta - 1)$ is an ideal of norm p , we see that B is an additive abelian group of type (p, \dots, p) , and the integer r is thus uniquely determined as the rank of B . Let us fix β_k in the k th summand of (3) so that B is generated by the cosets $\beta_1 + (\theta - 1), \dots, \beta_r + (\theta - 1)$ (or $\beta_n + (\theta - 1)\mathfrak{A}$ in case $r = n$). For example, we may choose β_k to be the unit element in \mathfrak{o} for $k < n$, while if $r = n$, we choose $\beta_n \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\beta_n \notin (\theta - 1)\mathfrak{A}$.

On the other hand, M/M_* is a regular Z -module, and therefore M_* is a Z -direct summand of M . Choose a regular Z -module X such that M is the direct sum of M_* and X . Then

$$(g - 1)M = (\theta - 1)M_* + (g - 1)X,$$

so that the map $\phi: X \rightarrow B$ defined by

$$\phi(x) = (g - 1)x + (\theta - 1)M_* \quad \text{for } x \in X$$

is a linear map of X onto B . With each $x \in X$ we may thus associate an r -tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ (also denoted by $\phi(x)$) such that

$$(g - 1)x \equiv \alpha_1\beta_1 + \dots + \alpha_r\beta_r \pmod{(\theta - 1)M_*},$$

with each $\alpha_i \in \bar{Z} = Z/pZ$. By choosing a suitable Z -basis x_1, \dots, x_m of X , we may assume that the vectors $\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_r)$ are linearly independent over \bar{Z} . Under a further change of Z -basis of X , we may then take

$$(g - 1)x_i \equiv c_i\beta_i, \quad (g - 1)x_j \equiv 0 \pmod{(\theta - 1)M_*}, \\ (1 \leq i \leq r, r < j \leq m),$$

where each $c_i \in Z$, $c_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Set $(g - 1)x_i = c_i\beta_i + (g - 1)u_i$, $(g - 1)x_j = (g - 1)u_j$ ($1 \leq i \leq r, r < j \leq m$), with each $u_i \in M_*$, and define $y_i = x_i - u_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then we have

$$(6) \quad M = M_* \oplus Zy_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Zy_m,$$

where

$$(7) \quad gy_i = y_i + c_i\beta_i, \quad gy_j = y_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq r, r < j \leq m)$$

and where M_* defined by (3) is made into a $Z[g]$ -module by

$$(8) \quad gm = \theta m \quad \text{for } m \in M_*.$$

The structure of M is completely determined by the ideal class of \mathfrak{A} , the integers $r = Z$ -rank of B , $m = Z$ -rank of M/M_* , $n = \mathfrak{o}$ -rank of M_* , and by the constants c_1, \dots, c_r . We show now that we may in fact take each $c_i = 1$; this is a consequence of the following:

LEMMA 4. Let \mathfrak{A} be an ideal in \mathfrak{o} , let $\beta \in \mathfrak{A}$ be fixed, and let $c \in Z$, $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let $M_1 = \mathfrak{A} \oplus Zy_1$ be made into a $Z[g]$ -module by defining $ga = \theta a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $gy_1 = y_1 + \beta$. Let $M = \mathfrak{A} \oplus Zy_2$ be made into a $Z[g]$ -module by defining $ga = \theta a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $gy_2 = y_2 + c\beta$. Then M_1 and M are $Z[g]$ -isomorphic.

PROOF. Set $u = 1 + \theta + \cdots + \theta^{c-1} = \text{unit in } \mathfrak{o}$. Since $u - c = (\theta - 1) + (\theta^2 - 1) + \cdots + (\theta^{c-1} - 1)$, we may choose $t \in \mathfrak{A}$ so that $(\theta - 1)t = (u - c)\beta$. Now define a linear map $\phi: M_1 \rightarrow M$ by

$$\phi(a) = ua, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, \quad \phi(y_1) = y_2 + t.$$

Then $g\phi(a) = \phi g(a)$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, and also

$$g\phi(y_1) = g(y_2 + t) = y_2 + c\beta + \theta t = y_2 + t + u\beta = \phi g(y_1).$$

Thus ϕ is a $Z[g]$ -isomorphism of M_1 onto M .

To summarize, we have thus shown:

THEOREM. Every Z -regular $Z[g]$ -module is operator-isomorphic to a module defined by (3), (6), (7), and (8), with $c_1 = \cdots = c_r = 1$. The invariants which uniquely determine such a module (up to isomorphism) are: the ideal class of \mathfrak{A} , $n = \mathfrak{o}$ -rank of M_s , $m = Z$ -rank of M/M_s , and $r = Z$ -rank of $(g-1)M/(\theta-1)M_s$; the only restrictions on these invariants are the conditions $r \leq m$, $r \leq n$. Conversely, for any such choice of invariants, equations (3), (6), (7), and (8) define a $Z[g]$ -module with the given invariants.

COROLLARY (See [2; 3].) The integrally-indecomposable regular $Z[g]$ -modules are those for which either $r = n = 0$, $m = 1$, or $r = m = 0$, $n = 1$, or $r = m = n = 1$. The number of nonisomorphic modules of these types is $2h + 1$, where h is the class number of \mathfrak{o} .

REFERENCES

1. C. Chevalley, *L'arithmétique dans les algèbres de matrices*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles vol. 323 (1936) Paris.
2. F. E. Diederichsen, *Über die Ausreduktion ganzzahliger Gruppendarstellungen bei arithmetischer Äquivalenz*, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg vol. 14 (1938) pp. 357-412.
3. L. K. Hua and I. Reiner, *Automorphisms of the unimodular group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 71 (1951) pp. 331-348.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Modules over Dedekind rings and valuation rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 72 (1952) pp. 327-340.
5. C. G. Latimer and C. C. MacDuffee, *A correspondence between classes of ideals and classes of matrices*, Ann. of Math. vol. 34 (1933) pp. 313-316.
6. I. Reiner, *Maschke modules over Dedekind rings*, in Canadian Journal of Mathematics vol. 8 (1956) pp. 329-334.

7. E. Steinitz, *Rechteckige Systeme und Moduln in algebraischen Zahlkörpern*, Math. Ann. vol. 71 (1911) pp. 328–354, vol. 72 (1912) pp. 297–345.

8. O. Taussky, *On a theorem of Latimer and MacDuffee*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics vol. 1 (1949) pp. 300–302.

9. H. Zassenhaus, *Neuer Beweis der Endlichkeit der Klassenzahl bei unimodularer Äquivalenz endlicher ganzzahliger Substitutionsgruppen*, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg vol. 12 (1938) pp. 276–288.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

FLEXIBLE ALMOST ALTERNATIVE ALGEBRAS¹

D. M. MERRIELL

1. **Introduction.** Almost left alternative algebras were defined by Albert in [1]. They are algebras A over a field F of characteristic not two which satisfy these postulates:

I. The elements of A satisfy an identity of the form

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} z(xy) = & \alpha(zx)y + \beta(zy)x + \gamma(xz)y + \delta(yz)x + \epsilon y(zx) \\ & + \eta x(zy) + \sigma y(xz) + \tau x(yz) \end{aligned}$$

for elements $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \eta, \sigma, \tau$ in F which are independent of x, y, z in A .

II. The relation $xx^2 = x^2x$ holds for every x of A .

III. There exists an algebra B with a unity quantity e such that B satisfies (1) and is not a commutative algebra.

An algebra is called almost right alternative if I, II, and III hold with (1) replaced by an identity of the same form but with $z(xy)$ replaced by $(xy)z$. These two identities are the general shrinkability conditions of level one, as defined by Albert in [2]. An almost alternative algebra is one which is both almost left alternative and almost right alternative.

Reference is made in [1] to several results which are proved here. In addition to the above postulates, we assume the flexible law, that is, $(xy)x = x(yx)$ for every x and y in A . This makes Postulate II redundant. Albert confined his investigation in [1] to nonflexible algebras.

Received by the editors February 20, 1956.

¹ This paper is a portion of a Ph.D. thesis supervised by A. A. Albert and submitted to the University of Chicago in 1951. The author is now at Robert College, Istanbul.